

Core Strategy Pre-Submission - Appendices

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Company / Organisation	ID	Number	Question 1 - Legally compliant	Question 2 - Sound	Question 3 - Positively Prepared	Question 3 - Justified	Question 3 - Effective	Question 3 - Consistent with national policy	Question 4	Question 5	Question 6	Question 7	Order	Filename
644337	Mr David Edwards		CSPS30	Appendix 1	Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	The document includes no mention of the provision of allotments.	It is national policy to provide allotments when more than 12 people request them. In Ferndown there is a waiting list of approaching 100 people who have applied for an allotment and to date none have been provided. It is necessary that this is included in the plan as a core community need.	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	As chair of the Ferndown Allotment Association I was give 1 minute to speak at the public meeting held in the Barrington Centre when the draft plan was presented to the public. I was assured by the council that the plan would include mention of the need to provide allotments. I can find no such mention in the plan and I need to ensure that the public inspector is made aware of the need and the lack of provision in the core plan.	765	
644337	Mr David Edwards		CSPS552	Appendix 1	Yes	No			Yes		Ferndown town council have a legal requirement to provide allotments and the waiting list for plots has now grown to nearly 100 people with zero provision in the town apart from a privately owned site at Longham (which does not contribute to the town council's provision). Nowhere in this core strategy document is there mention of allotment provision. This site would possibly provide some plots and this should be included in the plan. The town council are working with the Allotment Association to come up with a solution to this all too common problem but allotment provision needs to be included in the plan to make sure that it is not overlooked and to ensure that open space provision requirements made on developers do not stop at a play area here or there.	Open space provision must include allotment sites where possible to cater for the growing need for sites in the Ferndown area where there is currently none.	Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination	Ferndown Allotments Association is working with the town council to find sites for allotments and both parties feel that such requirements should be specifically written into the core strategy document to make sure that such provision is made.	765	
524338	Mr Kenneth Brooks		CSPS240	2.2							We are informed the 2012 Strategic Housing Market Assessment has identified 'an annual housing need far exceeding the total housing supply and there is a significant housing waiting list', presumably for 'affordable homes' - assessed as 430 per year in East Dorset. In Appendix 2, paragraph 2.2 'affordable housing' is defined as social rented, affordable rented and intermediate housing provided to eligible households whose needs are not met by the housing market. Most of the New				773	

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Company / Organisation	ID	Number	Question 1 - Legally compliant	Question 2 - Sound	Question 3 - Positively Prepared	Question 3 - Justified	Question 3 - Effective	Question 3 - Consistent with national policy	Question 4	Question 5	Question 6	Question 7	Order	Filename	
											Neighbourhoods planned for East Dorset are required to have a minimum of 50% affordable homes, the need being mainly 1 bedroom dwellings. The New Neighbourhoods are being planned with 'high density' residential dwellings adjoining 'lower density' (whatever that means) residential dwellings which will need to be sold on the open housing market. Bearing in mind the market dwellings which will need to be attractive to prospective house-buyers looking to move into East Dorset, this Core Strategy Consultation process should include the views of local estate agents to determine the most sought after types of residential properties.						
656626	Mr Michael Madgwick		CSPS1283	Appendix 3							There are a number of site specific policies that will be 'saved' from the existing Local Plan, including those that deal with development within Villages, for example HODEV2 and GBV1. Given the established need for new housing in Shapwick, it would be appropriate to re-examine the defined Village infill Envelope.				781		
653852	Mrs Susan Newman-Crane		CSPS587	Appendix 4	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		The policies which are to be retained should be included in the main body of this document, worded as they are in the Local Plan. Some policies deleted are contrary to national planning policy: eg the removal of BE1, which derives from PPG15.	policies since the 1930s. BE21: it is utterly appalling that a Local Authority should delete this essential protection for archaeological remains. Christchurch's record in this respect is abysmal enough as it is and this Local Plan policy implements PPG16 so is government policy and must be reinstated. It seeks to preserve archaeological remains, excavation, recording and proper evaluation, the latter being done before any planning permission is given.. Include all policies which derive from national planning guidance. Specifically: BE1: the desirability of preserving and/or enhancing new development/alterations etc within a Conservation Area; BE9: Mundeford Quay Conservation Area proposals for preserving the character etc of existing buildings re 'modest extensions' etc BE12: This relates to an entire Conservation Area as indicated on the	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination		785		

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Company / Organisation	ID	Number	Question 1 - Legally compliant	Question 2 - Sound	Question 3 - Positively Prepared	Question 3 - Justified	Question 3 - Effective	Question 3 - Consistent with national policy	Question 4	Question 5	Question 6	Question 7	Order	Filename
												<p>Local Plan Proposals Map, and its omission amounts to the deletion of the entire Conservation Area. This policy must be retained regarding protecting this area between Waterside and Coastguard Way from further development, and especially as the LP policy acknowledges the reason for this is partly it being adjacent to the harbour, which is at this point both an SSSI and has its own policy are - L19, which has been deleted.</p> <p>L19: reinstate this policy protecting harbour tourism and leisure;</p> <p>ENV11: similarly relates to BE12 and must be reinstated as it aims to protect the harbour SSSI - how could any local authority wipe that consideration off the planning requirements, as maintaining the quality of an SSSI is a legal requirement;</p> <p>BE13 must be reinstated, since it relates to the government PPG15 requirements for demolition of Listed Buildings and gives them essential protection;</p> <p>BE17: it is desirable to keep this policy protecting Listed Buildings from unbridled advertisement ugliness - a principle which has been part of planning policies of this country since the 1930s. It should be reinstated;</p> <p>BE21: It beggars belief that Christchurch Borough Council has deleted this protection for archaeological remains, especially as it derives from government guidance PPG16. It requires, before planning permission is granted, that a developer does field evaluations of such sites, and that permission will not be given unless the archaeology remains in situ or can be recorded or excavated. The treatment of archaeological remains by this authority is already an abysmal record and this policy must be reinstated.</p>				