



## **Statement of Community Involvement 2016**

**Results of Public Consultation 28 October - 9 December 2015**

**Summary of Representations Submitted, Officer Responses  
and Changes Made to the 2015 Consultation Draft**

## List of Respondents to Public Consultation 28 October – 9 December 2015

1. Mr M. Moysey – Head of Estates Dorset Police
2. Mr A Ruck,
3. Ms S Hughes, Wiltshire Council
4. Ms H Chittenden, East Dorset Environment Partnership
5. Mrs J E Merrett
6. Mr D Chaffey
7. Mr B Young
8. Mr S Godley
9. Mrs P Healy, Dorset CPRE
10. Mrs J Clark, Forestry Commission
11. Mr M Mawbey
12. The Clerk, West Parley Parish Council
13. Mr K Brooks
14. Mr K Poulton, Ferndown & Uddens BID Manager
15. Mrs A Clothier, Clerk Sturminster Marshall Parish Council.
16. Ms H Patton, New Forest National Park Authority
17. Mr J Dendy
18. Marine Management Organisation
19. Mr N Squirrell, Natural England
20. Ms A Harrison, Office of Rail and Road (ORR)
21. Mrs E Chinn
22. Mr D Verguson
23. Ms G Smith
24. Mr M Holm, Environment Agency
25. Mr N Thorne

**Consultation Comments on the Statement of Community Involvement (2015 Consultation Draft) and Changes Made**

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
360598	Mr Alan Ruck	Hon Secretary Roeshot Hill Allotment Association	1.1	<a href="#">DSCI20158</a>	Roeshot Hill Allotment Association is concerned over the future building of houses on Roeshot Hill and the possible loss of the allotment site. We wish to be kept informed of all developments concerning Roeshot Hill.		The SCI is not site specific. Section 10 of the SCI sets out how information will be made available and how you can respond to planning applications.	No change
360598	Mr Alan Ruck	Hon Secretary Roeshot Hill Allotment Association	1.2	<a href="#">DSCI20159</a>	The aims stated appear very good, the implementation is not.		The SCI shows how we will engage with the community and commits us to implementing these procedures.	No change
359553	Mrs Linda Leeding	Clerk West Parley Parish Council	2	<a href="#">DSCI201543</a>	West Parley Parish Council wish to make no comment on this consultation		Noted	No change
661755	Rev John Dendy		2	<a href="#">DSCI201553</a>	I am overwhelmed by the information you have sent me. I do not see this as a consultation but as a statement of your intentions. I am against a further enlargement of the ruling authority since it increasingly places the sources of power and influence further away from local inhabitants. I desire truly local government. Have you considered asking the general population if they desire such a change? Finance should not be your priority but the sense of belonging to a community. God bless you in your considerable responsibilities.		Noted. Comments regarding the "enlargement of the authority" are issues for the future and are not relevant to this SCI.	No change
684854		Marine Area Manager Marine Management Organisation	2	<a href="#">DSCI201554</a>	The Marine Management Organisation (MMO) is a non-departmental public body responsible for the management of England's marine area on behalf of the UK government. The MMO's delivery functions are; marine planning, marine licensing, wildlife licensing and enforcement, marine protected area management, marine emergencies, fisheries management and issuing European grants.  Marine Licensing  Activities taking place below the mean high water mark may require a marine licence in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Access Act (MCAA) 2009. Such activities include the construction, alteration or improvement of any works, dredging, or a deposit or removal of a substance or object below the mean high water springs mark or in any tidal river to the		Noted	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>extent of the tidal influence. You can also apply to the MMO for consent under the Electricity Act 1989 (as amended) for offshore generating stations between 1 and 100 megawatts in England and parts of Wales. The MMO is also the authority responsible for processing and determining harbour orders in England, and for some ports in Wales, and for granting consent under various local Acts and orders regarding harbours. A wildlife licence is also required for activities that that would affect a UK or European protected marine species.</p> <p>Marine Planning</p> <p>As the marine planning authority for England the MMO is responsible for preparing marine plans for English inshore and offshore waters. At its landward extent, a marine plan will apply up to the mean high water springs mark, which includes the tidal extent of any rivers. As marine plan boundaries extend up to the level of the mean high water spring tides mark, there will be an overlap with terrestrial plans which generally extend to the mean low water springs mark. Marine plans will inform and guide decision makers on development in marine and coastal areas. On 2 April 2014 the <a href="#">East Inshore and Offshore marine plans</a> were published, becoming a material consideration for public authorities with decision making functions. The East Inshore and East Offshore Marine Plans cover the coast and seas from Flamborough Head to Felixstowe. The MMO is currently in the process of developing marine plans for the South Inshore and Offshore Plan Areas and has a requirement to develop plans for the remaining 7 marine plan areas by 2021.</p> <p>Planning documents for areas with a coastal influence may wish to make reference to the MMO's licensing requirements and any relevant marine plans to ensure that necessary regulations are adhered to. For marine and coastal areas where a marine</p>			

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					plan is not currently in place, we advise local authorities to refer to the Marine Policy Statement for guidance on any planning activity that includes a section of coastline or tidal river. All public authorities taking authorisation or enforcement decisions that affect or might affect the UK marine area must do so in accordance with the Marine and Coastal Access Act and the UK Marine Policy Statement unless relevant considerations indicate otherwise.			
684868		Office of Rail and Road	2	<a href="#">DSCI201556</a>	<p>Thank you for consulting ORR on the above Statement of Community Involvement Review. The Office of Rail and Road has no comment to make on this particular document.</p> <p>We kindly request that you amend your planning consultation database to exclude the Office of Rail and Road from planning correspondence which does not affect the current or (future)operation of the mainline network in Great Britain. The ORR only needs to be consulted if strategic rail issues arise or if your plans contain modifications to the rail network or to infrastructure which would directly impact on the rail network.</p> <p>I have attached a copy of our localism guidance for reference, which can be found at <a href="http://www.rail-reg.gov.uk/upload/pdf/localism-guidance.pdf">http://www.rail-reg.gov.uk/upload/pdf/localism-guidance.pdf</a></p>		<p>We will endeavour to consult only in the instances specified; however the data base itself has no facility to be automatically selective for specific consultations. As a prescribed body with regard to the duty to cooperate, we need to retain the ORR on our data base.</p> <p>Noted</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>
636321		Environment Agency	2	<a href="#">DSCI201560</a>	We have no comments to make on the updated document, and note that we will form part of the appropriate consultation process.		Noted	No change
360598	Mr Alan Ruck	Hon Secretary Roeshot Hill Allotment Association	2.1	<a href="#">DSCI201510</a>	The general principles are very good we have yet to see these put into practice.		Noted	No change
360598	Mr Alan Ruck	Hon Secretary Roeshot Hill Allotment Association	2.1	<a href="#">DSCI201512</a>		Roeshot Hill Allotment Association deplores the absolute lack of transparency in this process. On the three occasions I checked there was no copy of the Statement of Community	We endeavour to ensure documents are available as advertised. Having checked the situation in this case as soon as you advised us of the problem, I can confirm that documents had indeed been deposited at these locations and the venues confirmed that the documents were indeed available (although you may have to ask at their counters as reference copies "disappear" if left out on display). We are pursuing this matter with the libraries concerned and are looking for ways to	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
						Involvement in both the public library and the information centre. Neither was there information in the civic offices. I understand there were a few copies in the last week of the consultation period. This is dreadful, it certainly is not democracy.	improve accessibility of documents. If we are made aware of such problems at the time we can provide additional copies. Libraries and office receptions are advised to contact us if they need additional copies. A telephone number and email address are available on all the publicity and documentation so if anyone is experiencing difficulty accessing a document we can make arrangements to get a document to them.	
Officer update only – not a representation			4.1 – 4.2		Needs updating to refer to the revised Christchurch and East Dorset Corporate Plan 2016-2020. Dorset Sustainable Community Strategy no longer published on dorsetforyou.			Update paras 4.1 and 4.2 to refer to the Corporate Plan 2016-2020. Delete reference to the Sustainable Community Strategy.
360650	Mr Michael Moysey	Head of Estates Dorset Police	5.1	<a href="#">DSCI201513</a>	Dorset Police are not included as a statutory listed public body in terms of the Duty to Cooperate. Are you able to confirm this is correct?		<p>The Duty to cooperate bodies are listed (as prescribed bodies) in the <a href="#">Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012</a> as amended by <a href="#">The National Treatment Agency (Abolition) and the Health and Social Care Act 2012 (Consequential, Transitional and Saving Provisions) Order 2013</a> (Part 2 paragraph 4) and are also set out in the NPPF Guidance (Paragraph: 005 Reference ID: 9-005-20150402) <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/duty-to-cooperate/what-is-the-duty-to-cooperate-and-what-does-it-require/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/duty-to-cooperate/what-is-the-duty-to-cooperate-and-what-does-it-require/</a></p> <p>The police authorities are not included in this as a statutory listed public body in terms of the Duty to Cooperate.</p> <p>Part 2 Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, which sets out the Duty to Cooperate, was inserted by section 110 of the Localism Act 2011.</p>	No Change
359541	Mrs Alison Clothier	Clerk Sturminster Marshall Parish Council	5.5	<a href="#">DSCI201551</a>	The Parish Council does not understand why the Council does not have a duty to cooperate with Highways England		<p>Public bodies, in addition to local planning authorities, are subject to the duty to cooperate by being prescribed in the <a href="#">Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012</a> as amended by <a href="#">The National Treatment Agency (Abolition) and the Health and Social Care Act 2012 (Consequential, Transitional and Saving Provisions) Order 2013</a>. The list of prescribed bodies is also set out in the NPPF guidance (Paragraph: 005 Reference ID: 9-005-20150402) <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/duty-to-cooperate/what-is-the-duty-to-cooperate-and-what-does-it-require/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/duty-to-cooperate/what-is-the-duty-to-cooperate-and-what-does-it-require/</a></p> <p>The listed prescribed bodies do not include Highways England (formerly the Highways Agency).</p>	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	5.7	<a href="#">DSCI201522</a>	<p>We welcome the recognition of both the LEP and LNP reflecting the value of Dorset's "environmental goods and services". Evidence of this is provided in the Dorset LNP's Natural Value Report.</p> <p><a href="http://www.dorsetwildlifetrust.org.uk/hr/es/DLNP_Natural_Value_Report_Low_Res.pdf">http://www.dorsetwildlifetrust.org.uk/hr/es/DLNP_Natural_Value_Report_Low_Res.pdf</a></p> <p>"In 2014, The Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership's (LEP) analysis of Dorset's economy suggests that 'Environmental goods and services' contribute £173 million and 3500 full time equivalent jobs to the local economy. The LEP also identifies this is a sector 'with significant growth potential, harnessing the environmental assets of Dorset, developing existing business activity and expertise, and realising the opportunities presented by the demand for renewable energy'. The definition of 'environmental goods and services' used, however, excludes sectors such as tourism, creative industries, food and drink and environmental management for which a high quality environment is clearly important. Work is underway to establish this supplementary data to assess the true size and potential of the 'environmental economy'. As an example of how important a high quality environment is, research in neighbouring Devon values its own 'green economy' at over £2,400 million per annum (16% of Gross Value Added), accounting for over 100,000 jobs (20% of all employment)."</p>		Noted	No change
Officer update only – not a representation			6.8-6.20				Need to update paragraphs on the Local Plan.	Update
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	6.14	<a href="#">DSCI201523</a>	<p>We suggest it would be helpful for the SCI to provide an indication of the envisaged timescale for the review of Local Plan Part 1 particularly in the light of possible changes to local governance. This would reduce uncertainties for both residents and potential developers.</p>		The SCI does not cover the timetable for production of local plan documents but a detailed timetable is set out in the Local Development Scheme and this is reviewed regularly.	No change
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment	6.15	<a href="#">DSCI201524</a>	<p>Since EDEP responded to the consultation on the Scoping of Local Plan Part 2, the RSPB and the Wildlife</p>		Whilst the scoping consultation has completed, we constantly need to keep our evidence base up to date so this report is something we can feed into	No change



Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
		Partnership			<p>Trusts have jointly commissioned and published a Research Report "Nature Positive Local Plans". ( See <a href="http://www.rspb.org.uk/whatwedo/england/">http://www.rspb.org.uk/whatwedo/england/</a> and click on link). The C&amp;ED Core Strategy is one of those that has been reviewed and particularly good aspects in it have been identified. However, there are some shortcomings common to all Core Strategies which the report suggests should be addressed in the DPDs. We recommend that this should be included in the scoping and will be happy to help take this forward in consultation with other wildlife organisations.</p> <p>We welcome the intention to develop more detailed site specific policies. The necessarily high level policy in Local Plan Part 1 needs supplementing to provide greater clarity.</p>		<p>the on-going Local Plan work. As a key stakeholder in the Local Plan process we look forward to working with the partnership in developing the Local Plan.</p> <p>Noted</p>	No change
903254	Mr Nick Thorne		6.15	<a href="#">DSCI201561</a>	<p>We previously wrote to you suggesting a number of development sites as detailed below and attached</p> <p>1 - Land off Daggons Road; Alderholt. SP6 3DL;</p> <p>2 – Land at Blackwater Grove, Alderholt, SP6 3AD;</p> <p>3. – Land at Crossroads plantation, Blackwater Grove, Alderholt. SP6 3AD;</p> <p>4. – Land off Brickyard lane, Verwood. BH31 7LG</p> <p>Whilst they speak for themselves - we would also like to make the following observations and representations in relation to 1-3 above:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• They are all adjacent to the village envelope, and their inclusion as potential development sites we believe would not have a detrimental effect on Alderholt and would in fact strengthen the village,</li> </ul>		<p>These comments are outside the scope of the SCI.</p> <p>Issues with regard to potential amendments to the Green Belt or urban development boundaries/village envelopes will be matters for a future review of the Local Plan Part 1: Core Strategy. Whilst we will need to review the programme for local plan document preparation; at this point there is no programme to review the core strategy.</p>	No change



Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>by boosting the availability of potential housing sites in the village.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All of the land put forward is of a low quality in terms of its agricultural use, and classification. Therefore if any of the areas were to be developed they would not have a detrimental effect of the agricultural economy of the area by taking decent land out of production.</li> <li>• All of the parcels of land are greater than 400m from any SSSI. We own additional poor quality / scrub land adjacent to the development sites which should it be required might be suitable for SANGs land, if this would assist in bringing the development sites forward.</li> <li>• We understand the village school in Alderholt may be restricted in size due to its location, and prevented from expanding further to support itself, due to the size of the plot it is located on and due to its location within a dense residential area. Should it be deemed that the suggested development sites aren't suitable for residential development we might be willing to consider part of it the area as an alternative site to accommodate a school or other commercial entity.</li> <li>• Similarly we would also be willing to work with the local authority to come up with a suitable development strategy which used one or part of all the sites, or restricted the development to an agreed area.</li> <li>• Should some of the areas deemed to be suitable for residential development, we would be willing to work with the local authorities to develop a number of affordable houses, within the developments.</li> <li>• We do not believe the additional residential development within one or</li> </ul>			

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>more of the areas would have a detrimental effect on local utility services, however should the relevant authorities deem these as being stretched, we would be willing to contribute to a local fund, to support such services, provided we can agree how much this would be.</p> <p>With regards to 4 above, we would like to point out that whilst this is located in the Green Belt, the site could be construed as brownfield land due to its historic use and association with the former brickworks.</p> <p>There is one property already located on this development site, and if it were to be redeveloped, a small residential development could easily be accommodated in this location.</p> <p>It would be unlikely to have an impact on anyone else and in fact could be argued could have a positive impact, due to its position and screening around the site.</p> <p>All 4 of the above sites have good access from a highways perspective, obviously if there was an issue we would be willing to work with the relevant agencies to resolve any issues. There are local services within close proximity to the sites, which would mean that they are sustainable.</p> <p>In summary we believe, these sites would contribute to the local economy should any or all or part of them be brought forward for development, and would also assist the local authority by contributing to the local authorities housing allocation and requirements.</p> <p>We hope the above, can be taken in to consideration, however should you require any additional information - please do not hesitate to contact us.</p>			
Officer update only – not a representation			6.18					Update anticipated adoption date of the revised Dorset Heathlands Framework SPD.
360650	Mr Michael	Head of Estates Dorset Police	6.22	<a href="#">DSCI201514</a>	Comments in relation to the Community Infrastructure Levy		Noted	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
	Moysey				Charging Schedule provisions will be submitted on the separate consultation document currently due for response in January 2016.			
Officer update only – not a representation			6.23				Amend reference to more accurately reflect CIL Regulations.	Amend to refer to CIL Regulations 2010 as amended by 2015 (Amendment) Regulations.
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	6.24	<a href="#">DSCI201525</a>	ETAG (EDEP's predecessor) provided detailed comment on the SA and SEA of the Core Strategy and we welcome the opportunity to do so for future consultation documents.		Noted	No change
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		6.25	<a href="#">DSCI201544</a>	<p>This consultation draft SCI document reports that there are currently no neighbourhood plans under preparation in either Christchurch or East Dorset.</p> <p>This vividly illustrates the failure of the Localism Act to achieve the Governments stated objective of Local Decisions by local people. From the Acts introduction it was clear the necessity to have an independent examination, hold a referendum and even then only be able to propose more not less development than already agreed in the Local Plan and NPPF has deterred any non-sponsored groups of local residents from seriously considering preparing a neighbourhood development plan.</p> <p>Local residents were initially enthusiastic about Parish Plans groups being formed in 2005 who produced detailed and realistic parish plans drawn up in close consultation with local residents over a period of 18 months. Unfortunately strong objections and lack of support from our District Council eventually led to local residents losing faith in their parish plans ability to achieve their desired objectives. Nevertheless there remains a strong need to consult widely with local residents regarding planning applications in order to avoid the recent examples of totally unacceptable developments, which are threatening the continuation of the few pleasant residential areas such as our own parish of St Leonards and St Ives.</p> <p>Currently there is no formal statutory</p>		Noted	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					obligation for our local District Council's to directly consult local residents which is in sharp contrast to the long list of statutory consultees stated in the document most of whom have much less involvement and interest in what is being planned in the local authority		With regard to Local plans, Regulation 18 ( <a href="#">Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012</a> as amended by <a href="#">The National Treatment Agency (Abolition) and the Health and Social Care Act 2012 (Consequential, Transitional and Saving Provisions) Order 2013.</a> ) does place a statutory requirement on a local planning authority to notify and invite comments from the specific consultation bodies, general consultation bodies and "such residents or other persons carrying on business in the local authority's area from which the local planning authority consider it appropriate to invite representations." Therefore, we maintain a data base of all organisations and individuals/members of the public who must be consulted on planning policies we develop. We are happy to add anyone to this list. Whilst there is flexibility about how we consult in the initial stages of plan production, the Regulations require us to consult on the local plan at other key stages (see revisions to SCI tables 8.1 and 9.1 for Regulations applicable to key stages in plan preparation).  For planning applications there is a statutory requirement to consult on planning applications. The Councils' will notify landowners and occupiers of adjoining sites and display a site notice. For major applications, adverts will also be published in a local newspaper, as required by statutory legislation.	No change
784118	Mr Justin Milward	Woodland Trust	7.1	<a href="#">DSCI20151</a>	1. Paragraph 7.1, ii – General Consultation Bodies  The Woodland Trust would like to be added to this list.		The Woodland Trust is already on our database, as a general consultation body, to be consulted on relevant local plan and policy documents.	No change
360598	Mr Alan Ruck	Hon Secretary Roeshot Hill Allotment Association	7.1	<a href="#">DSCI201511</a>	We wish to be on the list of general consultation bodies but our concern is our site at Roeshot Hill.		The Association are on our planning policy mailing list as a general consultation body.	No change
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	7.1	<a href="#">DSCI201526</a>	Para 7.1 identifies the legal requirement to consult  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Specific Consultation Bodies which include NE, EA. Highways England, adjoining LPAs (including county council) and town and parish councils, and</li> <li>General Consultation Bodies. It is assumed that EDEP</li> </ul>		Noted. I can confirm that EDEP are on our data base of general consultation bodies.	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>would come under the first listed in this section i.e. "voluntary bodies some or all of whose activities benefit any part of the LPA's area". It would be appreciated if the Policy Planning team would confirm this.</p> <p>Please see also our comments on Appendix 2.</p>			
662829	Mrs Jane Merrett		7.1	<a href="#">DSCI201535</a>	<p>Referring to voluntary bodies whose activities benefit any part of the Local Planning Authority area, can I say that Roeshot Hill Allotments in their present position benefit this area. They are on the best local growing land convenient for the residents of Christchurch and nearby Somerford Estate (considered to be a deprived area under the Core Strategy). Please also bear in mind there has to be a new provision of new allotments based on the number of new residents within a given short distance of those new homes.</p> <p>Finally to summarise at present you have:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) A well run full allotment site convenient for local residents</li> <li>2) Increased rents have provided better revenue (allotments)</li> <li>3) Allotments which are a service not, and should not be, for property speculation</li> <li>4) A derelict council owned adjacent nursery (i.e. community land) that would solve the new allotment provision.</li> </ol>	<p>Yes right from the start you at Planning have been instructed by the council and/or your councillors to act as property speculators with community land to provide money from the Roeshot Hill allotment land and derelict nursery irrespective and completely overlooking (as you will know) Christchurch residents needs and their views. Perhaps the road accidents on A35 this summer/autumn are beginning to tell you something.</p>	<p>The Association are on our planning policy mailing list as a general consultation body.</p> <p>The SCI sets out how we engage when preparing future planning policies and when considering planning applications. Comments on the merits or otherwise of specific sites is not a matter for the SCI.</p>	No change
501500	Mrs E Chinn		7.1	<a href="#">DSCI201557</a>	<p>The only point I would mention is that it is vital for both members of the public and affected or relevant bodies (i.e. Natural England) to be consulted at all main stages of the development of the new local plan.</p> <p>I cannot remark in detail on the review document itself as I do not have access to the internet at home, but am sure others will make all appropriate</p>		<p>Noted. All key stakeholders and those organisations and members of the public who have requested to be on our data base for consultations, will be consulted at all key stages of the local plan preparation.</p> <p>Traffic implications of specific development proposals are beyond the scope of the SCI. The SCI however does explain how you can express those views through the planning policy process and planning application process.</p>	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>comments and suggestions.</p> <p>If I may, I would like to make one further point: Although the Core Strategy (Local Plan Part 1) was adopted in April 2014 and is therefore policy, insufficient attention appears to have been given to the effect that large-scale green -field developments — not only in Ferndown and West Parley but East Dorset as a whole - would have on the highway network.</p> <p>With the major carriageway reconstructions works taking place on the A338 over a period of 9 months, we get a glimpse of what it might be like with all the proposed new housing. Residents are experiencing extra high levels of diverted traffic on to New Road — and no doubt other highways as well. The emergency services and having to cope with longer than normal queues making their job more hazardous when on a 'blue light' call out.</p>			
503554	Mr D Verguson		7.1	<a href="#">DSCI201558</a>	<p>As you state in your letter the main purpose of this statement is to set out how interested parties can engage in the planning system with regard to the local plan. Unfortunately my experience throughout the entire consultation period concerning the Core Strategy, which began in late 2010, has been the persistent refusal by EDDC to respond to the very genuine concerns expressed by local residents. As a direct result of this refusal to acknowledge the concerns of local residents and to respond responsibly we are now saddled with a Core Strategy that is highly controversial and causing concern to local residents and even among Councillors. In particular the failure of EDDC to fulfil its promises of a minimum 50% affordable homes or to comment on the future of that part of the green belt no longer required because of the one third reduction in affordable homes. In this context it is significant that the plans for the Cranborne Road development were passed by a minority of councillors only just a quorate, due to the failure of so many councillors to attend and vote at such a crucial meeting presumably reflecting a reluctance to</p>		<p>Local Planning authorities are statutorily required to take into account of representations made. These need to be considered alongside national and local planning policies and other material considerations.</p> <p>Your comments are noted. The SCI seeks to ensure genuine consultation and engagement on plan preparation and planning applications.</p>	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>being associated with such a controversial plan. In this context I would point out that throughout the Core Strategy process the most common heard complaint against the EDDC was talking to them is a waste of time they never listen.</p> <p>For any meaningful consultation process to produce tangible results it is essential that all parties participating must approach the issues raised with an open mind and in a conciliatory manner, prepared to compromise for the greater good. Going through the motions of consultation as in the past with no intention of heeding the views and concerns of anyone else is no longer acceptable. Consulting with the public will only be considered a genuine procedure when as a result other parties' views and concerns are fully acknowledged and are seen to have had a meaningful influence as the final outcome of such consultations. Unfortunately this has not been the case in the recent past in East Dorset concerning the Core Strategy.</p>			
928503	Mr Kevin Poulton		7	<a href="#">DSCI20154</a>	<p>This section should be amended to recognise the approved Planning Protocol 2015 involving the local planning authorities and the Ferndown &amp; Uddens BID. Specifically, section 1 "Local Plans" on pages 3 and 4 of that document specifies the actions that will be taken to ensure the engagement of the Ferndown and Uddens BID in the plan making/ review processes.</p>	Reference to the approved "Ferndown and Uddens Business Improvement District Protocol Agreement with Local Planning Authorities".	<p>Add a reference to the Ferndown and Uddens Business Improvement District Protocol Agreement with Local Planning Authorities.</p> <p>The protocol was agreed in view of Government Guidance produced in 2015 <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/415988/BIDs_Guidance_and_Best_Practice.pdf">https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/415988/BIDs_Guidance_and_Best_Practice.pdf</a> which highlights the important role that the views of consultees (and particularly BIDs) play in the planning process.</p>	<p>After para 7.4 add a new paragraph 7.5 :            "In recognition of Government Guidance and Best Practice (Business Improvement Districts, March 2015), we will follow the agreed protocol with the Ferndown and Uddens Business Improvement District, which sets out how and when the BID and East Dorset District Council (though its partnership with Christchurch Borough Council) and Dorset County Council will engage with each other on planning matters."            Consequentially, renumber draft SCI paragraph 7.5, as 7.6</p>
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	7.2 -7.5	<a href="#">DSCI201527-DSC121059</a>	We confirm our interest and wish to make an active specialist contribution to the LPA's plan process.		Noted	No change
928503	Mr Kevin Poulton		7.5	<a href="#">DSCI20155</a>	Insert "together with the requirements of approved protocols" after "local groups and organisations" in the third		The essence of this point is now covered in our proposed amendment to comment <a href="#">DSCI20154</a> on para 7 (i.e. new para 7.5).	See new para 7.5 added above. No further change





Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>submission to SoS.</p> <p>i) With proposed changes to accommodation that have just been announced, arrangements should be made for public access to documents for the full working day. Members of the public should not be disadvantaged by council accommodation changes. Consulting documents in Wimborne will be more expensive than going to Furzehill because of car park charges. <b>We recommend that this is reviewed and EDDC advises how access to all planning policy documents is to be dealt with.</b></p> <p>ii) Simply making documents/announcements available on the dorsetforyou website does not ensure they reach those who are interested or are easily accessible. <b>There is no alert system other than for Committee papers. This should be addressed as a matter of urgency.</b> Now that East Dorset News is delivered to all households by the Post Office it is the most reliable form of communication but the frequency of issues restricts what consultations can be announced that way unless properly planned and timetabled</p> <p>ii) Consultation period for representations to be made on the Plan</p> <p>A minimum period of 6 weeks is suggested. Keeping the timescale for the consultation period relatively flexible would be helpful to EDEP especially for more detailed and complex plan documents that require meetings to be set up and may involve an officer to present and discuss the issues. Town and parish councils have similar time constraints and may need to hold special meetings to debate the plans.</p> <p>P12 Monitoring. As noted above, simply making documents available on the dorsetforyou website does not ensure they reach those who are interested. There is no alert system other than for Committee papers. It would be helpful to advise directly at</p>		<p>Once the Furzehill office is closed we will need to rely on the other Council offices, libraries, information centres etc. to deposit documents. If anyone is having difficulty accessing documents it is suggested they contact us at the time and we will endeavour to make suitable arrangements.</p> <p>Publishing on the website is just one of a number of methods used, but no set of measures guarantees 100% access. We are open to ideas and suggestions to improve things. It is not clear what is being suggested here in terms of an alert system. We will investigate use of social media and other ways of providing additional publicity for consultation documents. We will in future post all planning policy consultations on the "consultation tracker" on dorsetforyou <a href="https://consultationtracker.dorsetforyou.com/">https://consultationtracker.dorsetforyou.com/</a>. (Click on "Have your say" under "on-line services", on the dorsetforyou homepage to search for past, current and planned consultations by service, date, title etc.) We will also investigate other opportunities for improving publicity.</p> <p>Noted. Table 8.1 does refer to a minimum of 6 weeks.</p> <p>Publishing on the website is just one of a number of methods used, but no set of measures guarantees 100% access. We are open to ideas and suggestions to improve things. The Authorities' Monitoring Report is not a consultation document but is published for information.</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					least those on the consultation database.			
475455		Wiltshire Council	Table 9.1	<a href="#">DSCI201519</a>	Will you be using emails where possible when consulting on the local plan?  SEA may also be required		Yes -Amend table 8.1 to be consistent with table 9.1  Yes- in exceptional cases.	Add to table 8.1 re consultation on local plan – “by email where possible”.  Include in table 9.1 (in section regarding Adoption) reference also to “SEA (where required)”.
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	Table 9.1	<a href="#">DSCI201532</a>	P13 Formal Consultation on the draft plan  i) Consultation period.  A minimum period of 4 weeks is suggested. Keeping the timescale for the consultation period relatively flexible would be helpful to EDEP especially for more detailed and complex plan documents that require meetings to be set up and may involve an officer to present and discuss the issues. Town and parish councils		Noted. A <u>minimum</u> is of 4 weeks is as drafted.	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>have similar time constraints and may need to hold special meetings to debate the plans.</p> <p>ii) We welcome the proposal to write to those who have specifically requested postal notification. <b>Simply making documents/announcements available on the dorsetforyou website does not ensure they reach those who are interested or are easily accessible. There is no alert system other than for Committee papers. This should be addressed as a matter of urgency.</b> Now that East Dorset News is delivered to all households by the Post Office it is the most reliable form of communication but the frequency of issues restricts what consultations can be announced that way unless properly planned and timetabled.</p> <p>iii) There is no mention of copies of full responses being made available to see on line or as hard copy in the council offices.</p> <p>iv) With proposed changes to accommodation that have just been announced, arrangements should be made for public access to documents for the full working day. Members of the public should not be disadvantaged by council accommodation changes. Consulting documents in Wimborne will be more expensive for residents because of car park charges. <b>We recommend that this is reviewed and EDDC advises how access to all planning policy documents is to be dealt with.</b></p>		<p>Noted. Publishing on the website is just one of a number of methods used but no set of measures guarantees 100% access. We are open to ideas and suggestions to improve things. It is not clear what is being suggested here in terms of an alert system. We will investigate use of social media and other ways of providing additional publicity for consultation documents. We will in future post all planning policy consultations on the "consultation tracker" on dorsetforyou <a href="https://consultationtracker.dorsetforyou.com/">https://consultationtracker.dorsetforyou.com/</a>. (Click on "Have your say" under "on-line services", on the dorsetforyou homepage to search for past, current and planned consultations by service, date, title etc.) We will also investigate other opportunities for improving publicity.</p> <p>Noted – add to table 9.1</p> <p>Once the Furzehill office is closed we will need to rely on the other Council offices, libraries, information centres etc. to deposit documents. If anyone is having difficulty accessing documents it is suggested they contact us at the time and we will endeavour to make suitable arrangements.</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>Add to formal; consultation section of table 9.1: "Make available (if requested) full responses made".</p> <p>No change</p>
Officer update only – not a representation						Reference to sending out adoption statement (regulation 14)	<p>Add reference to table 9.1 (Adoption)</p> <p>To be consistent with table 8.1, add Regulation references to Table 9.1</p>	<p>Add to Table 9.1, Adoption section, "Send a copy of the adoption statement to any person who has asked to be notified of the adoption of the SPD.</p> <p>Add Regulation references to Table 9.1.</p>
928503	Mr Kevin Poulton		10.1	<a href="#">DSCI20156</a>	The table should be amended to recognise the particular consultation requirements associated with the Ferndown and Uddens BID that are set out in section 3 "Development Management" on pages 4 and 5 of the approved Ferndown and Uddens	Reference to the approved "Ferndown and Uddens Business Improvement District Protocol Agreement with Local Planning	Agreed	<p>Add new row to table 10.1 page 15 (below Neighbourhood Consultation Letter) as follows:</p> <p>Method of Consultation</p> <p>"Consult Ferndown and Uddens Business</p>

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					Business Improvement District Protocol Agreement with Local Planning Authorities. This specifies, amongst other matters, the consultation requirements for developments in and nearby or that are likely to affect the area covered by the Ferndown and Uddens BID.	Authorities".		Improvement District (BID)  Location: "Certain applications as specified in the Ferndown and Uddens BID Protocol Agreement with the Local Planning Authorities".  Period of Notification: add timeframes as per Neighbourhood Notification letter
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201546</a>	<p>This paragraph deals with planning applications, methods of consultation and periods of notification.</p> <p>At the informal hearing of the 2006 Statement of Community Involvement I strongly objected to the statutory notice period of 21 days. I maintain this period is insufficient to enable local residents to submit their considered comments to their Council's planning officers. Although I was accused of failing to provide evidence to support my proposal for a consultation notice period of 28 days. I hereby provide realistic reasons for the change</p> <p>1) Without adequate publicity residents may not be aware of the site notice for several days thereby effectively reducing the consultation period to approx. 14 days</p> <p>2) Having seen the site notice residents then have to read the full planning application details either at the Council's main office at Wimborne or at the parish council office.</p> <p>3) Local residents may well wish to attend the parish council planning committee meeting considering individual planning applications before submitting their comments to the district council. Our parish council meetings are held on a 3 weekly basis so clearly even a period of 28 days consultation from the day the site appears is the absolute minimum to allow for receiving local residents comments.</p> <p>4) Residents may well be away from home for a period of one week and maybe two weeks in the summer months, thereby effectively reducing the active consultation period even</p>		The statutory requirement for a consultation period on a planning application is 21. There may be instances where members of the public or Parish Councils would like an extended consultation period. In these instances a request should be made to the Case Officer for the particular application to extend the consultation period. The Council will try to accommodate, where possible, such requests.	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>further.</p> <p>I strongly maintain an extra 7 days consultation period would not significantly slow down the development control process particularly bearing in mind it takes approx. 14 days from receipt of a planning application to the site notice appearing. Appeals to the Planning Inspectorate generally take 6 months to reach a decision. Ironically applications to make a tree preservation order are shown in this document to have a period of 28 days notice. There is absolutely no justification for actually reducing the period of consultation in the case of amendments to planning applications from 21 days to 14 days or even less. Indeed anyone submitting comments to the original planning application should be directly notified of any amendments and given the opportunity to comment on their effects. I hereby formally request that a minimum consultation period of 28 days is given for all planning applications and indeed for any significant amendments.</p>			
784118	Mr Justin Milward	Woodland Trust	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI20152</a>	<p>1. Paragraph 10 – Planning Applications/Table 10.1</p> <p>In order to improve consultation on planning applications, the Woodland Trust would like this SCI to commit to consulting the Woodland Trust on any planning applications that destroy, degrade or threaten the irreplaceable habitat of ancient woodland. The <b>National Policy Planning Framework</b> clearly states: “...<i>planning permission should be refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland...</i>” (DCLG, March 2012, para 118). The Woodland Trust therefore needs to be informed of these development cases.</p> <p>Other Local Authority SCIs have incorporated this provision to consult the Woodland Trust on ancient woodland planning application cases, such as Swindon Borough Council</p>		The NPPF is clear in its guidance and as such it is not considered necessary to carry out a ‘blanket’ consultation with regards to applications that impact on ancient woodland. However, should during the determination of an application it become apparent that there is a conflict with the aims of the NPPF (para 118), which the Council are unable to reach a view on, then the Woodland Trust may be consulted. The NPPF is clear in its guidance and as such it is not considered necessary to carry out a ‘blanket’ consultation with regards to applications that impact on ancient woodland.	No change



Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					SCI (March 2013), South Staffordshire District Council SCI (Oct 2013) and Worcestershire County Council (SCI Update 2015). We look forward to Christchurch and East Dorset Councils following this lead.			
475455		Wiltshire Council	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201520</a>	Does this mean that the consultation is the developers and nothing to do with the council?		Yes, this is the developer consulting with the public as part of any public engagement activity prior to submission of an application.	No change
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201533</a>	<p>i) We welcome the formal confirmation that, by arrangement, some representations may be submitted after the normal 21 days particularly with applications for larger developments that are supported by extensive documentation.</p> <p>ii) Tracking progress and comments on applications is a valuable resource but the loss of formatting of written representations makes them very difficult to read and understand especially where there are complex issues. It would be appreciated if this could be addressed.</p> <p>iii) p15 Advertising in the Local Press presents difficulties because of the poor circulation and availability of local papers. Distribution of the Stour and Avon Magazine is patchy with few households now able to get it delivered. It is frequently not available until Friday afternoon and outlets are fairly limited. There is little on-line coverage, most space is devoted to Blackmore Vale issues. <b>This gap in how to reach all sectors of the population should be re-considered.</b> It is essential that anything being submitted to the local press is printed verbatim and not edited. Please see comments above re East Dorset News.</p> <p>iv) p16 <u>Pre-Application discussions</u> While we welcome the intention of this, in most cases our experience with major developments coming forward as a result of Local Plan Part 1 is less than satisfactory. Not all pre-application discussions are productive or indeed result in anything other than collecting a list of questions that remain substantially unanswered. With the possible exception of VTSW7 (St Leonards Hospital site) where</p>		<p>Agreed, see the comment above re: contacting the Case Officer.</p> <p>When the new Development Management software is implemented, you will be able to view letters in their full format as sent.</p> <p>The details of an application published in the local press reflects the statutory requirements of the details that are required to be circulated.</p> <p>The Council encourage developers to undertake meaningful public engagement. However, the methods used by developers for engagement cannot be controlled or stipulated by the Council.</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>



Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>consensus was eventually reached with the conservation bodies before the application was submitted, most developers have shown little interest in doing much more than a public exhibition and recording concerns raised in their documents. It has left residents feeling disillusioned and let down by the system.</p> <p>v) EDEP has received confirmation from the Development Management Manager that hard copies of the application submission for our larger/new neighbourhood sites will be made available to view at the council premises. If space is restricted at the proposed temporary premises the mechanism for this may need to be reviewed.</p> <p>vi) The question remains, however, as to how general members of the public will have access to hard copy</p> <p>vii) We would welcome improvements to the reliability of the Planning Application website. It frequently goes down at weekends.</p>		<p>This will be reviewed as the Council's accommodation project develops.</p> <p>Hard copies of plans for current applications will be available by prior arrangement at the Council offices. Details of how these are accessed will be sent out with consultations on individual applications.</p> <p>This will be addressed.</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>Table 10.1 Add reference to availability of hard copies by prior arrangement.</p> <p>No change</p>
587280	Mr Derek Chaffey	Roeshot Hill Allotment Association	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201536</a>	<p>I write to raise my concerns relating to proposed developments at Roeshot Hill Allotment Site. The A35 road that serves this site and the proposed development and changes involving the town centre.</p> <p>The Roeshot Hill allotments are a great community asset and have been well attended for some considerable number of years resulting in high quality levels of fertility and productivity. Other development sites are available and as this is also green belt land it should be protected and not be developed. The allotments provide a valuable social function as well as providing food, horticultural education for all who want to learn and also cater for disabled people as there is a disabled section with raised beds.</p> <p>Roeshot Hill allotments have also gained the coveted green flag award for the fourth year running which is in itself testimony to the hard work and commitment by all concerned. The</p>	<p>I have found access to your website allocated to this consultation impossible and will therefore have to deliver my letter by hand.</p>	<p>The SCI sets out how we engage when preparing future planning policies and when considering planning applications. Comments on the merits or otherwise of specific sites is not a matter for the SCI. Your response has been passed to the lead officer for the Roeshot Hill proposals for information; however comments on the details of these proposals will need to be submitted as part of the formal consultation process on the planning application.</p> <p>Sorry you have found this impossible. We appreciate your efforts to submit a response. We have tried to make it accessible and easy to use. If ever you have problems with any planning policy</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>road networks and in particular the A35 are already very congested and would not be able to cope with the increase in traffic volume which would include large heavy gravel lorries and other works traffic especially during the summer tourist season. Christchurch would become a place to be avoided rather than a place where time is pleasant.</p> <p>The A35 at Roeshot hill currently has three lanes in places. Concerns have been raised about safety. A dual carriageway where possible would be the best solution but should this not be possible at the present time then a solid white line to replace the dotted white line on sections of the downhill side of the road would doubtless make this road safer. A double white line all the way would be better. Where the three lanes currently converge into two lanes at the top of the hill a safety barrier could be constructed which would not only provide a physical separation of vehicles but would also give an additional visual warning. Reduced speed limits 50 or 40 could also be considered which are currently in place on the Wessex Way.</p> <p>Christchurch has an attractive high street and historic bridges. I would ask that the high street be pedestrianised similar to Boscombe and Bournemouth but with traditional crossing points where traffic meets pedestrians. A one way system diverting heavy traffic such as buses lorries and certainly prohibiting any gravel lorries away from the bridges and only allowing them onto the high street at designated loading times from the Fountain roundabout. Buses could have a hub at the Fountain Roundabout similar to that at Boscombe behind the Sovereign Centre.</p> <p>I hope my comments are useful.</p>		consultation please do contact the planning policy team on 01202 795175 or email <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk">planningpolicy@christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk</a> and we will endeavour to find a solution to suit you.	
957411	Mr Brian Young		Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201537</a>	<p>I am concerned about the proposed developments at Roeshot Hill Allotment Site, the A35 Road that serves this site and proposed development and changes involving the Town Centre. The Roeshot Hill allotments are a great community</p>		The SCI sets out how we engage when preparing future planning policies and when considering planning applications. Comments on the merits or otherwise of specific sites is not a matter for the SCI. Your response has been passed to the lead officer for the Roeshot Hill proposals for information; however comments on the details of	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>asset and should be protected and not be developed. They provide a valuable social function in addition to food, horticultural education and raised beds for disabled users. The current road A35 road networks is already very congested and a projected increase in traffic volume due to large, heavy gravel lorries is really worrying because of its impact on our environment; for commercial gain of the mineral company. Why are we hearing so little about that which will have a huge impact on Dorset residents in and beyond Christchurch and its effects on our tourism activity? Christchurch has an attractive high street and historic bridges. I would therefore ask that the high street be pedestrianised similar to Boscombe and Bournemouth but with traditional crossing points where traffic meets pedestrians. I also wonder why we have not given more thought to the congestion at the 'Fountain' roundabout, which cannot cope with heavy traffic, by constructing an underpass and traffic lights to control traffic into the Town and up Fairmile.</p>		these proposals will need to be submitted as part of the formal consultation process on the planning application.	
654303	Mr Stephen Godley		Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201538</a>	<p>I have just returned from chairing a committee meeting of the Roeshot Hill Allotment Association where I was told of a consultation under way for the above.</p> <p>I was also informed that all efforts by some of the Officers to access this document were unsuccessful, including enquiring at Christchurch BC Council Offices and the Library. Having been informed of the existence of the document and consultation I have spent some time trying to navigate the dorsetforyou website to locate the document and consultation process, again without success. Unfortunately this is a situation that has been repeated in the past when trying to find information as access to specific items on the website does not seem to be intuitive.</p> <p>In the end I was reduced to 'Googling' "Consultation Christchurch Statement of Community Involvement" before I achieved success. It would appear that the consultation process is not working for individual residents of the</p>		<p>We endeavour to ensure documents are available as advertised. Having checked the situation in this case as soon as you advised us of the problem, I can confirm that documents had indeed been deposited at these locations and the venues confirmed that the documents were indeed available (although you may have to ask at their counters as reference copies disappear if left out on display). If we are made aware of such problems at the time we can provide additional copies. Libraries and office receptions are advised to contact us if they need additional copies. A telephone number and email address are available on all the publicity and documentation so if anyone is experiencing difficulty accessing a document we can make arrangements to get a document to them.</p> <p>We are aware of the difficulties that can be experienced navigating the dorsetforyou website and are working to try and improve our web pages to make access easier. We are also working with our colleagues in the libraries to see if we can improve accessibility of documents.</p>	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>Councils and scanning the document I noted that there is a list of bodies that are consulted - who presumably get informed directly. However, it would seem that the provision of information to local organisations and the electors is woefully lacking. In my view the consultation process is dependent on easily accessible publication of the information and the advertising of its existence. Neither of these criteria seem to have been achieved on this occasion.</p> <p>At the very least, there should be a well-advertised and accessible 'noticeboard' of current consultations which Council staff, and Librarians etc., can refer to in real time - and this might even be available directly to the electorate.</p> <p>Indeed an informal noticeboard in the local press (I am not thinking of statutory notice-type publication) would work for many regular readers of the paper such as myself.</p>		<p>We will in future post all planning policy consultations on the "consultation tracker" on dorsetforyou.  <a href="https://consultationtracker.dorsetforyou.com/">https://consultationtracker.dorsetforyou.com/</a>  (or click on "Have your say" under "on-line services", on the dorsetforyou homepage) which enables you to search for past, current and planned consultations by service, date, title etc. We will also investigate other opportunities for improving publicity.</p>	No change
700283	Mrs Joan Clark	Planning and Development Surveyor Forestry Commission - South England Forest District	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201540</a>	<p>I have looked at the relevant link on your website concerning the above. The Forestry Commission through Forest Enterprise England is responsible for the public forest estate in England. It would be extremely helpful if we could be added to the list of Development Management Statutory Consultees as the public forest estate is becoming increasingly important not only as an economic resource, but also for the public for recreation and for wildlife. The local Forest Enterprise contact point for consultation within the Christchurch and East Dorset District Council area is the Land Agent South at the address below. We welcome positive engagement with the Council over planning matters relevant to the public forest estate as we have many neighbours and it is not possible to monitor planning applications on all</p>		<p>The Council cannot alter the list of statutory consultees. If the Forestry Commission is land owner they will be consulted as part of the application process.</p>	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>the neighbouring properties.</p> <p>If the matter concerns a regulatory issue then the Forest Services section of the Forestry Commission should be consulted separately as they are responsible for licensing and grants.</p>		This is not relevant to the planning.	
714782	Mr Malcolm Mawbey		Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201541</a>	<p>I would like to see the "Weekly Progress Report on Current Planning Applications (Sorted by Ward)" published on <a href="http://www.dorsetforyou.com">www.dorsetforyou.com</a>. Is it still available in the libraries?</p> <p><b>Table 10.1</b> refers to a weekly list of new applications; could the reference to this list be made clearer; <a href="http://www.dorsetforyou.com">www.dorsetforyou.com</a> is not sufficiently specific to enable the register to be found readily.</p> <p><b>Table 10.1</b> does not state that the application details are available on <a href="http://dorsetforyou.com">dorsetforyou</a> nor that the public may ask to see the application file at the Civic Offices and thereby see any comments on the applications.</p>		<p>We will review this request to re-order the weekly list. The lists are sent to Christchurch libraries.</p> <p>We are looking at ways of making our <a href="http://dorsetforyou.com">dorsetforyou</a> pages more accessible. We do not include in the SCI, precise links to <a href="http://dorsetforyou.com">dorsetforyou</a> as they can change so may become outdated and inaccurate within the time frame of the SCI.</p> <p>Add reference to table 10.1. Hard copies of plans for current applications will be available by prior arrangement at the Council offices. Details of how these are accessed will be sent out with consultations on individual applications.</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>Add to table 10.1 (under All Planning Applications, Location) “; and can be viewed on line at <a href="http://dorsetforyou.com">dorsetforyou</a>.” Add that hard copies of plans available at the Council offices by prior arrangement.</p>
612430	Mr Nick Squirrell	Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201555</a>	<p>Planning applications information online:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The information available online is not consistent between the two authorities, the East Dorset DC layout and details provided is much more clear and helpful, in particular showing the documents submitted by consultees. However the same page is also cluttered with the many Neighbour Notification Letters which make using the site less effective. These standard letters could usefully be placed on a separate tab along with consultation letters to statutory consultees if this is in fact necessary.</li> <li>The authorities are to be congratulated on their use of the Dorset Biodiversity Protocol and</li> </ul>		This will be addressed through the adoption of a common ICT programme for planning applications to be implemented shortly.	No change



Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					Biodiversity Compensation Framework which provides an excellent mechanism for securing the avoidance of biodiversity losses as well as biodiversity gains in highly effective manner. However this helpful approach is not currently documented on the authorities' website to sign post applicants to the requirements. There must be other similar mechanisms which would benefit from effective information including a date of adoption being provided on the website.		This will be addressed through the adoption of an updated Validation Checklist for both Councils	No change
359437	Ms Gill Smith	Senior Planning Officer Dorset County Council	Table 10.1	<a href="#">DSCI201559</a>	Thank you for consulting Dorset County Council on your draft revised SCI.  Our only comment is that we suggest that table 10.1 should make reference to specific consultation with the Minerals and/or Waste Planning Authority when planning applications are located within Minerals or Waste Safeguarding Areas.		Agreed	Add to table 10.1 a new separate row below "Significant Major Applications", entitled "Applications within Minerals and Waste Safeguarding Areas" and then under method of consultation add:  The Minerals and/or Waste Planning Authority will be consulted on applications on land within Minerals or Waste Safeguarding Areas (This is additional to measures appropriate to all applications)  Add period of notification (as per neighbourhood consultations).
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		10.3	<a href="#">DSCI201547</a>	Whilst both Council's consider pre application meetings and discussions to be vitally important for both applicant and the Council there is no mention of similar pre- application discussions with local residents. Surely this would be the best way forward to overcome any points of objection prior to the submission of the planning application.		Pre-app discussions, is mentioned for significant major applications in Table 10.1 page 16. Re-iterate this is para 10.3	Add new para after para 10.3 (and consequentially amend subsequent paragraph numbers) :  "For significant major applications, applicants are encouraged to explain proposals to the local community with a view to building consensus before formal submission of a planning application".
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		10.4	<a href="#">DSCI201548</a>	This paragraph correctly acknowledges the role of Parish/Town Councils in development management. In particular they best reflect local knowledge and local concerns about proposed developments which may not be already known to the District Council. I strongly believe the links between our district council's professional planning officers and our parish councillors should be improved and expanded as outlined in my other comments on this consultation document.		This is an action to be progressed through stakeholder engagement sessions.	No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		10.6	<a href="#">DSCI201549</a>	<p>This paragraph on planning appeals points out the fundamental unfair planning law principle that 'there are no third party rights of appeal. Consequently if a controversial planning application is approved either by our district council under delegated powers or by their planning committee or is subsequently allowed by a single planning inspector from outside the local authority area. Local residents have absolutely no realistic means of overturning an unfortunate decision.</p> <p>Despite protestations from developers and politicians urging the relaxation of planning rules the facts show that recent approvals of totally inappropriate planning applications are leading to the destruction of up to now pleasant residential areas. This is not the most efficient use of land and is in no-ones best long term interest. Although I am informed there is no statutory requirement for the district council to publicise planning appeals by erecting site notices, I consider this would improve consultation and encourage local residents to submit their comments to the planning inspectorate. Any unjust appeals which are allowed in spite of strong opposition from their local residents and their elected council representatives should be further investigated through our M.P.'s taking the matter to the Parliamentary and Health Service Ombudsman independent body who, the planning inspectorate inform me 'can investigate complaints about Government agencies such as the planning inspectorate.</p>		Noted. These matters are about the planning system itself and are beyond the scope of this SCI.	No change
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		Appendix 1	<a href="#">DSCI201550</a>	<p>This section outlines potential methods of community consultation and involvement all of which have advantages and disadvantages. The main disadvantage from the council's point of view appears to be either resource, intensive or expensive. Whilst local residents have a direct interest in our councils efficiently utilising resources and saving unnecessary expense, it remains vitally important to seek the considered views of local residents on planning applications, which may be totally unacceptable and, indeed have</p>		Noted	No change



Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					a wider bearing on the community as a whole. I believe the attendance of our district planning officers at our parish meetings which has proved valuable on previous occasions, is the most effective and cost efficient way of ensuring that sound local decisions on future development can indeed be reached by close cooperation between local residents and our district council's planning officers.			
928503	Mr Kevin Poulton		Table A1.1	<a href="#">DSCI20157</a>	This table should be amended to include the additional method of "participate in Committee or Member Meetings" as specified in section 3 viii) of the approved "Ferndown and Uddens Business Improvement District Protocol Agreement with Local Planning Authorities".	As above.	Agreed – add reference to Appendix 1	Add to Appendix 1 Table A1.1:  13. Attendance/participation at appropriate East Dorset District Council or Christchurch Borough Council Committee meetings in accordance with that Council's own constitution (in each case Part 4, Procedural Rule 10). <a href="https://www.dorsetforyou.com/constitution">https://www.dorsetforyou.com/constitution</a>  Add advantages and disadvantages.
523421		Campaign for the Protection of Rural England	Table A1.1	<a href="#">DSCI201539</a>	General Comments.  Communities should be given the opportunity to respond to government consultations, even if they appear to be of interest to a minority only. As an example, Surface Development Restrictions for Hydraulic Fracturing. This is a recent Government Consultation that few of us knew about. It should have been sent to those on the Development Management Statutory Consultee list.  Para 5.7. We welcome the recognition of both the LEP and LNP and the inter-relationship of the economy and natural environment.  Comments on the Potential Methods of Community Consultation.  1. Documents available at EDDC main office in Furzehill. There are serious concerns that planning application documents may not always be available in East Dorset District once EDDC moves the bulk of its staff to Christchurch. Yes we are aware that they are available on line, but trying to work through a major planning application on line is not easy. On line is fine for smaller developments and fine for checking any missed details		We do not have the resources, nor is it our remit, to notify people and organisations about consultations from other bodies, including Government consultations. With regard to government consultations, you will need to take this up with the relevant government department. Their consultations are published on the gov.uk website at <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/publications?publication_filter_option=consultations">https://www.gov.uk/government/publications?publication_filter_option=consultations</a> .  Noted  Hard copies of plans for current applications will be available by prior arrangement at the Council offices. Details of how these are accessed will be sent out with consultations on individual applications. When the Furzehill offices close there will still be a council presence in East Dorset and a facility to inspect plans by prior arrangement.	No change  No change  No change

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>on a major application, but to start from scratch on line is difficult. Documents must be available locally. It is most unfair to subject those in the north of East Dorset, say Pentridge, to travel to Christchurch to look at documents.</p> <p>Future Consultations: It may be necessary to provide hard copies of some consultation documents at Local Council Offices and at larger libraries when the Borough and District Councils amalgamate in Christchurch.</p> <p>3. Dorsetfor you website is available providing you are aware of a forthcoming consultation BUT if you are not aware then you are not going to search for it. The website is only any good as a back-up. Perhaps review how email alerts are sent out.</p> <p>4. Local media publications – vital. The Stour and Avon/Blackmore Vale magazines reach so many people. The East Dorset news and the DCC newspaper, although posted to every household, are not so useful as they might be as they are not published so frequently.</p> <p>5. Social Media: not everyone on line bothers with these sites.</p> <p>7. For really important consultations/planning developments these are very useful, but to gain the maximum benefit, response forms and envelopes should be provided for feedback so the feedback is independent of the developers. If the event is held by the developer rather than the planning officers, then feedback via the planners so they can be made aware of residents' initial reactions would be most useful. In pre planning meeting the feedback could be discussed and if relevant the developer could take it on board.</p> <p>8. Vital and so important to keep interested groups in the loop.</p> <p>We have no further comments to make but would ask again that we are on the list for the Development</p>		<p>We will continue to provide hard copy reference documents of our policy consultations at our main Council offices.</p> <p>Noted. Dorsetfor you is just one measure. Table 8.1 outlines the range of consultation measures we will use. Other than notifying people on our data base, it is difficult to envisage what else we can practically do.</p> <p>Noted. Thank you, we will bear these publications in mind where programming our consultations.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>It is for developers to manage their community engagement activities. The Council, as part of the Development Management process, do not hold public exhibitions.</p> <p>Noted</p> <p>The list of statutory consultees is prescribed by</p>	<p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p> <p>No change</p>

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					Management Statutory Consultees.		<p>planning law, and is set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance at <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/</a></p> <p>The Council cannot alter the statutory list. We have however amended Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the list as set out in the Guidance.</p>	
475455	Sarah Hughes	Wiltshire Council	Table A1.1	<a href="#">DSCI201562</a>	It may be useful to have an additional column to consider the costs of methods.		In practice there are too many variables to be able to give a reliable cost in the SCI. Furthermore the SCI looks ahead to the future and costs may change.	No change
784118	Mr Justin Milward	Woodland Trust	Appendix 2	<a href="#">DSCI20153</a>	<p>Appendix 2 Development Management Statutory Consultees</p> <p>We note, however, that Appendix 2 - Development Management Statutory Consultees includes various voluntary/NGO bodies such as Dorset Wildlife Trust and Garden History Society. We would like the Woodland Trust to be added to the list.</p>		<p>The list of statutory consultees is prescribed by planning law, and is set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance at <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/</a>. The Council cannot alter the statutory list. However, we have corrected the list in Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the list as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance.</p>	Amend Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the statutory consultees as set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance.
360650	Mr Michael Moysey	Head of Estates Dorset Police	Appendix 2	<a href="#">DSCI201515</a>	Whilst Dorset Police Architectural Liaison Officer is included in the schedule of consultees here it may be appropriate to also include the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner for Dorset as well.		<p>The list of statutory consultees is prescribed by planning law, and is set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance at <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/</a>. The Council cannot alter the statutory list. However, we have corrected the list in Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the list as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance.</p>	Amend Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the statutory consultees as set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance.
475455		Wiltshire Council	Appendix 2	<a href="#">DSCI201521</a>	<p>Not all those listed are statutory consultees. Please see <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/</a></p>		We have reviewed the list and amended it to accurately reflect the statutory list as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance.	Amend Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the statutory consultees as set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance.

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					<p>for the complete list. I would recommend that you split this out into 2 lists, those that are statutory and others that you have chosen to consult.</p> <p>Herpetological Conservation Trust has changed its name to the Amphibian and Reptile Conservation Trust</p> <p>A glossary of terms would also be helpful.</p>		Agreed	Add a Glossary to SCI.
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson East Dorset Environment Partnership	Appendix 2	<a href="#">DSCI201534</a>	<p>(p21) lists the Development Management Statutory Consultees.</p> <p>i) The majority of EDEP members represent Statutory Consultee organisations and the detailed responses submitted to consultations are thoroughly investigated and evidence based, providing EDDC with a unique advisory service. EDEP therefore wishes to be included in this list and recognised as a Statutory Consultee responding to consultations on</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• planning policy and associated matters;</li> <li>• the following types of planning applications where there are identified environmental constraints either on site or in the surrounding area:</li> </ul> <p>i) major developments of 10 or more dwellings residential development of over half a hectare where the number of units is not known;</p> <p>ii) new floorspace of any type of 1000 sq metres or more, or development in excess of a hectare;</p> <p>iii) proposals that are a departure from the development plan;</p> <p>(iv) applications accompanied by an environmental statement.</p> <p>ii) We recommend that your records are updated and rather than showing the Herpetological Society as consultees you refer to them as Amphibian and Reptile Conservation</p>		<p>With regard to policy consultations, the EDEP is not a statutory consultee in terms of those specified in the <a href="#">Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012</a> (as amended by <a href="#">The National Treatment Agency (Abolition) and the Health and Social Care Act 2012 (Consequential, Transitional and Saving Provisions) Order 2013</a>). The Regulations (part 1 para 2) do require the LPA to consult "general consultation bodies" which the LPA consider are appropriate. We recognise the EDEP as a general consultation body, and hold the EDEP on our data base to be notified of all relevant planning policy consultations.</p> <p>With regard to the list of statutory consultees for consulting on planning applications: this is prescribed by planning law, and is set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance at <a href="http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/">http://planningguidance.communities.gov.uk/blog/guidance/consultation-and-pre-decision-matters/table-2-statutory-consultees-on-applications-for-planning-permission-and-heritage-applications/</a>. The Council cannot alter the statutory list. However, we have corrected the list in Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the list as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance.</p>	Amend Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the statutory consultees as set out in the National Planning Policy Guidance.

Consultee ID	Consultee Name	Consultee Organisation Details	Consultation Point	Comment ID	Comments on this paragraph or table	Have we missed anything?	Officer comments	Changes made to SCI 2015 Consultation Draft
					(see <a href="http://www.arc-trust.org/about-us/history">http://www.arc-trust.org/about-us/history</a> )			
714782	Mr Malcolm Mawbey		Appendix 2	<a href="#">DSCI201542</a>	Whilst CBC and EDDC are in partnership are they not still separate LPAs? If they are shouldn't they be listed as separate consultees ("CBC (for EDDC applications) and EDDC (for CBC applications)")?		Yes	Include East Dorset District Council and Christchurch Borough Council in Appendix 2.
361028	Ms Helen Patton	Policy Officer New Forest National Park Authority	Appendix 2	<a href="#">DSCI201552</a>	New Forest District Council has been listed in error twice in the appendix. One of the listings should be amended to refer to the New Forest National Park Authority in the final revised version of the document.		New Forest District Council are only listed once, but agreed we need to add the New Forest National Park Authority.  We have also amended the list in Appendix 2 to accurately reflect the list as set out in the National Planning Practice Guidance.	Add New Forest National Park Authority to Appendix 2