

NORTH DORSET
DISTRICT COUNCIL

- 1 SEP 2014

POST ROOM

Part B – Representation

The Focused Changes to the North Dorset Local Plan 2011 to 2026 Part 1 and its supporting documents have been published in order for representations to be made prior to submission to the Secretary of State for examination. The purpose of the examination is to consider whether the Local Plan as amended by focused changes, complies with the **legal requirements** and is **'sound'**.

If you are seeking to make a representation on the **way** in which the focused changes have been prepared it is likely that your comments or objections will relate to a matter of **legal compliance**.

If you are seeking to make representations on the **content** of the focused changes it is likely that your comments or objections relate to the **soundness** of the plan and whether it is justified, effective or consistent with national policy.

Further information on the matter of legal compliance and the issue of soundness can be found in the 'Guidance Notes for Making Representations'.

If you need help completing the response form please see a member of the Planning Policy Team at the consultation exhibition in Blandford Forum on 14 August 2014 or call 01258 484201.

1. Please select which document you are commenting on:

- North Dorset Local Plan 2011 to 2026 Part 1: Pre- Submission Focused Changes (please complete Questions 2 to 9)
- Supplement to the Sustainability Appraisal Report (please complete Questions 2 and 10)

2. Please state to which pre-submission focused change you are commenting on:

Change Reference: <i>POLICY 16 BLANDFORD</i>	Section reference: <i>8.24</i>
---	-----------------------------------

3. Do you consider the Local Plan as amended by focused changes, to be legally compliant and prepared in accordance with the Duty to Cooperate, legal and procedural requirements?

- Yes No

4. Do you consider the Local Plan as amended by focused changes, to be 'sound'?

- Yes No

5. If you consider the Local Plan as amended by focused changes, to be unsound please specify your reason(s) by ticking the box(es) that apply below

- It has not been positively prepared
- It is not justified
- It is not effective
- It is not consistent with national policy

For office use only

Batch number: _____ Received: _____
Representor ID #: _____ Ack: _____
Representation #: _____



North Dorset Local Plan – 2011 to 2026 Part 1

Pre-submission Focused Changes Consultation

1 August to 12 September 2014

Regulation 19 of Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012

Response Form

For each representation you wish to make a separate response form will need to be completed.

This is a formal consultation on the legal compliance and soundness of the Local Plan as amended by focused changes, before it is submitted to the Secretary of State for examination by an Inspector. For advice on how to respond to the consultation and fill in this form please see the 'Guidance Notes for Making Representations' that can be found on the Council's website at www.dorsetforyou.com/focusedchangesconsultation/north

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy, North Dorset District Council, Nordon, Salisbury Road, Blandford Forum, Dorset DT11 7LL

Alternatively you can submit your comments online at:

www.surveymonkey.com/s/NorthDorsetLocalPlanFocusedChangesConsultation

Deadline: 11:59 p m on 12 September 2014. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted**. Representations cannot be treated in confidence as Regulation 22 of the Town and County Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012 requires copies of all representations to be made publically available. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose, but signatures, private telephone numbers and e-mail addresses or private addresses will not be visible on our web site, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be sent to the Inspector and available for inspection.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes to the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details (if applicable)*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	MR
First Name	TREVOR
Last Name	TAYLOR
Job Title (where relevant)	CEO & F&A&S
Organisation (where relevant)	
Address	
Postcode	
Tel. No.	
Email Address	

6. Please give specific details of why you consider the Local Plan as amended by focused changes, has not been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate, legal or procedural requirement or why you consider the plan to be unsound. Alternatively, if you wish to support any aspects of the plan please also use this box to set out your comments.

PLEASE SEE ATTACHED SHEETS..

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

7. What change(s) do you consider are necessary to ensure that the Local Plan is legally compliant and sound? It would be helpful if you are able to put forward your suggested revised wording of any policy or text. Please be as precise as possible.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

8. If your representation is seeking a change to the Local Plan as amended by the focused changes, do you consider it necessary to participate in the oral part of the examination?

No, I do not wish to participate in the oral examination

Yes, I would like to participate in the oral examination

9. If you wish to participate in the oral part of the examination please outline why you consider that to be necessary. Please note that the Inspector determines who is heard at the examination.

10. Please outline your comments on the Supplement to the Sustainability Appraisal or Addendum to the Habitats Regulations Assessment. Comments are not confined to 'soundness' issues, but respondents can express their opinions on the above documents and use it as a reference point on the 'soundness' of the Local Plan.

11. Do you wish to be notified of any of the following? Please tick all that apply. We will contact you using the details you have given above.

- That the Local Plan Part 1, as amended by the Focused Changes, has been submitted for independent examination
- The publication of the recommendations of any person appointed to carry out an independent examination of the Local Plan Part 1
- The adoption of the Local Plan Part 1.

Signature: _____
If submitting _____ is required.

Date: 31st AUG 2014

Submit Form

This button should attach your form to a pre-addressed email, if it does not, please save the form and send it to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

The focused changes have concentrated on only one possible alternative to the Crown Meadows. The fact that Bryanston Park Conservation Group BPPG carried out a series of referendums etc. have no relevance in the choice of alternative sites. The referendum was unofficial, biased and undemocratic and of interest only.

It is noticed that the North Dorset Local Plan 2011-26 Part 1 5.11-5.13 The St. Mary's Hill site had a very negative review but is now being considered. Also 5.6 BLAN6 land off Back Lane was not included in possible development sites yet no reasoning for this was given. Surely its negative points must be similar to the St. Mary's site and should be taken into consideration.

Regarding the St. Mary's Hill site the following points are noted :-

1) The focused changes say that they wish to safeguard the Spetisbury and Charlton Marshall bypass. Yet at the first opportunity the original location, which can be seen to be the most obvious position for an interchange has been thrown out for a poor replacement that will not encourage motorists to avoid the A350. See Heritage Assessment St. Mary's Hill, Blandford St. Mary Appendix iv initial sketch layout.

2) Choice of a tunnel must be seen to be poor as the amount of land necessary inside the bypass may not be available. Also a tunnel has the possibility of becoming a location for vandalism and unsocial behaviour.

3) A bridge if chosen will be an eyesore on the horizon and be unused by the elderly and mothers with small children.

Conclusion :-

Land outside the bypass off Back Lane should be considered in more detail as none of the above items 1-3 are relevant, it is closer to Blandford Centre on a relatively level pathway under the Blandford bypass.