

dorsetplanningpolicy Upgrade + Create Survey My Surveys Survey Services Plans & Pricing Home NorthDorset Local Plan Pre-submi... Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results RESPONDENTS: 33 of 33 **CURRENT VIEW** Export All a + FILTER + COMPARE + SHOW Individual Responses Question Summaries O Data Trends No rules applied Respondent #18 All Pages Rules allow you to FILTER, COMPARE and SHOW COMPLETE #18 Edit Delete Export Collector: North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 (Web Link) SAVED VIEWS (1) 0 Started: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:06:08 PM Last Modified: Thursday, January 23, 2014 4:27:50 PM Original View (No rules applied) IP Address + 5ave as. PAGE 1 **EXPORTS** 0 Q1: Personal Details SHARED DATA Debra Ward No shared data Address 1: Sharing allows you to share your survey results with others. You can share all data, a saved view, or a single question summary. Learn more » City/Town: State/Province: ZIP/Postal Code: Country: Email Address: Phone Number: Q2: Agent Details (if applicable) - All correspondence will be sent to the agent. Respondent skipped this question PAGE 2 Q3: 1. Please select the document you are commenting on: North Dorset Local Plan 2011 to 2026 Part 1 (please complete Questions Q4: 2. To which part of the above document does your representation relate? Policy/site Policy chapter 17 a Gillingham (pages 196-208) Q5: 3. Do you consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant and prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements? Q6: 4. Do you consider the Local Plan to be 'sound'? Q7: 5. If you consider the Local Plan to be unsound please specify your reason(s) by ticking the box (es) that apply below It has not been positively prepared PAGE 3 Q8: 6. Please give specific details of why you consider the Local Plan has not been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate, legal or procedural requirement or why you consider the plan to be unsound. Alternatively, if you wish to support any aspects of the plan please also use this box to

set out your comments.

The proposed development of 50 houses on the land at Bay has not taken into consideration the traffic implications this proposal would have on current residents as it would appear the only access on to the land would be in between the existing properties numbers 28 and 31. All other land around Bay is backed onto by other houses and gardens, unless the council plans to purchase land from a homeowner in order to access the land from Bay Road, thereby making a separate development with the proposed new houses.

To access the land between property numbers 28 and 31 would cause traffic congestion in view of parked cars on To access the land between property numbers 28 and 31 would cause traffic congestion in view of parked cars on the existing road and make it unsafe for people accessing their driveways. It would also cause congestion at the junction of Barnaby Mead and the High Street with at least 50 and possibly up to 100 additional vehicles leaving and entering at this point, particularly during the busy morning and afternoon periods. This does not take into account the additional number of delivery vans and lories, tradesmen, maintenance and visitors vehicles, nor the disruption of the large heavy duty construction and delivery vehicles which would be required for several months during a possible development. The current condition of the road along Barnaby Mead is already in need of maintenance. With an increase in the. Number of vehicles being parked on the street and having to navigate around them, this could also cause problems with Emergency vehicles accessing properties if required.

With up to 50 more possible houses at Bay along with possibly 1000 more homes on the southern edge of the town, can the infrastructure cope with this number with regard to schools and retail.

Q9: 7. What change(s) do you consider are necessary to ensure that the Local Plan is legally compliant and sound? It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Respondent skipped this question

Q10: 8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the oral part of the examination?

No. I do not wish to participate in the oral examination

Q11: 9. If you wish to participate in the oral part of the examination please outline why you consider that to be necessary. Please note that the Inspector determines who is heard at the examination.

Respondent skipped this question

Q12: 10. Please outline your comments on the Final Sustainability Appraisal Report or Habitats Regulations Assessment. Comments are not confined to 'soundness' issues, but respondents can express their opinions on the above documents and use it as a reference point on the 'soundness' of

Improving retail and community areas in the town and considering the impact upon the schools is necessary before any large increase in additional housing should be approved.

Q13: 11. Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

That the Local Plan Part 1 has been submitted for independent examination

The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan Part 1

The adoption of the Local Plan Part 1.

Community: Developers • Facebook • Twitter • LinkedIn • Our Blog • Google+ • YouTube

About Us: Management Team . Board of Directors . Partners . Newsroom . Contact Us . Jobs . Sitemap . Help

Policies: Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Anti-Spam Policy • Security Statement



Language: English · Español · Português · Deutsch · Nederlands · Français · Русский · Italiano · Dansk · Svenska · 日本語 · 丝宝이 ·

中文(紫體) • Türkçe • Norsk • Suomi

Copyright © 1999-2014 SurveyMonkey