

### **Local Authority Report**

to

The Schools Adjudicator

from

### **Dorset Local Authority**

to be provided by

30 June 2020

Report Cleared by: Name: Rick Perry

**Title: Service Manager – Education Services** 

**Telephone number: 01305225292** 

Email: r.perry@dorsetcc.gov.uk

Date submitted: 30 June 2020

By: Name: Ed Denham

Title: School Place Sufficiency Manager

**Telephone number: 01305221939** 

Email: ed.denham@dorsetcouncil.gov.uk

www.gov.uk/government/organisations/office-of-the-schools-adjudicator

Please email your completed report to: <u>osa.team@schoolsadjudicator.gov.uk</u> by <u>30 June 2020 and earlier if possible</u>

# Contents

Section	on 1 - Normal point of admission	4
A.	Co-ordination	4
B.	Looked after and previously looked after children	4
C.	Special educational needs and disabilities	5
Section	on 2 - In-year admissions	5
A.	Co-ordination of in-year admissions	5
B.	Looked after children and previously looked after children	6
C.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	7
D.	Fair access protocol	8
E.	General comments on in-year dmissions	8
Section	on 3 - Other matters	9
Section	on 4 - Feedback	9

#### Introduction and guidance on completing the report – Revised April 2020

- 1. Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in her annual report to the Secretary for State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.23 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. This is a revised template issued in the light of the Covid-19 pandemic.
- 2. This template requests local authorities only for:
  - a) information about how admission arrangements in the area of the local authority serve the interests of looked after children and previously looked after children, children with disabilities and children with special educational needs, including any details of where problems have arisen;
  - an assessment of the effectiveness of Fair Access Protocols and coordination in their area, including how many children were admitted to each school under them.
- 3. We would be grateful if local authorities would follow the approach used in statutory provisions and in the Department for Education Statistical First Release<sup>1</sup> and the Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002<sup>2</sup>.
- 4. Local authorities are, of course, free to comment on any other matters not specifically addressed in this template if they wish to do so under section 3. The views expressed by local authorities in previous years also remain a matter of public record.
- 5. The report **must** be returned to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator by **30 June 2020**.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Department for Education Statistical First Release

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002

## Information requested

### **Section 1 - Normal point of admission**

#### A. Co-ordination

	How well did co-	Not	A large number of	Well with few	Very
	ordination of the	well	small problems or a	small problems	well
	main admissions		major problem		
	round work?				
	Reception				V
	·/7				Х
,	Year 7				V
	Other relevant				Х
	years of entry				Х
		e to illu	strate your answer if you	wich:	
''' '	r icase give example	,s to mu	strate your answer it you	WISH.	
Ī					
	1 1 - 1 - 6 1				
3.	Looked after and	previo	usly looked after childre	n	
_					
i.			ions system in your local		e the
	interests of looked	after ch	nildren at <b>normal points o</b>	of admission?	
	□Not at all □Not	well $\square$	Well $oxtimes$ Very well $oxtimes$ Not a	applicable <sup>3</sup>	
ii.	How well do the ac	dmissior	ns systems in other local a	authority areas ser	ve the
	interests of childre	n looked	d after by your local autho	rity at normal poi	nts of
	admission?				
	□Not at all □Not	well 🗵	Well □Very well □Not a	applicable <sup>3</sup>	
iii.	How well does you	ır admis	sions system serve the in	terests of children	who are
	looked after by oth	er local	authorities but educated i	n your area <b>at no</b> i	rmal
	points of admissi			•	
	•				
	□Not at all □Not	المس	Well ⊠Very well □Not a	annlicahle <sup>3</sup>	
	LINOCAL AII LINOC	wen 🗀	ven Bvery wen Brote	аррпсаыс	
i.,	How wall doos the	admiaa	ione avatam in valur local	authority area can	o tha
iv.			ions system in your local	_	
	interests of previou	isiy look	ked after children at <b>norm</b>	ai points of admi	SSION?
				3	
	□Not at all □Not	well $\square$	Well ⊠Very well □Not a	applicable	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 'Not applicable' will only be appropriate if there are no children falling within this definition.

v. Priority in admission arrangements for 2021 for adopted children previously in care abroad. Please comment on the use of a priority in admission arrangements for a child adopted who was previously in care abroad if you wish.

We do not have this element as definition of this criteria was unclear. A robust definition of this criteria by DFE will allow this to move forward.

- vi. If you wish to please give any examples of good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and previously looked after children at the **normal points of admission**:
  - With 162 out of county LAC placements the system can seem to be somewhat fragmented in order to manage and support all these young people due to the differences across multiple LA's.
  - There are inconsistent local authority systems for admissions leading to a long drawn-out process for Virtual School Heads to secure placements.

#### C. Special educational needs and disabilities

i. Please provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with special educational needs and/or disabilities at the normal points of admission:

### Section 2 - In-year<sup>4</sup> admissions

#### A. Co-ordination of in-year admissions

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> By in-year we mean admission at the start of any school year which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example at the beginning of Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school) and admission during the course of any school year after the end of the statutory waiting list period (ie 31 December) in normal years of admission.

Please provide any comments on the co-ordination of <b>in year admissions</b> if you wish.
Dorset Council would continue to advocate for greater in year co-ordination. This will improve a family's ability to secure a place quickly and remove the inherent delays when a family has to approach several Own Admission Authorities in order to secure a place or having to wait until these options are exhausted before the In Year Fair Access protocol can be activated to sector the school place.
In Year co-ordination will ensure that all applications are treated fairly and that the system will be seen to be fair and responsive to all families.
B. Looked after children and previously looked after children

i.	How well does the <b>in-year admissions</b> system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?
	□Not at all □Not well □Well ⊠Very well □Not applicable <sup>5</sup>
ii.	How well do the <b>in-year admission</b> systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?
	□Not at all ⊠Not well □Well □Very well □Not applicable <sup>6</sup>
iii.	How well does your <b>in-year admissions</b> system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?
	□Not at all □Not well □Very well □Not applicable <sup>6</sup>
iv.	How well does your <b>in-year admissions</b> system serve the interests of previously looked after children?
	□Not at all □Not well □Very well □Not applicable <sup>6</sup>
	If you wish please give examples of any good or poor practice or culties which support or exemplify your answers about <b>in-year admissions</b> ooked after and previously looked after children:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> 'Not applicable' will only be appropriate if there are no children falling within this definition. <sup>6</sup> 'Not applicable' will only be appropriate if there are no children falling within this definition.

See	B(vi) above
	LAC within the authority, the LA is robust in advocating for the placement of children and also support those in-care to other LA's (but resident in Dorset) to secure places.
C.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities
i.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who have an education health and care plan that names a school when they need to be <b>admitted in-year</b> ?
	□Not at all □Not well ⊠Well □Very well □ Not applicable <sup>6</sup>
ii.	How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who do not have an education health and care plan when they need to be <b>admitted in-year</b> ?
	□Not at all □Not well □Well □Very well □Don't know
iii.	Please give examples of good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about <b>in-year admissions</b> for children with special educational needs and/or disabilities:
EHCP When the sy	greatest challenge remains those children without EHCP's but are either in the process of an request or are receiving levels of support in school that are commensurate with an EHCP. In they wish to move school, often families are badly advised and can get very frustrated with system that endeavours to support choice but also is mindful of the difficulties in moving ren while significant levels of support are required and/or EHCP's processes are in the line.
maint	also includes those children returning from Elective Home Education, who at their previous tained school place, were receiving significant levels of support at a level that a new school d not have the ability to replicate a relative short notice.
	If you wish please provide any comments about <b>in-year admissions</b> in respect of other children:
Admi:	ring up to date admissions information from Own Authority Schools who do not use County ssions officers remains a significant challenge. This can generate the feeling of inconsistency ocess for families. It produces a system that is difficult for some families to navigate essfully.

i. Has your fair acce mainstream scho	ess protocol been agreed <sup>7</sup> with ols in your area?	the majority of state-funded			
⊠Yes for primary ⊠Yes for seconda	ry				
ii. If you have not be	een able to tick both boxes abo	ve, please explain why:			
iii. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 April 2019 and 31 March 2020?					
	Number of child	ren admitted			
Type of school	Primary aged children	Secondary aged children			
Community and voluntary controlled	20	29			
Foundation, voluntary aided and academies	32	63			
Total	52	92			
iv. How well do you consider hard to place children are served by the fair access protocol in your area?					
□Not at all □No	t well □Well ⊠Very w	vell □Not applicable <sup>8</sup>			
<ol> <li>Please make any rele wish.</li> </ol>	evant comment on the protocol	not covered above if you			
There remains a challenge over 'behaviour' category for referral as well complications around the lefinition of refusal and allowing a case to be considered by the IYFA Protocol.					
E. Any other comments on the admission of children in-year not previously aised if you wish.					

An existing protocol remains binding on all schools up until the point at which a new one is adopted.
 Yot applicable' would mean that there were no hard to place children for which the protocol was required.

Dorset is very proud in the way the Syrian resettlement programme has been	
embraced by schools from all sectors.	

## Section 3 - Other matters

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?	
Section 4 - Feedback	
We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2021.	

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Lisa Short at <a href="mailto:OSA.Team@schoolsadjudicator.gov.uk">OSA.Team@schoolsadjudicator.gov.uk</a> by 30 June 2020