

# MSDCC - 78

## Mineral Sites Plan – Suggested Modifications

Text proposed for addition is shown like this.

~~Text proposed for removal is shown like this.~~

AM – Additional Modification

MM – Main Modification

Shaded green rows are new mods/amended mods since publication of MSDCC – 70

Shaded blue rows are new modifications since publication of MSDCC – 70 that reflect the publication of the 2018 National Planning Policy Framework.

### Chapter 1 – Introduction (Page 6 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
AM1	<b>MM35</b>	Chapter 1 - 'Introduction'	<del>This Plan has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. It provides an opportunity for stakeholders and communities to comment on the soundness and legal compliance of the document prior to its submission to the Secretary of State for</del>	Text originally included in the Pre-Submission Consultation version of the Plan has been removed, as it is not appropriate for a final version of the Plan.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><del>Communities and Local Government for independent examination.</del></p> <p><del>The Pre-Submission Draft document follows extensive consultation carried out in accordance with Regulation 18 of the above regulations, and brings together the findings of consultation exercises and evidence gathering that has been underway since 2008.</del></p> <p><del>Work undertaken so far</del></p> <p><del>The following work has been undertaken as part of the preparation of the MSP:</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>• The Mineral Sites Allocation Document (MSAD) was published in 2008, setting out the range of site nominations (site options) received in response to a 'call for sites' issued in 2006/7.</del></li> <li><del>• Work on the MSAD was then put on hold in order to focus resources on the Minerals Strategy document. Work on the MSAD (renamed the Mineral Sites Plan) resumed in Summer 2012. Information previously received was reviewed and a second call for sites 'refresh' exercise was undertaken in August 2012 in order to update the list of sites to be considered as potential options for allocation.</del></li> <li><del>• The Mineral Sites Plan Consultation Document 2013-2014 was published for consultation from December 2013 to February 2014.</del></li> <li><del>• A final call for sites was issued in April 2014, to ensure that as many site options as possible were put forward for consideration.</del></li> <li><del>• In Summer 2015, the Draft Mineral Sites Plan was published for consultation. This draft</del></li> </ul>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><del>version of the MSP set out the MPA's preferred options for sites. It also included proposals for an aggregates Area of Search, the Puddletown Road Policy Area and safeguarding of existing minerals sites. Supporting documents, including a Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Appraisal, were also prepared and consulted on.</del></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>The most recent consultation, the Draft MSP Update 2016, was undertaken between May and July 2016. This was both an update of some aspects of the MSP and consultation on additional site options. Again a Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Appraisal were prepared and consulted on.</del></li> <li><del>The outcomes of these consultations together with the responses to the calls for sites have informed the final list of allocated sites and other proposals in this Pre-Submission Draft MSP document.</del></li> </ul> <p><del>Further information in relation to the above stages is available in the Duty to Co-operate Statement, the Draft MSP Consultation Statement and the Site Identification and Appraisal Statement which accompany this document.</del></p> <p><del>Supporting Documents</del></p> <p><del>A number of supporting documents provide the evidence base, assessments and methodology behind the Pre-Submission Draft MSP, including those below. These are available to download from: <a href="https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/mineral-sites">https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/mineral-sites</a></del></p>	

*Sustainability Appraisal*

*The production of a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report is mandatory under Section 39(2) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The purpose of an SA is to promote sustainable development through the integration of social, environmental and economic considerations into the preparation of planning policy documents. It also fulfils the requirements of the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive. This Pre-Submission Draft MSP document has been subject to iterative site assessment and/or sustainability appraisal since the 2008 version of the document, including the current document.*

*Habitats Regulations Assessment*

*Appropriate Assessment/Habitats Regulations Assessment of land use plans is required under the European Communities (1992) Council Directive 92/43/EEC (the 'Habitats Directive'). Habitats Regulations Assessment provides for the protection of European Sites/Natura 2000 sites. These comprise Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), candidate SACs (cSACs), Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and pSPAs (Potential SPAs) and Ramsar sites (sites designated under the RAMSAR convention on wetlands of international importance, 1971), areas which are of exceptional importance in respect of rare, endangered or vulnerable natural habitats and species within the European Community. The 2015 and 2016 Draft documents, along with the current Pre-Submission Draft, have undergone Habitats Regulations Assessment screening, under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017.*

*Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA)*

*The National Planning Policy Framework states that when preparing development plans local planning authorities should adopt a sequential, risk based approach to the location of new development to avoid*

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><del>possible flood risk. A Sequential Test should be applied to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. A Dorset SFRA was prepared in 2010, and has been updated to support the current Pre-Submission Draft MSP.</del></p> <p><del>Duty to Co-operate Statement</del></p> <p><del>Under Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as inserted by Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011, the Council is required to formally co-operate with other local planning authorities and bodies prescribed in regulation 4(1) of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. This is to maximise the effectiveness of the preparation of the Local Plan and supporting activities so far as it relates to strategic matters. The Council and others are required to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis. The Duty to Cooperate Statement describes how cooperation has been undertaken.</del></p> <p><del>Sites and Areas Report</del></p> <p><del>This Pre-Submission Draft MSP document is supported by a Site Identification and Appraisal document, including site selection and assessment methodology and site assessment pro-formas used for assessing the sites. It considers those sites proposed for allocation and those sites which have been discounted, together with the justification for the decisions taken.</del></p> <p><del>How to comment on the Final Draft Mineral Sites Plan</del></p> <p><del>This document is the Pre-Submission Draft of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan. This is the last formal opportunity to respond before the Plan, along with any representations</del></p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><del>received, is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government.</del></p> <p><del>It is published in accordance with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Representations are invited on this Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan document between <b>1st December 2017 and 5:00 pm on 31st January 2018</b> with respect to the following matters:</del></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>1. Has the document been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate requirements?</del></li> <li><del>2. Is the document legally compliant?</del></li> <li><del>3. Is the document sound, that is:</del> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><del>a. has it been positively prepared?</del></li> <li><del>b. is it justified?</del></li> <li><del>c. is it effective?</del></li> <li><del>d. is it consistent with national policy?</del></li> </ol> </li> </ol> <p><del>We encourage you to view and respond to the Plan online. To view the Plan and comment on it please go to: <b>www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/mineral-sites</b></del></p> <p><del>Alternatively you can email us at: <b>mwdf@dorsetcc.gov.uk</b></del></p> <p><del>If you do not have access to a computer you can complete a paper response form and send it to:</del></p> <p style="text-align: center;"><del>Environment and Economy Directorate, Dorset County Council, County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorchester, DT1 1XJ</del></p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason						
			<p><del>Hard copies of this document are available to view at Dorset County Council (County Hall, Dorchester); Bournemouth Borough Council (Town Hall Annexe); and the Borough of Poole (Civic Centre). Representation forms will also be available at these locations.</del></p> <p><del><b>Consultation period: 1st December 2017 to 31st January 2018</b></del></p> <p><del>Representations must be received by 5:00pm on the 31st January 2018.—</del></p> <p><del>No late submissions will be accepted.</del></p> <p><del>What happens next?</del></p> <p><del>Subject to the outcomes of this stage, preparation of the Mineral Sites Plan is expected to progress according to the timetable below. Following submission to the Secretary of State, the dates given will be dependent on the Planning Inspectorate and therefore these below can only be an indication.</del></p> <table border="1" data-bbox="954 1007 1671 1407"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="954 1091 1391 1171"><del>Key Stages</del></th> <th data-bbox="1391 1091 1671 1171"><del>When</del></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="954 1171 1391 1294"><del>Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan</del></td> <td data-bbox="1391 1171 1671 1294"><del>December 2017– January 2018</del></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="954 1294 1391 1407"><del>Mineral Sites Plan Submission to the Secretary of State</del></td> <td data-bbox="1391 1294 1671 1407"><del>March 2018</del></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<del>Key Stages</del>	<del>When</del>	<del>Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan</del>	<del>December 2017– January 2018</del>	<del>Mineral Sites Plan Submission to the Secretary of State</del>	<del>March 2018</del>	
<del>Key Stages</del>	<del>When</del>									
<del>Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan</del>	<del>December 2017– January 2018</del>									
<del>Mineral Sites Plan Submission to the Secretary of State</del>	<del>March 2018</del>									

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason				
			<table border="1" data-bbox="954 277 1671 478"> <tr> <td data-bbox="954 277 1391 395"><i><del>Mineral Sites Examination- Hearings</del></i></td> <td data-bbox="1391 277 1671 395"><i><del>June 2018</del></i></td> </tr> <tr> <td data-bbox="954 395 1391 478"><i><del>Mineral Sites Adoption</del></i></td> <td data-bbox="1391 395 1671 478"><i><del>December 2018</del></i></td> </tr> </table> <p data-bbox="954 501 1671 813"><i><del>Once the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan and any representations have been submitted, an Independent Inspector will be appointed to examine whether the plan meets the required legal and soundness tests including the duty to co-operate and procedural requirements. The Examination, including public hearings, begins upon the Plan's submission and will consider the issues raised. The content and timing of the hearings will be set by the Inspector.</del></i></p> <p data-bbox="954 836 1671 1037"><i><del>Following the end of the examination process, if the Inspector finds the Plan to be sound and legally compliant, Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Councils can proceed to adopt the Mineral Sites Plan. It will then form part of the statutory development plan for the area.</del></i></p>	<i><del>Mineral Sites Examination- Hearings</del></i>	<i><del>June 2018</del></i>	<i><del>Mineral Sites Adoption</del></i>	<i><del>December 2018</del></i>	
<i><del>Mineral Sites Examination- Hearings</del></i>	<i><del>June 2018</del></i>							
<i><del>Mineral Sites Adoption</del></i>	<i><del>December 2018</del></i>							



## Chapter 2 - Context and Structure

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason

## General Changes -various locations within the Plan

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM1	MM1	Policy MS-1 Policy MS-2 Policy MS-3 Policy MS-4 Policy MS-5 Policy MS-7	Each of these policies refers to 'development considerations' and should in fact refer to 'Development Guidelines'	For correction/clarification

## Chapter 3 - Existing and Proposed Mineral Sites

### 3.1 - Sand and Gravel (Page 18 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM2	MM-SG.1	Section 3.9 'Allocated Sites'	<p>... These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan.</p> <p><u>Where allocations proposed for development are in the vicinity of other allocations and/or of currently permitted sites, the developer will need to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the mineral planning authority that cumulative impacts can be addressed and satisfactorily mitigated.</u></p>	To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way.
MM3	MM-SG.2	Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel	<p>'Any proposal for the development of any of these allocations must address the development considerations set out for each site in Appendix <u>and work towards achieving public benefits within the restoration vision</u>, as well as <u>addressing</u> any other matters relevant to the development of each proposed allocation, and demonstrating that any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.'</p>	For clarification of the proposed approach to restoration.
MM4	MM-SG.3	Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel	<p>'Proposals for the development of these allocations will only be considered where it has been demonstrated that possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise from their development would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites either alone or in combination with other plans or projects; <u>implementation of the full range of mitigation measures as identified through Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening and listed under the</u></p>	<p>To make a better link between the Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report (HRA) and plan policy, as some of the detail about the nature of the mitigation is within the HRA rather than the development guidelines.</p> <p>This will ensure that the requirement for specific mitigation is enshrined within Policy rather than only being</p>

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>Development Guidelines in Appendix A of this Plan will be a key element in meeting these requirements.</u></p>	<p>within supporting documents; the change will allow the Plan itself to meet the relevant tests in the Habitats Regulations/Directive.</p>
MM5	MM-SG.4	Policy MS-1	<p>... that any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.</p> <p><u>Proposals for the development of these allocations must be able to demonstrate that any cumulative impacts associated with their development and operation are capable of mitigation to a level acceptable to the Mineral Planning Authority.</u></p>	<p>To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way.</p>
AM2	MM-SG.5	Paragraph 3.5	<p><b>3.5</b> The deliverability of the annual aggregate supply must be taken into consideration, and may require identification of more than simply an absolute figure that could meet demand over the plan period. New sites to meet the shortfall <del>are should be</del> located within the Aggregate Resource Blocks designated by Policy AS1 of the Minerals Strategy.</p>	<p>For clarification.</p>
MM6	MM-SG.6	Policy MS-1	<p>3. The following new sites and extensions to existing sites are allocated to contribute to the adequate and steady supply of sand and gravel, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan:</p>	<p>For clarification</p>

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>a. Great Plantation, Puddletown Road, Bere Regis - <u>approximately up to 2,000,000 tonnes of primarily Poole Formation sand</u> (AS-06 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>7-B and Figure 10</u>)</p> <p><del>b. Hurn Court Farm Quarry Extension, Hurn - approximately 600,000 tonnes (AS-09 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 9)</del></p> <p>c. Philliol's Farm, Hyde - <u>approximately up to 1,500,000 tonnes of primarily River Terrace aggregate</u> (AS-12 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>4 B and Figure 12</u>)</p> <p>d. Roeshot Quarry Extension, Christchurch - <u>approximately up to 3,500,000 tonnes of primarily River Terrace aggregate</u> (AS-13 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>10 C and Figure 13</u>)</p> <p>e. Tatchell's Quarry Extension, Wareham - <u>approximately up to 330,000 tonnes of primarily sand</u> (AS-15 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>6 B and Figure 14</u>)</p> <p>f. Woodsford Quarry Extension, Woodsford - <u>approximately up to 2,100,000 tonnes of primarily River Terrace aggregate</u> (AS-19 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>4 A and Figure 15</u>)</p> <p>g. Station Road, Moreton - <u>approximately up to 3,100,000 tonnes</u> (AS-25 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>3 A and Figure 16</u>)</p> <p>h. Hurst Farm, Moreton - <u>approximately up to 3,300,000 tonnes</u> (AS-26 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>2 A and Figure 17</u>)</p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM7	MM-SG.7	New paragraph 3.6	<p><b>3.5</b> The deliverability of the annual aggregate supply must be taken into consideration, and may require identification of more than simply an absolute figure that could meet demand over the plan period. New sites to meet the shortfall should be located within the Aggregate Resource Blocks designated by Policy AS1 of the Minerals Strategy.</p> <p><b>3.6</b> <u>Pooler Formation sand has a high silica content and is used for non-aggregate uses, although not for uses requiring a high level of purity (e.g. animal bedding). In most cases the non-aggregate use is relatively small-scale, and Pooler Formation sand is primarily used for aggregate uses. None of the sites producing Pooler Formation sand are proposed primarily for silica sand/ non-aggregate use, but if there is demand a proportion of the output may be used for these purposes.</u></p>	For clarification regarding use of Pooler Formation sand.
AM3	MM-SG.8	Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel	Great Plantation, Puddletown Road, <u>East Stoke Bere Regis</u> - approximately 2,000,000 tonnes (AS-06 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 7)	Correction and clarification.
MM8	MM-SG.9	New paragraph 3.9	<i>Information will be provided showing the split between Pooler Formation and River Terrace provision for the allocations proposed through Policy MS-1</i>	For clarification.
AM4	MM-SG.10	Amendment to Figure 1 - Sand and gravel allocations	The sand and gravel resource blocks will be shown on Figure 1.	For information.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
AM5	MM-AOS.1	Policy MS-2 Sand and Gravel Area of Search	<p><b>Policy MS-2: Sand and Gravel Area of Search</b></p> <p>An Area of Search, as shown in Figure 2 and on the Policies Map, is designated with the intention of facilitating the development of sand and gravel sites and maintaining appropriate levels of supply. Proposals for the development of unallocated sites from within the Area of Search will be permitted if:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. there is a demonstrable shortfall in the supply of sand and gravel, or</li> <li>ii. the development of an unallocated site offers net environmental benefits that would justify its development, or</li> <li>iii. the development of an unallocated site is for the prior extraction of aggregate in advance of strategically important non-mineral development, and</li> <li>iv. in the case of i. and ii. above, <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. they would not delay or otherwise prejudice the development of allocated site(s) which have the potential to produce the same specific type of aggregate mineral and which would serve the same geographic market, and</li> <li>b. they would not add unacceptable cumulative impacts to the development of allocated or permitted sites.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Amending the numbering to avoid confusion

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>Applications for the development of non-allocated sites within the designated Area of Search must demonstrate that:</p> <p><u>v.</u> <del>iv.</del> the proposals are in accordance with the development plan, and</p> <p><u>vi.</u> <del>ii.</del> they have considered and addressed all relevant development considerations; and</p> <p><u>vii.</u> <del>iii.</del> any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.</p>	
MM9	MM-AOS.2	<p>Aggregates Area of Search</p> <p>New paragraph 3.19 to be added.</p>	<p><b>3.18</b> Sites within the AOS can only be developed if it is demonstrated that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan.</p> <p><b>3.19</b> <u>Any unallocated site proposed for development through Policy MS-2 which is within any Aerodrome Safeguarding Area as defined on the Policies Map will be required to undergo an Aviation Impact Assessment in consultation with the relevant airport.</u></p>	For clarification and aviation safety.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM10	MM-AOS.3	<p>Policy MS-2</p> <p>Addition of text regarding aviation impact assessment</p>	<p>Sites will only be considered where it has been demonstrated that possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise from their development would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.</p> <p><u>Sites proposed for development which lie within an Aerodrome Safeguarding Area as defined on the Policies Map must undergo Aviation Impact Assessment in consultation with the relevant airport.</u></p>	For clarification and aviation safety.
MM11	MM-AOS.4	<p>Policy MS-2</p> <p>Addition of text to paragraph i.</p>	<p><b>Policy MS-2: Sand and Gravel Area of Search</b></p> <p>An Area of Search, as shown in Figure 2 and on the Policies Map, is designated with the intention of facilitating the development of sand and gravel sites and maintaining appropriate levels of supply. Proposals for the development of unallocated sites from within the Area of Search will be permitted if:</p> <p>i. there is a demonstrable shortfall in the supply of sand and gravel, <u>particularly if a site proposal contributes to meeting a shortfall in Poole Formation or River Terrace aggregate identified through the Local Aggregates Assessment</u>, or</p>	For clarification and to seek to meet specific and identified needs.



New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM12	MM-AOS.5	Paragraph 3.13 Addition of text	<p><b>3.13</b> Such a shortfall could result, for example, from one of the allocated sites proving to be undeliverable, or significantly increased sales for several consecutive years leading to a shortfall in provision within the lifetime of the Plan. <del>The MPA will need to be satisfied that there are no permitted sand and gravel reserves capable of being worked but not currently being worked in the vicinity of a site proposed through Policy MS-2, that could be used to meet the identified shortfall.</del></p>	The text has been moved into the policy itself.
MM13	MM-AOS.6	Policy MS-2 - Area of Search Addition of text	<p>iv. in the case of i. and ii. above,</p> <p>a. they would not delay or otherwise prejudice the development of allocated site(s) which have the potential to produce the same specific type of aggregate mineral and which would serve the same geographic market, and</p> <p><u>b. there are no permitted sand and gravel reserves capable of providing primarily or exclusively the same type of aggregate of which there is a shortfall and capable of being worked but not currently being worked in the vicinity of an unallocated site proposed for development , and</u></p> <p>c. they would not add unacceptable cumulative impacts to the development of allocated or permitted sites.</p>	For clarification

### 3.2 Crushed Rock

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM14	MM-CR.1	Draft Mineral Sites Plan - Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock'  Paragraph 3.26	<p><b>Future provision</b></p> <p>3.25 Swanworth Quarry in Purbeck supplies crushed rock to south-eastern Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole. It is an important source of crushed rock, supplying approximately half of the Dorset annual total. It provides an alternative source of crushed rock to the Portland quarries, or Mendip quarries. In terms of reducing distances to be travelled, it is considered to offer a more sustainable source of construction aggregate for the Poole and Bournemouth markets.</p> <p><del>3.26 It is also questionable whether the Portland suppliers would have the capacity or desire to double their output to maintain supply, should Swanworth cease production - as is due to happen by 2024.</del></p>	For clarification an correction.
MM15	MM-CR.2	Draft Mineral Sites Plan - Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock'  Paragraph 3.27	<p>Amend paragraph and footnote as follows:</p> <p>'However, <del>the existing Swanworth</del> quarry and proposed extension are within the Dorset Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). The National Planning Policy Framework requires that mineral planning authorities refuse permission for major developments in Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, except in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated that such quarries are in the public interest'</p> <p><del>Footnote: 'National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph 116 172 ( July 2018 March 2012, Ministry of Housing, Department for</del> Communities and Local Government'</p>	To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM16	MM-CR.3	Draft Mineral Sites Plan - Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock'  Paragraph 3.27	Amend footnote as follows:  'National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph <del>144-205</del> -( <del>July 2018</del> <del>March 2012</del> , <del>Ministry of Housing, Department for</del> Communities and Local Government).'	To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.

### 3.3 Recycled Aggregate (Page 32 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason

**3.4 Ball Clay** (Page 36 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason

**3.5 Purbeck Stone** (Page 39 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM17	MM-PKS.1	Policy MS-6 and supporting text	<p><b>Allocated sites</b></p> <p>Policy MS-6 below sets out the new allocations, to assist in maintaining the supply of stone. Proposals to develop these allocations should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan.</p> <p><u>A number of the existing Purbeck Stone sites, including service yards, lie in close proximity to one another. There is a potential for cumulative impacts with the development of the allocations identified through this Plan. Most of the allocations are extensions of existing sites, reducing the potential for cumulative impacts as they are developed. However, the issue of cumulative impacts must</u></p>	To acknowledge the potential for cumulative impacts associated with the development of Purbeck Stone allocations, and to ensure that these are carefully considered and appropriately mitigated.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>be carefully considered as part of the detailed assessment associated with a planning application for any of these allocations, and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented.</u></p> <p><b>Policy MS-6: Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone</b></p> <p>Any proposals for the development of these allocations must address the development guidelines set out for each site in Appendix A, with particular emphasis on landscape and visual impacts on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, as well as any other matters relevant to the development of the allocations, and demonstrate that any adverse impacts, <u>including cumulative impacts</u>, will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.</p>	
MM18	MM-PKS.2	<p>Policy MS-6, section 3.5 of the Draft Mineral Sites Plan</p> <p>Along with supporting text</p>	<p><b>Current Sites</b></p> <p>At the end of 2016, the following Purbeck Stone quarries were active:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers</li> <li>ii. <u>Downs Quarry Extension, Worth Matravers</u></li> <li>iii. South Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers</li> </ul> <p><b>Policy MS-6: Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone</b></p> <p>An adequate and steady supply of Purbeck Stone will be maintained through a combination of the following:</p>	<p>Amendments to Draft Mineral Sites Plan to reflect removal of PK15Downs Quarry Extension as a proposed allocation, following grant of permission.</p>

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The continued provision of stone from the remaining permitted reserves at the following sites:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers</li> <li>b. <u><a href="#">Downs Quarry Extension, Worth Matravers</a></u></li> <li>c. South Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers</li> <li>d. Quarry 4, Acton, Langton Matravers</li> <li>e. Landers and Fratton Quarry, Worth Matravers</li> <li>f. Belle Vue Quarry, Swanage</li> <li>g. Southard Quarry, Swanage</li> <li>h. St. Aldhelm's Quarry, Worth Matravers</li> <li>i. California Quarry, Swanage</li> <li>j. Blacklands Quarry, Langton Matravers</li> <li>k. Keates Quarry, Langton Matravers</li> <li>l. Homefield 1, Langton Matravers</li> <li>m. Homefield 2, Langton Matravers</li> </ol> </li> <li>2. The provision of stone from the following allocations of new sites and extensions to existing sites, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan:</li> </ol>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a. Blacklands Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-02 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 16)</li> <li>b. Southard Quarry, Swanage (PK-10 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 18)</li> <li>c. <del>Downs Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-15 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 12)</del></li> <li>d. Home Field, Acton (PK-17 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 15)</li> <li>e. ...</li> </ul>	
<b>AM6</b>	<b>MM-PKS.3</b>	Amendment to paragraph 3.55	<b>3.55</b> The Minerals Strategy <u>through Policy PK-1 Provision of Purbeck Stone</u> commits to the provision of at least 20,000 tonnes per annum of saleable Purbeck Stone (excluding Burr and Purbeck Marble), from a range of sources, including:	For clarification.
<b>MM19</b>	<b>MM-PKS.4</b>	Amendments to the Development Guidelines of all Purbeck Stone proposed allocations	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All proposed Purbeck Stone allocations to include reference in the Development Guidelines to including conservation interest limestone grassland as part of restoration, and to make reference to the clustering;</li> <li>• All proposed of Purbeck Stone sites to include reference in the Development Guidelines to the clustering of Purbeck Stone sites and the potential for cumulative impacts.</li> </ul>	For clarification/information

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• All Purbeck Stone sites to include reference to considering providing bat roosts as part of restoration strategy</li> <li>• Detail to be added to each proposed allocation.</li> </ul>	

### 3.6 Other Building Stone (Page 43 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM20	MM-OBS.1	Policy MS-7	<p><b>Policy MS-7: Sites for the provision of other building stone (excluding Portland and Purbeck stone)</b></p> <p>The following extensions to existing sites are allocated, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan, to contribute to the supply of building stone:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. Marnhull Quarry, Marnhull (<a href="#">producing Todber Freestone</a>) (BS-02 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 21)</li> <li>ii. Frogden Quarry, Osborne (<a href="#">producing Inferior Oolite</a>) (BS-04 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 20)</li> <li>iii. Whithill Quarry, Lillington (<a href="#">producing Forest Marble</a>) (BS-05 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 19)</li> </ul>	For information.



New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
AM7	MM-OBS.2	Policy MS-7	<p><b>Policy MS-7: Sites for the provision of other building stone (excluding Portland and Purbeck stone)</b></p> <p><del>The following extensions to existing sites are allocated, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan, to contribute to the supply of building stone:</del></p> <p><u>The following extensions to existing sites are allocated to contribute to the supply of building stone, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan:</u></p>	

#### Chapter 4 - Puddletown Road Area Policy

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM21	MM-PRA.1	Supporting text to Policy MS-8	<p><b>Puddletown Road Area - Background and Context</b></p> <p>The Puddletown Road and surrounding areas comprise primarily a ridge of free-draining, acidic sands and gravels, capable of supporting heathland and acid grassland. Lowland heathland and acid grassland are important both nationally and internationally, and remaining heathland is often protected both for its rarity as a habitat and for the species it supports. However the</p>	For clarification/explanation..

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>geology that supports the heathland is also in demand for extraction and use as construction aggregate, and the Puddletown Road area contains a concentration of existing and former mineral workings. Potential exists in this area for future mineral workings. <u>This quarrying, both past and future, provides an opportunity to carry out landscape scale management and restoration.</u></p>	
MM22	MM-PRA.2	Policy MS-8	<p><b>Policy MS-8: Puddletown Road Area Policy</b></p> <p>Within the Puddletown Road Area as shown on the Policies Map and in Figure 8, the Mineral Planning Authority will work with operators, landowners, Natural England and the Local Nature Partnership to secure a consistent and coordinated approach to the development, working and restoration of land permitted for mineral development.</p> <p>This consistent and coordinated approach will:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. create a coherent and resilient ecological network, with primary emphasis on restoration of heathland and acid grassland;</li> <li>2. support the management objectives of the Heath/Forest Mosaic Landscape Type;</li> <li>3. avoid or minimise adverse transport, environmental or amenity impacts arising from mineral workings;</li> <li>4. maximise opportunities for biodiversity gains, including through effective and timely restoration of</li> </ol>	For clarification/explanation.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>lowland heath and associated habitats and linking restored sites with areas of nature conservation interest;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>5. secure cost-effective and long-term aftercare and management;</li> <li>6. meet environmental and compatible recreational objectives in the area.</li> <li>7. <u>provide landowners/developers with the opportunity to cooperate over the detailed design and implementation of restoration and /or future development proposals</u></li> </ol> <p>Development, restoration, management or other activities will only be undertaken where it can be demonstrated that any possible effects that might result will not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects.</p>	
MM23	MM-PRA.3	Paragraph 4.3	<p>Amend footnote as follows:</p> <p>'Para. <u>170 d-9</u>, NPPF (<del>DCLG: 2012 July 2018</del> <u>Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government: 2018</u>)'</p>	To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.

**Chapter 5 - Safeguarding** (Page 52 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM24	MM-SFG.1	Section 5 - Safeguarding  Paragraph 5.9, vi. and x.	<p>To avoid the need for consultation on minor development that is unlikely to constrain future working or on development that has already been the subject of consultation, the following development within the consultation areas around mineral sites is exempt from the need for consultation with the Mineral Planning Authority:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. development in accordance with an allocation in an adopted Local Plan;</li> <li>ii. applications for reserved matters unless consultation has specifically been requested in response to the relevant outline application;</li> <li>iii. applications for the discharge of conditions;</li> <li>iv. development within a settlement boundary defined in an adopted Local Plan;</li> <li>v. householder development (i.e. the extension or other alteration of a dwelling that does not result in any increase in the number of dwellings);</li> <li>vi. applications for change of use <u>or prior approval</u> other than to Classes C1, C2, C2a, C3, C4 or D1;</li> <li>vii. applications for advertisement consent, listed building consent, works to trees or certificates of lawfulness;</li> <li>viii. prior notifications for forestry, agriculture or demolition;</li> <li>ix. the construction or alteration of an access or a fence or other boundary; and</li> </ul>	To clarify development requiring or not requiring consultation with the Mineral Planning Authority

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>x. applications for temporary permission of up to five years, <u>other than to Classes C1, C2, C2a, C3, C4 or D1.</u></p>	
MM25	MM-SFG.2	Chapter 5 - section entitled 'Preventing Land Use Conflict'	<p><b>Preventing land use conflict</b></p> <p>Policy SG3 of the Minerals Strategy safeguards existing mineral sites, including related infrastructure. However, this was a generic approach to site and infrastructure safeguarding and did not identify the specific sites to be safeguarded, not did it establish a consultation area around each site to protect against encroachment from non-minerals uses. Such encroachment of incompatible activities around minerals developments can lead to conflicts, potentially imposing constraints and reducing the viability of future mineral operations. Establishing consultation areas between minerals developments (including both permitted, and allocated but not yet permitted, sites) and incompatible (non-mineral) activities can prevent encroachment and reduce the potential for land use conflict and adverse impacts.</p> <p>The mineral sites (including sites allocated through this Plan) and infrastructure safeguarded under Policy SG3 of the Minerals Strategy are listed and mapped in Appendix B, and illustrated in Figure 9 below. <b>This list is only accurate at the time the Plan is adopted.</b> It will be updated regularly through monitoring of the Minerals Strategy and Mineral Sites Plan. The Safeguarding Map is available to</p>	To clarify the brick clay resources safeguarded through the Plan

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>view online via Dorset Explorer (<a href="http://www.dorsetforyou.com/mineral-sites">www.dorsetforyou.com/mineral-sites</a>).</p> <p><u>The Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 notes that the only brick clay resource to be safeguarded is the Wealden Clay resource around the existing Swanage Brickworks. Specifically, a limited area west of the brickworks is safeguarded to ensure the availability of future supplies (see paragraph 14.5 and Figure 28 of the Minerals Strategy 2014). However, this area does not yet have planning permission, neither is it formally proposed for allocation through the Mineral Sites Plan. Swanage Brickworks as an existing minerals site is safeguarded and included in Appendix B. For the avoidance of doubt, the site safeguarded through the Mineral Sites Plan is taken to include this area to the west of the brickworks which has been specifically identified and safeguarded through the Minerals Strategy 2014.</u></p>	
MM26	MM-SFG.3	Paragraph 5.1	<p>Amend footnotes as follows:</p> <p><u>'National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 203 July 2018 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government: 2018142. DCLG: 2012.'</u></p> <p><u>'National Planning Policy Framework paragraph 203,204 and 208. July 2018 Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government: 2018.143, 3rd and 4th bullet points (DCLG: 2012)'</u></p>	To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.
MM27	MM-SFG.3	Paragraph 5.3	Delete list and replace as follows:	To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p>'The NPPF requires <del>the following to be safeguarded</del> <u>safeguarding of existing, planned and potential sites for:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i. <u>the bulk transport, handling and processing of minerals</u></li> <li>ii. <u>the manufacture of concrete and concrete products</u></li> <li>iii. <u>the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material</u></li> </ul> <p><del>i. existing, planned and potential rail heads</del>  <del>ii. rail links to quarries</del>  <del>iii. wharfage and associated storage</del>  <del>iv. handling and processing facilities for the bulk transport by rail, sea or inland waterways of minerals, including recycled, secondary and marine-dredged materials</del>  <del>v. existing, planned and potential sites for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other concrete products and the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material.'</del></p>	

**Chapter 6 - Implementation and Monitoring** (Page 58 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM28	MM-IM.1	Section 6 - Implementation and Monitoring Monitoring tables	<p><u>Monitoring tables to be amended to provide an indication of the action required if monitoring trigger is met as follows:</u></p> <p><b>Table 2 – MS-1</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Action(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>Continue to review the situation – is the landbank drop a temporary issue that is likely to be resolved by a major application, therefore no action may be required?</u></p> <p><u>If monitoring reveals a more permanent issue, then consideration will need to be given for a review of the plan, policy and/or site allocations.</u></p> <p><u>The aggregates Area of Search is designed to offer a level of flexibility in reacting to sharp increases in demand or accommodating the failure of an allocated site.</u></p> <p><u>The MPA will also work with the Aggregates Working Party to monitor supply.</u></p> <p><b>Table 3 – MS-2</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p>	For clarification.



New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>Continue to review the situation – if the landbank drop is a temporary issue that is likely to be resolved by a major application, no action may be required?</u></p> <p><u>If monitoring reveals a more permanent issue, then consideration will need to be given for a review of the policy, site allocations and/or area of search.</u></p> <p><u>The MPA will also work with the Aggregates Working Party to monitor supply.</u></p> <p><u>If unacceptable cumulative impacts are caused by the development on non-allocated sites consideration may be needed for a review of the plan, policies, site allocations and/or area of search.</u></p> <p><u>If sites are permitted outside the area of search the situation should be monitored. As a one off this may not require a review of the policy/plan but if the situation is repeated consideration will need to be given to a review the plan, policy, site allocations and area of search.</u></p> <p><b>Table 4 – MS-3</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>If an application is refused or permitted for a substantially reduced site consideration will be needed to</u></p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>review the plan, policy and site allocation to ensure that an adequate a steady supply of crushed rock can be maintained.</u></p> <p><b>Table 5 – MS-5</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>The situation should be monitored, and discussions should take place with the Ball Clay industry if an application is refused or permitted for a substantially reduced site. However, the Mineral Strategy contains a criteria-based policy (BC1), this should offer flexibility to ensure an adequate and steady supply of ball clay can be maintained. Therefore, an immediate review of the Plan/site allocations may not be necessary.</u></p> <p><b>Table 6 – MS-6</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>The situation should be monitored if an application is refused or permitted for a substantially reduced site. Given the scale of Purbeck Stone quarries, if one site is lost it may still be possible to maintain supply from other</u></p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>allocations resulting in no immediate need to review the policy and or site allocations.</u></p> <p><u>Additionally, the Mineral Strategy contains an area of search and a criteria-based policy (PK2), this should offer flexibility to ensure an adequate and steady supply of Purbeck Stone can be maintained without the need for review. However, the situation should be closely monitored as continual reliance on the criteria based policy and non-allocated sites is likely to result in a need to review the Minerals Strategy and Mineral Site Allocations Document to ensure a strategic approach to development in the area.</u></p> <p><b>Table 7 – MS-7</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>There is no set target for the amount of local building stone that will be required annually. The need for local building stones varies and is often dependent on local building projects.</u></p> <p><u>The situation should be monitored if an application is refused or permitted for a substantially reduced site. However, given the scale and the nature of the local stone industry, it may be possible to maintain supply from other allocations and other non-allocated sites,</u></p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>which would still be in accordance with Mineral Strategy Policy BS1. There is therefore unlikely to be a need for an immediate review the plan or polices.</u></p> <p><u>If one site is lost it may still be possible to maintain supply from other allocations resulting in no need to review the policy and or site allocations.</u></p> <p><u>Consideration for reviewing the Plan should be given if non-allocated sites are consistently being permitted in preference to allocated sites, particularly if there is a danger of unacceptable cumulative impacts.</u></p> <p><b>Table 8 – MS-8</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>If permissions are not issued or refused, as a result of the implementation of this policy, the situation will need to be monitored to ensure that a steady supply of minerals can be maintained within the context of the restoration and management objectives set out.</u></p> <p><u>Pre-application discussions might assist in ensuring future applications come forward in accordance with policy, reducing the need for a review.</u></p>	

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>Consideration will need to be given to a review of the policy if permissions issued do not deliver its aims.</u></p> <p><b>Table 9 – MS-9</b></p> <p><b><u>Possible Actions(s)</u></b></p> <p><u>If monitoring triggers are met:</u></p> <p><u>If non-mineral proposals are seen to have adversely affected a minerals development and/or the MPA is consistently not being consulted on relevant applications, there will be a need to raise the profile of safeguarding with the relevant authorities. Discussions with the authorities, and pre-application discussions may be sufficient to address this issue. However, if the situation is repeated there may be a need for a review of the policy.</u></p>	
MM29	MM-IM.2	<p>Section 6 - Implementation and Monitoring</p> <p>Table 3 - Policy MS-2 Area of Search</p>	<p><b>Monitoring Triggers</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Sand and gravel landbank falls below 7 years without triggering any development of non-allocated site(s) in the Area of Search</li> <li>2. Non-allocated sites shown to prejudice the development of allocated sites or cause unacceptable cumulative impacts</li> <li>3. <u>The number of non-minerals developments delayed or prevented as a result of Policy MS-2.</u></li> <li>4. Unallocated sand and gravel site permitted outside Area of Search without strong justification</li> </ol>	<p>To identify whether non-mineral development, specifically housing, is being delayed by the implementation of Policy MS-2 .</p>

<b>New Modification Reference Number</b>	<b>Old Mod Number</b>	<b>Para/Policy</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>MM30</b>	<b>MM-IM.3</b>	Section 6 - Implementation and Monitoring Paragraph 6.12	Amend paragraph to state that reviews of local plans will take place every 5 years.	Correction/clarification.

**Submission Policies Map and Inset Maps** (Page 76 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<b>New Modification Reference Number</b>	<b>Old Mod Number</b>	<b>Para/Policy</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>
<b>MM31</b>	<b>MM-PM.1</b>	Submission Policies Map	Add Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, World Heritage Site, SPAs, SACs and RAMSAR sites.	For clarification and to inform users of the Plan.
<b>MM32</b>	<b>MM-PM.2</b>	Submission Policies Map	Rationalisation of maps included in the Plan, including removal of Inset Maps 1 to 21 and presenting the allocated site buffers on the maps accompanying each site allocation in Appendix 1: Site Allocations. Making additional changes to the maps to reflect re-numbering. Making changes to remove the mapping of those sites that have been permitted or withdrawn.	For clarification/correction.

**Appendix A: Site Allocations** (Page 106 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM33	MM-AA.1	<p>Appendix 1: Site Allocations</p> <p>-</p> <p>New section 'Aerodrome Safeguarding'</p>	<p><b>Relationship to the Minerals Strategy 2014</b></p> <p>As already mentioned, the Mineral Sites Plan delivers, and is an integral part of, the Minerals Strategy 2014. The two documents should be read together, and the policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014, particularly development management, safeguarding and restoration policies, will be applied to the proposals (particularly the site allocations) of the Mineral Sites Plan.</p> <p><b>Aerodrome Safeguarding</b></p> <p><u>The Minerals Strategy 2014 covers the issue of Airfield Safeguarding (pp.199-200, including policy DM9). For clarification, should an aviation impact assessment be required for any site, it will include consideration of the following four criteria:</u></p> <p><b>Wildlife Strike Risk:</b> <u>Mineral extraction and restoration plans may create habitats that will encourage species of wildlife to the site which could have a direct impact on aircraft safety at airfields, including at Bournemouth Airport. A wildlife strike risk assessment and mitigation plan will be required in such cases.</u></p> <p><b>Air Traffic Control (ATC):</b> <u>All lighting required for the development or working of a site should be assessed to</u></p>	<p>For clarification and amplification of the relevant sections of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 (MSDCC- 54)</p>

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
			<p><u>ensure that there is no impact on sightlines from ATC or aircraft operating from or in the vicinity of airfields, including Bournemouth Airport.</u></p> <p><b>Air Traffic Engineering:</b> <u>If mineral development or working requires the use of radio communication, when radios are operating in close proximity to an airfield the operator should provide the airfield with details as required to ensure no interference with critical equipment or communication frequencies.</u></p> <p><b>Obstacle Limitation Surfaces:</b> <u>Within 15km of an airfield there are a series of protected surfaces that should be kept clear of any upstanding non-frangible obstacles to ensure the safe operation of aircraft. This not only includes permanent structures but also temporary structures and tall plant such as cranes and excavators. All equipment and structures of this type should be assessed, and advised to the airfield to ensure such surfaces remain clear of obstacles.</u></p>	

**AS-06 Great Plantation** (Page 108 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

MM34	MM-AS06.1	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06 Great Plantation.</p> <p>Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'.</p>	<p>Initial assessments have concluded that effects on species, proximity and displacement of recreation in particular may be significant. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place. Discussions have focused on the need to provide a Heathland Support Area in the vicinity of Great Plantation to further protect designated heathlands from potential displacement of recreation.</p>	<p>To comply with the Habitats Directive and ensure protection of the biodiversity on and around the site.</p>
------	-----------	--	---	--



			<p><u>Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Creation of an off-site heathland support area to mitigate displaced recreation</u></li> <li><u>Design of a network of walks/paths around the remainder of the site, to ensure walkers are directed away from areas adjacent to the European site Phasing of works</u></li> <li><u>Restoration of worked areas of the extraction to high quality heathland/grassland habitat, to take place as soon as a phase is finished</u></li> <li><u>Enhancement of areas under the control of the developer to create additional habitat for Annex 1 and Annex 2 species.</u></li> </ul>	
<b>AM8</b>	<b>MM-AS06.2</b>	Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel	Great Plantation, Puddletown Road, <u>East Stoke Bere Regis</u> - approximately 2,000,000 tonnes (AS-06 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 7)	Correction and clarification.
<b>MM35</b>	<b>MM-AS06.3</b>	Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06 Great Plantation.  Development Guidelines - section titled 'Historic/Cultural Environment'.	<p><b>Historic/Cultural Environment</b></p> <p>There are heritage assets, including scheduled monuments, close to and in the vicinity of site. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site.</p> <p><u>A primary consideration of the setting assessment will be the archaeological and topographic relationship of the monuments to the historic landscape / landform and their inter-visibility with each other and with the surrounding area.</u></p>	To ensure appropriate protection of the historic environment.

**This site is now permitted and no longer forms part of the plan process. It is removed through proposed modification MM-AS09.1**

<p>MM36</p>	<p>MM-AS09.1</p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations AS09 Hurn Court Farm</p>	<p><b>AS-09: Hurn Court Farm Quarry, Hurn, Christchurch</b>  <b>Site location:</b> Hurn Court Farm Quarry, West Parley  <b>Grid reference:</b> SZ 115 971  <b>District/Borough:</b> Christchurch Borough Council  <b>Parish:</b> Hurn CP  <b>Site area (approximate):</b> 14.2 ha  <b>Estimated mineral resource:</b> Approximately 600,000 tonnes  <b>Existing land use/cover:</b> Agriculture  <b>Proposed development:</b> Extraction of sand and gravel, as an extension and continuation of the existing Hurn Court Farm Quarry to the south east of this site.  <b>Development Guidelines:</b>  <b>Natural Environment</b>  Full ecological assessment will be required, with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented.  <b>Historic/Cultural Environment</b>  There is a Grade 2 Listed Building adjacent to the site. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Full assessment of possible impacts is required, with adequate and appropriate screening to be in place prior to working.  <b>Hydrology/Flood Risk</b></p>	<p>For information.</p>
-------------	------------------	--	---	-------------------------

The site falls entirely within Flood Zone 1 but is in close proximity to Flood Zones 2 & 3 and

the floodplain of the Main River Stour, along the southern boundary. There is some minor risk of surface water flooding during severe rainfall events (1:100/1000yr).

A site specific strategy of surface water management that does not increase rates of runoff or generate off site worsening to adjacent properties and businesses is required, along with a hydrological/hydrogeological assessment that identifies any required mitigation. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment for all work phases, including restoration, is also required.

#### **Transport/Access**

112

Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan 2017

Parley Lane and other roads in the vicinity have high traffic levels. A Transport Assessment will be required, to assess possible impacts and identify appropriate mitigation.

The site is adjacent to Bournemouth Airport, and must be developed and restored in accordance with best practice to prevent bird strike risk.

Opportunities to increase informal recreation/public open space in the Stour Valley and to create links to existing public rights of way to be included in restoration.

#### **Landscape/Visual**

A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented in order to minimise impacts on surroundings, including possible cumulative impacts with restoration of original site. Existing hedgerows around site to be maintained and enhanced, and the height of storage heaps kept to an appropriate level to avoid visual impacts.

#### **Other**

			<p>Development of this extension should not lead to any intensification in working over existing operation, and should not be worked simultaneously with the existing operation.</p> <p>Impacts on local amenity, including adjacent properties and businesses, to be assessed and appropriately mitigated.</p> <p><b>Restoration Vision</b></p> <p>The site falls within the River Terrace Landscape Type, and the vision is for "restoration mainly to agricultural use but with significant space restored for informal public open space linked to footpath/cycle networks and to existing and future built development. Retained features like hedges, woodland and characteristic shelterbelts should be enhanced and linked with new similar native planting. Undisturbed margins along watercourses and/or rights of way to act as key wildlife/recreation corridors linking existing and new habitats/planting</p>	
--	--	--	---	--

**AS12 - Philliol's Farm** (Page 115 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<b>MM37</b>	<b>MM-AS12.1</b>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS12 Philliol's Farm.</p> <p>Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'.</p>	<p>Development at AS-12 Philliol's Farm may have significant effects on displacement of recreation and species in particular. Development proposals should either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels.</p> <p><u>Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Routing the haul road through existing forestry plantation, avoiding existing walking routes and habitat used by Annex 1 birds</u></li> <li>• <u>Creating a haul road entrance which is separate from the existing ride entrance.</u></li> </ul>	<p>To ensure protection of the biodiversity on and around the site and to minimise/mitigate recreational displacement effects.</p>
-------------	------------------	---	--	--

<p>MM38</p>	<p>MM-AS12.2</p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS12 Philliol’s Farm – Development Guidelines section titled ‘Transport/Access’, first paragraph.</p>	<p><b>Restoration Vision</b></p> <p><u>Although the allocation is with the Forest/Heath Mosaic Landscape Type this is a broad landscape description and the site itself is more directly associated with the river valleys. A wetland restoration with the wetlands hydrologically linked to the Bere Stream and/or the River Piddle would be more appropriate and deliver better public benefits.</u></p> <p><u>Recent work has shown that a reduction of some 1000 tonnes of nitrogen annually to Poole Harbour is required but only about half of this is likely to be achieved through agricultural measures and most of these will not begin to reduce nitrogen for upwards of 30 years (because of nitrogen already in the chalk aquifer). Wetland restorations at Woodsford, Hurst Farm and Philliol’s Farm, with the wetlands hydrologically linked to the nearby rivers, together could reduce nitrogen inputs to Poole Harbour by some 150 tonnes annually which in this context is highly significant. Other benefits would include flood alleviation and biodiversity gain as well as recreational opportunities.</u></p> <p><u>Post mineral working, the creation of multi-functional green infrastructure links across and along the valley will be important. This could include grazing pasture and/or a wetland restoration scheme with recreational opportunities, which would contribute to flood alleviation, contribute towards overall reduction in Phosphate, Nitrogen and sediment load in the lower reaches of the River Piddle and Poole Harbour and create habitat for the conservation of protected species such as otter and water vole as well as many species of wetland bird.</u></p> <p><u>This site lies within the Forest/Heath Mosaic Landscape Type. The landscape is typically a flat to undulating landform where there is a need to have a multi-functional and interconnected approach to restoration to provide Green Infrastructure, including recreational, landscape, biodiversity and amenity benefits. This must be a long-term restructuring</u></p>	<p>To provide a more appropriate approach to site restoration.</p>
-------------	------------------	---	--	--

			<p><del>of parts of the landscape currently affected by existing and future mineral extraction and landfill.</del></p> <p><del>All recreational activities need to divert pressure from sensitive heathland habitats. The restoration to a heathland and semi natural grassland/scrub mosaic is the key objective to link with existing heathland sites to create a large and continuous habitat managed by extensive grazing. The heathland is the key habitat in this mosaic. Protecting and managing blocks of conifer plantations, especially where they act as screens/buffers to urban/military uses, is also important. Their gradual thinning to reduce the proportion of conifers and reduce their 'hard' edges is a key part of their management.</del></p>	
<b>MM39</b>	<b>MM-AS12.3</b>	<p>Add new section to Development Guidelines</p> <p><b>Cumulative Impacts</b></p>	<p><b>Cumulative Impacts</b></p> <p><u>To minimise cumulative impacts of quarry traffic on the C7 Wareham to A35 road, the Philliol's Farm site will not begin extraction operations while both the Tatchell's and Trigon Hill mineral operations are still working unless it can be clearly demonstrated that the local road network has the necessary capacity for the traffic loading that would be generated by all three sites working simultaneously.</u></p>	<p>To minimise cumulative traffic impacts on the local roads and road users.</p>
<b>MM40</b>	<b>MM-AS12.4</b>	<p>Add to Development Guidelines section:</p> <p><b>Transport/Access</b></p>	<p>Opportunities to improve access to informal recreation/public open space and to create links to existing public rights of way to be included in restoration.</p> <p><u>This site, along with AS15 Tatchell's and BC04 Trigon Hill Extension, together form a cluster and are all accessed via the C7 Wareham to A35 road. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site.</u></p>	<p>For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts.</p>
<b>MM41</b>	<b>MM-AS12.5</b>	<p>Add to Development Guidelines section:</p>	<p><u>There is to be no processing of material and no processing plant on site. All mineral extracted, and any inert waste that may be used in restoration, must be processed off-site.</u></p>	<p>To minimise impacts on amenity and the environment.</p>

		<b>Other Issues to take into Consideration</b>		
<b>MM42</b>	<b>MM-AS12.6</b>	Add to Development Guidelines section: <b>Other Issues to take into Consideration</b>	<b>Natural Environment</b> Full assessment of ecological impacts, particularly direct and indirect impacts on the Fairy Shrimp and its habitat and all national and international designations (including Bere Stream SSSI and Philliol's Coppice SNCI), will be required with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. <u>The ephemeral ponds inhabited by the Fairy Shrimp will be excluded from the working area, with an appropriate buffer.</u>	To minimise impacts on the environment.

**AS13 - Roeshot** (Page 119 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<b>MM43</b>	<b>MM-AS13.1</b>	Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot Development Guidelines New section titled 'New Forest National Park'	Given the proximity of the site to the New Forest National Park, an additional section within the Development Guidelines is proposed, specifically addressing New Forest National Park issues and impacts: <i>Potential impacts on the New Forest National Park and its setting should also be considered.</i> <b>New Forest National Park</b> <u>Assessment work carried out in preparation for the development of the Dorset part of the Roeshot site should, wherever relevant, take into consideration the close proximity of the New Forest National Park and the potential for impacts on the national park and its setting.</u> <u>Of particular relevance are assessment of landscape and visual impacts, including the special landscape quality of the National Park; biodiversity and impacts on nationally and internationally designated sites within the National Park; and traffic and transport impacts within and around the National Park.</u>	To provide clarification on the scope of the various assessments in relation to the New Forest National Park.
-------------	------------------	--	--	---

<p><b>MM44</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS13.2</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot.</p> <p>Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'.</p>	<p>Full assessment of ecological impacts, particularly direct and indirect impacts on the Southern Damselfly and its habitat will be required with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. As this species is a Qualifying Feature of the Dorset Heaths and Studland Dunes SAC, and the Dorset Heaths SAC, development proposals must either mitigate effects or reduce them to non-significant levels.</p> <p><u>Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Creation of a buffer strip along both banks of the river Mude</u></li> <li>• <u>Improvements to existing southern damselfly habitat within or adjacent to the allocated site</u></li> <li>• <u>Careful management of water resources to ensure natural flow levels and water quality are maintained in the river Mude</u></li> <li>• <u>Phasing of works alongside the part of the site within Hampshire and allocated in the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan, to ensure only one side of the river is affected at any time.</u></li> </ul>	<p>To ensure protection of the biodiversity and the proper management of the water environment on and around the site</p>
<p><b>MM45</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS13.3</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot.</p> <p>Proposed Development:</p>	<p><b>Proposed development:</b> Extraction of sand and gravel. Adjacent land in Hampshire is proposed for minerals development and subject to permission being granted for the adjacent land, it is expected that this site will be worked as an extension of the Hampshire site. <u>Although the Dorset side of the Roeshot site may be worked before the Hampshire side is completed, there is to be no simultaneous extraction from the Dorset/Hampshire sides, to minimise cumulative impacts and impacts due to intensification.</u></p>	<p>For clarification, and to avoid impacts due to intensification at the site.</p>



<p><b>MM46</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS13.4</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot.</p> <p>Other Issues to take into Consideration.</p>	<p><b>Other issues to take into consideration</b></p> <p>Impacts on rights of way in the vicinity of the site</p> <p>For hydrological and biodiversity reasons, an undeveloped buffer along the Mude is required</p> <p>Use of part of the site as a SANG for the housing to be built south of the railway</p> <p>Airport safeguarding issues - <u>this site lies within the Bournemouth Airport Aerodrome Safeguarding Area and will require an Aviation Impact Assessment, in consultation with Bournemouth Airport.</u></p>	<p>For clarification and aviation safety.</p>
--------------------	-------------------------	---	--	---

**AS15 - Tatchell's** (Page 122 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<p><b>MM47</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS15.1</b></p>	<p>Add to Development Guidelines section:</p> <p><b>Other</b></p>	<p>A footpath runs in the road to the north of the site. This is an opportunity, post restoration, to provide a safer route for the footpath, running south of the hedge and out of the road.</p> <p><u>This site, along with AS12 Philliol's Farm and BC04 Trigon Hill Extension, together form a cluster and are all accessed via the C7 Wareham to A35 road. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site.</u></p>	<p>For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts.</p>
--------------------	-------------------------	---	--	--

## AS19 - Woodsford Quarry Extension

<p><b>MM48</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS19.1</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension – Development Guidelines section titled 'Hydrology/Flood Risk'</p>	<p>...</p> <p>This site is partly within Flood Zones 2 and 3, and is adjacent to the River Frome, a Main River. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment will be required, identifying any required mitigation. <u>This will include assessment of potential impacts on fisheries in the Frome.</u></p>	<p>To protect fisheries on the Frome.</p>
<p><b>MM49</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS19.2</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p>The potential for cumulative impacts with <u>other mineral or non-mineral development</u> <del>other mineral working</del> in this area (<u>particularly AS25 Station Road, AS26 Hurst Farm and the already permitted Woodsford Quarry</u>) <del>particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26</del>, and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration.</p> <p><u>The following requirements are set out in order to minimise cumulative impacts, particularly between AS19 and AS26:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Although both sites AS25 and AS26 are allocated for development, AS25 will be worked before AS26. This will allow AS19 to be worked while AS25 is being worked.</u></li> <li>• <u>There will be no processing of mineral on AS25.</u></li> <li>• <u>The eastern area of AS19 and the western area of AS26 will not be worked simultaneously.</u></li> <li>• <u>The AS19 extension area will be worked before the eastern part of Woodsford Quarry, i.e. before the area east of the D21324 Higher Woodsford Road.</u></li> <li>• <u>When AS19 is worked, the northern and eastern parts of the site will be worked before the southern/western areas to ensure that by the time work begins on AS26, then even if AS19 is not finished, the adjacent parts of the two sites would not be worked simultaneously.</u></li> <li>• <u>When working begins on AS26 it will start at the eastern end of the site and progress westward.</u></li> </ul>	<p>To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way.</p>

<p><b>AM09</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS19.3</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><u>This site, along with AS25 Station Road and AS26 Hurst Farm, together form a cluster of sites in close proximity. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site.</u></p>	<p>For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts.</p>
<p><b>MM50</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS19.4</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Transport/Access'</p>	<p><b>Transport/Access</b></p> <p>Mineral from the extension should continue to be processed at the existing plant site, with no intensification of production or simultaneous working of the current site and extension.</p> <p><u>Mineral will be transported from the point of extraction to the processing site via internal routes within the quarry. No external roads will be used for transport to the processing site.</u></p> <p>Access to the site will be via the existing access. A Transport Assessment will be required, to assess possible impacts and identify appropriate mitigation.</p>	<p>For clarification and to minimise transport impacts.</p>
<p><b>MM51</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS19.5</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p>The potential for cumulative impacts with other mineral working in this area (particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration.</p> <p><u>The site is crossed by a National Grid high voltage electricity transmission line. Any development of this site must take this into consideration, in consultation with the National Grid.</u></p>	<p>For safety and protection of the electricity infrastructure.</p>

**AS25 - Station Road** (Page 129 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<p><b>MM52</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS25.1</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p>The potential for cumulative impacts with <u>other development other mineral working</u> in this area (<u>particularly AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension, AS26 Hurst Farm particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26</u>), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration.</p> <p><u>The following requirements are set out in order to minimise cumulative impacts:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Although both sites AS25 and AS26 are allocated for development, AS25 will be worked before AS26. They will be no simultaneous extraction.</u></li> <li>• <u>There will be no processing of mineral on AS25.</u></li> </ul>	<p>To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way.</p>
<p><b>MM53</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS25.2</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road – Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p>The Station Road site will not be worked simultaneously with the nearby Hurst Farm site (AS26) allocated in this Plan.</p> <p><u>During development of this site a safe pedestrian access facilitating non-car access between Moreton Station and Moreton village over land of the Moreton Estate will be provided, and will remain after development is complete and the site is restored.</u></p>	<p>To facilitate safe and non-car access between the village and Moreton Station - particularly when the AS25 site is operational.</p>
<p><b>MM54</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS25.3</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road – Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><u>This site, along with AS25 Station Road and AS26 Hurst Farm, together form a cluster of sites in close proximity. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site.</u></p>	<p>For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts.</p>

MM55	MM-AS25.4	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Hydrology/Flood Risk'</p>	<p><b>Hydrology/Flood Risk</b></p> <p>The site is entirely within Flood Zone 1. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment identifying potential risks to the water environment along with any required mitigation, will be required. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment for all work phases, including restoration, is also required.</p> <p><u>There is a water course that flows eastward towards the Frome, through Moreton village, from the vicinity of the site. Development of this site must ensure that the flow of this water course is not affected in any way.</u></p>	<p>To maintain the flow of this water course and not affect the properties it passes through.</p>
------	-----------	---	--	---

**AS26 - Hurst Farm** (Page 133 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

MM56	MM-AS26.1	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p>The potential for cumulative impacts with <u>other mineral or non-mineral development</u> <del>other mineral working</del> in this area (<u>particularly AS25 Station Road, AS26 Hurst Farm and the already permitted Woodsford Quarry</u>) <del>particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26</del>, and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration.</p> <p><u>The following requirements are set out in order to minimise cumulative impacts, particularly between AS19 and AS26:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Although both sites AS25 and AS26 are allocated for development, AS25 will be worked before AS26 - there will be no simultaneous extraction. This will allow AS19 to be worked while AS25 is being worked.</u></li> <li><u>There will be no processing of mineral on AS25.</u></li> <li><u>The eastern area of AS19 and the western area of AS26 will not be worked simultaneously.</u></li> </ul>	<p>To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way.</p>
------	-----------	--	---	--

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>The AS19 extension area will be worked before the eastern part of Woodsford Quarry, i.e. before the area east of the D21324 Higher Woodsford Road.</u></li> <li>• <u>When AS19 is worked, the northern and eastern parts of the site will be worked before the southern/western areas to ensure that by the time work begins on AS26, then even if AS19 is not finished, the adjacent parts of the two sites would not be worked simultaneously.</u></li> <li>• <u>When working begins on AS26 it will start at the eastern end of the site and progress westward.</u></li> </ul>	
MM57	MM-AS26.2	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><u>This site, along with AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension and AS25 Station Road, together form a cluster of sites in close proximity. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site.</u></p>	For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts.
MM58	MM-AS26.3	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Restoration Vision'</p>	<p><b>Restoration Vision</b></p> <p>The site is within the Valley Pasture Landscape Type of the Frome Valley, a predominantly flat landform creating a multi-functional landscape where recreation and amenity are just as important as agriculture, enhanced nature conservation value and flood water management.</p> <p>Post mineral working, the creation of multi-functional green infrastructure links across and along the valley, linking to adjacent centres of population, will be important. This could include grazing pasture and/or a large scale wetland restoration scheme with significant recreational opportunities, which would contribute to flood alleviation, <u>make significant reductions contribute towards overall reduction</u> in Phosphate, Nitrogen and sediment load in the lower reaches of the River Frome and Poole Harbour and create habitat for the conservation of protected species such as otter and water vole as well as many species of wetland bird.</p>	For clarification regarding potential benefits.

<p><b>MM59</b></p>	<p><b>MM-AS26.4</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm</p> <p>Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p>The Hurst Farm site will not be worked simultaneously with the nearby Station Road site (AS25) allocated in this Plan.</p> <p>The potential for cumulative impacts with other mineral working in this area (particularly the Woodsford Extension site, AS19), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration.</p> <p>The land is good quality agricultural land and protection and appropriate management of soils is required to enable the land to retain its longer term capability.</p> <p><u>The site is crossed by a National Grid high voltage electricity transmission line. Any development of this site must take this into consideration, in consultation with the National Grid.</u></p>	<p>For clarification and to ensure the safety of the electrical infrastructure.</p>
--------------------	-------------------------	--	--	---

**BC04 - Trigon** (Page 142 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<p><b>MM60</b></p>	<p><b>MM-BC04.1</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – BC04 Trigon Hill Extension.</p> <p>Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'.</p>	<p>Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at BC-04 Trigon Hill Extension may have significant effects on species in particular. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels.</p> <p><u>Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>Creation of a buffer between the allocated site and the adjacent European sites</u></li> </ul>	<p>To ensure protection of the biodiversity on and around the site.</p>
--------------------	-------------------------	---	--	---

			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>Phased working to enable restoration of high quality heathland/acid grassland habitat immediately each extraction phase</u></li> </ul>	
MM61	MM-BC04.2	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – BC04 Trigon Hill Extension.</p> <p>Add new Development Guidelines section:</p> <p><b>Cumulative impacts</b></p>	<p><b>Cumulative impacts</b></p> <p><u>This site, along with AS12 Philliol's Farm and AS15 Tatchell's, together form a cluster and are all accessed via the C7 Wareham to A35 road. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site.</u></p>	For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts.
MM62	MM-BC04.3	<p>New paragraph 3.51 and amendment to Figure 5</p>	<p><b>3.50</b> However, the Minerals Strategy 2014 contains a suite of policies to assess planning applications as they come forward and these, together with existing and allocated sites, are expected to provide the flexibility to allow ball clay to be delivered throughout the plan period. If the industry is unable to come forward with sustainable sites then there may be a need to review the Plan and the level of provision being planned for. The supply of ball clay will be monitored to ensure that provision is maintained. In support of this approach, the following site extension at Trigon Hill is allocated.</p> <p><u><b>3.51</b> This allocation is within one of the Areas of Less Environmental Sensitivity for ball clay as identified in the Minerals Strategy 2014.</u></p> <p><u>Figure 5 to be amended to show the proposed BC04 allocation, within the Area of Less Environmental Sensitivity and the overall ball clay consultation area/Area of Search</u></p>	For clarification



MM63	MM-BC04.4	Amendments to Development Guidelines	<p><b>Historic/Cultural Environment</b></p> <p>The number of prehistoric barrows in the area in particular indicates that the site has archaeological importance. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site.</p> <p><u>Development proposals must mitigate any impacts or reduce them to non-significant levels.</u></p> <p><b>Landscape/Visual</b></p> <p>Development of this site would open up the wider site to view, impacting on land to the south/south east. Landscape capacity to accommodate the proposed use with mitigation is medium. A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with mitigation identified and implemented to minimise impacts.</p> <p><u>Development proposals must mitigate any impacts or reduce them to non-significant levels.</u></p>	For clarification
------	-----------	--------------------------------------	--	-------------------

**PK16 - Swanworth Quarry** (Page 137 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

MM64	MM- PK16.1	Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension – Development Guidelines section titled 'Landscape/Visual'	<p><b>Landscape/Visual</b></p> <p>Development of this quarry extension will result in significant visual impacts on designated and undesignated landscapes, particularly the Dorset AONB and Heritage Coast. A detailed Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with mitigation identified and implemented in order to minimise impacts. <i>This will include creation of a tunnel over the access to the extension area.</i></p>	Proposal for a tunnel has been withdrawn by the promoters
------	---------------	---	--	---

<p><b>MM65</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.2</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension – Development Guidelines section titled 'Transport/Access'</p>	<p><b>Transport/Access</b></p> <p>A Transport Assessment will be required, to assess possible impacts and identify appropriate mitigation. Although no traffic intensification will result from development of this extension, cumulative impacts are a key issue to be addressed. <u>The extension will only be accessed through the existing quarry; there will be no access from the B3069 to the north.</u></p>	<p>For clarification purposes.</p>
<p><b>MM66</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.3</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Restoration Vision'</p>	<p><b>Restoration Vision</b></p> <p>The landforms must tie in with surrounding areas however there may be scope for small-scale geological exposures to be left as part of the restoration, particularly where they can be seen from public rights of way.</p> <p><u>The site is proposed for restoration to the current agricultural (grazing) use at current ground level, including Nature conservation after use, integrating limestone pasture of conservation interest (e.g. species-rich limestone pasture) semi-natural grasslands, is a key element part of this vision. In addition, some areas should be left to naturally revegetate.</u></p> <p>The protection, retention and enhancement of historic field patterns is important and linking in with adjacent limestone grasslands where possible is also a key objective to create large scale grazing units within the network of small fields. <u>A key part of this will be Where appropriate, native hedgerow and copse retention/protection and/or planting</u> and the conservation and enhancement of existing local limestone stonewalls <u>should be considered</u>. The appropriate reuse/restoration of any site buildings, in particular which contribute to the agricultural after use and help conserve character, needs to be considered.</p> <p>Opportunities to contribute to and link/extend with existing rights of way networks need to be explored.</p>	<p>To clarify and correct details of the restoration vision.</p>

<p><b>MM67</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.4</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Historic/Cultural Environment'</p>	<p><b>Historic/Cultural Environment</b></p> <p>There are designated and undesignated heritage assets on and around the site, including barrows and historic field systems. There is a high potential for below-ground archaeology.</p> <p>Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site.</p> <p><u>To minimise impacts on the historic environment, working and restoring the site will include the following:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>no working in sightline between the two barrows</u></li> <li>• <u>access to extension lowered below eyeline when viewed from either barrow, or between barrows</u></li> <li>• <u>exclusion of working in the barrow field itself leading to a buffer of &gt;150m from extraction area</u></li> <li>• <u>restoration to current ground levels</u></li> </ul>	<p>For clarification and to minimise impacts on the historic environment.</p>
<p><b>MM68</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.5</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p>Assessment of possible impacts on surrounding sensitive receptors (residences, settlements) is required, with full mitigation identified.</p> <p><u>The potential for cumulative impacts exists, particularly landscape/visual, as the extension site will be worked while the original site will be partly but not fully restored. All possible mitigation measures should be implemented in order for impacts to be reduced to an acceptable minimum.</u></p> <p>All impacts on the bridleway south and east of site to be assessed, with mitigation identified and implemented.</p> <p>Amenity impacts, particularly due to blasting, to be assessed and all relevant mitigation identified and implemented.</p>	<p>For clarification and to seek to address the issue of cumulative impacts.</p>

<p><b>MM69</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.6</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Restoration Vision'</p>	<p><b>Restoration Vision</b></p> <p>The allocation lies within an open and generally flat to undulating landform where grazing of limestone pasture is the preferred end use. Conservation of the strong character of the area is a key objective as is the need to protect and manage the positive landscape attributes. The landforms must tie in with surrounding areas however there may be scope for small-scale geological exposures to be left as part of the restoration, particularly where they can be seen from public rights of way.</p> <p><u>Given the high sensitivity of this site, the MPA will require the timely restoration and aftercare of the site to the proposed after-use - restoration to agriculture at original ground level - in a phased manner at the earliest opportunity.</u></p>	<p>To ensure the need for prompt restoration</p>
<p><b>MM70</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.7</b></p>	<p>Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'</p>	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p><u>The MPA consider that the proposed development, even with 'full mitigation', may result in residual adverse landscape and visual impacts on the AONB. Policy DM4 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 requires that where adverse impacts cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensatory environmental enhancements will be made to offset the residual landscape and visual impacts. At the planning application stage, detailed EIA will be carried out. This will identify whether there will be impacts that cannot be appropriately mitigated, and at this stage the MPA will determine what compensatory environmental enhancements will be required.</u></p>	<p>For clarification of this issue.</p>
<p><b>AM10</b></p>	<p><b>MM- PK16.8</b></p>	<p>Policy MS-3</p>	<p>An extension to Swanworth Quarry in Purbeck (PK16 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 11) is allocated to contribute to the adequate and steady supply of crushed rock.</p> <p>Any proposal for the development of this allocation must address the development <u>considerations guidelines</u> set out for the site in Appendix A, with particular emphasis on landscape and visual impacts on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty as well as any other matters relevant to the development of the</p>	

			<p>allocation, and demonstrate that any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.</p> <p><u>Should the proposed development result in adverse landscape and visual impacts that cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensatory environmental enhancements will be required to offset the residual landscape and visual impacts.</u></p>	
--	--	--	---	--

**PK15 - Downs Quarry Extension** (Page 151 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<b>MM71</b>	<b>MM- PK15.1</b>	Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK15 Downs Quarry Extension – all reference to site allocation	<p><del>PK-15: Downs Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers</del></p> <p><del><b>Site location:</b> Approximately 1.5km north-east of Worth Matravers village, and adjacent to the existing Downs Quarry.</del></p> <p><del><b>Grid reference:</b> SY 981 791</del></p> <p><del><b>District/Borough:</b> Purbeck District Council</del></p> <p><del><b>Parish:</b> Worth Matravers CP</del></p> <p><del><b>Site area (approximate):</b> 0.67 ha</del></p> <p><del><b>Estimated mineral resource:</b> 17,000 - 22,000 tonnes</del></p> <p><del><b>Existing land use/cover:</b> Pasture.</del></p> <p><del><b>Proposed development:</b> Extraction of Purbeck Stone</del></p> <p><del>-</del></p> <p><del>Development Guidelines</del></p> <p><del><b>Natural Environment</b></del></p> <p><del>Full assessment of all ecological impacts will be required, particularly ensuring that there will be no impacts on Greater Horseshoe Bats, with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented.</del></p>	Now permitted, so removed as a proposal for allocation.
-------------	-----------------------	---	---	---

**Historic/Cultural Environment**

*Archaeological evaluation of this site has been undertaken already, with effectively negative results. The need for further archaeological assessment and evaluation will be reviewed at the planning application stage.*

**Hydrology/Flood Risk**

*The site falls entirely within Flood Zone 1 and is not shown to be at any risk of surface water flooding by relevant mapping. Given the prevailing geology and fall in ground levels, it is likely that surface water would be managed via infiltration. A site specific strategy for surface water management will be required. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment identifying potential risks to the water environment and any required mitigation will be required.*

**Transport/Access**

*This allocation would be a follow-on from existing working and should not result in any intensification in output. A Transport Assessment would be required, identifying possible impacts and appropriate mitigation.*

**Landscape/Visual**

*There may be an issue of cumulative landscape and visual impacts, particularly on local residences - this must be taken into consideration, and restoration of other quarries in the vicinity of this allocation will reduce cumulative impacts. A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with mitigation identified and implemented to minimise impacts.*

**Restoration Vision**

*This allocation is part of the Corfe Valley, a broad sweeping clay valley with a patchwork of rough pastures and dense hedgerows, set along the Corfe River. Management of the restored land should include low impact grazing and conservation of permanent pastures; encouraging maintenance and restoration of boundaries, particularly dense hedgerows and banks along the valley floors and stonewalls towards the*

			<i>higher ground; encouraging grazing on the chalk and limestone ridges to reduce scrub encroachment on important grasslands.</i>	
--	--	--	---	--

**PK17 Home Field** (Page 154 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

<b>New Modification Reference Number</b>	<b>Old Mod Number</b>	<b>Para/Policy</b>	<b>Change</b>	<b>Reason</b>

**PK18 - Quarry 4 Extension** (Page 157 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason

**PK19 – Broadmead** (Page 160 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM72	MM-PK19.1	Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead – Development Guidelines section titled 'Natural Environment'	<p><b>Natural Environment</b></p> <p>There is a Site of Nature Conservation Importance adjacent to (north-west of) the site. <u>This SNCI must be appropriately protected from any impacts of Purbeck stone development in the area allocated as PK19 Broadmead.</u> Greater Horseshoe Bat has been recorded from the area immediately adjacent to this site. Full assessment of all ecological impacts related to the development of this site or any part of it will be required.</p>	To ensure appropriate protection of the Site of Nature Conservation Interest.



New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM73	MM-PK19.2	Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead – Development Guidelines section titled 'Other'	<p><b>Other</b></p> <p>Opportunities for leaving quarry faces for geological conservation and education to be considered.</p> <p><u>There are existing water mains and other water-related infrastructure to the south of the site. These will be retained and must be protected from Purbeck Stone development-related impacts.</u></p>	To ensure the protection of this water-related infrastructure.
AM11	MM-PK19.3	Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead – Site Allocation Heading	PK-19: Broadmead Field, <u>Gallows Gore, Worth Matravers Parish</u> <del>Langton Matravers</del>	For clarification.

**RA01 - White's Pit** (Page 140 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

MM74	MM-RA01.1	Appendix A: Site Allocations RA01 White's Pit. Airport Safeguarding	<p><b>Airport Safeguarding</b></p> <p><u>This site lies within the Bournemouth Airport Aerodrome Safeguarding Area and for any future planning applications will require an Aviation Impact Assessment, in consultation with Bournemouth Airport.</u></p>	For clarification and aviation safety.
------	-----------	---	---	--

MM75	MM-RA01.2	Appendix A: Site Allocations RA01 White's Pit. Other	<p><b>Surface Water</b></p> <p><u>There are surface drains in the vicinity of this proposed allocation.</u></p>	For clarification.
------	-----------	--	---	--------------------

**PK02 - Blacklands Extension** (Page 145 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

MM76	MM-PK02.1	Appendix A: Site Allocations Amendments to Blacklands proposals	<p>The original PK02 Blacklands Extension will be amended a part of the proposed extension area has recently received planning permission.</p> <p>When a revised submission for the reduced remaining area is received it will be included under this proposed modification number.</p>	For clarification
------	-----------	--	---	-------------------

**PK21 - Gallows Gore - now withdrawn.** (Page 163 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

MM77	MM-PK21.1	Appendix A: Site Allocations Gallows Gore	<p><del><b>PK-21: Gallows Gore, Harman's Cross</b></del></p> <p><del><b>Site location:</b> Gallows Gore, approximately 1.2km west of Langton Matravers village.</del></p> <p><del><b>Grid reference:</b> SY 985 790</del></p> <p><del><b>District/Borough:</b> Purbeck District Council</del></p> <p><del><b>Parish:</b> Langton Matravers</del></p>	For clarification.
------	-----------	--	--	--------------------

**Site area (approximate):** 5.2 ha

**Estimated mineral resource:** approximately 30,000 tonnes

**Existing land use/cover:** Agriculture/grazing.

**Proposed development:** Extraction of Purbeck Stone.

**Development Guidelines**

**Natural Environment**

Full assessment of all ecological impacts related to the development of this site or any part of it will be required.

The small area of rough grassland to the south-east of the site has potential to support uncommon UK priority BAP butterfly species and could provide habitat for protected bat species, and will be appropriately protected during any quarrying activity.

**Historic/Cultural Environment**

There is high potential for below-ground archaeology, including industrial archaeological evidence of early quarrying. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site.

**Hydrology/Flood Risk**

The site falls entirely within Flood Zone 1 and is not shown to be at any risk of surface water flooding by relevant mapping. Given the prevailing geology and fall in ground levels, it is

likely that surface water would be managed via infiltration. A site specific strategy for surface water management will be required. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment identifying potential risks to the water environment and any required mitigation will be required.

**Transport/Access**

Access is a key issue for this allocation.

163

Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan 2017

Access northwards along Haycrafts Lane is not acceptable, not is it acceptable to use

Haycrafts Lane to access the B3069. Access over the field to the south of the site, to access

the B3069, could be acceptable provided the existing residential access track was not used

or affected. The use of short journey distances along Haycrafts Lane could also be possible, subject to assessment and mitigation.

All access proposals would require a full Transport Assessment, considering how access

could be satisfactorily achieved, what the potential impacts could be and identifying appropriate mitigation.

**Landscape/Visual**

Development of this allocation is likely to produce adverse effects, including cumulative

impacts, on the natural beauty of the AONB, principally due to the exposed location. There

will be some scope for mitigation through design and operation, such as a phased approach

to extraction and restoration and restricting stockpiling and buildings.

There may be an issue of cumulative landscape and visual impacts, particularly on local

residences - this must be taken into consideration, and restoration of other quarries in the

vicinity of this allocation will reduce cumulative impacts.

A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, to identify mitigation to minimise

impacts to a satisfactory level.

**Other**

Impacts on local amenity is particularly relevant to this allocation, given the number of

residences around the site, and must be fully assessed and all necessary mitigation identified

and implemented.

The site boundary as shown does not at this stage include any buffers for mitigation purposes.

This issue will be fully addressed at the planning application stage, with appropriate buffering

established and implemented.

There are Wessex Water reservoirs adjacent to the site boundary. Potential impacts on

these must be fully assessed and all necessary mitigation identified and implemented prior

to any development on this site.

**Restoration Vision**

This allocation is part of the Corfe Valley, a broad sweeping clay valley with a patchwork of

rough pastures and dense hedgerows, set along the Corfe River. Management of the

			<p><del>restored land should include low impact grazing and conservation of permanent pastures;</del></p> <p><del>encouraging maintenance and restoration of boundaries, particularly dense hedgerows and</del></p> <p><del>banks along the valley floors and stonewalls towards the higher ground; encouraging grazing</del></p> <p><del>on the chalk and limestone ridges to reduce scrub encroachment on important grasslands.</del></p>	
<b>MM78</b>	<b>MM-PK21.2</b>	Policy MS-6	<p>2. The provision of stone from the following allocations of new sites and extensions to existing sites, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan:</p> <p>a. Blacklands Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-02 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 16)</p> <p>b. Southard Quarry, Swanage (PK-10 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 18)</p> <p><del>c. Downs Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-15 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 12)</del></p> <p>d. Home Field, Acton (PK-17 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 15)</p> <p>e. Quarry 4 Extension, Acton (PK-18 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 17)</p> <p>f. Broadmead Field, Langton Matravers (PK-19 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 14)</p> <p><del>g. Gallows Gore, Harman's Cross (PK-21 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 13)</del></p>	For clarification

**Appendix B: Safeguarded Minerals Sites and Infrastructure** (Page 178 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM79	MM-AB.1	Policy MS-9	<p><b>Policy MS-9: Preventing Land-Use Conflict</b></p> <p>The mineral sites and associated infrastructure that support the supply of minerals in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, <u>as listed and illustrated in Appendix B of this Plan</u>, are safeguarded against development that could unnecessarily sterilise the sites and infrastructure, or prejudice or jeopardise their use, by creating incompatible land uses nearby. <u>This list of safeguarded sites will be updated regularly through monitoring of the Minerals Strategy and the Mineral Sites Plan.</u></p>	For clarification.

**Appendix C: Policies Replaced**

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
MM80	MM-AC.1	<b>New</b> Appendix C: Policies Replaced	<p>Add text currently set out in MSPSD 10 '<u><i>Policies replaced - Mineral Sites Plan - December 2017</i></u>' and also set out below.</p>	For clarification and as required.

New Modification Reference Number	Old Mod Number	Para/Policy	Change	Reason
AM12	MM-GIOS.1	Definition of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF	Amend as follows: National planning policy guidance <u>sets out the government's planning policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The revised Framework replaces the previous National Planning Policy Framework published in enacted on 27-March 2012 to replace national guidance in the form of PPSs, MPSs, and MPGs.</u>	To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018.

### Appendix C: Policies Replaced

#### Background

The Minerals Strategy 2014 replaced most of the policies of the Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999. The waste policies had already been replaced by the 2006 Waste Plan.

Five policies of the Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 remained extant, and will be replaced by the Mineral Sites Plan on adoption. These policies are set out below, with an indication of which policies will replace them.

<u>Current Plan</u>	<u>Policy Title/Number</u>	<u>Purpose</u>	<u>Policy/policies that will replace it</u>
<u>Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999</u>	<u>Policy 6 - Relating to Applications Outside the Preferred Areas</u>	<u><i>Sets out the criteria to be applied to proposals for development on land outside of Preferred Areas</i></u>	<u>Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014;</u> <u>Policy MS1 Production of sand and Gravel;</u>



			<u>Policy MS2 Sand and Gravel Area of Search;</u> <u>Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Policy Area;</u> <u>Policy MS4 Site for the Production of Recycled aggregate;</u> <u>Policy MS5 Site for the provision of ball clay</u> <u>Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone</u> <u>Policy MS7 Sites for the provision of other building stone</u> <u>Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Area Policy</u>
<u>Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999</u>	<u>Policy 15 - Preferred Areas for Sand and Gravel</u>	<i><u>Identifies the detailed criteria which must be satisfied before an application in the Preferred Areas will be permitted.</u></i>	<u>Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014;</u> <u>Policy MS1 Production of sand and Gravel;</u> <u>Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Policy Area</u>
<u>Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999</u>	<u>Policy 16 - Applications for the winning and working of gravel outside Preferred Areas</u>	<i><u>Outlines the special circumstances where planning permission outside the Preferred Areas identified in Policy 15 will be granted</u></i>	<u>Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014;</u> <u>Policy MS1 Production of sand and Gravel;</u> <u>Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Policy Area</u>
<u>Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999</u>	<u>Policy 30 - Presumption in favour of extraction in Preferred Areas</u>	<i><u>Sets out presumption in favour of applications within the two preferred areas at Acton and Swanage</u></i>	<u>Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014;</u>  <u>Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone</u>
<u>Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999</u>	<u>Policy 35 - Presumption in favour of applications within Preferred Areas</u>	<i><u>States the presumption in favour of applications within the four preferred areas for ball clay,</u></i>	<u>Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014;</u>  <u>Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone</u>

*provided they satisfy  
certain criteria.*

*Relevant to any planning  
application for the  
extraction of ball clay at  
Trigon*