

Site Name & Reference**AS11 Parley Court Phase 3****Brief Summary of Proposal**

Extraction of approximately 7 million tonnes over 14 years.

Total number of Representations received **34**Number of Representors: **33****Were comments received from the following consultees?**Natural England **Yes**English Heritage **Yes**Environment Agency **Yes**Local Nature Partnership **No**Local Enterprise Partnership: **No**Parish Council (Hurn) **No**

District Council :

Christchurch & East Dorset Councils: **Yes****Other bodies making comments included:**

Raymond Brown (developer)

East Dorset Friends of the Earth

CPRE

RSPB

Highways Agency

TMSTH

Bournemouth Airport

West Parley Parish Council - **Yes****Petitions** – No petitions were received to this site.**Site Issues – the following is a summary of the main issues that have been raised by stakeholders****Summary of Issue****Officer Initial Response****Actions & Further Information Requirements****General Issues****Environmental Issues****Hydrology:**

- Potentially significant adverse impact on surface and groundwater systems in the area.
- Concern that there is a potential for flooding, and that it could not be successfully mitigated due to the presence of heavy plant and mineral storage on the site.
- Constructing a bund wall to prevent flooding on the

Site proposers have been contacted requesting a broad range of further assessment studies.
The Environment Agency is consulted as a matter of course on such matters.
Any measures which hinder the dispersal/ebbing of flood are likely to be resisted by the Environment Agency.
Safety of operations would be a statutory responsibility of the

These matters will be considered in further detail.

<p>Parley Court Farm site will increase the amount of flooding on the opposite bank and will pose a threat to homes in the Muscliff area.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Dangers of working in flood plain so close to the River Stour. 	<p>mineral extraction company, as employer.</p>	
<p>Environmental Impact:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Extraction would cause damage to: local wildlife, nature reserve, the flood plain, life of the river, serenity of the area and the enjoyment by thousands of people who visit from surrounding areas. ▪ Adverse impact to local wildlife (birds, fish aquatic mammals) ▪ Potentially significant and adverse impacts on nationally and internationally protected or rare species. ▪ Some concerns over the possible impact of proposed works on water abstraction. 	<p>Site proposers have been contacted requesting a broad range of further assessment studies.</p>	<p>These matters will be considered in further detail.</p>
<p>Restoration:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Proposals should maximise the overall wetland gains for the site - No hydrological connection between the site and the river Stour should exist. ▪ Opportunity may exist to create additional back water/side channel habitats that will provide areas for fish. ▪ Some concerns over the impacts of restoration on this site in relation to hydrology. 	<p>The adopted Minerals Strategy sets out policies relating to restoration as well as general development management policies which cover the identified issues. It will be a matter for the planning application stage to consider suitable conditions relating to detailed restoration programmes. At this stage site proposers have been contacted requesting further information about their sites will inform our understanding of restoration issues and the MPA will also liaise with relevant experts such as Natural England and the Environment Agency. This information will form part of the overall assessment of the suitability of the site to go forward in the site selection process.</p>	<p>Restoration issues will be considered having regard to relevant policies in the adopted Minerals Strategy.</p>
Social Issues		
<p>Highways:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concerns expressed regarding potentially adverse impacts on the highway network in respect of increased traffic flows. ▪ Concern that HGVs will adversely affect summer tourism. 	<p>The Highway Authority, which considers matters of highway safety, is consulted at every stage in the plan's preparation.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) study.</p>	<p>These matters will be considered in further detail.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Concern that noise generated by HGVs will adversely affect people’s enjoyment of the area. 		
<p>Recreation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Use of important green space as Nature reserve would be undermined. ▪ Damage to important tourist features in the area due to noise dust and pollution. ▪ The River Stour footpaths and open spaces play a part in current open space provision and of further enhancements to green infrastructure: extraction should not interfere with this use. ▪ Concerns were expressed regarding obstruction to public rights of way in the area due to quarrying activity, and the potential that the paths may need to be diverted. ▪ Suggestions that future additional footpaths possibilities should be considered. 	<p>Should the site be considered for inclusion in the plan the MPA would need to take account of recreation opportunities which might be secured both during extraction and post-restoration.</p>	<p>These matters will be considered in further detail.</p>
<p>Amenity:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Adverse visual impact from quarrying for residents 	<p>If this development were to be allocated and permitted, planning conditions attached to any planning consent can be used to minimise adverse impacts upon amenity.</p>	<p>These matters will be considered in further detail.</p>
Economic issues		
None identified.		