

**West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Joint LOCAL PLAN
POST-SUBMISSION ERRATA
13 September 2013**

During the consultation on the modifications to the local plan (which started on 12 August 2013) a number of errors have been identified in the tracked changes documents.

These errors and corrections are shown below. They primarily relate to the changes to the removal of the proposed allocation at South East Dorchester (where references to the removed allocation had not been fully deleted, also resulting in two versions of the overall housing supply for West Dorset being shown) and Crossways (where the key employment site is intended to be delivered through the allocation to the east of Warmwell Road but the map ECON2 showing it to the south-west of the village was not deleted). We have also identified some more minor errors where changes were unclear, or not in line with other parts of the plan, where there was unintentional duplication or cross-referencing was incorrect.

We are therefore extending the consultation period to give a full 6 weeks from the publication of this errata list, to ensure that people who may have responded differently based on this information have not been disadvantaged in any way. If you have already submitted a response to the consultation this will remain valid, unless you indicate you wish it to be replaced. The closing date for this consultation will now be 25 October 2013.

| Errata | Policy / para | Change ref | Correction |
|--|--|-------------------|--|
| Updated 2011 census population should have been included in the figures in the introduction and consequential updates to figures quoted in the chapter introductions for Portland, Chickerell, Dorchester, Crossways and Lyme Regis The corrected data is shown – background papers will also be updated where necessary. | 1.2.4 Table 1.1 8.11 10.1.2 11.1.1 12.1.1 15.1.1 | 1.2B | Beaminster 3,140 Bridport 13,570 Chickerell 5,520 Crossways 2,260 Dorchester 19,060 Lyme Regis 3,670 Portland 12,840 Sherborne 9,520 Weymouth 52,230 |
| Text about national policy affording great weight to conserving the landscape and scenic beauty of the AONB was duplicated in 2.2.2 and 2.2.3 | 2.2.2 | 2.2C | Delete second part of third sentence in 2.2.2 “, and national planning policy affords great weight to conserving the landscape and scenic beauty of these areas” |
| Text about the encouragement of development proposals with the primary objective of the conservation or enhancement of biodiversity was duplicated in 2.2.14 and 2.2.21 | 2.2.14 | 2.2O | Delete the last sentence of 2.2.14 |
| Table cross-referencing was not properly given | ENV2 iii | 2.2R | Criteria should read “in accordance with the strategy in Table 2.2” (not Table XX) |

**West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Joint LOCAL PLAN
POST-SUBMISSION ERRATA
13 September 2013**

| Errata | Policy / para | Change ref | Correction |
|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| Policy test in criteria iv on nationally designated wildlife sites should have included reference to there being no alternative solution in line with our strategic approach (there are already similar requirements in relation to international and local sites in criteria ii and v) | ENV2 iv | 2.2R | Add to end of iv “and there is no alternative acceptable solution” |
| The plan was not clear that the need for development and benefits ‘test’ in relation to local wildlife sites refers to the development site, as opposed to the wildlife site, as these may not be one and the same. | ENV2 v | 2.2R | Last part of final sentence should read “of the development site clearly outweigh the loss” |
| Monitoring indicator should have referred to designated heritage assets | ENV 4 | 2.3U | Indicator should read “number of designated heritage assets at risk.” |
| Para 2.5.27 refers to new homes being built to Lifetime Home Standard. This should have been a stand-alone criterion in policy ENV13, and was erroneously incorporated into the tests for applications judged under criteria ii. | ENV13 | 2.5O 2.5Q | Move last bullet point of ENV13 ii “All new homes should be built to Lifetime Homes Standard” to a new subsection ENV13 iii). |
| The housing supply (2011-31) for Weymouth and Portland and the plan area was incorrectly totalled – being 7 homes short. | Table 3.1 | 3.3F | Weymouth and Portland housing supply (2011-31) should read 4,309 (not 4,302) and the plan area housing supply (2011-31) should read 12,772 (not 12,765) |
| References to an allocation at South East Dorchester should not have been included | Table 3.3 Table 4.1 6.2.3 | 3.3G 4.3B 6.2A | Delete addition of line “South East Dorchester – 3ha” Delete addition of line “Land to the South East of Dorchester – Proposed” Delete addition of third bullet point “Land at South East Dorchester” |
| The plan area total for housing permissions was incorrectly shown on the first table and should have read 4,235 – the overall total (7,996) was correct as was the housing supply information displayed in Table 3.1. The plan area homes upper range figure under unmet demand was one digit out. | 3.3.2 | 3.3A | Plan area housing permission total allowance should read 4,235 (not 4,145) Plan area homes upper range figure under unmet demand should read 4,678 (not 4,677) |

**West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Joint LOCAL PLAN
POST-SUBMISSION ERRATA
13 September 2013**

| Errata | Policy / para | Change ref | Correction |
|---|----------------------|-------------------|---|
| The employment completions were not shown for 2011/12, with consequential implications for the permissions / demand tables | 3.3.2 | 3.3A | Additional row added below housing completions West Dorset completions: 2.0ha Weymouth & Portland completions: 0.6ha Plan Area completions: 2.7ha The supply figure for West Dorset from permissions should be 24.4ha (not 24.2ha), making the Plan Area supply 43.2ha (not 43.0ha). Unmet demand is therefore changed for West Dorset: at least 17.1ha (not 19.4) Plan Area: at least 14.4ha (not 17.3) |
| The housing and employment supply figures quoted for West Dorset are inconsistent – the correct information was displayed in Table 3.1 | 3.3.7 3.3.10 | 3.3E 3.3H | 3.3.7 allocations table for West Dorset should read “HOMES Land allocated for about 2,930 new homes (excluding those already benefitting from planning permission). Shortfall in plan period: 637 homes shortfall from low range estimate, 1,177 homes shortfall from high range estimate. EMPLOYMENT 31.1ha of additional land allocated for employment. Shortfall in the plan period: none.” 3.3.10 first sentence should read “The shortfall of up to about 1,180 homes in West Dorset is particularly relevant to the last five years of the plan period.” |
| The shortfall (calculated in years) for West Dorset is incorrectly shown (although the total numbers shown were correct). These are based on the mid-point of the demand range (482). | 3.3.12 | 3.3J(2) | Second sentence should read “This is short of a 20 year supply by between 637 and 1,777 – representing about 1.3 to 3.7 years’ worth of supply.” |
| Nottingham should have been included in the list of settlements, as development boundaries have not changed | 3.3.14 | 3.3M | Add Nottingham to the list of settlements with a Defined Development Boundary |

**West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Joint LOCAL PLAN
POST-SUBMISSION ERRATA
13 September 2013**

| Errata | Policy / para | Change ref | Correction |
|---|-----------------------|------------|--|
| Reference to built tourist accommodation was not included in para 3.5.2 and therefore the text did not reflect the actual wording of Policy SUS3. | 3.5.2 | 3.5A | Should read: "The re-use of buildings for open market housing <u>and built tourist accommodation</u> will be supported in <u>and adjoining</u> established rural settlements with a population of 200+ (many of which have an established development boundaries) as these tend to have some local facilities and are less likely to place an additional burden on services such as school bus / taxi and healthcare out-reach services. The re-use of buildings in or adjacent to settlements with development boundaries (see list at 3.3.14) will also be supported for open market housing. " |
| The policy was not clear that the criterion in relation to open market housing and built tourist accommodation applies to settlements with defined development boundaries, regardless of their population size. | SUS3 i) | 3.5A | Should read: "open market housing or built tourist accommodation <u>adjoining a settlement with a defined development boundary or within</u> or adjoining an established settlement of more than 200 population. <u>In all cases only</u> where the building/s was in existence in 2011" |
| First and second monitoring indicators for retail and town centre uses were unclear | Monitoring Indicators | 4.4J | Monitoring indicator: number of units in primary retail changed to non-retail uses Monitoring indicator: length <u>map</u> of primary <u>and secondary</u> frontage in use by <u>retail, town centre and other</u> non retail uses. Target: no more than 25% <u>non retail uses in primary frontages and no more than 25% non town centre uses in secondary frontages (measured by length).</u> |
| Cross referenced policies on tourism were given the incorrect chapter prefix | 4.5.6 | 4.5E | Replace "SUS6 and SUS7" with "ECON6 and ECON7" |
| Cross-reference to ECON8 is obsolete as it is included in policy ECON7 i) | 4.5.18 | 4.5S | Remove "in line with Policy ECON8" |
| Funding of DTEP is anticipated through CIL (in the Infrastructure Delivery Plan) and is therefore non-site specific funded. | Table 6.2 | 6.2C | Move "yes" to under non-site specific funded column |

**West Dorset, Weymouth and Portland Joint LOCAL PLAN
POST-SUBMISSION ERRATA
13 September 2013**

| Errata | Policy / para | Change ref | Correction |
|---|---|-------------------|---|
| Cross referenced policy given the wrong reference | 6.5.6 | 2.5I | Replace 'ENV12' with 'ENV11' |
| Cross referenced policy on the sequential test was given the incorrect number | 7.3.11 | 7.3L | Replace "ECON 3" with "ECON 4" |
| The 4 th sentence is missing an ending, which should have referred to the AONB justification. | 11.6.4 | 11.6B | Sentence ends: 'justify the allocation within the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty' |
| The site to the south east shown on the map ECON2 formed part of the larger proposal shown in the June 2012 draft plan, and should therefore have been deleted. Para 12.2.1 correctly refers to the allocation at Crossways being to the south east of the village and that "In terms of the distribution of uses, the area to the north-east [of the allocation] is likely to be more suited to employment uses as a Key Employment Site". The exact extent would be defined through the masterplan. | Map ECON2 – Land at Crossways | 12.2A | Delete map ECON2 – Land at Crossways |
| Map table still refers to BEAM 2 – Land off Hollymoor Lane although this map has been deleted. BEAM 3 – Land at Lane End Farm is now incorrectly numbered BEAM 2) | Appendix – Maps List | MAP1 | Delete reference to Hollymoor Lane site in map table, and re-number BEAM 2 3 – Land at Lane End Farm |
| The Key Employment Site is intended to show those areas of Portland Port that are in employment use or have planning permission for business use – the slipways were omitted by error. | Map ECON2 Key Employment Site – Portland Port | MAP1 | Amend map to include the slipways at Portland Port in Key Employment Site Area |
| First copy of Map ECON 4 Town Centre Area, Sherborne in the economy section also includes SHER 2 Future Town Centre Expansion, which was not updated to show the revised area for SHER 2. | Map ECON4 Town Centre Area, Sherborne | MAP1 | Replace with updated map (as already shown in map section for Sherborne) |