

**Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole
Draft Waste Plan**

**Post-submission and Post-examination
Modifications**

**Assessment under the Conservation of
Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017**

Prepared for the Waste Planning Authority in Dorset

**By Dr Annabel King, Senior Ecologist
Dorset County Council**

July 2018

1. Introduction

Dorset County Council, Bournemouth Borough Council and the Borough of Poole are jointly preparing the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Waste Plan (the Waste Plan).

Each iteration of the plan has been screened and, if necessary, subject to appropriate assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017 (the Habs Regs).

The Waste Plan was examined in June 2018 and this, plus pre-submission responses, led to a number of modifications proposed for incorporation into the Plan. These modifications require screening and appropriate assessment under the Habs Regs to ensure that they would not inadvertently lead to the Plan having an adverse effect on the integrity of the relevant European and Ramsar sites. This assessment should be read as an addendum to previous Habs Regs assessments as listed in the next paragraph.

The requirement to undertake Habs Regs assessment and the process involved is set out in the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan Assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017 (October 2017). This was updated in the Appropriate Assessment of the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan (June 2018) which incorporated the findings of recent European Court of Justice case law: *People Over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta (C-323/17)*. This judgement ruled that mitigation measures should be assessed within the framework of an appropriate assessment and that it is not permissible to take account of measures intended to avoid or reduce the harmful effects of the plan or project on a European site at the screening stage (PINS Note 05/2018 Consideration of avoidance and reduction measures in Habitats Regulations Assessment: *People over Wind, Peter Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta*).

The assessment of the Waste Plan modifications is made up of three sections. Firstly, a screening exercise is presented, screening all modifications for Likely Significant Effect on the European and Ramsar sites. Then, where LSE has been identified, those modifications are taken forward to appropriate assessment to ascertain whether there will be an adverse effect on site integrity, alone or in combination, in view of the relevant conservation objectives. The final section recognises that some of the modifications will lead to additional protection of the European and Ramsar sites, strengthening the Waste Plan, and sets these out to provide a complete picture of how the modifications will affect the plan.

2. Consultation with Natural England and provision of sufficient information

Natural England have been consulted through all stages of the Waste Plan and have themselves suggested some of the modifications considered in this document. Natural England were also present at the Waste Plan Examination and involved in discussions throughout. As with previous Habs Regs Assessments, this assessment of the modifications has been produced in consultation with Natural England, in compliance with Regulation 105(2) of the Habs Regs, 2017.

Sufficient information has been provided to enable this assessment, in compliance with Regulation 105(5) of the Habs Regs, 2017.

See Appendix 2 for a letter from Natural England confirming its agreement with the conclusions of this report.

3. Identification of European and Ramsar Sites

The relevant European and Ramsar sites are discussed and listed in full (including their qualifying features and relevant species) in the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan Assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017. These were subsequently narrowed down to a shorter list of sites in the Appropriate Assessment of the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan which found that effects were limited to the following sites: the Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar, the Dorset Heaths SAC and Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar. Given the scope of the proposed modifications it is assumed that effects will still be limited to these sites and the conservation objectives are set out in Table 1 below to inform the assessment:

Table 1: the relevant European sites and their conservation objectives

European Site	Conservation Objectives
Dorset Heaths SAC	Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the Favourable Conservation Status of its Qualifying Features, by maintaining or restoring; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of qualifying natural habitats and habitats of qualifying species <input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function (including typical species) of qualifying natural habitats <input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of qualifying species <input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which qualifying natural habitats and the habitats of qualifying species rely <input type="checkbox"/> The populations of qualifying species, and, <input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of qualifying species within the site.
Dorset Heathlands SPA	Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features <input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features <input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely <input type="checkbox"/> The population of each of the qualifying features, and, <input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of the qualifying features within the site.
Poole Harbour SPA	Ensure that the integrity of the site is maintained or restored as appropriate, and ensure that the site contributes to achieving the aims of the Wild Birds Directive, by maintaining or restoring; <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> The extent and distribution of the habitats of the qualifying features <input type="checkbox"/> The structure and function of the habitats of the qualifying features <input type="checkbox"/> The supporting processes on which the habitats of the qualifying features rely <input type="checkbox"/> The population of each of the qualifying features, and, <input type="checkbox"/> The distribution of the qualifying features within the site.


4. Screening of Waste Plan Modifications

The Waste Plan modifications are set out in the table in Appendix 1 of this assessment. The final column of the table contains a summary of the screening assessment which is discussed in more detail below. The modifications are screened to determine whether they will have a Likely Significant Effect on the relevant European sites, and this is shown in the final column by recording 'yes' or 'no'. In addition, colour coding is used with those modifications leading to LSE highlighted in red, those which provide mitigation necessary to avoid adverse impact at appropriate assessment (discussed in the accompanying report) highlighted in blue, and those which are a positive enhancement in terms of strengthening protection of the European and Ramsar sites highlighted in green.

Table 2 below summarises all of the modifications which would give rise to Likely Significant Effects.

Table 2: Modifications giving rise to LSE on the relevant European and Ramsar sites

New Modification number (for use in consultation)	Original Modification Number	Para/Policy Of Pre-Submission Draft WP 2017	Change	Reason	Does the modification lead to LSE
MM6.4	MM5.6	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	Amendment as follows: Inset 1 - <u>Area of search at</u> Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Update to reflect the fact that the allocated area is larger than the land required for waste facilities.	Yes – a wider area of search may lead to effects on species typical of European sites.
MM6.6	MM5.11	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	Insert additional text: <u>'The following site is also allocated for the development of a facility for the management of bulky waste:</u> <u>Inset 1 – An area of search at Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross'</u>	To provide clarification	Yes – the allocation for bulky waste management may impact on species typical of the European sites.
MM AS1.1	MM AS1.1	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Change references to this site throughout the document: 'Inset 1 – <u>Area of Search at</u> Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross'	To reflect the fact that only a proportion of the site is required for the proposed	Yes – a wider area of search may lead to effects on species typical of European sites.

				uses, consistent with Planning Practice Guidance on the preparation of Waste Plans.										
MM AS1.10		Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Amend Inset 1 to broaden the 'Area of Search'</p>  <p>Grid Ref: 409770 104620 © Crown Copyright and database rights 2018 Ordnance Survey 100019790</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td> Allocated Waste Site</td> <td> Site of Special Scientific Interest</td> <td> Site of Nature Conservation Interest</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Green Belt</td> <td> Flood zone 3</td> <td> SAC/SPA/Ramsar site</td> </tr> <tr> <td> Public right of way</td> <td> Flood zone 2</td> <td></td> </tr> </table>	Allocated Waste Site	Site of Special Scientific Interest	Site of Nature Conservation Interest	Green Belt	Flood zone 3	SAC/SPA/Ramsar site	Public right of way	Flood zone 2		Broadening the Area of Search provides additional flexibility to bring forward a site during the Plan period.	Yes – a wider area of search may lead to effects on species typical of European sites.
Allocated Waste Site	Site of Special Scientific Interest	Site of Nature Conservation Interest												
Green Belt	Flood zone 3	SAC/SPA/Ramsar site												
Public right of way	Flood zone 2													
MM AS8.7		Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	<p>Amend Proposed uses row of table as follows:</p> <table border="0"> <tr> <td><u>Proposed Uses:</u></td> <td>Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Allocated Uses:</u></td> <td>including the management of non hazardous waste. <u>Waste</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Proposed Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site	<u>Allocated Uses:</u>	including the management of non hazardous waste. <u>Waste</u>	To provide further clarity	Yes – the range of uses may lead to					
<u>Proposed Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site													
<u>Allocated Uses:</u>	including the management of non hazardous waste. <u>Waste</u>													

				<u>management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.</u>	regarding appropriate uses.	effects on the European sites. In addition this modification provides necessary mitigation.
MM AS9.2		Inset 9 – Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole	Amend Proposed uses row of table as follows:	<p>Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:</p> <p>Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site comprising the management of non hazardous waste through preparation of Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) or Solid Recovered Fuel (SRF). <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.</u></p>	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	Yes – the range of uses may lead to effects on the European sites. In addition this modification provides necessary mitigation.

Table 2 shows that Likely Significant Effect was identified for six modifications, four relating to Inset 1: Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross (MM6.4, MM6.6, MM AS1.1 and AS1.10), one relating to Inset 8: Land at Canford Magna, Poole (MM AS8.7) and one relating to Inset 9: Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole (MM AS9.2). These are dealt with separately below.

4.1 Inset 1: Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross

The four modifications relating to Inset 1 relate to two separate issues. MM 6.4, MM AS1.1 and AS1.10 change the allocation to an Area of Search and expand the size of the area, in recognition of the fact that the allocated site is larger than the land required for the waste facilities. MM 6.6 allocates Inset 1 for the development of a facility for the management of bulky waste.

Changing the Inset 1 allocation to an Area of Search increases the area of allocated land at Woolsbridge Industrial Estate. This could result in increased effects on species typical of the European sites (eg woodlark or Nightjar) as these species are found within the adjacent sites and it is likely that the Area of Search provides supporting habitat. This could result in a Likely Significant Effect on the European sites which are designated for these species (Dorset Heathlands SPA)

Allocating Inset 1 for the treatment of bulky waste could also lead to a Likely Significant Effect on the relevant European sites as this is an additional use of the site which has not previously been assessed. These effects could arise from loss of habitat used by Annex 1 birds (as above) but also from dust or noise which, through proximity, could lead to disturbance of Annex 1 birds.

4.2 Inset 8: Land at Canford Magna, Poole

The modification relating to Inset 8 (MM AS8.7) has been assessed as likely to give rise to significant effects on the relevant European sites (Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar). This brings Inset 8 in line with other sites with the same allocated use (Insets 7, 9 and 10), provides a consistent approach and reflects the uncertainty over the future use of the site which arises from an allocated use allowing a range of activities.

These activities may include incineration, which would result in emission of aerial pollutants (such as NO_x, ammonia and nitrogen) which may, through proximity, raise the fertility of the adjacent heathlands and lead to changes in species composition which would impact on the condition status of the relevant European and Ramsar sites. In addition proposed activities may impact on the breeding or foraging activity of Annex 1 heathland birds which are known to inhabit the adjacent European heathlands. Although current development has shown that these effects can be mitigated, it is deemed prudent to screen the site in for further assessment and include consideration of mitigation for all possible effects (species and proximity/emissions) within the Plan.

4.3 Inset 9: Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole

The modification relating to Inset 9 (MM AS9.2) removes the wording which previously restricted development at this site to: intensification of the site.... 'through the preparation of Refuse Derived Fuel or Solid Recovered Fuel'. Removal of this wording allows for the potential inclusion of incineration (as at Insets 7, 8 and 10) which would result in emission of aerial pollutants (see 4.2 above). Mannings Heath is in proximity to the Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands Ramsar and SPA and for this reason the modification is judged as likely to lead to significant effects on these sites.

Having identified these Likely Significant Effects, it is necessary to further examine the impacts on the European and Ramsar sites and consider necessary mitigation (either from existing wording or proposed modifications or by proposing new modifications) as part of an Appropriate Assessment to identify whether the modifications to the Waste Plan would lead to adverse effects on the integrity of the European and Ramsar sites.

5. Appropriate Assessment.

The proposed modifications listed above are those which need further assessment in light of their potential to adversely affect the integrity of the Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar.

This Plan assessment is limited to consideration of mitigation which can be secured through the Waste Plan. Mitigation must provide certainty at the Plan stage of assessment that adverse effect will be avoided and must also enable the provision of more detailed mitigation once an application is submitted for development. The assessment focusses on:

- Existing mitigation which is already part of the Plan (but which will nevertheless help mitigate the proposed modifications)
- Mitigation arising from the proposed modifications
- New mitigation required in addition to the two categories above.

5.1 Inset 1: Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross

The allocation of Inset 1 for the treatment of bulky waste, and extending the allocated site as an area of search, may impact on Annex 1 birds which are known to inhabit the adjacent European sites and may use areas within the allocation as supporting habitat for feeding or breeding.

These effects are not new; they were previously identified as a result of the initial screening exercise (HRA of Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan, Oct 2017), but the change in scale of potential impact has triggered the need for further consideration. However, it is felt that the existing mitigation, plus additional mitigation provided by the proposed modifications provides sufficient certainty to conclude that the changes to Inset 1 will not adversely affect the integrity of the European sites. This mitigation includes:

- Existing mitigation:
 - The requirement to comply with Policy 18 - Biodiversity and Geological Interest
 - The need to comply with wording in Policy 3 relating to avoidance of adverse effects.
 - The wording in Policy 3 (Sites allocated for waste management development) stating the need for applications within Inset 1 to include Phase 2 surveys for Annex 1 birds and stating that development which would impact on these species must be mitigated in order for it to take place
- Mitigation arising from the proposed modifications:
 - MM AS1.4 clarifies the need for the applicant to provide sufficient information to enable screening and, if necessary, appropriate assessment at the planning application stage (including Phase 2 Annex 1 bird surveys) and therefore strengthens the certainty that development will not be allowed if it leads to adverse effect.
 - MM AS1.7 clarifies the type of mitigation needed (habitat enhancement works and/or provision of a buffer) needed to mitigate the effect of development and ensure there will not be an adverse effect on the European sites.
- New mitigation requiring a new modification:
 - Given the detailed, strong mitigation already provided it is not felt that further mitigation is necessary in relation to development within this allocated site.

5.2 Inset 8: Land at Canford Magna, Poole

Modification MM AS8.7 amends the wording of the allocated uses of Inset 8 to bring it in-line with other Insets (7, 9 and 10) allocated for the same range of uses. For consistency and to reflect the uncertainty over potential future applications (which may give rise to adverse effect from proximity/emissions and effects on species) it is felt that there is a need to provide mitigation relating to this site which mirrors the mitigation provided for the other related Insets.

In addition, where additional mitigation and/or positive enhancements have been provided for the other related sites, the Inset 8 modifications should aim for a consistent approach and include this too. Putting all these mitigation measures in place gives sufficient certainty to conclude that development will not lead to an adverse effect on the European and Ramsar sites.

- Existing mitigation:
 - The requirement to comply with Policy 18 - Biodiversity and Geological Interest
 - The need to comply with wording in Policy 3 relating to avoidance of adverse effects.
- Mitigation arising from the proposed modifications:
 - MM AS8.2 clarifies the need for the applicant to provide sufficient information to enable screening and, if necessary, appropriate assessment at the planning application stage and therefore strengthens the certainty that development will not be allowed if it leads to adverse effect.
 - MM AS8.7 provides mitigation by strengthening the Allocated uses wording, stating that waste management facilities, including incineration, giving rise to adverse effects would not be acceptable
- New mitigation requiring a new modification:
 - To provide further certainty that adverse effect will be avoided, and to provide a consistent approach with other sites allocated for the same range of uses, reference should be made to Inset 8 in the final two paragraphs of Policy 3. This would ensure that planning applications must include Annex 1 bird surveys and emissions studies, to demonstrate that the proposals would not impact on the relevant European and Ramsar sites.

5.3 Inset 9: Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole

Modification MM AS9.2 removes the restriction on allocated uses for Inset 9 and, as discussed above, this gives rise to the potential inclusion of incineration (as at Insets 7, 8 and 10) which would result in emission of aerial pollutants and may lead to adverse effects on the relevant European and Ramsar sites.

For this reason additional mitigation is necessary, to mitigate potential effects and to bring the site in-line with other Insets (7, 8 and 10) which are allocated for the same range of uses.

- Existing mitigation:
 - The requirement to comply with Policy 18 - Biodiversity and Geological Interest
 - The need to comply with wording in Policy 3 relating to avoidance of adverse effects.
- Mitigation arising from the proposed modifications:
 - MM AS9.1 clarifies the need for the applicant to provide sufficient information to enable screening and, if necessary, appropriate assessment at the planning application stage and therefore strengthens the certainty that development will not be allowed if it leads to adverse effect.
 - MM AS9.2 provides mitigation by strengthening the Allocated uses wording, stating that waste management facilities, including incineration, giving rise to adverse effects would not be acceptable
- New mitigation requiring a new modification:
 - To provide further certainty that adverse effect will be avoided, and to provide a consistent approach with other sites allocated for the same range of uses, reference should be made to Inset 9 in the final paragraph of Policy 3. This would ensure that planning applications must include emissions studies, to demonstrate that the proposals would not impact on the relevant European and Ramsar sites.

In light of the ECJ People Over Wind ruling, two further modifications are proposed, in the final two paragraphs of Policy 3. At present the wording in these paragraphs states:

‘If it is shown that the development proposals would have a significant effect on.....then avoidance/mitigation to *reduce this to non-significant levels* must be designed in to any development in order for it to take place’.

This wording reflects previous accepted assessment methodology where case law (Hart District Council v. Sect of State for CLG, more commonly known as the ‘Dilly Lane/Justice Sullivan’ judgement: CO/7623/2007) dictated that mitigation could be included at the screening stage to reduce effects from likely significance to not having significance. This approach would not now be acceptable and the wording in the final two paragraphs of Policy 3 should be amended to:

'If it is shown that the development proposals would have a significant effect on.....then avoidance/mitigation to *ensure there is no adverse effect on the integrity of the European sites* must be designed in to any development in order for it to take place'.

5.4 In-Combination Effects

An assessment of in-combination effects arising from the Waste Plan was made in the HRA of the Draft Pre-Submission Waste Plan, October 2017. This concluded that all possible measures had been taken to reduce potential in-combination effects below significant levels and that there were no in combination effects arising from the Waste Plan. The assessment also concluded that there were issues which would require further investigation when sites are brought forward for development: air quality from vehicle movement emissions and air quality from process emissions.

The modifications considered in this assessment (alongside existing and proposed mitigation) do not lead to any further in-combination effects. This, when considered alongside the many modifications which strengthen protection of the European and Ramsar sites and clarify the processes which must be adhered to, results in the conclusion that the modifications will not in themselves lead to any adverse effects in combination with other plans or projects.

6. Positive Enhancements to the Waste Plan arising from the Modifications

The proposed Modifications also give rise to a number of enhancements to the Waste Plan, in respect of protection of European and Ramsar sites. These come about because the modification strengthens existing wording, or provides clarification on an issue relating to LSE or adverse effect, thereby providing additional protection. For completeness, these modifications are summarised below (Table 3) as part of the assessment of the Waste Plan modifications.

Some of the modifications relate to Insets 7 (Eco Sustainable Solutions, Chapel Lane, Parley) and 10 (Binnegar Environmental Park, East Stoke) and it will be noted that the wording of some of these is the same as some of the modifications listed as mitigation in the appropriate assessment above. This is because, in the case of Insets 7 and 10, no further Likely Significant Effect has arisen from any of the proposed modifications and therefore no further mitigation is needed. Any strengthening of the Waste Plan from proposed modifications for these Insets, in respect of impacts on European and Ramsar sites, is therefore seen as an enhancement.

Table 3: Modifications which enhance the Waste Plan, in respect of protection of European and Ramsar sites.

New Modification number (for use in consultation)	Original Modification Number	Para/Policy Of Pre-Submission Draft WP 2017	Change	Reason	Does the modification lead to LSE
MM9.5		Paragraph 9.28	<p>Add three new paragraphs following paragraph 9.28 as follows:</p> <p><u>The development of energy from waste facilities involving incineration within the allocated sites (Insets 7-10) has the potential to adversely affect European and internationally protected sites, given the allocated sites' proximity to these habitats. The level of detail available at the Plan making stage has not enabled Likely Significant Effects to be ruled out for this type of technology.</u></p> <p><u>The Waste Planning Authority considers that there are other residual waste treatment technologies, such as advanced thermal treatment, where adverse effects may be able to be ruled out with much greater confidence.</u></p> <p><u>Due to the sensitive locations of the allocated sites (Insets 7-10) all applications for waste development will need to provide sufficient evidence to the Waste Planning Authority to enable proposals to be screened and if necessary to enable Appropriate Assessment to be carried out. Proposals will not be approved unless the WPA is satisfied that there will be no adverse effects upon the integrity of European and internationally protected sites, in accordance with Policy 18.</u></p>	To provide further information on potential waste treatment technologies that may be achievable and on assessment under the Habitats Regulations .	No – the modification will not in itself result in development and provides clarification on how Natura 2000 sites will be protected .
MM11.7	MM11.7	Para 11.40	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'In-situ retention disposal and on-site recovery or disposal of waste could help to support the overarching waste management principles of the Plan, but should not compromise the restoration of the site to a condition to achieve IES or FES. The disposal of waste <u>arising from the decommissioning of Winfrith</u> on site should be restoration-led, enabling the land to be used more effectively for another use, and should use the minimum amount of waste to achieve the stated purpose. Consequently, waste that is not classified as inert would be expected to be managed off-site at a suitable licensed facility</p>	To provide clarification regarding the potential for onsite recovery or disposal of non inert wastes.	No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the

			where this is the most practicable way of achieving IES or FES, unless recovery or disposal on site is demonstrated to support the waste hierarchy and proximity principle; it would not compromise the intended site restoration and afteruse and would not lead to unacceptable adverse impacts on the environment and amenity. Notwithstanding this, the Waste Planning Authority recognises that that there may be situations where off-site treatment/disposal routes would not offer any practical environmental benefits and the quantity/nature of material would not compromise the intended afteruse of the site, either at IES or FES, or lead to any unacceptable environmental impacts.		Natura 2000 sites.
MM11.8	MM11.8	Para 11.41	Amend first sentence and add additional sentence at end of paragraph: It is possible that to achieve IES The WPA recognises that Magnox is considering proposals to leave some sub-structures in the ground and/or dispose of LLW in some 'islands' of the site will need to be retained in-situ and which would then remain under radioactive substances regulation until FES is achieved. Magnox's intention is that this should not undermine the overall intent of returning the majority of the site to heathland with public access. <u>The Waste Planning Authority seeks to ensure that the site will be restored to open heathland with public access and that FES will be achieved at the earliest practicable opportunity.</u>	To provide clarification regarding Magnox's proposals and the WPA's position.	No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the Natura 2000 sites.
MM11.9	MM11.9	Paragraph 11.44	Deletion of first and last sentence and addition of two paragraphs, as follows: The Waste Planning Authority intends to prepare a supplementary planning document in partnership with Magnox to provide a structured framework that will assist with the interpretation and implementation of decommissioning in accordance with Policy 10 and other relevant policies of this plan. Effective engagement between Magnox and local authorities, regulators and communities and robust and transparent environmental assessment (including risk assessment) and monitoring arrangements will be critical. This will help to secure acceptable levels of public confidence <u>and support</u> that the restoration and the next use of the site is in the public interest, both in the short term and for future generations. This will require a comprehensive approach to the wider decommissioning programme so that matters such as Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) can properly inform planning decisions relating to the decommissioning programme. <u>A comprehensive approach for the site which sets out the decommissioning</u>	To provide a clear explanation of the WPA's expectations with regards to the provision of a masterplan, in order to assist with the interpretation	No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the Natura 2000 sites.

			<p>programme, including phasing priorities, would be of great value and will inform both the EIA and the supplementary planning document.</p> <p><u>The Waste Planning Authority would advocate the preparation of a masterplan as an effective tool for providing a clear and consistent framework for waste management development required during decommissioning of the site. This would be an iterative document that is kept up-to-date as decommissioning progresses and should include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>plans showing the layout and details of all structures and sub-structures of the site to be subject to decommissioning , above and below ground for the whole site</u> b) <u>the types and quantities of wastes arising from Winfrith and requiring management, including details of any planned waste management facilities where needed;</u> c) <u>the likely timing of waste management development required to enable decommissioning at the site;</u> d) <u>the range of habitats to be created in restoring areas subject to waste management, and how they will relate to the site as a whole and public access to it; and</u> e) <u>an explanation of how Environmental Impact Assessment requirements associated with the decommissioning project are to be managed in support of any subsequent waste-related planning applications.</u> <p><u>Consideration will be given to the preparation of a supplementary planning document (SPD), in partnership with the site license holder and the local planning authority, if this is considered necessary to assist with the implementation of decommissioning in accordance with Policy 10 and other relevant policies of this Plan. The SPD will be informed by the masterplan. ‘</u></p>	<p>n of Policy 10.</p> <p>To clarify that the preparation of an SPD will be if it is considered necessary.</p>	
MM11.10	MM11.10	Policy 10	<p>Amend Policy as follows:</p> <p>‘The Waste Planning Authority will work <u>constructively</u> with Magnox, Purbeck District Council the site license holder, the Local Planning Authority, statutory regulatory bodies and the local community to support <u>decommissioning the restoration</u> of the former Winfrith Nuclear Research and Development Facility to its end state of and restoration to open heathland with public access, where this does not conflict with any on-going management responsibilities. In fulfilling this role determining planning applications for</p>	<p>To remove reference to specific organisations and provide clarification.</p>	<p>No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will</p>

		<p>waste management development at the former Winfrith nuclear research and development facility, the Waste Planning Authority will have regard to the following objectives:</p> <p>a. For any waste disposal that is not destined for appropriate nuclear or other specialist off-site treatment or disposal routes, comprising principally inert waste, consideration should be given to The on-site reuse recovery or disposal of waste originating from the decommissioning of the Winfrith facility will be permitted where it would demonstrably support the site's restoration to open heathland and public access, be in conformity with the waste hierarchy and the proximity principle on condition that this does not conflict with the site's intended end state or otherwise create and would not cause unacceptable adverse impacts on the environment and amenity.;</p> <p>a. b. Proposals should be supported by a masterplan to provide a clear and consistent framework for the development and in order to put each waste management proposal in the context of the overall decommissioning for the Winfrith site.</p> <p>b. c. The on-site storage of Low Level Waste and Intermediate Level Waste from legacy uses or decommissioning activities in existing or newly constructed safe facilities will continue until such times as the decommissioning programme and wider national waste management strategy allow for its movement to longer term storage, management or disposal facilities.;</p> <p>c. d. Use of the rail sidings should be maximised where it is economically and logistically feasible to do so, both for the exportation of waste materials and for the importation and exportation of equipment needed for decommissioning of the site, and their retention post-decommissioning should be considered in the interests of securing a long-term rail freight opportunity;</p> <p>d. e. The potential for vehicular access via Dorset Innovation Park should be investigated, in consultation with stakeholders, to minimise pressure from decommissioning traffic and waste movements upon Gatemore Road and to secure greater use of the A352, in the interests of highway safety and amenity. Restoration should also take account of how the site's configuration and access arrangements will establish a logical eastern boundary with Dorset Innovation Park; and</p> <p>e. f. The restoration programme should have regard to the opportunity for land at the northern end, which lies within the Dorset Innovation Park Enterprise Zone boundary, to</p>	<p>To provide clarity with regards to the on-site management of waste and specific reference to the restoration type.</p> <p>To provide clarity with regards to the preparation of a masterplan. (Replaces deleted criterion f). To clarify circumstances.</p>	<p>support the Natura 2000 sites.</p>
--	--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

			<p>be considered for uses which contribute to the Innovation Park's status as a strategic employment site. and</p> <p>f. All development subject to Environmental Impact Assessment should involve substantive pre-application engagement with the Waste Planning Authority and should be informed by a masterplan.</p> <p>A Supplementary Planning Document will be produced by the Waste Planning Authority to provide further details, guidance and principles for the decommissioning of the whole site for its next planned use. This The Waste Planning Authority will seek sustainable outcomes for the local community in accordance with the policies of this Plan, having regard to the on-site designation and proximity of European designated nature conservation habitat, potential mitigation approaches, legacy opportunities and, if appropriate, community benefits.'</p>	To remove the policy requirement to prepare an SPD.	
MM11.16		Table 10	<p>Insert new paragraph to follow Table 10 as follows:</p> <p><u>'Legislation* requires that agricultural slurry is collected and stored. Slurry comprises liquid or semi-liquid matter composed of excreta produced by livestock while in a yard or building and mixtures of livestock excreta, livestock bedding, rainwater and washings from a building or yard used by livestock.'</u></p> <p><u>Proposals for slurry storage tanks, including lagoons, pits or towers, will be considered against the relevant development management policies of this Waste Plan and policies contained in the relevant local plans. Applicants are encouraged to discuss proposals with the Waste Planning Authority at the pre-application stage, in particular in relation to design and the screening of potential emissions, including ammonia.'</u></p> <p><u>*The Water Resources (Control of Pollution) (Silage, Slurry and Agricultural Fuel Oil) (England) Regulations 2010</u></p>	To include reference to applications for slurry storage tanks.	No, the modification will not in itself result in development and helps ensure protection of Natura 2000 sites by encouraging applicants to screen for emissions at the pre-app stage..
MM12.15	MM12.21	Policy 18	<p>Amendment to Policy to include sub-headings:</p> <p>'Policy 18 – Biodiversity and geological interest</p> <p><u>Natura 2000 Sites</u></p> <p>Proposals for waste management facilities must not adversely affect the integrity of European or Ramsar or other internationally designated sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects, unless the tests set out under Article 6(4) of</p>	For clarification	No. This modification provides enhanced protection for Natura 2000 sites by

			<p>the Habitats Directive/Regulation 64 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 are met.</p> <p><u>Sites of national and local importance</u></p> <p>Proposals for waste management facilities which do not adversely affect the integrity of European or Ramsar sites or other internationally designated sites will only be permitted where adverse impacts on biodiversity and/or geodiversity will be:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. avoided; or ii. where an adverse impact cannot be avoided, the impact will be adequately mitigated; or iii. where adverse impacts cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensation will result in the maintenance or enhancement of biodiversity / geodiversity. <p>Wherever practicable, proposals should enhance biodiversity and geological interest.</p> <p><u>All relevant P-proposals</u> should be accompanied by an objective assessment of the potential effects of the development on features of biodiversity and/or geological interest, taking into account cumulative impacts with other development and the potential impacts of climate change...'</p>		clarifying Policy 18.
MM AS1.6	MM AS1.4	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Amend Development Consideration 3 as follows:</p> <p>'3. Consideration of an appropriate buffer and mitigation to protect the <u>Dorset Heaths SAC, SPA and Ramsar, SSSI and SNCI.</u></p>	To tighten the development consideration.	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.
MM AS7.1	MM AS7.5	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	<p>Amendment to Development Consideration 1 as follows:</p> <p>'<u>The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and if necessary</u> Appropriate Assessment <u>at the planning application stage</u> in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.'</p>	For clarification	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.

MM AS7.8	MM AS7.6	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Additional Development Consideration 13 as follows: <u>‘Consideration should be given to the creation of a buffer zone in the south-east section of the site and a carefully designed surface water drainage system to help ensure no hydrological effects on the European Sites.’</u>	For clarification	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.		
MM AS7.9		Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Amend ‘Proposed Uses’ row of table as follows: <table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Proposed Uses:</u> <u>Allocated Uses:</u></td> <td>Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site including the management of non-hazardous waste. <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.’</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Proposed Uses:</u> <u>Allocated Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site including the management of non-hazardous waste. <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.’</u>	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.
<u>Proposed Uses:</u> <u>Allocated Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site including the management of non-hazardous waste. <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.’</u>						
MM AS10.1	MM AS10.2	Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	Amendment to Development Consideration 1 as follows: <u>‘The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and if necessary Appropriate Assessment at the planning application stage</u> in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.’	For clarification	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.		
MM AS10.2		Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	Additional Development Consideration as follows: <u>‘Consideration must be given to adequate mitigation including the conservation management of adjacent areas or provision of additional habitats adjacent to the proposed development to mitigate impacts on species characteristic of the European sites.’</u>	To reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.		
MM AS10.3	MM AS10.1	Inset 10 – Binnegar	Additional Development Consideration as follows: <u>‘Consideration will need to be given to an appropriate buffer from the River Piddle.’</u>	To ensure adequate	No. This modification		

		Environmental Park		protection of water resources .	n provides additional protection for Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar.		
MM AS10.4		Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	<p>Amend 'Proposed uses' row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:</td> <td>Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site including the management of non hazardous waste. <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.</u></td> </tr> </table>	Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site including the management of non hazardous waste. <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.</u>	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.
Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> of the site including the management of non hazardous waste. <u>Waste management facilities, including incineration, that would lead to adverse effects upon the integrity of European Sites will not be acceptable.</u>						

7. Conclusions

This assessment is presented by Dorset County Council as the Competent Authority in accordance with requirements under Reg 105 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations, 2017, and Article 6(3) of the Habitats Directive.

It is concluded that the Waste Plan modifications will lead to a range of effects on European and Ramsar sites, from Likely Significant Effects to positive enhancements. Those modifications leading to Likely Significant Effect are further considered in an Appropriate Assessment, presented in section 5 of this document.

When mitigation (in the form of proposed modifications and new modifications arising from this assessment) is taken into account as part of the Appropriate Assessment, this enables the conclusion that the modifications will not lead to an adverse effect on the integrity of the relevant European and Ramsar sites, either individually or in combination with other plans or projects.

Waste Plan Schedule of Main Modifications – Updated July 2018 – including screening for LSE under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations , 2017.

Please note that Habs Regs screening is summarised in column 6. Those modifications leading to LSE are highlighted in red, those which provide mitigation necessary to avoid adverse impact at appropriate assessment (discussed in the accompanying report) are highlighted in blue, and those which are a positive enhancement in terms of strengthening protection of the European and Ramsar sites are highlighted in green.

The schedule below incorporates modifications proposed at various stages;

- Main Modifications proposed subsequent to the submission of WPDCC-55 (Waste Plan Schedule of Main Modifications – May 2018)
- Further proposed Main Modifications WPDCC-56
- Further proposed Main Modifications made during the Waste Plan hearings – shaded green
- Additional modifications – shown in italics

New Modification number (for use in consultation)	Original Modification Number	Para/Policy Of Pre-Submission Draft WP 2017	Change	Reason	Does the modification lead to LSE
Chapter 1: Introduction					
AM 1.1	AM 1.1	Paragraph 1.3	Insert new paragraph as follows: <i><u>'The views of local communities, businesses, the waste industry, environmental groups and other interested organisations have been considered throughout the development of the Waste Plan during a series of formal and informal periods of consultation.'</u></i>	To provide clarification	No
AM1.2		Paragraph 1.5	Amend footnote as follows:	To reflect Local Government Reorganisation	No

			<p>'The statutory development plan is the plan for the future development of an area. It comprises <i>adopted</i> Local Plans, including <i>saved policies and</i> minerals and waste plans, <i>adopted</i> neighbourhood plans, <i>and any policies of 'old-style' local plans that remain 'saved' the London Plan and saved policies of the former Regional Spatial Strategies and Structure Plans.</i> To the extent that development plan policies are material to an application for planning permission the decision must be taken in accordance with the development plan unless there are material considerations that indicate otherwise.'</p>		
<p>AM1.3</p>	<p>AM1.2</p>	<p>Paragraphs 1.6 to 1.8</p>	<p>Delete section as follows:</p> <p><i>Preparing the Waste Plan</i> <i>The views of local communities, businesses, the waste industry, environmental groups and other interested organisations have been considered throughout the development of the Waste Plan. The first stage in developing the Waste Plan was the publication of the Waste Plan Issues Paper in December 2013. This document was the first public consultation document and highlighted the main identified waste planning issues facing Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole and the potential options for addressing them.</i> <i>The 2015 Draft Waste Plan contained a range of draft policies and site specific options for addressing the waste management needs that had been identified. Public consultation on the Draft Waste Plan took place during summer 2015. During the consultation over 900 responses were received from just under 200 contributing consultees (individuals/organisations). Generally, consultees were supportive of the levels of waste growth forecast and the proposed policies/supporting text, subject to minor changes and updates. Detailed comments were also received in relation to the site options put forward.</i> <i>The comments made to the Draft Waste Plan were taken into consideration when reaching decisions on the proposed waste site allocations set out in the 2016 Draft Waste Plan Update-</i></p>	<p>To remove unnecessary text regarding the development of the Waste Plan.</p>	<p>No</p>

			<p><i>Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Site Allocations. Consultation on the additional and emerging preferred sites took place between May and July 2016, providing stakeholders with an opportunity to comment on the sites proposed for allocation in the final Waste Plan to address the waste management needs of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole. During the consultation just over 900 responses were received from approximately 480 contributing consultees (individuals/organisations). An additional focused consultation took place in early 2017 on three additional sites that came forward to address specific identified needs. Further discussions with key stakeholders have continued to shape the policies and detailed site allocations contained within this Plan outside of the formal consultation stages.</i></p> <p>How to comment on the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan <i>This is the Pre-Submission Draft Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Waste Plan. This is the last formal opportunity to respond before the Plan is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government, along with any representations received. The intention of publishing the Waste Plan at this stage is to enable representations to be made on issues of 'soundness' (that is whether the strategy is justified, effective and consistent with national policy) and legal compliance only. The following information sets out where you can view the Waste Plan and accompanying documents, how you can respond and the period within which representations can be made. We would encourage you to view and respond to the Plan online. To view the Plan and comment on it please go to: www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan Alternatively you can email us: mwdf@dorsetcc.gov.uk If you do not have access to a computer you can complete a paper response form and send it to: Environment and Economy Directorate, Dorset County Council, County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ</i></p>		
--	--	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--	--

			<p><i>Hard copies of this document are available to view at Dorset County Council (County Hall, Dorchester), Bournemouth Borough Council (Town Hall Annexe) and the Borough of Poole (Civic Centre). Representation forms will also be available at these locations.</i></p> <p><i>Consultation period: — 1 December 2017 to 31 January 2018</i> <i>Representations must be received by 5pm on the 31 January 2018.</i> <i>No late submissions will be accepted.</i></p> <p><i>Subject to the outcomes of this stage, the Waste Plan will be prepared according to the timetable below. Following submission to the Secretary of State, the dates given will be dependent on the Planning Inspectorate and therefore can only be an indication.</i></p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Key Stages</i></th> <th><i>When</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td><i>Waste Plan Issues Paper</i></td> <td><i>December 2017 – February 2018</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Consultation on Draft Waste Plan</i></td> <td><i>July 2015 – September 2015</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan Update – Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Site Allocations</i></td> <td><i>May – July 2018</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan</i></td> <td><i>December 2017 – January 2018</i></td> </tr> <tr> <td><i>Waste Plan Submission to the Secretary of State</i></td> <td><i>March 2018</i></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Key Stages</i>	<i>When</i>	<i>Waste Plan Issues Paper</i>	<i>December 2017 – February 2018</i>	<i>Consultation on Draft Waste Plan</i>	<i>July 2015 – September 2015</i>	<i>Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan Update – Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Site Allocations</i>	<i>May – July 2018</i>	<i>Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan</i>	<i>December 2017 – January 2018</i>	<i>Waste Plan Submission to the Secretary of State</i>	<i>March 2018</i>		
<i>Key Stages</i>	<i>When</i>																
<i>Waste Plan Issues Paper</i>	<i>December 2017 – February 2018</i>																
<i>Consultation on Draft Waste Plan</i>	<i>July 2015 – September 2015</i>																
<i>Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan Update – Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Site Allocations</i>	<i>May – July 2018</i>																
<i>Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan</i>	<i>December 2017 – January 2018</i>																
<i>Waste Plan Submission to the Secretary of State</i>	<i>March 2018</i>																

			Waste Plan Examination	June 2018		
			Waste Plan Adoption	December 2018		
AM1.4	AM1.3 (Amended)	Paragraph 1.19	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>This Waste Plan is supported by a detailed evidence base, comprising background data, surveys and information. This information has been presented in a series of background papers and supporting reports referred to throughout this document. The following documents can be downloaded from our website:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sustainability Appraisal <u>Report</u> (SA) - development plans must be subject to a SA to assess the effects that the implementation plan could have socially, economically and on the environment. • Habitats Regulations Assessment - this has examined the possible effects of the Waste Plan on European nature conservation sites. • Strategic Flood Risk Assessment <p><u>Other evidence documents that supported preparation of the Plan included;</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background Paper 1 - Waste Arisings and Projections (Key information will be kept up to date within the monitoring report which will supersede this paper) • Background Paper 2 - Waste Plan Site Selection • Background Paper 3 - Cross Boundary Movements • Waste Site Assessments - these have been prepared for all of the site allocations presented in this document. Site assessments for sites that were considered and discounted at earlier stages are also available on request. • Reports on the outcomes of each stage of consultation 		Update.	No

Chapter 2: Context for waste planning					
AM2.1	AM	Paragraph 2.2	<p>Delete paragraph and replace with:</p> <p><i><u>'The three authorities responsible for waste planning at the time of the plan's adoption are Dorset County Council and the unitary authorities of Bournemouth and Poole. All three authorities, together with single tier authorities within the plan area, are working towards a reorganisation to become two new unitary authorities as of 1st April 2019: Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole; and Dorset Council. The Waste Plan will continue to cover the geographical extent of the two new authorities and will remain as the waste development plan for the entire plan area during its statutory life. References to Dorset or the Waste Planning Authority are generally taken to include Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole up to 31st March 2019, and thereafter will apply to the specific waste planning role of both of the two new unitary authorities, unless individual authorities are specifically referred to in their own right. References to the 'local planning authority' will generally be used to identify the other statutory plan-making and development management roles of the new local authorities that are distinct from waste (and mineral) planning responsibilities.'</u></i></p>	To reflect Local Government Reorganisation	No
AM2.2	AM2.1	Paragraph 2.6	<p>Amend second sentence as follows:</p> <p>'The Waste Planning Authority has a statutory responsibility to provide the highest level of protection in relation to landscape and scenic beauty of the <i><u>its Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty and the</u></i> National Park.'</p>	To provide clarification	No
MM2.1	MM2.2	Paragraph 2.13, second bullet point	<p>Delete final sentence</p> <p>For the purposes of the Waste Plan, commercial and industrial waste includes agricultural waste i.e. all wastes that are discarded from agricultural premises except on-farm animal and plant wastes, which fall outside the scope of the Waste Plan.</p>	To avoid confusion as farm wastes (such as slurry) are classified as waste development.	No

MM2.2	MM2.1 (Amended)	Paragraph 2.17	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'Bournemouth Borough Council, Dorset County Council and Borough of Poole are all Waste Planning Authorities. This means that they are responsible for determining planning applications for waste development in their respective areas. The three authorities have worked together to prepare this joint Waste Plan for the entire area. The Waste Planning Authorities are responsible for determining planning applications for waste development in their respective areas. This plan has been jointly prepared and is the statutory Waste Plan for the entire area, sharing the same geographical extent as Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership and Dorset Local Nature Partnership.'</p> <p>'...Planning applications are judged against the statutory development plan, which includes the adopted Waste Plan, along with national policy <u>and any relevant local planning policy documents.</u>'</p>	<p>To reflect Local Government Reorganisation</p> <p>For clarification that planning applications will be judged against the Waste Plan, national policy and any relevant local planning policy documents.</p>	No
AM2.3	AM2.3	Paragraph 2.26	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'The Waste Plan will <u>considers</u> how waste arisings might change over the Plan period and what this means in terms of the need for new facilities.'</p>	Correction	No
AM2.4	AM2.4	Paragraph 2.30	<p>Amend footnote 6 as follows:</p> <p>Planning for a Circular Economy, Environmental Services Association (<i>April 2017</i>)</p>	For clarification	No

AM2.5	AM2.5	Paragraph 2.32, 4 th sentence	Amend 4 th sentence as follows: 'There are no currently no examples of major re-processing facilities in Dorset.'	For ease of reading	No
Chapter 3: Guiding principles					
AM3.1	AM3.1	Paragraph 3.1	Amend second sentence as follows: '...The Waste Plan's role is to identify sufficient opportunities to meet the identified needs of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole for waste management. This will include <u>s the identification of identifying sites and areas</u> for waste management facilities in appropriate locations, subject to consideration of issues such as environmental and cumulative impacts and sustainable transport...'	Update	No
MM3.1	MM3.1	Paragraph 3.13	Amend paragraph as follows: 'The Waste Plan has established a suite of planning policies and site specific allocations for facilities to recycle, or recover or <u>dispose of</u> our waste in a sustainable manner, contributing towards the aim of a zero waste economy...'	For clarification, there are no allocated sites for the disposal of waste.	No
MM3.2	MM3.2	Policy 1 – Sustainable waste management	Amend first paragraph of policy as follows: 'When considering development proposals, the Waste Planning Authority will take a positive approach that reflects the presumption in favour of sustainable development contained in the National Planning Policy Framework. It will work proactively with applicants <u>to promote the circular economy and</u> find solutions which mean that proposals can be approved where appropriate to secure development that improves the economic, social and environmental conditions in the area.'	To reflect the importance of the circular economy, one of the Plan's guiding principles.	No
MM3.3	MM3.3	Paragraph 3.22	Amend first sentence as follows: 'Co-location of waste management facilities with <u>complementary activities end users of outputs from waste processing</u> is also encouraged.'	For clarification	No

AM3.2	AM3.2	Paragraph 3.24	Amend second sentence as follows: '...Several existing waste management facilities are allocated in the Plan for intensification, see Policy 3 and the proposed uses set out in Insets 1 - 13 12...'	Update to reflect deletion of Inset 12	No
Chapter 5: Spatial Strategy					
MM5.1		Spatial Strategy	Insert additional sentence at the end of paragraph titled Strategic recycling facilities , as follows: ' <u>Insets 7 to 10 also make provision for the management of non-hazardous waste, which could include the management of recyclates.</u> '	Update to reflect modifications proposed in Chapter 7	No, the modification will not in itself lead to further development.
MM5.2	MM5.1	Spatial Strategy	Amend paragraph titled Local recycling facilities , as follows: 'Several of Dorset's existing household recycling centres, transfer stations and waste management centres <u>dealing with local authority collected waste</u> are unsuitable and in need of improvement or relocation to bring them up to modern standards and/or serve growing local communities....'	To clarify that the local recycling facilities referred to are facilities for local authority collected waste.	No
MM5.3		Spatial Strategy	Amend sub-heading of Site specific allocations as follows: Site specific allocations (<u>Insets 2-6</u>):	To include reference to the relevant Insets.	No
MM5.4	MM5.2	Spatial Strategy	Amendment paragraph titled Food waste treatment as follows: ' Food waste treatment – It is estimated that there may be a shortfall in energy recovery capacity for food waste of up to 57,000tpa 59,000tpa by the end of the Plan period.'	To reflect updated projections	No
MM5.5		Spatial Strategy	Insert additional sentence at the end of paragraph titled Food waste treatment , as follows: ' <u>Insets 7 to 10 also make provision for the management of non-hazardous waste, which could include the management of food waste.</u> '	Update to reflect modifications proposed in Chapter 7	No, the modification will not in itself lead to further development.

MM5.6	MM5.3	Spatial Strategy	Amendment to paragraph titled Residual waste management as follows: ‘ Residual waste management – Landfill capacity in Dorset is diminishing and existing treatment capacity for residual waste is insufficient to meet our projected needs. At the end of the Plan period it is estimated that there will be a shortfall of approximately 227,000tpa <u>232,000tpa</u> of capacity for managing non-hazardous waste.’	To reflect updated projections	No
MM5.7	MM5.4	Spatial Strategy	Amendment to paragraph titled Inert waste management as follows: ‘Increased levels of inert waste arising in the Plan area, along with the expiration of temporary planning permissions for recycling and landfill, means that by the end of the Plan period there could be a shortfall in capacity for managing this type of waste. The estimated shortfall is around 272,000 tpa <u>235.000tpa</u> of non-recycling capacity...’	To reflect updated projections	No
Chapter 6 Allocated Sites					
AM6.1	AM6.1	Paragraph 6.1	Amend paragraph as follows: ‘Through a thorough process of site selection the Waste Plan has, wherever possible, sought to identify <u>identified</u> specific sites for the development of new and improved waste management facilities and additional capacity to address the identified needs and deliver the spatial strategy.’	Update	No
AM6.2	AM6.2	Paragraph 6.2	Amend first sentence as follows: ‘Allocation of a site gives certainty to the waste industry and local communities about the acceptability 'in principle' of the use of the site for future waste uses as set out within Insets 1 - 13 <u>12</u> (see Appendix 3)...’	Update to reflect deletion of Inset 12	No
MM6.1		Paragraph 6.4	Amend first sentence as follows:	To reflect change in	No

			‘The relevant policies of this Plan and the information set out in the Insets, including the proposed <u>allocated</u> uses and development considerations....’	terminology in Policy 3.	
MM6.2		Paragraph 6.6	<p>Amend third sentence and add in additional three sentences as follows:</p> <p>Insets 1 -13<u>2</u> include maps showing the site boundaries and other relevant information such as including details on appropriate waste uses <u>the allocated uses</u> and the relevant development considerations. <u>Insets 1 – 6 are allocated for the development of local waste management facilities. The specific allocated uses for each site are stated in the insets and include household recycling centres, waste transfer facilities and waste vehicle depots. Insets 7-10 are allocated for intensification and redevelopment, including the management of non-hazardous waste. This may include facilities to manage residual waste, recyclates and food waste.</u></p> <p>The locations and boundaries of the Allocated Sites are also shown on the Policies Map.</p>	To provide clarification regarding appropriate uses on the Allocated Sites.	No, the modification will not in itself lead to further development.
MM6.3	MM5.5	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	<p>Amend policy as follows:</p> <p>‘The Waste Plan identifies Allocated Sites, as identified on the Policies Map, for waste management development to address the shortfall in waste management capacity and identified needs for new and improved waste management facilities, <u>as set out in the Spatial Strategy.</u></p> <p>Proposals within the Allocated Sites, <u>listed below, will be permitted where they are accordance with the allocated uses for the proposed</u> set out in Insets 1 – 13 <u>12</u>, <u>are acceptable in principle</u> and will be permitted where it is demonstrated that they meet all of the following criteria:...</p> <p>Add in sub-heading after criterion d:</p> <p><u>Allocated Sites</u></p>	<p>To clarify that Policy 3 links to the Spatial Strategy.</p> <p>Planning permission has been granted for the expansion of Gillingham STW. There is no need to allocate to the site in the Waste Plan - Update to reflect the</p>	No

				deletion of Inset 12. To remove unnecessary text	
MM6.4	MM5.6	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	Amendment as follows: Inset 1 - <u>Area of search at</u> Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Update to reflect the fact that the allocated area is larger than the land required for waste facilities.	Yes – a wider area of search may lead to effects on species typical of European sites.
MM6.5	MM5.7	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	Amendment as follows: Inset 3 - <u>Land Area of search</u> at Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham	Update to reflect the fact that the allocated area is larger than the land required for a waste facility.	No
MM6.6	MM5.11	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	Insert additional text: <u>'The following site is also allocated for the development of a facility for the management of bulky waste:</u> <u>Inset 1 – An area of search at Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross'</u>	To provide clarification	Yes – the allocation for bulky waste management may impact on species typical of the European sites
MM6.7	MM5.8	Policy 3 – Sites allocated for waste management development	Amendment to remove allocated site as follows: The following sewage treatment works are is allocated for expansion of existing activities: <u>Inset 12 – Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works, Common Mead Lane, Gillingham</u>	Planning permission has been granted for the expansion of Gillingham STW. There is no need to	No

			Inset 13 12- Maiden Newton Sewage Works, south of Maiden Newton...'	allocate to the site in the Waste Plan - Update to reflect the deletion of Inset 12.	
MM6.8		Inset new paragraph after 6.9	<p>Insert paragraph as follows:</p> <p><u>'It is noted, for example, that the West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Local Plan is currently under review and options are being considered for the growth of Dorchester, including provision for employment land. This plan was not at a sufficiently advanced stage at the time of preparing the Waste Plan for the WPA to explore the possibility of finding another alternative site option for a new household recycling centre (HRC) to serve Dorchester. The Waste Plan has instead allocated a site at Loudsmill (Inset 5) close to the existing facility which offers the only realistic opportunity of delivery (as at June 2018). However, the WPA recognises that in future it is possible that a suitable alternative option for an HRC could emerge once the West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Local Plan reaches a sufficiently advanced stage. This could support the overall approach in the plan of providing a sufficiently flexible strategy to cope with changing needs or circumstances over the plan period such as in the event that the allocated site does not come forward'</u></p>		No – although this modification refers to potential development on an unallocated site, this would be covered by Policy 4, which contains text safeguarding European and Ramsar sites.
MM6.9	MM5.9	Paragraph 6.11	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'In the event that there are suitably located Allocated Sites but these are not available or are otherwise unsuitable for the proposal...'</p>	To provide clarification	No
MM6.10	MM5.10	Policy 4 – Applications for waste management facilities not	<p>Amend criterion a. as follows:</p> <p>'a. there is no suitable allocated site capable of available site allocated for serving the waste management need that the</p>	To provide clarification	No

		allocated in the Waste Plan	proposal is designed to address or the non-allocated site provides advantages over the allocated site;'		
MM6.11	MM5.12	Policy 4 – Applications for waste management facilities not allocated in the Waste Plan	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>In the case of composting and anaerobic digestion, Waste management facilities proposals may be suitable within an agricultural setting where the proposed use and scale is compatible with the setting, and provides opportunities to utilise outputs from the process in the locality and provides advantages over the locations specified in criteria e – g.</p>	To ensure the Plan is compatible with National Planning Policy for Waste	No
Chapter 7: Forecasts and the need for new facilities					
MM7.1	MM7.1	New Paragraph after 7.2	<p>Insert additional paragraph as follows:</p> <p><u>'The interchangeable nature of the waste arisings is also recognised within this chapter. This leads to the need for flexible site allocations that can manage a range of waste streams and react to the needs of the Plan area.'</u></p>	To provide clarification	No
MM7.2	MM7.2	Box after paragraph 7.8	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>'Local authority collected waste in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole is projected to grow at an average rate of: 1%0.9%'</p>	To reflect updated projections	No
MM7.3	MM7.3	Box after paragraph 7.8	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>'Commercial and Industrial waste is projected to grow at an average rate of: 1.2%1.4%'</p>	To reflect updated projections	No
MM7.4		Box after paragraph 7.8	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>The local economic forecasting model (2015 2016/2017) was used as a basis for the projections and it is projected that arisings will grow at 85% the rate of economic growth by 2033.</p>	Update	No
MM7.5	MM7.4	Table 2	<p>Amend table as follows:</p> <p>Table 2 Total Waste Arisings (tpa)</p>	To reflect updated projections	No

			2015/16	2018/19	2023/24	2028/29	2032/33				
			<u>Municipal Waste Local authority collected waste</u>	387,000	394,000	414,000	433,000	449,000 453,000			
			Commercial & Industrial Waste*	447,000	461,000 468,000	492,000 497,000	520,000 532,000	555,000 572,000			
			Total	834,000	855,000 862,000	906,000 911,000	954,000 965,000	1,004,000 1,025,000			
			Total Waste Arisings (tpa)								
			* It has not always been possible to directly compare capacity and waste arisings as some existing facilities are capable of managing recycles and/or residual waste								
MM7.6	MM7.5	Paragraph 7.10	Amend paragraph as follows: 'The total waste arisings in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole are estimated to grow by approximately 170,000 <u>191,000</u> tonnes per annum (tpa) by the end of the Plan period'.					To reflect updated projections	No		
AM7.1	AM7.1	Paragraph 7.15	Amend paragraph as follows: As there are <u>currently</u> no suitable MRFs in Dorset, this material is sent to a MRF in Shotton, North Wales for sorting. In terms of assessing existing capacity, it has been assumed that this movement of waste will continue to the end of the contractual period.					For clarification	No		
MM7.7	MM7.6	New Paragraph after 7.16	Insert additional paragraph as follows: <u>There are two dirty materials recovery facilities, Canford Recycling Centre and SUEZ at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, that currently manage waste from the commercial and industrial sector. This can be recycles or residual waste, or a combination of both. A degree of judgement is needed when making</u>						No		

			<u>assumptions about the apportionment of capacity between recyclates and residual waste as these facilities tend to be flexible and the waste managed can change to reflect market conditions or contracts. Hence these sites may contribute towards managing recyclates. For the purposes of this Plan Mannings Heath is allocated for non-hazardous waste management, so its existing recycling capacity has not been accounted for.</u>		
MM7.8	MM7.7	Paragraph 7.17	Delete first paragraph and amend as follows: 'There are two MRFs in Poole that currently deal mainly with waste from the commercial and industrial sector: Canford Recycling Centre and SUEZ at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate. A MRF facility at Binnegar Environmental Park, near Wareham, provides additional capacity; however this site is currently not in operation. There is also a cardboard recycling facility in Poole.'	Delete repetition	No
AM7.2	AM7.2	Paragraph 7.18	Amend first sentence as follows: Permission has been granted for two further additional materials recovery facilities at Mannings Heath and Canford Magna, both in Poole.	For clarification	No
MM7.9	MM7.8	New Paragraph after 7.19	Insert additional paragraph as follows: <u>'In addition, there are a number of sites within the Plan area that act as transfer facilities with limited sorting capabilities for recyclates and residual waste from the commercial sector. These facilities perform a helpful function facilitating the onward movement of recyclates for further treatment and reprocessing. This capacity has not been counted in our existing capacity assessment (Table 3) as accurately apportioning capacity between recycling or residual waste is not possible and because their use in pushing waste up the hierarchy is limited.'</u>	To provide clarification and appropriately reflect the range of facilities available in the Plan area for the management of waste.	No
MM7.10	MM7.9	Paragraph 7.20	Amend paragraph as follows:	To reflect updated projections	No

			<p>'The amount of materials capable of being recycled is projected to increase by almost 80,000–90,000 tonnes per annum by the end of the plan period. Table 3 highlights a significant potential shortfall in capacity for the management of recyclates of over 250,000 tpa assuming one of the two permitted MRF's is built. If both facilities are developed, the shortfall in capacity for managing recyclates would be significantly reduced. also shows that there is no shortfall in capacity available for managing recyclates during the Plan period. This is assuming that one of the permitted material recovery facilities becomes operational.'</p>	<p>Check table number</p>																									
MM7.11	MM7.10	Table 3	<p>Replace existing Table 3 with the following amended version:</p> <p>Table 3 Capacity and Need – Recycling (tpa)</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2015</th> <th>2018</th> <th>2023</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Projected arisings / Need</td> <td>340,000</td> <td>358,000</td> <td>379,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permitted capacity</td> <td>107,000</td> <td>107,000</td> <td>177,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Identified capacity gap</td> <td>-233,000</td> <td>-251,000</td> <td>-202,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Potential MRF capacity</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Note that total capacity is shown in both recyclates and residual waste for illustrative purposes only.</td> <td>c.150,000</td> <td>c.150,000</td> <td>c.150,000</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2015	2018	2023	Projected arisings / Need	340,000	358,000	379,000	Permitted capacity	107,000	107,000	177,000	Identified capacity gap	-233,000	-251,000	-202,000	Potential MRF capacity				Note that total capacity is shown in both recyclates and residual waste for illustrative purposes only.	c.150,000	c.150,000	c.150,000	<p>To reflect updated projections and to ensure that Plan appropriately reflect the range of facilities available in the Plan area for the management of waste.</p>	No
	2015	2018	2023																										
Projected arisings / Need	340,000	358,000	379,000																										
Permitted capacity	107,000	107,000	177,000																										
Identified capacity gap	-233,000	-251,000	-202,000																										
Potential MRF capacity																													
Note that total capacity is shown in both recyclates and residual waste for illustrative purposes only.	c.150,000	c.150,000	c.150,000																										
MM7.12	MM7.11	New Paragraph after Table 3	<p>Insert additional paragraph as follows:</p> <p><u>'There is potential capacity at Canford Recycling Centre amounting to about 150,000 tpa that may also be available to manage recyclates, which could partly address the identified shortfall. As this site could also manage residual waste, this potential capacity is shown separately in Table 3. As explained in paragraph xx additional capacity also exists in other facilities in the Plan area for the transfer and limited sorting of recyclables which may also address some of the capacity shortfall. Table 3 shows that there is a shortfall in capacity for</u></p>	<p>To ensure that Plan appropriately reflect the range of facilities available in the Plan area for the management of waste.</p>	No																								

			<u>managing recyclates throughout the Plan period. It is assumed that the existing MRFs and other transfer facilities described above are addressing this need, along with facilities out of the county.</u>		
AM7.3	AM7.3	Paragraph 7.21	Amendment to paragraph However, a <u>A</u> criteria based policy will enable the development of additional sites for the management of recyclable material if permitted capacity does not come forward or if another site comes forward that provides advantages over permitted capacity (see Chapter 8)	Update	No
MM7.13	MM7.12	New paragraph after 7.21	Insert additional paragraph as follows: <u>'In addition, Insets 7 to 10 are existing waste management facilities allocated for intensification including the management of non-hazardous waste. This could include the management of recyclates.'</u>	To provide clarification that allocated sites could contribute to the management of recyclates.	No – the modification will not in itself result in development.
MM7.14	MM7.13	Identified Need 1	Additional sentence to the end of paragraph as follows: <u>'Insets 7 to 10 also make provision for the management of non-hazardous waste, which could include the management of recyclates.'</u>	To provide clarification that allocated sites could contribute to the management of recyclates.	No – the modification will not in itself result in development.
MM7.15	MM7.14	Table 4	Update projected arisings/need – Green waste (tpa) in 2018 as follows: '90,000 <u>'91,000'</u>	To reflect updated projections	No
AM7.4	AM7.4	Paragraph 7.33	Amend sentence as follows: A biomass plant is now <u>in</u> operation at Eco Sustainable Solutions to treat the word <u>wood</u> once shredded.	Typo	No

AM7.5	AM7.5	Paragraph 7.37 to 7.43 and Identified Need 5	NB: <i>It is proposed to move this section so that it comes after the food waste section</i>	To improve the flow off the document	No																				
MM7.16	MM7.15	Paragraph 7.48	Additional sentence to the end of paragraph as follows: 'Planning permission also exists for an additional AD plant at Parley. This capacity has not been included in our assessment of existing capacity, since indications from the operator are that this facility will not be built <u>and the operator has proposed alternative waste management facilities on the site.</u> '	To reflect the most up to date position.	No																				
MM7.17	MM7.16	Paragraph 7.50	Amend paragraph as follows: 'The amount of food waste arisings suitable for treatment is projected to increase by about 16,000 <u>18,000</u> tonnes per annum at the end of the Plan period.'	To reflect updated projections	No																				
MM7.18	MM7.17	Table 6	Update table as follows: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2015</th> <th>2018</th> <th>2023</th> <th>2028</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Projected arisings / Need</td> <td>67,000</td> <td>70,000 <u>71,000</u></td> <td>74,000 <u>75,000</u></td> <td>78,000 <u>80,000</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Permitted/operational recovery capacity</td> <td>26,000</td> <td>26,000</td> <td>26,000</td> <td>26,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Identified shortfall</td> <td>-42,000</td> <td>-44,000 <u>-45,000</u></td> <td>-48,000 <u>-49,000</u></td> <td>-52,000 <u>-54,000</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2015	2018	2023	2028	Projected arisings / Need	67,000	70,000 <u>71,000</u>	74,000 <u>75,000</u>	78,000 <u>80,000</u>	Permitted/operational recovery capacity	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000	Identified shortfall	-42,000	-44,000 <u>-45,000</u>	-48,000 <u>-49,000</u>	-52,000 <u>-54,000</u>	To reflect updated projections	No
	2015	2018	2023	2028																					
Projected arisings / Need	67,000	70,000 <u>71,000</u>	74,000 <u>75,000</u>	78,000 <u>80,000</u>																					
Permitted/operational recovery capacity	26,000	26,000	26,000	26,000																					
Identified shortfall	-42,000	-44,000 <u>-45,000</u>	-48,000 <u>-49,000</u>	-52,000 <u>-54,000</u>																					
MM7.19	MM7.18	Paragraph 7.52	Amend paragraph as follows: 'The recovery of organic waste is encouraged in order to move waste up the waste hierarchy. The Waste Plan allows for this through a criteria based policy (see Chapter 9). The operational capacity for the management of food waste will be monitored. In addition, Insets 7 to 10 are existing waste management facilities allocated for intensification including the management of non-	To provide clarification that allocated sites could contribute to the management of organic waste.	No – the modification will not in itself result in development.																				

			<u>hazardous waste. This could include the recovery of organic waste.'</u>		
MM7.20	MM7.19	Identified Need <u>8-6</u>	Additional sentence to the end of paragraph as follows: <u>'Insets 7 to 10 also make provision for the management of non-hazardous waste, which could include the management of organic waste.'</u>	To provide clarification that allocated sites could contribute to the management of organic waste.	No – the modification will not in itself result in development.
MM7.21	MM7.20	Paragraph 7.55	Amend paragraph as follows: 'Residual waste arising in Dorset is currently managed through a combination of <u>transfer stations</u> , recovery facilities and landfill (disposal) sites.'	To provide clarification	No
MM7.22	MM7.21	Paragraph 7.58	Amend paragraph as follows: 'A proportion of residual waste arisings from Poole is sent to an energy from waste <u>facilities outside Dorset facility in Slough</u> . It has been assumed that this movement of waste will <u>also could</u> continue to the end of the contractual period.'	Update to reflect the most up to date position.	No
MM7.23	MM7.22	Paragraph 7.59	Amend fourth sentence and add additional paragraph to the end of paragraph as follows: '...It is hoped <u>expected</u> that this facility can be developed during the Plan period to manage RDF/SRF arising within the Plan area. <u>This capacity has not been counted, as this facility will only manage pre-treated waste.'</u>	To provide clarification	No
MM7.24	MM7.23	New paragraphs after 7.59	Insert additional paragraphs as follows: <u>'As referred to in paragraph xx, planning permission has been granted for two materials recovery facilities in Poole to manage recyclates. It is acknowledged that there is unlikely to be a need for both of these facilities to be developed. This may provide the potential for one of the sites to manage other non-hazardous</u>	To ensure that Plan appropriately reflect the range of facilities available in the Plan area for the	No

			<p><u>wastes including residual waste, subject to satisfying the policies of this Plan.</u></p> <p><u>As explained earlier, Canford Recycling Centre and SUEZ at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, manage waste from the commercial and industrial sector. This can be recycles or residual waste, or a combination of both. For the purposes of this Plan Manning Heath is allocated for non-hazardous waste management, so its existing capacity has not been accounted for.</u></p> <p><u>In addition, there are a number of sites within the Plan area that act as transfer facilities with limited sorting capabilities. These facilities manage recycles and residual waste from the commercial sector. These facilities perform a helpful function facilitating the onward movement of residual waste for further treatment. Existing capacity in such facilities amounts to some 135,000 tpa. However, since such facilities have a limited function in pushing waste up the hierarchy, their capacity has not been included in the assessment.</u></p>	management of waste.						
MM7.25	MM7.24	Paragraph 7.62	<p>Amend second sentence as follows:</p> <p>‘...The amount of residual waste arisings suitable for treatment is projected to increase by approximately 52,000 <u>57,000</u> tonnes per annum at the end of the Plan period.’</p>	To reflect updated projections	No					
AM7.6	AM7.6	Paragraph 7.63	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>As a result, matching capacity to arisings should be seen only as a guide to the amount of residual waste that will require management.</p>	Typo	No					
MM7.26	MM7.25	Table 7	<p>Replace existing Table 2 with the following amended version:</p> <p>Table 7 Capacity and Need – Non-hazardous residual waste (tpa)</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 25%;"></td> <td style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 25%; text-align: center;">2015</td> <td style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 25%; text-align: center;">2018</td> <td style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 25%; text-align: center;">2023</td> <td style="border: 1px dashed black; width: 25%; text-align: center;">2028</td> </tr> </table>		2015	2018	2023	2028	To reflect updated projections	No
	2015	2018	2023	2028						

			<p>Projected arisings / Need</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>300,000</td> <td>304,000</td> <td>320,000</td> <td>339,000</td> <td>359,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Capacity (recovery and landfill) all facilities</td> <td>214,000</td> <td>167,000</td> <td>142,000</td> <td>125,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Identified shortfall</td> <td>-86,000</td> <td>-137,000</td> <td>-178,000</td> <td>-214,000</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Potential MRF capacity Note that total capacity is shown in both recyclates and residual waste for illustrative purposes only.</td> <td>c.150,000</td> <td>c.150,000</td> <td>c.150,000</td> <td>c.150,000</td> </tr> </table>	300,000	304,000	320,000	339,000	359,000	Capacity (recovery and landfill) all facilities	214,000	167,000	142,000	125,000	Identified shortfall	-86,000	-137,000	-178,000	-214,000	Potential MRF capacity Note that total capacity is shown in both recyclates and residual waste for illustrative purposes only.	c.150,000	c.150,000	c.150,000	c.150,000		
300,000	304,000	320,000	339,000	359,000																					
Capacity (recovery and landfill) all facilities	214,000	167,000	142,000	125,000																					
Identified shortfall	-86,000	-137,000	-178,000	-214,000																					
Potential MRF capacity Note that total capacity is shown in both recyclates and residual waste for illustrative purposes only.	c.150,000	c.150,000	c.150,000	c.150,000																					
MM7.27	MM7.26	New Paragraph after 7.65	<p>Inset new paragraph as follows:</p> <p><u>As explained in this chapter, there may be the potential for additional residual waste management capacity to come forward on sites previously designed for the management of recyclates. Potential capacity amounting to circa 150,000 tpa (at Canford Recycling Centre) may also be available to deal with residual waste. This potential capacity is shown separately in Table 7. This is firstly because the site could also manage recyclates and secondly because waste managed would currently require onward transfer for further treatment.</u></p>	To ensure that Plan appropriately reflects the range of facilities available in the Plan area for the management of waste.	No																				
MM7.28	MM7.27	Paragraph 7.66	<p>Delete paragraph</p> <p>Alternatively, facilities outside the Plan area would need to be relied upon for managing majority of Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole's residual waste. There is no guarantee that such facilities have the capacity to manage our projected arisings (aside from the two recovery facilities we already have contracts with). This would also go against the guiding principles of proximity, whereby waste should be managed as closely as possible to where it is produced, and self-sufficiency. The capacity of facilities for the</p>	Paragraph is moved to the end of this section	No																				

			<p>treatment of residual waste in England, particularly in the south, will be kept under review. If it appears that there are facilities with surplus capacity that could deal with Dorset's residual waste, this option will be considered in the context of cost and impacts of transporting waste. The Waste Infrastructure Delivery Programme (WIDP) was set up to address the expected shortfall in residual waste treatment capacity needed in order for England to meet its share of the UK's Landfill Directive targets. As part of monitoring progress towards meeting EU Landfill Directive targets, it has been estimated that sufficient residual waste treatment infrastructure is coming forward to meet our Directive obligations. Other reports suggest that constructing new waste processing plants is held back because of a lack of available finance, which could have an impact on treatment capacity. The capacity of facilities for the treatment of residual waste in England, particularly in the south, will be kept under review. If it appears that there are facilities with surplus capacity that could deal with Dorset's residual waste, this option will be considered in the context of cost and impacts of transporting waste. Whilst this does not sit well with the aim of self sufficiency, it makes little sense to build additional facilities where existing facilities have surplus capacity.</p>		
MM7.29	MM7.28	Paragraph 7.67	<p>Amend first, second and third sentences of this section as follows:</p> <p>'The Waste Plan allocates three specific sites for the provision of new facilities for the management of residual waste, plus additional capacity at the existing MBT facility at Canford Magna (<u>Insets 7 to 10</u>). Total potential capacity within the four Allocated Sites <u>amounts to some 385,000 tpa, exceeding</u>s the identified needs of the Plan area. However, this approach ensures that the Plan remains flexible in the event that one or more of the allocations <u>cannot does not</u> come forward <u>for the treatment of residual waste...</u>'</p>	To reflect updated figures on capacity derived from a review of the potential opportunities for managing different waste streams within the Plan area.	No
MM7.30	MM7.29	Identified Need 7	Amend first sentence as follows:	To reflect updated projections	No

			‘There could be a shortfall of approximately 232,000tpa 227,000tpa in capacity for managing non-hazardous residual waste at the end of the Plan period...’		
AM7.7	AM7.7	Paragraph 7.68	Amend first sentence: ‘There may <i>also</i> be a need for disposal capacity for the final disposal of small quantities of waste that cannot be treated.’	Clarification	No
MM7.31	MM7.30	New Paragraph following Identified Need 8	New paragraph as follows: <u>‘If new facilities are not brought forward in Dorset, facilities outside the Plan area would need to be relied upon for managing large quantities of Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole’s residual waste. There is no guarantee that such facilities have the capacity to manage our projected arisings (aside from the two recovery facilities we already have contracts with). This would also go against the guiding principles of proximity, whereby waste should be managed as closely as possible to where it is produced, and self-sufficiency. The capacity of facilities for the treatment of residual waste in England, particularly in the south, will be kept under review. If it appears that there are facilities with surplus capacity that could deal with Dorset’s residual waste, this option will be considered in the context of cost and impacts of transporting waste. Whilst this does not sit well with the aim of self sufficiency, it makes little sense to build additional facilities where existing facilities have surplus capacity.’</u>	Paragraph has been moved from an earlier section.	No
MM7.32	MM7.31	Box following paragraph 7.69	Amend text within box as follows: ‘ Inert waste is projected to grow at an average annual rate of 3.7% 3.1% This is based on the assumption that inert waste arisings will grow in line with projected growth in Value Added for the construction sector. Growth in the construction sector is projected using the Local Economic Forecasting Model (2015 2016/17), based on a ‘planned growth scenario’ (taking into account planned housing growth from adopted local plans).	The forecasts for inert waste have been updated to reflect the latest available Local Economic Forecasting Model (2016/17).	No

			Recycling rate: It is assumed that 80% of inert waste arisings will be recycled.'		
MM7.33	MM7.32	Paragraph 7.70	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'There is a relatively good network of facilities in the Plan area for managing inert waste materials, comprising both recycling operations and landfill sites. There are 23 <u>25</u> sites managing inert waste, nine <u>ten</u> of which are inert landfill sites and fourteen <u>fifteen</u> of which are recycling facilities. Together they provide just under 990,000 <u>3 million</u> tpa of capacity (around 80<u>60</u>% of which is recycling capacity). There is also an additional permission for inert landfill that is not operational. <u>The Waste Planning Authority is also aware of other active mineral sites where inert material may be required for restoration, providing additional recovery capacity (subject to planning permission).</u>'</p>	To provide clarification and reflect the most up to date position.	No
MM7.34	MM7.33	Figure 6 – Existing inert waste facilities	Update map to include three additional sites and to remove one site reclassified as transfer.	To reflect latest situation	No

MM7.35	MM7.34	Paragraph 7.71	<p>Amend text as follows:</p> <p>‘Inert landfill sites tend to be within quarries and provide an important function in their restoration. Estimated total void capacity at the end of 2016 was 1.85 <u>2</u> million m³.’</p>	Existing capacity assessment amended to include an additional inert landfill site.	No
MM7.36	MM7.35	Paragraph 7.73	<p>Amend first two sentences as follows:</p>	Existing capacity	No

			'There are fourteen fifteen inert waste recycling facilities within the Plan area providing capacity of just over 796,000-910,000 tpa. Just over 60% half of the recycling facilities are permanent. Some of the permanent facilities are co-located with other treatment facilities...'	assessment to include an additional recycling facility.									
MM7.37	MM7.36	Paragraph 7.74	Amend text as follows: 'Total existing recycling capacity is around 796,000-910,000 tpa, whilst annual throughput is just under 580,000tpa around 500,000tpa , suggesting there is currently significant spare capacity at existing facilities.'	Existing capacity assessment to include an additional recycling facility.	No								
MM7.38	MM7.37	Paragraph 7.75	Amend text as follows: 'It is assumed that the recycling capacity will reduce over time as the temporary permissions cease. At the end of the Plan period, the remaining recycling capacity will be around 377,000-400,000 tpa if no new facilities are brought forward.'	Existing capacity assessment to include an additional recycling facility.	No								
MM7.39	MM7.38	Paragraph 7.76	Amend text as follows: 'The amount of inert waste arisings that require management is forecast to increase at an average annual rate of 3.7 3.1 %. Over 1.3 1.2 million tonnes per annum is forecast to arise annually by the end of the Plan period.'	The forecasts for inert waste have been updated to reflect the latest available Local Economic Forecasting Model (2016/17).	No								
MM7.40	MM7.39	Table 8	Replace existing Table 8 with the following amended version: Table 8 Capacity and Need – Inert waste (tpa) <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>2016</th> <th>2018</th> <th>2023</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Total projected arisings of inert waste</td> <td>691,000</td> <td>711,400</td> <td>847,400</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>		2016	2018	2023	Total projected arisings of inert waste	691,000	711,400	847,400	To reflect revised forecasts (based on the updated Local Economic Forecasting Model) and	No
	2016	2018	2023										
Total projected arisings of inert waste	691,000	711,400	847,400										

			<table border="1"> <tbody> <tr> <td><u>Projected arisings expected to be recycled</u></td> <td><u>552,800</u></td> <td><u>569,100</u></td> <td><u>677,900</u></td> <td><u>738,400</u></td> <td><u>740,700</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Permitted capacity (recycling)</u></td> <td><u>914,100</u></td> <td><u>914,100</u></td> <td><u>429,100</u></td> <td><u>399,100</u></td> <td><u>399,100</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Identified surplus/shortfall (recycling)</u></td> <td><u>361,300</u></td> <td><u>345,000</u></td> <td><u>-248,800</u></td> <td><u>-399,300</u></td> <td><u>-541,500</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Projected arisings for recovery/disposal</u></td> <td><u>138,200</u></td> <td><u>142,300</u></td> <td><u>169,500</u></td> <td><u>199,600</u></td> <td><u>235,200</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><u>2,685,00</u></td> <td><u>1,731,80</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Remaining permitted landfill void</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>422,400</u></td> <td><u>125,000</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Identified surplus/shortfall (non-recycling)</u></td> <td><u>2,547,80</u></td> <td><u>1,589,60</u></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>0</u></td> <td><u>252,900</u></td> <td><u>-74,600</u></td> <td><u>-235,200</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<u>Projected arisings expected to be recycled</u>	<u>552,800</u>	<u>569,100</u>	<u>677,900</u>	<u>738,400</u>	<u>740,700</u>	<u>Permitted capacity (recycling)</u>	<u>914,100</u>	<u>914,100</u>	<u>429,100</u>	<u>399,100</u>	<u>399,100</u>	<u>Identified surplus/shortfall (recycling)</u>	<u>361,300</u>	<u>345,000</u>	<u>-248,800</u>	<u>-399,300</u>	<u>-541,500</u>	<u>Projected arisings for recovery/disposal</u>	<u>138,200</u>	<u>142,300</u>	<u>169,500</u>	<u>199,600</u>	<u>235,200</u>		<u>2,685,00</u>	<u>1,731,80</u>				<u>Remaining permitted landfill void</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>422,400</u>	<u>125,000</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>Identified surplus/shortfall (non-recycling)</u>	<u>2,547,80</u>	<u>1,589,60</u>					<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>252,900</u>	<u>-74,600</u>	<u>-235,200</u>	revised capacity assessment	
<u>Projected arisings expected to be recycled</u>	<u>552,800</u>	<u>569,100</u>	<u>677,900</u>	<u>738,400</u>	<u>740,700</u>																																																
<u>Permitted capacity (recycling)</u>	<u>914,100</u>	<u>914,100</u>	<u>429,100</u>	<u>399,100</u>	<u>399,100</u>																																																
<u>Identified surplus/shortfall (recycling)</u>	<u>361,300</u>	<u>345,000</u>	<u>-248,800</u>	<u>-399,300</u>	<u>-541,500</u>																																																
<u>Projected arisings for recovery/disposal</u>	<u>138,200</u>	<u>142,300</u>	<u>169,500</u>	<u>199,600</u>	<u>235,200</u>																																																
	<u>2,685,00</u>	<u>1,731,80</u>																																																			
<u>Remaining permitted landfill void</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>422,400</u>	<u>125,000</u>	<u>0</u>																																																
<u>Identified surplus/shortfall (non-recycling)</u>	<u>2,547,80</u>	<u>1,589,60</u>																																																			
	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>	<u>252,900</u>	<u>-74,600</u>	<u>-235,200</u>																																																
MM7.41	MM7.40	Paragraph 7.82	Amend final sentence as follows: '...The need for recycling capacity later in the Plan period is also partly met through the allocation of the White's Pit recycling facility in the Mineral Sites Plan (Inset 8 of the Mineral Sites Plan) as a permanent facility (Inset Map RA01 of the Mineral Sites Plan).'	Update	No																																																
MM7.42	MM7.41	New Paragraph after 7.83	Insert new paragraph following paragraph 7.83 as follows: <u>'An initial assessment has been made to determine how much potential capacity for managing inert waste could be available through the restoration of sites allocated in the Mineral Sites Plan. Responses were received in relation to most sites. The potential within these sites could be in excess of 4.5 million tonnes, with one additional operator suggesting that two sites alone could address a substantial proportion of the shortfall. These figures should be treated with extreme caution as it will very much depend on further consideration of appropriate restoration schemes and the impacts of importing material onto sites. However, subject to planning consent, the information suggests that there are plenty of opportunities for the recovery of inert waste within the Plan period.'</u>	To provide information on additional ways that the capacity gap can be addressed.	No																																																
Chapter 8 Recycling																																																					

AM8.1	AM8.1	First paragraph of box in Chapter 8	Amend sentence as follows: 'Chapter 8 7 addressed the need for further recycling facilities/capacity, as summarised below'	Typo	No
AM8.2	AM8.2	Paragraph 8.2	Add additional text to the end of the paragraph: <u>'Nationally, the current target for recycling set by the Waste Framework Directive is 50% by 2020. The introduction of the 2018 Circular Economy package sets municipal waste recycling targets of 55% by 2025, 60% by 2030 and 65% by 2035.'</u>	To include information on national/EU recycling targets.	No
MM8.1	MM8.1	Paragraph 8.5	Add an additional sentence to the end of paragraph as follows: <u>'...This can be derived from local authority collected waste or mixed wastes contained in skips from the building trade.'</u>	For clarification	No
MM8.2	MM8.2	Paragraph 8.12	Addition of text to the end of paragraph as follows: <u>'Shredded bulky waste may need to be mixed with black bag waste in order to prepare RDF or SRF. Facilities producing RDF or SRF would be classed as recovery facility and therefore would need to comply with the relevant criteria of Policy 6 'Recovery Facilities.'</u>	To provide clarification	No
AM8.3	AM8.3	Paragraph 8.13	Amend as follows: 'The Waste Plan aims for net self sufficiency, therefore there is a need for capacity to enable the bulking up and treatment of bulky waste in Dorset.'	Typo	No
MM8.3		Paragraph 8.15, 2 nd sentence	Amend second sentence as follows: 'For the purposes of this Plan, materials recovery facilities that deal with <u>recyclables</u> (recyclates) only are covered by Policy 5...'	For clarification	No
MM8.4		Paragraph 8.17	Amend first sentence as follows: 'With this in mind it will be important not to over provide with the danger <u>risk</u> of drawing in large quantities of recyclates from long distances.'	For ease of reading.	No
Chapter 9 Recovery					

MM9.1	MM9.1	Identified Need 7	Amend text within Identified Need 7 as follows: ' Identified Need 7: We estimate that there could be a shortfall of approximately 227,000tpa <u>232,000tpa</u> in capacity for managing non-hazardous residual waste at the end of the Plan period...'	To reflect updated projections	No
MM9.2		Paragraph 9.11, 2 nd sentence	Amend second sentence as follows: ' For sites that have been allocated only for the preparation of SRF/RDF, or where applications are received for such p Proposals elsewhere, it should be demonstrated that RDF or SRF is managed through recovery as opposed to disposal wherever practicable.'	To reflect modification to Inset 9.	No
AM9.1	AM9.1	Paragraph 9.16	Amend second sentence: 'Thermal treatment includes incineration which converts waste into energy and ash through combustion, and advanced thermal conversion treatment (such as gasification and pyrolysis), which limits the conversion that takes place so that intermediaries are produced such as gas, oils and char.'	To ensure consistency in terminology.	No
AM9.2	AM9.2	Paragraph 9.17	Amend 4 th sentence as follows: 'Advanced thermal conversion treatment facilities also produce gas and oils.'	To ensure consistency in terminology.	No
MM9.3	MM9.2	Paragraph 9.26	Amend paragraph as follows: 'It is estimated that there could be a shortfall of approximately 227,000tpa <u>232,000tpa</u> in capacity for managing non-hazardous residual waste at the end of the Plan period. This shortfall is addressed through the allocation of four sites for the management of non-hazardous waste, through the intensification or re-development of existing facilities (<u>see Insets 7-10</u>).'	To reflect updated projections and for clarification	No
MM9.4	MM9.3	Paragraph 9.27	Amend paragraph as follows: 'The Waste Plan allocates suitable sites for the provision of facilities for the management of non-hazardous waste which are	To provide clarification of the potential	No

			considered acceptable for a range of waste recovery technologies. <u>This could include recycling of non-hazardous waste</u> . Policy 3 sets out the Allocated Sites, with details provided in the Insets (see Appendix 3).'	uses for allocated sites.	
MM9.5		Paragraph 9.28	<p>Add three new paragraphs following paragraph 9.28 as follows:</p> <p><u>The development of energy from waste facilities involving incineration within the allocated sites (Insets 7-10) has the potential to adversely affect European and internationally protected sites, given the allocated sites' proximity to these habitats. The level of detail available at the Plan making stage has not enabled Likely Significant Effects to be ruled out for this type of technology.</u></p> <p><u>The Waste Planning Authority considers that there are other residual waste treatment technologies, such as advanced thermal treatment, where adverse effects may be able to be ruled out with much greater confidence.</u></p> <p><u>Due to the sensitive locations of the allocated sites (Insets 7-10) all applications for waste development will need to provide sufficient evidence to the Waste Planning Authority to enable proposals to be screened and if necessary to enable Appropriate Assessment to be carried out. Proposals will not be approved unless the WPA is satisfied that there will be no adverse effects upon the integrity of European and internationally protected sites, in accordance with Policy 18.</u></p>	To provide further information on potential waste treatment technologies that may be achievable and on assessment under the Habitats Regulations.	No – the modification will not in itself result in development and provides clarification on how Natura 2000 sites will be protected. .
MM9.6	MM9.4	Paragraph 9.29	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'...Proposals for unallocated sites will need to demonstrate that Allocated Sites are not <u>suitable available</u> in accordance with Policy 4...'</p>	To provide clarification	No
MM9.7	MM9.5	Paragraph 9.30	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p>	To provide clarification	No

			‘Applications for recovery facilities should accord with Policy 6. <u>An explanation of how the proposal supports the delivery of the spatial strategy and addresses the needs of the Plan area should be provided. Proposals should also and should</u> show how proposals <u>they</u> will provide for the use of low-carbon energy onsite and offsite, where there is surplus energy generation.’		
Chapter 10 Disposal					
MM10.1		Box – What are the needs?	Amend final sentence of Identified Need 9 as follows: ‘It is proposed to achieve this through a criteria based policy (Policy 8) and <u>through the allocation of sites</u> in the Mineral Sites Plan.’	For clarification.	No
MM10.2		Paragraph 10.1	Amend second sentence as follows: ‘This includes disposal to landfill, or waste treatment without the recovery of energy <u>and waste treatment with energy recovery that does not meet the criteria of the R1 energy efficiency formula.</u> ’	To clarify that some forms of waste treatment that recover some energy can still be classed as ‘disposal’ operations.	No
MM10.3	MM10.3	Paragraph 10.2	<u>‘The introduction of the 2018 Circular Economy package sets a requirement to reduce the amount of municipal waste being landfilled to a maximum of 10% by 2035.’</u>	To reflect up to date EU targets.	No
MM10.4	MM10.1	Paragraph 10.19	Amend paragraph as follows: ‘...This gives a potential non-hazardous landfill requirement of up to 88,000tpa <u>89,000tpa</u> during the Plan period. Safeguarding will ensure that the Waste Planning Authority is consulted on applications for non-mineral development in the vicinity of the existing landfill sites which could have an impact on future operations (see Chapter 13). This approach should ensure that landfill capacity is available locally, should the need arise, during much of the Plan period.’	Update to reflect updated projections.	No

MM10.5	MM10.2	Paragraph 10.20	Amend third sentence as follows: 'The two existing landfill sites in Dorset have recently been mothballed and <u>at the time of adoption</u> it was is currently not known whether either site will re-open as this will depend on viability and market conditions. It is understood that neither landfill operator has plans to create additional cells for the disposal of non-hazardous waste, beyond what is already permitted. To encourage self-sufficiency, both sites are safeguarded until expiry of their planning permissions throughout the Plan period. '	To reflect the remaining capacity within permitted landfill sites.	No
MM10.6		Paragraph 10.22, 2 nd sentence	Amend second sentence as follows: 'It has been assumed that Dorset will continue to send a consistent, albeit small, quantity of waste to Blue Haze, near Ringwood, and Walpole, near Bridgwater, in the short term throughout the Plan period. '	Correction	No
MM10.7	MM10.4	Policy 7	Amend final paragraph as follows: 'In the case of landfill, gas should be used and as an energy source...'	Typo	No
MM10.8	MM10.5	Policy 8	Amend criterion c. as follows: 'they will not prejudice the restoration of existing or permitted mineral or waste sites.'	For clarification	No
Chapter 11 Other waste and facilities					
AM11.1		Paragraph 11.8	Delete word following first sentence: 'The forecasts are based on the extrapolation of historic data. This approach is advocated in the national Planning Practice Guidance. Arising. '	Typo	No
MM11.1	MM11.1	Paragraph 11.30	Amend first sentence as follows: 'A work programme of decommissioning, restoration and closure is being undertaken by Magnox, who are working to achieve an interim-end-state (IES) by 2023 before the end of the Plan period. '	To reflect the latest proposal.	No

MM11.2	MM11.2	Paragraph 11.30, 4 th sentence	<p>Amend fourth sentence as follows:</p> <p>'The NDA's preferred IES is that the majority of the site is restored to natural heathland, with public access and the possibility of some commercial development where appropriate.'</p> <p>Additional sentence as follows:</p> <p><u>'The Waste Planning Authority supports this approach to restoration of the site.'</u></p>	To provide clarification	No
AM11.2	AM11.1	Paragraph 11.30, 5 th sentence	<p>Amend fifth sentence as follows:</p> <p>'The precise details of IES are subject to on-going assessment by Magnox in consultation with a wide range of <u>internal and external</u> stakeholders.'</p>	To provide clarification	No
AM11.3	AM11.2	Paragraph 11.30, 7 th sentence	<p>Amend seventh sentence as follows:</p> <p>'Final-end-state (FES) will be achieved when the site is eventually released from radioactive substances regulation (de-licensing) and will be dependent on finding the right balance between human health, environmental, societal, economic and other relevant factors.'</p>	To provide clarification	No
AM11.4	AM11.3	Paragraph 11.31	<p>Amend first sentence as follows:</p> <p>'Winfrith is one of three 'lead and learn' sites chosen by the NDA to identify and apply optimised solutions to achieve decommissioning, clean up and delicensing<u>release from regulatory control</u> that can be shared and preserved for the benefit of other operators, nuclear licensed sites and contractors.'</p>	To provide clarification.	No
AM11.5	AM11.4	Paragraph 11.32	<p>Paragraph, excluding first sentence to be moved to footnote.</p> <p>Amend first sentence as follows:</p> <p>'The NDA requires <u>s</u> Magnox to keep an inventory of radioactive and non-radioactive waste either in situ, on site or due to arise as a result of the decommissioning and clean-up.'</p>	Typo and for ease of reading	No

MM11.3	MM11.3	Paragraph 11.32	Additional sentence following first sentence, as follows: <u>'Magnox has indicated that in its preferred option some foundations/structures may be retained in the ground (in-situ), whilst some waste arising from the dismantling and decommissioning of the site may be managed on site (subject to the necessary approvals).'</u>	To provide clarification	No
AM11.6	AM11.5	Paragraph 11.33, 2 nd sentence	Amend second sentence as follows: 'The majority of this waste would be <u>low level waste (LLW)</u> , including <u>very low level waste (VLLW)</u> .'	To provide clarification of terms	No
AM11.7	AM11.6	Paragraph 11.34	Amend as follows: 'Magnox applies Best Available Technique (BAT) and Best Environment Practice (BEP) to manage the waste from <u>its/their</u> nuclear liabilities. This includes pre-treatment, conditioning and decay storage processes prior to disposal that reduces the hazardous activity and volume of LLW and <u>higher activity waste (HAW)</u> in accordance with the principles of the waste hierarchy. <u>This means that Ww where radioactive waste generation cannot be avoided or minimised at source, it will be disposed of in accordance with the relevant national policy and strategies.'</u>	To provide clarification	No
MM11.4	MM11.4	Para 11.35	Amend second sentence as follows: <u>'The LLWR is a finite resource and T through the service framework Magnox can access a variety of treatment and diversion facilities options, which may include some in-situ retention and/or on site disposal of LLW disposal that minimises the reliance on the LLWRthis nationally important asset.'</u>	To provide clarification	No
MM11.5	MM11.5	Para 11.36	Amend first sentence as follows: 'It is the intention of Magnox that HAW (<u>comprising</u> ILW) and LLW not suitable for <u>in-situ on-site</u> disposal or disposal at the LLWR will be moved off-site.'	To provide clarification	No

AM11.8	AM11.7	Paragraph 11.39, 2 nd sentence	<p>Amend second sentence as follows:</p> <p>'This involves minimising the amount of waste that needs to be disposed <u>of</u>, including LLW that is capable of recovery in the first instance.'</p>	Typo	No
MM11.6	MM11.6	Para 11.39	<p>Amend fifth sentence as follows:</p> <p>'This may also include the back-filling of some sub-surface voids with <u>waste arising on site on-site waste or other material.</u>'</p>	To provide clarification	No
MM11.7	MM11.7	Para 11.40	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'In-situ <u>retention disposal</u> and on-site recovery or disposal of waste could help to support the overarching waste management principles of the Plan, but should not compromise the restoration of the site to a condition to achieve IES or FES. The disposal of waste <u>arising from the decommissioning of Winfrith</u> on site should be restoration-led, enabling the land to be used more effectively for another use, and should use the minimum amount of waste to achieve the stated purpose. Consequently, waste that is not classified as inert would be expected to be managed off-site at a suitable licensed facility where this is the most practicable way of achieving IES or FES, <u>unless recovery or disposal on site is demonstrated to support the waste hierarchy and proximity principle; it would not compromise the intended site restoration and afteruse and would not lead to unacceptable adverse impacts on the environment and amenity. Notwithstanding this, the Waste Planning Authority recognises that that there may be situations where off-site treatment/disposal routes would not offer any practical environmental benefits and the quantity/nature of material would not compromise the intended afteruse of the site,</u></p>	To provide clarification regarding the potential for onsite recovery or disposal of non inert wastes.	No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the Natura 2000 sites.

			either at IES or FES, or lead to any unacceptable environmental impacts.'		
MM11.8	MM11.8	Para 11.41	<p>Amend first sentence and add additional sentence at end of paragraph:</p> <p>'It is possible that to achieve IES The WPA recognises that Magnox is considering proposals to leave some sub-structures in the ground and/or dispose of LLW in some 'islands' of the site will need to be retained in-situ and which would then remain under radioactive substances regulation until FES is achieved. Magnox's intention is that this should not undermine the overall intent of returning the majority of the site to heathland with public access. <u>The Waste Planning Authority seeks to ensure that the site will be restored to open heathland with public access and that FES will be achieved at the earliest practicable opportunity.'</u></p>	To provide clarification regarding Magnox's proposals and the WPA's position.	No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the Natura 2000 sites.
AM11.9	AM11.9	Paragraph 11.43, 1 st sentence	<p>Amend first sentence as follows:</p> <p>'Policy 10 sets out an overarching <i>planning</i> framework for the decommissioning and restoration of the site from the Waste Planning Authority's point of view.'</p>	To provide clarification	No
MM11.9	MM11.9	Paragraph 11.44	<p>Deletion of first and last sentence and addition of two paragraphs, as follows:</p> <p>'The Waste Planning Authority intends to prepare a supplementary planning document in partnership with Magnox to provide a structured framework that will assist with the interpretation and implementation of decommissioning in accordance with Policy 10 and other relevant policies of this plan.</p> <p>Effective engagement between Magnox and local authorities,</p>	To provide a clear explanation of the WPA's expectations with regards to the provision of a masterplan, in order to assist with the	No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the Natura 2000 sites.

			<p>regulators and communities and robust and transparent environmental assessment (including risk assessment) and monitoring arrangements will be critical. This will help to secure acceptable levels of public confidence <u>and support</u> that the restoration and the next use of the site is in the public interest, both in the short term and for future generations. This will require a comprehensive approach to the wider decommissioning programme so that matters such as Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) can properly inform planning decisions relating to the decommissioning programme. A comprehensive approach for the site which sets out the decommissioning programme, including phasing priorities, would be of great value and will inform both the EIA and the supplementary planning document.</p> <p><u>The Waste Planning Authority would advocate the preparation of a masterplan as an effective tool for providing a clear and consistent framework for waste management development required during decommissioning of the site. This would be an iterative document that is kept up-to-date as decommissioning progresses and should include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) <u>plans showing the layout and details of all structures and sub-structures of the site to be subject to decommissioning , above and below ground for the whole site</u> b) <u>the types and quantities of wastes arising from Winfrith and requiring management, including details of any planned waste management facilities where needed;</u> c) <u>the likely timing of waste management development required to enable decommissioning at the site;</u> d) <u>the range of habitats to be created in restoring areas subject to waste management, and how they will relate to the site as a whole and public access to it; and</u> e) <u>an explanation of how Environmental Impact Assessment requirements associated with the decommissioning</u> 	<p>interpretation of Policy 10.</p> <p>To clarify that the preparation of an SPD will be if it is considered necessary.</p>	
--	--	--	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

			<p><u>project are to be managed in support of any subsequent waste-related planning applications.</u></p> <p><u>Consideration will be given to the preparation of a supplementary planning document (SPD), in partnership with the site license holder and the local planning authority, if this is considered necessary to assist with the implementation of decommissioning in accordance with Policy 10 and other relevant policies of this Plan. The SPD will be informed by the masterplan.</u></p>		
MM11.10	MM11.10	Policy 10	<p>Amend Policy as follows:</p> <p><u>'The Waste Planning Authority will work constructively with Magnox, Purbeck District Council <u>the site license holder, the Local Planning Authority</u>, statutory regulatory bodies <u>and the local community</u> to support decommissioning the restoration of the former Winfrith Nuclear Research and Development Facility to its end state of and restoration to open heathland with public access, where this does not conflict with any on-going management responsibilities. <u>In fulfilling this role determining planning applications for waste management development at the former Winfrith nuclear research and development facility,</u> the Waste Planning Authority will have regard to the following objectives:</u></p> <p>a. For any waste disposal that is not destined for appropriate nuclear or other specialist off-site treatment or disposal routes, comprising principally inert waste, consideration should be given to <u>The on-site reuse/recovery or disposal of waste originating from the decommissioning of the Winfrith facility will be permitted where it would demonstrably support the site's restoration to open heathland and public access, be in conformity with the waste hierarchy and the proximity principle on condition that this does not conflict with the site's intended end state or otherwise create</u></p>	<p>To remove reference to specific organisations and provide clarification.</p> <p>To provide clarity with regards to the on-site management of waste and specific reference to the restoration type.</p> <p>To provide clarity with regards to the preparation of a masterplan. (Replaces</p>	<p>No, and this modification provides further clarification regarding restoration to heathland which will support the Natura 2000 sites.</p>

			<p><u>and would not cause unacceptable adverse impacts on the environment and amenity.</u></p> <p><u>a. b. Proposals should be supported by a masterplan to provide a clear and consistent framework for the development and in order to put each waste management proposal in the context of the overall decommissioning for the Winfrith site.</u></p> <p><u>b. c.</u> The on-site storage of Low Level Waste and Intermediate Level Waste from legacy uses or decommissioning activities in existing or newly constructed safe facilities will continue until such times as the decommissioning programme and wider national waste management strategy allow for its movement to longer term storage, management or disposal facilities.</p> <p><u>e. d.</u> Use of the rail sidings should be maximised where it is <u>economically and logistically</u> feasible to do so, both for the <u>exportation</u> of <u>waste</u> materials and for the importation <u>and exportation</u> of equipment needed for decommissioning of the site, and their retention post decommissioning should be considered in the interests of securing a long term rail freight opportunity;</p> <p>d. e. The potential for <u>vehicular</u> access via Dorset Innovation Park should be investigated, in consultation with stakeholders, to minimise pressure from decommissioning traffic and waste movements upon Gatemoor Road and to secure greater use of the A352, in the interests of highway safety and amenity. Restoration should also take account of how the site's configuration and access arrangements will establish a logical eastern boundary with Dorset Innovation Park; and</p> <p><u>e. f.</u> The restoration programme should have regard to the opportunity for land at the northern end, which lies within the Dorset Innovation Park Enterprise Zone boundary, to be</p>	<p>deleted criterion f). To clarify circumstances.</p> <p>To remove the policy requirement to prepare an SPD.</p>	
--	--	--	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

			<p>considered for uses which contribute to the Innovation Park’s status as a strategic employment site.;and</p> <p>f. All development development subject to Environmental Impact Assessment should involve substantive pre-application engagement with the Waste Planning Authority and should be informed by a masterplan.</p> <p>A Supplementary Planning Document will be produced by the Waste Planning Authority to provide further details, guidance and principles for the decommissioning of the whole site for its next planned use. This-The Waste Planning Authority will seek sustainable outcomes for the local community in accordance with the policies of this Plan, having regard to the on-site designation and proximity of European designated nature conservation habitat, potential mitigation approaches, legacy opportunities and, if appropriate, community benefits.’</p>		
MM11.11	MM11.11	Additional paragraphs to follow Policy 10	<p>Insert two additional paragraphs to follow Policy 10, as follows:</p> <p>‘The provision of community benefits can play an important part in ensuring that regional or national needs in radioactive waste management are met in a way that is fair and reasonable for host communities. If, as part of decommissioning, radioactive contaminated structures are to be left in-situ and/or on-site disposal of radioactive and/or hazardous waste is undertaken, then consideration should be given to the offer of fair and proportionate community benefits if this would offset adverse environmental impacts in a manner consistent with nationally accepted good practice.</p> <p>Community benefit schemes are separate from the planning process; they are not a material planning consideration and will not be taken into account by the Waste Planning Authority during the planning application process. Any community benefits</p>	To provide an explanation of community benefits to assist with interpretation of Policy 10.	No

			<u>package will be in addition to any mitigation secured through planning conditions or, where relevant, legal agreements.'</u>						
MM11.12	MM11.12	Paragraph 11.46, 3 rd sentence	Amend third sentence as follows: 'Any future proposals for <u>waste management</u> development at the Tradebe Inutec site would need to comply with Policy 9 and other relevant policies of this Plan.'	To provide clarification	No				
AM11.10	AM11.10	Paragraph 11.50, 3 rd sentence	Amend third sentence as follows: 'In order to meet obligations under the Water Framework Directive (2000) and Conservation of Species and Habitats <u>and Species</u> Regulations (201 <u>07</u>), these levels must be reduced.	Update	No				
MM11.13	MM11.13	Paragraph 11.51	Amendment to paragraph as follows: 'Discussions with Wessex Water have concluded that the following two sites will require physical expansion to accommodate additional plant and apparatus within the early part of the Plan period. Extensions to these sites are <u>An extension is</u> allocated in the Waste Plan.'	Planning permission has been granted for the expansion of Gillingham STW. There is no need to allocate to the site in the Waste Plan	No				
MM11.14	MM11.14	Table following para 11.51	Amendment to table as follows: <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th colspan="2">Allocated Site</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Inset 12 – Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works, Common Mead Lane, Gillingham</td> <td>Expansion to service planned housing allocations in North Dorset</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Allocated Site		Inset 12 – Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works, Common Mead Lane, Gillingham	Expansion to service planned housing allocations in North Dorset	Planning permission has been granted for the expansion of Gillingham STW. There is no need to allocate to the	No
Allocated Site									
Inset 12 – Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works, Common Mead Lane, Gillingham	Expansion to service planned housing allocations in North Dorset								

			Inset 13 12 – Maiden Newton Sewage Works, south of Maiden Newton	Extension to service catchment growth	site in the Waste Plan	
AM11.11	AM11.10	Paragraph 11.52, 1 st sentence	Amend first sentence as follows: 'Applications on the Allocated Sites should comply with Policy 3 and Policy 11.'		Update	No
AM11.12		Paragraph 11.56, 3 rd sentence	Amend third sentence as follows: 'Table 14-10 shows that the great majority of agricultural waste arising in the Plan area is animal excrement.'		Correction	No
MM11.15	MM11.15	Paragraph 11.56	Amend final sentence as follows: 'Manures and slurries arising from agricultural activities and spread on land for agricultural benefit do not fall within the terms of the Waste Framework Directive and therefore are not considered as waste. '		To avoid confusion as farm wastes (such as slurry) are classified as waste development.	No
MM11.16		Table 10	<p>Insert new paragraph to follow Table 10 as follows:</p> <p><u>'Legislation* requires that agricultural slurry is collected and stored. Slurry comprises liquid or semi-liquid matter composed of excreta produced by livestock while in a yard or building and mixtures of livestock excreta, livestock bedding, rainwater and washings from a building or yard used by livestock.'</u></p> <p><u>Proposals for slurry storage tanks, including lagoons, pits or towers, will be considered against the relevant development management policies of this Waste Plan and policies contained in the relevant local plans. Applicants are encouraged to discuss proposals with the Waste Planning Authority at the pre-application stage, in particular in relation to design and the screening of potential emissions, including ammonia.'</u></p>		To include reference to applications for slurry storage tanks.	No, the modification will not in itself result in development and helps ensure protection of Natura 2000 sites by encouraging applicants to screen for emissions at the pre-app stage..

			* <u>The Water Resources (Control of Pollution) (Silage, Slurry and Agricultural Fuel Oil) (England) Regulations 2010</u>		
AM11.13		Paragraph 11.57	<u>Other agricultural waste</u> The tonnages of actual waste, essentially these comprising non-natural materials arising through farming activities, will be classed as 'waste' and thus need to be appropriately managed or disposed of. This type of agricultural waste became a controlled waste on 15th May 2006. It and is subject to separate legislation, The Waste Management (England and Wales) Regulations 2006 (Statutory Instrument 2006 No. 937).	For clarification.	No
Chapter 12 Development Management					
AM12.1	AM12.1	Paragraph 12.20, 2 nd sentence	Amendment to text: 'Figure 9 <u>10</u> , is the Dorset Advisory Lorry Route Map...'	Correction	No
MM12.1	MM12.1	Paragraph 12.29	Amend paragraph from forth sentence as follows: The strategic and primary road route networks (shown on Figure 10), comprising trunk roads and other primary routes, and regional routes, is <u>are</u> generally suitable for HGVs since such routes are able to satisfactorily accommodate larger vehicles. Encouraging w Waste traffic should wherever practicable to use this higher quality network will to reduce environmental and safety problems on less suitable roads. It will be important to consider each proposal on its merits as some sections of the strategic network suffer congestion, junction capacity issues and community severance. Good design principles and planning conditions can also help to deliver an appropriate and acceptable solutions such as limiting the hours of HGV movements and <u>formal</u> routing agreements.	To provide clarification and strengthen the intention that the strategic and primary routes should be used by HGVs.	No

MM12.2	MM12.9	Policy 12	<p>Amendment to criterion 'b' and second paragraph of policy as follows:</p> <p>'b. the development makes provision for any highway and transport network improvements necessary to mitigate or compensate for any significant adverse impacts on the safety, capacity and use of a highway the strategic, primary and/or local road network, railway, cycle way or public right of way. Where they are in the control of the developer, Improvements will be delivered in a timely manner <u>to the satisfaction of the Local Highway Authority;</u>'</p> <p>Where possible, proposals should have direct access or suitable links with the Dorset Advisory Lorry Route Network. Where this is not possible, appropriate routes to the strategic road network should be utilised. Where necessary transport improvements will be provided to overcome any significant, adverse impacts, on the strategic, primary and/or local road network.</p>	For clarification	No
MM12.3		Paragraph 12.40	<p>Add additional sentence after third sentence of paragraph 12.40:</p> <p><u>'Regard should be had to the frequency and intensity of any potential impact.'</u></p>	To reflect the fact that impacts may be frequent or infrequent.	No
AM12.2	AM12.2	Paragraph 12.43, 2 nd sentence	<p>Amend second sentence as follows:</p> <p>As well as dwellings, sensitive receptors include, but are not limited to, schools, hospitals, prisons, churches, visitor attractions, <u>holiday accommodation</u> and recreational areas.</p>	To include holiday accommodation as a sensitive receptor	No
AM12.3	AM12.2	Paragraph 12.49	<p>Amend first sentence as follows:</p> <p>'The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires that major developments should <u>avoid be refused in</u> nationally designated landscape areas - including AONBs, National Parks</p>	For consistency with national policy	No

			and World Heritage Sites – except in exceptional circumstances and where development is in the public interest.’		
MM12.4	MM12.10 (amended) MM12.11	Policy 14	<p>Amendments following criterion c as follows:</p> <p>‘Great weight will be given to conserving the <u>landscape and scenic beauty</u> of Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, National Parks and the Outstanding Universal Value of the World Heritage Site, and their settings. Permission will only be granted for waste developments <u>where it is demonstrated to the satisfaction of the Waste Planning Authority</u> that do they will not result in unacceptable adverse impacts on the special qualities that underpin the relevant designation.</p> <p>Proposals for major development in such areas will only be permitted <u>in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated they are in the public interest. In satisfying these requirements, proposals must demonstrate that all of the following criteria are met to the extent that the benefits of granting planning permission outweigh any residual adverse impacts:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (i) in exceptional circumstances; and (ii) where it can be demonstrated that they are in the public interest; and (i) <u>where they would meet an identified need</u> and there are no suitable alternatives for meeting the need; (ii) <u>they have taken account of the AONB Management Plan objectives and policies when addressing criteria a-c of this policy; and</u> (iii) <u>there would be sustainability benefits of siting a development that meets a local need within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.</u> <p>Consideration will be given to the sustainability benefits of siting a development that meets a local need within an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty.</p> <p><u>Proposals should also demonstrate that it will not have an unacceptable adverse impact upon the character of the</u></p>	<p>To ensure the Plan reflects the AONB Management Plans and for ease of reading.</p> <p>To ensure appropriate protection for the Heritage Coast.</p>	No

			<u>undeveloped coast within the West Dorset Heritage Coast and the Purbeck Heritage Coast.</u>		
MM12.5	MM12.2	Paragraph 12.58	Additional text/amendment to paragraph as follows: <u>'Proposals for new waste facilities and enhancements to existing facilities should consider the inclusion of sustainable construction measures including Measures that can be taken include but are not limited to,...</u> '	To provide clarification that this policy applies to new waste management facilities and proposals to improve existing facilities.	No
MM12.6	MM12.3	Paragraph 12.58	Additional sentence at the end of paragraph 12.58 as follows; <u>'Alterations to existing waste management facilities may also be required to ensure sites satisfy the requirements of other statutory regimes.'</u>	To provide clarification that this policy applies to new waste management facilities and proposals to improve existing facilities.	No
MM12.7	MM12.12	Policy 15	Amendment to Policy as follows: 'Proposals for built waste management facilities will be expected to demonstrate that the site design, layout and operation <u>make provision for take account of</u> climate change mitigation and resilience through:'	To tighten the policy wording	No
MM12.8	MM12.4	Policy 15 – Sustainable construction and operation of facilities	Additional sentence added to the end of Policy 15 as follows: <u>'Proposals to alter existing waste management facilities to enhance their operational efficiency and/or incorporate the above climate change mitigation and resilience measures will be</u>	To provide clarification that this policy applies to new waste management	No

			<u>encouraged where they do not result in unacceptable or cumulative impacts.'</u>	facilities and proposals to improve existing facilities.	
MM12.9	MM12.5	Paragraph 12.64	Additional sentence at the end of paragraph: <u>'Sealed drainage systems will often be required, due to the management of waste on site, in order to reduce impacts on the water environment.'</u>	To provide clarification and an example of how water resources can be protected, this was an issue raised by the Environment Agency to many of the site allocations.	No
MM12.10		Para 12.67	Amend third sentence as follows: 'It is expected that soil resources will be conserved wherever possible <u>and appropriate, and should be managed appropriately.*</u> and that s Soil quality in the vicinity of waste management sites will <u>should</u> be protected from adverse impacts from pollution. <u>* See Dorset County Council Natural Environment Team guidance sheet 'Soil in landscape and engineering projects' available at www.dorsetforyou.com</u>	To ensure appropriate management of soils.	No
MM12.11		Policy 16	Amendment to criterion c of Policy as follows: 'site soils would be adequately protected, <u>reused</u> and/or improved <u>as required</u> ; and	To ensure appropriate management of soils.	No
MM12.12	MM12.13 (amended)	Policy 16	Amendment to criterion d of Policy as follows: 'there would not be a loss of the best and most versatile agricultural land (Grades 1, 2 and 3a) unless the environmental, social and/or economic benefits of the proposal outweigh this loss	For clarification	No

			and it can be demonstrated that the proposals has avoided the highest grades of land <u>wherever possible.</u> '		
MM12.13	MM12.14	Policy 17	Additional wording and amendment to Policy as follows: <u>'Proposals for new waste management facilities should demonstrate that they have applied the Sequential Test in areas known to be at risk from flooding.</u> Proposals for new waste management facilities within Flood Zones 2 and 3 and of one hectare or greater within Flood Zone 1 must be accompanied by a Flood Risk Assessment (FRA). This must take into account cumulative effects with other existing or proposed developments <u>and climate change.</u> '	To tighten the policy wording.	No
AM12.4	AM12.3	Paragraph 12.82	Add footnote to points f and g as follows: <u>'as listed by the Joint Nature Conservation Committee (JNCC).'</u> '	To provide clarification	No
AM12.5		Paragraph 12.90	Amend paragraph as follows: 'The three key ecological issues outlined above must be addressed where relevant, through appropriate assessment if necessary <u>for the relevant European and Ramsar sites.</u> '	For clarification	No
MM12.14		Paragraph 12.90	Insert new paragraph to follow paragraph 12.90: <u>'For sites of national importance, applicants must demonstrate that adverse impacts will be avoided, mitigated or compensated for, resulting in no net loss of biodiversity. It is expected that the same criteria will apply to sites of local importance, in acknowledgement of their importance to the wider ecological network in Dorset.'</u>	To provide clarification regarding the treatment of national and local wildlife sites.	No.
AM12.6		Paragraph 12.94, 2 nd sentence	Amend second sentence as follows:	For clarification	No

			<p>'This is to ensure that all impacts will be avoided, mitigated or compensated for, and that enhancements are secured, <u>to avoid a net loss to biodiversity and secure a net gain, in accordance with national policy.</u>'</p>		
MM12.15	MM12.21	Policy 18	<p>Amendment to Policy to include sub-headings: 'Policy 18 – Biodiversity and geological interest <u>Natura 2000 Sites</u> Proposals for waste management facilities must not adversely affect the integrity of European or Ramsar or other internationally designated sites, either alone or in combination with other plans and projects, unless the tests set out under Article 6(4) of the Habitats Directive/Regulation 64 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 are met. <u>Sites of national and local importance</u> Proposals for waste management facilities which do not adversely affect the integrity of European or Ramsar sites or other internationally designated sites will only be permitted where adverse impacts on biodiversity and/or geodiversity will be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. avoided; or ii. where an adverse impact cannot be avoided, the impact will be adequately mitigated; or iii. where adverse impacts cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensation will result in the maintenance or enhancement of biodiversity / geodiversity. <p>Wherever practicable, proposals should enhance biodiversity and geological interest. <u>All relevant P-proposals</u> should be accompanied by an objective assessment of the potential effects of the development on</p>	For clarification	<p>No. This modification provides enhanced protection for Natura 2000 sites by clarifying Policy 18.</p>

			features of biodiversity and/or geological interest, taking into account cumulative impacts with other development and the potential impacts of climate change...'		
MM12.16	MM12.15	Paragraphs 12.96-12.97	<p>Amend as follows:</p> <p>'12.96 Waste development has the potential to adversely affect the historic environment, including through direct loss of assets, partial damage or degradation from the impacts of emissions or traffic for example. <u>The significance of a heritage asset is an important consideration as the severity of impact will depend on the nature and significance of the asset as well as the type of development proposed.</u> Additionally, impact on the setting of an historic asset must be taken into account. Consideration of a proposal's impact on setting includes whether the development can be seen, heard, felt or smelt from an historic asset. Useful guidance on managing change within the settings of heritage assets is provided by Historic England and should be referred to where necessary. The significance of heritage assets is an important consideration as the severity of impact will depend on the nature and significance of the asset as well as the type of development proposed.</p> <p>12.97 In line with the National Planning Policy Framework, applications for waste development are expected to consider the effects of the proposal on the historic environment and demonstrate how these will be avoided or mitigated. Where heritage assets would be affected, <u>an assessment should be provided including a description of the significance of those assets, including any contribution made to their setting, and assessment of the effects of the proposal, including</u> the potential impact of the proposal on the significance of those assets should be considered. Applications should include a description of the significance of those assets, including any contribution made by their setting. Historic England guidance on this matter should be followed. (The Setting of Heritage Assets (2nd Edition) - Historic</p>	To provide clarification on information to be provided with planning applications and to update the reference to the Historic England guidance document.	No

			<p>Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning Note 3 (December 2017). The Setting of Heritage Assets: English Heritage Guidance (2011), available at: https://www.english-heritage.org.uk/publications/setting-heritage-assets/) This exercise should include consultation of the Historic Environment Record and assessment of heritage assets using appropriate expertise where necessary. This should be taken into account in the proposal.'</p>		
MM12.17	MM12.16	Paragraph 12.99	<p>Amend second sentence and add sentence to the end of the paragraph:</p> <p>'Applicants should give early consideration to whether there is the potential for archaeological interest on any site, seeking advice from the council's Hhistoric Eenvironment team to determine whether an archaeological assessment and/or evaluation is required. <u>Proposals that may affect archaeological remains should be accompanied by an appropriate archaeological assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.</u>'</p>	For clarification	No
MM12.18	MM12.17	Policy 19	<p>Amend the policy as follows:</p> <p>Proposals for waste management facilities will be permitted where it is demonstrated that heritage assets and their settings will be conserved and/or enhanced in a manner appropriate to their significance. Adverse impacts on heritage assets should be avoided or mitigated to an acceptable level. Where a proposal would result in significant harm to a heritage asset, it will only be permitted if it is demonstrated that there are exceptional circumstances.</p> <p><u>Designated heritage assets</u> <u>Great weight will be given to the conservation (protection and enhancement) of Bournemouth, Dorset & Poole's designated heritage assets and their settings including listed buildings, conservation areas, historic parks and gardens, scheduled monuments and non-designated heritage assets of archaeological</u></p>	To better reflect the NPPF	No

			<p><u>interest that are demonstrably of equivalent significance to scheduled monuments.</u></p> <p><u>Proposals resulting in harm to the significance of a designated heritage asset will only be permitted if this is justified, having regard to the public benefits of the proposal and whether it has been demonstrated that all reasonable efforts have been made to mitigate the extent of the harm to the significance of the asset.</u></p> <p><u>Non-designated heritage assets</u> <u>Where a proposal directly or indirectly affects non-designated heritage assets, the Waste Planning Authority will have regard to the scale of any harm or loss and the significance of the heritage asset.</u></p> <p><u>Where harm can be fully justified, archaeological excavation and/or historic building recording as appropriate will be required, followed by analysis and publication of the results.</u></p> <p>Proposals that may affect archaeological remains should be accompanied by an appropriate archaeological assessment and, where necessary, a field evaluation.</p> <p>Where the presence of historic assets of national significance is proven, either through designation or a process of assessment, their preservation in situ will be required. Any other historic assets should be preserved in situ if possible, or otherwise by record.</p>		
MM12.19	MM	Paragraph 12.101	<p>Amend text as follows:</p> <p>‘As part of the aerodrome safeguarding procedure ODPM Circular 1/20037, local planning authorities are required to consult aerodrome operators on proposed developments likely to attract birds that are located within Airfield Safeguarding Areas. There are Airfield Safeguarding Areas within 13km of Bournemouth Airport and Yeovilton Aerodrome, <u>shown on the Policies Map. The</u></p>	Correction	No

			relevant aerodrome operator will consider the potential bird strike hazard of the proposed development.		
MM12.20	MM12.6	Para 12.101	<p>Additional section following para 12.101 as follows</p> <p><u>'Proposals for waste development within airfield safeguarding areas should include an aviation impact assessment. An aviation impact assessment should comprise of the following information so that an assessment can be made, by the relevant aerodrome operator, to ensure the safe operation of aircraft;</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. <u>Wildlife Strike Risk - The storage of waste has the potential to create habitats that will encourage hazardous species of wildlife which may have a direct impact on Aerodrome Safeguarding. As a result, a wildlife strike risk assessment and mitigation plan will be required for relevant proposals. It may be necessary for proposals to prepare bird management plans and monitoring programmes to ensure on-site housekeeping is strictly managed and no waste is stored outdoors that would attract birds.</u> 2. <u>Air Traffic Control (ATC)- Details of all lighting proposed should be made available and an assessed undertaken to ensure that there is no impact on sightlines from ATC or aircraft operating from or in the vicinity of the waste development.</u> 3. <u>Air Traffic Engineering - Waste developments using radio communications for site wide coordination will need to provide the airport authorities with details to ensure there is no interference with critical equipment or communication frequencies.</u> 4. <u>Obstacle Limitation Surfaces - Within 15km of an airport, there are a series of protected surfaces that should be kept clear of any upstanding non-frangible obstacles to ensure the safe operation of aircraft. This not only includes permanent structures but also temporary structures and tall plant such as cranes and stacks.</u> 	To provide adequate protection to aircraft operating in close proximity to waste facilities.	No

			<p><u>Details of equipment and structures of this type should be included within proposals.</u></p> <p><u>Applicants are encouraged to undertake early engagement with airport authorities on developments situated within airfield safeguarding areas so that appropriate mitigation can be built into proposals to ensure safe operation of aircraft operating in the vicinity of waste developments.</u></p>		
MM12.21	MM12.7	Policy 20	<p>Amendment to Policy as follows:</p> <p><u>'Proposals for waste management facilities partly or completely within an the Airfield Safeguarding Areas of Bournemouth Airport and Yeovilton Aerodrome, as shown on the Policies Map, may be the subject of consultation with the aerodrome operator.</u></p> <p><u>Proposals</u> will only be permitted where the applicant can demonstrate <u>through an aviation impact assessment</u> that the proposed development and, where relevant, restoration and afteruse of the site, will not give rise to new or increased hazards to aviation.'</p>	To provide adequate protection to aircraft operating in close proximity to waste facilities through the requirement to prepare an aviation impact assessment.	No
MM12.22		Paragraph 12.108	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'A number of existing waste sites, including Eco Sustainable Solutions' operations at Parley and New Earth Solutions' operations at Canford Magna are located in the Green Belt and play an important part in the management of Dorset's waste. The Canford site is allocated as a 'Major Developed Site' in the Green Belt in Poole's Plan and therefore benefits from a positive policy relating to ancillary development on the site Policy SSA26 – Poole Site Specific Allocations and Development Management Policies DPD (2012) NB this term does not now appear in the NPPF. There are also a number of existing sewage treatment facilities and</p>	Update and to reflect MM to Inset 8 (MM AS8.2)	No

			agricultural waste facilities located in the Green Belt that serve very specific local needs.'		
MM12.23	MM12.20 (amended)	Policy 21	<p>Amendment to Policy as follows:</p> <p>Proposals for waste management facilities will only be permitted in the South East Dorset Green Belt where:</p> <p>a) they do not constitute inappropriate development; or</p> <p>b) they would serve to support an established waste facility and deliver operational and/or amenity improvements; and</p> <p>e) b) the potential harm to the Green Belt by reason of inappropriateness, and any other harm, is clearly outweighed by other considerations to an extent that can demonstrate very special circumstances, including there is a need for the development to an extent that would be deemed by the Waste Planning Authority to demonstrate very special circumstances and that need cannot be met by alternative suitable non-Green Belt sites; and</p> <p>d) c) the restoration of the site, where relevant, is appropriate to the inclusion of land in the Green Belt and enhances the beneficial use of the Green Belt.</p>	For clarification <u>and consistency with the NPPF.</u>	No
AM12.7		Paragraph 12.117	<p>Amend paragraph as follows:</p> <p>'The Waste Planning Authority will continue to work with <u>local planning authorities</u> the district and borough councils to identify specific needs for waste management infrastructure arising from proposed major developments. Such needs are identified in Infrastructure Development Plans, and, where relevant CIL Regulation 123 Lists, of the relevant <u>local planning authority district or borough council.</u></p>	To reflect Local Government Reorganisation	No
MM12.24		Policy 22	Amend criterion b as follows:	For clarification	No

			b. incorporate adequate facilities <u>on-site</u> into the design that allow occupiers to separate and store waste for recycling and recovery <u>on-site</u> ; and		
MM12.25	MM12.18	Policy 22	Amendment to final paragraph as follows: 'Financial contributions towards the off-site provision of adequate waste management infrastructure to accommodate a non-waste development may be required where the Waste Planning Authority considers this necessary, <u>in accordance with the Community Infrastructure Regulations 2010 (as amended)</u> , unless it is demonstrated that existing waste management infrastructure serving the development is adequate.'	For clarification	No
MM12.26	MM12.19	Para12.119	Additional paragraph as follows: <u>'Although the Waste Plan has a strong commitment to reducing the amount of waste which is landfilled in accordance with the waste hierarchy, the Waste Plan acknowledges the continuing role of landfill for both pre-treated waste and inert waste albeit to a limited extent. In addition, there are a number of existing sites in Dorset that are likely to close during the Plan period. As a result, it is essential to ensure that landfill sites, together with any other temporary waste management facilities, are subject to appropriate restoration and aftercare regimes. Waste may be managed in a range of different types of facility, most of which will be permanent but some of which may be temporary.'</u>	For clarification	No
		Paragraph 12.126	Amend last bullet point as follows: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a programme of aftercare: usually for five years following restoration of the site. Aftercare measures, <u>which include landscape establishment activities</u>, are required to ensure that the reinstatement is successfully completed. 	To include reference to landscape establishment.	No
MM12.27	MM12.8	Policy 23	Amendment to Policy as follows:	To tighten the policy wording.	No

			'Proposals should have regard to demonstrate how they comply with the Landscape Management Guidelines and contribute to the targets of the Dorset Biodiversity Strategy.'		
Chapter 13 Safeguarding					
AM13.1		Paragraph 13.9	Remove footnote 62.	Correction	No
AM13.2		Paragraph 13.10	Amend paragraph as follows: The Waste Plan contains 13 12 sites allocated for the development of facilities to meet the identified waste management needs throughout the Plan period. These sites are listed in Policy 3 and detailed in Insets 1 - 13-12 . All sites allocated under Policy 3 are safeguarded in order to ensure that the identified needs can be met during the Plan period.	To reflect the deletion of Inset 12	No
MM13.1	MM13.1	Table 11 Types of facilities safeguarded	Amendment to the section of the table referring to 'Non-hazardous landfill sites' as follows: 'Both existing sites safeguarded until expiry of planning permission throughout the Plan period.	Modification reflects the importance of husbanding remaining capacity for the disposal of non-hazardous waste to assist Dorset to achieve self-sufficiency.	No
MM13.2	MM13.2	Policy 24	Amend second paragraph as follows: ' The Waste Planning Authority will resist The loss of or impact on Safeguarded Waste Facilities, through redevelopment or change of use, either on the site or with in the Waste Consultation Area, for any purposes other than waste management <u>is unacceptable and will be resisted by the Waste Planning Authority</u> , unless there would be no adverse impact on the current or future operation of the Safeguarded Waste Facility'	To tighten the policy wording	No


Chapter 14 Implementation and Monitoring					
MM14.1	MM14.1	Paragraph 14.14	<p>Delete sentence 3 and 4 as follows:</p> <p>'The majority of policies contained in the Waste Plan are intended to cover the whole Plan period. Policy 3 'Sites allocated for waste management development' will remain relevant until all of the site allocations are built out. The only other policy that may have a shorter timescale is Policy 10 'Decommissioning and restoration of Winfrith' this is because it is hoped that the decommissioning and restoration of the land covered by this policy to an Interim Ends State could be achieved by 2033. If this target is not reached the objectives set out in the policy will remain in place until restoration is complete.'</p>	To reflect the changes made to Policy 10 and the accompanying text – see above.	No
MM14.2		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 2	<p>Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows:</p> <p>High percentage <u>proportion</u> of permissions not located with end users'</p>	For consistency	No
MM14.3		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 3	<p>Key Indicator <u>Actual housing completions</u></p> <p>Target <u>Completions in line with planned housing</u></p> <p>Implementation partners <u>Local planning authorities</u></p> <p>Trigger point <u>Housing completions in excess of planned housing</u></p>		No
MM14.4	MM14.2	Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	<p>Amendment to 'key Indicator(s)' as follows:</p> <p>'Production of SPD'</p> <p>'Preparation of a masterplan to support applications'</p>	To reflect the changes made to Policy 10 and the accompanying	No

		Policy 10		text – see above.	
MM14.5	MM14.3	Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 10	Amendment to ‘Target’ as follows: ‘Achievement of interim end state by 2023’	To reflect the changes made to Policy 10 and the accompanying text – see above.	No
MM14.6	MM14.4	Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 10	Amendment to ‘Implementation Issues’ as follows: <u>‘Policy relies on applicant preparing master plan’</u>	To reflect the changes made to Policy 10 and the accompanying text – see above.	No
MM14.7		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 12	Amendment to ‘Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation’ as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy’	For consistency	No
MM14.8		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 13	Amendment to ‘Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation’ as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy’	For consistency	No
MM14.9		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 14	Amendment to ‘Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation’ as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of permissions being granted within the AONB and/or World Heritage Sites	For clarification as there may be only a small number of actual applications.	No
MM14.10		Table 12	Amendment to ‘Implementation issues’ as follows:	For clarification	No

		Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	Given the high proportion of land (inc towns) in the county situated within the AONB applications are likely to come forward		
		Policy 14			
MM14.11		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy'	For consistency	No
		Policy 15			
MM14.12		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy'	For consistency	No
		Policy 16	'High <u>number proportion</u> of permissions on best and most versatile land'		
MM14.13		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy'	For consistency	No
		Policy 17	'High <u>number proportion</u> of permissions stated in FZ3 and FZ2'.		
MM14.14		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: 'High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy'	For consistency	No
		Policy 18	'High <u>number proportion</u> of refusals, or refusal on an allocated site, through failure to meet the requirements of this policy.'		
MM14.15		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework	Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: High <u>number proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy'	For consistency	No

		Policy 19			
MM14.16	MM14.5	Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 20	Amendment to 'key Indicator(s)' as follows: <u>'Preparation of an aviation impact assessment'</u>	Addition indicator to reflect the policy change to require the preparation of aviation impact assessment for relevant proposals.	No
MM14.17	MM14.6	Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 20	Amendments to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: 'High <u>number-proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy' <u>'Proposal partly or completely within an Airfield Safeguarding Area not including an aviation impact assessment'</u>	Addition targets to reflect the policy change to require the preparation of aviation impact assessment for relevant proposals.	No
MM14.18		Table 12 Waste Plan Monitoring Framework Policy 21	Amendment to 'Trigger point for correction and/or mitigation' as follows: High <u>number-proportion</u> of decisions not referencing this policy'	For consistency	No
Appendix 1 Key Diagram					
MM AP1.1	MM AP1.1	Key Diagram	Amendment to key to change colour for 'Provision of green waste composting'	Correction	No

			<p>Key Diagram Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Waste Plan</p> <p>Key</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ★ Existing strategic capacity for residual waste treatment ■ Permitted capacity for strategic recycling facility ▲ Provision for management of non-hazardous waste ▲ Provision for green waste composting ▲ Provision for local recycling facilities or bulky waste ▲ Decommissioning and restoration of Warrifin ● Key towns where no specific local waste management needs have been identified ■ Area of need for composting → Waste flow routes → Waste flow routes → Primary Roads → Railway Lines <p>Arrows indicate direction of flow of waste. They are not strictly to scale.</p> <p><small>© Crown Copyright and database rights 2018 Ordnance Survey 100019796. You are permitted to use this data solely to enable you to respond to, or interact with, the organisation that provided you with the data. You are not permitted to copy, sublicense, distribute or sell any of this data to third parties in any form.</small></p>		
Appendix 2 Submission Policies Map					
MM AP2.1	MM AP2.1	Appendix 2 Submission Policies Map	<p>Various amendments as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend title - delete 'Submission' Delete Inset 12 (label and red allocated site boundary) Re-number Inset 13 as new 'Inset 12' Amend key to read 'Allocated Waste Sites (Insets 1 – 12)' 	Update and reflect the deletion of Inset 12 'Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works'	No

					
MM AP2.2		Appendix 2 Submission Policies Map	Inclusion of Airfield Safeguarding Areas within the Policies Map	In accordance with Circular 1/2003	No
Appendix 3 Allocated Waste Sites – Inset Maps					
MM AP3.1	MM AP3.2	Allocated Waste Sites – Inset Maps	Amendment to Inset 1 as follows: 'Inset 1- Area of search at Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, south east of Three Legged Cross'	Update to reflect the fact that the allocated area is larger than the land required for waste facilities.	No

MM AP3.2	MM AP3.3	Allocated Waste Sites – Inset Maps	Amendment to Inset 3 as follows: 'Inset 3 - <u>Area of search at</u> Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham'	Update to reflect the fact that the allocated area is larger than the land required for a waste facility.	No
MM AP3.3	MM AP3.1	Allocated Waste Sites – Inset Maps	Delete reference to Inset 12 'Inset 12 - Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works'	Planning permission has been granted for an extension to Gillingham STW. There is no need to retain site allocation.	No
MM AP3.4	MM AP3.4	Allocated Waste Sites – Inset Maps	Amendment to Inset 13 as follows: 'Inset 13-2- Maiden Newton Sewage Treatment Works'	Update to reflect the deletion of Inset 12 'Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works'	No
Inset 1 Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross					
MM AS1.1	MM AS1.1	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Change references to this site throughout the document: 'Inset 1 – <u>Area of Search at</u> Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross'	To reflect the fact that only a proportion of the site is required for the proposed uses, consistent with Planning Practice Guidance on the preparation of Waste Plans.	Yes – a wider area of search may lead to effects on species typical of European sites.

MM AS1.2		Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Amend first paragraph as follows: 'This site comprises <u>two a-parcels</u> of employment land that forms a southern <u>and eastern</u> extension to the existing Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, south east of Three Legged Cross	To provide additional flexibility for development of waste facilities during the Plan period.	No
MM AS1.3	MM AS1.2	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Add additional sentences at start of second paragraph and amend paragraph as follows: <u>'There is a need for a transfer facility for local authority collected waste in East Dorset to bulk up recyclates and residual waste. There is also a need for a facility to manage bulky waste.'</u> An <u>'Area of Search'</u> <u>The site</u> is allocated for waste transfer and/or the transfer or treatment of bulky waste <u>which should comprise no more than approximately 2ha of land.'</u>	To clarify that the site has been allocated to meet an identified need for infrastructure to manage local authority collected waste, as set out in Identified Need 2.	No
MM AS1.4	MM AS1.3	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	Amend Development Consideration 1 as follows: '1. <u>The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and id necessary</u> Appropriate Assessment <u>at the planning application stage</u> in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. <u>This should include, as a minimum, Phase 2 Surveys for Annex 1 birds to inform an assessment of the effects of development on the populations on site and in surrounding areas.</u>	For clarity	<u>No. This modification provides mitigation linked to MM6.4, MM6.6 and MM AS1.1</u>

MM AS1.5		Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Amendment to Development Consideration 2 as follows:</p> <p>‘2. Application of the sequential test required as eastern borders flood zones 2 and 3. Consideration of an appropriate buffer from Flood zones 2 and 3.’ <u>Preparation of a Flood Risk Assessment to assess fluvial flood risk, other sources of flood risk and management of surface water. No built development should take place within flood zones 2 and 3.’</u></p>	To reflect the expansion of the ‘Area of Search’	No
MM AS1.6	MM AS1.4	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Amend Development Consideration 3 as follows:</p> <p>‘3. Consideration of an appropriate buffer and mitigation to protect the <u>Dorset Heaths SAC, SPA and Ramsar, SSSI and SNCI.</u>’</p>	To tighten the development consideration.	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.
MM AS1.7	MM AS1.5	Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Additional Development Consideration 4 as follows:</p> <p><u>‘Depending on the precise location of development within the area of search and nature of the development the following mitigation may be necessary to reduce effects on European Sites to levels acceptable under the Habitats Regulations 2017:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Habitat enhancement works on land adjacent to the allocated site (including Woolsbridge Farm Carr SNCI</u> • <u>A managed habitat buffer between the development and the European sites’</u> 	To tighten the development consideration.	No. This modification provides mitigation linked to MM6.4, MM6.6 and MM AS1.1
MM AS1.8		Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Additional Development Consideration 5 as follows:</p> <p><u>‘Preparation of a landscape master plan for the site to mitigate landscape and visual impacts’</u></p>	To reflect the allocation of a wider area of search where there is the potential for landscape	No

				impacts without mitigation.			
MM AS1.9		Inset 1 - Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Amend 4th row of table:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Proposed Allocated uses</td> <td>Waste transfer: up to c. 1ha required</td> </tr> </table>	Proposed Allocated uses	Waste transfer: up to c. 1ha required	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
Proposed Allocated uses	Waste transfer: up to c. 1ha required						
MM AS1.10		Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate, Three Legged Cross	<p>Amend Inset 1 to broaden the ‘Area of Search’</p>	Broadening the Area of Search provides additional flexibility to bring forward a site during the Plan period.	Yes – a wider area of search may lead to effects on species typical of European sites.		
Inset 2 Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford							

MM AS2.1	MM AS2.8	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Delete final sentence of third paragraph: ‘As such it is considered to present exceptional circumstances and sufficient public interest to justify a location within the AONB.’	This is not considered necessary for the final Plan, the applicant would be expected to demonstrate exceptional circumstances in any case.	No
MM AS2.2	MM AS2.1	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Update plan on Inset 2 to show: 1. new supermarket building on base map. 2. shading for land covered by Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB.	1. To provide the most up to date mapping. 2. Correction	No

			<p>0 250 93m metres</p> <p>Sunrise Business Park</p> <p>Camp Down Farm</p> <p>Kites Corner</p> <p>Glenmore Business Park</p> <p>WENDIAL RD</p> <p>Grid Ref: 389144 108247 © Crown Copyright and database rights 2018 Ordnance Survey 100019790</p> <p>Allocated Waste Site</p> <p>Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB</p>		
MM AS2.3	MM AS2.2	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	<p>Amendment to Development Consideration 1 (bullet point 1) as follows:</p> <p>‘A dark skies strategy, <u>which shall</u> to demonstrate how <u>obtrusive</u> light spill into the AONB will be <u>avoided*</u> minimised’</p> <p><u>*having regard to the 'Guidance notes for the reduction of obtrusive light' (Institution of Lighting Professionals)'</u></p>	To minimise landscape and visual impact.	No

MM AS2.4	MM AS2.3	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Amendment to Development Consideration 1 (bullet point 2) as follows: <u>'b) Reduction of Means of reducing</u> the formation levels of the building to minimise its visual impact.'	To minimise landscape and visual impact.	No
MM AS2.5	MM AS2.4	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Amendment to Development Consideration 1 (bullet point 3) as follows: <u>'c) Structural native tree and shrub planting at an appropriate scale and size to achieve prompt screening and integration in keeping with landscape character. Consideration of wildflower/flowering meadow grass and verge areas.'</u>	To minimise landscape and visual impact.	No
MM AS2.6	MM AS2.5	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Amendment to Development Consideration 3 as follows: 3. Retention, protection and enhancement of the all tree/hedge belts on the north-east and south-east field boundaries other than where removal is essential to provide access to the site. Any removal should be kept to a minimum and compensatory planting should be provided. Details to be included in landscape management plan.	To minimise landscape and visual impact.	No
MM AS2.7	MM AS2.6	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Additional Development Consideration 8 as follows: <u>'Demonstration that the tests set out in paragraph 115 and 116 of the National Planning Policy Framework are met.'</u>	To reflect the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.	No
MM AS2.8	MM AS2.7	Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business	Additional Development Consideration 9 as follows: <u>'9. Hydrogeological/contaminated land risk assessment. Preparation of a drainage strategy.'</u>	To ensure protection of water resources.	No

		Park, Blandford					
MM AS2.9		Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Additional Development Consideration 10 as follows: <u>'10. A transport assessment should include consideration of impacts of HGV movements in the AONB and, if necessary, how such impacts would be managed.'</u>	To mitigate against impact on the AONB.	No		
MM AS2.10		Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	Amend fourth row of table as follows: <table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Proposed Allocated</u> uses</td> <td>Waste management centre</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Proposed Allocated</u> uses	Waste management centre	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
<u>Proposed Allocated</u> uses	Waste management centre						
Inset 3 Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham							
MM AS3.1	MM AS3.1	Inset 3 – Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham	Change references to this site throughout the document: 'Inset 3 – <u>Area of Search at</u> Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham.'	To reflect the fact that only a proportion of the site is required for the proposed use, consistent with Planning Practice Guidance on the preparation of Waste Plans.	No		
MM AS3.2	MM AS3.2	Inset 3 – Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham	Additional Development Consideration 8 as follows: <u>'An adequate buffer should be provided to protect the River Stour and Lodden'</u>	To ensure protection of water resources.	No		
MM AS3.3	MM AS.3	Inset 3 – Brickfields Business	Additional Development Consideration 9 as follows: <u>'Any existing contaminated land would require site investigation, risk assessment and remedial options appraisal.'</u>	To ensure protection of water resources and no	No		

		Park, Gillingham		groundwater contamination		
MM AS3.4		Inset 3 – Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham	Amend fourth row of table as follows: <u>Proposed Allocated</u> uses	Household recycling centre (HRC) Waste vehicle depot: up to 0.5ha	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
Inset 4 Land at Blackhill Road, Holton Heath						
MM AS4.1	MM AS4.1	Inset 4 – Land at Blackhill Road, Holton Heath	Amend second paragraph as follows: 'There is a need for a transfer facility <u>for local authority collected waste</u> in Purbeck for to bulking up recyclates and residual waste. There is also a need to re-locate the <u>Dorset Waste Partnership's</u> existing waste vehicle depot which could be accommodated on this site.		To clarify that the site has been allocated to meet an identified need for infrastructure to manage local authority collected waste, as set out in Identified Need 2.	No
MM AS4.2	MM AS4.2	Inset 4 – Land at Blackhill Road, Holton Heath	Additional paragraph following paragraph 2 as follows: <u>'If it can be demonstrated that there is no longer a need for such a facility, transfer of C&I and/or CDE waste can be considered where this would be of a comparable nature.'</u>		To enable other types of waste transfer to come forward where appropriate.	No
MM AS4.3	MM AS4.3	Inset 4 – Land at Blackhill Road, Holton Heath	Additional Development Consideration 4 as follows: <u>'4. Any existing contaminated land would require site investigation, risk assessment and remedial options appraisal.'</u>		To ensure protection of water resources and no groundwater contamination.	No

MM AS4.4		Inset 4 – Land at Blackhill Road, Holton Heath	Amend fourth row of table as follows: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Proposed <u>Allocated</u> uses</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Waste transfer facility Waste vehicle depot</td> </tr> </table>	Proposed <u>Allocated</u> uses	Waste transfer facility Waste vehicle depot	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
Proposed <u>Allocated</u> uses	Waste transfer facility Waste vehicle depot						
Inset 5 Loudsmill, Dorchester							
MM AS5.1		Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	Amendment to Development Consideration 3 as follows: '3. Comprehensive landscape masterplan for the site and the surrounding area, to include <u>consideration of building height and mass</u> and site layout considerations and boundary treatment to mitigate any landscape and visual impacts, taking into consideration the setting of Mount Pleasant Scheduled Monument <u>account the assessment of heritage assets (see Development Consideration 4).</u> '	To ensure appropriate mitigation of any adverse impacts on landscape and heritage.	No		
MM AS5.2	MM AS5.1	Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	Amendment to Development Consideration 4 as follows, including re-numbering: '6. 4. Consideration Assessment as part of the planning application of the potential impacts of development on the significance and setting of the Mount Pleasant and Conquer Barrow Scheduled Monuments and Kingston Maurward House and Park . <u>Appropriate mitigation to respond to this assessment should be put in place, including provision of a suitable landscaping scheme to provide screening, including tree and shrub planting, around the outside of the site.</u>	To ensure protection of the historic environment.	No		
MM AS5.3	MM AS5.2	Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	Amendment to Development Consideration 6 as follows: 'Development must include careful management of drainage and surface water runoff to avoid impacts on the water quality of the River Frome (SSSI). <u>This should include a buffer comprising wet woodland planting, of native species.</u> '	To ensure protection of water resources and to mitigate against adverse impacts on ecology.	No		

MM AS5.4		Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	Delete Development Consideration 9: 9. Application of the sequential test required as northern edge is situated within flood zone 2.	Correction – the site is outside flood zone 2.	No		
MM AS5.5	MM AS5.3	Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	New Development Consideration 9 as follows: <u>9. Any existing contaminated land would require site investigation, risk assessment and remedial options appraisal.</u>	To ensure protection of water resources and no groundwater contamination.	No		
MM AS5.6		Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	Amend fourth row of table as follows: <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use</td> <td>Household recycling centre - c. 0.5</td> </tr> </table>	Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use	Household recycling centre - c. 0.5	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use	Household recycling centre - c. 0.5						
MM AS5.7	MM AS5.4	Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	Amendment to Inset 5 map to reflect updated Scheduled Monument boundary and show SSSI.	To provide the most up to date mapping.	No		

<p>Inset 6 Old Radio Station, Dorchester</p>					
<p>MM AS6.1</p>	<p>MM AS6.1</p>	<p>Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester</p>	<p>Amend first paragraph, second and third sentences, and add two additional paragraphs as follows:</p> <p>‘There is a need for a transfer facility <u>for local authority collected waste</u> in the Dorchester area <u>for the to bulking up of</u> recyclates and residual waste from Dorchester and surrounding areas. There</p>	<p>To clarify that the site has been allocated to meet an identified need for infrastructure to manage local</p>	<p>No</p>

			<p>is also a need for a <u>local authority</u> vehicle depot for the storage of waste vehicles.</p> <p><u>If it can be demonstrated that there is no longer a need for such a facility, transfer of C&I and/or CDE waste can be considered where this would be of a comparable nature.</u></p> <p><u>A transfer station would comprise a building within which to store and bulk up waste materials. A waste vehicle depot would comprise hard standing for the storage of waste vehicles and staff cars. Office accommodation, wash down and fuelling facilities and possibly a workshop could be provided.'</u></p>	<p>authority collected waste, as set out in Identified Need 2, and to enable other types of waste transfer to come forward where appropriate.</p> <p>To include commentary on nature of proposed use, in order to be consistent with Inset 4.</p>	
MM AS6.2	MM AS6.2	Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester	<p>Amendment to Development Consideration 1 as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Landscape-led masterplan approach to the design of the site <u>to mitigate so that any adverse impacts upon the AONB are mitigated satisfactorily. The masterplan should take into account the following design considerations:</u> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Maintaining the baseline position as far as practicable. To include retention of the existing façade of the southern elevation; and retention of and management of existing tree and shrub planting.</u> b. <u>Mitigation of any adverse landscape and visual impacts, taking into account the setting of Maiden Castle Scheduled Monument,. To include minimising scale and mass of buildings;</u> 	<p>To reflect in the Plan the design guidelines included in the Inset 6 Site Assessment (Document Reference WPDCC-16), to ensure protection and enhancement of the landscape and historic environment.</p>	No

			<p><u>minimising light pollution and visual impacts of security fencing; use of suitable high-quality materials; and use of new soft landscape treatment to help integrate the development.</u></p> <p>c. and to provide enhancement opportunities. <u>Achieve enhancement. To include review of signage and colour of southern elevation façade and design of gateway to site to provide enhancement opportunities.</u></p>				
MM AS6.3	MM AS6.3	Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester	<p>Additional Development Consideration 4 as follows:</p> <p><u>4. Any existing contaminated land would require site investigation, risk assessment and remedial options appraisal.</u></p>	To ensure protection of water resources and no groundwater contamination.	No		
MM AS6.4	MM AS6.4	Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester	<p>Additional Development Consideration 5 as follows:</p> <p><u>5. Site is in a more sensitive location on the Chalk Major Aquifer of Principal designation. Detailed risk assessment to accompany and inform application.</u></p>	To ensure protection of water resources and no groundwater contamination.	No		
MM AS6.5	MM AS6.5	Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester	<p>Additional Development Consideration 6 as follows:</p> <p><u>Demonstration that the tests set out in paragraph 115 and 116 of the National Planning Policy Framework are met.</u></p>	To reflect the requirements of the National Planning Policy Framework.	No		
MM AS6.6		Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester	<p>Amend fourth row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;"><u>Proposed</u> Allocated uses</td> <td style="width: 50%;">Waste vehicle depot - up to 0.5ha Waste transfer facility - around 1ha</td> </tr> </table>	<u>Proposed</u> Allocated uses	Waste vehicle depot - up to 0.5ha Waste transfer facility - around 1ha	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
<u>Proposed</u> Allocated uses	Waste vehicle depot - up to 0.5ha Waste transfer facility - around 1ha						
Inset 7 Eco Sustainable Solutions							

MM AS7.1	MM AS7.5	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Amendment to Development Consideration 1 as follows: <u>'The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and if necessary Appropriate Assessment at the planning application stage in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.'</u>	For clarification	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.
MM AS7.2		Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Remove Development Consideration 3 <u>'Given the sites location, next to Aviation Park West, Bournemouth Airport and other large developments, opportunities for combined heat and power should be explored and if provided if practicable.'</u>	The allocated use covers management of all types of non-hazardous waste and the development consideration is therefore not applicable to all potential proposals. Policy 6 requires provision of CHP for recovery facilities.	No
MM AS7.3	MM AS7.1	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Amendment to Development Consideration 4 as follows: 'The issues of appropriate stack height, <u>building orientation</u> , colour and lighting must be addressed with regards to aerodrome safeguarding (<u>including radar reflections and shadows</u>) and minimising landscape impacts'	For clarification and to reflect the allocated uses.	No
MM AS7.4			<u>Amendment to Development Consideration 9 as follows:</u> <u>'Development should demonstrate that there would be no further harm to the openness and purpose of the Green Belt. Given the site's location within the South-East Dorset Green Belt,</u>	To reflect national policy.	No

			<u>applications will be considered against national policy and Waste Plan Policy 21.</u> High standards of design and landscaping will be expected for development within the Green Belt.'		
MM AS7.5	MM AS7.2	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Amendment to Development Consideration 10 as follows: <u>'Application of the sequential test required as small parts of the site are situated within flood zones 2 and 3. Preparation of a Flood Risk Assessment to assess fluvial flood risk, other sources of flood risk and management of surface water. No built development should take place within flood zones 2 and 3. Proposals should also demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on flood risk mitigation measures required to develop the adjacent employment site.'</u>	To reduce flood risk.	No
MM AS7.6	MM AS7.3	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Additional Development Consideration 11 as follows: <u>'Development must include measures to protect land and groundwater from contamination and oil storage.'</u>	To ensure adequate protection of water resources/ reduce contamination.	No
MM AS7.7	MM AS7.4	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Additional Development Consideration 12 as follows: <u>'Given the proximity of the site to the Airport, developments should demonstrate, through the preparation of a Bird Management Plan, that that there are no unacceptable bird stick hazards arising from proposals'.</u>	To ensure there are no adverse bird strike issues associated with development.	No
MM AS7.8	MM AS7.6	Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	Additional Development Consideration 13 as follows: <u>'Consideration should be given to the creation of a buffer zone in the south-east section of the site and a carefully designed surface water drainage system to help ensure no hydrological effects on the European Sites.'</u>	For clarification	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.

MM AS7.9		Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	<p>Amend ‘Proposed Uses’ row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:</td> <td>Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> including the management of non-hazardous waste <u>management facilities, including incineration, that have no adverse effects upon the integrity of European protected areas.</u></td> </tr> </table>	Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> including the management of non-hazardous waste <u>management facilities, including incineration, that have no adverse effects upon the integrity of European protected areas.</u>	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.
Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:	Opportunities for intensification <u>and redevelopment</u> including the management of non-hazardous waste <u>management facilities, including incineration, that have no adverse effects upon the integrity of European protected areas.</u>						
MM AS7.10		Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	<p>Amendment to ‘Potential additional capacity’ row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Potential additional capacity</td> <td>Site has been assessed to manage circa 160,000 tonnes of waste. <u>Exact capacity will be determined in connection with individual applications.</u></td> </tr> </table>	Potential additional capacity	Site has been assessed to manage circa 160,000 tonnes of waste. <u>Exact capacity will be determined in connection with individual applications.</u>		No
Potential additional capacity	Site has been assessed to manage circa 160,000 tonnes of waste. <u>Exact capacity will be determined in connection with individual applications.</u>						
Inset 8 Land at Canford Magna, Poole							
MM AS8.1	MM AS8.1	Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	<p>Delete reference to ‘Major Developed Site in the Green Belt’ from the text as follows:</p> <p>‘This is an established facility, with dedicated access and with a relatively small number of sensitive receptors in the vicinity. The site is in the South-East Dorset Green Belt but <u>is classed as previously developed land. is identified in Poole’s Development Plan as a Major Developed Site in the Green Belt.</u>’</p>	Update required to reflect the fact that once the new Poole Plan is adopted this policy will be superseded. The Plan does not propose that the site is allocated as a ‘Major developed Site	No		

				in the Green Belt'	
MM AS8.2		Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	New Development Consideration as follows: <u>'The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and if necessary Appropriate Assessment at the planning application stage in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.'</u>		No. This modification provides mitigation linked to MM AS8.7
MM AS8.3	MM AS8.2	Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	Amendment to Development Consideration 2 as follows: <u>'Ecological mitigation likely to be required due to extension of the site and given proximity of the SSSI. This should include the mitigation of any loss of wet habitat from future development and an appropriate buffer from the SSSI.'</u>	To provide further clarification	No
MM AS8.4	MM AS8.4	Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	Additional Development Consideration 4 as follows: <u>'4. Given the site's location within the South-East Dorset Green Belt, applications will be considered against national policy and Waste Plan Policy 21. High standards of design and landscaping will be expected for development within the Green Belt.'</u>	To reflect national policy and for consistency with Inset 7.	No
MM AS8.5	MM AS8.3	Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	Amendment to Inset 8 map to: - show bridleway 118 - show SPA/ SAC and SSSI - to remove label referencing aggregates washing plant and show 'MRF' in full: 'Materials recovery facility' - increase scale of map to 1:500	To provide factually correct mapping	No

MM AS8.6	MM AS8.5	Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	<p>Amend wording in table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Potential additional capacity</td> <td>Site has been assessed for circa 2 additional capacity for residual waste. Exact capacity will be assessed in individual proposals.</td> </tr> </table>	Potential additional capacity	Site has been assessed for circa 2 additional capacity for residual waste. Exact capacity will be assessed in individual proposals.	To provide clarification	No
Potential additional capacity	Site has been assessed for circa 2 additional capacity for residual waste. Exact capacity will be assessed in individual proposals.						
MM AS8.7		Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	<p>Amend Proposed uses row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:</td> <td>Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment including the management of non hazardous waste management facilities, including incineration, that have no adverse effects upon the integrity of European sites.</td> </tr> </table>	Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:	Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment including the management of non hazardous waste management facilities, including incineration, that have no adverse effects upon the integrity of European sites.	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	<p>Yes – the range of uses may lead to effects on the European sites.</p> <p>In addition this modification</p>
Proposed Uses: Allocated Uses:	Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment including the management of non hazardous waste management facilities, including incineration, that have no adverse effects upon the integrity of European sites.						

					provides necessary mitigation.					
Inset 9 Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole										
MM AS9.1		Inset 9 – Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole	New Development Consideration as follows: ‘ <u>The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and if necessary Appropriate Assessment at the planning application stage in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.</u> ’		To reflect the amendment to the allocated uses.	No. This modification provides mitigation linked to MM AS9.2				
MM AS9.2		Inset 9 – Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole	Amend Proposed uses row of table as follows: <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 30%;"><u>Proposed Uses:</u></td> <td>Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment comprising the management of non hazardous</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Allocated Uses:</u></td> <td>preparation of Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) or Fuel (SRF). Waste management facilities, including that would lead to adverse effects upon the in Sites will not be acceptable.</td> </tr> </table>		<u>Proposed Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment comprising the management of non hazardous	<u>Allocated Uses:</u>	preparation of Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) or Fuel (SRF). Waste management facilities, including that would lead to adverse effects upon the in Sites will not be acceptable.	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	Yes – the range of uses may lead to effects on the European sites. In addition this modification provides necessary mitigation.
<u>Proposed Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment comprising the management of non hazardous									
<u>Allocated Uses:</u>	preparation of Refuse Derived Fuel (RDF) or Fuel (SRF). Waste management facilities, including that would lead to adverse effects upon the in Sites will not be acceptable.									
MM AS9.3		Inset 9 – Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate, Poole	Amend ‘Potential additional capacity’ row of table as follows: <table border="1" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Potential additional capacity</td> <td>Site has been as potential to man 100,000tpa of re preparation of R Exact capacity v connection with</td> </tr> </table>		Potential additional capacity	Site has been as potential to man 100,000tpa of re preparation of R Exact capacity v connection with	To provide clarification	No		
Potential additional capacity	Site has been as potential to man 100,000tpa of re preparation of R Exact capacity v connection with									
Inset 10 Binnegar Environmental Park, East Stoke										
MM AS10.1	MM AS10.2	Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	Amendment to Development Consideration 1 as follows:		For clarification	No. This modification provides				

			<p><u>'The applicant must provide sufficient information to enable the Waste Planning Authority to carry out screening and if necessary Appropriate Assessment at the planning application stage in accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.'</u></p>		<p>additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.</p>			
MM AS10.2		Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	<p>Additional Development Consideration as follows:</p> <p><u>'Consideration must be given to adequate mitigation including the conservation management of adjacent areas or provision of additional habitats adjacent to the proposed development to mitigate impacts on species characteristic of the European sites.'</u></p>	To reflect the Habitats Regulations Assessment	<p>No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites</p>			
MM AS10.3	MM AS10.1	Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	<p>Additional Development Consideration as follows:</p> <p><u>'Consideration will need to be given to an appropriate buffer from the River Piddle.'</u></p>	To ensure adequate protection of water resources.	<p>No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.</p>			
MM AS10.4		Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	<p>Amend 'Proposed uses' row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td><u>Proposed Uses:</u></td> <td rowspan="2">Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment including the management of non hazardous waste management facilities, including incineration, the adverse effects upon the integrity of European sites acceptable.</td> </tr> <tr> <td><u>Allocated Uses:</u></td> </tr> </table>	<u>Proposed Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment including the management of non hazardous waste management facilities, including incineration, the adverse effects upon the integrity of European sites acceptable.	<u>Allocated Uses:</u>	To provide further clarity regarding appropriate uses.	<p>No. This modification provides additional protection for Natura 2000 sites.</p>
<u>Proposed Uses:</u>	Opportunities for intensification and redevelopment including the management of non hazardous waste management facilities, including incineration, the adverse effects upon the integrity of European sites acceptable.							
<u>Allocated Uses:</u>								
MM AS10.5		Inset 10 – Binnegar Environmental Park	<p>Amend 'Potential additional capacity' row of table as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Potential additional capacity</td> <td>Site has been as potential to manage 100,000tpa of re <u>Exact capacity v connection with</u></td> </tr> </table>	Potential additional capacity	Site has been as potential to manage 100,000tpa of re <u>Exact capacity v connection with</u>	For clarification	No	
Potential additional capacity	Site has been as potential to manage 100,000tpa of re <u>Exact capacity v connection with</u>							

Inset 11 Bourne Park, Piddlehinton					
MM AS11.1	MM AS11.1	Inset 11 – Bourne Park, Piddlehinton	<p>Amendment to Development Consideration 1 as follows'</p> <p>'The scale, height, mass and overall design of all structures, boundary features and other infrastructure, including lighting, should respect the site's overall open character and help to minimise landscape and visual impacts <u>including providing protection to the historic character of Piddlehinton Camp, as appropriate.</u>'</p>	<p>To ensure that the Waste Plan and the Piddle Valley Neighbourhood Plan provide consistent advice with regards to development at Piddlehinton Enterprise Park and Bourne Park.</p>	No
MM AS11.2	MM AS11.2	Inset 11 – Bourne Park, Piddlehinton	<p>Amendment to Development Consideration 3 as follows:</p> <p><u>'Vehicles accessing the facility should, wherever possible, come from the road network in the south unless it is impractical to do so.</u></p> <p>Access to the site should be via the existing Piddlehinton Enterprise Park, avoiding London Row.'</p>	<p>Given the potential increase in vehicle movements the amendment would encourage traffic to access the site from the major road network in the south rather than from the north via the Piddle Valley Villages.</p>	No

MM11.3		Inset 11 – Bourne Park, Piddlehinton	Amend fourth row of table as follows: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use</td> <td>Green waste composting</td> </tr> </table>	Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use	Green waste composting	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use	Green waste composting						
Inset 12 Gillingham STW							
MM12.1	MM AS12.1	Inset 12 – Gillingham STW	Delete Site Allocation	Planning permission has been granted for an extension to Gillingham STW. There is no need to retain site allocation.	No		
Inset 13 Maiden Newton							
MM AS13.1	MM AS13.1	Inset 13 – Maiden Newton	Re-number Inset 13 as 'Inset 12 – Maiden Newton Sewage Treatment Works'	To reflect the deletion of Inset 12	No		
MM AS13.2		Inset 13 – Maiden Newton	Amend fourth row of table as follows: <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use</td> <td>Sewage treatment works (extension to</td> </tr> </table>	Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use	Sewage treatment works (extension to	To reflect amended terminology for Policy 3.	No
Proposed <u>Allocated</u> use	Sewage treatment works (extension to						
Glossary							
AM G1.1		Glossary	Change Energy from Waste (energy recovery) to <u>Energy recovery</u>	Correction	No		
AM G1.2		Glossary	Change Materials Recycling Facility (MRF) to Materials <u>Recovery</u> Facility (MRF)	Correction	No		

Date: 03 August 2018
Our ref: [Click here to enter text.](#)
Your ref: [Click here to enter text.](#)



BY EMAIL ONLY

Customer Services
Hornbeam House
Crewe Business Park
Electra Way
Crewe
Cheshire
CW1 6GJ

T 0300 060 3900

Dear Dr King,

**Planning consultation: Dorset Waste Plan Modifications Habitats Regulations Assessment
Location: Dorset**

Natural England is a non-departmental public body. Our statutory purpose is to ensure that the natural environment is conserved, enhanced, and managed for the benefit of present and future generations, thereby contributing to sustainable development.

The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017

Thank you for your email dated 1 August 2018 enclosing the documents listed below:

- Appendix 1-Screening table of Waste Plan Main Modifications Post Examination 31July18
- Waste Plan Mods Habs Regs Assessment 1 Aug18

Natural England have considered the proposed modifications set out in Tables 2,3, and the reasoned considerations set out in Section 5. Natural England agrees with the conclusion arrived at by the authority in Section 7 that the modifications proposed and overall plan allow the authority to reach a conclusion that there is no adverse effect on the integrity of the listed European and Internationally protected sites either alone or in combination as is required under The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (Reg 105) and the National Planning Policy Framework 2018.

Yours sincerely

Nick Squirrell
Conservation and Planning Lead Advisor
Dorset and Hampshire Team
Dorset, Hampshire and Isle of Wight Area Team
Natural England
Mob: 07766 133697
Email nick.squirrell@naturalengland.org.uk