

Gillian Clark

From: Terry Sneller
Sent: 08 January 2014 14:25
To: Gillian Clark
Subject: FW: re the local plan revision for Sturminster Newton development land (GILLIAN HAS PRINTED FOR CONSULTATION RESPONSE BOX)

This is another response from Mrs Bridge for inclusion alongside the other one.

Thanks
Terry

Terry Sneller BSc Hons, MSc, MRTPI
Planning Policy Officer
North Dorset District Council
Email: tsneller@north-dorset.gov.uk
Direct line: 01258 484331

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 January 2014 12:45
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: re the local plan revision for Sturminster Newton development land

Dear Terry Snell,

Thank you for your recent email.

Could you please take to the update meeting for the local development plan here in Sturminster Newton the following points:

The local plan adopted in 2003 for development within the Sturminster Newton town area needs revision on the following topics:

1. The William Barnes School is full to bursting point, has a waiting list, and when the local builders yard and the library and police station buildings are demolished, and flats, houses, any dwellings are built there, there will be an added number of new residents, possibly with a lot more children; there are already new residents in the area having to take children to schools in Okeford Fitzpaine and Child Okeford. The relocation of the school is therefore of prime importance. The proposed development area for 90 added dwellings would be a huge extra burden on the infrastructure. The proposed relocation of the William Barnes school to an area adjacent to the High School is not ideal in that it would be difficult to access for many, there would be traffic and parking problems added to those already being experienced by the residents living in the vicinity of the Bath Road. It would seem that the development land itself would provide an excellent opportunity for the school to be relocated here. Most of the existing young families live very close to the area and would benefit by this. Older children could continue to use the permissive paths, keeping them safe from the main road. The present open field would make for ideal school fields, with easy access to a study wildlife area, one already protected for the great newts.
2. Any added dwellings in this area would bring in lots of extra residents who will need to use the roads, park their cars, travel to work daily, find jobs: where?
3. The fields presently drain the local land around the site already built on effectively; dense building here could pose extra pressure, with possibility of flooding, given extreme and wetter winters are being predicted.
4. The local small Co-op would need to be replaced, rebuilt, re sited again, with more parking provided.
5. The library building will need to be replaced, as well as access to a police service in some building.
6. GP access would need to be increased.

7. The badgers identified as active and monitored on site cannot be relocated if the site is built on for obvious reasons; the Badger Protection Act of 1994 makes very clear that the use of machinery of any sort near any setts is strictly defined and controlled by law.

yours sincerely,
Valerie Bridge

We value your feedback. To help us improve services, please complete a short survey by [Clicking Here](#)

<http://www.dorsetforyou.com>

Email: customerservices@north-dorset.gov.uk

Main line: 01258 454111

Main fax: 01258 480179

North Dorset District Council, Nordon, Salisbury Road, Blandford Forum, DT11 7LL

Think before you print.

The views expressed above are personal unless stated otherwise. NDDC is not liable for any consequences of accessing this electronic transmission.

Gillian Clark

From: Terry Sneller
Sent: 07 January 2014 15:31
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Gillian Clark
Subject: RE: Delivery Status Notification (Failure) (GILLIAN HAS PRINTED FOR CONSULTATION RESPONSE BOX)

Dear Mrs Bridge,

Thank you for your message regarding the land to the east of Bath Road in Sturminster Newton.

The site you mention has been allocated for residential development since the District Wide Local Plan was adopted in 2003. This plan included considerations of the biodiversity and footpath links associated with the site. No applications have been received on the site to date.

We are currently consulting on a replacement to the Local Plan and will consider your comments as part of this consultation. If you wish to make any further comments, please feel free but be aware that the closing date for consultation responses is Friday the 24th January.

You mentioned in your email below that our email address was incorrect on a web page. Would you be able to email us the link to this page so that we can correct any errors.

Kind regards

Terry

Terry Sneller BSc Hons, MSc, MRTPI
Planning Policy Officer
North Dorset District Council
Email: tsneller@north-dorset.gov.uk
Direct line: 01258 484331

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2014 18:59
To: PlanningPolicy
Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)

Please note that your email address has been wrongly advertised on one of your your websites! My letter follows under this notification. I hope this email address is correct.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
Date: Sun, Jan 5, 2014 at 6:50 PM
Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)
To: [REDACTED]

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

planningpolicy@northdorset.gov.uk

Technical details of permanent failure:
DNS Error: Domain name not found

----- Original message -----

DKIM

d-
h-
b-
b-
v-
j-
6-
1-
H-

4p
U

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Received: by 10.68.136.66 with SMTP id py2mr26787837pbb.112.1388947843075;
Sun, 05 Jan 2014 10:50:43 -0800 (PST)

Received: by 10.70.102.98 with HTTP; Sun, 5 Jan 2014 10:50:42 -0800 (PST)

Date: Sun, 5 Jan 2014 18:50:42 +0000

Message-ID: <CANLAuCt003rrLhpWnS9vcWAGdimtSmpshKd4oteaemEEsoHPww@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: proposed residential development Sturminster Newton

From: valerie bridge <valerie.bridge@northdorset.gov.uk>

To: planningpolicy@northdorset.gov.uk

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=047d7b15aad3cd122904ef3d9e86

Dear Admin Team,

re:the land for proposed development to the east of Bath Road SturminsterNewton.

It is not known if you are aware that part of the proposed development site
11.7 acres is occupied by several extensive badger setts.

If you check with the attached file sent to me by the Dorset Mammal Group
you will see that a very recent sett survey on site has been made by a
member of the Dorset Mammal Group.

You will be aware of the regulations regarding any development on land on
which there are active setts.

These setts are very active; they have been monitored.

You may need to update your records.

I would like to add that in the recent storms these fields have been
inundated with streamlets and have become very marshy.

There would be a considerable problem with drainage if any of the land was
to be built on.

The local residents have been using these fields as a much valued amenity
and a crossover for entry into the town. I have met many who would regard
the loss of this usage as detrimental to the well being of residents as
well as wildlife. You will be aware that the adjacent field is a designated
wildlife area for the newts.

It would be most appreciated if the present kind permissive usage by
residents could be continued.

A further thought: the William Barnes School needs to be relocated, due to
rising numbers on a restricted site. Maybe part of the planning committee
could address the idea of relocating the school to the field to the south

east of the badger sett field; a nature study area would be on the school's doorstep, and the area would be immediately accessible for all the present families who struggle to go further, and who would find access to a possible site close to the high school a very long and arduous trip with pushchairs etc; most of the young families live to the immediate south of this area. There would also be an advantage in that car parking/ usage could be minimised.

I hope I have not raised too many issues, and so confused the main

----- Message truncated -----

We value your feedback. To help us improve services, please complete a short survey by [Clicking Here](#)

<http://www.dorsetforyou.com>

Email: customerservices@north-dorset.gov.uk

Main line: 01258 454111

Main fax: 01258 480179

North Dorset District Council, Nordon, Salisbury Road, Blandford Forum, DT11 7LL

Think before you print.

The views expressed above are personal unless stated otherwise. NDDC is not liable for any consequences of accessing this electronic transmission.

Gillian Clark

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2014 18:59
To: PlanningPolicy
Subject: Fwd: Delivery Status Notification (Failure) (GILLIAN HAS PRINTED FOR CONSULTATION RESPONSE BOX)

Please note that your email address has been wrongly advertised on one of your websites!
My letter follows under this notification.
I hope this email address is correct.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com>
Date: Sun, Jan 5, 2014 at 6:50 PM
Subject: Delivery Status Notification (Failure)
To: [REDACTED]

Delivery to the following recipient failed permanently:

planningpolicy@northdorset.gov.uk

Technical details of permanent failure:
DNS Error: Domain name not found

----- Original message -----

DKIM-Signature: v=1; a=rsa-sha256; c=relaxed/relaxed;
d=gmail.com; s=20120113;
h=mime-version:date:message-id:subject:from:to:content-type;
bh=GwldvQqX07LKlfpA6YyCN8i/LItH8jHyp3nnkqvOgrk=;
b=n4Iu8due+LmsV60PGCAT9bzqYOO+d8wrOh5J+IsUlgBqRp2jod+5B2n77ZVJOfvipO
w4/R6jR4r1j45V5zW5Ao65LUE/kciJ+tnWtDSl4eefAqLnH/nDxX2LMFJIB7HKzrBddG
jtGYoMi5cMRMCHNWyfTtxRff4zdB9LM5sT6II3wozcYOy0hMp3RciB3ev3WRtXNNZ2Mp
6+qmG7YTPXnJGCEoo60GFNY4Q5grBgTJUnUolXbleb89BsRt2q2FjaTG38igEnJMYP4L
1EFDHgl57zqwyTQwIoMIoyxYGv2txEGq0hupZK+G4FV8QeqfHQH6yfA7u3hIxmDnFcdU
H0qQ==

MIME-Version: 1.0

X-Received: by 10.68.136.66 with SMTP id py2mr26787837pbb.112.1388947843075;

Sun, 05 Jan 2014 10:50:43 -0800 (PST)

Received: by 10.70.102.98 with HTTP; Sun, 5 Jan 2014 10:50:42 -0800 (PST)

Date: Sun, 5 Jan 2014 18:50:42 +0000

Message-ID: <CANLAAuCT003rrLhpWnS9vcWAGdimtSmpshKd4oteaemEEsoHPww@mail.gmail.com>

Subject: proposed residential development Sturminster Newton

From: valerie bridge [REDACTED]

To: planningpolicy@northdorset.gov.uk

Content-Type: multipart/mixed; boundary=047d7b15aad3cd122904ef3d9e86

Dear Admin Team,

re:the land for proposed development to the east of Bath Road SturminsterNewton.

It is not known if you are aware that part of the proposed development site

11.7 acres is occupied by several extensive badger setts.

If you check with the attached file sent to me by the Dorset Mammal Group you will see that a very recent sett survey on site has been made by a member of the Dorset Mammal Group.

You will be aware of the regulations regarding any development on land on which there are active setts.

These setts are very active; they have been monitored.

You may need to update your records.

I would like to add that in the recent storms these fields have been inundated with streamlets and have become very marshy.

There would be a considerable problem with drainage if any of the land was to be built on.

The local residents have been using these fields as a much valued amenity and a crossover for entry into the town. I have met many who would regard the loss of this usage as detrimental to the well being of residents as well as wildlife. You will be aware that the adjacent field is a designated wildlife area for the newts.

It would be most appreciated if the present kind permissive usage by residents could be continued.

A further thought: the William Barnes School needs to be relocated, due to rising numbers on a restricted site. Maybe part of the planning committee could address the idea of relocating the school to the field to the south east of the badger sett field; a nature study area would be on the school's doorstep, and the area would be immediately accessible for all the present families who struggle to go further, and who would find access to a possible site close to the high school a very long and arduous trip with pushchairs etc; most of the young families live to the immediate south of this area. There would also be an advantage in that car parking/ usage could be minimised.

I hope I have not raised too many issues, and so confused the main

----- Message truncated -----