

Responses to Issues GB1 to GB3: ' Green Belt'

Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy consultation: Issues + Options March 2008

In March 2008 Christchurch and East Dorset councils consulted on the joint Core Strategy Issues and Options document. The questions asked under the above theme were:

Key Issue GB1

Are there particular types of development which should be considered for provision in the green belt as an exception to normal green belt policy because they are urgently needed?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. No, there should be no exceptions to green belt policy
- B. Yes, to allow development of affordable housing where sites are not available in the built up areas
- C. Yes, to provide sites for gypsy and traveller accommodation where sites are not available in the built up areas
- D. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	60	43	6	n/a
B	48	57	6	n/a
C	19	78	8	n/a
D				35

Key Issue GB2

Should land be released from the green belt and safeguarded for future development required beyond that needed in this plan?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Yes for housing development. If so where?
- B. Yes, for employment development. If so where?
- C. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	26	87	10	n/a
B	20	84	11	n/a
C				15

Responses to Issues GB1 to GB3: 'Green Belt'

Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy consultation: Issues + Options March

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01854
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33501
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33235
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20148
Option: A Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2743 Surname: CROMBIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35716
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15244
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17203
Option: A Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35023
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2700 Surname: HINDMARCH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31343
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14097
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED0250 Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2284 Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2333 Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2404 Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2611 Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20470
Option: B Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0273 Surname: BRADBURY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29842
Option: B Organisation: Vale of Allen Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments: but only to meet proven local need

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2332 Surname: BRADFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07599
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26329
Option: B Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08368
Option: B Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07518
Option: B Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21458
Option: B Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED1866 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33773
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12271
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27263
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32489
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04770
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12898
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31078
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08071
Option: B Organisation: Tanner & Tilley Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31612
Option: B Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22223
Option: C Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26776
Option: C Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07738
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06428
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33503
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20150
Option: C Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2743 Surname: CROMBIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35718
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11902
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07228
Option: C Organisation: East Dorset District Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14985
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07792
Option: C Organisation: William Lester Architects
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35453
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2338 Surname: LAWSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08658
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19668
Option: C Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0299 Surname: BLOOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23404
Option: D Organisation: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

Response:

General comments: A number of protected sites lie within the greenbelt. The developing work of the JDPD may see SANGs being identified within the greenbelt

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26331
Option: D Organisation: Sibbett Gregory

Response:

General comments: essential uses which cannot be located elsewhere
provide needed housing

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08369
Option: D Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)

Response: No types - but keep open mind in special cases

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2743 Surname: CROMBIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35719
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: yes to genuinely affordable housing eg council housing

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05741
Option: D Organisation:

Response: The present green belt policy should remain unchanged

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25718
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response:

General comments: a rural exceptions policy should apply for affordable housing only

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2066 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25413
Option: D Organisation: Burton Parish Council

Response:

General comments: rural excepions policy shopuld apply for affordable housing only

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14986
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: only exceptions for affordable housing
Rural exceptions policy should apply to affordable housing only

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2159 Surname: KENDRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18177
Option: D Organisation: Barton Willmore Planning

Response:

General comments: To allow expansion at the airport and provide strategic levels of housing

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14730
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: a rural exceptions policy should apply for affordable housing only

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13165
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: rural exceptions for affordable housing only
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20939
Option: D Organisation: National Trust
Response:
General comments: Reference B above, only for small scale sites where clearly identified need and local support

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12273
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: Rural exceptions policy should apply for affordable housing only

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23090
Option: D Organisation: White Young Green
Response:
General comments: Option D sustainable urban extensions

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17810
Option: D Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)
Response:
General comments: The evidence to the RSS EIP, and the conclusions of the RSS Panel, is that urban extensions are required within land which is currently designated green belt in current Local Plans. There may also be evidence that there is a need for "exception sites" for affordable housing anywhere in the green belt in South East Dorset. The Core Strategy should therefore allow for both urban extensions ("strategic" and "non-strategic") and "exception sites".

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19390
Option: D Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: The demand for residential care and education facilities in the South East Dorset conurbation is already high (and in many cases exceed supply) a situation which will only be exacerbated by the planned expansion of the conurbation over the period to 2026. There is an urgent need for the Sheiling Trust to provide additional facilities at its Ashley Estate to accommodate existing and future demand for specialist residential care and education facilities, which have national and sub-regional importance and which are not catered for anywhere else within the country. The Trust urgently requires additional facilities which would not normally be appropriate in the Greenbelt, to replace facilities that have been closed, to meet current and future levels of demand and to ensure the Trust's long term viability.

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12900
Option: D Organisation:

Response: a rural exceptions policy should apply for affordable housing only

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22555
Option: D Organisation: Environment Agency

Response:

General comments: Option D - To allow development set out in the draft Regional Spatial Strategy to occur without using land at a significant risk of flooding.

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03217
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: Some careful development of greenbelt, retaining GB corridor as a specific planning requirement and in addition to theme 2.

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03411
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: The Environment TAG remains opposed to Green Belt development and Supports EDDC's position on this.

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18115
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: If development such as affordable housing or gypsy and traveller sites is to be permitted within the green belt there is a need to consider access to jobs and services, Even though the developments may be urgently required, the Agency is aware that expansion into the green belt without the availability of sustainable transport options could increase journeys by car and adversely impact upon the performance of the SRN.

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2337 Surname: JACKSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08612
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Inner green belt to be released for all types of housing

General comments:

Issue: GB1 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08724
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Barratt Homes

Response: Full review of greenbelt required

General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22229
Option: A Organisation: Ringwood Town Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26777
Option: A Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen

Response: Disagree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17886
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments: Current greenbelt policy allows exceptions - each case should be assessed on its merits

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08370
Option: A Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory) Response: Agree
General comments: Longham

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26332
Option: A Organisation: Sibbett Gregory Response: Agree
General comments: Longham

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00399
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11025
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03755
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2647 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26468
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: OS SU0100 0264 & OS SU0101 2462

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26582
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: OS SU0101 0264 & OS SU0101 2462

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23214
Option: A Organisation: Traves James
Response: Agree
General comments: To allow the redevelopment of existing dwellings within their curtilages that are on the fringes of the greenbelt to make the most efficient use of urban land eg 5 Chewton common Road and dwellings just to the east of Salisbury Road in Burton

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05114
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15754
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05414
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10691
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09142
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: where appropriate

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15247
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13166
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20940
Option: A Organisation: National Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16028
Option: A Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34552
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2178 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29790
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Sturminster Marshall

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED2401	Surname:	PARKER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-16319
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED2248	Surname:	PATRICK	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-17811
Option:	A	Organisation:	Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:	<p>Paragraph 2.8 of PPG2 advises that green belt boundaries should be permanent and allow for future development. Otherwise the boundaries will have to be changed from time to time and would therefore not be permanent. This implies that "White Land" should be identified between the outer edge of existing built up development and any allocations, and the inner edge of the green belt, in appropriate locations.</p> <p>Harry J Palmers Holdings Limited and the Perry Family Trust have no suggestions with regard to North West Corfe Mullen other than to say that, in the event that their land was not allocated at this stage, it should be designated "White Land", and removed from the Green Belt to make it available for possible future development.</p> <p>Paragraph 2.8 of PPG2 advises that green belt boundaries should be permanent and should allow for future development. Otherwise the boundaries will have to be changed from time to time and would therefore not be permanent. This indicates that "White Land" should be identified, where appropriate, between the outer edge of existing built development or new allocations, and the inner edge of the green belt.</p> <p>In the event that it is not otherwise allocated for development, land at North Leigh Lane as identified on the attached plan should be deleted from the green belt and identified as "White Land" for future potential development, because the attached documentation demonstrates clearly that the most sustainable location for development in the Wimborne/Colehill area is the land bounded by Wesley Road, Wimborne Road (Colehill), Kyrchill Lane, The Vineries, Leigh Land development, and Leigh Common.</p>						

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED2663	Surname:	PROWSE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-28294
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0113 Surname: TONG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01646
Option: A Organisation: Working in Partnership
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16939
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32492
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08725
Option: A Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: Agree
General comments: Subject to greenbelt review

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04772
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12901
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31081
Option: A Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08073
Option: A Organisation: Tanner & Tilley Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31614
Option: A Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning Response: Agree
General comments: Land at Roeshot Hill (north of A35 and south of railway)

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03218
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments: Need more info and time to consider

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:
Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02565
Option: B Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0273 Surname: BRADBURY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29843
Option: B Organisation: Vale of Allen Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17887
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08371
Option: B Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Agree
General comments: Woolsbridge and Longham

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06376
Option: B Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Agree
General comments: Woolsbridge

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26333
Option: B Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Agree
General comments: longsbridge

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00400
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11026
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21097
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02045
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Airport N & S

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36103
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33505
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33239
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20152
Option: B Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: Yes
General comments: Bournemouth Airport

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1910 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22001
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments: Between Little Canford / Ham Lane and Wimborne Road East

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15755
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05415
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10692
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09143
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Where appropriate

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2158 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09317
Option: B Organisation: D Stewart & Sons
Response: Agree
General comments: Council owned land to the north-east of Stewarts garden centre, Lyndhurst Road

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED0902	Surname:	HASKINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-15248
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:	A & B - The greenbelt of south east Dorset was originally demarcated for clear and strategic purposes which presumably have not changed. A major redefinition of that greenbelt in order primarily to accommodate housing demand imposed by central government seemingly unravels the purpose of two decades of sound and purposeful locally defined planning strategy. To indicate that such unravelling will yet again be required unless we anticipate it in advance is merely to confirm to the public that in fact locally defined greenbelt has little or no purpose and is merely a moveable feast. It will certainly encourage the phenomenon of neglect or positive destruction located on land close to the edge of the redefined greenbelt						

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED0987	Surname:	HEDGER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-17207
Option:	B	Organisation:	Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)				
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED0464	Surname:	HELLICAR	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-35027
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED1576	Surname:	HEMSLEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-01102
Option:	B	Organisation:	Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)				
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED2700	Surname:	HINDMARCH	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-31347
Option:	B	Organisation:					
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20941
Option: B Organisation: National Trust
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16029
Option: B Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34553
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Corfe Mullen

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07521
Option: B Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2178 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29791
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Bailey Gate Industrial Estate Sturminster Marshall

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2740 Surname: TIZZARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35340
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Antiquarians
Response: Disagree
General comments: leave greenbelt as it is

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0113 Surname: TONG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01647
Option: B Organisation: Working in Partnership
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16940
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32493
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04773
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12902
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31082
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08074
Option: B Organisation: Tanner & Tilley Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31615
Option: B Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning Response: Agree
General comments: Land at Roeshot Hill (north of A35 and south of railway)

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03219
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: GB2	Contact ID: XED0250	Surname: [REDACTED]	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22233
Option: C	Organisation: Ringwood Town Council		
Response:	[REDACTED]		
General comments:	case by case examination as circumstances dictate		

Issue: GB2	Contact ID: XED3035	Surname: ABBOTT	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37228
Option: C	Organisation: Home Builders Federation		
Response:	The HBF consider that should the council consider that greenbelt is needed for housing over the plan period, the councils should be guided by a plan monitor manage policy. The core strategy must include a Plan Monitor Manage Policy which explains how the release of sites will be managed over the course of the plan period taking into account the results of trajectory planning and the annual monitoring reports in order to ensure continuity of supply to meet annual requirements. This policy should be supported by text which explains how this will work in practice. It should also provide details of how this will feed in to decisions regarding the need to release additional sites for development, should this prove necessary. Taking this further, meeting housing requirements is almost certain to require the identification and release of further greenfield sites in the district. If greenfield sites are to be identified, the plan should contain a very clear plan monitor manage policy mechanism as described above. While the minute detail of this process could be set out in SPD, the policy trigger must be contained within the core strategy.		
General comments:	[REDACTED]		

Issue: GB2	Contact ID: XED2443	Surname: BAKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18288
Option: C	Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning		
Response:	[REDACTED]		
General comments:	Safeguarded land should be brought forward within the plan period if a shortfall in housing supply is identified		

Issue: GB2	Contact ID: XED2887	Surname: BEST	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18697
Option: C	Organisation: South West Regional Assembly		
Response:	[REDACTED]		
General comments:	Section 4 of the draft RSS clearly shows the sub-regional strategy for South East Dorset, which contains both Christchurch and East Dorset. The South East conurbation is one of the south coast's major urban areas and a key driver in the South West region as a whole. Policies SR26-29 set out the strategy for this area, stating there should be balanced growth at Bournemouth, Poole, and Christchurch and the immediate hinterland, maximising the use of previously developed land and buildings with urban extensions within a revised green belt. Around the built up area of Christchurch the inner boundary of the green belt should generally follow the limits of existing development on that already committed. The general extent of the green belt should be maintained subject to changes in boundaries defined in LDDs to accommodate urban extensions with the revised inner boundary coterminous with the outer edge of the urban extension; and exclude land at Bournemouth International Airport. LDDs should identify a pattern of development that reflects the extremely high value placed on the environmental assets surrounding the conurbation and address the implications arising from the European Habitats Directive.		

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06377
Option: C Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Woolsbridge can be delivered in the short term unlike other sites
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05116
Option: C Organisation:
Response: No exception to normal green belt policy
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10693
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: some greenbelt areas are SSSI and must be protected
General comments:

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2557 Surname: HAVELOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23525
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Within the are of search N at Corfe Mullen an urban extension, including land at Blandford Road /Newtown Lane, should be allocated in the site allocation DPD and the Core Strategy to provide for strategic residential land to be released for development as soon as possible, there is no need at this stage to safeguard land for release beyond 2026. It is imperative that land is identified which is deliverable and implementable in a timely fashion to meet the rquirements of the emerging RSS and PPS3. The Core Strategy must conform to the findings of the RSS Panel Report where it is stated in paragraphs 4.0.28 to 4.0.239 that it will be important to bring forward as soon as possible greenfield sites and that brownfield and grrenfield componenets can be regarded as complementary rather than competitive. The panel report recommendation 4.0.2 clearly staes that "There is no nedd for any phasing of the greenfield supply to support the brownfield supply" In addition the Panel Report states "The contribution from brownfoeld sites on its own will not provide the rate of development required and therefore there will be a need for a greenfield contribution throughout the plan period.
Equally the Core Strategy should emphasise strongly the requirements of PPS3 para 52-59. Land at in the context of PPS3 is
1) Deliverable
2) suitable
3) achievable
The LDF should endeavour to identify as many specific sites for housing as is practicable, over at least a 15 year period. The site allocations DPD should therefore specifically identify sites for housing unless there are particular reasons and evidence why this is not feasible., the Core Strategy higher level policies should develop a framework to allow for this

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED2700	Surname:	HINDMARCH	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-31348
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	none released						

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED2178	Surname:	MILLER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-29792
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	Local public houses National Trust Vines Close Farm Pamphill Dairy						

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED1866	Surname:	NEWMAN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-33777
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	No it is visually and environmentalaly vital						

Issue:	GB2	Contact ID:	XED1314	Surname:	PALMER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24172
Option:	C	Organisation:	Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)				
Response:							
General comments:	<p>Green field sites at Christchurch should be allocated in the site allocation DPD and the Core Strategy to provide for strategic sites to be released for development as soon as possible, there is no need at this stage to safeguard land for release beyond 2026. It is imperative that land is identified which is deliverable and implementable in a timely fashion to meet the requirements of the emerging RSS and PPS3. The Core Strategy must conform to the findings of the RSS Panel Report where it is stated in paragraphs 4.0.27 to 4.0.29 that it will be important to bring forward as soon as possible greenfield sites and that brownfield and greenfield components can be regarded as complementary rather than competitive. The panel report recommendation 4.0.2 clearly states that 'There is no need for any phasing of the greenfield supply to support the brownfield supply'. In addition the Panel Report states 'The contribution from brownfield on its own will not provide the rate of development required and therefore there will be a need for a greenfield contribution throughout the plan period'.</p> <p>Equally the Core Strategy should emphasise strongly the requirements of PPS3, paragraphs 52-59, for example land at Marsh Lane is 1) deliverable, 2) suitable and 3) achievable. The LDF should endeavour to identify as many specific sites for housing as is practicable, over at least a 15 year period. The site allocations DPD should therefore specifically identify sites for housing unless there are particular reasons and evidence why this is not feasible, the Core Strategy higher level policies should develop a framework to allow for this.</p>						

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24104
Option: C Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: An urban extension to the east of the Ferndown Industrial Estate, for employment purposes, should be allocated in the site allocation DPD and the Core Strategy to provide for strategic employment needs for release as soon as possible, there is no need at this stage to safeguard land for release beyond 2026. It is imperative that land is identified which is deliverable and implementable in a timely fashion to meet the requirements of the emerging RSS, PPG4 and draft PPS4.
The Core Strategy must conform to the findings of the RSS Panel Report where it is stated in paragraphs 4.0.27 to 4.0.29 that it will be important to bring forward as soon as possible greenfield sites and that brownfield and greenfield components can be regarded as complementary rather than competitive. The panel report recommendation 4.0.29 clearly states that 'There is no need for any phasing of the greenfield supply to support the brownfield supply'. In addition the Panel Report states 'The contribution from brownfield on its own will not provide the rate of development required and therefore there will be a need for a greenfield contribution throughout the plan period'.
The Regional Assembly Report by King Sturge of 2005, examining supply and demand of employment land, recognises that businesses must be provided with a choice of different sized sites in any given area, be it for inward investment or organic growth. The report found that the projections and forecasts of growth in particular for future employment land do not allow for a choice of sites. The report stated 'Traditionally, a margin of 50% above anticipated development requirements is allowed in order to allow the market to operate smoothly'.

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22629
Option: C Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Urban extensions on reviewed green belt sites, including land at Leigh Lane, Colehill, should be allocated in the site allocation DPD and the Core Strategy to provide for strategic sites to be released for development as soon as possible, there is no need at this stage to safeguard land for release beyond 2026. It is imperative that land is identified which is deliverable and implementable in a timely fashion to meet the requirements of the emerging RSS and PPS3. The Core Strategy must conform to the findings of the RSS Panel Report where it is stated in paragraphs 4.0.27 and 4.0.29 that it will be important to bring forward as soon as possible greenfield sites and that brownfield and greenfield components can be regarded as complementary rather than competitive. The panel report recommendation 4.0.2 clearly states that "The contribution from brownfield on its own will not provide the rate of development required and therefore there will be a need for a greenfield contribution throughout the plan period".
Equally the Core Strategy should emphasise strongly the requirements of PPS3, paragraphs 52-59. Land at in the context of PPS3 is 1) deliverable, 2) suitable and 3) achievable. The LDF should endeavour to identify as many specific sites for housing as is practicable, over at least a 15 year period. The site allocations DPD should therefore specifically identify sites for housing unless there are particular reasons and evidence why this is not feasible, the Core Strategy higher level policies should develop a framework to allow for this.

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED1514 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24238
Option: C Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Urban extensions on reviewed green belt sites, including land at Holmwood Park, South Ferndown, should be allocated in the site allocation DPD and the Core Strategy to provide for strategic sites to be released for development as soon as possible, there is no need at this stage to safeguard land for release beyond 2026. It is imperative that land is identified which is deliverable and implementable in a timely fashion to meet the requirements of the emerging RSS and PPS3. The Core Strategy must conform to the findings of the RSS Panel Report where it is stated in paragraphs 4.0.27 to 4.0.29 that it will be important to bring forward as soon as possible greenfield sites and that brownfield and greenfield components can be regarded as complementary rather than competitive. The panel report recommendation 4.0.2 clearly states that 'There is no need for any phasing of the greenfield supply to support the brownfield supply'. In addition the Panel Report states 'The contribution from brownfield on its own will not provide the rate of development required and therefore there will be a need for a greenfield contribution throughout the plan period'.
Equally the Core Strategy should emphasise strongly the requirements of PPS3, paragraphs 52-59. Land at Holmwood Park in the context of PPS3 is 1) deliverable, 2) suitable and 3) achievable. The LDF should endeavour to identify as many specific sites for housing as is practicable, over at least a 15 year period. The site allocations DPD should therefore specifically identify sites for housing unless there are particular reasons and evidence why this is not feasible, the Core Strategy higher level policies should develop a framework to allow for this.

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2646 Surname: TATTERSFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26418
Option: C Organisation: The Christchurch Antiquarians

Response:

General comments: leave Greenbelt as it is. See comments in CC^D previously

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22558
Option: C Organisation: Environment Agency

Response:

General comments: To allow development set out in the draft Regional Spatial Strategy to occur without using land at a significant risk of flooding.

Issue: GB2 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18116
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response:

General comments: If land within the green belt were to be reserved for long term future development then the Agency would wish to see mixed use development options rather than isolated housing or employment sites. This is in light of the need for greater self containment within Christchurch and East Dorset in order to alleviate pressure on the SRN,

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED0156	Surname:	BODELL	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20474
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Dorset County Council				
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED1176	Surname:	BROOKS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-17884
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	This chapter begins with a definition of " Green belt Designation" , which confirms it is not really to protect the Countryside, but in reality a "tool" to allow the development and growth of urban areas where considered" appropriate". The definition does not suggest who decides whether an area is "appropriate" or not !						

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED2324	Surname:	BROWN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-06266
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)				
Response:							
General comments:	In addition to recommending urban extensions to accommodate housing requirements, the Panel recommended the provision of 110 hectares of employment land in the Bournemouth/Poole area. Not all of this can be accommodated at the locations identified and we believe that whilst reviewing Green Belt boundaries for urban extensions, Local Planning Authorities should give consideration to including established industrial locations such as Woolsbridge and the possibility of expanding them. The questions posed pursuant to Theme 5 fail to consider this option and for that reason the document is unsound.						

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED1947	Surname:	BROWN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-06378
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Sibbett Gregory				
Response:	No						
General comments:	You need to consider all releases now to protect revised boundaries for the foreseeable future						

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED1363 Surname: BURDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18094
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB

Response:

General comments: I note that you feel that you have to grasp the issue of possible development within the Green Belt, Theme 5. It would, I recommend, be helpful if the AONB boundary were to be shown on the plan on page 25. Something that is often overlooked, that is clearly taken into account by the Planning Inspectorate, is the concept of the setting of the AONB. The setting, being outside the AONB, is often regarded by developers as potential development land. It does seem that much of the setting of the AONB to the south and south east is within the Green Belt. We are acutely aware that once land within the Green Belt is lost to development it is lost forever.

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00401
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11027
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03414
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: Yes

General comments: Parkland type settings for larger scale developments were suggested at the joint Environment Groups meeting: Milton Keynes is an older example of where this has worked successfully. It does however depend on availability of large areas of land that do not have the constraints that East Dorset has. We need to exercise caution in aspiring to woodland/parkland settings. Soils that have been modified/disrupted originally through agriculture and then by builders are going to need time to recover and detoxify before they start to function as ecosystems in their own right and before pioneer plants can become established and create the start of a natural succession. As was said recently in a conservation journal, "Biodiversity, a naturally occurring seed source, an unpolluted starting soil and several centuries are the ingredients of woodland creation: a patch of unwanted land, a bundle of saplings, a dollop of fertiliser and a load of hog wash are not." Yes, let us make our extended settlements as attractive as possible and enhance biodiversity but this must not be done at the expense of our natural and semi-natural ecosystems that have taken millennia to establish.

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36104
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20153
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11905
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED0017	Surname:	GRIFFITHS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-15756
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED0033	Surname:	HALL	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-10694
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	No						
General comments:	No exceptions, greenbelt areas should be sacrosanct						

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED0987	Surname:	HEDGER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-17208
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)				
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED2442	Surname:	HELLIER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-18117
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Highways Agency				
Response:	No						
General comments:	The Agency believes that there should be an issue raised regarding whether consultees feel it is acceptable for development within the green belt to be offset by increased developer contributions towards vital community and infrastructure improvements. This point is raised within the supporting text but no specific mention is made within the issues or options, The Agency feels that green belt development could have an adverse impact on the performance of the SRN without such contributions toward highway improvements. Comments regarding urban extensions into the green belt are raised below.						

Issue:	GB3	Contact ID:	XED2700	Surname:	HINDMARCH	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-31349
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	No						
General comments:	we should not be considering any breach of the greenbelt						

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: GB3	Contact ID: XED0018	Surname: NOTTAGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12276
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council		
Response:	No		
General comments:	Rural exceptions policy		

Issue: GB3	Contact ID: XED2088	Surname: PACKHAM	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23091
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: White Young Green		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>The RSS Panel report supports the general extent of the Green Belt in S.E.Dorset (SED). However it is also recognised that there is a need for some adjustment to accommodate additional growth. We note below that the Panel recommendations if adopted will require some adjustment to the inner boundary of the Green Belt. The Green Belt needs to be considered in the context of the contribution that planning can make to meeting the Government's sustainable development objectives and the requirement for additional housing in SED. Clearly development 'leapfrogging' the GB will increase journey times and distances and a more sustainable option is to make adjustment to the GB. Any urban extensions which require GB adjustments should, wherever possible, be well related to other uses, established transport corridors and town centres.</p> <p>PPG2 states</p> <p>Where existing local plans are being revised and updated, existing Green Belt boundaries should not be changed unless alterations to the structure plan have been approved, or other exceptional circumstances exist, which necessitate such revision.</p> <p>In relation to this the Panel have considered the need to amend the boundary and concluded that the issues facing the sub region and region generally are such that a sustainable pattern of development is required to meet future housing needs and to address the wider needs of the region and accordingly conclude that the Green Belt should be amended</p>		

Issue: GB3	Contact ID: XED2088	Surname: PACKHAM	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23094
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: White Young Green		
Response:	No		
General comments:			

Issue: GB3	Contact ID: XED2401	Surname: PARKER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16321
Option: GENERAL	Organisation:		
Response:	Yes		
General comments:			

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19391
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response: No

General comments: In view of the need to provide recreational, education and residential care facilities to serve an expanding population and the lack of available non-Greenbelt sites in the area, the Core Strategy should consider identifying major developed sites in the Greenbelt, as set out in PPG2:Greenbelts(January 1995). The 20 hectare Ashley estate run by the Sheiling Trust provides services and facilities that are in national and sub-regional interest, and would benefit from major developed site status to facilitate the provision of urgently needed improvements to support the existing and planned increase in population over the period to 2026.

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED0290 Surname: TIMBERLAKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17679
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Campaign to Protect Rural England (East Dorset and Christchurch Group)

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34840
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08726
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Barratt Homes

Response: No

General comments: Undertake a full and proper review of greenbelt

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04774
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED0211 Surname: WILDING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19947
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Government Office for the South West

Response:

General comments: P. 26 As part of the benefits and costs consideration it would also seem appropriate to recognise that a too tightly drawn green belt can lead to 'leap frogging' and all its associated consequences - in particular increase in traffic etc.
P.26 last bullet on the right: It would seem beneficial to put this into the context of a global economy where 'suitable sites being unavailable' means lost economic opportunity as potential investors will simply locate elsewhere, and it may also drive existing businesses away if they cannot find the right space for expansion/ modernisation. What is the evidence for your areas that is emerging from your employment land reviews on those issues? You have set out the issues very generically but provide relatively little on what the specific issues are in East Dorset! Christchurch, where within the 2 districts the issues are and to what degree they are an issue (see also above my general comments on need for greater locational specificity and spatial approach).

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12903
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31616
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Planning

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: GB3 Contact ID: XED0976 Surname: BANTOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01368
Option: YES Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:
