

dorsetplanningpolicy Upgrade + Create Survey Plans & Pricing My Surveys Survey Services Home NorthDorset Local Plan Pre-submi... Design Survey Collect Responses Analyze Results **CURRENT VIEW** RESPONDENTS: 33 of 33 0 Export All Share All + FILTER + COMPARE + SHOW Question Summaries Individual Responses O Data Trends No rules applied Respondent #14 All Pages Rules allow you to FILTER, COMPARE and SHOW #14 COMPLETE Export Collector: North Dorset Local Plan Part 1 (Web Link) SAVED VIEWS (1) 0 Started: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 9:23:34 AM Last Modified: Wednesday, January 22, 2014 10:12:52 AM Time Spent: 00:49:18 Original View (No rules applied) Time Spent + Save 35 **EXPORTS** PAGE 1 0 Q1: Personal Details SHARED DATA 0 No shared data Name: Michael Burt Okeford Fitzpaine Village Hall Organisation: Sharing allows you to share your survey results with others. You can share all data, a saved view, or a single question summary. Learn more is Address 1: Share All Address 2: City/Town: State/Province: ZIP/Postal Code: Country: Email Address: **Phone Number:** Q2: Agent Details (if applicable) - All correspondence will be sent to the agent. Respondent skipped this question PAGE 2 Q3: 1. Please select the document you are commenting on: North Dorset Local Plan 2011 to 2026 Part 1 (please complete Questions Q4: 2. To which part of the above document does your representation relate? Respondent skipped this question Q5: 3. Do you consider the Local Plan to be legally compliant and prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements? Q6: 4. Do you consider the Local Plan to be 'sound'? Q7: 5. If you consider the Local Plan to be unsound please specify your reason(s) by ticking the box (es) that apply below It has not been positively prepared It is not effective It is not consistent with national policy

PAGE 3

Q8: 6. Please give specific details of why you consider the Local Plan has not been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate, legal or procedural requirement or why you consider the plan to be unsound. Alternatively, if you wish to support any aspects of the plan please also use this box to set out your comments.

Considering the rural nature of the district & the lack of broadband facilities on line consultation is both difficult and inadequate . The provision of a small number of roadshows predominately in working hours excluded many working people from the consultation process.

The current plan totally ignores many of the issues that face communities (many of these are outlined in the Mathew Taylor report) the policies outlined in the plan are in direct conflict with the proposals developed in the Regional Spacial Strategy, which proposed almost twice as many houses and also recommended developments in villages in order to satisfy both need and sustainability. The district plan concentrates all strategic development in the towns again something which was not recommended.

The fact that communities are encouraged to carry out Neighborhood Plans is negated by two things the plan

The fact that communities are encouraged to carry out Neighborhood Plans.is negated by two things the plan removes all settlement boundaries from the villages thus making any development fall under countryside policies, and the fact that it has to be in conformity with the Plan. Policy 20 as it stands (despite representations to change it) would almost certainly defeat any moves in a neighborhood plan to increase housing in a village by a substantial amount in order to attract families to sustain the school and other facilities.

Q9: 7. What change(s) do you consider are necessary to ensure that the Local Plan is legally compliant and sound? It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

The present consultation is inadequate and should be extended in view of the fact that it has been carried out over the Christmas/New year period when many other items also require attention and many have been affected by floods

As far as the villages are concerned the whole of para.20 needs to be revisited whilst some villages at this time are not interested in development there needs to be more flexibility to accommodate changes in the future

Q10: 8. If your representation is seeking a change, do you consider it necessary to participate in the oral part of the examination?

Yes, I would like to participate in the oral examination

Q11: 9. If you wish to participate in the oral part of the examination please outline why you consider that to be necessary. Please note that the Inspector determines who is heard at the examination.

The District Council will make a robust defense of it's policy it has a Bias against the villages and an unfair bias toward the Towns this has been demonstrated by the fact that all developer contributions in the last decade raised in the villages have been directed toward the towns, to the detriment of developing village facilities

Q12: 10. Please outline your comments on the Final Sustainability Appraisal Report or Habitats Regulations Assessment. Comments are not confined to 'soundness' issues, but respondents can express their opinions on the above documents and use it as a reference point on the 'soundness' of the Local Plan.

Respondent skipped this question

Q13: 11. Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

That the Local Plan Part 1 has been submitted for independent examination

The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan Part 1

The adoption of the Local Plan Part 1.

Community: Developers • Facebook • Twitter • LinkedIn • Our Blog • Google+ • YouTube

About Us: Management Team • Board of Directors • Partners • Newsroom • Contact Us • Jobs • Sitemap • Help

Policies: Terms of Use • Privacy Policy • Anti-Spam Policy • Security Statement



Language: English ・ Español ・ Português ・ Deutsch ・ Nederlands ・ Français ・ Русский ・ Italiano ・ Dansk ・ Svenska ・ 日本語 ・ 登국の ・ 中文(薬館) ・ Türkçe ・ Norsk ・ Suomi

Copyright © 1999-2014 SurveyMonkey