

CS Options

Events: Core Strategy Options for Consideration – Chapter 13 High Quality and Distinctive Environments

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18238	13		General Comment	ETAG recommends that conservation and enhancement of landscape should be at all levels of scale from the small and local (e.g. hedgerows, greenways, boundary trees) to large and extensive (e.g. open downs and heaths, river valleys, native woodlands), and from small settlements to market towns. Historic, archaeological and cultural features (e.g. archaeological sites and monuments, historic parks and gardens, ancient woodland and wood pasture, ancient and veteran trees, ponds and watercourses) should be conserved and enhanced within their distinctive historic landscape settings and protected from development, alteration or inappropriate change of use. This is discussed in more detail in our consideration of the Key Issue papers.			1176
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO466	13.1	Support		All this is true however the natural green spaces(areas of greenbelt in and around our towns) are just as important to protect, as they too provide the "lungs" for wildlife and people			1177
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO467	13.2	Support					1178
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO468	13.3	Support		Our historic assets include our irreplaceable greenbelt. Every effort should be made to build on brownfield, previously developed land, before even considering taking away greenbelt designations for any development.			1179
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2400	13.4		General Comment	Historic Built Environment is a Key Issue			1180

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO469	13.5	Support					1181
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO470	13.7	Support					1183
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2401	13.7		General Comment	Heritage is important in a prosperous economy.			1183
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2402	13.8	Support		Christchurch has many important sites: Prioory and monastic site, Burgh (street plan), Castle, Place Mill, St Catherines Fort and Barrows, Anti-Tank Island, Mudeford Quay, Place Mill, Chewton.			1185
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	CSO2207	13.10	Object		The Paragraph makes no mention of development in close proximity to conservation areas, it only mentions within conservation areas. Often development within close proximity can have a detrimental effect on the setting of a conservation area, and also promote increased traffic which can result in highway improvements/road widening through the actual conservation area. These points should be included in the paragraph.	Reword the paragraph as follows "There are significant pressures exerted on the historic environment. These come from the demands to modernise historic buildings, change their uses, develop within and in close proximity to conservation areas, affecting the setting, and from the increased affects of traffic and highway improvements."		1187
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO471	13.12	Support					1189
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	CSO2210	13.12	Object		This paragraph should include the pressure of employment as well as housing. This should not just refer to urban areas, but is relevant to all conservation areas. Also it should refer not only	The first sentence of the Paragraph should be amended to read "Also, as a result of		1189

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							to impact of possible development within a conservation area but also in close proximity.	the increasing pressure to provide more housing and employment, the impact of possible development within, and in close proximity to conservation areas on their character is a crucial issue".		
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO472	13.13	Support					1190
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2403	13.13	Support					1190
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2404	13.15	Support					1192
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO473	Preferred Option HE 1	Support		I would also suggest that it is important that some of the local roads and lanes should be protected especially those which are part of a Conservation area. Road widening schemes may not be appropriate in such areas.			1193
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2563	Preferred Option HE 1	Support					1193
360246	Mr Gavin Fauvel	Cranborne Estate	CSO17392	Preferred Option HE 1	Object		Object. Criteria and consultation for listing such assets? Such designation might be construed as gold-plating current legislation on protection of heritage assets.			1193
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18240	Preferred Option HE 1		General Comment	ETAG is concerned that this option seeks only to protect the built environment and has omitted historic landscapes and townscapes which can be restored or enhanced through appropriate land use planning. The importance of the setting of historic buildings and features is recognised in			1193

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							PPS5 Practice Guide which seeks a holistic approach. This should be reflected in the wording of the Option and its supporting text.			
359875	Dr Lesley Haskins		CSO19349	Preferred Option HE 1	Support		HE1 This policy is strongly supported insofar as it goes. However the statement that demolition of a locally listed building will require planning consent seems inadequate on its own. An additional statement that consent to replace a locally listed building would not normally be forthcoming is surely also needed.			1193
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18030	Preferred Option HE 1	Support		With reference to 13.11. It is now possible to get foil coated plastic, in white as well as wood finish. This is far superior to the hard, flat and shiny plastic, it really does appear to be wood, painted or otherwise. If plastic is to be allowed in conservation areas and surrounding historic buildings, then it should resemble wood or be wood. Traditional appearing materials should always be used.			1193
524723	Mr John Worth	Chair Wimborne Civic Society	CSO18747	Preferred Option HE 1	Support		We strongly support.			1193
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18031	Option HE 2	Support					1196
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18032	Option HE 3	Support					1199
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO474	13.18	Support					1201
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO475	13.20	Support		The built environment is important but so is the natural environment as this Consultation recognises. Green spaces within the built environment are important but more important are			1203

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							our natural areas of greenbelt which should be protected.			
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO476	13.25	Support					1209
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO477	13.27	Support					1211
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO478	Preferred Option HE 4	Support					1215
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2564	Preferred Option HE 4	Support					1215
359461	Mrs Nicola Brunt	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	CSO17513	Preferred Option HE 4	Support		In urban designs we would wish to see recommendations on enhancing biodiversity through landscaping schemes and inclusion of biodiversity features.			1215
359482	Ms Helen Powell	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	CSO18794	Preferred Option HE 4	Object		These options need to expand beyond the "traditional" policy scope. "Traditional" policy options on urban design and open space should not sit apart from climate change and sustainable development options but instead should be integrated with them as part of a set of policy options. While it is reasonable for new development to be sympathetic with local character, it should also "design in" resilience and adaptation to climate change such as building position/form for utilising solar energy, and the use of greenscape (see comment on Strategic Objective 3) and the water environment. Open space location should be integral to designing resilience and adaptation into the urban environment.			1215
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East	CSO18241	Preferred Option HE 4		General Comment	While supporting the retention of Special Character Areas the proposals to review them so soon after they have been confirmed is			1215

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Dorset)					disturbing. It implies that previous assessment may be flawed. We recommend that all such reviews are the subject of public consultation. It is essential that the purpose of reclassification is clarified so that residents have a greater degree of certainty about the stability of their local built environment. As has been seen during the current consultation, the threat of substantial change causes anxiety and in some cases anger. People need to know where they stand. We suggest that the valued features of towns which give them their character and sense of place should be identified by local people and not by consultants.			
360792	Miss Carol Evans	Planning Consultant Evans Traves	CSO18549	Preferred Option HE 4	Object	General Comment	This policy does not consider a review of the special character areas within West Parley. The New Road Special Character Area (SPA) that covers such a significant area does have pockets that do not conform to the Special Character Area characteristics. These could be excluded from the SPA and reasonably come forward for development to reduce the need to develop green belt land within this area. For instance, Plots 1, 3 and 5 Glenmoor Road to the periphery of the New Road Special Character Area should be excluded.			1215
359875	Dr Lesley Haskins		CSO19350	Preferred Option HE 4	Support		This policy is strongly supported.			1215
360112	Mr Kenneth Brooks	St Leonards & St Ives Parish Plan Group	CSO19193	Preferred Option HE 4	Support		Preferred option HE4 proposes:- "that Special Character Areas should continue to form part of the design strategy in East Dorset. A review will be carried out regarding the appropriateness of the designations in the Plan period." We fully support this option. Indeed, we propose that the designation of Special Character Area is extended to include the central area of the Parish of St Leonards which is currently unprotected. Key Development Criteria for Special Character Areas, under policies BUCON6 and Supplementary Planning Guidance No 27,			1215

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>includes:-</p> <p>"1) New development must echo the rhythm and spacing of existing dwellings. It is important that the spatial quality that characterises the area should be maintained.</p> <p>2) There should be no sub-division of existing gardens if this results in new plots being smaller than the average size for the area."</p> <p>The map of St Leonards and St Ives displayed at the Core Strategy Exhibition in our Village Hall on the 25th November 2010 clearly shows a chasm between residential areas in the west protected by the totally inadequate 400m limit from Dorset Heathlands, and the Special Character Area of St Ives Park and Ashley Drive South. Yet the central areas of the Parish are just as 'important for their character and the contribution which they make to the local environment.' For example, if the Lions Lane area had been designated as a Special Character Area it is highly unlikely we would have had the inappropriate planning approvals for flats at the northern end of Lions Lane and the totally cramped and inappropriate 2 for 1 redevelopments at the southern end of Lions Lane.</p>			
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18033	Preferred Option HE 4	Support					1215
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO479	Preferred Option HE 5	Support		I support this as in the past a "hotch poch" of varying designs has produced an un coordinated result. A design guide should assist in delivering a more pleasing urban environment.			1217
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2565	Preferred Option HE 5	Support					1217
360246	Mr Gavin Fauvel	Cranborne Estate	CSO17393	Preferred Option HE 5	Support		Support. Design guides will prevent unsympathetic development and encourage good, not lazy, design.			1217

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
359461	Mrs Nicola Brunt	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	CSO17514	Preferred Option HE 5	Support		In urban designs we would wish to see recommendations on enhancing biodiversity through landscaping schemes and inclusion of biodiversity features.			1217
359482	Ms Helen Powell	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	CSO18800	Preferred Option HE 5	Object		These options need to expand beyond the "traditional" policy scope. "Traditional" policy options on urban design and open space should not sit apart from climate change and sustainable development options but instead should be integrated with them as part of a set of policy options. While it is reasonable for new development to be sympathetic with local character, it should also "design in" resilience and adaptation to climate change such as building position/form for utilising solar energy, and the use of greenscape (see comment on Strategic Objective 3) and the water environment. Open space location should be integral to designing resilience and adaptation into the urban environment.			1217
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18246	Preferred Option HE 5	Support		<p>We support this option. The Guide should embrace all policies on sustainability and conservation of the natural and historic built environment. It should include functional green infrastructure that mitigates climate change and enhances biodiversity.</p> <p>ETAG recommends that all land use proposals recognise that a healthy natural environment is essential to sustain human life and economic activity by providing (often hidden) 'ecosystem services' including clean air and water, food, climate regulation, flood risk management and crop pollination. Cumulative impacts of proposals will be identified and environmental limits respected. This should be achieved by policies to ensure that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The consumption of water, energy and all non-renewable resources are reduced. Mineral extraction is permitted only where it does not conflict with other land use and conservation aims. • Homes and critical infrastructure will not be 			1217

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>susceptible to flooding, floodplains are maintained and restored, the permeability of surfaces is increased and water run-off is attenuated e.g. by increasing the permeability of surfaces and green roofs, and maintaining boundary banks and ditches. The full implications of climate change must be taken in account.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing high grade arable land will be used for sustainable food production. Good practice will be promoted to encourage sustainable utilisation of soil, avoid soil erosion, prevent direct and avoid diffuse pollution. Innovative food production techniques, e.g. green roofs and walls ("micro-green infrastructure") and hydroponic systems, are encouraged in appropriate urban and industrial developments. Carbon emissions will be reduced; sequestration will be enhanced through protection of natural ecosystems, such as permanent grasslands and heathlands and their soils, and the re-establishment and management of permanent native woodlands. The damaging impact of all forms of pollution will be addressed and tighter controls introduced. Stringent measures will be adopted to prevent any pollution of any ecosystem. Education is introduced to change attitudes and effective sanctions will be put in place to eliminate environmental crime. 			
360792	Miss Carol Evans	Planning Consultant Evans Traves	CSO18522	Preferred Option HE 5	Object	General Comment	<p>This option is not required. Central government design guidance is sufficient to ensure that development is of good quality design. PPS1 and PPS3 set out the need to ensure that development is an enhancement to an area and reflects local distinctiveness. Supporting design documents such as By Design and the Urban Design Compendium are in place and have sufficient weight without being prescriptive to ensure that good design is at the heart of all development. In Christchurch, the Character Assessment defines local distinctiveness to help inform future design schemes.</p>	Omit Option.		1217

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							If design documents at the local level become too prescriptive then this stifles innovative design approaches.			
359875	Dr Lesley Haskins		CSO19351	Preferred Option HE 5	Support		HE5 This policy is strongly supported. It is much to be hoped that any guide will take the opportunity to encourage the use of appropriate native planting even within urban landscaping. The use of random alien shrubbery which now accompanies almost all new development is depressingly monotonous and characterless, largely useless for biodiversity, and polarises town and countryside. The importance of good structural design is generally accepted - but the importance of good green design is largely overlooked.			1217
360112	Mr Kenneth Brooks	St Leonards & St Ives Parish Plan Group	CSO19318	Preferred Option HE 5	Support		We support this Option, but an Urban Design Guide must be flexible enough to protect and enhance the character of the area as advised in National Planning Policy Statement 3 paragraphs 13 and 16.			1217
359277	Mr Jamie Sullivan	Tetlow King	CSO18013	Preferred Option HE 5	Object		Whilst we welcome efforts to deliver high quality urban design across East Dorset, we are concerned that Design Guides for urban areas which are not Conservation Areas or Special Character Areas will be overly prescriptive. Rather than undertaking the resource intensive programme of producing these guides, we consider that a carefully worded policy on urban design could cover this issue.			1217
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18034	Preferred Option HE 5	Support					1217
359482	Ms Helen Powell	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset	CSO18802	Preferred Option HE 6	Object		These options need to expand beyond the "traditional" policy scope. "Traditional" policy options on urban design and open space should not sit apart from climate change and sustainable development options but instead should be integrated with them as part of a set of policy			1219

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Team					options. While it is reasonable for new development to be sympathetic with local character, it should also "design in" resilience and adaptation to climate change such as building position/form for utilising solar energy, and the use of greenscape (see comment on Strategic Objective 3) and the water environment. Open space location should be integral to designing resilience and adaptation into the urban environment.			
359482	Ms Helen Powell	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	CSO18805	Non Preferred Option HE 7	Object		These options need to expand beyond the "traditional" policy scope. "Traditional" policy options on urban design and open space should not sit apart from climate change and sustainable development options but instead should be integrated with them as part of a set of policy options. While it is reasonable for new development to be sympathetic with local character, it should also "design in" resilience and adaptation to climate change such as building position/form for utilising solar energy, and the use of greenscape (see comment on Strategic Objective 3) and the water environment. Open space location should be integral to designing resilience and adaptation into the urban environment.			1221
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO480	13.31		General Comment	Parts of this Option conflict with 13.39 of this Consultation. How can accessible and functional open spaces support residents and their recreational requirements AND support and protect our valuable wildlife? In paragraph 13.39 the Consultation admits that conflicts can arise between nature conservation interests and sports and leisure activities.			1223
359461	Mrs Nicola Brunt	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	CSO17515	13.31	Support		13.31, 13.39 Contact with nature is important for health and wellbeing thus people need access to the natural environment. Conflict can be avoided though visitor management with a clear Green Infrastructure Strategy and provision of alternatives that provide natural surroundings. We			1223

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							feel this is not always clear in the Strategy.			
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO481	13.32	Support					1224
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO482	13.33	Support					1225
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2405	13.35		General Comment	Community space at Mudeford and Stanpit includes: Stanpit Recreation Ground, Stanpit Marsh, Mudeford Recreation Ground, Mudeford Haven and Quay, Avon Beach, Mudeford Wood.			1227
360137	Mr Michael Green		CSO19264	13.35		General Comment	Page 278, Para 13.35. Indication of Open Space Provision 2007 indicates; Allotments - Not enough. Amenity Space - Not enough. Playgrounds - Not enough. Teen Facility - Not enough. The proposed development in the Roeshot hill area, whilst providing extra dwellings must give due consideration to the apparent current shortage of facilities for leisure, sport and recreation elsewhere in the Borough. The infilling that has and still taking place, not always in the best taste, plus the Town Centre becoming more of a Tourist Centre (refer to local debate on number of cafes, restaurants, etc) does need to be balanced by sympathetic development elsewhere. After all Christchurch does have a population of some 45,000 persons that needs to be catered for in terms of housing, education, employment, shopping and leisure/recreation facilities appropriate to their needs as residents.			1227
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2406	13.39		General Comment	Add reference to pets	Add reference to pets.		1232
359461	Mrs Nicola	Conservation Officer	CSO17516	13.39	Support		13.31, 13.39 Contact with nature is important for health and wellbeing thus people need access to			1232

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Brunt	Dorset Wildlife Trust					the natural environment. Conflict can be avoided though visitor management with a clear Green Infrastructure Strategy and provision of alternatives that provide natural surroundings. We feel this is not always clear in the Strategy.			
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO483	13.40	Support		I support with reservations. An area which is subject to flooding cannot be used when it floods (usually in the winter) and this could be for some months of the year. We cannot, therefore, rely on these areas to provide leisure facilities on a regular basis unless very expensive infrastructure was put in place such as drainage and boardwalks. Flood risk areas would therefore be most unlikely to be suitable for sports activities.			1233
360137	Mr Michael Green		CSO19265	13.41		General Comment	Page 280 Para 13.41. The statement "The harbour and coast of Christchurch supports water sports and fishing. The rural areas provide significant opportunity for informal recreation" I believe to be a little vague and brief, giving the impression of having been given minimal consideration. The development of the Christchurch Waterways Management Plan recognises the pressure on this unique facility due to increased number of moorings, ongoing harbour area silting up and greater protection of sensitive areas. Being realistic there is not unlimited scope for expansion of water sports and related activities. So more open land space needs to be factored in with any proposed developments.			1234
523366	Mr Raymond Silverthorne		CSO18372	13.41	Support	General Comment	Point 13.41, Leisure and Recreation, refers to the need of enhancing 'spiritual' well being. (We) use our leisure time in meeting together daily, so suitable halls are very important to us. Point 13.41 mentions many facilities available for leisure, equestrian, sports and informal recreation whilst avoiding the need for buildings which facilitate communal Spiritual exercise and health. This clearly needs recognition and rectifying.			1234
474462	Mrs		CSO484	Preferred	Support		My main concern is that the protection of these			1238

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sheila Bourton			Option HE 8			proposed sites is not in perpetuity but would depend on future needs (presumably for housing) .			
484502	Mr John Turner		CSO613	Preferred Option HE 8	Object		<p>The intent to protect existing areas of open space is supported but this only appears to apply to areas identified in 'local plans'. Since this plan states it does not address the extensive rural areas within scope, it is difficult to see whether the intent is to protect Green Belt and rural green areas in general or just specific parcels that, by the nature of the scope of this plan, will be relatively urban. There should be a general statement of intent that covers the complete plan area.</p>	<p>"The recommended open space standards and Local Need Area boundaries provided by the 2007 Open Space, Sport and Recreation Study will be adopted for the Plan area (amended as necessary to take account of recent open space developments and new areas being considered for housing in the Core Strategy). Contributions will be directed towards meeting the quantity, quality and accessibility shortfalls for each of the Local Need Areas. The option will aim to deliver a combination of new facilities and improvements to existing ones, depending on the unique needs of the Local Needs Areas and the availability of land. This option will protect existing rural open spaces including Green Belt and also those open spaces and leisure facilities identified in the Local Plans. It will only</p>		1238

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
								permit the loss of the latter if their whole or partial redevelopment would result in greater benefits to the community than retaining that facility. Protected sites will be identified through the forthcoming Site Specific Allocations Development Plan Document. The proposed open space 'implementation and delivery plan' will identify opportunities to continue to safeguard the Green Belt and to maximise the use of existing sites and potentially re-designate sites for alternative leisure uses, in line with local needs."		
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2566	Preferred Option HE 8	Support					1238
359362	Mr Justin Milward	Regional Policy Officer Woodland Trust	CSO3189	Preferred Option HE 8	Object		Preferred Option HE 8 - Adoption of local open space standards on the basis of a Local Need Area approach. Existing open space sites will be protected and, where appropriate, new sites designated. We are pleased to see the use of open space standards, and would like to see consideration of the Woodland Trust Access Standard as a complimentary tool. In both urban and rural areas, the Woodland Trust believes that proximity and access to woodland is a key issue linking the environment with health and other social and economic issues	We would be pleased to see the Woodland Access Standard used as a delivery tool informing the development of Option HE 8.		1238

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order																				
							<p>that are addressed by green infrastructure provision. Recognising this, the Woodland Trust has researched and developed the Woodland Access Standard (WASt) for local authorities to aim for. We believe that the WASt can be an important policy tool complimenting other access standards used in delivering community services and facilities for improving peoples' quality of life. The WASt is complimentary to Natural England's ANGST+ and is endorsed by Natural England. The Woodland Trust Woodland Access Standard recommends:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - that no person should live more than 500m from at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 2ha in size - that there should also be at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 20ha within 4km (8km round-trip) of people's homes. <p>Applying this standard in East Dorset and Christchurch, with a comparison against Dorset County Council and the South West as a whole, gives the following figures (see table below). It shows that East Dorset exhibits above average access to all sizes of woodlands, whilst Christchurch – reflecting its more urban character – is below average by comparison. This presents an excellent opportunity for using existing accessible woodland in order to drive robust green infrastructure delivery for attractive neighbourhoods in the Coalition Government's 'Big Society'. The data used can be supplied free of charge by the Woodland Trust both in map and in numerical/GIS form.</p> <p>Accessibility to Woodland in East Dorset and Christchurch using the Woodland Trust Woodland Access Standard</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Dorset CC</th> <th>East Dorset DC</th> <th>Christchurch</th> <th>All SW</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Accessible woods % population with access to 2ha+ wood within 500m</td> <td>12.31%</td> <td>25.43%</td> <td>0.57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>12.84%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> <tr> <td>% population with access to 20ha+ wood within 4km</td> <td>49.47%</td> <td>98.96%</td> <td>45.95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>67.35%</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>'Space for People' is the first UK-wide assessment of any form of greenspace and, while</p>	Dorset CC	East Dorset DC	Christchurch	All SW	Accessible woods % population with access to 2ha+ wood within 500m	12.31%	25.43%	0.57%	12.84%				% population with access to 20ha+ wood within 4km	49.47%	98.96%	45.95%	67.35%						
Dorset CC	East Dorset DC	Christchurch	All SW																											
Accessible woods % population with access to 2ha+ wood within 500m	12.31%	25.43%	0.57%																											
12.84%																														
% population with access to 20ha+ wood within 4km	49.47%	98.96%	45.95%																											
67.35%																														

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the targets may seem challenging, they represent the result of detailed analysis. The full 'Space for People' report can be found at http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/about-us/publications/Pages/ours.aspx .			
359482	Ms Helen Powell	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	CSO18807	Preferred Option HE 8	Object		These options need to expand beyond the "traditional" policy scope. "Traditional" policy options on urban design and open space should not sit apart from climate change and sustainable development options but instead should be integrated with them as part of a set of policy options. While it is reasonable for new development to be sympathetic with local character, it should also "design in" resilience and adaptation to climate change such as building position/form for utilising solar energy, and the use of greenscape (see comment on Strategic Objective 3) and the water environment. Open space location should be integral to designing resilience and adaptation into the urban environment.			1238
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18247	Preferred Option HE 8		General Comment	has not clarified if accessibility to natural and semi-natural green spaces includes heathlands.			1238
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18035	Preferred Option HE 8	Support					1238
359288	Mr Steve Molnar	Terence O'Rourke	CSO18993	Preferred Option HE 8	Support		Banner Homes supports the proposals in HE8 for the provision of open space, and notes para 13.36 which identifies shortfalls in allotments, playgrounds and teen facilities in West Parley. The proposals for new development at West Parley will help to meet these shortfalls through contributions to appropriate facilities, and indeed there is scope within the proposals in Banner's sketch master plan, submitted with these representations, to make provision for open space not only to meet the demand created by			1238

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the new population but also to go towards meeting the shortfall of playground space in particular.			
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19154	Preferred Option HE 8	Support		The commitment to the setting of new open space standards based upon quality, quantity and accessibility is welcomed. This is carried forward into preferred option HE8 which is supported (adoption of local open space standards based upon a Local Need Area approach).			1238
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO485	Preferred Option HE 9	Support					1242
359571	Mr Renny Henderson	Conservation Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	CSO18766	Preferred Option HE 9	Support		We support option HE9 regarding open space provision and welcome the intention to link provision with wider green infrastructure delivery.			1242
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18248	Preferred Option HE 9		General Comment	We question the validity of using the ONS 2006 household projections for establishing average occupancy of new homes. If they are under-occupied to this extent the housing need figures (including dwelling sizes) should be re-examined. The principle of an evidence base for the contributions policy is supported. Accessibility of new open space, general green infrastructure and SANGs by sustainable transport modes will be critical to the value placed by people on these facilities and their effectiveness in place shaping and contributing to healthy life styles. Given the loss of open green space to commercial development, it appears logical to apply developer contributions. These contributions could be used to safeguard on site biodiversity interest.			1242
521315	Janet &		CSO18037	Preferred	Support		We understand there are changes to funding,			1242

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake			Option HE 9			limiting the scope of S106. Whatever the changes, funding should be used to prioritise: 1) affordable housing. 2) GI, particularly linking open space and acting as cycle paths. 3) last but not least, the provision of open space itself. We support the increase in demand per size of property, we also support the long term maintenance of these sites. We finally, and most important, support the integration of GI, Open Space and SANGS into a network of sites.			
359288	Mr Steve Molnar	Terence O'Rourke	CSO19025	Preferred Option HE 9	Support		Banner Homes supports the proposals in HE9 for developer contributions towards the provision of open space. There is scope within the proposals in Banner's sketch masterplan, submitted with these representations, to make provision for open space not only to meet the demand created by the new population but also to go towards meeting the local shortfall of playground space in particular.			1242
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19156	Preferred Option HE 9	Support		Preferred Option HE9, which proposes the use of developer contributions from new residential development to provide necessary open space, is also welcomed.			1242
490527	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	CSO991	Alternative Preferred Option HE 10	Support		Whilst the Parish Council supports the tariff-based approach it believes that in certain circumstances the aggregating of all tariffs may make development sites unviable. There should therefore be flexibility allowed for officers of the district council to reduce the overall cost if it is judged to be in the public interest that a site be brought forward. The Parish Council understands that viability tests called 'the three dragons' are used in some locations which may be appropriate.			1245
486422	Mr Vic		CSO2567	Alternative Preferred	Support					1245

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Redpath			Option HE 10						
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18249	Alternative Preferred Option HE 10		General Comment	<p>We question the validity of using the ONS 2006 household projections for establishing average occupancy of new homes. If they are under-occupied to this extent the housing need figures (including dwelling sizes) should be re-examined. The principle of an evidence base for the contributions policy is supported.</p> <p>Accessibility of new open space, general green infrastructure and SANGs by sustainable transport modes will be critical to the value placed by people on these facilities and their effectiveness in place shaping and contributing to healthy life styles.</p> <p>Given the loss of open green space to commercial development, it appears logical to apply developer contributions. These contributions could be used to safeguard on site biodiversity interest.</p>			1245
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19157	Alternative Preferred Option HE 10	Support	General Comment	<p>It is also supported that consideration is being given to contributions from commercial development (alternative preferred Option HE10). Subject to the avoidance of double-counting and evidence to demonstrate the relationships between commercial development and open space, it is considered that in principle it is legitimate to expect new development to address a range of infrastructure needs, including green infrastructure, upon which it is likely to place an additional pressure.</p> <p>In relation to options HE 10 and HE 11, it is considered that the Core Strategy needs to be updated to reflect the latest position from Government in relation to the Community Infrastructure Levy. Policies need to ensure that the role of CIL is identified as this will be a critical method of securing gap funding for a variety of infrastructure, including green infrastructure.</p>			1245
486422	Mr Vic		CSO2568	Preferred Option HE	Support		Support provided it means that East Dorset contributions are spent in East Dorset (NOT in			1247

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Redpath			11			Christchurch). Although this is a joint strategy, we cannot identify any areas where East Dorset and Christchurch are close enough for open space and recreational facilities in one area to benefit residents in the other.			
360246	Mr Gavin Fauvel	Cranborne Estate	CSO17394	Preferred Option HE 11	Object		Object. Spend contributions locally.			1247
359461	Mrs Nicola Brunt	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	CSO17517	Preferred Option HE 11	Support		We support this option.			1247
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18250	Preferred Option HE 11		General Comment	The arguments presented in HE12 indicate that HE11 should be the preferred option but local communities would need to be reassured that they are not being short-changed.			1247
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18038	Preferred Option HE 11	Support					1247
523531	Mr Tim Hoskinson	Savills	CSO18438	Preferred Option HE 11	Object		The level of contribution sought by the Council should reflect existing open space provision in the vicinity of the proposed development. Any contribution should be spent locally to address the needs arising from the development.	Delete Preferred Option HE11		1247
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19161	Preferred Option HE 11		General Comment	In relation to options HE10 and HE11, It is considered that the Core Strategy needs to be updated to reflect the latest position from Government in relation to the community infrastructure levy. Policies need ensure that the role of CIL is identified as this will be a critical method of securing gap funding for a variety of infrastructure, including green infrastructure.			1247
490527	Corfe Mullen Parish	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	CSO978	Non Preferred Option HE	Support					1249

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Council			12						
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18251	Non Preferred Option HE 12		General Comment	The arguments presented in HE12 indicate that HE11 should be the preferred option but local communities would need to be reassured that they are not being short-changed.			1249
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19164	Non Preferred Option HE 12		General Comment	In relation to options HE10 and HE11, It is considered that the Core Strategy needs to be updated to reflect the latest position from Government in relation to the community infrastructure levy. Policies need ensure that the role of CIL is identified as this will be a critical method of securing gap funding for a variety of infrastructure, including green infrastructure.			1249
490527	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	Corfe Mullen Parish Council	CSO992	Preferred Option HE 13	Support		Whilst the Parish Council supports the tariff-based approach it believes that in certain circumstances the aggregating of all tariffs may make development sites unviable. There should therefore be flexibility allowed for officers of the district council to reduce the overall cost if it is judged to be in the public interest that a site be brought forward. The Parish Council understands that viability tests called 'the three dragons' are used in some locations which may be appropriate.			1251
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2570	Preferred Option HE 13	Support					1251
359362	Mr Justin Milward	Regional Policy Officer Woodland Trust	CSO3190	Preferred Option HE 13	Object		Preferred Option HE 13 - Creation of a Green Infrastructure network using a shared open space/recreation and heathlands mitigation contributions policy. We are pleased to see that this Green Infrastructure Option and it is important that woodland creation is included as a GI delivery tool. Deforestation of wooded heathland sites in particular offers the opportunity to promote compensatory woodland creation elsewhere in East Dorset and Christchurch. We would also draw your attention to the emerging South Dorset	We would like to see the need for, and the benefits from, native woodland creation reflected in Option HE 13, and incorporated into a formal Trees, Woods and Forests Supplementary Planning Document (SPD).		1251

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Green Infrastructure Strategy (contact: T.Harris@dorsetcc.gov.uk), which should be a driver for this Option.</p> <p>Trees and forests are crucial to life on our planet. They stabilise the soil, generate oxygen, store carbon, play host to a spectacular variety of wildlife, and provide us with raw materials and shelter. They offer us respite, inspire our imagination, creativity and culture, and refresh our souls. A world without trees and forests would be barren, impoverished and intolerable. Woods bring many benefits, and people appreciate them in all sorts of ways. But for everyone to enjoy them, visit them or indirectly gain from them, they need to be located near to where people live.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Woodland creation is a key delivery component of Government policy to improve peoples' quality of life. As mentioned above, Caroline Spelman, Environment Minister has set this out: "Now let me turn to our environment and, specifically, to our trees. <p>Because if ever organisms demonstrated their ability to multi-task, it's trees. They capture carbon and hold soils together, prevent flooding and help control our climate". (Speech at Angela Marmont Centre for Biodiversity, 20 May 2010).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Woodland Trust believes that woodland creation is especially important because of the unique ability of woodland to deliver across a wide range of benefits – see our publication Woodland Creation – why it matters (http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/about-us/publications/Pages/ours.aspx). These include for both landscape and biodiversity (helping habitats become more robust to adapt to climate change, buffering and extending fragmented ancient woodland), for quality of life and climate change (amenity & recreation, public health, flood amelioration, urban cooling) and for the local economy (timber and woodfuel markets). • The Government's UK Low Carbon Transition Plan (presented to Parliament July 2009) has significantly raised the target for new woodland 			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>creation, for both climate change mitigation and the other benefits that woodland delivers. It states – ‘Woodland creation is a very cost-effective way of fighting climate change over the long term, but it requires an upfront investment. The Government is already doing this: woodland creation represents 60% of the grant aid administered by the Forestry Commission. But to realise the potential for 2050, we need to see a big increase in woodland creation – and we need to plant sooner rather than later. The Government will support a new drive to encourage private funding for woodland creation. If we could create an additional 10,000 hectares of woodland per year for 15 years, those growing trees could remove up to 50 million tonnes of carbon dioxide between now and 2050’.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Plan goes on to set out the other benefits derived from woodland creation: ‘Well-targeted woodland creation can also bring other benefits, including a recreational resource, employment opportunities, flood alleviation, improvements in water quality, and helping to adapt our landscapes to climate change by linking habitats to support wildlife. The Government will ensure that woodland creation policies continue to respect the benefits and demands of landscape, biodiversity and food security. This will allow businesses and individuals to help the UK meet its carbon budgets, whilst delivering the other benefits that woodlands can bring’. The Forestry Commission are now leading a Woodland Carbon Task Force, a multi-disciplinary team set up to facilitate “...a step-change in woodland creation for all that new woodlands can contribute to society – not just for carbon” (FC Policy & Programmes Group Update Note 019, March 2010). • This message has been further bolstered by the Read Report (A National Assessment of Forestry and Climate Change, Forestry Commission, 2009) published by the Forestry Commission. In answer to a written Parliamentary question on the Report (from Joan Walley MP, 2/11/10), The 			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Minister of State for Agriculture & Food Jim Paice replied: 'The role of trees in helping society to adapt is also important, and we have indicated that we will launch a tree planting campaign later in the year, addressing the report's recommendations that tree planting should be targeted to where people live and congregate'.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • As referred to above, in a letter to all Local Authorities calling for support for the Government's National Tree Planting Campaign ('The Big Tree Plant'), the Environment Minister Caroline Spelman has extolled the many virtues of trees: 'Trees offer so many benefits to our citizens. They capture carbon and hold soils together, prevent flooding and help control our climate. They also add immeasurably to our quality of life by making areas more attractive and healthier places to live. In recent years the number of trees being planted annually across the country has declined, and could decrease further, unless action is taken to reverse this trend' (letter to all Local Authorities, 12th November 2010). • It is worth repeating that the Minister of State for Agriculture & Food Jim Paice stated in an appearance before the Lords EU Agriculture and Environment Committee on 24th November 2010 that: 'I want to see a significant extension of forestry and woodland planting in this country. I certainly see the Forestry Commission as taking a greater enabling role in that'. • An important publication from the Forestry Commission, The Case for Trees in development and the urban environment (Forestry Commission, July 2010), sets out 'The multiple value of trees for people and places – increasing greenspace and tree numbers is likely to remain one of the most effective tools for making urban areas more convivial', and lists (on p.10) the benefits as – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Climate change contributions - Environment advantages - Economic dividends - Social benefits. 			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The South West Forestry Framework Implementation Plan 2009-2012 (Forestry Commission, 2009, Action 2.3) highlights the need to “Encourage tree planting and woodland establishment”, and this is repeated in Action 3.6 -“Develop spatial framework for targeting tree planting and woodland creation”. • Support for woodland creation is becoming widely embodied in the Local Development Plans process across the country. Stockport Metropolitan Borough Council, in its LDF Core Strategy (submission version, June 2010), states that: ‘Trees and woodlands are vital in maintaining and improving the quality of life for the inhabitants of the Borough’ (para 3.347), adding that: ‘Proposals that will result in an increase in the woodland cover of the borough (including planting of trees as a bio-fuel crop) will be given positive consideration as long as it is not harmful to existing habitats or eco-systems’ (para 3.324). • The Sedgemoor District Council LDF Core Strategy (Proposed submission, September 2010) states in Policy D14 on the Natural Environment that: ‘Development will be supported where:... It makes positive provision for wildlife through appropriate urban and rural habitat creation/restoration, including tree and hedgerow planting, and subsequent management’. • South Cambridgeshire District Council have adopted a Trees & Development Sites Supplementary Planning Document (SPD, adopted January 2009) supporting the planting of trees within the built environment. The London Borough of Islington’s goes even further with its ‘A policy for trees in Islington – ensuring sustainable well cared for trees, for now and the future’ (London Borough of Islington, updated 2009) and sets out a comprehensive trees and woodland policy. Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council have prepared a Woodland Strategy (Solihull MBC, 2010) which states that: ‘The Council will encourage new woodland creation and the management of neglected or declining 			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>woodlands to maximise their potential'. The Trust can also help with delivery of woodland creation for green infrastructure –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Trust has a flexible woodland creation delivery tool called MOREwoods (http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/plant-your-own-wood/morewoods/Pages/freewoods.aspx) that can be tailored to the requirements of individual landowners – private, public or corporate. We can promote, advise, manage and deliver a woodland creation scheme for you, creating vital areas of new woodland for your community. We can also help with flagship educational or community tree planting events. • One example of a successful local authority woodland creation partnership is the Essex Works programme in 2008/09 with Essex County Council. Prompted by a vote from residents, Essex pledged to plant 250,000 trees to enhance ecology and improve quality of life. Working with the Woodland Trust and a range of partners including district and parish councils, 421,000 trees were planted – far exceeding the original target and helping attract additional funding – see http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/plant-your-own-wood/being-planted/pages/public-sector-businesses.aspx. • Another example of a successful local authority woodland creation partnership is an ongoing partnership scheme developed in South Hams District Council/Plymouth City Council - http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/news-media/releases/Pages/south-hams-planting.aspx. The project will see more than 30,000 native trees create 50 acres of new native woodland. 			
359461	Mrs Nicola Brunt	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	CSO17518	Preferred Option HE 13	Support		<p>Preferred Option HE 13 and Non Preferred Option HE 14 DWT support the collection of contributions towards Green Infrastructure. We support the Non Preferred Option HE14, preferring to see the Green Infrastructure contributions clearly set out as a separate item, avoiding the danger of competing pressures on an amalgamated fund.</p>			1251

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							As stated, this may allow provision of Green Infrastructure which is neither open space or heathland mitigation – as well as connecting routes, corridors can be important for biodiversity and there may be occasion when biodiversity or ecosystem functionality becomes the primary reason for infrastructure e.g. floodplain restoration.			
359571	Mr Renny Henderson	Conservation Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	CSO18767	Preferred Option HE 13	Object		We note option HE13 seeks to link developer contributions acquired through local open space policies and contributions received under the Dorset Heathlands IPF. We understand the rationale for this, but would advocate caution. We would need to be confident that the mitigation measures needed under the IPF would not be compromised by such linkages. Mitigation schemes funded under the IPF need to satisfy objectives under the IPF linked to reducing the risk of harm to European sites from residential development, and these may not be compatible with 'open space' provision. In the absence of appropriate safeguards, we object to this option.			1251
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18252	Preferred Option HE 13	Support		The general intent is broadly supported but we are concerned that it has been assumed that SANGs will be 100% effective in preventing growth damaging the heathlands. This is as yet unproven and the option pre-determines the outcome of current research. The developing Green Infrastructure policy will make a valuable contribution to delivery of informal recreation opportunities and should inform the best mechanism for a contributions policy. We welcome the statement on protection and enhancement of sites of biodiversity but recommend that, as with the more general open spaces, this should underline the importance of connectivity.			1251
519991	Ms Claire Aldridge	Planning Liaison Officer Environment Agency	CSO17607	Preferred Option HE 13		General Comment	Preferred Option HE13 and Non Preferred Option HE14, pages 286 – 287 It must be ensured that sufficient funding is set aside for green infrastructure, particularly			1251

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							including those not covered by open space policy or heathland mitigation. Well designed and managed Green Infrastructure associated with watercourses and wetlands should be promoted			
359875	Dr Lesley Haskins		CSO19352	Preferred Option HE 13	Object		Throughout the core strategy there is an implied acceptance that funding more open space will allow continued growth in south east Dorset without damaging the heathlands. This is a very worrying as at this stage there is no reason to make this assumption and every reason to question it. (see comment on Heathlands above). The final sentence that GI will be designed to protect and enhance sites of biodiversity value is strongly supported. It would be helpful to have reference to linkage included.			1251
521508	Ms Lisa Jackson	Jackson Planning Ltd	CSO17878	Preferred Option HE 13	Object		Policy HE13 similarly lacks spatial guidance. Green Infrastructure Strategy must be expressed spatially and shown on the key diagram. It should also take the opportunity to link to wider GI strategy within the New Forest, and Avon and Stour Valleys, and coastal strategy.			1251
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18039	Preferred Option HE 13	Support		We support the development of a strategic green infrastructure network. If the funding can be achieved through the Open Space policy, plus heathland mitigation and Local Authority support, this we will approve. We also consider that on site developer contributions are better spent on GI to link new communities directly with the old so they integrate more easily. This is preferable to building community halls etc in the new development, thus isolating it further from the main community.			1251
524660	Mr Paul Jones	Eastern Area Ranger Dorset Countryside Ranger Service	CSO18644	Preferred Option HE 13	Support	General Comment	Please find attached my proposed 'missing cycle link' for the Castleman Trailway. It has tremendous relevance to Core Strategy Option WMC 5 and should help meet the objective at both District and county level. I have spoken to Matt Reeks of East Dorset Countryside Management Service and it seems our proposal could dove-tail together and			1251

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							ultimately provide a circular walk with the river bank. I would hope this could be drawn into a S106 agreement or similar. Please note this is a proposal at this stage and no investigation into land purchase or development has been undertaken at this stage. It is certainly the most practical and cost effective route I have considered. Please do not hesitate in contacting me should further information be required.			
359288	Mr Steve Molnar	Terence O'Rourke	CSO19017	Preferred Option HE 13	Support		Banner Homes supports the proposals in HE13 for funding green infrastructure. Banner's sketch masterplan for land at West Parley, submitted with these representations, includes provision for a linear park, retention of existing trees, provision of SANG, and links to existing recreational routes (Stour Valley Way). It also provides potential to deliver part of a future Stour Valley Country Park.			1251
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19166	Preferred Option HE 13	Support		The commitment to a green infrastructure network in Policy HE13 is particularly welcome.			1251
359362	Mr Justin Milward	Regional Policy Officer Woodland Trust	CSO3191	Non Preferred Option HE 14	Support		Non Preferred Option HE 14 - Establish a separate contributions policy for Green Infrastructure. We support this Option as it is crucial that green infrastructure is funded up-front of commencement of key development, as otherwise the wider 'holistic' benefits of GI will be lost in the minutiae of the piece-meal construction process on the ground. An example of such up-front funding is a partnership scheme developed in South Hams District Council/Plymouth City Council - http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/news-media/releases/Pages/south-hams-planting.aspx .	We therefore support Option HE 14 on separate funding of Green Infrastructure and would like to see this re-classified as a Preferred Option		1253

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							The project will see more than 30,000 native trees create 50 acres of new native woodland, funded by a section 106 'Langage Landscape Fund' contribution. .			
359461	Mrs Nicola Brunt	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	CSO17519	Non Preferred Option HE 14	Support		Preferred Option HE 13 and Non Preferred Option HE 14 DWT support the collection of contributions towards Green Infrastructure. We support the Non Preferred Option HE14, preferring to see the Green Infrastructure contributions clearly set out as a separate item, avoiding the danger of competing pressures on an amalgamated fund. As stated, this may allow provision of Green Infrastructure which is neither open space or heathland mitigation – as well as connecting routes, corridors can be important for biodiversity and there may be occasion when biodiversity or ecosystem functionality becomes the primary reason for infrastructure e.g. floodplain restoration.			1253
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18253	Non Preferred Option HE 14	Support		The general intent is broadly supported but we are concerned that it has been assumed that SANGs will be 100% effective in preventing growth damaging the heathlands. This is as yet unproven and the option pre-determines the outcome of current research. The developing Green Infrastructure policy will make a valuable contribution to delivery of informal recreation opportunities and should inform the best mechanism for a contributions policy. We welcome the statement on protection and enhancement of sites of biodiversity but recommend that, as with the more general open spaces, this should underline the importance of connectivity.			1253
519991	Ms Claire Aldridge	Planning Liaison Officer Environment Agency	CSO17608	Non Preferred Option HE 14		General Comment	Preferred Option HE13 and Non Preferred Option HE14, pages 286 – 287 It must be ensured that sufficient funding is set aside for green infrastructure, particularly including those not covered by open space policy			1253

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							or heathland mitigation. Well designed and managed Green Infrastructure associated with watercourses and wetlands should be promoted			
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18040	Non Preferred Option HE 14	Support		This we do not support, so we agree it should be a non-preferred option. Total integration, a network of green ways spaces and SANGS complementing the transport infrastructure, that is what we would like to see. Funding and management/maintenance would be easier from a single or unified joint source.			1253
359288	Mr Steve Molnar	Terence O'Rourke	CSO18981	Non Preferred Option HE 14	Object		Banner Homes objects to the proposals in Non Preferred Option HE14 for funding green infrastructure by an additional contribution. Preferred Option HE13 provides a better basis for funding green infrastructure and avoids disputes about potential for double counting.	Non Preferred Option HE14 should not be taken forward.		1253
533728	Mr Mike Garrity	Chair of the South East Dorset Green Infrastructure Steering Group Dorset County Council	CSO19165	Non Preferred Option HE 14		General Comment	The issue is less about whether or not there is a need for a separate contributions policy for green infrastructure (Non-preferred Option HE14) and more about the commitment of the councils to working up a charging schedule which provides clarity for developers in terms of the infrastructure priorities for the area. It should be noted that green infrastructure can be delivered through a variety of means, including smart use of other funding (for instance road schemes which incorporate tree planting) and so will not necessarily be about a self-contained contribution. It is important to consider this in a comprehensive way to ensure that there is not double-counting of contributions, to maximise their effectiveness and allow for prioritisation to take place where resources are limited. Hence it is recommended that the Core Strategy contains a separate comprehensive policy regarding a commitment to the role of CIL and to set out the guiding principles which will be considered in drawing up a charging schedule.			1253
474462	Mrs Sheila		CSO486	13.46	Support					1255

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Bourton									
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	CSO18798	13.46		General Comment	<p>In the linkage to national matters there is no reference to the European Landscape Convention. This is a treaty, freely entered into, by the United Kingdom, and it contains a requirement to include landscape planning, management, and training in all land based policies. The existence of the AONB, and the associated statutory AONB Management Plan, is a clear link to the European Landscape Convention that the Core Strategy could, with benefit, build upon.</p> <p>In the references to national guidance considerable emphasis is given to PPS 7 but there seems to be a conflict that is not explored in that PPS 7 is providing a strong steer against local designations whereas the Core Strategy is specifically suggesting local designations without appearing to provide a specific argument in favour for taking a different line to that guidance. Furthermore, PPS 7 is very specific about the importance of the AONB and the need for proposals within an AONB to be rigorously assessed, which should be mentioned.</p>			1255
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	CSO18804	13.47		General Comment	<p>The AONB Management Plan (2009 – 2014) provides key guidance across areas that can be influenced by the planning processes but also by those that are outside of the normal range of spatial plans and processes. There was very extensive public consultation in the formulation of it and it has been adopted by East Dorset District Council. It should, therefore, be a key reference informing the Core Strategy and a significant policy plan K within it.</p>			1256
360653	Mr M A Hodges		CSO2407	13.49	Object		No reference to character of sea.			1259
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor	CSO18806	13.49		General Comment	<p>The supporting discussion to the option entitled 'Character of the rural area', paragraphs 13.49 – 13.52, makes reference to two national character</p>			1259

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB					areas rather than referring to the more suitable scaled and more detailed AONB wide and District Landscape Character Areas, and District wide Countryside Design Summary. Furthermore, this section does not include detail on the wider historic landscape or landscape wide archaeological character. It also should make reference to the AONB wide Historic Landscape Characterisation (HLC) and the relevant area based Historic Environment Action Plans (HEAP) descriptions (also note the Dorset wide HLC covers those areas of Dorset outside of the AONB).			
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	CSO18808	13.50		General Comment	The supporting discussion to the option entitled 'Character of the rural area', paragraphs 13.49 – 13.52, makes reference to two national character areas rather than referring to the more suitable scaled and more detailed AONB wide and District Landscape Character Areas, and District wide Countryside Design Summary. Furthermore, this section does not include detail on the wider historic landscape or landscape wide archaeological character. It also should make reference to the AONB wide Historic Landscape Characterisation (HLC) and the relevant area based Historic Environment Action Plans (HEAP) descriptions (also note the Dorset wide HLC covers those areas of Dorset outside of the AONB).			1260
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	CSO18810	13.51		General Comment	The AONB is very concerned that the national significance of the national designation of the AONB is not expressly set out in the document. Further more the equivalent status of the AONB landscapes and National Park landscapes does not appear to be recognised. It is noticed that the existence of the National Park some distance from the District's boundary is specifically discussed whereas little attention is given to the equally important landscape of the AONB which comprises some 45 % of the District. In national status the AONB is as important as wildlife designations such as SSSIs, and the AONB			1261

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>designation is confirmed at national level by the Secretary of State. The AONB is, therefore, very concerned that the AONB does not appear to be given the weight the national designation should command.</p> <p>The supporting discussion to the option entitled 'Character of the rural area', paragraphs 13.49 – 13.52, makes reference to two national character areas rather than referring to the more suitable scaled and more detailed AONB wide and District Landscape Character Areas, and District wide Countryside Design Summary.</p> <p>Furthermore, this section does not include detail on the wider historic landscape or landscape wide archaeological character. It also should make reference to the AONB wide Historic Landscape Characterisation (HLC) and the relevant area based Historic Environment Action Plans (HEAP) descriptions (also note the Dorset wide HLC covers those areas of Dorset outside of the AONB).</p>			
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO487	13.52	Support					1262
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	CSO18811	13.52		General Comment	<p>The supporting discussion to the option entitled 'Character of the rural area', paragraphs 13.49 – 13.52, makes reference to two national character areas rather than referring to the more suitable scaled and more detailed AONB wide and District Landscape Character Areas, and District wide Countryside Design Summary.</p> <p>Furthermore, this section does not include detail on the wider historic landscape or landscape wide archaeological character. It also should make reference to the AONB wide Historic Landscape Characterisation (HLC) and the relevant area based Historic Environment Action Plans (HEAP) descriptions (also note the Dorset wide HLC covers those areas of Dorset outside of the AONB).</p>			1262
474462	Mrs		CSO488	Preferred	Support					1265

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sheila Bourton			Option HE 15						
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2571	Preferred Option HE 15	Support					1265
360246	Mr Gavin Fauvel	Cranborne Estate	CSO17395	Preferred Option HE 15	Object		Object in part. Agree with characterisation work but object to further designation outside of existing National Parks or AONB legislation for landscape character assessment and designation as such.			1265
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18254	Preferred Option HE 15	Support		is generally supported. ETAG would wish to be part of the consultation process. Application of SNA should form part of this review.			1265
360744	Cllr. Mr P. G. Bennett	Stour Ward East Dorset District Council	CSO19384	Preferred Option HE 15		General Comment	If as appears to be the case the Cranborne Chase Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty designation stops at the northern bank of the Stour then it should be extended to cover the whole width of the river valley in Stour Ward without which the beauty of its natural aspect would be under constant threat and what is presently protected to the North at risk of being disfigured by events on the southern bank. These areas which lie between the Stour and the village and the A31 are a source of pleasure and recreation to the residents of Sturminster Marshall being much used by dog walkers, horse riders, runners and walkers and should be the subject not only of the extension above but also of designation in the Strategy as a protected local greenspace as envisaged by the Coalition Agreement and expected to be enabled by the Localism Bill. (Reflecting views expressed at a public meeting in Sturminster Marshall about the Core Strategy Consultation)			1265
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18041	Preferred Option HE 15	Support		We feel that much of East Dorset, especially around the main search areas, is under so much pressure as sites for development because of all the designated 'special sites' in Dorset. Yet there			1265

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>exist some remnants of rural Dorset in these places that also need protection from development pressures. Some are already conservation areas but others are not. Please protect more of the Green Belt between and around existing settlements. Some of it is still very rural and tranquil if you step back and view it objectively. A good example is the landscape to either side of Cranborne Road. Please view it from the top of Stoney Lane to see what is under threat. Another rural spot is on St Margaret's Hill. These are so valuable to the well being of people. Otherwise we do agree that an increase in any AONB or AGLV designations is welcome but protect the remaining green land from too much development pressure.</p> <p>In the future when development does take place, not such low density building as in the past as land is now too valuable a resource.</p>			
474462	Mrs Sheila Bourton		CSO489	Preferred Option HE 16	Support					1267
486422	Mr Vic Redpath		CSO2572	Preferred Option HE 16	Support					1267
360246	Mr Gavin Fauvel	Cranborne Estate	CSO17396	Preferred Option HE 16	Support		Support. Strongly.			1267
359482	Ms Helen Powell	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	CSO18809	Preferred Option HE 16	Object		This preferred option needs to be strengthened on protecting and improving the distinctive landscape characters of the AONB. The AONB landscape evaluation will be helpful in identifying current and predicted landscape changes that weaken the condition of patterns of landscape across the area and therefore where development policy can be helpful in protecting and improving the situation.			1267
360245	Mr Richard	Landscape and Planning	CSO18813	Preferred Option HE	Support	General Comment	The AONB welcomes the inclusion of preferred option HE 16 which introduces a specific			1267

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections - Reasons why you support or object	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Burden	Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB		16			landscape policy for EDDC and rejects a simple reliance on national policy (non preferred option HE 17). However, this option does not distinguish between nationally designated landscapes and the countryside.			
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	CSO18255	Preferred Option HE 16	Support					1267
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		CSO18042	Preferred Option HE 16	Support		Building should be in keeping and complement what exists. There are some appalling designs in East Dorset, some of them quite recent and totally out of character with the surrounding area.			1267
524723	Mr John Worth	Chair Wimborne Civic Society	CSO18748	Preferred Option HE 16	Support		We strongly support.			1267
359529	Mrs Gill Martin	Clerk to the Council Sixpenny Handley with Pentridge Parish Council	CSO17971	Non Preferred Option HE 17	Support		Section 13 addresses these problems in part (see the PC's comments on KS1 and ME1) but with the inevitable focus on the historic towns. It is essential that a common sense balance is maintained between on the one hand uncontrolled development designed - albeit with good intent - to meet the perceived modern needs and on the other the 'don't change at any cost' attitude of the heritage lobby. Non Preferred Option HE17 is a good decision. Village Design Statements are an essential component of the process to create a High Quality and Distinctive Environment.			1269
360245	Mr Richard Burden	Landscape and Planning Advisor Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	CSO18814	Non Preferred Option HE 17	Object		The AONB welcomes the inclusion of preferred option HE 16 which introduces a specific landscape policy for EDDC and rejects a simple reliance on national policy (non preferred option HE 17). However, this option does not distinguish between nationally designated landscapes and the countryside.			1269