Fontmell Magna Neighbourhood Plan OPTIONS CONSULTATION

Name & Address (or confirm you are a local resident

– if not a resident, please state your interest and
contact details)

	Please tick Y/N	as app Y	ropria N	 riate
	Have we got the Vision and Objectives broadly right? If NO, what should be changed?			
1 1.1 1.2	Questions on Local Green Spaces The assessments for all the sites are available to view – are you satisfied with the detailed wa we have carried out the assessments? Are you happy for the proposed Local Green Spaces (listed in the documents) to be submitted.			
1.2	to North Dorset District Council?			
2 2.1	Local Green Spaces Are you in favour of maintaining our existing village pattern of open spaces between developments?			
2.2	re you in favour of infilling the green spaces to create a denser village pattern?			
3 3.1	Questions on Wildlife Corridors Do you support the proposals we have made?			
3.2	Have we missed any other potential wildlife corridors which should be considered? If YES, please briefly note them below.			
4 4.1	Question on Footpaths Are you in favour of a new footpath from the permissive path by Fontmell Brook to Elbury View if the necessary permissions can be obtained?	v		
4.2 4.3	Are you in favour of a new footpath by Collyer's Brook from Middle Mill dam to Springhead if the necessary permissions can be obtained? Would you use these paths?			
4.4	Would you be prepared to help create them (eg. fencing, laying chippings etc.)?			
4.5	Regarding the White Hart Link, would you be in favour of a route bringing walkers closer to the village core?)		_
5 5.1	Question on the Historic Environment Are you content for a Conservation Area Appraisal to be carried out within our own resources (and therefore at minimal cost)?]
6 6.1	Questions on housing and potential sites Do you agree with the housing policies? If NO, what have we missed or got wrong?			
6.2	Do you consider any of the four preferred sites would be wholly unsuitable for development?			
	If YES, which of the four do you think should not be considered, and why?		•	ı
6.2	Do you consider that the Neighbourhood Plan group was wrong to discard any of the housing option sites? If YES, which ones should be reconsidered and why?			

6.3	If site 1 is allocated for development, do you agree with the issues that need to be addressed (as summarised on the display)? If NO, what issues have we missed or got wrong?	
6.4	If site 20 is allocated for development, do you agree with the issues that need to be addressed (as summarised on the display)? If NO, what issues have we missed or got wrong?	
6.5	In relation to site 20, which one of the following statements do you agree with? (a) Keeping the housing numbers low is more important than achieving a new road access and parking and drop off facilities to rear of school. OR (b) Achieving a new road access and parking and drop off facilities to rear of school is more important than keeping the number of houses low in line with local housing needs.	
6.6	 In relation to site 20, which one of the following statements do you agree with? (a) Keeping the housing numbers low is more important than the landowner withdrawing the site. OR (b) Having the site developed with a higher than preferred density is more important than losing the site altogether. If your answer is (a), which alternative site do you prefer? 1, 22, 24. 	
6.7	 In relation to sites 1 and 20, which one of the following statements do you agree with? (a) The opportunity to include a new, larger, sewage treatment facility which may serve other properties in the village (site 1) is more of a priority than potentially new school parking facilities (site 20). OR (b) Potentially new school parking facilities (site 20) is more important than the opportunity to include a new, larger, sewage treatment facility which may serve other properties in the village (site 1). 	
6.8	If site 22 is allocated for development, do you agree with the issues that need to be addressed (as summarised on the display)? If NO, what issues have we missed or got wrong?	
6.9	If site 24 is allocated for development, do you agree with the issues that need to be addressed (as summarised on the display)? If NO, what issues have we missed or got wrong?	
6.10	 In relation to sites 22 and 24, which one of the following statements do you agree with? (a) Access would be acceptable off West Street for the level of housing proposed and likely traffic levels. OR (b) The sites should only be considered as part of a phased approach after a new road access is provided from site 20. 	
6.11	If there is no possibility of vehicular access to site 22 from site 20, which of the following statements do you agree with: (a) A road should be constructed to site 22 from near the surgery (via site 24). (b) Site 22 should be excluded in favour of all or part of site 24. (c) Site 20 should be excluded as a potential development site.	
6.12	Of the four sites, please rank in your order of preference (1 being the most preferred, 4 being the least): Site 1 1/2/3/4 Site 20 1/2/3/4 Site 22 1/2/3/4 Site 24 1/2/3/4	

Thank you – your answers will help us develop the Neighbourhood Plan.
When completed, please return this sheet to the Village Shop by 8th April 2017