For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	Mr
First Name	Stephen	Stephen
Last Name	Pardey	Pardey
Job Title <i>(where</i>	Clerk to the Parish Council	
Organisation (where relevant)	Spetisbury Parish Council	
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

ш	\sim		C	n	α
<u>H</u>	u	u	31		2

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.				
	Yes ⊠				
	No \square				
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.				
	<u>Employment</u>				
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?				
	Yes ⊠				
	No 🗆				
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?				
	Yes				
	No 🗵				
	Spatial Strategy				
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?				
	Yes				
	No 🗵				
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.				
	Yes				
	No 🗵				

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning support it.				
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)			
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?			
	Yes 🗵			
	No \square			
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?			
	Yes			
	No 🗵			
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.			
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?			
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.			
	New schools, doctor's surgeries and transport strategy.			
	<u>Gillingham</u>			
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?			
	Yes			
	No			
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?			
	Yes			
	No \square			

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.		
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?		
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.		
Shaftesbury		
12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?		
Yes		
No 🗆		
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?		
Yes □		
No 🗆		
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.		
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?		
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.		

Sturminster Newton

15.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes
	No \square
	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	<u>Stalbridge</u>
	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
	Yes
	No □
19.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been
	considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	If you have answered 'yes' please set out what you see us the jurther issues.

20.	20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?				
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
	The Villages				
21.	Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?				
	Yes				
	No 🗆				
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.				
	Affordable Housing				
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?				
	Yes				
	No \square				
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?				
	Yes				
	No 🗆				
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?				
	Yes				
	No 🗆				

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

No \square

25.	25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some or all of the following options?			
	Yes			
No 🗆				
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes \qed No \qed			
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes \Box No \Box			
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes \Box No \Box			
	d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes \Box No \Box			
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$			
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$			
26.	Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing? Yes $\ \Box$			
	No □			
	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.			
27.	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?			
	Yes			

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

28.	-	ou agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies gislation, should be deleted?
	Yes	
	No	
	The .	A350 Corridor
29.	Вура	ou consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer ass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and guarded for such purposes?
	Yes	\boxtimes
	No	
	Coı	mments
	Appr	u have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability raisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific stion or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or other your comments relate to.
	No 2	29 A350 corridor.
	Char curre not o to Po high are r wide	A350 in its present format is not fit for purpose. There are several pinch points within the villages of alton Marshall & Spetisbury which prevent two vehicles passing at the same time. The volume of traffic ently is far too high for residential areas and any future development in and around Blandford should commence until the bypass has been built. Most residents of any new development will have to travel coole/ Bournemouth for work which will only add to the volume of traffic. Also, the condition of the way between Blandford and Sturminster Marshall is dangerous – there are hardly any cat's eyes that not missing or damaged, the central white lines are worn away largely because the carriageway is not be enough for the type of traffic using the road, and the road surface is continually being damaged. If no ass is to be built in the near future then HGV's need to be diverted away from the A350.

	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating	to the Local Plan Review?
Yes ⊠	
No \square	
	ab.
Signature:	Date: 13 th January 2018
If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.	

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk