

## CS Options

### Events: Core Strategy Options for Consideration – Chapter 10 Ferndown and West Parley Housing and Town Centre Options

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1563</a>	10.2	Object		If housing is needed the Greenbelt should be protected at all costs. Housing should be encouraged in small developments, if necessary replacing large individual houses and gardens when the opportunity arises. Also brown fill sites and the outskirts of East Dorset villages should be considered. The council should look to all areas of East Dorset to provide any necessary housing including village locations. If developments were kept substantially small then there would be no need to cover up green fields with large housing developments. It seems that the council are taking an easy way out by choosing several large fields to fill up with housing instead of exploring other options including village locations and brown fill sites.		Amalgamated the two comments submitted in respect of Para 10.2 by Mrs Mogg. L King 10.6.11	809
498555	Mrs Della Edwards		<a href="#">CSO3311</a>	10.2	Object					809
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1564</a>	10.4		General Comment	Could some land be made available for housing at the far end of the industrial estate			811
361354	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO1338</a>	10.7	Support		The Green Belt is to be supported but			815

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Elma LEGG						as a resident of Hampreston who has been told that she is not allowed to further extend her modest property (not even for a small conservatory) it is a double edged sword. Please include in your strategy a relaxation of regulations - a 'village window' I believe it is called - to allow modest development of existing property at Hampreston (including us unfortunate ones who live directly on Ham Lane.).			
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1565</a>	10.8		General Comment	It is very important to retain the area of Green Belt for future generations			816
519991	Ms Claire Aldridge	Planning Liaison Officer Environment Agency	<a href="#">CSO17577</a>	10.9		General Comment	Paragraphs 10.8 / 10.9 The Natural Environment, page 199 Fisheries Recreation and Biodiversity We recommend that paragraph 10.9 should include reference to the River Stour which flows to the south of the site and provision should be made for a buffer zone to protect the water environment and its corridor. Also provision for improvements to footpaths at West Parley, making more use of the river corridor, was highlighted in the Ferndown & West Parley Core Strategy Area Profile (P17), but we cannot see reference to this in the Core Strategy. We consider this should be included. Overall issues for consideration are: flood risk / surface water drainage; SUDS; foul drainage; water supply / water efficiency; sustainable construction (recommend at least Code for Sustainable Homes Level 3); waste management facilities; green			817

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							infrastructure/ biodiversity issues; pollution prevention. Further information on these topics can be seen in the general section of our letter.			
361050	Dr Alan MARSHALL		<a href="#">CSO66</a>	10.10	Object		The title says "and future requirements" but there is no mention at all of future requirements in subsequent paragraphs! Just a 'where are we now' picture.	either remove "and future requirements" or comment on it in what follows		818
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1566</a>	10.18	Object		The majority of the additional residential development proposed is by Parley Cross Roads this will mean additional traffic by car to and from the schools, increasing substantially the already over burdened roads.			826
361044	Mr John Nichols		<a href="#">CSO13</a>	10.19	Object		Neither have a good bus service, barely adequate would better describe the position			827
496473	Mr Brian Morgan		<a href="#">CSO1687</a>	10.23	Object		<p>1. Please see the attached copy of comments I have made re paragraph 4.23, all of which apply here.</p> <p>2. There is a seeming confusion, in your references to pressure to provide new housing to meet affordable housing needs, between need and demand; the latter cannot possibly justify invasion of the Green Belt.</p> <p>3. In any event your analysis will clearly have not taken into account the Coalition's recent policies to make all new affordable housing tenancies only available at a 20% discount of market rent; the future differential will therefore be much less.</p> <p>4. There are also now seemingly clear</p>	Deletion.		832

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>intentions to relax the security of the tenure rules, so as to enable public sector and housing association landlords to make best use of houses already built; this too will reduce need for new housing.</p> <p>5. All the above serve to dilute substantially, or even remove, any perceived need for more houses here.</p>			
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO2991</a>	10.23	Object		<p>Comment 4.23 also apply here. Your reference of pressure to meet affordable housing needs.</p> <p>1. Needs are different to demands and there are many tenancies and empty properties available to meet demands.</p> <p>2. Your analysis did not take into account the Coalition's recent policies to make all new affordable housing tenancies available at a 20% discount off market rent, and future differential is likely to be much less. There appears now a clear intention to relax the security of tenure rules to enable public sector and housing association landlords to make best use of houses already built.</p> <p>3. It has been Council policy to oppose infilling on obviously unsuitable sites. My own property met with these objections, but was approved at national level and I know of 4 similar refusals. There is white land (Ferndown) and infill sites to meet demand in the West Parley area. The above sites dilute substantially or even remove the perceived need for more houses here.</p> <p>4. Is it Council policy to ignore current availability of properties and sites and</p>			832

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>only go for the easy option and large cash generating schemes and proposed government bonuses for new houses? Eg West Parley FWP3, FWP4 and undoubtedly FWP5 in the future. Comment 4.23</p> <p>I disagree that there is a 'substantial affordable housing need and great pressure to provide new houses.'</p> <p>1. I have seen few if any advertisements for key or well qualified workers in this area (e.g. newspapers, recruitment agencies, etc.) My own two sons, well qualified have moved from Dorset to obtain work and there is no expectation of them returning now or in the distant future.</p> <p>2. Many large companies have withdrawn from this area, or are about to, with corresponding reduction in employment prospects, and there is little hope of this changing with the current austerity measure, or even in the future. /this is now a large retirement area, as such most employment is in the service industries, not key workers.</p> <p>3. If council members study local estate agents list it can be seen that there is a large amount of affordable housing available at present, some empty.</p> <p>4. Where is the analysis of our housing waiting list to show how many key workers need to be here, or a shortage of such workers</p>			
360112	Mr Kenneth BROOKS	St Leonards & St Ives Parish Plan	<a href="#">CSO19190</a>	10.23	Object		The introduction to all 4 Sections on urban extensions quotes one sentence from National Planning Policy			832

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Group					Statements PPS1 and PPS3. Both policy statements are comprehensive documents with the emphasis on quality rather than quantity, and the deliberate selection of just one sentence to suit the objective of delivering sufficient 'affordable homes' is totally unacceptable.			
361044	Mr John Nichols		<a href="#">CSO14</a>	10.28	Support		Yes I support it in an ideal world but the world in which we find ourselves this goal sadly is not achievable.			837
361261	Mrs Lugg		<a href="#">CSO61</a>	10.34	Support		Wages in Dorset are low and house prices high. Very few young families can afford to buy or rent privately. It is very important that we provide more affordable housing. However priority should be given to those with family connections or who work in Ferndown. Ferndown houses for Ferndown people. I would support a higher ratio of affordable housing per development than at present. The affordable housing should be provided on the new development and the requirement should not be met by a financial contribution which is invariably spent outside Ferndown.			843
361261	Mrs Lugg		<a href="#">CSO642</a>	10.35	Object		We need to have a policy which discourages developers from building retirement flats, sheltered housing and nursing homes rather than family houses and dwellings for younger single people. The financial pressure of supporting the needs of more and more elderly people retiring into the area is untenable. We have to consider the needs of the elderly people who	Delete this paragraph.		844

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							are here already and whose expectations of what their retirement years would be like is slowly being eroded by the overstretch of services. If we do not encourage younger people to live in the area the shops are not viable as it is the young who spend the most. A design statement for Ferndown should be adopted which concentrates on two and three bedroomed houses with gardens and small developments of flats for single people in the town centre.			
523366	Mr Raymond Silverthorne		<a href="#">CSO18359</a>	10.37	Support		Where community facilities are suggested in proposed developments, we would like to see positive references to include places of worship. This should also be added in sections 10.37 and 11.37 as part of a sustainable community strategy statement.			846
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1567</a>	10.38	Object		The amount of social housing proposed is far too high. 40% social housing will swap the proposed developments and may cause problems with social cohesion of the area. Any social housing should be limited to no more than 5% social and 10% shared ownership. The remainder should be a mix of small medium and larger houses privately owned.			847
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1568</a>	10.39	Object		The majority of people living at Parley Cross are elderly, not really suitable for youngsters			848
361044	Mr John		<a href="#">CSO15</a>	10.42	Support		There will always be people opposed to new housing developments but the			851

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Nichols						fact of the matter is people have to live somewhere and we need to be positive and not negative about this. I fully endorse the view it must have an infrastructure that can support the new together with the existing.			
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1569</a>	10.43	Object		We should at all costs try to preserve the Green Belt land in all areas. If we have to use it then a very small amount should be made available and not cover lovely green fields with houses. Peripheral edges should be preferred to filling the fields. It would also be preferable to build small developments in lots of different places thereby not flooding the area of West Parley with 400+ houses.			852
496473	Mr Brian Morgan		<a href="#">CSO1688</a>	10.47	Object		This refers to 'options for new housing....within the...South East Dorset Green Belt', and, as I have made clear in my objections to 4.23 and 10.23, there is simply no need for this. This lack of need is emphasised and recognised expressly in the Coalition agreement which undertook to maintain the Green Belt; further they revoked all regional strategies, and it now clearly says in your paragraph 4.31 that 'the largest proportion of respondents did not want Green Belt land to be released for housing'. Therefore, you already know what the local community wants, and you should act accordingly; you should also have regard primarily to PPG2, which expressly makes this kind of proposals 'inappropriate'. Equally inappropriate would be any suggestion	Deletion.		856



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							that the current Green Belt area, shown in the Local Plan for this area approved as recently as 2002, are in any way incorrect. As to any suggestion you might have exceptional needs that would be wholly comic – if it wasn't tragic- the same goes for 'exceptional circumstances.'			
497944	Mrs G Mary Salway		<a href="#">CSO2539</a>	10.47	Object		Object to new housing within the existing SE Dorset Green Belt. The present government agreed, before the election, to maintain the Green Belt. RSS panels have been dismantled and responsibility devolved on to local areas. All new surveys have clearly shown that West Parley residents do not want land (FWP 3, 4 and 5) to be built on. Green Belt in West Parley was confirmed in the 2002 ED Local Plan Green Belt resists the urban sprawl of Bournemouth and maintains woods and fields essential to promote health and combat pollution. The idea behind Green Belt is to hold the land IN TRUST for local people now and in the future. Because the RSS worked out / costed etc wholesale plans for the area, THERE IS NO NEED FOR THEIR PLANS TO GO AHEAD - PLEASE THINK TO THE FUTURE.			856
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO2999</a>	10.47	Object	General Comment	Refers to options for new housing within the South East Dorset Green Belt. As stated in my objections to paras 4.23 and 10.23 there is no need for this. The Coalition agreement undertook to maintain the green belt further. They revoked all regional			856

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							strategies and it states in your paragraph 4.31 that “the largest proportion of respondents did not want green belt land to be released for housing.” Therefore you already know the communities’ opinions. Previous legislation expressly makes these proposals / options “inappropriate” and any suggestion that the current green belt areas shown in the local plan for the West Parley area FWP3, FWP4 and future FWP5 and approved in 2002 are in any way incorrect is also inappropriate. Any suggestion that these are “exceptional needs and circumstances” can only be considered as comic, if not tragic with the total loss of land unrecoverable loss of yet more green belt.			
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18828</a>	10.53	Object		I do not consider that the Areas of Search have been properly considered. Paragraph 10.4, for example, in talking about the village of Longham dismisses it as comprising a couple of shops and a church, the grounds of Holmwood Park which is a private house and an established golf course. Longham is a substantial village with church, public house, the largest Garden Centre in the area, a retail store, post office, convenience store, shop selling bicycles, a very large Care Home and Car Sales. It also has a small Business Estate, east of Holmwood Park. There has been recent investment in drainage infrastructure in the village. There is still a small social club also operating within the village. The Area of Search	Paragraphs 10.53 – 10.67 delete and re-visit including extension west to Glissons. Add a further Option to develop the land between Ringwood and Glissons for mixed use development comprising residential, employment and community facilities.		862

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>should have included land to the west of the Ringwood Road, to Glissons as that land is surrounded by urban uses, retail on the opposite side of the road and residential uses to the west and north. There is no reference in any discussion related to the sub-areas of any flood risk from the river which would affect particularly the central sub-area and the eastern sub-area. Paragraph 10.68 does not extend the assessment of environmental and conservation designations to the west. In spite of the constraints shown in the western sub-area as defined, Proposed Option WP1 is sandwiched between an area which the Local Authority would wish to see remain open and a Site of Nature Conservation Interest. The Area of Search should extend west to include the land between Ringwood Road and Glissons. This area of land should be included as an option for a mixed use development comprising residential, some employment and some retail, together with open space provision. The Respondents have commissioned a Masterplan to demonstrate how this land could be developed in a manner which would enhance the visual amenity of Longham and provide community benefits. This would naturally include an element of affordable housing and the Respondents propose to provide open space, including some allotments, with a view to encouraging sustainable living. This is a far more satisfactory</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							proposal than any of the Options put forward in this document. This Masterplan is being forwarded under separate cover.			
496473	Mr Brian Morgan		<a href="#">CSO1692</a>	10.61	Object		This paragraph says 'certain parts of the western sub – area should be considered for development'. For all the reasons I have set out in my comments on 4.23, 10.23, 10.47 (and therein 4.31), I consider this to be wholly inappropriate development of an unnecessary type; infrastructure to is inadequate – see 10.63 and 10.64 comments. The boundary of the Green Belt here may not have changed in 30 years, but it was recently confirmed in the 2002 East Dorset Local Plan, and therefore no 'exceptional circumstances' (Paras 2.6 and 2.7 of PPG2) justifying either amendment of this boundary, or the grant of planning permission for new houses in the Green Belt. Any such intended decision on the part of the council in the future should be the subject of a full scale Secretary of State Inspector led inquiry, where the local populace can make its views clearly known; you have already have them in outline – see para. 4.31. There are in any event 'white land' areas elsewhere in this locality (Ferndown), where any necessary houses could be put, and Green Belt land should not be used until all 'white land' areas have been developed. Councils will soon get bonuses for new houses; was the motivation for this recommended option?	Deletion.		871

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO3061</a>	10.61	Object		<p>This paragraph says “Certain parts of the Western Sub-area should be considered for development”. In my comments on para 4.23, 10.23 and 10.47 (and therein 4.31). I consider this to be an inappropriate development of an unnecessary type, infrastructure too is inadequate – see 10.63 and 10.65 comments.</p> <p>The boundary of the green belt has not changed for 30 years, but it was confirmed in the 2002 ED Local Plan and there are no exceptional circumstances justifying amendment of this boundary, or the grant of planning permission for new houses in the green belt.</p> <p>Any such decision on the part of the Council in the future should be the subject of a full scale Secretary of State Inspector led public inquiry where the local populace can make its views clearly known. You already have them in outline – see para 4.31. Green Belt land should not be used until all possible sites have been developed, see comments para 10.23. If green belt sites are built on, notably West Parley proposed sites FWP3, FWP4 and undoubtedly future FWP 5 following, then West Parley forms part of a large conurbation, Bournemouth, and should then become part of it. There is then no need to duplicate council posts or a need for a Parish Council.</p>			871
474971	Mr Peter Durant		<a href="#">CSO1310</a>	10.63	Object		<p>The present situation of Dudsbury is largely unaffected by modern developments. If housing is permitted</p>			873

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							in the vicinity of the earthworks, it will adversely change the nature of the site. There is bound to be unacceptable disturbance of the mystery and tranquillity of the site.			
496473	Mr Brian Morgan		<a href="#">CSO1699</a>	10.63	Object		All the reasons I have given in 10.61 comments (copy attached) apply here to show why this option to is wholly inappropriate and unnecessary. In addition, paragraph 10.19 refers to 'high levels of congestion'; these are often both on New Road and Christchurch Road, and always so at rush hours. This option, and indeed all your other options, would simply make significantly worse an already bad traffic situation, with the additional hazard of new busy accesses onto these already congested roads. Paragraph 10.15 refers to a 'need for more sports facilities'; more people in houses here would only exacerbate this shortfall. In any event, where will the capital finance come from to finance such facilities, and even, how could you or anyone else afford to run them in these financially austere times? In short, this proposal is nonsense, and it is a conglomerate area (Ferndown) which has grown 8 times in 90 years – more than enough for anyone.	Deletion.		873
497944	Mrs G Mary Salway		<a href="#">CSO2540</a>	10.63	Object		Regarding roads / traffic in West Parley: - 1. Current road system already overloaded and increasingly under threat from airport activity / incinerator lorries (Chapelgate) and local gravel			873

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>extraction lorries with little likelihood of any relief in the near future. Area already polluted by traffic and proposed building in sites FWP3, 4 and 5 would increase the traffic problems and pollution.</p> <p>2. Parking at West Parley is already under great pressure.</p> <p>3. West Parley already suffers from being on the flight path for Brmth Airport. We already have problems - please don't increase them.</p> <p>NB. If FWP 3 is allowed to proceed the roads into the new built up area from New Road via Longfield Drive and from Christchurch Road would inevitably lead to a "rat run" causing further lowering of the local environmental standards.</p>			
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO3065</a>	10.63	Object		<p>Reasons I have given in 10.61 comments apply here. This option too is wholly inappropriate and unnecessary. Para 10.19 refers to high levels of congestion. This happens daily at New Road and Christchurch Road. Then as a resident of Longfield Drive the only way I can safely exit towards Bournemouth / Kinson is to go towards Longham, turn in a side road and drive back. Proposed development FWP3 should have the main exit / entry onto the Christchurch Road / Longham Road. This option can only make worse a bad traffic situation with additional hazards of new access onto these congested roads. Future proposed road modifications will not overcome this. There is no adequate public infrastructure in the proposed</p>			873

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>FWP 3/4 and 5 area and local residents now mainly go to the Kinson area for Doctors.</p> <p>Para 10.15 refers to sports facilities. Where will the finance come from and who can afford to run them? With a large increase in housing in the West Parley area this will only result in the destruction of Parley Common SSSI. This can be seen / occurred in the Broadstone / Canford Heath commons, fires etc. This proposal is a nonsense as, can be seen elsewhere, Ferndown has grown over 8 times in 90 years and has spread so that it now abuts West Parley. West Parley has also expanded swallowing up green belt with pressure on infrastructure – more than enough for anyone.</p> <p>Para 10.61 comments This paragraph says “Certain parts of the Western Sub-area should be considered for development”. In my comments on para 4.23, 10.23 and 10.47 (and therein 4.31). I consider this to be an inappropriate development of an unnecessary type, infrastructure too is inadequate – see 10.63 and 10.65 comments.</p> <p>The boundary of the green belt has not changed for 30 years, but it was confirmed in the 2002 ED Local Plan and there are no exceptional circumstances justifying amendment of this boundary, or the grant of planning permission for new houses in the green belt.</p> <p>Any such decision on the part of the Council in the future should be the subject of a full scale Secretary of</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							State Inspector led public inquiry where the local populace can make its views clearly known. You already have them in outline – see para 4.31. Green Belt land should not be used until all possible sites have been developed, see comments para 10.23. If green belt sites are built on, notably West Parley proposed sites FWP3, FWP4 and undoubtedly future FWP 5 following, then West Parley forms part of a large conurbation, Bournemouth, and should then become part of it. There is then no need to duplicate council posts or a need for a Parish Council.			
474971	Mr Peter Durant		<a href="#">CSO1311</a>	10.65	Object		<p>1. The noise from aircraft taking off is particularly bad in this designated area.</p> <p>2. If it is anticipated that a green area will take off pressure from local heathland, then it is a misplaced optimism. Young boys have a propensity for the wild rather than the tame. Mountain bicycles will provide much more of a thrill traversing heathland than managed green space.</p> <p>3. The section 10.21 makes it abundantly clear that less than 1/3 of commuters find work within 2 km of their home. This suggests that the implication in this report that workers will avail themselves of the opportunity of living and working in the same locale and thus reduce commuting traffic pressure is fanciful in the extreme. Building more houses in an area already suffering extremes of fumes and frustration from traffic load</p>	Accept that commuters will largely find work some distance from their home, and plan accordingly. This would suggest that there should be much more focus on finding residential and commercial land development in areas where existing infrastructure is already present.		875

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							will create even more problems. It is unlikely that 'improvements' to the road network will achieve any lasting solution.			
496473	Mr Brian Morgan		<a href="#">CSO1700</a>	10.65	Object		All the reasons I have given or referred to in my 10.63 comments (copy attached) apply here. Also I understand it, the council eventually voted to oppose the regional spatial strategy which originally gave rise to these sites (10.65, 10.63 and 10.61). So what is it that has now brought about a change in views? Could it be anything to do with the attached copy article from the Telegraph.co.uk, indicating that Councils will get considerable extra Government funding for each new house built in their area during the next 6 years?	Deletion.		875
474971	Mr Peter Durant		<a href="#">CSO1951</a>	10.70	Object		I would suggest that there is less to commend the golf course as an open space than say areas to the East of Dudsbury. It is not accessible to the general public, there are three alternative courses in the general area and there is little wild life value to it. I am not suggesting that it should be developed, but there are grounds to consider it in preference to other areas which it appears planners prefer.	Delete the designation of the course as a protected area.		881
474971	Mr Peter Durant		<a href="#">CSO1956</a>	10.71	Object		The aircraft noise footprint extends much closer to the West Parley parade of shops than is indicated by the map. This can be readily ascertained by taking up a position near the parade and monitoring the sound levels as aircraft take off.	Extend the area excluded from development consideration on grounds of aircraft noise. This would have the bonus of		882

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
								providing a more effective barrier between West Parley and Bournemouth.		
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO2165</a>	10.74	Object		The Parish Council expressed concern about the ambiguity of the last bullet point in this paragraph. This states "Possible Combined Heat and Power Plant". The Parish Council would oppose a large scale commercial plant on green belt land in Hurn. If such a Plant is required or deemed a necessity, then it should be solely for any specific proposed new development, and be constructed on that development site.	If the Plant is definitely proposed then the word 'possible' should be removed from the bullet point to make it less ambiguous, and it should be made clear that a Plant will be 'included in' any development. If there is uncertainty as to the need for this Plant, then the bullet point should be removed.		886
360190	Mr John CULLEN	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO18866</a>	10.74	Object		Para 10.74 also suggests a combined heat and power plan on the site. We assume that the suggestion for a power plant here is an error and refers to the Dorset Waste Plan proposal (since dropped) for a waste plant at the Airport. The Masterplan also proposes that such plants put for each area of search for new houses despite none of these locations being included in the Waste Plan.			886
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18836</a>	10.75	Object		I The provision of suitable alternative natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far	Delete paragraph 10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound		887

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas?</p> <p>40% of homes to be affordable may be too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council is currently having to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.</p>	evidence base.		
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18837</a>	10.76	Object		<p>I</p> <p>The provision of suitable alternative natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these</p>	Delete paragraph 10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound evidence base.		888

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas? 40% of homes to be affordable may be too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council is currently having to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.			
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18838</a>	10.77	Object		The provision of suitable alternative natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas?	Delete paragraph 10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound evidence base.		889

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							40% of homes to be affordable may be too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council currently has to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.			
361261	Mrs Lugg		<a href="#">CSO644</a>	10.78	Support		Strongly support			890
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18839</a>	10.78	Object		<p>The provision of suitable alternative natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas?</p> <p>40% of homes to be affordable may be</p>	Delete paragraph 10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound evidence base.		890

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council is currently having to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.			
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1422</a>	10.79	Object		40% social housing is far too much. The population of West Parley where the housing is proposed is mainly elderly; the problems with large amounts of social housing are well known and could cause problems in the area. 5% social housing should be the maximum with 10% shared equity.	If new neighbourhoods are provided it will be expected that at least 15% of the new homes will be affordable, i.e social rented or shared equity.		891
474971	Mr Peter Durant		<a href="#">CSO1961</a>	10.79	Object		'Improvements' to the road system will only serve to attract yet further traffic crossing East to West and vice versa, and North South and vice versa. I was informed by the Highways Authority that the last time that the intersection was 'improved', the planned capacity was reached within six months. If such an 'improvement' is undertaken, then the life of local residents will become	Explore ways of persuading commuters of the benefits of alternatives to cars. There has already been an acknowledgment, that building as a means of alleviating traffic		891

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							still more unbearable, without any mitigating benefits.	congestion is unsustainable in the long term.		
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18840</a>	10.79	Object		<p>The provision of suitable alternative natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas?</p> <p>40% of homes to be affordable may be too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council is currently having to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.</p>	Delete paragraph 10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound evidence base.		891
519114	Mr	Sibbett	<a href="#">CSO18841</a>	10.80	Object		The provision of suitable alternative	Delete paragraph		892



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Malcolm Brown	Gregory					<p>natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas?</p> <p>40% of homes to be affordable may be too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council are currently having to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.</p>	10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound evidence base.		
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1423</a>	10.81	Object		The green belt boundary should remain intact. It was set up to ensure green space between urban developments. If green belt land is revised there will eventually be no green spaces for future generations.			893

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
519114	Mr Malcolm Brown	Sibbett Gregory	<a href="#">CSO18842</a>	10.81	Object		<p>The provision of suitable alternative natural green space in addition to open space provision for recreation is duplication. The amount of land shown as suitable for natural green spaces far exceeds that which is necessary to sustain the development proposals. There has to be a significant concern with regard to the delivery of these spaces which are generally, for the most part, in private ownership and therefore, have a market value. There is then the question of who owns and manages these areas?</p> <p>40% of homes to be affordable may be too high for these developments to maintain viability. In my experience, the Local Authorities of South East Dorset have given far too much credence to the views of the Three Dragons Consultancy on the viability of affordable housing. Bournemouth Borough Council are currently having to revise their policy because they have simply caused applications for residential development to dry up. At Poole it is clear that nearly every application is accompanied by an Economic Viability Study which is showing that they cannot provide the level of affordable housing which is required. Councillors at Poole Borough Council are questioning the effectiveness of the policy.</p>	Delete paragraph 10.76 – 10.78 and review the viability of these proposals. They are not sound and do not have a sound evidence base.		893
359928	Mrs M.A. TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO779</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>I have lived in West Parley since I was 11. Traffic is horrendous. Schools are all full, likewise doctors and dentists. Most of the sites listed are flooded in winter or waterlogged. Who will build</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							new schools?			
361055	Mr David OAKLEY		<a href="#">CSO1009</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
361099	Mrs Lynette PAYNE		<a href="#">CSO1254</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		A good number of these options, especially in Wimborne and Parley, are on the edges of the urban areas. Building in these locations changes the whole ethos of the area. When you leave Wimborne you see fields, trees and rivers dotted with thatched cottages. Even along Leigh Road, there is a definite rural feel, with true separation between Wimborne and Colehill. Developing along here or Cranborne Road will dramatically change the area, for the worst. This in turn reduces people's quality of life, as we see our area creep closer to urbanisation, field by field. Why can't some of the brownfield sites be used instead?			895
475534	Mr Anthony Elliott		<a href="#">CSO1220</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley is green belt. If we lose any more we become just part of Ferndown. We do not need affordable housing here. Christchurch Rd cannot take more traffic.			895
487902	Mr and Mrs B L Thompson		<a href="#">CSO649</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Areas 1 and 2 will have a great effect at Longham roundabout, making traffic very busy at an already difficult crossing.			895
489250	Mavis Quincey		<a href="#">CSO683</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Objection to extra houses is due to increased traffic use of all local roads. Ellesfield Road into Dudsbury Road to Horns becoming very busy not helped			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							by parked cars etc. This area needs a bus link to Parley Cross, we have one per week.			
489388	TJ Robins		<a href="#">CSO691</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
489397	Mrs Kim Church		<a href="#">CSO697</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley and Ferndown roads cannot cope with the current volume of traffic. Unless major road improvements were to be made prior to any development the roads would be saturated. Other local infrastructure would be significantly impacted including local doctors, schools and the environment. Why is green belt being considered for housing developments?			895
489411	JMT Morris		<a href="#">CSO703</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489426	P Jackson		<a href="#">CSO710</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The current road system does not support the proposed development. This green belt housing proposals would radically alter the West Parley green belt.			895
489519	R Huggett		<a href="#">CSO716</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489527	Mrs and Mr PY and A Housden and Pearce		<a href="#">CSO719</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489534	Mr David McGuinness		<a href="#">CSO726</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I've worked hard all my life to live in West Parley the way it is thank you very much. It's all about lining somebody else's pockets. We don't want a concrete jungle or an IMAX. I			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							want to see deer and wildlife not more houses and traffic. Start building on industrial sites. I live in Church Lane and there's nothing I like better than looking out over the field at the back of my garden. I've worked very hard all my life for these views and the lovely deer that walk through all this will be lost. Just to fill someone's pockets with backhanders. Just like the IMAX, they won't be happy until everywhere is concrete. In another 20 years the kids of today will have concrete everywhere.			
489571	Mrs KP Sutton		<a href="#">CSO735</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Whilst I strongly support providing housing for the younger generation at an affordable price, I do wonder where they are going to work in this area. Given that the majority will find work in Bournemouth/Christchurch/Poole, we need to work with those boroughs in getting traffic moving more efficiently. The New Road bridge is totally inadequate. It needs to be at least double the width-that would involve demolishing houses in the southern end of New Road to accommodate the new traffic flow. There is a similar (worse) problem at the bridge on the Ringwood road at Longham.			895
489582	Mr John Swift		<a href="#">CSO738</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and West Parley already suffer from overcrowding and traffic congestion. As proved in the past very few, if any, of the new housing would be 'affordable'. It is time to tackle the problem of overcrowding of areas and not to just keep building more and more.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489589	Linda Sherring		<a href="#">CSO744</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489602	Mr Nigel Stride		<a href="#">CSO752</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Green belt should be protected as per Conservative manifesto promise			895
489713	Mr Robert Davison		<a href="#">CSO761</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489720	Mrs Joyce Bellisario		<a href="#">CSO767</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489729	Mr Malcolm Baker		<a href="#">CSO773</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Need to restrict "flat" developments. The need is for "houses" and affordable ones at that.			895
489743	Mr D Russell		<a href="#">CSO785</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am against any increase in housing density in the proposed areas, until the traffic congestion and safety on the approaches to the "Longham Roundabouts" and the traffic lights at "Parley Cross Roads" has been resolved. With the airport expanding and anticipated to do so in future by a factor of three, the highways infrastructure is not acceptable as it currently exists. Sort out the roads now before creating further problems, it will be cheaper and more successful than trying, and likely failing, to bolt the stable door later.			895
489747	Mrs M Hartley		<a href="#">CSO791</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
489748	Andrew ALLEN		<a href="#">CSO790</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489750	Mrs and Mr R Bundy		<a href="#">CSO798</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489757	Alan Mitchell		<a href="#">CSO804</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489764	Mr and Mrs W M DEAGLE		<a href="#">CSO811</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		While the Council are in no doubt interested in the increased Council tax revenue that additional housing will bring, there does not appear to be any regard for the GRIDLOCK that ALREADY exists on this neighbourhood's roads network. It CANNOT support additional housing.			895
489767	Mr J D BOUTLE		<a href="#">CSO824</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489768	Mr and Mrs R HALL		<a href="#">CSO830</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489771	Tony STARK		<a href="#">CSO837</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
489898	Mrs Elizabeth Daw		<a href="#">CSO847</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Before any housing development is contemplated major road improvements would be necessary to cope with increased traffic problems in Ferndown and West Parley - are bad enough as it is now. Shops- Major rethink about shops in West Parley is needed. Food shops that people can go into as it used to be 25/30 years ago-butcher, fruiterer, baker etc and less tiles, bathrooms, kitchens, beauty treatment as it is now. Glenmoor Road- A dangerous area at			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the shops/carpark. Cars should not be allowed to park on both sides of the road. A clear view leaving the car park is almost impossible. Particularly bad at school times especially in the afternoon when children are collected. This area is congested and positively dangerous at this time. It is surprising that there has not been an accident.			
489924	Mr and Mrs CD Culpin		<a href="#">CSO859</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Are the government going to provide new medical facilities in this area as health centres are stretched to capacity in this area? Also it takes already far too long to get anywhere on the roads surrounding the proposed areas of development. Always too much traffic.			895
489996	Miss W Hammett		<a href="#">CSO860</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Most of the proposed sites are used for horse grazing. Where are the animals going to go? This area has no provision for children to play so family housing would not be appropriate. Very poor or no bus service to these sites at present.			895
490006	Mr Gary Tharme		<a href="#">CSO866</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I support introduction of homes suitable for younger families. Perhaps at the same time, restrictions on conversion of large or multiple flats into retirement or care homes would also help? Extra economic infrastructure is needed.			895
490020	Mr R.I.G. MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO872</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
490051	Chalmers		<a href="#">CSO877</a>	Option		No				895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 1		Opinion				
490084	Mrs J Stone		<a href="#">CSO883</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		If the large number of houses represents family homes, the area will need additional medical facilities and probably school places. I would not wish to see a large density of affordable housing on the Parley sites with the attendant problems as seen at Heatherlands.			895
490090	MTB Mills		<a href="#">CSO889</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	I moved to West Parley 14 years ago. This area is very nice; the traffic has got steadily worse. We must maintain the same medical help as now. I also love the green areas just to walk and look at. 800 more houses will increase the traffic by approximately 800 vehicles moving in the peak period and also during the day. Parley Cross traffic lights will not cope.			895
490093	Mr John Goodman		<a href="#">CSO895</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
490099	Mr B Patching		<a href="#">CSO905</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I believe these areas should not be considered. The road structure, amenities, sewer systems and other infrastructure is far from adequate. Roads are already becoming clogged with traffic mainly due to the airport and surrounding work sites. Traffic in Christchurch has increased by at least 60% in recent years.			895
490400	Mrs G D Field		<a href="#">CSO945</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
490507	Ruscombe Smyth-Pigott		<a href="#">CSO951</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
490551	J Kidd		<a href="#">CSO998</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Contour lines on the chart overleaf would have been useful. Blue shading south of Dudsbury Fort not understood.			895
490561	Ian King		<a href="#">CSO1017</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
490823	Ferndown Town Council Paul Falconbridge	Deputy Town Clerk Ferndown Town Council	<a href="#">CSO1900</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The Town Council were consulted on where they thought additional housing could be provided in the Ferndown boundary in January 2008. At that time they discussed recommending some open space areas and green belt where an urban extension might be appropriate but the areas were ruled out by the methodology of the enquiry. It was felt that it would inappropriate to recommend these areas unless the land was specifically identified for the building of low cost two and three bedroom family homes. The Town Council are aware that the only possible areas for the development and expansion of rural areas could only come by reclassifying the Green Belt.</p> <p>The site at Holmwood House does have some disadvantages though, in terms of access to the site which would make it not as attractive as the land described in Option FWP2. However the Town Council does have major concerns about the lack of local infrastructure and its ability to cope with the additional residents. The doctors, dentists and shopping areas</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							are totally inadequate to provide for new residents to the Ferndown area.			
490829	Mr D CLEARY		<a href="#">CSO1048</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The road network around West Parley is already one of the most congested in the Greater Bournemouth area; the possibility of another 500 - 1000 cars per day will make matters worse. Schemes have been tried to ease the chaos and have failed.			895
490836	Mr M P Graves		<a href="#">CSO1053</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Ideal locations for the required housing.			895
490866	Mr and Mrs D WRIGHT		<a href="#">CSO1071</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Before any further development should even be considered it will be essential to extend infrastructure by way of schools, medical facilities etc. It is irresponsible for the Council to state 'We are aware of problem'. Also Green Belt was a promise to the public - Local politicians will only earn even greater contempt if they break this promise.			895
490982	KG James		<a href="#">CSO1076</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
491000	Mr and Mrs DW and FA Mallett		<a href="#">CSO1082</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
491016	Mrs D Abel		<a href="#">CSO1088</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
491020	Mr Simon Jordan		<a href="#">CSO1094</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491034	Mr Kenneth Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO1100</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The green belt must remain as is. The road system, schools, medical services are totally inadequate for any more housing. The green belt must not be built on. West Parley is not suitable for social housing. Build on the brown belt if necessary			895
491080	J C Hanney		<a href="#">CSO1108</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Increase traffic will cause even more problems in the area particularly at Parley traffic lights.			895
491125	Miss I Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1114</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
491150	Mr C D Allen		<a href="#">CSO1128</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
491163	Mr Butterfield		<a href="#">CSO1134</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
491171	Mr and Mrs Plewka		<a href="#">CSO1141</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley's environment will be destroyed. More housing will have an adverse effect on existing road systems, medical and school provision.			895
491173	G Burningham		<a href="#">CSO1147</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
491174	Mrs O Mead		<a href="#">CSO1153</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley already is overcrowded!			895
491232	Mr Keith Barnett		<a href="#">CSO1154</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		It is time to stop mass building projects as it is not the answer to the problems the nation and local communities are experiencing. The easy short term solution is to build, build, build but it			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>only creates long term problems to the population that have to live with it. If the government and councils listened to the people they would have already concluded that this project had already met with resistance with the Conservative party saying they would quash it. Trying to resurrect this project will not change people's minds even though it is now called the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The area cannot sustain this quantity of new homes which would also require jobs for the vast majority. This proposal of 2,570 homes would also add another 5,000 cars to an already congested junction at West Parley. This area is already over stretched private and industrial vehicles. Jobs are already at a premium with companies moving out of the area or even closing. Warehouses are lying empty and shops are being converted into living accommodation. The young local people are not able to find homes due to the vast majority going to people moving into the area. This vast development will destroy this rural area. Just look at Bournemouth if you require any proof.</p>			
491272	D Steel		<a href="#">CSO1167</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The roads are already inadequate and with these numbers of houses it will become unbearable. The road around the airport is a nightmare as is the single road-Castle Lane-Castlepoint-A338 is also bad and overloaded. Buses are too expensive and do not go to places such as Castlepoint or hospitals. Local post offices have been</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							closed with the Ferndown one threatened as well. Parking in Ferndown as a town centre is also inadequate. What do you intend to improve??? What is happening to the Dormy?			
491285	C W and B J FREWIN		<a href="#">CSO1177</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Any new homes adjacent to Parley crossroads will cause more traffic problems. If building works go ahead the road improvements must be in place before building			895
491299	Mr and Mrs Shaw		<a href="#">CSO1182</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
491301	Mr Norman TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO1185</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
491311	Mr Kevin GILLING		<a href="#">CSO1194</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
491318	Jackie Ball		<a href="#">CSO1208</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		New Road is over used as it is; with a possible extra 500 houses = 750 extra cars (approx) the roads around Parley would be grid locked. If the Spur Road is closed through accidents, all traffic comes via New Road. This leads to chaos.			895
491383	Mr Stanley Havill		<a href="#">CSO1232</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
491392	Mrs Lesley Wilson		<a href="#">CSO1249</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491436	Mr and Mrs G Martin		<a href="#">CSO1284</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		More housing will only spoil West Parley. It is a village, not a town and should be kept as one. Please save our village West Parley.			895
491446	E J Clempson		<a href="#">CSO1290</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Not viable unless matching infrastructure can be provided. Christchurch Rd already heavily developed, try exiting Linden Rd/Dudsbury Rd.			895
491451	R Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO1296</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
495549	Mr Simon Rowberry		<a href="#">CSO1484</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Bus routes and cycle lanes will not be classed as transport improvements. People have cars, they use them, and therefore you need to consider this pressure on Parley Crossroads, an already congested junction.			895
495554	Mrs Nesta Small		<a href="#">CSO1490</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
495562	Mr MJ Banting		<a href="#">CSO1511</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
495625	Mr Michael McMath		<a href="#">CSO1538</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
360714	Carol Morgan		<a href="#">CSO2021</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360734	Mr Nick Moulton	Amphibians and Reptiles Conservation Trust	<a href="#">CSO2338</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		ARC would object to this option. This site, if developed, would increase the already high public pressure on nearby heathland SSSI's and SNCI's. The provision of SANGs would not mitigate			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							against significant additional public use to these protected sites.			
361111	Mr Raymond Brown		<a href="#">CSO2073</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
484088	Mr David Price		<a href="#">CSO2524</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
491465	Mr John Dinsdale		<a href="#">CSO1303</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
494596	Mr Sperling		<a href="#">CSO1319</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
494598	Mrs Jean Pedley		<a href="#">CSO1321</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
494600	Audrey Russell		<a href="#">CSO1330</a>	Option FWP 1		General Comment	I feel unable to give my support to any of the proposed housing locations in West Parley until the road infrastructure has been vastly improved. Currently the area around the junction at Parley Cross and the Christchurch Road is very congested and dangerous to negotiate. I suggest that sites for schools and other necessary services should be identified at this planning stage. I am also aware that a proposed development, close to the Coppins site, has been turned down in the past due to its close proximity to the site of 'Nature Conservation Interest' at Poor Common.			895
494604	Mr		<a href="#">CSO1334</a>	Option	Support					895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	John Le Rossignol			FWP 1						
494623	S and R Perkins		<a href="#">CSO1339</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
494726	M J Martin		<a href="#">CSO1354</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
494736	Mr S Cox		<a href="#">CSO1358</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
494741	Sybil Eastham		<a href="#">CSO1364</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
495200	Mr and Mrs J M B Webber		<a href="#">CSO1377</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	Canford Bottom Roundabout needs urgent improvements. A31 / Wimborne Road - pressures on these roads E / W. Reduction in industrial traffic through Ferndown.			895
495225	L Higgins		<a href="#">CSO1380</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I have chosen the above options as they will minimise the flow of traffic onto the existing roads by being in diverse positions. It may help the current road network which is a disaster.			895
495269	Mr E Wigman		<a href="#">CSO1388</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		We understand that young people require some housing but with the already overcrowded road systems and lack of bus services a lot of improvements need to be made. A circular bus service to cover Bournemouth, Christchurch hospitals and the airport would be advantageous to older people who can no longer drive. We would also like West Parley to remain a "village" and not joined to Bournemouth.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495348	Mr and Mrs RJ Veal		<a href="#">CSO1401</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Coppins already industrial ie brown site. All other green land.			895
495369	S W Wood		<a href="#">CSO1405</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		45 million has just been invested in the new airport terminal - in itself increasing aircraft noise and extra road congestion in and around West Parley. To consider building 700 new homes on Green Belt land will mean more road congestion, pollution and noise. This is unfair to the residents of West Parley. I realise we need more homes and would support FWP4 - we would also need adequate schooling, medical care and transport.			895
495376	AG Poole		<a href="#">CSO1413</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Too many houses. Green belt being eroded. Transport and roads appalling. West Parley will lose its rural status.			895
495378	A'Barrow/Wade		<a href="#">CSO1414</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We choose to move to West Parley because of its natural open spaces. Since we've been here Parley Common has already been an area that's been ruined. Not to mention the extra traffic these extra houses will bring to the area. This is a major concern, especially when the emergency services need to get through Parley lights or Longham. Its grid lock now. What will it be like with all these extra houses? Don't build new, re-build/regenerate old.			895
495429	L Mansbridge		<a href="#">CSO1424</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Both FWP1 and 2 would put too much pressure on Poor Common which is busy enough. FWP 1,2,3,4 and 5 would increase traffic on what is already struggling with current			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							vehicular use.			
495437	Mr Andrew Scott		<a href="#">CSO1432</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		By developing FWP 1 and FWP 2 north of Christchurch Road, the feeling of Green Belt is maintained and we are expanding the conurbation without developing new areas. Coppins is an area that is not clearly seen by the passing public.			895
495446	Nicola Anne Lowe		<a href="#">CSO1438</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
495453	Mr R Walden		<a href="#">CSO1445</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
495460	Steve Scamell		<a href="#">CSO1451</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Before building work commences, road infrastructure should take place. New Road, Christchurch Road are congested as it is!! Also - where are these new people going to work??			895
495485	Mrs Jane-Louise Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1457</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		West Parley needs younger people but building in excess of 800 houses is not the answer. Also Parley lights are busy enough without more congestion from new houses. I feel flats need to stop being built for the elderly. This encourages young people away and Ferndown struggles to cater to the younger, middle aged people.			895
495493	MJ McManus		<a href="#">CSO1464</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
495662	M Abbott		<a href="#">CSO1555</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
495680	J M Brown		<a href="#">CSO1562</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		The attractiveness of West Parley arises by the number of green spaces,			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and rural feel of the area. This is so apparent when one leaves the Bournemouth conurbation after Redhill, and enters the openness of West Parley.			
495971	T A Reith		<a href="#">CSO2200</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
496060	Mr C and Mrs K Ward		<a href="#">CSO1595</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		My concerns are for the shortage of facilities and infrastructure if any of these proposals are accepted. More traffic, people who will need more nursery provision, more schools more teenage facilities, doctors, health provision for the elderly. What percentage of these houses will be really affordable to local people? Please keep West Parley and surrounding areas pleasant to live in, by limiting the density of all of these options.			895
496188	Mr RE Vogel		<a href="#">CSO1618</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
496388	Mrs J Pinnington		<a href="#">CSO1656</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		My concern is the increased traffic congestion at Parley crossroads. Also the number of houses proposed would mean that families with children would mean more school places would have to be provided. How could the hospitals and doctors surgeries be able to cope? It would be obvious that this should be considered. The point about the green belt boundary being amended - think of the future-soon it will mean we would have no places to walk or enjoy!			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
496439	Mr and Mrs Gordon Third		<a href="#">CSO1667</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Whether improvements are made to the road system or not, West Parley is unable to cope with any increase in traffic. Support services, eg, doctors, dentists, and schools, public transport would not be able to cope with the increased population in the area. Also, semi-rural area, which is why people chose to live here. The green belt must not be used for housing. It is essential for this to be kept for leisure use, wildlife. Too many animal species are dying out because tier habitats are being destroyed.			895
496479	Charlotte Dixon		<a href="#">CSO1706</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
496564	JH Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO1751</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The traffic in our area is atrocious. More homes can't be built in already overcrowded areas.			895
496612	Mr and Mrs JP Lovell		<a href="#">CSO1802</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
496661	Mr Isger		<a href="#">CSO1822</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
496704	Mr Davies		<a href="#">CSO1837</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Inadequate medical facilities and schools, existing road system clearly under pressure. Nowhere for youths except to congregate outside local shops.			895
496708	Mr and Mrs Z M Radwanski		<a href="#">CSO1845</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
496747	K W Walker		<a href="#">CSO1854</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
496749	Mr J S Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1866</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Submitting readily to the pressure of 'in-migration' is paying the Danegeld. Control of population movements is not an option in our society but it is inescapable that as more housing is made available more will be required. Finding sites for affordable housing and other housing is commendable but only the price mechanism (upper limit), other economic/market factors and sensible but rigid allocation criteria for affordable housing can ensure the desires result (accusations of social engineering can then be ignored). Parley Cross is already a nightmare and upwards of 700 new houses will aggravate what is, by any definition, urban blight. Just plonking down large numbers of houses without imaginative, long term, commercial, highways, and services developments/solutions will squeeze existing residential areas and can only confirm Parley Cross (specifically the roads and shopping) as a deeply unattractive, traffic bound, nasty, inaccessible, dangerous and tawdry trading site. The Ferndown shopping centre and Parley Cross, both of which are glorified, fragmented traffic conduits, reflect laissez-faire evolution rather than the more considered planning apparent in most of the residential areas. Expediency will generate temporary solutions. Time to stand back and ask questions about 'quality of life', future generations and the like.			895
496751	A J		<a href="#">CSO1866</a>	Option		No	The Green Belt was designated for a			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Leggatt			FWP 1		Opinion	purpose - to stop the sprawl of housing from one community to another. This still stands and should be upheld. I have no objection to small developments but not to large estates which would spoil the village character of Parley. People who live here obviously want to live in a village rather than a sprawling town.			
496760	N K M Stephens		<a href="#">CSO1872</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		It is noted a new Core Strategy Plan is required but feel there is and will be insufficient infrastructure and facilities to support a large development which would completely alter the character of the neighbourhood. Also development east of New Road would be under the flight path of the airport as aircraft do not always fly over the water meadows adjacent to New Road Bridge.			895
496764	Mr P S Tripp		<a href="#">CSO1878</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	I feel the road system in West Parley is already at maximum usage. There are many occasions when it takes 10 - 15 minutes to reach the traffic lights at Parley Cross from Winnards Close. Before any further development takes place, we need a dual carriageway directly linking W Parley lights, with the A338 Spur Road.			895
496785	Mrs S Lovelock		<a href="#">CSO1884</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I do enjoy living in the present West Parley environment but I also appreciate affordable housing is needed. Improved infrastructure of transport (all forms) should definitely be in place first. A doctor's surgery is already badly needed in West Parley. I know little about children these days, but			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							obviously these proposals will mean many more children in the area and needs careful consideration re schools etc.			
496786	Andrew and Lorna Gardner		<a href="#">CSO1890</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO2173</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The proposed provision of 85 homes adjacent to Holmwood House, Ferndown will completely change the character of what are currently open fields, to an urban environment. It will compromise the current equestrian use/leisure activity of this area. The road infrastructure in this area is not suitable to cope with additional vehicles. Longham is already at capacity at certain times of the day with traffic queuing back towards Ferndown.	Remove the Option from the Core Strategy		895
496958	Mrs J Beech		<a href="#">CSO1931</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
496978	I Holmes		<a href="#">CSO1939</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
496987	Mrs M Marshall		<a href="#">CSO1957</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
497022	Mrs J D Newbold		<a href="#">CSO1970</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		So long as road issues, schools, shops etc are present developers should first improve Parley Cross centre. Buses must be a priority. The Green Belt to be retained as first priority.			895
497026	Doreen		<a href="#">CSO1990</a>	Option	Object		This amount of building will create			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Smith			FWP 1			extra traffic in Ferndown and Parley which already has a lot of road build up in the busy morning and evening travel. Also taking a lot of natural land for our wild life.			
497038	Mrs H A Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1983</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
497051	Tovey		<a href="#">CSO2014</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
497056	Mrs Gillian Miles		<a href="#">CSO2035</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
497060	Mrs Mary Tuffrey		<a href="#">CSO2074</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
497089	Mr Frank A Soan		<a href="#">CSO2104</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
497158	B S Newman		<a href="#">CSO2141</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
497173	D Dixon		<a href="#">CSO2155</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
497182	Margaret Muir		<a href="#">CSO2168</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Transport issues, school issues, improvements can be promised, but may not work and cause more transport and traffic increase.			895
497184	Mr Hilling		<a href="#">CSO2195</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
497239	Martine		<a href="#">CSO2251</a>	Option	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lewis			FWP 1						
497343	Sharon Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO2275</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
497773	R Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO2478</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360037	Mr Dave BARNES		<a href="#">CSO4047</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
361041	Mr & Mrs G K HAMPTON		<a href="#">CSO4019</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		We strongly support the provision of affordable housing on all sites and that the two types of housing should be intermingled. We applaud the emphasis on provision of adequate public transport.			895
361170	Mr TR HARVEY		<a href="#">CSO2843</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498044	Carolyne BANKS		<a href="#">CSO2670</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Transport needs to be sorted out centrally - to get something that works properly - this is the biggest showstopper to all of these schemes - the current roads will not support any more traffic. Use the newer out-of-town developments to extend housing - after all that's what they were created for ie: Verwood, Corfe Mullen, Sturminster Marshall. Don't cram more development into existing residential areas in town. Consider the impact on horse-riders especially in West Parley, where there are a significant number of horses. Adding more traffic to horses = more			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							accidents. Horse-riders have to use the roads to access the bridleways. Recent increases in traffic already make the situation fraught with danger.			
498047	CD Bradford		<a href="#">CSO2651</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498062	Mr Nick Crawford		<a href="#">CSO2721</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Although I live in Ferndown, I work and travel around a lot in the local area, so feel I can voice my opinion on developments around the area.			895
498084	Mr P HARTLEY		<a href="#">CSO2753</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
498125	Mr and Mrs P DASHWOOD		<a href="#">CSO2792</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498169	Mrs D WEAVER		<a href="#">CSO2897</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498184	Mrs Angela BARKER		<a href="#">CSO2924</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I value West Parley's Green Belt in particular as this is where I live - I chose to move here for its green spaces, quiet roads and somewhere for my children to grow up relatively peacefully - I do not want to find myself living on the outskirts effectively of Bournemouth. West Parley is semi-rural and that's how I would like it to stay, unique and appealing. Not every area needs affordable housing - if a lot of people are retired and/or own their own homes, does it matter? Leave West Parley as it is - it works			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							ok, so don't fix it.			
498267	B G Northwood		<a href="#">CSO2987</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498268	Mr and Mrs R Cullen		<a href="#">CSO3005</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498270	Mr and Mrs P Herrington		<a href="#">CSO3010</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		From Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet- Options 1 and 2 are areas on the boundary of Ferndown conurbation and amenities should cope with additional homes.			895
498271	Mandy CHEESEMAN		<a href="#">CSO3055</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498274	P Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO3027</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		This 'Ferndown and West Parley Options' document is very weighted to housing in West Parley. Most of these options will create significant additional traffic volumes at Parley Cross, which already struggles with the existing volumes.			895
498281	D R and M E Fitzhenry		<a href="#">CSO3043</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		None. Until the total infrastructure (doctors, schools and all other amenities) have been totally gone in to, before any decisions are made.			895
498335	Mr and Mrs Lester		<a href="#">CSO3204</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		14 lane traffic lights through tiny village centre. West Parley has one of the busiest sets of traffic lights on the south coast. This cannot and does not cope at all now. The field at traffic lights is an emergency landing strip for airport. The field marked FW3 is right next to Saxon rings in Doomsday Book, would the Council build over all our heritage			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							as well as Green Belt. Strongly object. FW5 floods now regularly so how can you consider building on that. FW1 is proposed off road that can't cope with its traffic already.			
498338	Joan Furniss		<a href="#">CSO3080</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The extra traffic on our roads is not viable.			895
498341	Ronald B Diggle		<a href="#">CSO3091</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498359	Brian Miles CBE		<a href="#">CSO3106</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498446	W A Murphy		<a href="#">CSO3209</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498455	Mrs Rosemary Dark		<a href="#">CSO3224</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498470	Mr Mike Baynham		<a href="#">CSO3240</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		One of the main justifications seems to be houses for our children. If this policy wins then a cycle of never ending development starts because a generation later more houses are needed for their children etc.			895
498495	Mr John Williams		<a href="#">CSO3273</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498540	R Crawford		<a href="#">CSO3292</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The road around West Parley and Ferndown are congested as it is. Any further building will contribute to this. West Parley needs to be kept as Green Belt wherever possible as land everywhere is being gobbled up for building.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498548	Messrs Sewell		<a href="#">CSO3302</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498555	Mrs Della Edwards		<a href="#">CSO3314</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
498692	Alwyn Stavert		<a href="#">CSO3358</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498699	Mr and Mrs P J Edkins		<a href="#">CSO3363</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498706	Darren Schmidt		<a href="#">CSO3369</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
498711	Mr Tam Chant		<a href="#">CSO3386</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498775	Mrs P L Buckler		<a href="#">CSO3391</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498828	Paul Brady		<a href="#">CSO3407</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498871	Mrs Laura Jean HEASLIP		<a href="#">CSO3421</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498996	M E CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO3452</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
498999	Mrs M Weller		<a href="#">CSO3439</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
499019	William Legg		<a href="#">CSO3466</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
499035	John Pelang		<a href="#">CSO3469</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
499040	Mr K Grimshaw		<a href="#">CSO3482</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
499049	Mr and Mrs Worsfold		<a href="#">CSO3491</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley can't cope with any more traffic; it is at breaking point now, especially when they shut the spur road, if there is an accident. We have enough people living here and also the schools would not be able to cope with the extra children.			895
499065	David Stacey		<a href="#">CSO3502</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499231	Mrs A Lathbury		<a href="#">CSO3522</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I know people need homes but it is important to keep open spaces and not overdevelop the area. Obviously extra homes provide more council tax but the environment is important and once lost is lost forever. Therefore careful consideration should be given.			895
499236	J PIPE		<a href="#">CSO3543</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499245	Mr and Mrs N BUTLER		<a href="#">CSO3577</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
499248	H Hedges		<a href="#">CSO3581</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The current infrastructure is inadequate for the existing population: roads, sewage, drainage etc. Any housing development in West Parley would be a disaster and politicians, both local and national will find out at the ballot box that the vast majority of voters object to and reject these plans.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							The growth and proximity of the airport is in itself a reason to abandon any plans for housing development.			
360098	Mr John L ROBINSON		<a href="#">CSO4598</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360190	Mr John CULLEN	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO4268</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360685	Mr M.P HOSE		<a href="#">CSO4633</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
361049	Mr Brian ROPER		<a href="#">CSO4485</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Bring forward submissions and planning, create employment and low cost housing with assistance for young people.			895
361106	Mr and Mrs Robin and Janet WALL		<a href="#">CSO3673</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
475530	Mr George Phillips		<a href="#">CSO5156</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I don't mind building new homes - people have to live somewhere. BUT - homes should be decent, they should not be built on poor land eg flood plains, road improvements required include a new bridge and a better link between the dual carriageway and Poole. Additional Response received: You need to have a plan on how the infrastructure will be improved to support these housing and commercial developments before we decide on any housing or commercial			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							developments. Infrastructure includes roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, etc. And for roads, I don't mean links from the developments to the existing roads. Everything round here is already overloaded. We need more bridges over the Stour, and a motorway link from Poole to the M27. Motorways north to the M4 and west to the M5 should also be built. These will take the load off the local roads round here. I also think we should only build decent homes. Everyone has to live somewhere, and they should have somewhere decent. It's the cost of land that drives up the cost of accommodation, and building land is expensive because it's in short supply.			
475537	Mrs Julie Bird		<a href="#">CSO4068</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
491252	Margaret Wareham		<a href="#">CSO3788</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I object to green belt being built on. We do not need more housing in West Parley. The roads are too busy, and we wish to keep our green fields, plus there is no work in the area for more families and the public transport is not good and we do not need more shops. Also Response form			895
499261	Mrs Norma JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO3611</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
499290	Mrs Lisa TURNBULL		<a href="#">CSO3644</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
499384	Mr A I ROSE		<a href="#">CSO3717</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The centre of activity is the main crossroads. Develop housing as near as possible to avoid more travel.			895
499560	Mr and Mrs Tickner		<a href="#">CSO3826</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		We trust you are aware of the traffic density between the Ferndown and West Parley traffic lights on New Road, which has sharp bends and difficult access. We were wondering if the island by the Porsche Centre would create better controlled traffic if turned into a roundabout.			895
499681	Paul Rogers		<a href="#">CSO3867</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499731	R Beesley		<a href="#">CSO3885</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499732	Mr and Mrs A J James		<a href="#">CSO3889</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Having lived in West Parley for only 4 years I cannot imagine how anyone with knowledge of this area's roads would consider adding to its congestion! Housing of this magnitude would make this area impossible to get from A to B!			895
499745	Mr M C Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO3897</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499753	Mrs S E Stringer		<a href="#">CSO3905</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499761	Mrs M Q Saunders		<a href="#">CSO3913</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
499772	Julia Williams		<a href="#">CSO3923</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We object to the prospect of having West Parley built on and used as a			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							public dumping site for voluminous housing. This area has been kept as a wonderful place to live. Why should we put up with this imposition. We have the incinerator, the gravel pits, eco composting. The roads are a nightmare at Parley Cross morning and evening. Why not use the area alongside the A31 it has room for traffic and the room to deal with extra capacity - Parley does not.			
499788	Mr and Mrs Halford		<a href="#">CSO3943</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We object particularly and most strongly to areas FWP4 and 5 in addition object to the ludicrous quality of housing to these areas close by to what is already one of the busiest junctions in the country. What 'brain box' would even contemplate such development in that area given the already heavy traffic flow at this junction/crossroads. The West Parley parish area and Ferndown area could not possibly support the needs of what could be up to 500 new homes on FWp 4 and 5 the proposal is in our view absolutely crazy! We attach a high value to the green belt and are concerned that the medical and school provision would not be adequate for additional houses.			895
499810	Mrs A Clark		<a href="#">CSO3955</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
499900	D A Gwillam		<a href="#">CSO3979</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500060	Mr		<a href="#">CSO4075</a>	Option		No				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Stuart PIDDOCK			FWP 1		Opinion				
500070	Mr J W ELCOCK		<a href="#">CSO4107</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
500077	Peter and Rose Olivo		<a href="#">CSO4109</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500082	Mr S Harding		<a href="#">CSO4120</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The roads would not be able to cope with the extra traffic this would cause. The road we live on is extremely busy at all times of the day already. We are a young couple who made a decision to move to a semi-rural area. Just because most properties are bungalows doesn't mean only old people want to live here.			895
500115	Mrs Sylvia McIntyre		<a href="#">CSO4137</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500137	Mrs Shelagh Hickinbottom		<a href="#">CSO4144</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		It is going to spoil the Green Belt areas. More traffic which will cause a lot of hassle on roads, already too much.			895
500143	Mr G Wright		<a href="#">CSO4153</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I would prefer no building but as I have a house and love the area I cannot vote for somebody if housing is needed not to have the opportunity.			895
500204	Mr and Mrs S T and J P Terrill		<a href="#">CSO4167</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		FWP 1, 2 3, 4 and 5 all unsuitable for housing development. Land to the east of Trickett's Cross should be used instead. There is too much traffic already going through West Parley; more houses will make traffic worse. FWP 3, 4 and 5 acts as flood plains			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and building there would cause flooding elsewhere. Please leave West Parley alone! Put houses where their owners' cars will not cause congestion; along the Ringwood Road, east of Ferndown.			
500222	S Hartley		<a href="#">CSO4188</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500261	Mrs Sharp		<a href="#">CSO4197</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Inadequate infrastructure. Traffic congestion already - don't need any more. Don't believe that sufficient investment will be made to alleviate these problems.			895
500307	Mrs J Wolverson		<a href="#">CSO4203</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500350	Mr P H BARTLETT		<a href="#">CSO4219</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500360	Mr K Nippard		<a href="#">CSO4244</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
500361	Mr and Miss N and A Middleton and Turner		<a href="#">CSO4260</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The proposed areas off Christchurch Road and Longham area in general, cannot withstand further development, as the transport infrastructure will collapse from traffic volume through Ringwood Road, Longham Bridge etc and up to Bear Cross Roundabout. Also in the direction from Ham lane, and Christchurch Road to Parley Traffic Lights.			895
500391	Ms Jennifer		<a href="#">CSO4286</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		There should be NO building on Green Belt land. If the Councillors go against			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Mogg						the wishes of the majority of West Parley people, my views are: FWP1 - Max 50 homes FWP2 - Max 35 homes FWP3 - Max 100 homes FWP4 - Max 100 homes but no community centre or shops, we already have a sports club which could be used. MOST IMPORTANT - Absolutely NO to 40 - 50% social / low cost housing. No more than 10% is ACCEPTABLE.			
500427	Mrs D J LYONS		<a href="#">CSO4310</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
500558	A Baker		<a href="#">CSO4353</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
500570	Mr J.D Head		<a href="#">CSO4352</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Feel strongly that suggested levels will result in even more crowding to the area and cause irreversible damage to the environment. A full investigation of all brown field sites, derelict and empty properties must be taken before any building on green field sites. You only have to look at number of estate agents in this area and the amount of property they have for sale to see there is no shortage of homes. Yes there is shortfall of affordable property but the reckless over development that is being suggested will not make property any cheaper. You only have to look at Verwood to see that over development has not created cheap homes far from it and the nicer non-estate properties have risen in price thus pulling up the prices of all			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>properties. The biggest barrier to affordable housing is the locally low average wage, buy to let and second homes. If any of these developments take place what controls are in place to ensure that only local people can buy them and there will not be another huge influx of people from outside the area? With this over development and the covering of green fields in concrete these estates that are being talked about could be anywhere in the country they will all look the same, so why destroy countryside to create something that people from outside the area move to that is little different to the estate from where they came from. Why encourage people to move to the area, if you discourage the influx of retired to the area it will relieve the pressure on social and health services and the local infrastructure. The large no of elderly people within the area means that the death rate will exceed the birth rate and so over next few years more property will come on to the market.</p> <p>I have major objections to the levels proposed.</p> <p>1) The sheer environmental impact on this amount of housing into the fragile green lung that exists along the Stour corridor that divides East Dorset from the Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch conurbation. If the level of development continues as proposed it will be possible to drive from north of Verwood to the sea at Bournemouth pier and all you will see is houses.</p> <p>2) There will be much higher level of</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>storm water run-off from these new estates. At present times of high rainfall the water can soak into the ground and filter away, by covering the land with buildings and roads there will be a much higher faster level of run off which will have to drain to the Stour thereby causing risk of flash flooding.</p> <p>2a) Conversely to the above the increases in proposed population across the whole area will cause strain on water supplies especially in dry weather. Rivers such as the Allen are already suffering reduced flows because of heavy extraction and increases in population will only make it worse. As long ago as the 1970's warnings were given as to what would happen to Dorset's chalk streams and rivers if increasing levels of extraction were allowed, regrettably they were ignored but what was predicted will come true if this level of development is allowed to continue.</p> <p>3) Increased traffic levels in the area in general would be caused by proposed development. It is no good talking about improving public transport when for many people the car is the only way to get to work, buses for example being too inflexible. Governments over the years have kept telling us that we must be flexible in our approach to work which means in many cases working odd hours. The average wait at lights at Parley Cross is 2 minutes, the biggest problem is caused by drivers who are too slow to start moving increased housing will make this situation far worse. Any redevelopment</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>of this junction due to extra population runs the risk of the roads and vehicles starting to dominate the area which will then discourage walkers who will then use their cars for short journeys to the shops which then just makes even more traffic congestion.</p> <p>4) To talk about extra shops at Parley Cross is questionable when the existing shops are under used. There used to be a wider range of shops but they could not compete with large supermarkets at Castlepoint, Ferndown and Wimborne. For example the new Waitrose in Wimborne will only serve to hasten the decline of small shops left in the area. People will use the bigger stores because of price, choice and opening hours and to suggest another shop at the crossroads will just result in an under used store that will struggle to compete the bigger stores nearby.</p> <p>5) Development on South East side of cross roads will put at risk the fine row of trees which contribute to the visual appearance of the area. The levels of development will put a heavy strain on surrounding areas that are used for recreation.</p> <p>I feel that South East Dorset has now reached breaking point as far as large scale development is concerned. I accept that certain amount of small scale infill can take place across the area. Full use should be made of derelict and brownfield sites. Small scale infill across every community should be fully investigated, stricter controls over under used second</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							homes and strict controls over the sale of so called affordable homes to people from out of the area. If there is a problem with building homes on the old dormy site due to its closeness to Parley Common then the same can be said for building by Parley crossroads. Common sense says tidy the derelict site and leave the green fields alone. If the government is giving you these targets tell them to go away and look elsewhere in the country and look at housing stock in the whole country and bring that fully into use before going down the road to a concrete jungle that is being proposed. The full up has now to be put up on South East Dorset. The above reasons are why I object as many people do to the level of development being proposed.			
500580	John and Linda Russell		<a href="#">CSO4359</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Small developments only. We value the green space and traffic problems which are already bad at rush hours will only get worse.			895
500592	Mr and Mrs Ash		<a href="#">CSO4372</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500622	Mr and Mrs M Simpson		<a href="#">CSO4389</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Having resided in West Parley for the past 25 years, we have noticed the dramatic increase on the amount of traffic, using New Road and Christchurch Road. This is almost anything of day leading to queues in all directions. Will the council only be happy when the whole area comes to a gridlock, which I might add already happens, when there's road woks and accidents on the Bournemouth Spur			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Road. West Parley was a pleasant area 25 years ago, but with the already massive building of houses and flats in the surrounding area, has made it a travelling nightmare.			
500630	S R and J V Jones		<a href="#">CSO4394</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500646	Mr and Mrs L A Searle		<a href="#">CSO4400</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500660	Andrea Thomson		<a href="#">CSO4405</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500672	Mrs P E Budding		<a href="#">CSO4411</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500679	D Saunders		<a href="#">CSO4417</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		But infrastructure needs to be in place first ie roads, shops etc.			895
500680	Mr and Mrs M Maybury		<a href="#">CSO4422</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500682	Peter Bird		<a href="#">CSO4428</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500685	Mrs E Hedges		<a href="#">CSO4433</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500694	Mr and Mrs J R MANSBRIDGE		<a href="#">CSO4453</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Homes and jobs increase movement of people. Therefore the roads must be able to cater for an increase in use. Public transport or company coaches to places of work - like J P Morgan at Littledown. Canford Bottom needs a FLYOVER -			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							nothing less. Think of the flyover at Ringwood and what a bottleneck that was years ago. With a lot more homes in and around West Parley something should be done to alleviate traffic going down New Road. A second road and an additional bridge is the answer there.			
500697	Mr Thomas SMITH		<a href="#">CSO4479</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		My objections are based on the infrastructure being unable to support the extra traffic etc. Plus areas that are liable to flooding. Please note this East Dorset News was given to me by a friend and NOT delivered.			895
500706	R & J Jeans		<a href="#">CSO4522</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
500720	Mrs VALLIER		<a href="#">CSO4526</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500724	Mr and Mrs Russ and Sharon Newman		<a href="#">CSO4523</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		It would be helpful if confirmation could be provided as to the exact title of the land to be considered. The table suggests that it is Holmwood House but the map clearly states Holmwood Park. It is my belief that when proposals were made to build the Camelias development there was strong opposition; the case was taken to European Parliament but was sadly lost. The area of the common was reduced and should the sites FWP 1 & 2 be developed, virtually cutting off Poor Common, the natural corridor for the wildlife that exists within what remains of the Common will be substantially narrowed causing a bottle			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>neck for species migrating from one area to another and this may be disastrous for the wild animals which have survived and recovered from the trauma of new build. In December I contacted the Council to advise of a colony of stag beetles that I have monitored for the last few years. I was assured someone would be in touch but to date I have heard nothing. They are a protected species and I have serious concerns that if the land is developed it will undoubtedly have serious consequences for these animals. Stag beetles lay their larvae deep underground and can take up to 7 years to emerge, this would make it difficult to remove them from the site before development can take place such is the practice for the removal of slow worms. There are also slow worms close to the sites and the land is used by tawny and barn owls also deer graze, recently pair of buzzards has been seen and bats use the open space to hunt for food.</p> <p>I have noted that the key features and benefits for developing FWP 1 and I quote' could provide valuable green infrastructure that would benefit residents and divert pressures away from the heaths'. Green infrastructure is already there and how will building 85 homes and 45 homes divert pressure away from the heaths? They will be built right on their doorstep which can only impact on them in a negative way.</p> <p>Trees also suffer trauma when development takes place, there are</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>numerous trees with preservation orders adjacent to the development site.</p> <p>In the interests of wildlife and animal welfare and to encourage and not deter the future of native wildlife in this area we implore you to reconsider the development of these sites.</p> <p>Future generations will need affordable homes to live in; an article in Daily Mail suggested government should introduce tax incentives for extended families to live together. If families were encouraged to live together there would be readily available surplus of homes for an ever growing population and then there would be no need for major development of brown or green belt land. Perhaps Dorset could pioneer this idea and be at the forefront of the country and lead a green way forward.</p> <p>It would be wise to mention and remind the powers that be that apparently land isn't being made anymore and what will we do when it all runs out and towns merge into one with no green spaces in-between to mark the boundary? It seems ludicrous that individuals are prevented from selling off their gardens to provide builders with much need land to build necessary properties and therefore conserve green and open spaces. Why not act when we still have the chance to keep the balance if nothing else our carbon footprint would be reduced and with ever aging population extended families can be there to look after family members that</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>will undoubtedly require help and care in the future.                      Now isn't that food for thought?                      BY EMAIL                      I wish to make comment on the proposed development within the Core Strategy plan for sites FWP1 and FWP2.                      Firstly, it would be most helpful if confirmation could be provided as to the exact title of the land to be considered on the Core strategy option for FWP1- The table suggests that it is Holmwood House that is the considered site, but the map clearly states that it is Holmwood Park that is under consideration, so verification would be appreciated.                      It is my belief that when proposals were made to build the Camellias development in early 2000, there was very strong opposition from residents that lived on the perimeter of Poor Common. So much was their opposition that they took their case to the European Parliament to try and stop any proposed development of the site. Sadly they lost their case and subsequently the Camellias site was built.                      The properties that were built reduced the Common, and should the sites, FWP1 and FWP2 be developed, virtually cutting off Poor Common, the natural corridor for the wildlife that exists within what remains of the Common will be substantially narrowed, causing a bottle neck for species migrating from one area to another, and this may be disastrous for</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the wild animals which have survived and recovered from the trauma of new build.</p> <p>In December I contacted East Dorset District Council by telephone to advise of a colony of stag beetles that I have monitored for the last few years since moving into my property. I was assured that someone would contact me from the council, but to this date no one has been in touch for me to formally log the existence of these remarkable creatures.</p> <p>They are a protected species and I have serious concerns that if the land is developed it will undoubtedly have serious consequences for these animals. Stag beetles lay their larvae deep underground and can take up to seven years to emerge. This would make them difficult, if not impossible, to remove from a site before development can take place, and such is the practise for the removal of slow worms. Stag beetles appear to be quite frightening, probably the reason why they are very often killed by people who have very little or no understanding of them, and so those that may survive will most possibly be killed through ignorance.</p> <p>Further to the stag beetles there are slow worms very close to the site and probably live on the land to be developed. The open space is also used by Tawny and Barn Owls for hunting food and deer graze on the open space at dusk. Recently a pair of Buzzards has been seen hunting for food during the day on the very site</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>being considered, and numerous bats live around the site and use the open space to hunt for food</p> <p>I have noted that the "Key features and benefits ", for developing FWP1, and I quote, "Could provide valuable green infrastructure that would benefit residents and divert pressures away from the heaths". What rubbish is this? Firstly the green infrastructure is already there for the existing residents of Camellias and surrounding areas to enjoy. Secondly how will building 85 homes on FWP1 and 45 homes on FWP2" divert pressure away from the heaths? They will be built right on their doorstep which can only impact on them in a negative way.</p> <p>Furthermore, I have been reliably informed by a tree surgeon that trees suffer major trauma when developments occur locally. We have first-hand experience of this as the trees at the rear of our property are still showing the signs of stress with large amounts of debris dropping every year, so it is apparent that the woods have still not recovered from the last development back in 2003. There are numerous trees that have TPO's on them adjacent to the proposed development site-how can this be good for the health of these age old trees?</p> <p>In the interest of wildlife and animal welfare, and to encourage and not deter the future of native wildlife in this area we implore you to reconsider the development of this site. The emergence of an otter at Golden Acres last year should be a major wake up</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>call to ensure that our green open spaces should remain just that "GREEN"</p> <p>Whilst on the subject of wildlife may I take this opportunity to ask "What did happen to the rabbits at Canford Bottom roundabout? Having read a letter to the editor of the Stour and Avon magazine the question was raised as to why no rabbits had been seen since the work had been completed on the roundabout in early 2010. I have never seen an explanation-perhaps now would be a good time to ask?</p> <p>I certainly hope that the wildlife was not disposed of so as to not cause a problem with future planned development of the roundabout. Needless to say, but if the same fate were to happen on Poor Common it would be a tragedy!!</p> <p>Finally, I understand that future generations will need affordable homes to live in and hopefully will have employment to sustain a happy and comfortable life. Perhaps it would be worth mentioning an article I read in the Daily Mail, December1, 2010, by Sarah Beeny. Sarah suggests that it would be very useful if the government would provide tax incentives for extended families to live together. If extended families could be encouraged to live together, i.e. Grandparents living with their children and grandchildren there would be a readily available surplus of homes for an ever growing population and then there would be no need for such major</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>development of brown or green belt land.</p> <p>When my family and I were looking for a property with a view to amalgamation we were horrified that a Granny Annexe commanded a separate council tax to the main family home. How can this be an incentive for families to even consider living together? With so much emphasis on global warming, lack of affordable homes and with the pressures associated with the increase in VAT etc, it makes sense for the family unit to live together. Why heat two houses when you can live together in one? Perhaps Dorset could pioneer this idea and be at the forefront of the country and lead a green way forward. Finally, it would be wise to mention and remind the powers that be that apparently land isn't being made anymore, and what will we do when it all runs out and towns will merge into one, with no green spaces in-between to mark the boundary?</p> <p>It seems ludicrous that individuals are prevented from selling off gardens that they probably find too large to manage, providing builders/developers with much needed land to build the necessary properties which would already fit into a developed area, and would therefore conserve swathes of our green and open spaces.</p> <p>Why not act why we still have a chance to keep the balance, if nothing else, our carbon footprint would be substantially reduced, and, with an ever ageing population, extended</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							families can be there to look after those family members that will undoubtedly require help and care in the future Now isn't that sufficient food for thought?			
500731	Mr Tony Brown		<a href="#">CSO4536</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The whole area is far too congested and the services, doctors and infrastructure cannot handle the additional population. The further population of the poor common will decimate wildlife especially the deer.			895
500746	Mrs J Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4562</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500748	Mrs Lauren MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO4587</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
500751	Mr Christopher Halford		<a href="#">CSO4573</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Protect the green belt as the Government and Council had pledged to do, by using Brown Belt land and infill to build small development of affordable homes. No need for large high density developments containing very few affordable homes. The green belt is precious and should be protected not used to fuel developer's greed.			895
500766	Mr Brian Raine		<a href="#">CSO4619</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500786	Mrs Janet		<a href="#">CSO4637</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Toomer									
500802	J Hayward		<a href="#">CSO4680</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500810	Mrs Elizabeth Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO4665</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
500817	Mr L Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4682</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Re use or develop existing empty houses. Each house has 2-3 cars and there is too much congestion and we do not need more roads or by passes. There are no jobs in this area, build houses where there is work ie Bournemouth and Poole not our Green Belt land.			895
500818	Mr J LADD		<a href="#">CSO4684</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		GREEN BELT LAND MUST BE PROTECTED; otherwise what is the point of having 'Green Belt'? Please listen to public opinion and don't just say 'we will listen and then disregard it'. I expect this will happen anyway.			895
500836	Mrs Sylvia Hines		<a href="#">CSO4727</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500903	Mr and Mrs S and R Harris		<a href="#">CSO4774</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500913	Mrs M STARK		<a href="#">CSO4792</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Traffic at Parley cannot cope with any more vehicles. Also drains and infrastructure cannot cope. Make lorries use the Ferndown Bypass and not Longham Bridge.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500919	V Thomas		<a href="#">CSO4759</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
500921	Emily Collins		<a href="#">CSO4766</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The road system is already over - loaded. The Green Belt is a precious resource and one that must be protected.			895
500933	Mr Michael Matthews		<a href="#">CSO4775</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501000	Mr S W J Smith		<a href="#">CSO4806</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Extremely unhappy with the proposed developments around the Ferndown and Parley areas. They will increase the pressure on the infrastructure even more. The roads are terribly congested at peak times even when the traffic is flowing well. I have noticed that, when there is an accident or other reason for a hold up there can be a serious knock-on effect (eg the closing of the road around Hurn Airport). I am very sceptical about the authority improving the infrastructure - we have heard a lot of talk and seen no real action to provide a bypass for Ferndown. In the present financial climate, it will never happen. Therefore I object to further housing development			895
501006	Mr and Mrs J Horsley		<a href="#">CSO4809</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We just feel that the traffic and facilities are such that to have more housing in this area would cause complete grid lock. Our schools and local doctors would be unable to cope.			895
501008	Mrs P Norrie		<a href="#">CSO4810</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501012	Louise ARNOLD		<a href="#">CSO4843</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
359895	Mr Jeff REECE		<a href="#">CSO6034</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Road Infrastructure needs to be tackled before any development takes place.			895
360060	Mr G.M EDWARDS		<a href="#">CSO5414</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
475517	Mrs Sarah Collins		<a href="#">CSO6085</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		This is our green belt land. Talk of green infrastructure when in reality green belt will be lost never to be regained. Traffic at Parley Cross roads and past the airport is already terrible. The thought of adding more residents and cars is unthinkable. Schools and health centres are not ready for big infill.			895
501014	Mr J Morris		<a href="#">CSO4835</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I would think it would be sensible for less housing not more. The road system is obviously overloaded quite often I cannot get access to New Road. All I can hope for is if the nightmare goes ahead it takes as long as the Ferndown relief road, Poole and Wimborne bypass is taking ie about 20 years.			895
501015	Mr & Mrs W McMillan		<a href="#">CSO4862</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501039	Dr and Mrs Peter Liebling		<a href="#">CSO4864</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501051	Mr Eric Franklin		<a href="#">CSO4897</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The roads at West Parley already have enough traffic without more houses. Hurn airport is expected to get busier which will mean more traffic without extra housing.			895
501071	Mr G Dingle		<a href="#">CSO4912</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Please explain how building on these beautiful green sites could provide valuable green infrastructure away from the heath - Dog walking. Please explain how bus lanes and cycle paths will improve the transport situation when each of these new homes will have at least 2 cars.			895
501080	Ms Olivia Collins		<a href="#">CSO4928</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I enjoy the green belt and it is designated to prevent housing spread. I have to leave early to get to school in Bournemouth extra cars will make it worse. Where will all the new children go to school? Road improvements in progress will further disrupt traffic.			895
501107	Mr & Mrs E C Lacey		<a href="#">CSO5009</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501114	John Lee		<a href="#">CSO4965</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Supporting development for FWP1, FWP2 and FWP4 spreads the infrastructure, avoiding a concentration of pressure in a smaller localised area. Provides average 102 affordable homes (44%).			895
501118	Mr Ron Cook		<a href="#">CSO5006</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501120	Mr and Mrs P B Bampton		<a href="#">CSO4980</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		We are extremely worried about the lack of infrastructure to support the large number of proposed houses at FWP 3, 4 and 5. If these issues are addressed - who will be paying the biggest proportion of this? Will we be able to continue to live in West Parley!! - will there be an enormous rise in Council tax to cover the costs?			895
501123	Mr and Mrs Foster		<a href="#">CSO4986</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Environmental disaster! Delightful village and area to suburban sprawl!			895
501127	Dr Stephen Riley		<a href="#">CSO5019</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The development will massively increase the population and change the character of West Parley. It will become yet another sprawling suburb. This is in a time of recession. The lending necessary for people to buy these houses is not available, therefore demand is highly questionable. Many of these houses will be directly under the taking off / landing paths of aircraft and will suffer from noise pollution.			895
501136	Miss M Parker		<a href="#">CSO5039</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	Presumably FWP1 would have an entrance off the A348 but for people driving to Bournemouth this would mean using the Christchurch Road to Parley Cross. This road is very heavily congested at the moment and further traffic would not be acceptable. Another 710 houses using New Road!!! would mean gridlock.			895
501143	Rita Whitfield		<a href="#">CSO5055</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501191	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO5072</a>	Option	Object		We find that the traffic is bad having to			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Redman			FWP 1			come from our entrance turning right to go to Longham, in the years we have lived here there has been accidents and we fear that building more houses on Coppins Nursery will make things worse with more traffic on the road.			
501194	Mrs Colton		<a href="#">CSO5081</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501195	Mr A Skinner		<a href="#">CSO5083</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501201	B H Balinas		<a href="#">CSO5106</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501210	Whitehorn		<a href="#">CSO5114</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501234	A and M Grieve		<a href="#">CSO5125</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501327	M E Perkins		<a href="#">CSO5172</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501335	Mrs J J Bassett		<a href="#">CSO5185</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am very concerned that there isn't the infrastructure or the services to support any large housing development. I think that the Green Belt should be preserved.			895
501342	George and Ann Alexander		<a href="#">CSO5194</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		There is too many people and cars in the area. 'We don't want anymore'.			895
501351	Mr and Mrs R D'Cruze		<a href="#">CSO5222</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501353	Mr and Mrs Ireland		<a href="#">CSO5206</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501362	G P Hough		<a href="#">CSO5239</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		FWP 1, 2, and 3 are suitable/viable and have less impact on the environments and traffic levels etc. 340 homes are more than enough.			895
501364	Mr M Devetta		<a href="#">CSO5852</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501369	Mrs S Hunwicks		<a href="#">CSO5257</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
501380	Paul Ashton		<a href="#">CSO5267</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501386	Mrs A Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO5274</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
501485	Mrs J JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO5290</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501488	Chris LAMB		<a href="#">CSO5322</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
501500	Mrs E Chinn		<a href="#">CSO5342</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley is a very old village. Think of all the extra cars, and any other thing they will need. That's the beginning of a concrete jungle! Find somewhere where the residents are not so affected. I live alone and don't want houses up to my back fence - this affects more people than West Parley - who need to travel to work. FWP 4 for sake don't do it!			895
501502	Mr Michael WAREHAM		<a href="#">CSO5369</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501506	Janet Cooke		<a href="#">CSO5360</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501510	Mrs J Swingler		<a href="#">CSO5391</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Roads will not take any more traffic. Doctors surgeries now full. Not enough schools.			895
501520	Mrs Z MERRIFIELD		<a href="#">CSO5441</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501523	Rita Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO5468</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501530	Mr and Mrs J Archer		<a href="#">CSO5440</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501537	Stalley		<a href="#">CSO5482</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501538	Mr and Mrs R B MORGAN		<a href="#">CSO5480</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Ferndown and West Parley - The options we have selected are those that would provide additional housing with a lower impact on the main roads through West Parley. Our decision was also influenced by the comments given previously as concerns for the area and the road infrastructure. Any house building in the Parley Cross / New Road area would require major new traffic management and road layout.			895
501542	Mr and Mrs STRACHAN		<a href="#">CSO5521</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	Please do not accept any community centre / halls or leisure centre as a corporate inducement from developers of housing developments. In my experience they hardly ever work and cost a great deal in subsidy for councils each and every year. ie.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Verwood Hub; Lenham, Kent; Ditton, Nr Maidstone, Kent. My ref: 10 years as a Parish Councillor in Ditton, Kent.			
501547	Mrs Helen Lessnoff		<a href="#">CSO5578</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
501556	Mr and Mrs VEAL		<a href="#">CSO5546</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
501560	Mr Christopher CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO5577</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501563	Mr and Mrs Halls		<a href="#">CSO5557</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		This area is already saturated with traffic and noise. Refuse centres cannot cope with current population. Infrastructure (road) too small.			895
501567	Trevor Openshaw		<a href="#">CSO5587</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I have lived in West Parley for over 30 years. This is the umpteenth time that the authorities have attempted to despoil this lovely area we live in. In the past they have stopped, for example, the megastore that was almost inflicted on Parley Cross in sections 4 and 5. Usually there is no allowance made for roads and services, so I don't expect there will be this time. Suggest we let the council sample commuter time at Parley, or hereabouts. Or try to get a doctors appointment, if they are not increased. Anyway, everyone knows you can't sell a house for a toffee at present, so who is going to buy these phantom properties. I don't feel that there is any demand to go anywhere near justifying this ridiculous plan. It also will have the			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							effect of ruining some local builders. Of course it may be that the houses are to be provided for the thousands of immigrants we have leaked into the population.			
501574	Mr & Mrs B S Nippard		<a href="#">CSO5618</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	<p>Re FERNDOWN AND WEST PARLEY OPTIONS</p> <p>The existing road structure, even with improvements cannot support increases in traffic brought about by this and other development. Access to the spur road, access into Bournemouth via New Road and access along Ringwood Road can come to lengthy standstills at most times of the day depending upon circumstances.</p> <p>FWP3 - Building on an exposed and elevated site which adjoins a major EDDC ancient monument should not be proposed.</p> <p>FWP3/4 - Despite the provision of open space, these developments will inevitably be to the detriment of the protected areas at Parley Common.</p> <p>FWP5 - This site partially covers the noise exclusion zone as shown on the previous proposal by the SWRSS. A small portion is also within the 400m heathland envelope.</p>			895
501586	Mr D S Gardner		<a href="#">CSO5644</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501591	Mr & Mrs A Davies		<a href="#">CSO5668</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Strongly object to any developments in rural areas and "green" areas. There are more than enough cars on the road without bringing more traffic chaos			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501593	E J Brockhurst		<a href="#">CSO5659</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501601	P Longland		<a href="#">CSO5678</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501613	Mr and Mrs P Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5697</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>I do not think any houses should be built at all. We will become an addition to the Bournemouth/Poole conurbation which will take away the personality of West Parley. I also don't think any infrastructure improvement will sort out the traffic congestion problems in the area. 840 houses 2 cars a house!! chaos!!</p> <p>I am appalled at all of the proposals for West Parley. I am a resident there as you will see. Every day I queue to get through the lights at Parley to get to work. How on earth do you think the roads in this area will cope?</p> <p>Additionally what makes this area lovely is that we do still have green fields to drive past. Why are you intent on spoiling this?</p> <p>I am happy to pay a premium for my house to live here; my husband and I work extremely hard to pay for it. But prices will not hold if you add all these properties and use the lovely open spaces. I do not work to live in an area where others just roll into each other like in Bournemouth/Winton/Charminster etc. Finally, I work in a school and carry lots of things to and from work each day, no matter how good you make the public transport, I will not be able to use it.</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501616	R J Joyce		<a href="#">CSO5769</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501624	Ben Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5715</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I totally object to any further developments in this West Parley area, especially on Green Belt land. Further housing will see the area overdeveloped and undermine the existing housing. This current infrastructure cannot cope with current demand and cannot be? Further housing will cause further chaos and bottlenecks.			895
501626	Mrs J A Russell		<a href="#">CSO5751</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501636	Mr W Revill		<a href="#">CSO5740</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501694	Mr R BRYAN		<a href="#">CSO5791</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501699	B THOMAS		<a href="#">CSO5818</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501705	Michael Lucas		<a href="#">CSO5832</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I cannot believe that you want to build on green field sites when there are so many brownfield ones! Or is it all to do with cost? FWP 3, 4 and 5 will also produce more likelihood of flooding in Stour valley.			895
501709	Alan Seymour		<a href="#">CSO5853</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501717	D J and J L Cooper		<a href="#">CSO5870</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Development of any new site will put extra strain on an already very			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							congested road system. Any promises to ease the situation will always fall by the wayside!!!			
501718	Mr D Duckenfield		<a href="#">CSO5869</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501720	Dr and Mrs D HARLOW		<a href="#">CSO5911</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501732	Mrs H.M Ridout		<a href="#">CSO5896</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I strongly believe that this development will destroy the village feel of West Parley and will bring no benefits whatsoever. I am unconvinced that the idea of road improvements will be effective, it will be like living on Canford Heath with all the properties but no reduction in council tax.			895
501737	Mr and Mrs Ryan		<a href="#">CSO5897</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley is a local community of which this building of new homes would erode forever. The roads around this area already taking too much traffic and have at times become bottlenecked. Crime is also low around here and all that these building would create is more crime and a sense of not belonging.			895
501738	RJ Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO5898</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501744	Mr J Drew		<a href="#">CSO5925</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501745	Michael Cook		<a href="#">CSO5935</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
359908	Mr F.D.A REVILL		<a href="#">CSO6244</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
359927	Mrs Ann BISSEX		<a href="#">CSO6357</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
361113	Mr Alan Meade		<a href="#">CSO7184</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I like the rate of affordable housing to private homes. We all know that there is a shortage. Jobs will be created, money brought in, good for all in East Dorset, Christchurch.			895
477822	Ms Susan Rayment		<a href="#">CSO6769</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501753	Mrs J Easterbee		<a href="#">CSO5955</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501754	Mrs M J Rossister		<a href="#">CSO5966</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet also			895
501761	Mr D Curtis		<a href="#">CSO6011</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		The most urgent and critical limiting factors are the A31 single carriageways E and W and density of traffic using Canford Bottom roundabout - Encouraging increased commercial traffic re. PC 4 to PC 9 would only exacerbate the present traffic problems.			895
501765	Mrs M.I Smith		<a href="#">CSO5984</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501766	D E Anderton		<a href="#">CSO5987</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I would support FWP1, 2 and 4 as they are reasonably sized developments			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							which should have reasonable impact on local infrastructure and not significantly affect the overall Green Belt separation from Bournemouth.			
501772	Mrs C Wilson		<a href="#">CSO6026</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501774	Mrs Chisman		<a href="#">CSO6028</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501793	Mrs Marian Dingle		<a href="#">CSO6063</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Slotting in small green spaces amongst housing developments cannot enhance the beauty of West Parley which has a country feel because of the fields targeted for development. Get used to the fact that families will always use cars in preference to public transport or cycles.			895
501801	Mrs Ward		<a href="#">CSO6073</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		There are enough houses and traffic problems in this area without adding to it.			895
501804	Mr Glyn Davies		<a href="#">CSO6095</a>	Option FWP 1	Object	General Comment	Do not entertain any further 'traffic calming' measures such as the dangerous/expensive version in Colehill. Re-allocate money to the surface quality of minor roads. Sports facilities could always do with improvement which can often be achieved at fairly low cost.			895
501814	Mr and Mrs C Sorbie		<a href="#">CSO6106</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501867	Mrs I M		<a href="#">CSO6176</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Marks									
501873	Mr and Mrs Harold A and D Joan Lilley		<a href="#">CSO6233</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		All proposals of this nature have a number of basic needs. One of the most important is infrastructure. Subject to finance being available Canford Bottom Roundabout is to be drastically amended for the Olympic Games. The reference to "Improvements to Canford Bottom therefore appear to have been superseded. Since I cannot find any intention to improve the road network all these proposals will only add to our present problems and cannot be supported.			895
501876	J Long		<a href="#">CSO6200</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
501881	B W Deverill		<a href="#">CSO6269</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501900	Miss Theresa Gale		<a href="#">CSO6262</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501953	J Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO6348</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I moved from London to get away from built up areas. I don't want to have to move again. West Parley is a great unspoilt place lets keep it that way.			895
502022	Mr HWR Stevens		<a href="#">CSO6385</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Dorset's villages and small towns should be preserved as such. So called "developers" are only concerned with profits. There'll always be an England? Not when it has been built over and occupied by strangers.			895
502029	Mr		<a href="#">CSO6422</a>	Option	Object	General	Quality of materials and road layout is			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J MacArthur			FWP 1		Comment	a key requirement to any new house building. More 2 bed homes to give small families a chance at being housed. Houses and roads can be attractive (Look at Poundbury, Nr Dorchester). Enough parking is a must - no 1.25 spaces per plot, plus a couple of visitors bays, will not suffice. Plenty of 'part rent - part buy' should be included to give all young people a chance to have a home. Try to get away from open plan frontages. Terraces of even small boundary fenced homes look better and give a feel of being established houses.			
502032	Mr and Mrs Pitt		<a href="#">CSO6441</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The whole area is congested, there is no real provision for new TRANSPORT OR services for new homes ie doctors, local shops or community ctrs. There are already MANY EMPTY industrial units. Building more industrial is only viable if a tax loss for developers. Verwood has lost its soul now, and does not need any more ad-hock development. Wimborne will follow if the development of this (at present) lovely Town is carried out in the same way as Verwood has been.			895
502034	Mr and Mrs A and C Buckingham		<a href="#">CSO6416</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Area already over built. Many areas do not have access to the small amount of public transport, which is infrequent. Public services Dr's etc appear to be overstretched as it is. Narrow roads cannot cope with the already increased traffic. Many surrounding roads are gridlocked especially at weekends.			895
502041	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO6461</a>	Option	Support		I would prefer to see small pockets of			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Franklin			FWP 1			affordable homes to blend in with the local community and keep our green belt. Do we need more industrial sites and shops which will increase traffic and eat into our countryside?			
502059	Mr R Seddon		<a href="#">CSO6496</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502076	Kay Stead		<a href="#">CSO6547</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502099	Carolyn Lourens		<a href="#">CSO6589</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502113	Mr A Garner		<a href="#">CSO6620</a>	Option FWP 1	Object	General Comment	(Picture of Stocks drawn on sheet.) These should be placed in town centres to discourage yobs and vandals, to which they will be fastened.			895
502114	Mr P Foster		<a href="#">CSO6634</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502136	Mr and Mrs WA Forster		<a href="#">CSO6677</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502138	Mrs E Mason		<a href="#">CSO6687</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Too much traffic and too many people putting pressure on roads, jobs, hospitals, schools, doctors, dentists etc. Please keep to MODERATE expansion! In all areas especially Ferndown/West Parley area. F and WP housing leaflet- Too many people on roads, pressure on jobs, hospitals, doctors, dentists, schools, etc The only way we would support this is			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							for only low cost affordable housing for local people not rich outsiders. Why not use existing properties that are empty and need refurbishing?			
502312	Mrs Sally Brierley		<a href="#">CSO6797</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502317	Cllr Peter Lucas		<a href="#">CSO6826</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		As a Ferndown councillor I am very concerned about the proposals for large scale development at Parley Cross and the impact the proposals will have on people from Ferndown commuting to Bournemouth, Christchurch and Bournemouth airport for work. Public transport improvements in services from Ferndown to Bournemouth/Poole are needed, especially early mornings evenings and Sundays where residents have observed an insufficient service.			895
502322	Mrs J C Critchell		<a href="#">CSO6827</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		With the existing infrastructure at Parley Cross, West Parley, any development should be away from the cross roads. It should be in small pockets and of low - medium density in keeping with the area. The aesthetic values of the countryside are of the utmost importance in providing a fitting habitat for human beings, and in protecting the other habitats from human beings. The Green Belt is a vital asset and must be retained. At present, West Parley has a semi-rural feel and appearance - the reason people want to live here. If you destroy this ambience and concrete over large			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							areas we might as well live in an urban jungle. I wouldn't want West Parley to become another Ferndown.			
502326	Mr and Mrs T Bennett		<a href="#">CSO6868</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		With more homes will need more employment, a vicious circle. Our roads are too busy now. We surely have enough community areas and centres.			895
502329	Mrs Rosemary Rooke		<a href="#">CSO6881</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502342	Mrs D P Scamell		<a href="#">CSO6922</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502344	Mr and Mrs W Smith		<a href="#">CSO6924</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Too much traffic in the area already. (speed limit on New Road and Christchurch Road should be 30) People want to see green fields not 100's of houses.			895
502345	Mr and Mrs Ray and Irene Coulson		<a href="#">CSO6944</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502347	Sarah Cobb		<a href="#">CSO7047</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502366	Mr P Lewis		<a href="#">CSO6983</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502371	Mrs S Smith		<a href="#">CSO6994</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502376	Mark		<a href="#">CSO6999</a>	Option	Object		West Parley/Ferndown already has too			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Palmer			FWP 1			many residents for the current services (schools, doctors) due to the knocking down of large houses and building blocks of flats.			
502381	Mr Cyril Josey		<a href="#">CSO7051</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Why is it necessary to include affordable homes (low value) in with others (of higher value), thus lowering the value of other properties in the area. Put low value homes of high density in low value areas. People are not going to accept paying high prices for property when other houses nearby are run by housing associations or the council renting to tenants. 50% affordable housing in any area is too much. Local infrastructure, road improvement, services etc must be provided in all cases.			895
502387	Mr George Kilpatrick		<a href="#">CSO7041</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	I would like to see the justification for increased housing in East Dorset eg, demographics, immigration, increased work opportunities etc. I would also like to understand how transport strategy (and infrastructure) is coordinated with this in such a way to make expansion sustainable.			895
502392	Mr and Mrs G Orr		<a href="#">CSO7019</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502404	Antony Jennings		<a href="#">CSO7042</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I believe your argument is floored on two accounts: - 1) Ferndown/West Parley is not a first time house buyer's area. It is an aspirational area for 2nd time			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							buyers/retired as there is no industry in Ferndown/West Parley. Workers need to commute some distance to their places of work. 2) Developers will take one look at this area and will build 'luxury' apartments; 'executive homes' not social housing. And if they did build social housing, where are the local jobs? They would have to commute like everyone else.			
359598	Mr A KETCHLEY		<a href="#">CSO7996</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	Unfortunately whatever is finally decided on the following well known saying will occur: 'You can please some of the people all the time, You can please all of the people some of the time, But you cannot please all of the people all of the time!' I wish you well in whatever is decided. Some will see it as inevitable due to the area's population increases, whereas others will see it as a necessary evil, and some will accept it without question			895
359873	Mrs M HUGHES		<a href="#">CSO8613</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		A31 Canford Bottom needs to be bridged if increased traffic from new housing takes place; it just can't take any further loading (or a dual carriage way up to A350 from Ferndown).			895
359977	Miss M.G. EARP		<a href="#">CSO8051</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
360145	Mr Nigel WARREN		<a href="#">CSO8203</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361123	Mr Iain STEVENSON		<a href="#">CSO8222</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
475502	Mr Richard Croom		<a href="#">CSO7728</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>Any of these proposed developments would definitely increase traffic on all of the local roads, particularly Christchurch Road from Hurn to Longham village, creating further tailbacks and congestion at Parley Cross. It is difficult if not impossible to envisage how developers could 'contribute to the provision of traffic improvements' when there is no space for extra lanes in the aforementioned roads leading to or from Parley Cross, or the junction itself.</p> <p>These roads are already saturated with traffic at peak commuter and school travelling times, and if there was a major incident at the airport, the emergency services would struggle to obtain access.</p> <p>A further point to consider is that if homes are built on the sites earmarked at FWP3 and FWP5 they are very close, or directly under the take-off flight path from the airport.</p>			895
501822	Mr Stephen Collins		<a href="#">CSO7705</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
501826	Mr Gordon Hunt		<a href="#">CSO7717</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502415	Mrs S Humphries		<a href="#">CSO7090</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502417	A Read		<a href="#">CSO7092</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502424	Mr and Mrs A V Messenger		<a href="#">CSO7116</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502429	Mrs Lesley Newcombe		<a href="#">CSO7120</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Increased traffic is the major concern. Also Holmwood House- concern that this would link up to the Camelias development and traffic has already increased on Casterbridge Road in last four years.			895
502430	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7128</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet - To many homes in a small area. What happens to the wildlife? Not enough schools			895
502438	Carol Louise Craggs		<a href="#">CSO7145</a>	Option FWP 1	Support	General Comment	None of these developments are viable unless the transport development PRECEDES them, obviously, so please concentrate on infrastructure and services NOW. Thanks.			895
502441	Mrs Ingrid Wells		<a href="#">CSO7160</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502468	Robert Lambert		<a href="#">CSO7381</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502492	M L Portugal		<a href="#">CSO7186</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		It is vital with any development that the local infrastructure can handle the influx. Concerns over health-care, and social services, parking etc which are already struggling to cope! Also what constitutes affordable housing in East Dorset?!			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502517	Mrs Crossland		<a href="#">CSO7230</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Infrastructure currently in place does not support existing population. FWP5 is under flight path and would be a dangerous place to live.			895
502520	P and M Coussell		<a href="#">CSO7237</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502524	Mr B Heap		<a href="#">CSO7245</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The traffic congestion is serious now; to increase the problem is not accepting responsibility for the future. To consider developing FWP 3, 4 and 5 close to the flight path from Bournemouth airport again is not accepting responsibility for possible accidents, noise and pollution.			895
502528	Mr and Mrs B Stanford		<a href="#">CSO7255</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502568	Sarah Morgan		<a href="#">CSO7275</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502569	Mr & Ms M & L Skinner & Jeffries		<a href="#">CSO7303</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502570	Mr Samways		<a href="#">CSO7331</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502579	Mr & Mrs R L Thorne		<a href="#">CSO7360</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502595	Mr Colin House		<a href="#">CSO7423</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		All these developments will cause an impact on the local heathland and the proposals in all areas will cause road chaos on the A31, Ferndown, Parley and Wimborne. A new road structure is			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							required before any development takes place.			
502596	A C Hayter		<a href="#">CSO7415</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502610	John Jackson		<a href="#">CSO7476</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502612	Hugh and Joy Dickson		<a href="#">CSO7448</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502632	Mr and Mrs Evans		<a href="#">CSO7486</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502635	Mrs JS Brough		<a href="#">CSO7494</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502648	Teresa Halford		<a href="#">CSO7514</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The road system would be overwhelmed by this level of development, even if the developers contribute to so called improvements. Use Brown Belt land to build small developments of affordable homes, thus avoiding the need to destroy the Green Belt with large developments that will very quickly turn into ghettos. The medical and school facilities in West Parley are totally inadequate for this increase in population - not to mention the level of policing required.			895
502661	Julia Green		<a href="#">CSO7523</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502670	Mrs S Trevorrow		<a href="#">CSO7534</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		We do not need any more elderly or retirement flats, family homes may help Ferndown shops which mostly consist of charity and second hand shops.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502673	Mrs A Powell		<a href="#">CSO7557</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The infrastructure in this area needs to be sorted out before anymore building goes ahead. There are times you cannot move on the roads. The area is being ruined with all this building works that are already going on.			895
502678	Mr R F Perry		<a href="#">CSO7546</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Let's see the infrastructure in place first.			895
502683	Mr and Mrs Garfield Milne		<a href="#">CSO7559</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502701	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7590</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502706	Neil Kenworthy		<a href="#">CSO7600</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502707	N Tomsett		<a href="#">CSO7620</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I moved to West Parley 4 years ago specifically because it was surrounded by green fields not masses of housing. I have watched another village now a town (the place I grew up, Selsey Bill) destroyed by overdevelopment on green land. Selsey has now lost its heart and soul. Overdevelopment destroys community spirit which is vital to protecting communities like Parley from crime, pollution, erosion etc. If Dorset CC considers itself a green council then this should include green fields not their destruction!			895
502708	Ms Julia Owen		<a href="#">CSO7636</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502712	W D J Pearce		<a href="#">CSO7637</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I think that FWP 1 and FWP2 creating an extra 130 homes may just about be acceptable. I also consider that the Planners should refuse all further demands for sheltered housing schemes as the new buildings on Ringwood Road have created more cars in the area slowing traffic.			895
502745	Mrs J.M Kenny		<a href="#">CSO7681</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
502749	Gerald Giltrow		<a href="#">CSO7669</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502865	Mr and Mrs D H Bustard		<a href="#">CSO7699</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Western sub area (FWP1) is the most acceptable of the development options in that its size and consequent population increase would be manageable. There might need to be a modicum of adjustment to education and medical facilities but transport infrastructure appears to be a matter of choice and economy. By being taken virtually into Ferndown it would be far less obtrusive than any other of the options put forward.			895
502876	Mr Russell Tidman		<a href="#">CSO7707</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		All traffic travelling north or south across the River Stour have only three points to cross, along the six mile stretch from the Spur Road to Longham. They are already heavily congested. More homes, more cars, more congestion.			895
502880	Mrs P M		<a href="#">CSO7718</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Tarbuck									
502887	Mrs Grace Sargeant		<a href="#">CSO7734</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502900	Mrs M Baynham		<a href="#">CSO7742</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
502910	Mr & Mrs R & JD Gregory		<a href="#">CSO7748</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502913	Mr & Mrs D Whitmarsh		<a href="#">CSO7892</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		There should not be any more large scale house building in the East Dorset area as the roads cannot cope with any more traffic			895
502918	D M Moore		<a href="#">CSO7754</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Anyone looking at the traffic on the main roads in this area would realise that with more houses and flats, these roads would come to a complete standstill at most times of the day.			895
502921	Mr and Mrs L Forinton		<a href="#">CSO7776</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502923	Mrs M Phippard		<a href="#">CSO7767</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Use brown field sites first, you never get the green land back it will be gone forever.			895
502925	Mrs J E Allen		<a href="#">CSO7768</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I strongly object to any development in the West Parley/Ferndown area. The road infrastructure cannot cope in this area at the moment. I feel it is just a way of increasing revenue by the way of council taxes or the Local Authority to fund their pension deficit.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502934	Mr D Parkinson		<a href="#">CSO7784</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502935	Mr Roger Parker		<a href="#">CSO7810</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Please take care - if you allow development on areas like West Parley it will mean that the visual link between Bournemouth and Ferndown will be like a seamless conurbation and will result in Ferndown/East Dorset losing its status as a 'place' - it will resemble one large conurbation. Better I believe to preserve some 'Green' separation just to maintain a visual separation.			895
502937	Mr and Mrs M J Turvey		<a href="#">CSO7794</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	1) We moved to West Parley from Ferndown for peace and quiet. 2) Why so many houses to be built, who will be using them. 3) What do you mean by liveliness and prosperity, I expect you mean a lot more noise and cars. 4) What extra schools, doctors and police are you going to provide. 5) Do you realise project 4 and 5 will be under the flight path and who will want that?			895
502944	Mr and Mrs D Cook		<a href="#">CSO7815</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
502950	Mr I G Banks		<a href="#">CSO7820</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods, heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is another food supermarket or more crossroads changes.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Imbalance in Age Structure                      Members of the older population have been encouraged to move into the district largely as a result of policy in recent years of the Town Planning department regarding giving approval of existing housing sites being developed as blocks of flats. Most of these are suited to or reserved for, people of a particular age. Thus the situation has been engineered to a great extent and must be accepted as it is. The anticipated increase in population will involve young, those of working age, and the elderly. Provision will have to be found for everybody, but the EDDC covers a large area where a wider search for suitable sites is taking place. My own two daughters have homes outside of this district.</p> <p>Population Growth                      The population growth being so 'significant' it demonstrates to me the considerable number of homes that have been generated in the period from 1961 until fairly recently in 2008 (see Options 10.6). If we are going to preserve existing areas used as parks, recreational areas, walks in Green Belt areas, then further housing development will have to be restricted. It is not going to be feasible to keep chasing ever increasing arbitrary home building targets. I repeat my daughters have homes outside of this district.</p> <p>Green Belt Area                      There has been a great deal of energy expended, and anxiety generated, during the recent battle with the Regional Spatial Strategy body</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>regarding their proposal to see homes built within the Green Belt areas including agricultural land, It was my understanding that, having gained the backing of the DCC to fight these proposals, and the policy of the new government to cancel the various RSS policies, then the preference of the local population would prevail over decisions regarding use of Green Belt areas. I cannot, therefore, see the necessity to revisit this subject when a clear choice has been made through unflagging efforts made on our behalf through various meetings and consultations by the Residents Associations concerned.</p> <p>Industrial Estates These have been expanded over the years since inception. A great deal of the employment opportunities are benefitting people from outlying district, this automatically contributes to traffic flow. Further opportunities to increase employment locally should not therefore be encouraged close to residential areas.</p> <p>General Comments Transport The road network does suffer from high levels of congestion. Whenever traffic is diverted from the A31 or Bournemouth Spur Road, then New Road has traffic at a standstill for periods between a short crawl forwards. The result is high concentrations of exhaust fumes are released into the atmosphere in close proximity to dwellings. Any increase in business, sports or other facilities will</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>increase levels of traffic congestion even further. Any modifications to roads or road junctions will lead to increased frustration of drivers in traffic queues. Parley Cross cross-roads has previously modified with extra lanes, involving changes to services and drainage, shop forecourts and parking facilities. I don't think anyone wants to see that happen again, and definitely not a 3000Sq.m food store taking up Green Belt land under the pretext of providing additional housing land. I trust that further searches for suitable land for homes development within EDC area may progress with the above comments fully taken into account.</p>			
502987	Anne Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO7848</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>Unless the roads (all of them) are completely renovated, there is absolutely no way that the Parley area can cope with any more traffic than it currently sustains. Together with the increase of noise from both flights from the airport and the traffic, the village atmosphere would be lost. There is plenty of shopping available at Castlepoint and in Ferndown or Kinson, so no extra shops are required.</p> <p>Please do not join Parley to Bournemouth physically - the green belt is absolutely VITAL in all the areas suggested for redevelopment. The animals in Poor Common have already suffered once due to building; and further erosion of their habitat along Christchurch Road would be disastrous.</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502989	Jackie Butcher		<a href="#">CSO7849</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Each town or village needs its green space to stop its identity being swallowed up by neighbouring towns. Why not build a shopping mall in Ferndown, demolish the depressing High Street (Victoria Road and Ringwood Road) shops and build new homes on the resulting space in town centre. I cannot support such vague outlines in FWP1 and FWP5.			895
502999	Mrs Marion E Lock		<a href="#">CSO7872</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What has happened to the idea of development on the old Dormy Hotel site in West Parley?</li> <li>2. It would be better to develop on the outskirts of our villages, as this would also help to sustain their village schools, shops, post offices and churches etc. It also provides a more natural environment to bring children up in. They are more likely to experience a friendly, close-knit community where people all know one another, than on a large estate near a town. Towns also don't become over-developed.</li> </ol>			895
503019	David Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7895</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503022	Mr and Mrs D Money		<a href="#">CSO7896</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. FWP is the only area where 'All of the options will need transport improvements.'</li> <li>2. FWP is already clogged with traffic.</li> <li>3. The whole area should receive major traffic improvements BEFORE any building takes place. Too often in the past, there have been major promises made of transport improvements only for these to be</li> </ol>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							cancelled due to change of government or economic circumstances. 4. Has consideration been given to the effect on local schools, medical and other services?			
503040	Kathleen Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7921</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503085	Mr P.A. Scott		<a href="#">CSO7971</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503156	Richard and Jackie Blunderfield		<a href="#">CSO8027</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
503163	Mrs M Kimber		<a href="#">CSO8025</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503171	Sally Cooke		<a href="#">CSO8075</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503176	Vernon Britton		<a href="#">CSO8098</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The above areas should be preserved as Green Belt land, part of an important environmentally sensitive area as a habitat for wildlife close to a river catchment area.			895
503183	B Chissell		<a href="#">CSO8153</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503188	David Zambra		<a href="#">CSO8114</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Existing road system struggles to support current traffic volumes. Christchurch Road and New Road are frequently gridlocked! Any additional access to Christchurch Road between Dudsbury Golf Course and Dudsbury Road would be extremely dangerous.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							This stretch of road has seen several fatalities in the past!			
503202	Mr and Mrs D J Walters		<a href="#">CSO8152</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503233	F Parkes		<a href="#">CSO8239</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503250	Mrs Helen Poole		<a href="#">CSO8250</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503299	Mr and Mrs R Farnfield		<a href="#">CSO8290</a>	Option FWP 1		General Comment	Less required.			895
359889	Mr and Mrs P CLARK		<a href="#">CSO8483</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		With any developments we would need to be assured that infrastructure improvements are in place BEFORE any development is consented to. This is particularly important with regard to public transport provisions. It is also important that feedback is forthcoming as an acknowledgement of our response to this survey.			895
359920	S PARKER		<a href="#">CSO9540</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360095	Mr & Mrs John & Barbara POLKINGHORN		<a href="#">CSO9821</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360111	Mr K VIVIAN		<a href="#">CSO9597</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
360701	Mrs Jennifer		<a href="#">CSO9380</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		In spite of supporting these developments we do not support all of			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Thorpe						<p>them - there would be far too much traffic going through Parley Cross and also the limited numbers of routes across the river - New Road, Longham and Hurn. Parley Crossroads needs developing - this would be compulsory for any development, and also green spaces/play areas. Improved public transport - compulsory. Castle Point, Bournemouth Hospital, Airport/Christchurch - all on our doorstep with no public transport to access. Medical and school provision should be included in any development. Under no circumstances should West Parley lose all its Green Belt - important that urban sprawl is not continuous between Ferndown and Bournemouth.</p> <p>A total of 250 houses should be the maximum in West Parley. If any Green Belt is lost - it would be sensible to move the existing boundary to behind existing dwellings on south side of Christchurch Road between the entrance to the Dudsbury Restaurant and 176 to include the derelict piece of land between The Horns and Owls Nest, where Japanese knotweed is well established and now spreading wildly through surrounding properties. This area is also a haven for rats.</p>			
361015	Mr and Mrs M.S and C.E HACK		<a href="#">CSO8487</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
476561	Mr Kevin Horton		<a href="#">CSO9191</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I should like to put my opinions and have more to say than could be expressed in the text box you provide.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>I feel passionate about this subject, and hope that you will consider some of my concerns. Where one lives and what happens to it is an emotional as well as practical and financial issue since it impinges on all aspects of one's life - changes to quality of life and environment cannot be measured in just pounds and pence and how much profit it will bring to the neighbourhood or its attendant Council.</p> <p>I have placed "object" against each of the proposed sites of development. This is because in principle I do not think that West Parley should be subjected to housing estate development within the medium term future. West Parley has a unique character and semi-rural nature for which the presence of green fields interspersed within the current village is essential. Although a small site in itself may not seem too critical, the gradual erosion of the natural open space will change its character forever - in my opinion, not for the better.</p> <p>There are few places that are both conveniently situated for the facilities of Bournemouth and Poole and yet still have rural character such that you can pass horses and sheep in fields on the way to the shops - this is very valuable to me.</p> <p>Need for further houses.</p> <p>I am not in a position to know the extent of the pressure for new housing but if, as you suggest there is an imbalance of elderly people, and then the requirement for new housing in this</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>area is likely to be minimal. Several times you emphasis that you want a "lively" Ferndown and West Parley. I don't know exactly what is meant by that, but if you believe this can only be achieved by encouraging younger people to move into the areas, then I fail to see how that can help with any housing shortage. The last Government decided that building new roads cannot solve traffic congestion since more people will be encouraged to use these roads. I suggest that a similar situation applies to building more houses; there will never be enough houses as people from other towns will move here to fill them, "Affordable" Housing.</p> <p>Although I realise there may be a need for a small number of starter homes for people on low income, I fear that by "Affordable Housing" you may mean houses of sufficiently low price and quality that local housing associations can afford to buy them and rent them to the Council. I owned a 'starter home' in Wallisdown for 16 years and gradually saw the area deteriorate as homes were bought up by housing associations and used by the Council to house 'problem people'. When I complained about the persistent high levels of crime in the area resulting from this, I was told that the Council had a duty of care to house such people and nothing could be done other than moving them on to another estate when the problem got too bad. Eventually most half-decent people decided to leave the area. I do not</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>want a similar situation to develop here, in the West Parley that I love. West Parley currently has a low level of crime - let's keep it that way! I realise houses are expensive, but that is not unique to this region, and not entirely caused by supply and demand. It is a reflection of a general far reaching imbalance in the economy. Much of the elevated house prices are a result of excessively high mortgages that were offered by banks and building societies in the ten years or so prior to the credit crunch. When I bought my first house in 1982 interest rates were at 15% and I had a 93% mortgage - now with credit shortage you are lucky to get a 30% mortgage - that makes many houses unaffordable but it is not related to a housing shortage.</p> <p>I believe everyone has to live where they can afford. I could never afford a house in Canford Cliffs or Sandbanks, and it would be no good someone who bought a house there many years ago when they were cheap complaining that their offspring could not afford to live near them and there ought to be more affordable houses built in that area - it just wouldn't happen! People cannot always live where they would like, but this provides a natural gradient throughout the housing market, and something to aspire to as they earn more money throughout their life.</p> <p>Environmental Impact You never miss things until they are gone forever, and the openness of</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>West Parley would be gone forever if all your options were to be realised. Every time one more field goes, the area becomes more urbanised, more shut-in. Worst of all, judging by the number of houses you propose for each region, the houses will be very densely packed in a way that maximises profit for the builders. It leaves very little space for gardens which these days form a major haven for wildlife. If we really must have a few more houses, let's have some quality homes that are fitting to the area not 'little boxes made of ticky-tacky' as the song goes.</p> <p>The lesser of the evils although I have put an 'objection' against each option, if I were asked to grade them I would say that the options get worse as their FWP number increases, that is option 5 is the worst option with option 1 being perhaps the least harmful.</p> <p>FWP Option 1 This land is very close to existing houses and would be largely a continuation of the housing estate that was formed by carving up Poor Common. It would not have much of a presence from the road providing the surrounding fields that are not marked remain, although I expect it would not be long before they were claimed too. Exit onto either Ringwood Road or Christchurch Road would be difficult due to the already high levels of traffic congestion existing on those roads at peak times.</p> <p>FWP Option 2</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>This is a visually attractive area with a woodland backdrop of what remains of Poor Common. Although this is a relatively small region, it would be missed as an open space. The best that can be hoped is that it could be suitably shielded from road side view. Access could only be to Christchurch Road with all its congestion problems.</p> <p>FWP Option 3 Getting much worse! This area is highly visible when coming from Longham into West Parley and affords beautiful views from the hill. Building here would make this whole section of Christchurch Road boxed in. There would no longer be the delightful walk between the fields into the back of Parley Cross past the horses and ponies. It appears the exit from the estate would most likely be onto Christchurch Road just before the traffic lights to conflict with all the traffic queuing ....not a good idea!</p> <p>FWP Option 4 There may be some merit for a small health centre as suggested and certainly we need a better post office in this region but no significant houses. This area has a very open feel to it that really defines Parley Cross and I think it should be retained as much as possible. In light of your other possible plans to provide a gyratory traffic system in that area, who would want to live in a giant roundabout? - It would be horrendous, and access and egress would be very difficult.</p> <p>FWP Option 5 Now this is a really bad idea, and even</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the Council have called it the least preferred option. It would significantly shut in West Parley and change the whole character of the village forever. The vast number of houses in that area would cause severe traffic problems no matter what options were chosen for improving the traffic system at Parley Cross.</p> <p>Transport Issues                      I don't know how you can say in your leaflet 'The possible development options listed earlier could increase traffic on our roads'. They would increase traffic on our roads - that is for sure. In most cases I have found that builders are only happy to support roads on their own estates. Sometimes these roads are built to poor quality and have to be repaired soon after being adopted by the Council. A few more cycle paths and a bus lane are not going to redress the problems caused by the increase in traffic from the extra dwellings (unless none of the new residents can afford cars!)</p> <p>A major new store (like Sainsbury's) may be persuaded to spend some money on roads and I believe they did on the Alder Hills development, but I don't think we have the need for a new supermarket in West Parley. Several convenience stores in Parley Cross have found it difficult to make a living, and Co-op finally gave up. Tesco seems to be doing better but I expect that's only because of the muscle they have and the strength to hold position while it is not always profitable. I think the current Tesco Express (along with</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>that on Glenmore Road) supplies all the needs of West Parley, and I am surprised that another convenience store at Parley Cross was recommended in your documentation. Building on the Green Belt, The Green Belt concept established in the 1950's and confirmed as recent as 1995 in the Planning Policy Guidance Note 2, has its main objective to safeguard the countryside from encroachment of towns and prevent urban sprawl and there are many good reasons for the existence of Green Belts. Clearly, to be of any good, the belt has to be as continuous as possible and connect where possible to provide corridors for wildlife. It's no good trimming it down to the width of an average garden. If councils are allowed to build on Green Belt land, this defines a main principle - that of preventing encroachment on countryside. I believe the present Government still state they do not support building on the Green Belt, so why do it? And finally... I find the statement that was made by a Council representative at a public meeting that: "We will not build on the Green Belt, we will simply redefine its boundaries" astonishing! In my opinion changing the well-established boundaries that have existed for years without extremely good reason should be illegal for ay council becuse the Green Belt was set up on behalf of the population of the UK to protect their environment for present and future</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							generations.			
499748	Ms Soozi Cooper		<a href="#">CSO9739</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503024	Valerie Measey		<a href="#">CSO8880</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503303	Mr Anthony Roberts		<a href="#">CSO8307</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503306	Ian and Freda Hancock		<a href="#">CSO8312</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and West Parley Options – These are a completely disingenuous and self-serving set of proposals by the Council, both in terms of the context in which they have been made and also in terms of the time-frame allowed for the consultation process. In terms of the context, these proposals represent a revival of similar ones made a couple of years ago and the timing of them says everything about the reasons for them: they have been made at the same time that the government has announced a reduction in funding for local government. Therefore the council has immediately embarked on a strategy for increasing its income from other sources, specifically by increasing council tax revenues through increasing the number of houses in the area. It should be noted that the proposals contain no details of plans to increase council expenditure to make improvements to such matters as schooling and medical care which would be needed to cope with the			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>increased population in the area, and this is indicative of the true nature of the council's intentions.</p> <p>And in terms of the time-frame for the consultation process, could there possibly be a more unreasonable and arbitrary deadline for the submission of comments on the proposals than 24 December? Most people have very busy lives and simply do not have the time to give these matters their fullest consideration during most of the year. The one time that they would have had such an opportunity would have been Christmas week, and it seems to me that the council was obviously fearful that local residents would actually have the time to consider the full enormity of these proposals during that period. This also shows what the 'localism' agenda will mean in practice: less scrutiny of councils by central government and the councils notionally welcoming more local involvement in local decision-making whilst at the same time making it as difficult as possible for local people to have their say on controversial matters.</p> <p>The proposals also contain a lot of contrived arguments to attempt to justify plans. An example is the statement that the area 'is popular with older people, leading to a significant imbalance in its age structure'. Older people will always be attracted to quieter areas, and younger people will always be attracted to livelier areas, and for that reason it is a good thing that all population centres do not have the same appearance and identity. The</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>statement implies that the council believes that all areas should contain a balanced age structure, but if it does genuinely believe this to be desirable then where are the counter-balancing proposals to make other areas suitable for elderly people? Again this would require expenditure on the part of the council and the lack of any detailed proposals provides further proof of the true intention behind these plans.</p> <p>There is a huge amount of waste in local government, especially the massive proportion of council tax revenues which are spent on local government salaries and pensions, but that will be the very last area made to suffer the consequences of the reduction in central government funding. Doubtless the council will first make cuts to services for the weak and vulnerable, especially the elderly, who do not have the strength or vigour to protest in the same way as we have seen in recent weeks from students.</p> <p>There is little doubt that, if these housing proposals do not go ahead, there will be even greater cuts to front-line services for the weak and vulnerable than those currently announced. Nevertheless that cannot justify changing the face and nature of an area forever, especially when so many savings could be made by cutting out the huge amount of waste and inefficiency that exists in local government administration.</p>			
503315	Mr Kenneth		<a href="#">CSO8335</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Wood									
503347	Ms Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO8362</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503355	Mr Robert Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO8392</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503358	H C Hoare		<a href="#">CSO8421</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503378	Mr A Bouyer		<a href="#">CSO8461</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503395	Ian Davis		<a href="#">CSO8489</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Loss of Green Belt would be a travesty. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would produce 1.5 vehicles per household, which results in 1000+ more vehicles on the roads. A queue of 1000 vehicles would stretch from Plowmans to Haskins (approx 2 miles). Major roadworks required at a cost of several million pounds, medical, shops, schools, youth amenities, leisure etc, etc.			895
503408	Steve Williams		<a href="#">CSO8512</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		It seems unbelievable that any new homes should be considered to be built in this general area - bearing in mind the current traffic problems alone! More houses and people means more sewage, more waste, more eco stuff that will go to the eco recycling plant at E Parley, which already stinks to high heaven! Then there will be the new road scheme for the users of the new super-dupe airport. It will soon look like where I come from - Hounslow. Where			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							will I move to next for quality of life? I did not rely on a new house. I bought an existing house - second hand.			
503431	Phil Surridge		<a href="#">CSO8532</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The developer's contributions are standard practice, without them Councils do not grant planning permission anyway. Parley Cross is already one of the busiest lighting crossroads in the County and consideration should be given to how traffic can be diverted, not increased! West Parley is a quiet (aside traffic) parish with a subtle peacefulness. This will be completely destroyed with permission given to developments of this size and nature. Has your Sites of Special Scientific Interest policy been considered? (SSSI)			895
503444	R Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO8563</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503453	Paul and Julie Williamson		<a href="#">CSO8559</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503462	Mrs S Clarke		<a href="#">CSO8589</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503474	Mr and Mrs T J Roffe		<a href="#">CSO8614</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
503476	Mrs AM Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO8622</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503479	Robert Eastham		<a href="#">CSO8643</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503482	Mr Ron Hopkins		<a href="#">CSO8666</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503518	C Skipton		<a href="#">CSO8695</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
503527	Claire Smith		<a href="#">CSO8702</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		No objection to low density housing developments which are more sustainable environmentally for transport. Existing large housing sites should be utilised where they remain unused. GREEN BELT MUST BE PRESERVED.			895
503529	Mrs Leggett		<a href="#">CSO8703</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503554	Mr D Verguson		<a href="#">CSO8747</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503598	Mr John Turner		<a href="#">CSO8766</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503603	Mrs DJ Morley		<a href="#">CSO8790</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503621	A G Haines		<a href="#">CSO8832</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		FWP 1 and 2 - Is a Green Belt gap between Ferndown and Longham really needed more than houses? The 'Green Belt' concept originated to inhibit ribbon development joining built-up areas. It does not HAVE to be regarded as 'sacro-sanct'! As I'm sure you are perfectly aware, all developments I have ticked require serious consideration to the whole			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							road network in the areas concerned.			
503624	Mr RT Jackson		<a href="#">CSO8841</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503635	Mr J Gough		<a href="#">CSO8893</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503639	Mrs and Mr M Stevens		<a href="#">CSO8937</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503640	N J and S A White		<a href="#">CSO9043</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503644	Mr J Riley		<a href="#">CSO8967</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
503657	Mrs S Harrison		<a href="#">CSO8970</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503659	Mr JD Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO8991</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503663	D and L Scott		<a href="#">CSO8998</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503674	S Hoare		<a href="#">CSO9013</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503687	Mr Nick Smith		<a href="#">CSO9058</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley cannot cope with current levels of traffic. Any development in this area will be a disaster. All the developments are too big, with too much impact.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503689	Mr and Mrs Dunnings		<a href="#">CSO9055</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503690	Ms Clare Parvin		<a href="#">CSO9113</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503696	Mr J and Mrs V Morum		<a href="#">CSO9094</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503712	Mr B Barton		<a href="#">CSO9147</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503717	Mr and Mrs L Grounsell		<a href="#">CSO9164</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		4 and 5 floodplains. 1, 2 and 3 exit onto Bournemouth circular road and not on.			895
503725	G A Hughes		<a href="#">CSO9214</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
503736	Mr and Mrs L D Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9184</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We, like most residents in West Parley are horrified and concerned at the possibility that any of these developments will proceed. As a Council please remember that the reason most residents moved here was for an improved quality of life - ie less traffic and less demand on services. Please, please- do not turn us into a large conurbation attached to the edge of Bournemouth. West Parley already has the prospect of increased activity at the airport and the associated impact that will have on the existing infrastructure. As Councillors, please don't let this be your legacy - when we voted for you, we trusted you to do what is right.			895
503759	Mr		<a href="#">CSO9241</a>	Option		No				895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	D.J. Middleton			FWP 1		Opinion				
503763	K S Turner		<a href="#">CSO9201</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Where do these people live who suggest this area is suitable for housing? Not in this area. ED News No buildings should be considered until the infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Milhams Tip once a week etc. No motorway through Parley Cross please. The meaning of a formal space and park, valuable green infrastructure??? We have delightful green space. You seem to want to concrete it. FWP 1, 2, 3 not high density			895
503766	James Simmonds		<a href="#">CSO9218</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
503787	Mrs P A Dent		<a href="#">CSO9266</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am totally against the development of large housing estates as they are not popular with the public. Also the cost of providing infrastructure will be phenomenal and impact on existing communities detrimental. Far better to use small existing areas of land rubber stamped as Green belt land but of no benefit to the community. These parcels are mainly within residential areas and give immediate access to facilities without overloading them. With a small number of houses built, people can get to know their neighbours better. I, of course, am part owner of such a piece of land.			895
503835	Lynda and		<a href="#">CSO9283</a>	Option	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	David Phillips			FWP 1						
503842	Paul and Sharon Strange		<a href="#">CSO9289</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503846	Mr Anthony Hose		<a href="#">CSO9305</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503847	Jenny Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9302</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		West Parley has no community focus - its notable features are main roads to Bournemouth or the Airport and a row of shops. What facilities there are, such as land in FWP3 with extensive views, is inaccessible to the residents because of grazing leases. This could be a delightful resource for leisure - if well landscaped and provide more housing of which there is such a shortage.			895
503857	A J Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9316</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I believe the amount of development is still woefully inadequate to provide sufficient housing. Declaration of interests - I am a Landowner, Parent and a Christian. In the interest of justice and equality I would like my views to carry more weight than those of protesters. My family have been stewards of the land since before there was a Planning Authority and before noisy minorities moved here. We have forgone other economic opportunities in order to own land. We have mended fences in the dark, cold, rainy nights and pulled up ragwort from sun-baked land on sweltering days. We have sheltered			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>wildlife, deer, foxes, badgers, owls, woodpeckers and buzzards and controlled rabbits. We have planted trees and cleared fallen ones after extraordinary storms. To say that my view is only equal to someone who has no commitment to the land, would be unjust and unfair.</p> <p>As a parent, I see my children's family life distorted by exorbitant house prices. I bought a 3 bedroomed house at the age of 22 that cost less than my annual salary. Young families must delay the age they have children, work when they would like to be caring for their babies, all because we cannot build sufficient houses to bring the cost down to a reasonable level.</p> <p>As a Christian, I work with the isolated elderly; their loneliness is exacerbated by their family being unable to afford to live close by. The assumption that they should move to be near their children, breaks their network of contacts which has already diminished by deaths.</p> <p>Secondly, young families cannot put sufficient effort into relationships and their spiritual welfare, if it is diverted to providing homes and shelter. This can cause break-up and extra need for housing.</p> <p>We need to build, not a compromise number of houses, but more than are needed so property prices will stabilise, or better still, fall. I do not believe Cadbury could build Bourneville now!</p> <p>As a democracy, we are unable to make a statesman-like decision, and short term interests prevail. If these 'No</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Building Views' had been held 50 years ago the protesters would have no house here to live in. Please make a survey of people without houses. Then I believe the democratic majority of them would say 'Build, Build, Build'.</p> <p>In Summary</p> <p>As a landowner, I would like you to build more houses. I will look out at them and enjoy the landscaped paths around them.</p> <p>As a parent, I implore you to build more houses to help our children.</p> <p>As a Christian, I want you to care for the marginalised that are too busy trying to get by to campaign.</p>			
359954	Mr Alan FLINT		<a href="#">CSO10009</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I want to know the projected time scale for these options to start to become active.</li> <li>2. It is most important that there is adequate employment to accommodate the houses to be built.</li> <li>3. More attention must be made to supply of affordable housing.</li> <li>4. The green belt must be respected where possible.</li> <li>5. The estates around Wimborne must be consulted fully.</li> </ol>			895
360029	Mr David LANIGAN		<a href="#">CSO10281</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Christchurch &amp; East Dorset Core Strategy Document, Managing Growth and Development up to the year 2027. I agree with the preamble stating that we need to meet local housing needs, with the emphasis on growing the main settlements where we have good</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>access to facilities that people require. Some development of smaller communities should continue however, especially the provision of low cost housing. The community as a whole needs the services of teachers, nurses, firemen, postmen, and policemen who are poorly paid because they commit to a vocation rather than a high salary job. We also need the services of skilled people such as builders, electricians, plumbers, gas fitters, and motor mechanics, the essentially blue collar professionals. They must not be priced out of the market in terms of being able to buy a starter home, in the community that they serve.</p> <p>Having bought my first home in 1963, a two bedroom bungalow for £2,200 I am aware that locally such a property is currently one hundred times as much. This makes things difficult for young couples with the ratio of house prices to wages being the highest in the country. Many struggle with getting mortgages that now require typically a 25% deposit on an already expensive property.</p> <p>I moved to West Moors 15 years ago and appreciate the planning decisions that have taken place affecting this village. We now have a new build shopping centre on Station Road, complete with flats, good pavements, lighting, pelican crossing and trees. I am pleased to have seen built several small, three storey, blocks of retirement and two bed flats close to the village centre. I support the gradual</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>expansion of the Village, current population around 7,500 as we have good schools, a large Memorial hall, Churches with halls, two public houses, doctors, dentists and good bus services to both Poole and Bournemouth. We have footpath access to open land such as Holt Heath, as well as being able to use the old railway line route through the village to Ringwood, - the Castleman Trail. Recently St Marys Church has had built a new Church Hall which will serve the community and thanks to the National Lottery grant we now have an excellent Bowling Club. I support the continued slow expansion of the village as currently we have many facilities for people of all ages to enjoy.</p> <p>My current house was built in the mid-70s, and has trebled in value since my wife and I moved here 15 years ago. In the 1970s West Moors doubled in size and acquired new schools and since then other infrastructure improvements. In recent years the Library was refurbished. The facilities for retirees in West Moors, with access to Ferndown and Verwood, are very good, and coupled with excellent hospitals at Poole, Bournemouth and Wimborne, and I have felt no need to move from East Dorset area in the conceivable future.</p> <p>I therefore support the proposals for additional housing as outlined in the Core Strategy Consultation, as well as the proposals for business sites for employment. I also support provisions</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>for new schools particularly a new Secondary School at Verwood, and recreation areas to cater for the population increase. I understand that net immigration into Dorset is running at two thousand people a year so clearly we need to plan for housing, jobs, education, recreation, road improvements especially at busy junctions, and off road car parking in town centres and on new housing estates.</p> <p>There are many reasons why East Dorset and Christchurch, are attractive places for people to live, work and retire to. Long term planning that caters for the needs of a steady population increase is sensible and is to be welcomed.</p>			
361105	Mr John GOOCH	Also member of Colehill Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO9909</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503861	Mr E Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO9338</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503864	Heather Freeman		<a href="#">CSO9337</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The roads are already congested these developments would massively increase the problems. Schools, doctors, dentist and many other community services would need to increase to support these developments. West Parley village would be lost and existing house prices lowered.</p> <p>This massive increase of a further 840 homes to the area would have a great</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>effect on the already congested roads and access to these areas, considering that most homes have at least 1 if not more cars per family!</p> <p>Local schools, doctor's surgeries, dentists and other community and council provided services and amenities would be unable to cope with the increase in demand due to the increase population, requiring these services to be developed to accommodate the demand.</p> <p>Child care services, play areas and social facilities would also have to increase to accommodate the increase of young children within these areas, together with supermarkets, local shops, post offices and so much more!</p> <p>As the proposal includes a large number of affordable housing, for people on low incomes and benefits, public transport would also be affected and would need to be addressed.</p> <p>Due to the massive increase in affordable housing within an area which is full of privately owned properties, house prices of existing properties within West Parley would be seriously affected. Existing property values would be lowered so affecting the current population which have worked and saved hard to move into this sought after area.</p> <p>The 'village/country' feel of West Parley and particularly Parley Cross would be lost and overtaken by busier roads, modern housing developments and a different type of resident that exists today.</p> <p>Our green belt areas which have</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>diminished over the years will once again be massively reduced.</p> <p>The wildlife in the area will be seriously affected; the nesting buzzards which hunt and feed within the fields at Parley Cross would be forced out of their existing habitat and would lose their hunting grounds.</p> <p>The small herd of deer seen regularly grazing in the fields at Parley Cross would also lose their grazing lands and would be forced to move to another area.</p> <p>The main reason we moved into Church Lane, West Parley was the fact that we fell in love with the village and rural feel of the area. We worked and saved very hard and put everything we owned into buying our property so that our children would grow up enjoying the feeling of living in a rural/country environment. To hopefully help them become better adults, showing respect for their environment, neighbours and community.</p> <p>We moved from Winton, which in areas is over populated with houses, cars and people. Where people live in each other's pockets, properties have small gardens and where parking of vehicles is a major problem due to limited or in some cases no off road parking facilities. In an area where there was a very mixed type of resident, some privately owned properties, some rented to working families, students or DSS tenants, neighbour conflicts were very common. These developments will put 'us' back in the type of environment that was the</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>reason for us moving to West Parley in the first place!</p> <p>We understand that not all affordable housing is occupied by 'badly behaved residents' but unfortunately these types of developments do encourage this type of resident.</p> <p>If these developments take place the 'old' West Parley and Parley Cross will be lost forever!</p> <p>We seem to have so few green belt areas left which feel part of our community, so little green areas within our built up 'lives', it would be such a shame to reduce these even more....</p>			
503869	Mrs Jean Khan		<a href="#">CSO9361</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
503877	Mr and Mrs T E C Hales		<a href="#">CSO9372</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		<p>I have noted the sites on your applications and would make the following comments:</p> <p>1) All the proposals are not viable unless there is either a bridged flyover or an underpass at Canford Bottom roundabout.</p> <p>2) At present, Ferndown High Street/ Ringwood Road is always congested, Relief could be obtained if heavy lorries were not allowed through, but had to use the A31 Ferndown bypass.</p> <p>3) Items FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are no go with the present system and would be very doubtful even if items 1 and 2 were carried out.</p> <p>4) FWP2 should be supported also FWP1 is a feasible development.</p> <p>5) Final comment please do not let anyone lower the speed limit through</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Ferndown to 30mph; even under present conditions this would bring traffic to long hold ups.			
503878	Mr Peter Smith		<a href="#">CSO9395</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503879	Mr S Smithson		<a href="#">CSO9422</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
503943	Mr & Mrs Rum ball		<a href="#">CSO9448</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
504093	Mr & Mrs Vivian		<a href="#">CSO9478</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
504101	Mrs Mary Treviss-Bell		<a href="#">CSO9505</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
504285	Mr P Miller		<a href="#">CSO9640</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Density - particularly FWP2. To achieve the densities you are proposing will be disastrously small - this is churning out rabbit hutches. Infrastructure. On the basis of 7 movements per property this amounts to almost 6000 additional movements per day on roads that are already overloaded. Highways works will only be tinkering with a situation that cannot cope with a minor accident or road works. Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet DENSITY particularly FWP2; 45 units of the quality of those existing is ludicrous. INFRASTRUCTURE-The existing			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							roads do not cope at present-one small accident or road works seizes the whole area up solid. You are proposing with all 5 areas something in the region of an extra 6000 car movements per day onto these already inadequate roads which cannot be improved sufficient to cope.			
504314	Ms Selina Roper		<a href="#">CSO9711</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
504549	Dan Stone		<a href="#">CSO9786</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
505273	Mrs Lorraine Hubbard		<a href="#">CSO9847</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
505288	Mrs S Cramer		<a href="#">CSO9883</a>	Option FWP 1	Support	General Comment	As a married mother of 3 children, 2 girls and 1 boy, living in a 2 bed Council flat (first floor), I welcome more housing to the Wimborne area for young families needing affordable housing / rented housing.			895
505320	Mrs M Puttick		<a href="#">CSO9935</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - This area of East Dorset has been over developed for some years, making traffic congestion and access to all services unacceptably crowded.			895
505354	Mr Tim Edwards		<a href="#">CSO9955</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
505369	J Young		<a href="#">CSO9982</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
505506	Mr		<a href="#">CSO10058</a>	Option	Object	General	Building more houses has NOTHING			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Peter Hendra			FWP 1		Comment	to do with meeting the needs of (existing) local residents. We do not need or want more houses in our area. Planning to build more houses is driven by the 'need' to meet externally imposed quotas based on false assumptions on the desirability of further population and economic growth. Instead of wasting resources on building more housing which is ecologically unsustainable, we should be using them to make a transition to a low energy sustainable future for our children. Please listen to local residents and reject further development.			
505523	Mr & Mrs A Turner		<a href="#">CSO10082</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Can only really comment on Ferndown/West Parley and Wimborne areas. The potential for increase in traffic and harm to local ecology is biggest threat to West Parley and Longham. Traffic is already heavy - while commuting to Bournemouth a 20 min journey can take 45 mins. The strain on local infrastructure to doctors, schools and other sources will make life more uncomfortable.			895
505561	Mr D. Calvert		<a href="#">CSO10109</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
505590	M Spalding		<a href="#">CSO10138</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
505681	Mr Nick		<a href="#">CSO10174</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and Wimborne are already over developed. On top of that the			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lewis						road access via A31 west of Ringwood is dreadful at rush hour and persons and building 1000.s of new homes will make this situation worse and cause complete gridlock. The traffic noise from the A31 is awful. The road needs to be re-surfaced between Ashley Heath and Ferndown.			
505742	L. J. Ashplant		<a href="#">CSO10200</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
505786	Mr and Mrs P Frampton		<a href="#">CSO10224</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Christchurch Road is already chaotic with all these proposed homes leading to this road it will be a nightmare! Affordable housing means terraced housing reducing the good housing we now enjoy. Leading to lowering of property values. Where does Ferndown come into this equation? It seems to me it's all West Parley. Surely there are many other areas in Ferndown that could lower the blow. Or are care homes and warden operated flats too good a money making area for Ferndown.			895
505802	Mr RGH Chapman		<a href="#">CSO10251</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
505808	D. C. J. Turner		<a href="#">CSO10238</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		No Building should be considered until the total infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Millhams tip once a week etc, the meaning of a formal park and valuable green infrastructure? We have delightful green space you seem to want to concrete it!			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
506116	Mr and Mrs P and SJ Simpson		<a href="#">CSO10302</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
506161	Mr RD Holyoake		<a href="#">CSO10325</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Any more development within Dorset's existing built up areas will end with a complete gridlock of traffic.			895
506196	Mr & Mrs P Stout		<a href="#">CSO10354</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
506754	Mr Derek E Marsh		<a href="#">CSO10382</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507026	Mr David Craig		<a href="#">CSO10434</a>	Option FWP 1	Support	General Comment	I would like to see priority given to projects which have a higher concentration of social housing inclusion, and also include a higher green/renewable energy provision. Particular concentration on family areas, playgrounds and social/community facilities should be considered.			895
507032	Mr David Oliver		<a href="#">CSO10460</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507033	A R Twaits		<a href="#">CSO10486</a>	Option FWP 1	Support	General Comment	Despite improvements to public transport, cycling and walking, the reality is that the vast majority of journeys will continue to be made by car. Local roads are already congested and the extent of development proposed is not acceptable without significant increases in the capacity of these roads for cars.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							In particular problems on the Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses need to be resolved, and the A31 to Poole link built.			
507034	Mr Ronald Webb		<a href="#">CSO10503</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507070	Mr and Mrs Earwicker		<a href="#">CSO10510</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Homes Development - Ferndown and West Parley: - Sites 1 and 2 are small enough not to have too large an impact on traffic and amenities, however we strongly object to any development at Parley Cross. Our other concern is 'affordable housing', mixing private homes for purchase with housing for persons who may not be as conscientious as their neighbours at looking after their homes etc. More development for these sorts of property should be at the Heatherlands Estate.			895
507132	Ms L Joyce		<a href="#">CSO10529</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
507170	Miss A K Jukes		<a href="#">CSO10586</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
361037	Mr P STRATFORD		<a href="#">CSO11267</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I expect that my objection to everything is not very practical. However, I have lived in the area for most of the last fifty years. In that time there has been a constant cycle of the council saying we need more housing, followed by we need more industrial areas to provide extra jobs for all the new homes. Then			895



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>in turn the council says we require extra jobs for the new homes, and then in turn the council says we require extra homes for the new workers. This process is never ending. During this time I've witnessed the creation and expansion of the industrial estates at Ferndown, Uddens, Ebblake and Wimborne. A whole new housing estate at Tricketts Cross, Glenmoor Rd Ferndown, and the expansion of West Moors, Colehill, and Verwood turned from sleepy village into a town. In this time I am not aware of any developed area being returned to its natural state from a developed one. Please let me know if you think differently. I hope you agree that my objection to development is rational and that the never ending cycle of expansion is stopped.</p> <p>All the suggested sites would add to the traffic volume at the crossroads and surrounding area, these are already congested. The increased development of the area means it will soon just look like a suburb of Bournemouth.</p> <p>NOW IS THE TIME FOR IT TO STOP!</p>			
475526	Mr Geoffrey Dark		<a href="#">CSO10949</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
507218	Mr and Mrs J Smith		<a href="#">CSO10617</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
507261	Barnes		<a href="#">CSO10647</a>	Option FWP 1	Object	General Comment	Having lived in West Parley for 16 years, the increase in traffic has been			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							colossal. To build so many dwellings on local land is sheer lunacy as the infrastructure will not cope with it all and it will spoil a lovely rural area, already trying to cope with increased traffic problems due to airport expansion. There has been no mention of new schools either, which will have to be built to accommodate all these new families.			
507286	Mr N P Butler		<a href="#">CSO10694</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507336	Mr John Page		<a href="#">CSO10756</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507356	Mr and Mrs M Moody		<a href="#">CSO10850</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507363	Mr Kevin Sayer		<a href="#">CSO10868</a>	Option FWP 1	Object	General Comment	The area alongside the A31 between St Leonards Hospital and Tricketts Cross is an area where many houses could be built. Currently this area is an eye-sore and gives a bad impression to visitors as they cross into Dorset from Hampshire. Why are nearly all your proposals on greenbelt land? There are many areas in East Dorset on non-greenbelt land which could be built on. Your proposal (FWP 4 and 5) will put more pressure on Parley Common as well as introduce more traffic on an already busy road system.			895
507388	Mr		<a href="#">CSO10905</a>	Option	Object					895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	David Huggins			FWP 1						
507463	Mr and Mrs G Hirst		<a href="#">CSO11000</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
507474	Mark and Jean Cording		<a href="#">CSO11036</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>1) We are still due a correctment in housing prices; housing benefits have artificially underpinned the bubble. The so called shortage of housing is also caused by breaking up of the family unit (teenagers and young people do not need their own homes)</p> <p>2) The Green Belt must be protected, a temporary supposed need would and could not be reversed. There are hundreds of brown sites which could be utilised, without the need for more disruptive and expensive infrastructures.</p> <p>3) Green Belt land was created to protect open land between and around developments. We anticipated development and councils saying they need more land, it was and is vital so please do not change the policy. Times change, needs change, populations (immigration and emigration) demands disappear but green land cannot be replaced.</p>			895
507516	D Tyler		<a href="#">CSO11064</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The introduction of nearly 400 homes FWP1, FWP3, FWP4 would cause traffic chaos at both Parley X and Longham R/Bs both of which now affect the whole area bottlenecks. Holmwood Park and Poor Common would feel the effects of building at FWP1, never mind an extra 50-85 cars</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and families. Are there no brownfield sites available? Has the minimal wildlife that clings to an already disturbed existence, be further decimated. Do these proposals include health centres and schools? Shops?			
507524	Mr C G Richardson		<a href="#">CSO11080</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507555	Mr and Mrs C Lamond		<a href="#">CSO11107</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507572	GJ Pettifer		<a href="#">CSO11135</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am firmly opposed to any significant housing development in West Parley for the following reasons: 1) Traffic increase arising from up to 850 houses will be quite unsustainable. Improving the x roads will make little difference because the junction is affected by traffic from Christchurch Road, New Road and the Airport. New Rd is also used as a substitute for the Wessex Way whenever that road has a problem. Roadworks in the area, up to 1 mile away always affect Parley Cross. 2) Further housing with its associated extra population and needs, will destroy the remaining pleasant character of West Parley. It will follow Ferndown as an overcrowded sprawl with insufficient infrastructure. 3) No mention of the Dormy! This is enough to contend with, thank you.			895
507652	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO11200</a>	Option	Object		My Ferndown and West Parley			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Isabel Brooks			FWP 1			objections are mainly due to the fact that there are already NO school places locally for extra families and that this area is already under extra 'stress' environmentally. What about MORE allotments?			
507659	Ms Victoria Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO11218</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507693	Mrs P Carter		<a href="#">CSO11266</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507789	Mr CJ Barrett		<a href="#">CSO11443</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
507800	Mrs K M Platt		<a href="#">CSO11471</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507980	Sylvia Allen		<a href="#">CSO11588</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Any extra traffic at Parley Cross and Longham would be unbearable without major road improvements. Re more homes at Parley Cross.			895
508383	Ms Emma Hayter		<a href="#">CSO11702</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Think it is important for East Dorset to have affordable housing. At the moment I work in East Dorset and am looking to buy my first home, places in East Dorset are very expensive and I have to look outside the local area. I really don't want to move too far from my job.			895
508413	Mrs S Best		<a href="#">CSO11762</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508455	Mr W Swingler		<a href="#">CSO11800</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The traffic is bad now. The road network is nil. No schools. Not enough doctors. No. No. No.			895
508478	M Hewlett		<a href="#">CSO11826</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Can there be a road from Dudsbury Heights looping to New Road behind the houses to relieve congestion at Parley traffic lights.			895
508482	Mrs K Mills		<a href="#">CSO11832</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
508488	Mrs JA Levasseur		<a href="#">CSO11838</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown is built up enough and congested enough as it is. Affordable housing should have been built instead of all the care homes. This has encouraged vast numbers of elderly- who is going to care and pay for them?			895
360116	Mr A G KING		<a href="#">CSO11939</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		The above 3 options 1-2-3 would produce a total of 340 houses with no visual impact on the area as they are all partially hidden. FWP5 is the worst as it joins Ferndown to Bournemouth. FWP4 should be resisted.			895
360910	Mrs Fiona BAKER		<a href="#">CSO12904</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The volume of traffic on New Road is already excessively high. Having spent 2 years marketing our New Road home congestion has already had a negative impact on the saleability and value of our property. These plans would further exacerbate this situation.			895
360952	Mr and Mrs GR and JE MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO12050</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
361014	Mr		<a href="#">CSO12409</a>	Option	Object		Bearing in mind that the building of			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Peter Hammond			FWP 1			new homes on Poor Common went ahead despite the objections of local residents, the Environment Ministry and the Transport Ministry and the fact that new houses will soon be built on the Dormy Hotel site, none of these options should be considered. The area between Award Road and Stapehill Road on Wimborne Road West should be used.			
361062	Mr & Mrs ENH COLLIER		<a href="#">CSO12903</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
475494	Mr Alan Macdonald		<a href="#">CSO12743</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We demand no building in the existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ houses or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			895
481604	Mr Gerald Toomer		<a href="#">CSO11820</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
483371	R Davies		<a href="#">CSO12684</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
494723	Mr D Brittain		<a href="#">CSO12656</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
496659	Mr & Mrs Tony & Hilary Hendy		<a href="#">CSO11735</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The Green Belt should stay Green.			895
504776	Mr and Mrs T R Jenner		<a href="#">CSO11793</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
507759	Peter and Dee Houghton		<a href="#">CSO11353</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Too much on top of the "Poor Common" development in recent years. Green belt gap eroded. The roads cannot cope at present. Proposed development will cause massive additional pressure/peak time delay.			895
507760	Mr and Mrs R Gardner		<a href="#">CSO11354</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		More amenities before any more buildings			895
508549	R and S Gooden		<a href="#">CSO11896</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
508562	K Clayson		<a href="#">CSO11911</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		More houses will cause a huge increase on an already busy main road network.			895
508578	David and Carolyn Winsall		<a href="#">CSO11922</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		FWP4 and 5 would take less traffic issue. Christchurch Rd and Longham in particular is plagued with traffic. We need some relief not more cars. Can't move now for traffic at Haskins roundabout.			895
508590	Mr Jamie Ball		<a href="#">CSO11952</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Building houses on the open space we have reduces the open space we have. We are a young family who have moved to the area because of the open space. If you reduce the open space we have by building more			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							houses on it you will force us to move elsewhere. This whole consideration of development options will create a negative effect on everyone that already live in the area. We are totally against this proposed set of plans.			
508601	KA Cook		<a href="#">CSO11968</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		There is little doubt that more housing is needed and a number of smaller developments seem more attractive than the large one (FWP5). However, without the necessary transport infrastructure to support the increased activity during and after these developments, there will always be public hostility. Better bus services and cycle facilities are key to limiting road traffic increases.			895
508605	J Ames		<a href="#">CSO11979</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
508634	Jacqueline Legg		<a href="#">CSO12010</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
508649	Mr J Tucker		<a href="#">CSO12026</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
508674	Mrs C Winsall		<a href="#">CSO12068</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Traffic on junction of Christchurch Rd Longham and Ringwood Road is extreme. This is untenable and Longham is very noisy and dangerous with traffic. You can't get across the road even. Also Longham will blend into Ferndown and should not. We have lost Poor Common to development and gained a hotel			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							already.			
508685	Mr and Mrs DG Spivey		<a href="#">CSO12083</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
508708	Gary and Sue Peskett		<a href="#">CSO12106</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Major road improvements required before any of these proposals can take place-plus updated school and medical provision required.			895
508712	SR Lambden		<a href="#">CSO12119</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
508717	Mrs A Young		<a href="#">CSO12137</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
508828	Mr & Mrs Hardiman		<a href="#">CSO12164</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and West Parley have not got the infrastructure to support such a large housing development. The roads at Parley Cross and around Hurn Airport at peak times come to stand still and cannot support the traffic volumes. The green belt in and around Ferndown has already been reduced considerably in size and the fields at West Parley flood at high rain fall. So to these developments we say No. So we hope EDDC like us would like to see Ferndown and West Parley stay the beautiful and peaceful area that it already is. Please think about the people that already live in this area. Thank you.			895
508835	Mrs E.L. Stratford		<a href="#">CSO12185</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The Council is always after land for housing or business development. Whatever is granted is never enough and a few years later they want more.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							This process has been going on for over 50 years and it is time it came to a stop. Enough is enough as it is ruining the area.			
508852	Mrs L.A. Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO12239</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
508860	Mrs Sue Cartlidge		<a href="#">CSO12274</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
508866	Mr and Mrs Penny and Vincent Smith		<a href="#">CSO12794</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
508887	Mr J.S. Kidd		<a href="#">CSO12309</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
508966	Mrs Mansell		<a href="#">CSO12381</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
508993	Mr A Samways		<a href="#">CSO12425</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509065	Mrs B Burge		<a href="#">CSO12495</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509281	Mr Paul Molloy		<a href="#">CSO12601</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509299	Mr R Moore		<a href="#">CSO12615</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509318	P Bamborough		<a href="#">CSO12634</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
509453	Mrs H Stallard		<a href="#">CSO12670</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
509489	CD Raine		<a href="#">CSO12688</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The roads are already congested. FWP1 and FWP2 can only make matters significantly worse.			895
509504	Mr and Mrs Lewis		<a href="#">CSO12703</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509533	Mr M Mason		<a href="#">CSO12716</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Too many people on roads, pressure on jobs, hospitals, doctors, dentists, schools, etc The only way we would support this is for only low cost affordable housing for local people not rich outsiders. Why not use existing properties that are empty and need refurbishing?			895
509543	Ms Beryl MacDonald		<a href="#">CSO12727</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We demand no building in existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road traffic conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ homes or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			895
509611	Mrs M		<a href="#">CSO12758</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Toomer									
509658	Ms Carole McLean		<a href="#">CSO12772</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
509754	Mr Brian Smith		<a href="#">CSO12779</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509776	Ms Jane Foulger		<a href="#">CSO12789</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509784	Mr Nathan Winfield		<a href="#">CSO12805</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509795	Mr R Ridley		<a href="#">CSO12813</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Please ensure you get the minimum affordable houses, Ferndown badly needs these. The developments should be houses and not flats.			895
509811	Mrs J Waugh		<a href="#">CSO12829</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
509818	Mr and Mrs A Cooper		<a href="#">CSO12839</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ratio of affordable housing extremely high Parley Cross completely gridlocked every day, proposal would introduce approx a further 300-400 vehicles on an already congested area. FWP1 would encroach on the existing green area which would gradually join Ferndown to Longham The number of approx housing per site would indicate small, terraced properties.			895
509829	Mr		<a href="#">CSO12844</a>	Option	Object		We live in Parley Close and we have a			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Andrew Taylor			FWP 1			hard job crossing the road now, it will be impossible if these places are built.			
360097	Mr John DOWLING		<a href="#">CSO14495</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
361035	Mrs H.L O'SULLIVAN		<a href="#">CSO13011</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
361161	Mr Douglas FORWARD		<a href="#">CSO13604</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
509843	Mr and Mrs Brian and Dorothy Adams		<a href="#">CSO12861</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		It appears that the West Parley area South and South West of Parley Cross is expected to take the entire share of any new development. Where is the contribution from our neighbours? This throws the greater burden of schools, medical and environmental issues on this small area. The map supplied looks like the introduction to an episode of Dad's Army with development arrows pointing menacingly towards West Parley Crossroads. The perception is that West Parley is an easy option; you surely know and will be told from your survey that nobody wants an expansion of this magnitude, a quiet village to double in size? No Way! If this is an enforced situation with no true discussion then the process will become one of rearranging the deck chairs on the West Parley Titanic. It is surely necessary to study the layout again and examine where provision already exists and then look for			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							available space. Not an easy task but the tail should not wag the dog in this way.			
509859	Mrs Sperling		<a href="#">CSO12874</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
509897	Mrs Rachel Harding		<a href="#">CSO12885</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We live on Christchurch Road, which is extremely busy and would not be able to cope with increased traffic. We moved out of town on purpose to a semi-rural area and do not want to see new housing developments. Developments should take place in towns that have the infrastructure to cope West Parley does not.			895
509934	Miss Nixon		<a href="#">CSO12928</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		There are already thousands of cars, lorries etc. going through Parley Cross Christchurch Rd etc! It's extremely dangerous crossing the roads and the immense volume of cars, inevitably cause accidents! Putting these houses here will cause nothing but heart ache and problems to existing residence!			895
509939	Mr and Mrs Barton		<a href="#">CSO12932</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Infrastructure will need to be in place before any major development takes place. Development of FWP 1 and 2 would eventually lead to further building on the Holmwood Park green belt area.			895
509977	A Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO12957</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
510026	Ms Tracy Ryan		<a href="#">CSO12971</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
510055	R		<a href="#">CSO12986</a>	Option	Support					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Elliott			FWP 1						
510070	Mr David Humphrey		<a href="#">CSO12996</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		1, 2 will greatly reduce green belt between West Parley and surrounding areas losing local identity.			895
510084	Ms Elizabeth Morris		<a href="#">CSO13004</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		If any traffic is to be added to Christchurch Rd then there must be drastic improvements to traffic flow. In addition public transport must improve to stop people using cars.			895
510420	Mr Peter Stevens		<a href="#">CSO13153</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
510490	Ms Helen Banfield		<a href="#">CSO13240</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
510532	W.W. Chant		<a href="#">CSO13282</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
510623	Mr Douglas Priest		<a href="#">CSO13387</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
510798	Mr F Sullivan		<a href="#">CSO13530</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
510844	Mr Michael Guilmany-Cush		<a href="#">CSO13575</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
510873	Mr & Mrs B.R. Mayes		<a href="#">CSO13623</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
510974	Mr Jim		<a href="#">CSO13651</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Cullumbine									
510993	R.A. Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO13684</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511015	Mr Christopher White		<a href="#">CSO13732</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511052	Mrs B.J Bailey		<a href="#">CSO13767</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511066	Mr and Mrs D Legg		<a href="#">CSO13791</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511076	Mr Ian Burden		<a href="#">CSO13819</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511114	Mr Rob Gigg		<a href="#">CSO13837</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The area cannot support any more traffic. This area is very popular with horse riders who need to access the local bridleways; the levels of traffic already pose a significant danger. Use the out of town developments built for this purpose eg Verwood, not pile development on the towns.			895
511125	Mrs Christine Elliott		<a href="#">CSO13849</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		No more traffic please for Christchurch Road FWP 1 is encroaching even more on the green belts of Poor Common.			895
359971	Mr Alan Pickering		<a href="#">CSO14729</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
361121	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO14012</a>	Option		No				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Elizabeth JONES			FWP 1		Opinion				
475495	Mrs Hilary Barnett		<a href="#">CSO14573</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Object as land gets water logged, congestion of traffic and no facilities.			895
498818	Mr R White		<a href="#">CSO15370</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Ferndown and West Parley Housing leaflet There is not the infrastructure, schools or facilities in the local area that can support an influx of approximately 2000 proposed people into the area. The council has already voted these proposals out.			895
511399	Mr & Mrs Michael and Diana Froud		<a href="#">CSO14059</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511417	Mrs Marjorie Derrick		<a href="#">CSO14064</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511430	A.D. May		<a href="#">CSO14190</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
511489	Mr H G Holden		<a href="#">CSO14174</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511571	Colin Alborough		<a href="#">CSO14229</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		We are in desperate need of more affordable housing in the East Dorset area. There is also a shortage of employment opportunities in the area. The provision of more houses should help to push house prices down to give young people a change of getting on the property ladder.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511618	Mrs V Blunden		<a href="#">CSO14264</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511621	Ms Mary A Combe		<a href="#">CSO14275</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511692	Ms Ruth Blaug		<a href="#">CSO14411</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I			895
511711	Coombes		<a href="#">CSO14432</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511872	Ms Debbie Boyes/Osborne		<a href="#">CSO14544</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511881	Mr R Brookes		<a href="#">CSO14556</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511896	Mr David Elrick		<a href="#">CSO14585</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Traffic volumes on Christchurch Road are already high. Reason we moved (young family) to this area was due to more open areas and green belt.			895
511905	Mrs S Lanham		<a href="#">CSO14603</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
511910	Mr O.K Izzard		<a href="#">CSO14618</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Infrastructure plans need to be very clearly prepared and defined well before any development is approved.			895
511916	Mr Craig Baker		<a href="#">CSO14626</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		These developments are not required as there is sufficient housing stock in East Dorset. Ferndown will become part of the Bournemouth conurbation if these developments go ahead. New			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Road cannot take any more traffic. FWP 1 and 2 should not go ahead as we have built on Poor Common.			
511926	Mr John Beesley		<a href="#">CSO14640</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Infrastructure (mainly roads) is completely overstretched currently New Road/Christchurch Road/Ringwood Road/Ham Lane/A31 Wimborne- Canford Bottom what on earth will adding more housing and shops do to it. A radical road scheme is necessary eg widening of A31 from Ferndown to Poole into dual carriageway relieving Ringwood Road/New Road.			895
511937	Mr R Pogson		<a href="#">CSO14662</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		FWP 1 and 2 are very close to a site of nature conservation and would adversely impact the area. It is unlikely that the existing infrastructure would cope with the increase in population.			895
511953	Mr and Mrs Alan and Julie Ridout		<a href="#">CSO14686</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
511978	Mr Cummings		<a href="#">CSO14713</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512007	Mr Neil Hayward		<a href="#">CSO14741</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I have lived at West Parley for 8 years, anyone who proposes housing in this area must be living on another planet with traffic levels reaching saturation point along Parley Lane and New Road and the close proximity of Bournemouth airport, any development in this area would be an act of complete insanity.			895
512016	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO14768</a>	Option	Support		The transport infrastructure must be			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sue Willcocks			FWP 1			given priority and needs to be implemented before any development starts.			
512027	Mr and Mrs B.D Young		<a href="#">CSO14784</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
512034	Mr R.J Jerrett		<a href="#">CSO14799</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		This area needs more affordable houses and more jobs as most people in this area are not local and have moved from away, I cannot see how they can object. We need a new bridge at Longham also local road improvements before some of the work starts ie Parley Cross roundabout etc.			895
512069	Mr and Mrs Stephen Rideout		<a href="#">CSO14822</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Roads in these areas are at capacity, it takes us several minutes to safely get out of our drive onto Christchurch Road. 10 years ago this was not such a problem. Constant traffic and queuing does not add up to further development.			895
512083	Mrs D.C Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO14834</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512098	Mr and Mrs D and A Burch		<a href="#">CSO14847</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Development should be spread in order to maintain a semi-rural environment. Consideration should also ensure that all roads take the increased traffic. Green belts are essential maintaining independent districts.			895
512113	Mr Steve Kitson		<a href="#">CSO14870</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Roads, schools and general infrastructure will not support any large building of houses nor should the			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							green belt between Longham and Ferndown be compromised to any large extent. Ringwood Road and Casterbridge Road are already becoming busier as traffic increases and use cut throughs, this will be exacerbated with FWP 1.			
512129	Mr J.R Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO14889</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
512134	Mrs Anthea Scott		<a href="#">CSO14914</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I believe that the green belt as slim as it is should be protected at all costs - I do not think the gap between East Dorset and Bournemouth would be closed and we would end up with their quota of houses destined for our green belt. Hurn airport is always referred to as Bournemouth International Airport although Adventure Wonderland on the opposite side of the road is advertised as being in Hurn. We do not want to be swallowed up.			895
512281	Graham Roberts		<a href="#">CSO14993</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512315	Mr Roger J Heath		<a href="#">CSO14994</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
361124	Mrs M.E Brown		<a href="#">CSO16358</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
361196	Mr J.M BULLIVANT		<a href="#">CSO16276</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
511639	Paul		<a href="#">CSO16078</a>	Option	Object					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Hockey			FWP 1						
512325	Mr DM Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO15032</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512326	Mr Ian Willis		<a href="#">CSO15056</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512332	Mr John Neal		<a href="#">CSO15051</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
512344	Mr M Wyeth		<a href="#">CSO15072</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512351	Mr J.R Warnock		<a href="#">CSO15085</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	Not enough transport facilities ie buses more pressure on Parley cross roads which is already very busy. This is Green Belt area and should not be built on as there are very few left.			895
512363	Mr T Meads		<a href="#">CSO15108</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		It already takes up to 10 mins to get out of our drive with 25,000 movements per day. Now you want to add a further 3,000 cars per day and how would you like 45 neighbours. How will various services cope with all the extra?			895
512399	Mr and Mrs RD Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15130</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
512406	Mr and Mrs PE and JA Coward		<a href="#">CSO15136</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
512437	E		<a href="#">CSO15153</a>	Option	Object		I do not want to see loss of the fields.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Andrews			FWP 1						
512459	Sandra Davis		<a href="#">CSO15159</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Loss of green belt is my main concern. 700 homes in close proximity to traffic lights would be a nightmare for exiting the proposed estates. The airport will grow so will the traffic. We see queues to the lights at Parley of over a mile in all directions most mornings/evenings. The village will require all sorts of supporting facilities/infrastructure. The new cyclepath, lights to airport is infrequently used. You have FWP1-5 but description w.sub area, eastern sub area and central sub area- not very clear at all.			895
512477	Mr DJ Budden		<a href="#">CSO15170</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Cannot understand why PC9 is (not?) favourite for new homes. It has no existing residential border. Has much better trunk road access. Can benefit from money spent on forthcoming A31/B3073 roundabout improvements. Enjoys excellent bus services (No13 W and D every 30 mins to Wimborne, Ferndown and Bournemouth) Has local shops at Stapehill. To feed even more traffic direct onto A348 and B3073 is irresponsible. In any development it must be essential to maintain tree/shrub screen bordering A348 and B3073 to preserve rural nature, also only one single access road to/from each development.			895
512505	Ms Linda Dawson		<a href="#">CSO15175</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The Ringwood Road from Ferndown shopping centre thru Longham is already extremely congested. More houses mean more cars.			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512677	Mr P R Field		<a href="#">CSO15222</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I consider FWP1 to be totally unviable re location and over population of area together with noise and extra traffic issues.			895
513015	Mr Adrian Roscoe		<a href="#">CSO15246</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I feel that this is just a money generating scheme for the council. There is no way the roads could cope with the extra traffic as you have highlighted yet made no suggestion as to how to deal with it. Other issues would be schools, parks, medical facilities, dentists etc etc.			895
513028	Mrs M Wilson		<a href="#">CSO15259</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
513034	Mrs Cheryl Walters		<a href="#">CSO15271</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Can Ferndown schools, surgeries etc cope with any/all of the above? All would add to Ringwood Road traffic congestion and already maybe a problem.			895
513063	Mr I Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15296</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Existing development on Camellias not yet taken over by Council (roads). After considerable period of years water not taken over. Any further development seems inappropriate as current developments not finished and these developments would only add to the problem.			895
513085	Mr and Mrs B Harris		<a href="#">CSO15302</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
513091	Mr Paul Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO15307</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513126	Mr S.J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15328</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Look again at PC4 and PC9. West Parley is a mature village with few social pressures, having remained generally unchanged for many years. A recent survey of its 1600 households showed an overwhelming majority against this scheme and the pressures it will bring to transport, infrastructure. Local facilities and that the need to protect our green belt, heritage sites, nature and environment are paramount and take precedence over cash generation from building especially when other less sensitive areas for housing exist, but are not recommended because it appears they are not in the hands of rich developers and are therefore unable to fund other infrastructure projects. Transport road congestion is well above saturation levels. Christchurch Road, West Parley already suffers long tailbacks at all peak times such that a simple developer funded gyratory system is not likely to be an area solution especially when asked to cope with rising levels generally and a further anticipated 1200 vehicles entering/exiting the system from the proposed development. The conurbation needs a major upgrade via Highways Agency approval funding not a local sticking plaster funded on the back of further congestion!			895
513149	Mrs A.R.E Seaton		<a href="#">CSO15334</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Surely this area is congested enough.			895
513639	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO15438</a>	Option	Object					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	D J A Kirby			FWP 1						
513881	Mrs S Bagg		<a href="#">CSO15534</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
513897	Mrs Elizabeth Dawkins		<a href="#">CSO15541</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
513900	Mr P Wall		<a href="#">CSO15580</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Unfortunately more development more movement. A comprehensive transport policy should be developed eg. Road improvement (A31), bus and trams, rail links (restored).			895
513923	Mrs M Fraser		<a href="#">CSO15573</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		In the early morning till around 9am and late afternoon till about 6.30pm all around West Parley to New Road roundabout and up to Ferndown the traffic is awful. How on earth will anyone manage with these extra houses? No amount of transport improvements will help this, when the A338 is closed it will be and already is horrendous. I am on the flight path; this does not bother me all that dreadful traffic does.			895
513943	Mrs P Reeves		<a href="#">CSO15603</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
513974	Mr A Moore		<a href="#">CSO15810</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
514007	Ms Emily Allan		<a href="#">CSO15679</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514014	Mr Colin Cable		<a href="#">CSO15704</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Over the past 20 years the roads between Canford Bottom across to the A338 spur road - A347 Ferndown to West Howe and New Road have become major traffic routes. Any additional building works or housing projects will bring life to a standstill in the area. None of your FWP areas are viable PC4 or PC9 would impact far less on the area, however any projects without major improvements to the road network will be a disaster. Ameysford (Police Station) to Broadmoor Coppice would be more practical. What happens next? If its anything like the Folkestone - Honiton coastal trunk road 50 years ago - NOTHING			895
514042	Mr Tony Davison		<a href="#">CSO15718</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
514049	Mrs E Hellier		<a href="#">CSO15743</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514086	Mr Ian Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15774</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Area is already over developed with retirement homes and facilities to support these proposed sites does not exist. Transport on roads is oversubscribed in this area.			895
514091	Mr RJ Potts		<a href="#">CSO15811</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514099	Mr and Mrs T C Blakeley		<a href="#">CSO15851</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514111	Penelope Webiery		<a href="#">CSO15894</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
514127	Mr Geoff Voisey		<a href="#">CSO15910</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
514135	Mr and Mrs S Farr		<a href="#">CSO15917</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
514136	Mrs J Crumb		<a href="#">CSO15947</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
514156	Mr J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15926</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I commute daily through West Parley. To add additional congestion from 900 or so homes onto Christchurch Rd/New Road + normal growth is pure folly. Only major network works will cure this.			895
514225	Mr Simon Dixon	Secretary West Moors Traders Association	<a href="#">CSO15994</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
514246	Theresa Monahan & Jonathon Chaffey		<a href="#">CSO15993</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514274	Mr Showell		<a href="#">CSO16051</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Instead of new housing there should be a new strategy of providing more public open space. The lessons of the Edwardians/Victorians should be learnt. New public parks like Poole Park, Queens Park and Kings Park save an area from urban crowding. Perhaps all the land proposed for			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							housing could be reallocated to public parks.			
514467	Mrs Lesley Cripps		<a href="#">CSO16198</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514482	C E T Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO16232</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
359856	Mrs B Breeze		<a href="#">CSO16875</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		West Parley Green Belt should remain protected. The democratic wishes of the overwhelming number of West Parley residents should be adhered to; they do not want this development, for many reasons. Environmental, transport / road congestion / infrastructure etc.			895
360235	Mr Christopher UNDERY	Christopher D Undery	<a href="#">CSO16844</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The extension of the built up area of Ferndown as indicated into an area of high amenity open land now performing a useful Green Belt function in relation to the established settlements of Ferndown and Longham is illogical when expansion within the Longham envelope is both logical and more desirable.	Deletion of proposal of allocations at Longham		895
361138	Mr Rod WHITHAM		<a href="#">CSO16885</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514507	Mr and Mrs C Macy		<a href="#">CSO16256</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514649	Mrs June Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16313</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514752	Claire Richardson & Jamie Shirley		<a href="#">CSO16388</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514812	Mr C Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16425</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
514912	Mrs Mary Carsbury		<a href="#">CSO16476</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Seeking the opinion of the general public on planning issues is all very commendable - but setting aside all political persuasion my feeling is that this is no more than a Public Relations exercise because in the end the final decisions are always to the advantage of the developers whoever they may be because of money, greed and looks good on paper. My general view is that density levels for new homes should be kept down; East Dorset has become so over developed that it is now just one big urban sprawl. Infact, it has lost so much of all the natural character and charm that it used to enjoy it is hard to know where the so called green Belt begins and ends.			895
514913	Mr and Mrs M Clark		<a href="#">CSO16461</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
514939	D Porter		<a href="#">CSO16503</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The more land you concrete over the more you have to because you just increase demand. Infill best option.			895
514959	Mr and Mrs R Fisher		<a href="#">CSO16534</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514993	Dr Peter J Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO16568</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The area is already over-developed and congested - further development on the scales proposed would lead to deterioration of the environment and a reduction in the quality of life. I am particularly dismayed by continued threats to the Green Belt, especially as this Government pledged to protect it. I do not believe that such massive development is necessary or beneficial to the vast majority of inhabitants. Pressure on our area should be reduced by Government tackling such issues as population growth through immigration control and regeneration of brownfield sites in Midlands and North. There is a need for limited affordable and social housing locally, but not more executive homes. It is wrong to build new homes while second homes and investment properties continue to exist.			895
515033	Mr T Crump		<a href="#">CSO16605</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		These proposals are typical of the failures contrived by Council and Consultants to address short term solutions to Government edict. Fundamental to any significant further development is the need for joined up thinking for transportation. All of these proposals further the burden on traffic flow through and around conurbation centres. These major roads are the responsibility of the government highways department. They should foot the bill for their improvements prior to further development. Some of these proposals virtually adjoin 100 instance flood lines. This is totally unacceptable. Any			895



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							proposal to move allotments, away from the housing that uses them, increases road usage and has a negative impact on the community.			
515287	Mr L Jackson		<a href="#">CSO16680</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		The A31 trunk road from Ferndown bypass should be widened to form a dual carriageway all the way through to Bere Regis.			895
515367	Mr & Mrs J Pottinger		<a href="#">CSO16795</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Please save the Green Belt			895
515815	Ms Shirley Hanley-Ryder		<a href="#">CSO16839</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>I read with dismay your recent proposal to put 85 houses in Holmwood Park, Ringwood Road, and Longham.</p> <p>I am the owner of Holmwood House which borders your proposed development and wish to formally state my objections in the strongest manner. Holmwood House is the most prestigious property in the Ferndown/Longham area. It has historical significance and was once the home of the Churchill family. Your proposed development will destroy this and will significantly impact on the value, appeal and enjoyment of living at this property.</p> <p>Your proposal-whether it goes ahead or not has ready knocked several hundred thousand pounds off the sale value of this property.</p> <p>Furthermore:</p> <p>1 The access road which you are proposing onto Ringwood Road will (a) destroy the privacy, security and tranquillity of our property and (b)</p>			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>cause danger, disruption and congestion on the A348, one of the busiest roads in Dorset.</p> <p>2 In 2002 when we purchased this house we were assured that the house and the land adjoining it was in a secure greenbelt area and would not be subject to housing or industrial development. In fact the land in question was once part of Holmwood House.</p> <p>3 If permission for this development is granted, then this adjoining land will be removed from Green Belt, leaving us in an isolated Green Belt with tree preservation orders, unable to sell privately, unable to develop commercially and unable to enjoy living in the property. We LOSE OUT in every single respect.</p>			
515868	Mr F Weller		<a href="#">CSO16857</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Keep off Green Belt			895
516011	Mr Ray Goodchild		<a href="#">CSO16906</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>As residents we all welcome the opportunity to contribute to "feedback" on future housing plans in our local area, especially as it impacts upon all of us.</p> <p>Although I am not old enough to remember the second world war, I am reminded of the old cliché, "don't you know there's a war on"!</p> <p>I am connected myself with the building trade, in particular "development" as well as being a shareholder in both Barratts and Taylor Wimpey.</p> <p>Developers are pretty much at a</p>			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							standstill, and there is unlikely to be much of a change in the foreseeable future. We are entering a period of austerity, and as long as prospective homeowners are unable to get mortgages, then the building trade is not going to invest, and there is little money available for "social housing". How are you expecting to devise a long term plan for local development when so much, which is out of "councils" control, is probably going to change in the next five years, which will influence building decisions? I think you are premature in seeking our opinions at this stage.			
359461	Mrs Nicola BRUNT	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	<a href="#">CSO17494</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Options FWP 1 (Holmwood House) and FWP 2 (Coppins Nursery) Whilst we welcome the recognition that the Holm Wood SNCI (SZ09/19) should be discounted from development (10.69), there is cause for concern that development of these sites could lead to further pressure on the dry heath and grassland habitats of the SNCI, and would wish to ensure that a SANG in this area would buffer and alleviate pressure on the SNCI whilst delivering enhancements for biodiversity. We would wish to see biodiversity value of these horse-grazed fields established and, in the case of Coppins Nursery, an alternative use as allotments given consideration.			895
359477	Ms Natasha Mackenzie	Clerk Ellingham, Harbridge	<a href="#">CSO17714</a>	Option FWP 1	Object	General Comment	The proposed additional housing and employment areas will therefore only increase the traffic problems at			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		and Ibsley Parish Council					Ringwood and thus on the roads in our Parish, especially on the B3081, A338 and Linwood Road if the A31 is blocked. The junction at Bakers Hanging will also become even more saturated. The Parish Council agree that these developments should only go ahead if road improvements at Bakers Hanging and the junction of the A338 and A31 are carried out.			
359478	Mr Rohan TORKILDSEN	West Territory Planner English Heritage	<a href="#">CSO18557</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The significance of the wider ancient landscape should be assessed to ensure a thorough understanding of the setting and integrity of the heritage assets are conserved in the area. How might development affect the Bronze Age Bell Barrow at Belle Vue? Might there be a positive contribution to its long term management, interpretation and enjoyment?			895
359553	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17939</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Parish Council has no objection to proposed development on this site.			895
359891	Mrs Susanne Parkin		<a href="#">CSO18345</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	<a href="#">CSO18177</a>	Option FWP 1		General Comment	The biodiversity interest of this site is uncertain because of close cropping by horses but it has been relatively well managed so potentially there is good biodiversity interest: there is evidence of a good range of species and			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>numbers of birds.</p> <p>The site is highlighted in the Broadway Malyan report as needing over 2000 cu metres of surface water attenuation storage. At the southern entrance to the area deep ditches have been culverted under the driveway roadway indicating at least seasonally large volumes of surface water drainage which would be exacerbated by building.</p> <p>ETAG is concerned that development of this site would increase pressure on the SNCI heathland at Poor Common LNR: we recommend that if it proceeds to the next stage the potential for increasing the area of SANGs to increase the resilience of Poor Common is investigated. Full survey of the area would be required and should inform the extent and precise location of the development and SANGs.</p> <p>The site has good potential links to other residential areas in Ferndown including schools, local shops. The impact on existing residents could be reduced by creating buffer zones to the north of the site and careful design/landscaping.</p>			
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	<a href="#">CSO17745</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		<p>Options FWP1, FWP2, FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are all located south of Ferndown, away from the A31 which is north of the town. However, despite being well served by regular bus services, Ferndown suffers from congestion around the A31 which is of concern to the Agency.</p> <p>The Agency supports the development of the evidence base to inform any</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>strategic growth retained in the Ferndown employment area, given its strategic scale and close proximity to the highly constrained Canford Bottom-Merley section of the A31. This will continue to apply and the Agency will have a particular interest in potential improvements at Canford Bottom triggered by further employment development.</p> <p>We are also aware of the area travel plan for the Ferndown industrial estate, the expansion of which will be essential to assist with the suppression of demand for further movements in the area generally, and specifically on the A31</p>			
517986	Mr B W Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO17265</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>Although it has been recognised that significant improvements to roads will be required, the infrastructure in Ferndown and Parley would in no way support any further development unless a by-pass was constructed around all town boundaries. The schools in the area cannot accommodate the catchment area at the present time nor can the police and fire services. The cost to the highways would be increased beyond any benefit.</p>			895
518000	L Brebner		<a href="#">CSO17274</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>All developments bring increased traffic; Ferndown is already gridlocked 80% of the day due to inaction by the Council and its refusal to divert traffic away from Longham Bridge. Any development of land in Ferndown / W. Parley will only add to the existing problem which is already growing.</p>			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
518027	Mr J Talbot		<a href="#">CSO17281</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The lungs of the whole area are at Parley. Whoever heard of a large estate being built under the immediate flight path of an airport - (on the increase)? The infrastructure at the present time is unable to cope, the schools, the roads, the hospitals; everything in fact, so new dwellings is a joke.</p> <p>It is congested enough already. As for affordable housing, where are the affordable people going to find any kind of work with the extreme unemployment already in existence.</p>			895
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		<a href="#">CSO17929</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		<p>SUMMARY: FWP1 HOLMWOOD (85)</p> <p>We consider this to be a 'least worst option', providing it generates 40% of affordable housing. If it did not achieve this number we would object.</p> <p>It will be very visible from Longham and so will destroy a reasonably open green view. However, from Ringwood Road it will be hidden, in fact from all angles but for Longham it will have a less visible impact than other sites.</p> <p>It is a reasonable sustainable site from a point of access and has a good potential for the provision of GI that could actually reduce journeys by car. If car journeys were not reduced it would have the potential to add 122 cars on the road. This is a conservative estimate of 1 ½ cars per household.</p> <p>The ecological damage is difficult to assess, it is currently grazed by horses so it is impossible to see the natural vegetation.</p> <p>PURPOSES OF THE GREENBELT:</p>			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>PPG2 (as applicable to the Holmwood site)</p> <p>To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas.</p> <p>Ferndown will spread almost to Longham which would mean the old historic settlement of Longham would merge into the modern residential part of Ferndown.</p> <p>To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment.</p> <p>Despite all the expansion in East Dorset around the Poole/Bournemouth conurbation since approximately 1961, it still retains an openness that defines it as a more rural area. This latest proposed development could easily destroy this appearance.</p> <p>VISUAL IMPACT ON BOTH THE NATURAL AND HISTORIC LANDSCAPE</p> <p>The ground slopes down towards Longham so the houses would be very visible from this direction, but not from along Ringwood Road or from the existing Camelias estate. This estate is accessed from Glenmoor Road adjacent to the Angel Inn. To mitigate for this loss of openness and to soften the hard outline of the houses, tree planting would have to take place around the periphery in advance of any development. This would need to be with mature deciduous trees that were nurtured and seen to thrive.</p> <p>SUSTAINABLE LOCATION: PPS1 Location</p> <p>Primary **Schools</p> <p>Middle and Upper</p> <p>Daily shopping</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Post Office                      Doctors                      Holmwood                      Glenmoor Rd                      1.0km *                      1.9 km M                      2.6km U                      1.0km                      FD 2.2km                      Glenmoor 1.0km or FD                      *All approximate measures but accurate enough for comparison.                      ** If the children were sent to Ferndown 1st school this would no longer be a sustainable location.</p> <p>EMPLOYMENT                      Bournemouth Airport                      Ferndown and Uddens Industrial Estates                      Ferndown itself                      Commuting Figures for Ferndown.                      Source: 2001 Census                      Internal Commuters ... 3365                      In Commuters .....7035                      Out Commuters ....4893                      Total commuters (in and out).....11928</p> <p>According to the Core Strategy, 85% of all Ferndown and West Parley commute by car either as a driver or passenger.                      This would only be a sustainable site if the main employment centres can be accessed without the use of the private motor car.                      Cycle tracks exist from West Parley to Bournemouth Airport. One is planned to North Bournemouth. Cycle routes also exist up to Ferndown. For anyone working on Ferndown Industrial Estate,</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the cycle track down Ham Lane ends at the Hampreston Cross Roads, this would need extending. Cyclists would have to be directed down on the Old Ham Lane for Wimborne and for the Industrial estate cycle track would have to take them from Fox Lane, across Ham Lane and into the blocked exist from the old Fox Lane onto Wimborne Road West.</p> <p>PUBLIC TRANSPORT Only the number 37 to Poole which stops in Longham. Apart from 3 early buses close together, this is an hourly service with the latest bus leaving Poole at 17.50 hours. Not a lot of use to workers. Journey time: 30 mins (from Longham.)</p> <p>NEAREST CENTRE WITH FULL RANGE OF SERVICES Ferndown or Wimborne are the closest with the full range of services. There are no direct buses to Wimborne and one an hour to Ferndown. It is likely a car would be the favoured form of transport.</p> <p>PROVISION OF MULTI-FUNCTIONAL GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPEN SPACES: (Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance NE176 &amp; PPG17 Planning for Open Spaces Sustainable travel routes would have to be provided for primary schools and daily shopping. This would require an all-weather track through to the Camelias Estate from where the existing GI can be used. We assume there is already a safe cycle track to the Middle and Upper schools from the</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Camelias estate. If our assumptions are incorrect they would have to be provided. Cycle tracks would need to link into West Parley via Coppins proposed development. This would then provide a link from Coppins to the schools.</p> <p>The landscape areas to the south west of the site would be vital to help with SUDs as this potential estate is on a south west slope and any additional surface water would have the potential to drain down to the Longham roundabouts. Tree planting on the open space would help provide urban cooling as well as providing a pleasant recreational area close to the houses while masking them from the road. Planting with mature stock would be required around the perimeter and on the open space and along GI in advance of development. This planting would have to be tended to make sure it thrived. An alternative would be to extend Poor Common to encompass this site.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: Our comments on environmental aspects of the Core Strategy Options document are included in the Response of the Environmental TAG, East Dorset Community Partnership, which we fully endorse.</p> <p>ECOSYSTEM DAMAGE: The site is open grazing land. It is grazed by horses and as they crop the grass very close it is not possible to see what flora and fauna would exist on this site. Surveys would be required.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>TRANQUILLITY: A sense of place. There would be a change to the current open green space. Green usually conveys a sense of tranquillity, much of this would be lost. It is not totally quiet as it is bound on two sides by busy roads. It would still be a visual loss.</p> <p>LIGHT POLLUTION Please see the ETAG Response which includes a report by Bob Mizon.</p> <p>DRAINAGE PPS25 (causing increase in river flooding or surface water problems) The land slopes down to Longham. For this reason a large area of open space is required to provide mitigation for the additional run off. This must not exceed the pre development run-off and interflow. Perhaps a wild life pond below the site would be an attractive means of mitigation.</p> <p>PROXIMITY TO HEATHLAND AND AVAILABILITY OF SANGS FOR MITIGATION This proposed development is just over 3km to Parley Common, 1km to Ferndown Common. Poor Common exists as a SANG but the proposed area to the south west of the housing will be required. It will serve two functions apart from mitigation. It would relieve pressure on Poor Common and would also protect the openness of the remaining Holmwood site. This would have to come under some protected status to make sure there was no future development on this site.</p> <p>PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>INFRASTRUCTURE (schools etc)                      Potential problem of sewage and pumping stations at capacity. Parley Cross has no Health Centre. If Parley 1st School has little capacity for the additional children from the development around Longham, capacity must be created or the site loses its sustainability.</p> <p>IMPACT ON TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE                      The roads are at capacity. Slight modifications with Canford Bottom roundabout and Parley Cross roads will hardly keep the traffic running. We have too many cars on the road. No amount of GI or public transport will solve this basic problem. We do not need more roads destroying more ecosystems we need alternate systems that work. Schools close by housing and suitable employment sites that are accessible without using a car. Safe, well lit all weather cycle and pedestrian racks are required. East Dorset District Council has a target in the Transport Plan to introduce buses to take workers directly to industrial estates. This is an excellent idea. However, one such bus already exists, the number 29 from Bournemouth to Ferndown Industrial site. There are two early morning buses from Bournemouth, one leaving the estate at lunch time and two leaving in the evening. This service started in April 2010, without subsidies. The Yellow bus company confirmed that they do carry some regular passengers to and from the Industrial</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Estate. We suspect the buses are not that well used, one major problem influencing their use is the vast areas of free parking available on Ferndown Industrial Estate. It may be that the 'stick and carrot' needs to be introduced to get people out of their cars and into a bus. If less parking existed there would be more room for new business. We noticed a large new factory down Brook Road had a vast new car park, when parking is free and easy no one will catch a bus. Perhaps spaces should be kept for emergencies or only allocated if there are no other means of reasonable travel.			
359571	Mr Renny Henderson	Conservation Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	<a href="#">CSO18700</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		We agree with the findings of the HRA in connection with the proposed housing sites within these areas. Consequently, until such time as assessment of the potential impacts of the options on European sites is determined we object to the following policies:			895
359264	Mr Peter Atfield	Director Goadsby Ltd	<a href="#">CSO17962</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		OPTION FWP 1 Given the identification of a settlement hierarchy and limited changes to the inner boundary of the green belt, support can be given to Option FWP 1; Holmwood Park, Ferndown. This support is qualified through the following representations: 1. Reference is made in Paragraph 10.82 to the impact of any development on the green belt gap between Ferndown and Longham. However, Longham is a settlement	Amend Option FWP1 to allow for the development of the site with 250 dwellings. Amend the southern boundary of the proposed allocation to be consistent with that shown on the plan reproduced as Appendix 1 to the representations.		895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>contained wholly within the green belt. The nearest non green belt settlement is Bearwood, within Poole Borough; approximately 2.5 kilometres to the south west.</p> <p>2. Whilst it is acknowledged that there is a need to avoid a gap between the settlements of Ferndown and Longham, it is submitted that the mature landscaping along the southern and western boundaries of Holmwood Park provide a substantial visual buffer between the two. Additionally, the potential SANGS that could be created on the southern part of Holmwood Park will ensure that a landscaped gap can be retained in perpetuity.</p> <p>3. Therefore, the southern boundary of Option FWP 1 should be amended and re-drawn further to the south; broadly in the position shown on the plan that accompanied our representations of February 2010. This also reproduced in a simplified format within Appendix 1 to these representations.</p> <p>4. It is anticipated that the SANGS will be transferred to public ownership and used for the purposes of informal recreation. In this event there will be certainty that there will be no pressure for the future residential development of this land; reference to which can be removed from the Core Strategy.</p> <p>5. Text within Paragraph 10.82 also refers to the impact of development on the grounds of Holmwood House. However, these grounds contain substantial woodland that provides a dominant landscaped feature. Holmwood House is set well within this</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>woodland, central to the site and over 50 metres from its own boundaries. Whilst there is an opportunity to create informal areas of open space around the site of Holmwood House, its presence is not considered necessary to constrain the residential development within option FWP 1. 6. The preferred point of access is supported. The alternative of providing vehicular access north of Holmwood House, in the vicinity of the Longham Business Centre, is not considered feasible due to environmental constraints. We have considered two possible points of access and these are appraised in Appendix 2. The constraints include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Adverse impact on the amenity of the occupiers of two residential properties; 164 and 166 Ringwood Road.</li> <li>• The need to substantially widen either the existing carriageway to the business centre or the bridleway, requiring the acquisition of third party land.</li> <li>• Additionally, carriageway / track widening will require the felling of a substantial number of mature trees; in particular up to twenty oak and pine trees in the grounds of Holmwood House opposite 164 and 166 Ringwood Road. As set out in Paragraph 10.82, access north of the business centre would run through another mature belt of trees. We also doubt that there would be sufficient forward visibility in a northerly direction on Ringwood Road.</li> <li>• The need to fell matures trees</li> </ul>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>situated to the west of the walled garden area in Holmwood Park.</p> <p>7. Preferred Option HE 5 seeks to introduce an Urban Design Guide in East Dorset. It is stated that the implementation of this option will guide prospective developers to produce well designed schemes that work well in local context and character. In this respect it is considered that the potential urban extension at Holmwood Park need not be constrained by density limitations. Coupled with an enlarged development area, it is submitted that Holmwood Park is capable of accommodating in excess of the 85 dwellings referred to in FWP 1. We reiterate the assessment set out in our representations of February 2010, that the site is capable of accommodating up to 250 dwellings. These representations are supported by the following comments on the overall level of housing, land supply and completion trends.</p> <p><b>ASSESSING AN APPROPRIATE LEVEL OF HOUSING</b></p> <p>The Core Strategy (CS) fails, intentionally, to quantify the total housing needs for the district. The CS only acknowledges, in Paragraph 4.49, that "there is a very large need to provide affordable housing in the district to meet social and economic needs." This is an approach that has weaknesses. The appropriate level of housing in the CS needs to respond to the advice set out in PPS 3, with particular regard to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Evidence of current and future needs.</li> </ul>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Local and sub regional evidence within the Strategic Housing Market Assessment.</li> <li>• Advice on affordability, including overall ambitions.</li> <li>• The latest published household projections.</li> <li>• Sustainability appraisal.</li> </ul> <p>Although there is now no statutory requirement to meet the housing target set out in the Regional Spatial Strategy (RSS), all of the background evidence used to inform the RSS indicated that there is a need to deliver sufficient housing. This was clearly set out in Paragraph 4.3.9:</p> <p>"It will be critical, therefore, for Local Development Frameworks (LDFs) to secure a range and mix of dwelling types and sites while also providing sufficient levels of housing needed to support the necessary economic growth of South East Dorset."</p> <p>For example, an appropriate target could be based on the draft RSS figure (5,200), less completions for the period 2006 – 2009 (4091), plus an allowance (319) for the additional year of the plan period 2026 – 2027. This indicates that a target of approximately 5,110 dwellings would be appropriate for the period 2012 – 2027.</p> <p><b>HOUSING LAND SUPPLY</b></p> <p>Given our assertion that the CS should deliver slightly in excess of 5,000 dwellings over the plan period, development rates should be in the order of 340 dwellings per annum (net). To meet this target, which is</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>based on the needs of the district, annual development rates need to increase substantially over the historic 2006 – 2009 average annual figure of 1361. The rates also have to be over and above the 1994 – 2009 annual average of 3151 dwellings (note: in any event, this is a gross completion figure).</p> <p>It is considered vital to maximise opportunities to deliver a high quantum of development on appropriate urban extensions, such as Holmwood Park. We believe that opportunities for achieving the required number of dwellings from sites within existing urban areas will be more restricted in future years.</p> <p><b>HOUSING COMPLETION TRENDS</b> Past trends give some indication as to future supply. However, for the purposes of predicting the potential contribution from 'brownfield' land, the existing published information needs substantial refinement before it can be relied upon. There are a number of reasons for this.</p> <p>First, restrictions imposed on developing dwellings within 400 metres of protected heathland means that a comparison cannot be made with the net completion figures prior to 2006, when the Dorset Heathlands Interim Planning Framework (DHIPF) was introduced. Before this date, residential development was permissible on many sites that would now be subject to policy restrictions.</p> <p>In the period 2006 – 2009, 409 (net) dwellings were completed; an average</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>of 136 per annum. However, this is not a reliable trend as some dwellings would have been constructed from planning permissions granted prior to implementation of the 2006 DHIPF. Given that detailed permissions extend to a five year period, the impact of the heathlands policy on residential development will only become apparent in the monitoring year 2010 - 2011. Even then it will be a number of years before a trend is established. Second, there is a need to assess the impact of other CS policies on future land supply. Here, specific reference is made to Preferred option LN11. This seeks to require all new residential development to contribute to the provision of affordable housing. There are likely to be two specific impacts. In some instances sites will not come forward for development. A good indication of the likely response from the development industry is to assess how many dwellings have been constructed on sites that just exceed the existing relevant affordable housing threshold in each settlement of the district. This will indicate the potential 'void' from the non-development of sites just above the new net threshold of one dwelling. In other circumstances applications may come forward where scheme viability is questioned, but where planning permission is granted on the basis that a modest financial contribution is made to providing affordable housing off of the site. It is suggested that advice is taken from</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>one of your neighbouring council's, Bournemouth Borough, on the impact of their policy (which has been in operation since April 2010).                      Third, the government has recently announced restrictions on the development of residential gardens; i.e. 'garden grabbing'. This will further reduce the contribution made to housing land supply from sites within the existing urban areas. In this respect it is noted in Paragraph 4.47 that the East Dorset Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA) predicts that approximately 3,300 dwellings could be built on sites within the urban area. Given the 'garden grabbing' restrictions and the matters set out earlier in these representations, this figure will now be lower.                      For these reasons the potential number of dwellings to be constructed on option site FWP 1 should be increased.                      1 Source: East Dorset DC Annual Monitoring Report 2008 / 2009.</p>			
523300	Mr Trevor Abbott		<a href="#">CSO18290</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
359875	Dr Lesley HASKINS		<a href="#">CSO19293</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The urban fringe of south-east Dorset supports a range of acid and neutral grasslands which seem to have escaped improvement to some degree or another by virtue of being marginal to main stream agriculture. Many of these grasslands are grazed by horses, tending to mask their floristic composition, and making recognition of</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>their value, without the opportunity for a properly timed and prepared survey, an inevitably random affair. While some have been recognised as SSSIs, or SNCIs others are certainly yet to be formally identified and recognised by such proper survey. Yet they represent a most important and rapidly diminishing biodiversity resource which must be properly identified and protected. There is little or no reference to this resource in the Core Strategy and it is most worrying that no proper attempt at assessment was made of preferred sites prior to its publication. Consequently the number of preferred options in the Core Strategy which impact upon important grasslands is simply not known, and it will be essential that all proposed sites be assessed most carefully in respect of this resource before decision making progresses any further. The intrinsic biodiversity interest of the grassland sites (and their associated features - hedges, trees etc.) must be properly assessed during the coming year and be accorded proper weight in the judgement of these options. However it is certain that by rejecting some areas of search the Core Strategy has successfully steered away from areas where grasslands of interest would most likely to have been substantially threatened. This is welcomed and supported.</p> <p>Options FWP1 and 2. Notwithstanding the highly commendable improvement of Poor Common woods for public amenity, development of both these</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							sites will inevitably increase pressure upon the heathland of Poor Common (SNCI). It is not certain that these sites are without any intrinsic biodiversity interest.			
527750	Mr Colin MacNee		<a href="#">CSO18916</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
527818	Mr Nigel Lester	Synergy Housing Association	<a href="#">CSO18964</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Having looked at the proposals for each of the geographical areas and the proposed sites within those areas we can see no reason to disagree with the Local Authorities assessment and findings in each case, and would be very keen to become involved in the provision of affordable housing on any of these sites.			895
527849	Miss Kate Tunks	Transport Planning Officer Dorset County Council	<a href="#">CSO19038</a>	Option FWP 1		General Comment	Option FWP 1 Land at Holmwood House As the site does not abut any obvious access there will need to be off site works to provide a carriageway link to the A348. The most will need to be made of pedestrian and cycle links to the main service centre to the north otherwise there is a risk this site could be car dependant due to its remoteness. Good pedestrian links would also be needed to public transport provision along the A348.			895
527863	A Barratt		<a href="#">CSO19008</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
527897	Mr Roy Crossland		<a href="#">CSO19081</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Existing infrastructure does not support CURRENT housing stock .FWP5 land is under approach flight path for			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Bournemouth Airport, this would be a very dangerous and noisy place to live.			
533834	Mr Tim Harris		<a href="#">CSO19204</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
534808	M Ward		<a href="#">CSO19413</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Greenbelt land should be sacrosanct because once lost it will be lost forever. New homes are needed but brownfield sites should be fully used (and by brownfield I would exclude garden grab). Developers who make profit from a local area should be made to contribute much more too local provisions (not just transport) i.e. leisure, school and community centres.			895
534833	Frederick Wall		<a href="#">CSO19464</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
534837	Mrs P Martin		<a href="#">CSO19494</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
534875	Brian Lane		<a href="#">CSO19536</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
534877	Mr Roger Owen		<a href="#">CSO19532</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		To build on these parcels of land will decimate the Village feel of the locality and make the area an urban sprawl similar to outer London boroughs. There is not enough industry of any sort in the area to support additional personnel and will cause social problems. There will be increased demands on medical facilities. More school places will be required and there are no sensible provisions planned for additional education			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							requirements. Some of these proposals will affect the flood plain especially those areas near the River Stour. If these areas are developed by building there will be flooding in the locality. The comment that these developments will benefit local residents and take pressure away from the heathlands is a complete "Red Herring" and will be of no benefit to the existing local residents. The fact is that it will be a detriment to the current local residents who will be living in an urban sprawl with hardly any definition between our existing area and Bournemouth It will lower the value of a lot of the existing properties with no recompense to the current owners.			
534883	K L Ward		<a href="#">CSO19558</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
534891	Mr Alan R Roberts		<a href="#">CSO19573</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
360977	Mr Nick Solomon		<a href="#">CSO20708</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
490854	D MUTTON		<a href="#">CSO20070</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507361	Mr Kenneth Archer		<a href="#">CSO20332</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
507737	Mrs S Philpot		<a href="#">CSO20207</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511383	Mrs Jean Archer		<a href="#">CSO20384</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
534820	Paul Batten		<a href="#">CSO19438</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
534914	Mrs P Froud		<a href="#">CSO19657</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535066	Mr Louis Kling		<a href="#">CSO19611</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		"Options" FWP1 - FWP5: I object to any development on Health and Safety grounds before pedestrian crossings at PARLEY CROSS have been made safe. They are highly dangerous NOW without the addition of 840 houses and more from other areas, most of which with multiple car ownerships. We need a pedestrian underpass or at least a pedestrian walk bridge so people can walk from one side of the four-fold road crossings to the other with a reasonable level of safety. Even without the house development taking place, we do need those crossing facilities due to the general heavy increase in traffic.			895
535070	Mr Alan Reade		<a href="#">CSO19626</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
535094	MS Tracey Tucker		<a href="#">CSO19650</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am very concerned about increased housing in W Parley; the traffic is horrendous around here so much that at weekends and bank holidays I try not to go out and to travel into work at the wrong time is just a nightmare. Additional traffic is just not needed.			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							With regards the industrial development at Blunts Farm, there is no much empty office and factory space on Ferndown Ind estate, why is more needed at Uddens? I keep my horse in Uddens Drive and entrance to the industrial estate is so dangerous with the size of the lorries coming into Uddens drive both for drivers and horse riders. I am surprised there has not been a serious accident or death there. The A31 does not need any additional traffic; any proposed industrial development should be subject to access being made through Ferndown Industrial Estate and not Uddens Drive.			
535109	Mr Stephen Warner		<a href="#">CSO19682</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I object to any building at Holmwood House site on the grounds of impact on the wildlife in particular the Deer, their habitat has been reduced greatly already and this would further hamper their life.			895
535112	Mr Jack Tindall		<a href="#">CSO19702</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535116	Mrs Susan Warner		<a href="#">CSO19696</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
535167	Lynda Lake		<a href="#">CSO19754</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535209	Mr P Webster		<a href="#">CSO19792</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Please liaise with NFDC and NPA, as the additional traffic generated by the extra housing and employment zones will increase the traffic problems at the			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							already congested bottleneck at Ringwood and cause yet more problems for the people who live in New Forest hamlets such as Linwood, Brook and Burley as motorists try to find alternative routes. Can the New Forest take any more pressure from additional numbers of visitors? Has Dorset got enough sand and gravel in the land bank to meet the needs of the developers? Can you force Tarmac to start mining gravel by the Spur Road near Hurn? What will happen to the pollution levels close to the trunk roads eg at Ringwood, especially particulate pollution? Can Bournemouth Water meet the increased demands for water?			
535349	P Thomas		<a href="#">CSO19821</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535368	Mr Andrew Evans		<a href="#">CSO19876</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I think that this whole core strategy consultation needs a full review in light of the proposed changes being brought in under the new government. I particularly object to all the proposed Verwood development as the infrastructure is not in place to support the current population let alone adding even further to it by building a further 400 homes. Any EDDC councillor who has the affront to say that the infrastructure is in place to support this development does not know what they are talking about and obviously do not live in the town.			895
535370	Deborah Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO19852</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Keep West Parley GREEN!! There should be no building on GREEN			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							BELT land!!			
535387	Mr Brian Cox		<a href="#">CSO19947</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535393	Jeremy Berg		<a href="#">CSO19899</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		ROADS, ROADS, ROADS, BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYWHERE & DESTROY EVERYONES WAY OF LIFE FOREVER, BUILD ROADS & BY PASSES.			895
535421	Mr Roland Andrews		<a href="#">CSO20612</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535457	Mr Matthew Newman		<a href="#">CSO19959</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535500	David Veevers		<a href="#">CSO20009</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535504	Mr Michael Beer		<a href="#">CSO20072</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
535509	Mrs S Durant		<a href="#">CSO20116</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535517	Roy Rich		<a href="#">CSO20091</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
535547	Mr M Folland		<a href="#">CSO20173</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
535550	Mrs D Mogg		<a href="#">CSO20170</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535567	Ms Judy McMath		<a href="#">CSO20264</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
535574	Mr and Mrs Ralph Williams		<a href="#">CSO20249</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Our Comments relate to FWP1, 2, 3, and 5 also TA1 Transport Issues. We strongly object to any further development In West Parley as it is totally Unsustainable. All five housing options will add to the major congestion that already completely bring the area to a standstill most weekday mornings and evenings from the A348 at Longham all of the B3073 through Parley traffic lights past the Airport to the Blackwater junction on the A338 and beyond. In the other direction crossing Parley traffic lights on New Road the A347 the traffic again stands form the junction at the Porsche Garage to the Ensbury Park roundabout junction with the A341 and beyond with standing traffic in both directions. This traffic currently adds major pollution to the local environment that's with-out the impact of the Airport which adds also considerable noise particularly at night. These five sites will add a considerable amount of traffic which no contribution from developers will improve. The introduction of families is not a reason to allow any of these sites to be developed. Please advise that you have received this email and read. Both planner and local councillors need to be more sensible in the approach to new housing in the Ferndown area it needs far more consideration and is now in conflict			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							with government planning policy. To put people on to green field site as an easy option, Do the job properly; work on other sites that are sustainable.			
535591	A Walker		<a href="#">CSO20289</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535610	Mr Stewart Bullen		<a href="#">CSO20358</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535618	Mrs Angela Robinson		<a href="#">CSO20334</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
535670	Dave Allen		<a href="#">CSO20408</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535678	Andrew Bryant		<a href="#">CSO20436</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535688	Susan Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO20526</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535701	Mr Andy Skeats		<a href="#">CSO20483</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535815	Mrs C M Davies		<a href="#">CSO20553</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Parley Cross is already a very busy junction and has been considerably enlarged once. Hundreds of new homes would exacerbate the traffic issues, which do not occur only during the rush hour, and any plans to increase the road network further would lead to the village being cut in two and many of us living beside a major road system. West Parley is a community in existence from ancient times, with its own character, and does			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							not want to be an add-on for Bournemouth's overflow - or even Ferndown's, come to that. I agree that there is a need for some affordable housing but large 'rabbit-warren' estates do nothing to maintain or improve the character of an area. Small developments, say in the dozens, where a sense of community can be engendered are far preferable. The number of new residents must be such that the infrastructure of the village - roads, drainage (we have had problems in the past with flooding) schools, medical services, etc - can cope. Green belt land was designated for a purpose. That purpose is more important than ever in these times when people's lives are so pressured. Research shows that those who live in areas where trees and green spaces abound are able to deal better with the stresses of life. Urban sprawl leads to 'rats in a trap' mentality and loss of a sense of community where people have no care for each other or the area in which they live.			
360768	Cllr. Mr David Packer	Colehill West Ward East Dorset District Council	<a href="#">CSO21082</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
535836	Peter Parsons		<a href="#">CSO20580</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
535865	Darren Charles Bryson		<a href="#">CSO20643</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535875	John Kitchenside		<a href="#">CSO20674</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535907	Mr Christopher Baxendale		<a href="#">CSO20717</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
535935	Mr Peter J Medler		<a href="#">CSO20837</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		<p>The majority of residents in this area relocated because of the permanent despoiling of their places of birth by elected local and national 'representatives' who would not listen or care about the needs of the people that voted for them. Do you want to be remembered as the people who finally ruined this area or the people who saved it? Do not forget that people still visit this area as tourists and we welcome them and accept their contribution to the local economy. They will stop coming if the developments continue. How many tourists visit the outer London sprawl? Can I quote p227 from the Core Strategy Options paper - para 11.28 The Government's key housing policy is to ensure that everyone has the opportunity of living in a decent home, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live? This is an impossible dream that can never and will never, be fulfilled. If the developments did go ahead what would be the effect of another 5000 cars on our local roads be? If Morrison's developments go ahead where are the customers going to park? The parking within Verwood is just about adequate at the moment.</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535940	Mrs Linda Medler		<a href="#">CSO20775</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Any additional building of houses will need to have a better road structure than exist at the moment to cater for all the extra traffic this will bring. I thought we were trying to bring about a greener environment to Dorset county?			895
535954	Mary Ford		<a href="#">CSO20791</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			895
535965	Harry Ford		<a href="#">CSO20801</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			895
535972	Mr Ronald Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20814</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I feel there is no infrastructure to support this development which would be better suited to another area. I have worked hard to live in a highly thought off area. This development would totally change the character of the area for the worse in my opinion which I feel is unfair on the current residents who enjoy the high standard of housing and the green belt areas. This development could bring down the whole value of			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the area particularly with the high percentage of low cost housing which is totally out of keeping with the current style of housing. I strongly oppose this large scale transformation of the area.			
535986	Mrs Angela Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20828</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		In my opinion this is over development on every site, particularly as 50% of the housing could be low cost housing which is entirely out of keeping with the rest of Parley/Ferndown. Parley is known for its village style with large amounts of green belt the development of FWP3 FWP4 and FWP5 would totally wipe out a large area of the green belt and totally change the unique character of West Parley which I and many, if not all Parley residents, find unacceptable. The scale of development of FWP1 and FWP2 is also out of keeping with the rest of the Parley and Ferndown are which prides it's self on its high standard of housing. If these developments were to go ahead it would totally change the character of the area. The building of any housing on the Green Belt fields is totally unacceptable in my opinion. The percentage of low cost housing is also totally unacceptable in my opinion. I feel any building on FWP1 and FWP2 should be in keeping with other neighbouring housing. The numbers here are far too high, as is the percentage of low cost housing. Plus you are opening up the potential to then link these two developments by building on the green belt which currently separates them meaning more loss of green fields. Another			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							reason for my objection is that there are not enough school places to support this housing. I live in Parley as I like the friendly community feel and the view of both the housing and the fields as I walk and drive around. I often walk on or close to the green belt areas being considered for development and hate the thought of what it would do to the whole look and feel of the area should the planned development go ahead adding such a large percentage of housing to the area. I object in the strongest terms to all the planning proposals for Parley and Ferndown.			
536014	Mrs Dawn Tindall		<a href="#">CSO20869</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536046	L Appleton		<a href="#">CSO20890</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
536050	Mr Andrew Collin		<a href="#">CSO20880</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		It is important to have a policy of continuous improvement for housing and employment for the area. Many are concerned for our environment, sensitive and empathic development will take care of this, but to do nothing will benefit no one in the longer term. A mixture of traditional and affordable homes is desirable, backed by a development in industrial and business land and premises availability. It is important to maintain and improve all areas of housing and commercial opportunity to invest in the future of our area for all parts of the community, the young. The aspiring as well as the			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							established and retired members. Establishing the right mix is essential for a sustainable future in our community.			
536096	Katie Lacey		<a href="#">CSO20942</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
536129	Mr Peter Houghton		<a href="#">CSO20965</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536169	Mr Tony Trevis-Bell		<a href="#">CSO21015</a>	Option FWP 1	Support					895
536267	Trish Wheeler		<a href="#">CSO21154</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536271	Mrs D Holt		<a href="#">CSO21188</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		No development should be supported within Green belt areas at all. Definitely not within the West parley area as the flats already built within this and the Ferndown area have far outweighed normal development in this semi-rural area which is now seeing a complete change of atmosphere. This area is a retirement area in particular and would be ruined by the over development changing its character to one of a feeling of living on an estate, and smaller housing and cheaper housing would mean that it suddenly became a family area, which it is not geared up for at all. In summer the area is congested with passing through traffic from holiday makers and it would make it an absolute nightmare to live here with another pile of bricks b being developed within the boundaries of the			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							new road area. When we moved here 8 years ago, many of the houses within the area of New Road were large plots with one house built within it. These have now been demolished and up to 14 flats have taken their place without any addition to facilities or betterment to local roads surrounding all these new builds. The population therefore must have increased significantly within the short time that we have lived here. This would be a change too far!!!			
536282	Mrs Doreen Kingaby		<a href="#">CSO21184</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
536324	Paul Sumner		<a href="#">CSO21279</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Wimborne has the land and facilities to support more houses. The other areas do not. Especially Verwood, as it has been built upon to the point where it is second only to Dorchester, in terms of population. Verwood should be left alone and other areas play catch up. Verwood has no vibrant town centre no realistic extra employment opportunities. No infrastructure, no buses after 6pm or police for that matter. One NHS dentist with a waiting list as long as your arm. Same with the meagre doctors services. None of the houses are actually needed, only for the council to get social housing built. Not the attractive affordable homes it seems to like to label them as. Building on Green Belt land is supposed to be for exceptional circumstances, there are no homeless in Verwood. Do not destroy green belt land just because of a loophole. There is no need for social			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							housing in Verwood, therefore no exceptional circumstances exist. I have looked at the proposed sights and they will all destroy habitat for local wildlife, VWM4 will also see ssi sites reed beds ancient hedgerows etc. severely disrupted. Did you know that there is a flood plain? What about the scientific report on the River Crane and fishing lakes down there? Common-sense please.			
536341	Adrian Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21307</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536346	Mr Ben Richards		<a href="#">CSO21353</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536349	Mrs C Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21373</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536449	Dave Isaacs		<a href="#">CSO21449</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536543	Mrs Samantha Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21520</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		NO MORE HOUSES IN VERWOOD. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!!!!			895
536572	Mr Roger Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21579</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I strongly object to the proposal to increase the housing in and around Verwood. Verwood has been extensively developed over the years and there are inadequate services to support the extra cars and people. Also having seen the housing density of the Ebblake housing estate it is clear that agreeing to more housing will only create 'no go' areas in the very			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							near future. I also note that in and around Wimborne there are huge areas of open land, which could easily be developed, especially between Ferndown and Wimborne which also has excellent road networks in place as it could immediately open onto the A31			
361024	Mr & Mrs Kenny PEARCE		<a href="#">CSO22094</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Infrastructure is overcrowded and traffic congestion is a serious issue around Parley already. Invest in road improvements, a medical centre at Parley, cycle routes that are actually complete and united and that go somewhere useful. Build houses on the wasted brown belt land isolated areas that naturally are available rather than on Green Belt Boundary land and surrounding countryside. EDDC should try and preserve small communities rather than greedily grabbing land for houses that are not needed - people can't sell the ones they have at the moment. Parley People have already said overwhelmingly no to this so why waste more council money on this debate?			895
475508	Mr and Mrs Marshall		<a href="#">CSO21806</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
482494	Mrs Yvette Jones		<a href="#">CSO21876</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Large scale developments damage the environment for everyone, and it is for ever. The open green spaces are valued by so many who have paid a premium to live in a semi-rural area. Desecration of this is an assault to the residents' wellbeing; an unwarranted invasion causing a wholesale change			895



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							of character to what is home to thousands of people who chose a neighbourhood because of its history of modest gradual development. Not convinced there is a housing shortage. Keep 'social' housing in more 'affordable' areas. What is there to aspire to and work towards otherwise? Don't patronise us re. 'housing for local people' - occupants become 'local' by living in a 'location'. Small developments can be assimilated to the character of an area without destroying people's enjoyment of their homes. They can be developed with consideration, gradually and as appropriate. They do not have to cause illness through acute distress of residents just to line the developers' pockets and gratify councillors.			
498211	Mrs J AUCKLAND		<a href="#">CSO21727</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
498402	Mrs T Hughes		<a href="#">CSO22234</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Re: Employment Land Options Whilst employment is obviously needed in the area, before building new sites please take a look around the existing industrial estates and see just how many units are empty. For example on Cobham Road on the Ferndown Ind Estate there are currently lots of 'To Let' boards. The traffic problems of the A31 need to be addressed before numerous new homes/businesses are built, throughout the summer routes through Ringwood/Ferndown/Wimborne become totally gridlocked. Whilst we			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							can hope and encourage local people to use buses or cycle to work or for shopping, many of the cars coming into and through the area are driven by holidaymakers. With more people taking their holidays in the UK this problem is likely to get worse.			
508735	Mr Peter Barham		<a href="#">CSO22267</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536576	Mrs Valerie Green		<a href="#">CSO21584</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536606	K A Morris		<a href="#">CSO21608</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The greenbelt should be protected to retain the character and boundaries of these communities separate from Bournemouth. The traffic situation is already poor in these areas especially at Parley Cross and the shops add to the chaos so additional shops are not wanted or needed. There are plenty of food shops already in the area. This area is desirable for those looking for a semi-rural situation and many younger people would find it isolating with no community facilities. There is plenty of brown belt or smaller development areas that could be used. It seems the aim of this council is to turn all our villages into extensions of the larger conurbations. We should be working to protect these areas of historical interest and beauty not destroy them. Also, Parley Crossroads is already a dominating part of west parley it should not become more so and traffic further traffic should be discouraged not			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							encouraged. Building here is a very poor option and should be avoided at all costs.			
536614	Mrs S Morris		<a href="#">CSO21620</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The infrastructure is not suitable. These areas are not extensions of Bournemouth and should not become so. If we the residents have made our views clear about this before why are we doing it all again. The traffic in the area is appalling and whilst we understand the need for some housing development the actual figures of need should be verified and published before any further steps are taken. The greenbelt should be maintained.			895
536628	Mr C.A Wills		<a href="#">CSO21644</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536629	D Morris		<a href="#">CSO21630</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I find these proposals for the Ferndown and West Parley area short sighted. The area is not suitable for this level of development and does not have the infrastructure to support it let alone the impact to the character of these places and their historical value. This exercise seems purely based on achieving funds with disregard to the impact on the residents that actually live here.			895
536699	Ms Kathryn D'Arcy		<a href="#">CSO21778</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		Ensure that all existing unoccupied homes are utilised. Look at infill in a positive way- Ensure that all infrastructure REALLY supports proposed development Do not build homes if the occupants are unable to find work locally. This leads to juvenile problems and a group of unemployed			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							within the community. There is not enough employment in Wimborne for the number of homes proposed.			
536702	Mr Mark Hirst		<a href="#">CSO21759</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
536767	Mr John Sharp		<a href="#">CSO21826</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536771	B Lusher		<a href="#">CSO21843</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		I object to any development that expands Wimborne and surrounding villages now and at any time in the future. Why is Wimborne such a beautiful town, could it be the Minster, old buildings, steeped in history? probably all of these and many other attributes. Take a good look at that stunning photograph 'Wimborne Minster and Stour In Winter' by Roger Holman, fields and the town centre, all in one picture. This view of the landscape, identified as a scene of merit by the artistic eye of the photographer, often passes the layman by, until pointed out so graphically. This photograph, like others, is a measure of what we could lose. I wonder if there were other equally stunning scenes in the hills northeast of Wimborne, Colehill, now completely covered in houses. Can you imagine the equivalent photograph of that time, the town, with a backdrop of fields and trees rising above horizon. Too late, that moment has passed. The town, in close proximity to countryside, is ye has realised this and is making an			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							effort to preserve old Singapore. We, on the other hand, seem to be quite happy to knock down our countryside in the name of development. If we really want to preserve Wimborne for generations to come, so they can see the town, be part of its unique character, situated amongst, close at hand countryside, then we must make a conscious decision now to preserve the green belt and green areas in the town forever, before Wimborne eventually becomes a quaint little roundabout that travellers come across on the way from somewhere to somewhere.			
536790	David Steadman		<a href="#">CSO21896</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
536808	Ms Yvette Allen		<a href="#">CSO21992</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536812	R H Barker		<a href="#">CSO21984</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536830	Mrs Janet Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO22035</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I feel that each community must decide for itself what is appropriate...hence my focus on Ferndown and West Parley. Whilst I'm fully in favour of building new homes at affordable prices, this should not mean that they are badly designed, use cheap materials or are crammed together. I prefer the smaller developments that promote a feeling of neighbourhood, and adjoin existing communities without being too intrusive. The biggest problem for any development is			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							undoubtedly the "traffic". Public transport in this area is very poor. Everybody (88%) owns and uses at least one vehicle, the traffic is often at a standstill in this area already... there are certain times of the day when access to the village is very restricted indeed. Car parking is also an issue, many local shops and businesses must lose custom as a result. It's for these reasons that I feel any property developer (of multiple dwellings) should contribute to any changes that need to be made to the infrastructure, whether that's roads, car parks, shops, or any other community facilities.			
536848	Ola Steadman		<a href="#">CSO22134</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536850	Mr Michael Hird		<a href="#">CSO22132</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536860	Mrs Sparks		<a href="#">CSO22184</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536866	Emma Huns		<a href="#">CSO22211</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
536932	Paul Bason		<a href="#">CSO22300</a>	Option FWP 1	Object					895
537003	Peter Goodwin		<a href="#">CSO22362</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion	FWP1 At peak times there are often queues up to a mile long at the Parley cross roads, with the addition of the retail units and the extra housing then this will become even worse. In addition this would severely detract from the existing Village atmosphere of			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>West Parley and remove for ever its uniqueness within the urban sprawl of Ferndown and Kinson In section FWP3 at the moment during wet weather there is excessive water running down Longfield Drive having drained of the field above, if houses were built on this then the absorption of the water in this area would be less which could result in more severe run of and ingress into the gardens of Longfield Drive. The plan states that for FWP3 if these houses were built the access to these would be the north end of Christchurch road. It is important that under no circumstances should there be a link from Christchurch Road through the new estate to Longfield Drive as this would become a rat run at peak times to miss the Parley Junction. Before when the Ridgeway was a through road, even though un-made up and full of pot holes, it was used by many as a short cut during peak times. In Area FWP5 this area provides a natural break from the Northbourne area and West Parley and is an important divide to keep the identity of West parley, in addition it is doubtful if the road links and facilities could support the 1200 to 2000 extra people this would bring into the Area. If for some reason any of these plans do manage to get approved it is of utmost importance that the area is developed tastefully and that the developers are responsible for upgrading all transport links and providing all amenities and green space necessary for the number of families that move into the area.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Finally all these areas were dedicated green belt for a reason have these reasons now changed, have the need for homes Offices and retail units now become more important than preserving the uniqueness of this area.			
537014	Master Kieran Morris		<a href="#">CSO22373</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The proposal to build on the greenbelt in this area has been poorly considered. I am only 17 years old but have lived in Parley all my life. Although I understand the need for some new housing I am amazed that you even feel this area at all suitable. There are very poor facilities for families and transport including public transport is poor. The traffic has got worse over the years and I have been knocked of my bike on more than one occasion. All of these comments are from my own experiences living here for 17 years! Although I am young I actually appreciate the green areas and the semi-rural aspect of this village. It is highly unlikely I would chose to live here when I am starting out as I would like somewhere more urban with facilities and access to employment etc but when I am older and have a family of my own it would be nice to know that areas such as this will be available to consider relocating to as my own Mum did with my sister and I 20 years ago. If you keep turning all these areas into urban extensions there will be nothing left for my children and their families in the future. I have actually spoken up personally about these issues over the last 4 years at public meetings as I don't feel that you			895



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							are listening to the residents who actually live here. I am extremely interested in Government and Politics and I have to say that I am so disappointed that the people making these proposals claim to be representing us!			
537050	Diane Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO22408</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
537075	Mrs Elaine Holt		<a href="#">CSO22442</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
537106	C Hebditch		<a href="#">CSO22473</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		The more homes we build the more roads we need. The homes and roads get filled up then we're back to square one. When will it ever end. The notion expressed in some of the planning, about trying to get people out of their cars, off the roads, and into public transport, is a joke. For example, building on the Cuthbury allotments in Wimborne means that those who have one of the new allotments will have to get their cars out to drive to them, whereas at present there are many people in Wimborne who can walk to their allotments. Most of the areas designated as fit for development will mean even more chaos on our local roads because public transport is not going to improve to the state where those of us who are fortunate to have a job to go to will all be able to get to work on time using a bus. The size of the proposed developments, in my opinion, is too big and will put undue pressure on local services for which			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							we do not at present have funding to improve. I suppose though that these new homes will bring some new council tax payers to the area and therefore, maybe, help with the budget deficit ... until we have to build the new roads ....			
537362	Peter Constable		<a href="#">CSO22531</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		The larger residential building proposals are completely out of character for the area and will consume large tracts of green belt. The infrastructure to support development on a large scale is simply not in place. The smaller developments appear to be a better fit e.g. Cuthbury, Leigh Park, and Stone Lane.			895
537435	Ms Pauline Burton		<a href="#">CSO22559</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		I support this proposal (Holmwood House) because it provides an ideal place for family based homes without imposing on 'green' land. The infrastructure is already in place and it has good access to all areas of Dorset.			895
537529	Mrs C White		<a href="#">CSO22586</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
537571	Nick and Marion Leatherdale		<a href="#">CSO22638</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
538111	Mr Graham Austin		<a href="#">CSO22694</a>	Option FWP 1		No Opinion				895
538118	Mrs Christine Cullen		<a href="#">CSO22699</a>	Option FWP 1	Object		Any more building in Dorset will have a detrimental effect on the infrastructure on the area. Schools, doctors,			895

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>hospitals and employment, not to mention the amount of extra traffic - which is already high with passing through vehicles also. Building of affordable housing is used to make people think it will be for local people - but no doubt it will be for inner city or immigrants.</p> <p>No industry is providing extra jobs</p> <p>There are already enough retirement properties here! Despite all the building which has already taken place in this and surrounding areas we still have high Council Tax. There are plenty of brown sites in towns and cities where houses could be built, not on green areas.</p> <p>Hopefully our comments will be listened to as the traffic problem and over development of green space is becoming worse each year and as a minority resident actually born in Ferndown can only see the beauty of Dorset as a whole being ruined by over population and traffic. Especially as the properties that are built are beyond our youngsters pocket, and builders only consider the people retiring to the area moving here from London with considerably more money that locals are capable of earning.</p>			
538210	Mr and Mrs Peter Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO22716</a>	Option FWP 1	Support		<p>Approve of provision of affordable housing, however, agreement with Housing Association must be watertight so housing stock for rent remains constant and stock can never be sold. These houses will be near to facilities, schools, shops, transport, and work and will alleviate pressure on</p>			895

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							rural communities with no facilities to provide affordable housing in the Green Belt.			
483371	R Davies		<a href="#">CSO277</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
359928	Mrs M.A. TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO780</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I have lived in West Parley since I was 11. Traffic is horrendous. Schools are all full, likewise doctors and dentists. Most of the sites listed are flooded in winter or waterlogged. Who will build new schools?			899
361055	Mr David OAKLEY		<a href="#">CSO1011</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I find it very hard to understand how, after unanimous support for no development in the Green Belt this now goes directly against that decision.			899
361099	Mrs Lynette PAYNE		<a href="#">CSO1255</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		A good number of these options, especially in Wimborne and Parley, are on the edges of the urban areas. Building in these locations changes the whole ethos of the area. When you leave Wimborne you see fields, trees and rivers dotted with thatched cottages. Even along Leigh Road, there is a definite rural feel, with true separation between Wimborne and Colehill. Developing along here or Cranborne Road will dramatically change the area, for the worst. This in turn reduces people's quality of life, as we see our area creep closer to urbanisation, field by field. Why can't some of the brownfield sites be used instead?			899
361261	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO645</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lugg			FWP 2						
475534	Mr Anthony Elliott		<a href="#">CSO1221</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley is green belt. If we lose any more we become just part of Ferndown. We do not need affordable housing here. Christchurch Rd cannot take more traffic.			899
487902	Mr and Mrs B L Thompson		<a href="#">CSO650</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Areas 1 and 2 will have a great effect at Longham roundabout, making traffic very busy at an already difficult crossing.			899
489250	Mavis Quincey		<a href="#">CSO684</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Objection to extra houses is due to increased traffic use of all local roads. Ellisfield Road into Dudsbury Road to Horns becoming very busy not helped by parked cars etc. This area needs a bus link to Parley Cross, we have one per week.			899
489388	TJ Robins		<a href="#">CSO692</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
489397	Mrs Kim Church		<a href="#">CSO698</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley and Ferndown roads cannot cope with the current volume of traffic. Unless major road improvements were to be made prior to any development the roads would be saturated. Other local infrastructure would be significantly impacted including local doctors, schools and the environment. Why is green belt being considered for housing developments?			899
489411	JMT Morris		<a href="#">CSO704</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489426	P Jackson		<a href="#">CSO711</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The current road system does not support the proposed development.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							This green belt housing proposals would radically alter the West Parley green belt.			
489519	R Huggett		<a href="#">CSO717</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489527	Mrs and Mr PY and A Housden and Pearce		<a href="#">CSO720</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489534	Mr David McGuinness		<a href="#">CSO728</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I've worked hard all my life to live in West Parley the way it is thank you very much. It's all about lining somebody else's pockets. We don't want a concrete jungle or an IMAX. I want to see deer and wildlife not more houses and traffic. Start building on industrial sites. I live in Church Lane and there's nothing I like better than looking out over the field at the back of my garden. I've worked very hard all my life for these views and the lovely deer that walk through all this will be lost. Just to fill someone's pockets with backhanders. Just like the IMAX, they won't be happy until everywhere is concrete. In another 20 years the kids of today will have concrete everywhere.			899
489571	Mrs KP Sutton		<a href="#">CSO737</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Whilst I strongly support providing housing for the younger generation at an affordable price, I do wonder where they are going to work in this area. Given that the majority will find work in Bournemouth/Christchurch/Poole, we need to work with those boroughs in getting traffic moving more efficiently.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							The New Road bridge is totally inadequate. It needs to be at least double the width-that would involve demolishing houses in the southern end of New Road to accommodate the new traffic flow. There is a similar (worse) problem at the bridge on the Ringwood road at Longham.			
489582	Mr John Swift		<a href="#">CSO743</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown and West Parley already suffer from overcrowding and traffic congestion. As proved in the past very few, if any, of the new housing would be 'affordable'. It is time to tackle the problem of overcrowding of areas and not to just keep building more and more.			899
489589	Linda Sherring		<a href="#">CSO745</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489602	Mr Nigel Stride		<a href="#">CSO753</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Green belt should be protected as per Conservative manifesto promise			899
489713	Mr Robert Davison		<a href="#">CSO762</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489720	Mrs Joyce Bellisario		<a href="#">CSO768</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Green spaces should be kept for our children. Our roads are already congested.			899
489729	Mr Malcolm Baker		<a href="#">CSO774</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Need to restrict "flat" developments. The need is for "houses" and affordable ones at that.			899
489743	Mr D Russell		<a href="#">CSO786</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Am against any increase in housing density in the proposed areas, until the traffic congestion and safety on the approaches to the "Longham			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Roundabouts" and the traffic lights at "Parley Cross Roads" has been resolved. With the airport expanding and anticipated to do so in future by a factor of three, the highways infrastructure is not acceptable as it currently exists. Sort out the roads now before creating further problems, it will be cheaper and more successful than trying, and likely failing, to bolt the stable door later.			
489747	Mrs M Hartley		<a href="#">CSO788</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
489748	Andrew ALLEN		<a href="#">CSO795</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489750	Mrs and Mr R Bundy		<a href="#">CSO799</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489757	Alan Mitchell		<a href="#">CSO805</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489764	Mr and Mrs W M DEAGLE		<a href="#">CSO813</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		While the Council are in no doubt interested in the increased Council tax revenue that additional housing will bring, there does not appear to be any regard for the GRIDLOCK that ALREADY exists on this neighbourhood's roads network. It CANNOT support additional housing			899
489767	Mr J D BOUTLE		<a href="#">CSO825</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489768	Mr and Mrs R		<a href="#">CSO831</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		If Coppins development goes ahead (FWP2) Linden Road could become a			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	HALL						cut-through. Therefore Linden Road should have no access from Christchurch Road eg bollards at the end of the road.			
489770	Tony and June SAVAGE		<a href="#">CSO836</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Although we do not object to some housing, the above Green Belt sites would make West Parley too built up, surgeries too overcrowded and vast amounts of traffic at peak times which can already be too busy.			899
489771	Tony STARK		<a href="#">CSO838</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
489898	Mrs Elizabeth Daw		<a href="#">CSO848</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Before any housing development is contemplated major road improvements would be necessary to cope with increased traffic problems in Ferndown and West Parley - are bad enough as it is now. Shops- Major rethink about shops in West Parley is needed. Food shops that people can go into as it used to be 25/30 years ago-butcher, fruiterer, baker etc and less tiles, bathrooms, kitchens, beauty treatment as it is now. Glenmoor Road- A dangerous area at the shops/carpark. Cars should not be allowed to park on both sides of the road. A clear view leaving the car park is almost impossible. Particularly bad at school times especially in the afternoon when children are collected. This area is congested and positively dangerous at this time. It is surprising that there has not been an accident			899
489924	Mr and Mrs CD		<a href="#">CSO854</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Are the government going to provide new medical facilities in this area as			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Culpin						health centres are stretched to capacity in this area. Also it takes already far too long to get anywhere on the roads surrounding the proposed areas of development. Always too much traffic.			
489996	Miss W Hammett		<a href="#">CSO861</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Most of the proposed sites are used for horse grazing. Where are the animals going to go? This area has no provision for children to play so family housing would not be appropriate. Very poor or no bus service to these sites at present.			899
490006	Mr Gary Tharme		<a href="#">CSO867</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I support introduction of homes suitable for younger families. Perhaps at the same time, restrictions on conversion of large or multiple flats into retirement or care homes would also help? Extra economic infrastructure is needed			899
490020	Mr R.I.G. MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO873</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
490051	Chalmers		<a href="#">CSO878</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
490084	Mrs J Stone		<a href="#">CSO884</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		If the large number of houses represents family homes, the area will need additional medical facilities and probably school places. I would not wish to see a large density of affordable homes on the Parley sites with the attendant problems as seen at Heatherlands.			899
490090	MTB		<a href="#">CSO890</a>	Option		No	I moved to West Parley 14 years ago.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Mills			FWP 2		Opinion	This area is very nice; the traffic has got steadily worse. We must maintain the same medical help as now. I also love the green areas just to walk and look at. 800 more houses will increase the traffic by approximately 800 vehicles moving in the peak period and also during the day. Parley Cross traffic lights will not cope.			
490093	Mr John Goodman		<a href="#">CSO896</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
490099	Mr B Patching		<a href="#">CSO907</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I believe these areas should not be considered. The road structure, amenities, sewer systems and other infrastructure is far from adequate. Roads are already becoming clogged with traffic mainly due to the airport and surrounding work sites. Traffic in Christchurch has increased by at least 60% in recent years.			899
490400	Mrs G D Field		<a href="#">CSO946</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
490507	Ruscombe Smyth-Pigott		<a href="#">CSO952</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
490551	J Kidd		<a href="#">CSO999</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	Radio Solent state 10,000 housed are presently vacant/unoccupied in their area. May I suggest that by 2027 most English roads are likely to be totally gridlocked if present growth increases are maintained. Even at certain times now Parley X Roads are under stress. Why not look at areas north of Ameyford Police Station and beyond			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the old railway (Castleman) line where access to Ferndown bypass for any new development would be easy. Any new development in Parley would require more traditional shops or even a new superstore. Some 800 affordable housing probably suggests 800 married couples and eventually 2.4 children in each property. Both parents needing work and schools and no doubt no garaging for 2 cars. Therefore massive street parking. Wardens would have a field day.			
490561	Ian King		<a href="#">CSO1019</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Overdevelopment of the area will add to future traffic problems and burden the services in the Ferndown area eg schools and doctors. Open fields are important for residents and horse owners and to develop the Green Belt is not environmental.			899
490823	Ferndown Town Council Paul Falconbridge	Deputy Town Clerk Ferndown Town Council	<a href="#">CSO1901</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The Town Council were consulted on where they thought additional housing could be provided in the Ferndown boundary in January 2008. At that time they discussed recommending some open space areas and green belt where an urban extension might be appropriate but the areas were ruled out by the methodology of the enquiry. It was felt that it would inappropriate to recommend these areas unless the land was specifically identified for the building of low cost two and three bedroom family homes. The Town Council are aware that the only possible areas for the development and expansion of rural areas could only come by reclassifying the Green			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Belt. The site at Coppins Nursery would be preferred to Option FWP1 as rather than decreasing the green belt gap it is merely an extension of an already built up area. However the Town Council does have major concerns about the lack of local infrastructure and its ability to cope with the additional residents. The doctors, dentists and shopping areas are totally inadequate to provide for new residents to the Ferndown area.			
490829	Mr D CLEARY		<a href="#">CSO1049</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The road network around West Parley is already one of the most congested in the Greater Bournemouth area; the possibility of another 500 - 1000 cars per day will make matters worse. Schemes have been tried to ease the present chaos and have failed.			899
490836	Mr M P Graves		<a href="#">CSO1054</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Ideal locations for the required housing.			899
490866	Mr and Mrs D WRIGHT		<a href="#">CSO1072</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Before any further development should even be considered it will be essential to extend infrastructure by way of schools, medical facilities etc. It is irresponsible for the Council to state 'We are aware of problem'. Also Green Belt was a promise to the public - Local politicians will only earn even greater contempt if they break this promise.			899
490982	KG James		<a href="#">CSO1077</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491000	Mr and Mrs DW and FA Mallett		<a href="#">CSO1083</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
491016	Mrs D Abel		<a href="#">CSO1089</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I feel that with so many proposed homes in these areas would create traffic problems especially with the Hurn airport workers which is bad enough at the present time. Also supermarkets would have to be built to cope with new residents thereby completely spoiling West Parley area.			899
491020	Mr Simon Jordan		<a href="#">CSO1095</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
491034	Mr Kenneth Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO1102</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The green belt must remain as is. The road system, schools, medical services are totally inadequate for any more housing. The green belt must not be built on. West Parley is not suitable for social housing. Build on the brown belt if necessary			899
491080	J C Hanney		<a href="#">CSO1109</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Increase traffic will cause even more problems in the area particularly at Parley traffic lights.			899
491125	Miss I Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1115</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
491139	SJ and EJ Dixon-Gough		<a href="#">CSO1120</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
491150	Mr C D Allen		<a href="#">CSO1129</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491163	Mr Butterfield		<a href="#">CSO1135</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It is essential to maintain West Parley's green belt environment and village status. The medical and school facilities are not sufficient for houses which are being considered. The main concern is New Road which is virtually impossible to cross, no complete stop of traffic- no pavement leading up to iron bridge on odd side of road-no crossing and 40mph speed limit-this can only get worse.			899
491171	Mr and Mrs Plewka		<a href="#">CSO1142</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley's environment will be destroyed. More housing will have an adverse effect on existing road systems, medical and school provision			899
491173	G Burningham		<a href="#">CSO1148</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		As mentioned, any extra houses built in the area, there needs to be Doctors, Dentists and Schools before anyone moves in.			899
491174	Mrs O Mead		<a href="#">CSO1197</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley already is overcrowded!			899
491232	Mr Keith Barnett		<a href="#">CSO1155</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It is time to stop mass building projects as it is not the answer to the problems the nation and local communities are experiencing. The easy short term solution is to build, build, build but it only creates long term problems to the population that have to live with it. If the government and councils listened to the people they would have already concluded that this project had already met with resistance with the Conservative party saying they would quash it. Trying to resurrect this project will not change people's minds even			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							though it is now called the Core Strategy. The area cannot sustain this quantity of new homes which would also require jobs for the vast majority. This proposal of 2,570 homes would also add another 5,000 cars to an already congested junction at West Parley. This area is already over stretched private and industrial vehicles. Jobs are already at a premium with companies moving out of the area or even closing. Warehouses are lying empty and shops are being converted into living accommodation. The young local people are not able to find homes due to the vast majority going to people moving into the area. This vast development will destroy this rural area. Just look at Bournemouth if you require any proof.			
491272	D Steel		<a href="#">CSO1168</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The roads are already inadequate and with these numbers of houses it will become unbearable. The road around the airport is a nightmare as is the single road-Castle Lane-Castlepoint-A338 is also bad and overloaded. Buses are too expensive and do not go to places such as Castlepoint or hospitals. Local post offices have been closed with the Ferndown one threatened as well. Parking in Ferndown as a town centre is also inadequate. What do you intend to improve??? What is happening to the Dormy?			899
491285	C W and B J FREWIN		<a href="#">CSO1178</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Any new homes adjacent to Parley crossroads will cause more traffic problems.			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							If building works go ahead the road improvements must be in place before building.			
491299	Mr and Mrs Shaw		<a href="#">CSO1183</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
491301	Mr Norman TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO1186</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
491311	Mr Kevin GILLING		<a href="#">CSO1196</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
491318	Jackie Ball		<a href="#">CSO1236</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		New Road is over used as it is; with a possible extra 500 houses = 750 extra cars (approx) the roads around Parley would be grid locked. If the Spur Road is closed through accidents, all traffic comes via New Road. This leads to chaos.			899
491383	Mr Stanley Havill		<a href="#">CSO1233</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
491392	Mrs Lesley Wilson		<a href="#">CSO1277</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		FWP2 is on a nasty bend. There have been fatal accidents there, outside the nursery. Consideration needs to be given to this.			899
491436	Mr and Mrs G Martin		<a href="#">CSO1285</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		More housing will only spoil West Parley. It is a village, not a town and should be kept as one. Please save our village West Parley.			899
491446	E J Clempson		<a href="#">CSO1291</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Not viable unless matching infrastructure can be provided. Christchurch Rd already heavily developed, try exiting Linden			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Rd/Dudsbury Rd.			
491451	R Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO1297</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
491465	Mr John Dinsdale		<a href="#">CSO1304</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
495549	Mr Simon Rowberry		<a href="#">CSO1485</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Bus routes and cycle lanes will not be classed as transport improvements. People have cars, they use them, and therefore you need to consider this pressure on Parley Crossroads, an already congested junction.			899
495554	Mrs Nesta Small		<a href="#">CSO1491</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
495562	Mr MJ Banting		<a href="#">CSO1512</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
495625	Mr Michael McMath		<a href="#">CSO1539</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
360714	Carol Morgan		<a href="#">CSO2024</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360734	Mr Nick Moulton	Amphibians and Reptiles Conservation Trust	<a href="#">CSO2339</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		ARC would object to this proposed development. Its proximity to heathland SSSI's and SNCI's would seriously effect the integrity of these protected habitats and the provision of a SANG could not mitigate against such high additional public use (and mis-use eg arson) of these sites.			899
361111	Mr		<a href="#">CSO2075</a>	Option		No				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Raymond Brown			FWP 2		Opinion				
484088	Mr David Price		<a href="#">CSO2526</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
494596	Mr Sperling		<a href="#">CSO1322</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
494598	Mrs Jean Pedley		<a href="#">CSO1323</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
494600	Audrey Russell		<a href="#">CSO1332</a>	Option FWP 2		General Comment	I feel unable to give my support to any of the proposed housing locations in West Parley until the road infrastructure has been vastly improved. Currently the area around the junction at Parley Cross and the Christchurch Road is very congested and dangerous to negotiate. I suggest that sites for schools and other necessary services should be identified at this planning stage. I am also aware that a proposed development, close to the Coppins site, has been turned down in the past due to its close proximity to the site of 'Nature Conservation Interest' at Poor Common.			899
494604	Mr John Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO1337</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
494623	S and R Perkins		<a href="#">CSO1340</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
494726	M J Martin		<a href="#">CSO1355</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
494736	Mr S Cox		<a href="#">CSO1361</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
494741	Sybil Eastham		<a href="#">CSO1365</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
495200	Mr and Mrs J M B Webber		<a href="#">CSO1378</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
495225	L Higgins		<a href="#">CSO1382</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I have chosen the above options as they will minimise the flow of traffic onto the existing roads by being in diverse positions. It may help the current road network which is a disaster.			899
495269	Mr E Wigman		<a href="#">CSO1391</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		We understand that young people require some housing but with the already overcrowded road systems and lack of bus services a lot of improvements need to be made. A circular bus service to cover Bournemouth, Christchurch hospitals and the airport would be advantageous to older people who can no longer drive. We would also like West Parley to remain a "village" and not joined to Bournemouth			899
495348	Mr and Mrs RJ Veal		<a href="#">CSO1402</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Coppins already industrial ie brown site. All other green land.			899
495369	S W Wood		<a href="#">CSO1408</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		45 million has just been invested in the new airport terminal - in itself increasing aircraft noise and extra road congestion in and around West Parley. To consider building 700 new homes			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							on Green Belt land will mean more road congestion, pollution and noise. This is unfair to the residents of West Parley. I realise we need more homes and would support FWP4 - we would also need adequate schooling, medical care and transport.			
495376	AG Poole		<a href="#">CSO1415</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Too many houses. Green belt being eroded. Transport and roads appalling. West Parley will lose its rural status.			899
495378	A'Barrow/Wade		<a href="#">CSO1417</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We choose to move to West Parley because of its natural open spaces. Since we've been here Parley Common has already been an area that's been ruined. Not to mention the extra traffic these extra houses will bring to the area. This is a major concern, especially when the emergency services need to get through Parley lights or Longham. Its grid lock now. What will it be like with all these extra houses? Don't build new, re-build/regenerate old.			899
495429	L Mansbridge		<a href="#">CSO1425</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Both FWP1 and 2 would put too much pressure on Poor Common which is busy enough. FWP 1,2,3,4 and 5 would increase traffic on what is already struggling with current vehicular use.			899
495437	Mr Andrew Scott		<a href="#">CSO1433</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		By developing FWP 1 and FWP 2 north of Christchurch Road, the feeling of Green Belt is maintained and we are expanding the conurbation without developing new areas. Coppins is an area that is not clearly seen by the passing public.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495446	Nicola Anne Lowe		<a href="#">CSO1439</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
495453	Mr R Walden		<a href="#">CSO1446</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
495460	Steve Scamell		<a href="#">CSO1452</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Before building work commences, road infrastructure should take place. New Road, Christchurch Road are congested as it is!! Also - where are these new people going to work??			899
495485	Mrs Jane-Louise Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1462</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		West Parley needs younger people but building in excess of 800 houses is not the answer. Also Parley lights are busy enough without more congestion from new houses. I feel flats need to stop being built for the elderly. This encourages young people away and Ferndown struggles to cater to the younger, middle aged people.			899
495493	MJ McManus		<a href="#">CSO1468</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
495662	M Abbott		<a href="#">CSO1556</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
495680	J M Brown		<a href="#">CSO1757</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The attractiveness of West Parley arises by the number of green spaces, and the rural feel of the area. This is so apparent when one leaves the Bournemouth conurbation after Redhill, and enters the openness of West Parley.			899
495971	T A Reith		<a href="#">CSO2204</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
496060	Mr C and Mrs K		<a href="#">CSO1596</a>	Option	Support		My concerns are for the shortage of			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Ward			FWP 2			facilities and infrastructure if any of these proposals are accepted. More traffic, people who will need more nursery provision, more schools more teenage facilities, doctors, health provision for the elderly. What percentage of these houses will be really affordable to local people? Please keep West Parley and surrounding areas pleasant to live in, by limiting the density of all of these options.			
496188	Mr RE Vogel		<a href="#">CSO1619</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
496388	Mrs J Pinnington		<a href="#">CSO1657</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		My concern is the increased traffic congestion at Parley crossroads. Also the number of houses proposed would mean that families with children would mean more school places would have to be provided. How could the hospitals and doctors surgeries be able to cope? It would be obvious that this should be considered. The point about the green belt boundary being amended - think of the future-soon it will mean we would have no places to walk or enjoy!			899
496439	Mr and Mrs Gordon Third		<a href="#">CSO1668</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Whether improvements are made to the road system or not, West Parley is unable to cope with any increase in traffic. Support services, e.g., doctors, dentists, and schools, public transport would not be able to cope with the increased population in the area. Also, semi-rural area, which is why people chose to live here. The green belt must			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							not be used for housing. It is essential for this to be kept for leisure use, wildlife. Too many animal species are dying out because their habitats are being destroyed.			
496479	Charlotte Dixon		<a href="#">CSO1707</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
496564	JH Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO1752</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
496612	Mr and Mrs JP Lovell		<a href="#">CSO1803</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
496661	Mr Isger		<a href="#">CSO1823</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
496704	Mr Davies		<a href="#">CSO1838</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Inadequate medical facilities and schools, existing road system clearly under pressure. Nowhere for youths except to congregate outside local shops.			899
496708	Mr and Mrs Z M Radwanski		<a href="#">CSO1849</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
496747	K W Walker		<a href="#">CSO1855</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
496749	Mr J S Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1861</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Submitting readily to the pressure of 'in-migration' is paying the Danegeld. Control of population movements is not an option in our society but it is inescapable that as more housing is made available more will be required. Finding sites for affordable housing and other housing is commendable but only the price mechanism (upper limit),			899



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>other economic/market factors and sensible but rigid allocation criteria for affordable housing can ensure the desires result (accusations of social engineering can then be ignored). Parley Cross is already a nightmare and upwards of 700 new houses will aggravate what is, by any definition, urban blight. Just plonking down large numbers of houses without imaginative, long term, commercial, highways, and services developments/solutions will squeeze existing residential areas and can only confirm Parley Cross (specifically the roads and shopping) as a deeply unattractive, traffic bound, nasty, inaccessible, dangerous and tawdry trading site. The Ferndown shopping centre and Parley Cross, both of which are glorified, fragmented traffic conduits, reflect laissez-faire evolution rather than the more considered planning apparent in most of the residential areas. Expediency will generate temporary solutions. Time to stand back and ask questions about 'quality of life', future generations and the like.</p>			
496751	A J Leggatt		<a href="#">CSO1867</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>The Green Belt was designated for a purpose - to stop the sprawl of housing from one community to another. This still stands and should be upheld. I have no objection to small developments but not to large estates which would spoil the village character of Parley. People who live here obviously want to live in a village rather than a sprawling town.</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
496760	N K M Stephens		<a href="#">CSO1873</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		It is noted a new Core Strategy Plan is required but feel there is and will be insufficient infrastructure and facilities to support a large development which would completely alter the character of the neighbourhood. Also development east of New Road would be under the flight path of the airport as aircraft do not always fly over the water meadows adjacent to New Road Bridge.			899
496764	Mr P S Tripp		<a href="#">CSO1879</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I feel the road system in West Parley is already at maximum usage. There are many occasions when it takes 10 - 15 minutes to reach the traffic lights at Parley Cross from Winnards Close. Before any further development takes place, we need a dual carriageway directly linking W Parley lights, with the A338 Spur Road.			899
496785	Mrs S Lovelock		<a href="#">CSO1885</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I do enjoy living in the present West Parley environment but I also appreciate affordable housing is needed. Improved infrastructure of transport (all forms) should definitely be in place first. A doctor's surgery is already badly needed in West Parley. I know little about children these days, but obviously these proposals will mean many more children in the area and needs careful consideration re schools etc.			899
496786	Andrew and Lorna Gardner		<a href="#">CSO1891</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
496919	Mrs	Parish Clerk	<a href="#">CSO2176</a>	Option	Object		The proposed housing development on	Remove the Option		899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Nicola Shaw	Hurn Parish Council		FWP 2			land north of Christchurch Road serves to move West Parley towards Ferndown and promotes urbanisation of the open countryside between the two settlements. There is a danger that the two communities will lose their individual identities as the area of open land between them is reduced.	from the Core Strategy.		
496958	Mrs J Beech		<a href="#">CSO1934</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
496978	I Holmes		<a href="#">CSO1943</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
496987	Mrs M Marshall		<a href="#">CSO1958</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
497022	Mrs J D Newbold		<a href="#">CSO1971</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		So long as road issues, schools, shops etc are present developers should first improve Parley Cross centre. Buses must be a priority. The Green Belt to be retained as first priority.			899
497026	Doreen Smith		<a href="#">CSO1991</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		This amount of building will create extra traffic in Ferndown and Parley which already has a lot of road build up in the busy morning and evening travel. Also taking a lot of natural land for our wild life.			899
497038	Mrs H A Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1985</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
497051	Tovey		<a href="#">CSO2017</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
497056	Mrs Gillian Miles		<a href="#">CSO2037</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
497060	Mrs Mary Tuffrey		<a href="#">CSO2078</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
497089	Mr Frank A Soan		<a href="#">CSO2105</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
497158	B S Newman		<a href="#">CSO2143</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		No to Green Belt development - we wish to keep a Green Belt between rural West Parley and Bournemouth. That is why we saved up for years to live here. Our existing roads sustain more housing traffic. Unable at the moment to cross safely with dog over Christchurch Road or come out of our residential roads to filter into existing main roads. Schools and medical. Use garden building for small town houses to give affordable homes to young families or people who have not been able to buy ie schemes for 1st time buyers.			899
497173	D Dixon		<a href="#">CSO2156</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I personally think you should offer to the resident of this area a proposal of roads and infrastructure before asking the public to commit accepting you're planning for more housing as shown on your plans. Without more building the New Road is inadequate to take more traffic, when the recession has passed there is bound to be more cars and lorries using New Road. This plan you have put forward does not constitute good planning.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
497182	Margaret Muir		<a href="#">CSO2171</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Transport issues, school issues, improvements can be promised, but may not work and cause more transport and traffic increase.			899
497184	Mr Hilling		<a href="#">CSO2209</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
497239	Martine Lewis		<a href="#">CSO2252</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
497343	Sharon Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO2276</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
497773	R Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO2479</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360037	Mr Dave BARNES		<a href="#">CSO4048</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
361041	Mr & Mrs G K HAMPTON		<a href="#">CSO4020</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		We strongly support the provision of affordable housing on all sites and that the two types of housing should be intermingled. We applaud the emphasis on provision of adequate public transport.			899
361170	Mr TR HARVEY		<a href="#">CSO2844</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
498044	Carolynne BANKS		<a href="#">CSO2675</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Transport needs to be sorted out centrally - to get something that works properly - this is the biggest showstopper to all of these schemes - the current roads will not support any more traffic. Use the newer out-of-town developments to extend housing - after all that's what they were created for ie:			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Verwood, Corfe Mullen, Sturminster Marshall. Don't cram more development into existing residential areas in town. Consider the impact on horse-riders especially in West Parley, where there are a significant number of horses. Adding more traffic to horses = more accidents. Horse-riders have to use the roads to access the bridleways. Recent increases in traffic already make the situation fraught with danger.			
498047	CD Bradford		<a href="#">CSO2652</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
498062	Mr Nick Crawford		<a href="#">CSO2727</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Although I live in Ferndown, I work and travel around a lot in the local area, so feel I can voice my opinion on developments around the area.			899
498084	Mr P HARTLEY		<a href="#">CSO2754</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
498125	Mr and Mrs P DASHWOOD		<a href="#">CSO2794</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
498169	Mrs D WEAVER		<a href="#">CSO2898</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
498184	Mrs Angela BARKER		<a href="#">CSO2925</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
498267	B G Northwood		<a href="#">CSO2988</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498268	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO3006</a>	Option		No				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	R Cullen			FWP 2		Opinion				
498270	Mr and Mrs P Herrington		<a href="#">CSO3013</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		From Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet- Options 1 and 2 are areas on the boundary of Ferndown conurbation and amenities should cope with additional homes.			899
498271	Mandy CHEESEMAN		<a href="#">CSO3056</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
498274	P Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO3032</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		This 'Ferndown and West Parley Options' document is very weighted to housing in West Parley. Most of these options will create significant additional traffic volumes at Parley Cross, which already struggles with the existing volumes.			899
498281	D R and M E Fitzhenry		<a href="#">CSO3049</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		None. Until the total infrastructure (doctors, schools and all other amenities) have been totally gone in to, before any decisions are made.			899
498335	Mr and Mrs Lester		<a href="#">CSO3210</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		14 lane traffic lights through tiny village centre. West Parley has one of the busiest sets of traffic lights on the south coast. This cannot and does not cope at all now. The field at traffic lights is an emergency landing strip for airport. The field marked FW3 is right next to Saxon rings in Doomsday Book, would the Council build over all our heritage as well as Green Belt. Strongly object. FW5 floods now regularly so how can you consider building on that. FW1 is proposed off road that can't cope with its traffic already.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498338	Joan Furniss		<a href="#">CSO3082</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The extra traffic on our roads is not viable.			899
498341	Ronald B Diggle		<a href="#">CSO3092</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498359	Brian Miles CBE		<a href="#">CSO3109</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498446	W A Murphy		<a href="#">CSO3211</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498455	Mrs Rosemary Dark		<a href="#">CSO3227</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498470	Mr Mike Baynham		<a href="#">CSO3243</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		One of the main justifications seems to be houses for our children. If this policy wins then a cycle of never ending development starts because a generation later more houses are needed for their children etc.			899
498495	Mr John Williams		<a href="#">CSO3274</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498540	R Crawford		<a href="#">CSO3293</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The road around West Parley and Ferndown are congested as it is. Any further building will contribute to this. West Parley needs to be kept as Green Belt wherever possible as land everywhere is being gobbled up for building.			899
498548	Messrs Sewell		<a href="#">CSO3353</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The existing Green Belt should be preserved for future generations. Any development near Parley Crossroads will mean further traffic, congestion, pedestrian problems, as well as loss of the green corridor defining Ferndown			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							area as opposed to becoming a suburb of Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch.			
498692	Alwyn Stavert		<a href="#">CSO3359</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Affordable houses (through Housing Association?) There appears to be a surfeit of Garden Centres etc. locally. Access Christchurch Road, provide bus service.			899
498699	Mr and Mrs P J Edkins		<a href="#">CSO3364</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498706	Darren Schmidt		<a href="#">CSO3370</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
498711	Mr Tam Chant		<a href="#">CSO3387</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498775	Mrs P L Buckler		<a href="#">CSO3393</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I object very strongly to having green belt land built on - I moved to this area many years ago because I felt it was semi-rural. I like to see horses and cattle grazing - if I wanted to live in town I'd have bought in Bournemouth.			899
498818	Mr R White		<a href="#">CSO3400</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		This is a commercial site and should not be used as housing development. The adjacent houses numbers 5 to West and 5 to East, The proposal is to put 45 homes onto a site that will only sustain 11 homes in the existing style of this local area. Ferndown and West Parley Housing leaflet There is not the infrastructure, schools or facilities in the local area that can support an influx of approximately			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							2000 proposed people into the area. The council has already voted these proposals out.			
498828	Paul Brady		<a href="#">CSO3409</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498871	Mrs Laura Jean HEASLIP		<a href="#">CSO3422</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
498996	M E CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO3453</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
498999	Mrs M Weller		<a href="#">CSO3441</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
499019	William Legg		<a href="#">CSO3460</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499035	John Pelang		<a href="#">CSO3471</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499040	Mr K Grimshaw		<a href="#">CSO3483</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499049	Mr and Mrs Worsfold		<a href="#">CSO3492</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley can't cope with any more traffic, it is at breaking point now, especially when they shut the spur road, if there is an accident. We have enough people living here and also the schools would not be able to cope with the extra children.			899
499065	David Stacey		<a href="#">CSO3503</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
499231	Mrs A		<a href="#">CSO3525</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lathbury									
499236	J PIPE		<a href="#">CSO3544</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499245	Mr and Mrs N BUTLER		<a href="#">CSO3578</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360098	Mr John L ROBINSON		<a href="#">CSO4601</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
360190	Mr John CULLEN	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO4269</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360685	Mr M.P HOSE		<a href="#">CSO4634</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
361049	Mr Brian ROPER		<a href="#">CSO4490</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Bring forward submissions and planning, create employment, low cost housing with assistance for young people.			899
361106	Mr and Mrs Robin and Janet WALL		<a href="#">CSO3674</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
475537	Mrs Julie Bird		<a href="#">CSO4092</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
491252	Margaret Wareham		<a href="#">CSO3789</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I object to green belt being built on. We do not need more housing in West Parley. The roads are too busy, and we wish to keep our green fields, plus there is no work in the area for more families and the public transport is not			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							good and we do not need more shops. Also Response form			
499248	H Hedges		<a href="#">CSO3591</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The current infrastructure is inadequate for the existing population: roads, sewage, drainage etc. Any housing development in West Parley would be a disaster and politicians, both local and national will find out at the ballot box that the vast majority of voters object to and reject these plans. The growth and proximity of the airport is in itself a reason to abandon any plans for housing development.			899
499261	Mrs Norma JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO3612</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499290	Mrs Lisa TURNBULL		<a href="#">CSO3645</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499384	Mr A I ROSE		<a href="#">CSO3718</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The centre of activity is the main crossroads. Develop housing as near as possible to avoid more travel.			899
499560	Mr and Mrs Tickner		<a href="#">CSO3827</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		We trust you are aware of the traffic density between the Ferndown and West Parley traffic lights on New Road, which has sharp bends and difficult access. We were wondering if the island by the Porsche Centre would create better controlled traffic if turned into a roundabout.			899
499681	Paul Rogers		<a href="#">CSO3870</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Access is poor due to poor visibility to view approaching traffic from both east and west directions.			899
499731	R		<a href="#">CSO3886</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Beesley			FWP 2						
499732	Mr and Mrs A J James		<a href="#">CSO3890</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Having lived in West Parley for only 4 years I cannot imagine how anyone with knowledge of this area's roads would consider adding to its congestion! Housing of this magnitude would make this area impossible to get from A to B!			899
499745	Mr M C Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO3898</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
499753	Mrs S E Stringer		<a href="#">CSO3906</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499761	Mrs M Q Saunders		<a href="#">CSO3914</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
499772	Julia Williams		<a href="#">CSO3928</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We object to the prospect of having West Parley built on and used as a public dumping site for voluminous housing. This area has been kept as a wonderful place to live. Why should we put up with this imposition. We have the incinerator, the gravel pits, eco composting. The roads are a nightmare at Parley Cross morning and evening. Why not use the area alongside the A31 it has room for traffic and the room to deal with extra capacity - Parley does not.			899
499788	Mr and Mrs Halford		<a href="#">CSO3946</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We object particularly and most strongly to areas FWP4 and 5 in addition object to the ludicrous quality of housing to these areas close by to			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							what is already one of the busiest junctions in the country. What 'brain box' would even contemplate such development in that area given the already heavy traffic flow at this junction/crossroads. The West Parley parish area and Ferndown area could not possibly support the needs of what could be up to 500 new homes on FWP 4 and 5 the proposal is in our view absolutely crazy! We attach a high value to the green belt and are concerned that the medical and school provision would not be adequate for additional houses.			
499810	Mrs A Clark		<a href="#">CSO3956</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Class sizes in local schools are a big concern. Class sizes are far too big already and therefore any increase in the local population will only make that worse. Increased traffic volumes a concern, particularly at Parley Crossroads.			899
499900	D A Gwillam		<a href="#">CSO3980</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500060	Mr Stuart PIDDOCK		<a href="#">CSO4076</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
500070	Mr J W ELCOCK		<a href="#">CSO4108</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
500077	Peter and Rose Olivo		<a href="#">CSO4112</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500082	Mr S		<a href="#">CSO4122</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The roads would not be able to cope with the extra traffic this would cause.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Harding						The road we live on is extremely busy at all times of the day already. We are a young couple who made a decision to move to a semi-rural area. Just because most properties are bungalows doesn't mean only old people want to live here.			
500115	Mrs Sylvia McIntyre		<a href="#">CSO4138</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500137	Mrs Shelagh Hickinbottom		<a href="#">CSO4146</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It is going to spoil the Green Belt areas. More traffic which will cause a lot of hassle on roads, already too much.			899
500143	Mr G Wright		<a href="#">CSO4156</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I would prefer no building but as I have a house and love the area I cannot vote for somebody if housing is needed not to have the opportunity.			899
500204	Mr and Mrs S T and J P Terrill		<a href="#">CSO4168</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		FWP 1,2 3,4 and 5 all unsuitable for housing development. Land to the east of Trickett's Cross should be used instead. There is too much traffic already going through West Parley; more houses will make traffic worse. FWP 3, 4 and 5 act as flood plains and building there would cause flooding elsewhere. Please leave West Parley alone! Put houses where their owners' cars will not cause congestion; along the Ringwood Road, east of Ferndown.			899
500222	S Hartley		<a href="#">CSO4189</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500261	Mrs Sharp		<a href="#">CSO4198</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Inadequate infrastructure. Traffic congestion already - don't need any			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							more. Don't believe that sufficient investment will be made to alleviate these problems.			
500307	Mrs J Wolverson		<a href="#">CSO4204</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
500350	Mr P H BARTLETT		<a href="#">CSO4220</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500360	Mr K Nippard		<a href="#">CSO4251</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500361	Mr and Miss N and A Middleton and Turner		<a href="#">CSO4261</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The proposed areas off Christchurch Road and Longham area in general, cannot withstand further development, as the transport infrastructure will collapse from traffic volume through Ringwood Road, Longham Bridge etc and up to Bear Cross Roundabout. Also in the direction from Ham lane, and Christchurch Road to Parley Traffic Lights.			899
500391	Ms Jennifer Mogg		<a href="#">CSO4336</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		There should be NO building on Green Belt land. If the Councillors go against the wishes of the majority of West Parley people, my views are: FWP1 - Max 50 homes FWP2 - Max 35 homes FWP3 - Max 100 homes FWP4 - Max 100 homes but no community centre or shops, we already have a sports club which could be used. MOST IMPORTANT - Absolutely NO to 40 - 50% social / low cost housing.			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							No more than 10% is ACCEPTABLE.			
500427	Mrs D J LYONS		<a href="#">CSO4311</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
500558	A Baker		<a href="#">CSO4354</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
500580	John and Linda Russell		<a href="#">CSO4364</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Small developments only. We value the green space and traffic problems which are already bad at rush hours will only get worse.			899
500592	Mr and Mrs Ash		<a href="#">CSO4373</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500622	Mr and Mrs M Simpson		<a href="#">CSO4390</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Having resided in West Parley for the past 25 years, we have noticed the dramatic increase on the amount of traffic, using New Road and Christchurch Road. This is almost anything of day leading to queues in all directions. Will the Council only be happy when the whole area comes to gridlock, which I might add already happens, when there's roadworks and accidents on the Bournemouth Spur Road. West Parley was a pleasant area 25 years ago, but with the already massive building of houses and flats in the surrounding area, has made it a travelling nightmare.			899
500630	S R and J V Jones		<a href="#">CSO4395</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500646	Mr and Mrs L A Searle		<a href="#">CSO4401</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500660	Andrea Thomson		<a href="#">CSO4406</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
500672	Mrs P E Budding		<a href="#">CSO4412</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
500679	D Saunders		<a href="#">CSO4418</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		But infrastructure needs to be in place first ie roads, shops etc.			899
500680	Mr and Mrs M Maybury		<a href="#">CSO4423</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500682	Peter Bird		<a href="#">CSO4429</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500685	Mrs E Hedges		<a href="#">CSO4434</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500694	Mr and Mrs J R MANSBRIDGE		<a href="#">CSO4455</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Homes and jobs increase movement of people. Therefore the roads must be able to cater for an increase in use. Public transport or company coaches to places of work - like J P Morgan at Littledown. Canford Bottom needs a FLYOVER - nothing less. Think of the flyover at Ringwood and what a bottleneck that was years ago. With a lot more homes in and around West Parley something should be done to alleviate traffic going down New Road. A second road and an additional bridge is the answer there.			899
500697	Mr Thomas SMITH		<a href="#">CSO4480</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		My objections are based on the infrastructure being unable to support the extra traffic etc. Plus areas that are liable to flooding.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Please note this East Dorset News was given to me by a friend and NOT delivered.			
500706	R & J Jeans		<a href="#">CSO4518</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
500720	Mrs VALLIER		<a href="#">CSO4527</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500731	Mr Tony Brown		<a href="#">CSO4542</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The whole area is far too congested and the services, doctors and infrastructure cannot handle the additional population.			899
500746	Mrs J Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4566</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500748	Mrs Lauren MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO4588</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Any development in the Little Canford / Hampreston area would be an eyesore and defeat the purpose of living in a traditional village area; it would compromise the quality of life.			899
500751	Mr Christopher Halford		<a href="#">CSO4574</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Protect the green belt as the Government and Council had pledged to do, by using Brown Belt land and infill to build small development of affordable homes. No need for large high density developments containing very few affordable homes. The green belt is precious and should be protected not used to fuel developers greed.			899
500766	Mr Brian Raine		<a href="#">CSO4621</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500786	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO4641</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Janet Toomer			FWP 2						
500802	J Hayward		<a href="#">CSO4681</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500810	Mrs Elizabeth Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO4669</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500817	Mr L Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4689</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Re use or develop existing empty houses. Each house has 2-3 cars and there is too much congestion and we do not need more roads or by passes. There are no jobs in this area, build houses where there is work ie Bournemouth and Poole not our Green Belt land.			899
500818	Mr J LADD		<a href="#">CSO4687</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		GREEN BELT LAND MUST BE PROTECTED, otherwise what is the point of having 'Green Belt'? Please listen to public opinion and don't just say 'we will listen and then disregard it'. I expect this will happen anyway.			899
500836	Mrs Sylvia Hines		<a href="#">CSO4729</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
500903	Mr and Mrs S and R Harris		<a href="#">CSO4781</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
500906	Mr and Mrs Scholes		<a href="#">CSO4748</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
500913	Mrs M		<a href="#">CSO4796</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Traffic at Parley cannot cope with any more vehicles.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	STARK						Also drains and infrastructure cannot cope. Make lorries use the Ferndown Bypass and not Longham Bridge.			
500919	V Thomas		<a href="#">CSO4760</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500921	Emily Collins		<a href="#">CSO4767</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The road system is already over - loaded. The Green Belt is a precious resource and one that must be protected.			899
500933	Mr Michael Matthews		<a href="#">CSO4776</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501000	Mr S W J Smith		<a href="#">CSO4811</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Extremely unhappy with the proposed developments around the Ferndown and Parley areas. They will increase the pressure on the infrastructure even more. The roads are terribly congested at peak times even when the traffic is flowing well. I have noticed that, when there is an accident or other reason for a hold up there can be a serious knock-on effect (eg the closing of the road around Hurn Airport). I am very sceptical about the authority improving the infrastructure - we have heard a lot of talk and seen no real action to provide a bypass for Ferndown. In the present financial climate, it will never happen. Therefore I object to further housing development			899
501006	Mr and Mrs J Horsley		<a href="#">CSO4812</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We just feel that the traffic and facilities are such that to have more housing in this area would cause complete grid lock. Our schools and local doctors			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							would be unable to cope.			
501008	Mrs P Norrie		<a href="#">CSO4813</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Increase in local traffic. Concreting over green field areas could cause further water logging on fields, near bridge and flooding. Need for felling of mature trees.			899
501012	Louise ARNOLD		<a href="#">CSO4844</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
359895	Mr Jeff REECE		<a href="#">CSO6049</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Road Infrastructure needs to be tackled before any development takes place.			899
360060	Mr G.M EDWARDS		<a href="#">CSO5419</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Consideration for infrastructure including: Green Belt, projected traffic flow, doctors, schools, paying transport, floods, sewage, gas, electricity, water, welfare facility. Protection of current rate payers against subsidies. Consideration of matters residents may consider non PC.			899
475517	Mrs Sarah Collins		<a href="#">CSO6098</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		This is our green belt land. Talk of green infrastructure when in reality green belt will be lost never to be regained. Traffic at Parley Cross roads and past the airport is already terrible. The thought of adding more residents and cars is unthinkable. Schools and health centres are not ready for big infill.			899
475530	Mr George Phillips		<a href="#">CSO5159</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I don't mind building new homes - people have to live somewhere. BUT - homes should be decent, they should not be built on poor land eg flood			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>plains, road improvements required include a new bridge and a better link between the dual carriageway and Poole.</p> <p>Additional Response received: You need to have a plan on how the infrastructure will be improved to support these housing and commercial developments before we decide on any housing or commercial developments. Infrastructure includes roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, etc. And for roads, I don't mean links from the developments to the existing roads. Everything round here is already overloaded. We need more bridges over the Stour, and a motorway link from Poole to the M27. Motorways north to the M4 and west to the M5 should also be built. These will take the load off the local roads round here. I also think we should only build decent homes. Everyone has to live somewhere, and they should have somewhere decent. It's the cost of land that drives up the cost of accommodation, and building land is expensive because it's in short supply.</p>			
501014	Mr J Morris		<a href="#">CSO4836</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>I would think it would be sensible for less housing not more.</p> <p>The road system is obviously overloaded quite often I cannot get access to New Road.</p> <p>All I can hope for is if the nightmare goes ahead it takes as long as the Ferndown relief road, Poole and Wimborne bypass is taking ie about 20 years.</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501015	Mr & Mrs W McMillan		<a href="#">CSO4865</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501026	Mrs Doreen Hiscock		<a href="#">CSO4850</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The other sites are too large. We need houses for young people, to many old people.			899
501039	Dr and Mrs Peter Liebling		<a href="#">CSO4869</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501051	Mr Eric Franklin		<a href="#">CSO4898</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The roads at West Parley already have enough traffic without more houses. Hurn airport is expected to get busier which will mean more traffic without extra housing.			899
501071	Mr G Dingle		<a href="#">CSO4918</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Please explain how building on these beautiful green sites could provide valuable green infrastructure away from the heath - Dog walking. Please explain how bus lanes and cycle paths will improve the transport situation when each of these new homes will have at least 2 cars.			899
501080	Ms Olivia Collins		<a href="#">CSO4930</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I enjoy the green belt and it is designated to prevent housing spread. I have to leave early to get to school in Bourrnemouth; extra cars will make it worse. Where will all the new children go to school? Road improvements in progress will further disrupt traffic.			899
501107	Mr & Mrs E C Lacey		<a href="#">CSO5012</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501114	John Lee		<a href="#">CSO4966</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Supporting development for FWP1, FWP2 and FWP4 spreads the infrastructure, avoiding a concentration of pressure in a smaller localised area. Provides average 102 affordable homes (44%).			899
501118	Mr Ron Cook		<a href="#">CSO5007</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501120	Mr and Mrs P B Bampton		<a href="#">CSO4981</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		We are extremely worried about the lack of infrastructure to support the large number of proposed houses at FWP 3, 4 and 5. If these issues are addressed - who will be paying the biggest proportion of this? Will we be able to continue to live in West Parley!! - will there be an enormous rise in Council tax to cover the costs?			899
501123	Mr and Mrs Foster		<a href="#">CSO4989</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Environmental disaster! Delightful village and area to suburban sprawl!			899
501127	Dr Stephen Riley		<a href="#">CSO5024</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The development will massively increase the population and change the character of West Parley. It will become yet another sprawling suburb. This is in a time of recession. The lending necessary for people to buy these houses is not available, therefore demand is highly questionable. Many of these houses will be directly under the taking off / landing paths of aircraft and will suffer from noise pollution.			899
501136	Miss M Parker		<a href="#">CSO5041</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	Presumably FWP1 would have an entrance off the A348 but for people driving to Bournemouth this would			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							mean using the Christchurch Road to Parley Cross. This road is very heavily congested at the moment and further traffic would not be acceptable. Another 710 houses using New Road!!! would mean gridlock.			
501143	Rita Whitfield		<a href="#">CSO5056</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501191	Mr and Mrs Redman		<a href="#">CSO5076</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We find that the traffic is bad having to come from our entrance turning right to go to Longham, in the years we have lived here there has been accidents and we fear that building more houses on Coppins Nursery will make things worse with more traffic on the road.			899
501194	Mrs Colton		<a href="#">CSO5082</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501195	Mr A Skinner		<a href="#">CSO5086</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501201	B H Balinas		<a href="#">CSO5108</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501210	Whitehorn		<a href="#">CSO5116</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501234	A and M Grieve		<a href="#">CSO5131</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501327	M E Perkins		<a href="#">CSO5174</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501335	Mrs J J Bassett		<a href="#">CSO5187</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501342	George and Ann Alexander		<a href="#">CSO5196</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		There is too many people and cars in the area. 'We don't want anymore'.			899
501351	Mr and Mrs R D'Cruze		<a href="#">CSO5224</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501353	Mr and Mrs Ireland		<a href="#">CSO5208</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501362	G P Hough		<a href="#">CSO5242</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		FWP 1, 2, and 3 are suitable/viable and have less impact on the environments and traffic levels etc. 340 homes are more than enough.			899
501364	Mr M Devetta		<a href="#">CSO5855</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501369	Mrs S Hunwicks		<a href="#">CSO5259</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
501380	Paul Ashton		<a href="#">CSO5268</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501386	Mrs A Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO5275</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
501485	Mrs J JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO5291</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		You need to take into account impact or roads, transport, shops, doctor's surgery, schools, when building new homes, not just roads alone.			899
501488	Chris LAMB		<a href="#">CSO5324</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501500	Mrs E Chinn		<a href="#">CSO5346</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley is a very old village. Think of all the extra cars, and any other thing they will need. That's the			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							beginning of a concrete jungle! Find somewhere where the residents are not so affected. I live alone and don't want houses up to my back fence - this affects more people than West Parley - who need to travel to work. FWP 4 for sake don't do it!			
501502	Mr Michael WAREHAM		<a href="#">CSO5371</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501506	Janet Cooke		<a href="#">CSO5364</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		My greatest concern about the above is that the Parley Cross junction can already barely cope with current traffic levels and is likely to become gridlocked. We need to protect our green belt.			899
501510	Mrs J Swingler		<a href="#">CSO5395</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Roads will not take any more traffic. Doctors surgeries now full. Not enough schools.			899
501520	Mrs Z MERRIFIELD		<a href="#">CSO5442</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501523	Rita Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO5471</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501530	Mr and Mrs J Archer		<a href="#">CSO5446</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501537	Stalley		<a href="#">CSO5530</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501538	Mr and Mrs R B MORGAN		<a href="#">CSO5485</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Ferndown and West Parley - The options we have selected are those that would provide additional housing with a lower impact on the			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							main roads through West Parley. Our decision was also influenced by the comments given previously as concerns for the area and the road infrastructure. Any house building in the Parley Cross / New Road area would require major new traffic management and road layout.			
501542	Mr and Mrs STRACHAN		<a href="#">CSO5522</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	Please do not accept any community centre / halls or leisure centre as a corporate inducement from developers of housing developments. In my experience they hardly ever work and cost a great deal in subsidy for councils each and every year. ie. Verwood Hub; Lenham, Kent; Ditton, Nr Maidstone, Kent. My ref: 10 years as a Parish Councillor in Ditton, Kent.			899
501547	Mrs Helen Lessnoff		<a href="#">CSO5580</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
501556	Mr and Mrs VEAL		<a href="#">CSO5547</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
501560	Mr Christopher CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO5579</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501563	Mr and Mrs Halls		<a href="#">CSO5562</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		This area is already saturated with traffic and noise. Refuse centres cannot cope with current population. Infrastructure (road) too small.			899
501567	Trevor Openshaw		<a href="#">CSO5602</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I have lived in West Parley for over 30 years. This is the umpteenth time that the authorities have attempted to despoil this lovely area we live in. In			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the past they have stopped, for example, the megastore that was almost inflicted on Parley Cross in sections 4 and 5. Usually there is no allowance made for roads and services, so I don't expect there will be this time. Suggest we let the council sample commuter time at Parley, or hereabouts. Or try to get a doctor's appointment, if they are not increased. Anyway, everyone knows you can't sell a house for a toffee at present, so who is going to buy these phantom properties. I don't feel that there is any demand to go anywhere near justifying this ridiculous plan. It also will have the effect of ruining some local builders. Of course it may be that the houses are to be provided for the thousands of immigrants we have leaked into the population.			
501574	Mr & Mrs B S Nippard		<a href="#">CSO5626</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	<p>Re FERNDOWN AND WEST PARLEY OPTIONS</p> <p>The existing road structure, even with improvements cannot support increases in traffic brought about by this and other development. Access to the spur road, access into Bournemouth via New Road and access along Ringwood Road can come to lengthy standstills at most times of the day depending upon circumstances.</p> <p>FWP3 - Building on an exposed and elevated site which adjoins a major EDDC ancient monument should not be proposed.</p> <p>FWP3/4 - Despite the provision of open space, these developments will</p>			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							inevitably be to the detriment of the protected areas at Parley Common. FWP5 - This site partially covers the noise exclusion zone as shown on the previous proposal by the SWRSS. A small portion is also within the 400m heathland envelope.			
501591	Mr & Mrs A Davies		<a href="#">CSO5671</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501593	E J Brockhurst		<a href="#">CSO5660</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501601	P Longland		<a href="#">CSO5680</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501613	Mr and Mrs P Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5707</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>I do not think any houses should be built at all. We will become an addition to the Bournemouth/Poole conurbation which will take away the personality of West Parley. I also don't think any infrastructure improvement will sort out the traffic congestion problems in the area. 840 houses 2 cars a house!! chaos!!</p> <p>I am appalled at all of the proposals for West Parley. I am a resident there as you will see. Every day I queue to get through the lights at Parley to get to work. How on earth do you think the roads in this area will cope?</p> <p>Additionally what makes this area lovely is that we do still have green fields to drive past. Why are you intent on spoiling this?</p> <p>I am happy to pay a premium for my house to live here; my husband and I work extremely hard to pay for it. But</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							prices will not hold if you add all these properties and use the lovely open spaces. I do not work to live in an area where others just roll into each other like in Bournemouth/Winton/Charminster etc. Finally, I work in a school and carry lots of things to and from work each day, no matter how good you make the public transport, I will not be able to use it.			
501616	R J Joyce		<a href="#">CSO5772</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501624	Ben Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5720</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I totally object to any further developments in this West Parley area, especially on Green Belt land. Further housing will see the area overdeveloped and undermine the existing housing. This current infrastructure cannot cope with current demand and cannot be? Further housing will cause further chaos and bottlenecks.			899
501626	Mrs J A Russell		<a href="#">CSO5755</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501636	Mr W Revill		<a href="#">CSO5745</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501694	Mr R BRYAN		<a href="#">CSO5792</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501699	B THOMAS		<a href="#">CSO5819</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501705	Michael Lucas		<a href="#">CSO5836</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I cannot believe that you want to build on green field sites when there are so many brownfield ones! Or is it all to do with cost? FWP 3, 4 and 5 will also produce more likelihood of flooding in Stour valley.			899
501709	Alan Seymour		<a href="#">CSO5854</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501717	D J and J L Cooper		<a href="#">CSO5882</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Development of any new site will put extra strain on an already very congested road system. Any promises to ease the situation will always fall by the wayside!!!			899
501718	Mr D Duckenfield		<a href="#">CSO5871</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501720	Dr and Mrs D HARLOW		<a href="#">CSO5913</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501732	Mrs H.M Ridout		<a href="#">CSO5899</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I strongly believe that this development will destroy the village feel of West Parley and will bring no benefits whatsoever. I am unconvinced that the idea of road improvements will be effective, it will be like living on Canford Heath with all the properties but no reduction in council tax.			899
501737	Mr and Mrs Ryan		<a href="#">CSO5912</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley is a local community of which this building of new homes would erode forever. The roads around this area are already taking too much traffic and have at times become bottlenecked. Crime is also low around			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							here and all that these building would create is more crime and a sense of not belonging.			
501738	RJ Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO5901</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501744	Mr J Drew		<a href="#">CSO5928</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501745	Michael Cook		<a href="#">CSO5939</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Parley Lane congestion and Parley Cross congestion already a serious problem with airport, airport business park, NMSB and general commuter traffic. Extreme redevelopment of road infrastructure from Parley Cross through to A31 and A338 would be necessary to cope with the potential increase in traffic. I do not think this redevelopment will ever fully take place.			899
359908	Mr F.D.A REVILL		<a href="#">CSO6245</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
359927	Mrs Ann BISSEX		<a href="#">CSO6358</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
361113	Mr Alan Meade		<a href="#">CSO7185</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I like the rate of affordable housing to private homes. We all know that there is a shortage. Jobs will be created, money brought in, good for all in East Dorset, Christchurch.			899
477822	Ms Susan Rayment		<a href="#">CSO6770</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501753	Mrs J Easterbee		<a href="#">CSO5958</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501754	Mrs M J Rossister		<a href="#">CSO5968</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet also			899
501761	Mr D Curtis		<a href="#">CSO6013</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The most urgent and critical limiting factors are the A31 single carriageways E and W and density of traffic using Canford Bottom roundabout - Encouraging increased commercial traffic re. PC 4 to PC 9 would only exacerbate the present traffic problems.			899
501765	Mrs M.I Smith		<a href="#">CSO5990</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501766	D E Anderton		<a href="#">CSO5999</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I would support FWP1, 2 and 4 as they are reasonably sized developments which should have reasonable impact on local infrastructure and not significantly affect the overall Green Belt separation from Bournemouth.			899
501772	Mrs C Wilson		<a href="#">CSO6029</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501774	Mrs Chisman		<a href="#">CSO6031</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley and surrounding areas cannot cope with any more traffic on the Christchurch Road, it is blocked most of the day, and we cannot get out of our gates without trouble.			899
501793	Mrs Marian Dingle		<a href="#">CSO6067</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Slotting in small green spaces amongst housing developments cannot enhance the beauty of West			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Parley which has a country feel because of the fields targeted for development. Get used to the fact that families will always use cars in preference to public transport or cycles.			
501801	Mrs Ward		<a href="#">CSO6079</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		There are enough houses and traffic problems in this area without adding to it.			899
501804	Mr Glyn Davies		<a href="#">CSO6096</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	Do not entertain any further 'traffic calming' measures such as the dangerous/expensive version in Colehill. Re-allocate money to the surface quality of minor roads. Sports facilities could always do with improvement which can often be achieved at fairly low cost.			899
501814	Mr and Mrs C Sorbie		<a href="#">CSO6112</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501867	Mrs I M Marks		<a href="#">CSO6296</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501873	Mr and Mrs Harold A and D Joan Lilley		<a href="#">CSO6235</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		All proposals of this nature have a number of basic needs. One of the most important is infrastructure. Subject to finance being available Canford Bottom Roundabout is to be drastically amended for the Olympic Games. The reference to "Improvements to Canford Bottom therefore appear to have been superseded. Since I cannot find any intention to improve the road network all these			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							proposals will only add to our present problems and cannot be supported.			
501876	J Long		<a href="#">CSO6202</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501881	B W Deverill		<a href="#">CSO6272</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501900	Miss Theresa Gale		<a href="#">CSO6264</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
501940	Mr M J Godfrey		<a href="#">CSO6344</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
501953	J Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO6349</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I moved from London to get away from built up areas. I don't want to have to move again. West Parley is a great unspoilt place let's keep it that way.			899
502022	Mr HWR Stevens		<a href="#">CSO6386</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Dorset's villages and small towns should be preserved as such. So called "developers" are only concerned with profits. There'll always be an England? Not when it has been built over and occupied by strangers.			899
502029	Mr J MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO6423</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	Quality of materials and road layout is a key requirement to any new house building. More 2 bed homes to give small families a chance at being housed. Houses and roads can be attractive (Look at Poundbury, Nr Dorchester). Enough parking is a must - no 1.25 spaces per plot, plus a couple of visitors bays, will not suffice. Plenty of 'part rent - part buy' should be included to give all young people a			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							chance to have a home. Try to get away from open plan frontages. Terraces of even small boundary fenced homes look better and give a feel of being established houses.			
502032	Mr and Mrs Pitt		<a href="#">CSO6443</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The whole area is congested, there is no real provision for new TRANSPORT OR services for new homes ie doctors, local shops or community centres.. There are already MANY EMPTY industrial units. Building more industrial is only viable if a tax loss for developers. Verwood has lost its soul now, and does not need any more ad-hock development. Wimborne will follow if the development of this (at present) lovely Town is carried out in the same way as Verwood has been.			899
502034	Mr and Mrs A and C Buckingham		<a href="#">CSO6427</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Area already over built. Many areas do not have access to the small amount of public transport, which is infrequent. Public services Dr's etc appear to be overstretched as it is. Narrow roads cannot cope with the already increased traffic. Many surrounding roads are gridlocked especially at weekends.			899
502041	Mrs M Franklin		<a href="#">CSO6468</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I would prefer to see small pockets of affordable homes to blend in with the local community and keep our green belt. Do we need more industrial sites and shops which will increase traffic and eat into our countryside.			899
502059	Mr R Seddon		<a href="#">CSO6497</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502076	Kay Stead		<a href="#">CSO6549</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502099	Carolyn Lourens		<a href="#">CSO6590</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502113	Mr A Garner		<a href="#">CSO6623</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	(Picture of Stocks drawn on sheet.) These should be placed in town centres to discourage yobs and vandals, to which they will be fastened.			899
502114	Mr P Foster		<a href="#">CSO6636</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502136	Mr and Mrs WA Forster		<a href="#">CSO6678</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502138	Mrs E Mason		<a href="#">CSO6692</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Too much traffic and too many people putting pressure on roads, jobs, hospitals, schools, doctors, dentists etc. Please keep to MODERATE expansion in all areas especially Ferndown/West Parley area.			899
502312	Mrs Sally Brierley		<a href="#">CSO6798</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502317	Cllr Peter Lucas		<a href="#">CSO6828</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		As a Ferndown councillor I am very concerned about the proposals for large scale development at Parley Cross and the impact the proposals will have on people from Ferndown commuting to Bournemouth, Christchurch and Bournemouth airport for work. Public transport improvements in services from Ferndown to Bournemouth/Poole are			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							needed, especially early mornings evenings and Sundays where residents have observed an insufficient service.			
502322	Mrs J C Critchell		<a href="#">CSO6832</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		With the existing infrastructure at Parley Cross, West Parley, any development should be away from the cross roads. It should be in small pockets and of low - medium density in keeping with the area. The aesthetic values of the countryside are of the utmost importance in providing a fitting habitat for human beings, and in protecting the other habitats from human beings. The Green Belt is a vital asset and must be retained. At present, West Parley has a semi-rural feel and appearance - the reason people want to live here. If you destroy this ambience and concrete over large areas we might as well live in an urban jungle. I wouldn't want West Parley to become another Ferndown.			899
502326	Mr and Mrs T Bennett		<a href="#">CSO6870</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		With more homes will need more employment, a vicious circle. Our roads are too busy now. We surely have enough community areas and centres.			899
502329	Mrs Rosemary Rooke		<a href="#">CSO6883</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502342	Mrs D P Scamell		<a href="#">CSO6923</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502344	Mr and Mrs W Smith		<a href="#">CSO6936</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Too much traffic in the area already. (speed limit on New Road and Christchurch Road should be 30) People want to see green fields not 100's of houses.			899
502345	Mr and Mrs Ray and Irene Coulson		<a href="#">CSO6946</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502347	Sarah Cobb		<a href="#">CSO7053</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502366	Mr P Lewis		<a href="#">CSO6987</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502371	Mrs S Smith		<a href="#">CSO6995</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502376	Mark Palmer		<a href="#">CSO7004</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley/Ferndown already has too many residents for the current services (schools, doctors) due to the knocking down of large houses and building blocks of flats.			899
502381	Mr Cyril Josey		<a href="#">CSO7054</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Why is it necessary to include affordable homes (low value) in with others (of higher value), thus lowering the value of other properties in the area. Put low value homes of high density in low value areas. People are not going to accept paying high prices for property when other houses nearby are run by housing associations or the council renting to tenants. 50% affordable housing in any area is			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							too much. Local infrastructure, road improvement, services etc must be provided in all cases.			
502387	Mr George Kilpatrick		<a href="#">CSO7044</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	I would like to see the justification for increased housing in East Dorset eg, demographics, immigration, increased work opportunities etc. I would also like to understand how transport strategy (and infrastructure) is coordinated with this in such a way to make expansion sustainable.			899
502392	Mr and Mrs G Orr		<a href="#">CSO7024</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
359598	Mr A KETCHLEY		<a href="#">CSO7997</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Unfortunately whatever is finally decided on the following well known saying will occur: 'You can please some of the people all the time, You can please all of the people some of the time, But you cannot please all of the people all of the time!' I wish you well in whatever is decided. Some will see it as inevitable due to the area's population increases, whereas others will see it as a necessary evil, and some will accept it without question			899
359873	Mrs M HUGHES		<a href="#">CSO8615</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		A31 Canford Bottom needs to be bridged if increased traffic from new housing takes place; it just can't take any further loading (or a dual carriage way up to A350 from Ferndown).			899
359977	Miss		<a href="#">CSO8052</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M.G. EARP			FWP 2						
360145	Mr Nigel WARREN		<a href="#">CSO8204</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
361123	Mr Iain STEVENSON		<a href="#">CSO8224</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
475502	Mr Richard Croom		<a href="#">CSO7730</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>Any of these proposed developments would definitely increase traffic on all of the local roads, particularly Christchurch Road from Hurn to Longham village, creating further tailbacks and congestion at Parley Cross. It is difficult if not impossible to envisage how developers could 'contribute to the provision of traffic improvements' when there is no space for extra lanes in the aforementioned roads leading to or from Parley Cross, or the junction itself.</p> <p>These roads are already saturated with traffic at peak commuter and school travelling times, and if there was a major incident at the airport, the emergency services would struggle to obtain access.</p> <p>A further point to consider is that if homes are built on the sites earmarked at FWP3 and FWP5 they are very close, or directly under the take-off flight path from the airport.</p>			899
501822	Mr Stephen Collins		<a href="#">CSO7706</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>I object to any development on green belt land.</p> <p>The infrastructure in the area cannot support these proposals but if some</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							development goes ahead the developers must improve the transport improvements.			
501826	Mr Gordon Hunt		<a href="#">CSO7721</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502404	Antony Jennings		<a href="#">CSO7060</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I believe your argument is floored on two accounts: - 1) Ferndown/West Parley is not a first time house buyer's area. It is an aspirational area for 2nd time buyers/retired as there is no industry in Ferndown/West Parley. Workers need to commute some distance to their places of work. 2) Developers will take one look at this area and will build 'luxury' apartments; 'executive homes' not social housing. And if they did build social housing, where are the local jobs? They would have to commute like everyone else.			899
502415	Mrs S Humphries		<a href="#">CSO7099</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		All sites would increase traffic levels very considerably-infrastructure not in place to accommodate all this extra building. Roads already grid locked when problems on Spur Road.			899
502417	A Read		<a href="#">CSO7094</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502424	Mr and Mrs A V Messenger		<a href="#">CSO7117</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502429	Mrs Lesley Newcombe		<a href="#">CSO7124</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502430	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7130</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet - To many homes in a small area. What happens to the wildlife? Not enough schools			899
502438	Carol Louise Craggs		<a href="#">CSO7146</a>	Option FWP 2	Support	General Comment	None of these developments are viable unless the transport development PRECEDES them, obviously, so please concentrate on infrastructure and services NOW. Thanks.			899
502441	Mrs Ingrid Wells		<a href="#">CSO7161</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502468	Robert Lambert		<a href="#">CSO7382</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502492	M L Portugal		<a href="#">CSO7189</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It is vital with any development that the local infrastructure can handle the influx. Concerns over health-care, and social services, parking etc which are already struggling to cope! Also what constitutes affordable housing in East Dorset?!			899
502517	Mrs Crossland		<a href="#">CSO7231</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Infrastructure currently in place does not support existing population. FWP5 is under flight path and would be a dangerous place to live.			899
502520	P and M Coussell		<a href="#">CSO7239</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502524	Mr B Heap		<a href="#">CSO7249</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The traffic congestion is serious now; to increase the problem is not accepting responsibility for the future. To consider developing FWP 3, 4 and 5 close to the flight path from Bournemouth airport again is not			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							accepting responsibility for possible accidents, noise and pollution.			
502528	Mr and Mrs B Stanford		<a href="#">CSO7256</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502568	Sarah Morgan		<a href="#">CSO7276</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502569	Mr & Ms M & L Skinner & Jeffries		<a href="#">CSO7304</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502570	Mr Samways		<a href="#">CSO7332</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502579	Mr & Mrs R L Thorne		<a href="#">CSO7361</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502595	Mr Colin House		<a href="#">CSO7425</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		All these developments will cause an impact on the local heathland and the proposals in all areas will cause road chaos on the A31, Ferndown, Parley and Wimborne. A new road structure is required before any development takes place.			899
502596	A C Hayter		<a href="#">CSO7417</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502610	John Jackson		<a href="#">CSO7480</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502612	Hugh and Joy Dickson		<a href="#">CSO7449</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502632	Mr and Mrs Evans		<a href="#">CSO7493</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502635	Mrs JS Brough		<a href="#">CSO7496</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502648	Teresa Halford		<a href="#">CSO7516</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The road system would be overwhelmed by this level of development, even if the developers contribute to so called improvements. Use Brown Belt land to build small developments of affordable homes, thus avoiding the need to destroy the Green Belt with large developments that will very quickly turn into ghettos. The medical and school facilities in West Parley are totally inadequate for this increase in population - not to mention the level of policing required.			899
502661	Julia Green		<a href="#">CSO7524</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502670	Mrs S Trevorrow		<a href="#">CSO7535</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		We do not need any more elderly or retirement flats, family homes may help Ferndown shops which mostly consist of charity and second-hand shops.			899
502673	Mrs A Powell		<a href="#">CSO7558</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The infrastructure in this area needs to be sorted out before anymore building goes ahead. There are times you cannot move on the roads. The area is being ruined with all the building works that are already going on.			899
502678	Mr R F Perry		<a href="#">CSO7549</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Let's see the infrastructure in place first.			899
502683	Mr and Mrs Garfield		<a href="#">CSO7560</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Milne									
502701	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7591</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502706	Neil Kenworthy		<a href="#">CSO7603</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502707	N Tomsett		<a href="#">CSO7626</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I moved to West Parley 4 years ago specifically because it was surrounded by green fields not masses of housing. I have watched another village now a town (the place I grew up, Selsey Bill) destroyed by overdevelopment on green land. Selsey has now lost its heart and soul. Overdevelopment destroys community spirit which is vital to protecting communities like Parley from crime, pollution, erosion etc. If Dorset CC considers itself a green council then this should include green fields not their destruction!			899
502708	Ms Julia Owen		<a href="#">CSO7640</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502712	W D J Pearce		<a href="#">CSO7638</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I think that FWP 1 and FWP2 creating an extra 130 homes may just about be acceptable. I also consider that the Planners should refuse all further demands for sheltered housing schemes as the new buildings on Ringwood Road have created more cars in the area slowing traffic.			899
502745	Mrs J.M		<a href="#">CSO7682</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Kenny									
502749	Gerald Giltrow		<a href="#">CSO7670</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502865	Mr and Mrs D H Bustard		<a href="#">CSO7700</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
502876	Mr Russell Tidman		<a href="#">CSO7709</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		All traffic travelling north or south across the River Stour have only three points to cross, along the six mile stretch from the Spur Road to Longham. They are already heavily congested. More homes, more cars, more congestion.			899
502880	Mrs P M Tarbuck		<a href="#">CSO7719</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502887	Mrs Grace Sargeant		<a href="#">CSO7738</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502900	Mrs M Baynham		<a href="#">CSO7743</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
502910	Mr & Mrs R & JD Gregory		<a href="#">CSO7749</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502913	Mr & Mrs D Whitmarsh		<a href="#">CSO7894</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		There should not be any more large scale house building in the East Dorset area as the roads cannot cope with any more traffic			899
502918	D M Moore		<a href="#">CSO7757</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Anyone looking at the traffic on the main roads in this area would realise that with more houses and flats, these			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							roads would come to a complete standstill at most times of the day.			
502921	Mr and Mrs L Forinton		<a href="#">CSO7779</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502923	Mrs M Phippard		<a href="#">CSO7769</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Use brown field sites first, you never get the green land back it will be gone forever.			899
502925	Mrs J E Allen		<a href="#">CSO7777</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I strongly object to any development in the West Parley/Ferndown area. The road infrastructure cannot cope in this area at the moment. I feel it is just a way of increasing revenue by the way of council taxes or the Local Authority to fund their pension deficit.			899
502934	Mr D Parkinson		<a href="#">CSO7787</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
502935	Mr Roger Parker		<a href="#">CSO7813</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Please take care - if you allow development on areas like West Parley it will mean that the visual link between Bournemouth and Ferndown will be like a seamless conurbation and will result in Ferndown/East Dorset losing its status as a 'place' - it will resemble one large conurbation. Better I believe to preserve some 'Green' separation just to maintain a visual separation.			899
502937	Mr and Mrs M J Turvey		<a href="#">CSO7807</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	1) We moved to West Parley from Ferndown for peace and quiet. 2) Why so many houses to be built, who will be using them. 3) What do you mean by liveliness and prosperity, I expect you mean a lot			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							more noise and cars. 4) What extra schools, doctors and police are you going to provide. 5) Do you realise project 4 and 5 will be under the flight path and who will want that?			
502944	Mr and Mrs D Cook		<a href="#">CSO7822</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We are most concerned about traffic problems on New Road and Parley Cross area. Also Longham roundabout and adjoining areas traffic is very bad already.			899
502950	Mr I G Banks		<a href="#">CSO7829</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods, heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is another food supermarket or more crossroads changes.  Imbalance in Age Structure Members of the older population have been encourages to move into the district largely as a result of policy in recent years of the Town Planning department regarding giving approval of existing housing sites being developed as blocks of flats. Most of these are suited to or reserved for, people of a particular age. Thus the situation has been engineered to a great extent and must be accepted as it is. The anticipated increase in population will involve young, those of working age, and the elderly. Provision will have to be found for everybody, but the EDDC covers a large area			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>where a wider search for suitable sites is taking place. My own two daughters have homes outside of this district.</p> <p>Population Growth The population growth being so 'significant' it demonstrates to me the considerable number of homes that have been generated in the period from 1961 until fairly recently in 2008 (see Options 10.6). If we are going to preserve existing areas used as parks, recreational areas, walks in Green Belt areas, then further housing development will have to be restricted. It's not going to be feasible to keep chasing ever increasing arbitrary home building targets. I repeat my daughters have homes outside if this district.</p> <p>Green Belt Area There has been a great deal of energy expended, and anxiety generated, during the recent battle with the Regional Spatial Strategy body regarding their proposal to see homes built within the Green Belt areas including agricultural land, It was my understanding that, having gained the backing of the DCC to fight these proposals, and the policy of the new government to cancel the various RSS policies, then the preference of the local population would prevail over decisions regarding use of Green Belt areas. I cannot, therefore, see the necessity to revisit this subject when a clear choice has been made through unflagging efforts made on our behalf through various meetings and consultations by the Residents Associations concerned.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Industrial Estates                      These have been expanded over the years since inception. A great deal of the employment opportunities are benefitting people from outlying district, this automatically contributes to traffic flow. Further opportunities to increase employment locally should not therefore be encouraged close to residential areas.</p> <p>General Comments                      Transport                      The road network does suffer from high levels of congestion. Whenever traffic is diverted from the A31 or Bournemouth Spur Road, then New Road has traffic at a standstill for periods between a short crawl forwards. The result is high concentrations of exhaust fumes are released into the atmosphere in close proximity to dwellings. Any increase in business, sports or other facilities will increase levels of traffic congestion even further. Any modifications to roads or road junctions will lead to increased frustration of drivers in traffic queues. Parley Cross cross-roads has previously modified with extra lanes, involving changes to services and drainage, shop forecourts and parking facilities. I don't think anyone wants to see that happen again, and definitely not a 3000Sq.m food store taking up Green Belt land under the pretext of providing additional housing land. I trust that further searches for suitable land for homes development within EDC area may progress with the above comments fully taken into</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							account.			
502987	Anne Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO7850</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>Unless the roads (all of them) are completely renovated, there is absolutely no way that the Parley area can cope with any more traffic than it currently sustains. Together with the increase of noise from both flights from the airport and the traffic, the village atmosphere would be lost. There is plenty of shopping available at Castlepoint and in Ferndown or Kinson, so no extra shops are required.</p> <p>Please do not join Parley to Bournemouth physically - the green belt is absolutely VITAL in all the areas suggested for redevelopment. The animals in Poor Common have already suffered once due to building; and further erosion of their habitat along Christchurch Road would be disastrous.</p>			899
502989	Jackie Butcher		<a href="#">CSO7863</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>Each town or village needs its green space to stop its identity being swallowed up by neighbouring towns. Why not build a shopping mall in Ferndown, demolish the depressing High Street (Victoria Road and Ringwood Road) shops and build new homes on the resulting space in town centre. I cannot support such vague outlines in FWP1 and FWP5.</p>			899
502999	Mrs Marion E Lock		<a href="#">CSO7874</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What has happened to the idea of development on the old Dormy Hotel site in West Parley?</li> <li>2. It would be better to develop on the outskirts of our villages, as this would</li> </ol>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							also help to sustain their village schools, shops, post offices and churches etc. It also provides a more natural environment to bring children up in. They are more likely to experience a friendly, close-knit community where people all know one another, than on a large estate near a town. Towns also don't become over-developed.			
503019	David Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7898</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503022	Mr and Mrs D Money		<a href="#">CSO7901</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>1. FWP is the only area where 'All of the options will need transport improvements.'</p> <p>2. FWP is already clogged with traffic.</p> <p>3. The whole area should receive major traffic improvements BEFORE any building takes place. Too often in the past, there have been major promises made of transport improvements only for these to be cancelled due to change of government or economic circumstances.</p> <p>4. Has consideration been given to the effect on local schools, medical and other services?</p>			899
503040	Kathleen Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7925</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503085	Mr P.A. Scott		<a href="#">CSO7972</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503156	Richard and Jackie		<a href="#">CSO8032</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Blunderfield									
503163	Mrs M Kimber		<a href="#">CSO8034</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503171	Sally Cooke		<a href="#">CSO8078</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503176	Vernon Britton		<a href="#">CSO8102</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The above areas should be preserved as Green Belt land, part of an important environmentally sensitive area as a habitat for wildlife close to a river catchment area.			899
503183	B Chissell		<a href="#">CSO8156</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503188	David Zambra		<a href="#">CSO8119</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Existing road system struggles to support current traffic volumes. Christchurch Road and New Road are frequently gridlocked! Any additional access to Christchurch Road between Dudsbury Golf Course and Dudsbury Road would be extremely dangerous. This stretch of road has seen several fatalities in the past!			899
503233	F Parkes		<a href="#">CSO8240</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503250	Mrs Helen Poole		<a href="#">CSO8253</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503299	Mr and Mrs R Farnfield		<a href="#">CSO8291</a>	Option FWP 2		General Comment	Possible but would need to see more details.			899
359889	Mr and Mrs P		<a href="#">CSO8485</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		With any developments we would need to be assured that infrastructure			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	CLARK						improvements are in place BEFORE any development is consented to. This is particularly important with regard to public transport provisions. It is also important that feedback is forthcoming as an acknowledgement of our response to this survey.			
359920	S PARKER		<a href="#">CSO9543</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360095	Mr & Mrs John & Barbara POLKINGHORN		<a href="#">CSO9822</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360111	Mr K VIVIAN		<a href="#">CSO9598</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
360701	Mrs Jennifer thorpe		<a href="#">CSO9381</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		In spite of supporting these developments we do not support all of them - there would be far too much traffic going through Parley Cross and also the limited numbers of routes across the river - New Road, Longham and Hurn. Parley Crossroads needs developing - this would be compulsory for any development, and also green spaces/play areas. Improved public transport - compulsory. Castle Point, Bournemouth Hospital, Airport/Christchurch - all on our doorstep with no public transport to access. Medical and school provision should be included in any development. Under no circumstances should West Parley lose all its Green Belt - important that urban sprawl is not continuous between Ferndown and Bournemouth.			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							A total of 250 houses should be the maximum in West Parley. If any Green Belt is lost - it would be sensible to move the existing boundary to behind existing dwellings on south side of Christchurch Road between the entrance to the Dudsbury Restaurant and 176 to include the derelict piece of land between The Horns and Owls Nest, where Japanese knotweed is well established and now spreading wildly through surrounding properties. This area is also a haven for rats.			
361015	Mr and Mrs M.S and C.E HACK		<a href="#">CSO8491</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
476561	Mr Kevin Horton		<a href="#">CSO9192</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>I should like to put my opinions and have more to say than could be expressed in the text box you provide. I feel passionate about this subject, and hope that you will consider some of my concerns. Where one lives and what happens to it is an emotional as well as practical and financial issue since it impinges on all aspects of one's life - changes to quality of life and environment cannot be measured in just pounds and pence and how much profit it will bring to the neighbourhood or its attendant Council.</p> <p>I have placed "object" against each of the proposed sites of development. This is because in principle I do not think that West Parley should be subjected to housing estate development within the medium term future. West Parley has a unique</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>character and semi-rural nature for which the presence of green fields intersperses within the current village is essential. Although a small site in itself may not seem too critical, the gradual erosion of the natural open space will change its character forever - in my opinion, not for the better.</p> <p>There are few places that are both conveniently situated for the facilities of Bournemouth and Poole and yet still have rural character such that you can pass horses and sheep in fields on the way to the shops - this is very valuable to me.</p> <p>Need for further houses.</p> <p>I am not in a position to know the extent of the pressure for new housing but if, as you suggest there is an imbalance of elderly people, and then the requirement for new housing in this area is likely to be minimal. Several times you emphasis that you want a "lively" Ferndown and West Parley. I don't know exactly what is meant by that, but if you believe this can only be achieved by encouraging younger people to move into the areas, then I fail to see how that can help with any housing shortage. The last Government decided that building new roads cannot solve traffic congestion since more people will be encouraged to use these roads. I suggest that a similar situation applies to building more houses; there will never be enough houses as people from other towns will move here to fill them, "Affordable" Housing.</p> <p>Although I realise there may be a need</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>for a small number of starter homes for people on low income, I fear that by "Affordable Housing" you may mean houses of sufficiently low price and quality that local housing associations can afford to buy them and rent them to the Council. I owned a 'starter home' in Wallisdown for 16 years and gradually saw the area deteriorate as homes were bought up by housing associations and used by the Council to house 'problem people'. When I complained about the persistent high levels of crime in the area resulting from this, I was told that the Council had a duty of care to house such people and nothing could be done other than moving them on to another estate when the problem got too bad. Eventually most half-decent people decided to leave the area. I do not want a similar situation to develop here, in the West Parley that I love. West Parley currently has a low level of crime - let's keep it that way! I realise houses are expensive, but that is not unique to this region, and not entirely caused by supply and demand. It is a reflection of a general far reaching imbalance in the economy. Much of the elevated house prices are a result of excessively high mortgages that were offered by banks and building societies in the ten years or so prior to the credit crunch. When I bought my first house in 1982 interest rates were at 15% and I had a 93% mortgage - now with credit shortage you are lucky to get a 30% mortgage - that makes many houses unaffordable</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>but it is not related to a housing shortage.</p> <p>I believe everyone has to live where they can afford. I could never afford a house in Canford Cliffs or Sandbanks, and it would be no good someone who bought a house there many years ago when they were cheap complaining that their offspring could not afford to live near them and there ought to be more affordable houses built in that area - it just wouldn't happen! People cannot always live where they would like, but this provides a natural gradient throughout the housing market, and something to aspire to as they earn more money throughout their life.</p> <p>Environmental Impact                      You never miss things until they are gone forever, and the openness of West Parley would be gone forever if all your options were to be realised. Every time one more field goes, the area becomes more urbanised, more shut-in. Worst of all, judging by the number of houses you propose for each region, the houses will be very densely packed in a way that maximises profit for the builders. It leaves very little space for gardens which these days form a major haven for wildlife. If we really must have a few more houses, let's have some quality homes that are fitting to the area not 'little boxes made of ticky-tacky' as the song goes.</p> <p>The lesser of the evils                      although I have put an 'objection' against each option, if I were asked to</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>grade them I would say that the options get worse as their FWP number increases, that is option 5 is the worst option with option 1 being perhaps the least harmful.</p> <p>FWP Option 1 This land is very close to existing houses and would be largely a continuation of the housing estate that was formed by carving up Poor Common. It would not have much of a presence from the road providing the surrounding fields that are not marked remain, although I expect it would not be long before they were claimed too. Exit onto either Ringwood Road or Christchurch Road would be difficult due to the already high levels of traffic congestion existing on those roads at peak times.</p> <p>FWP Option 2 This is a visually attractive area with a woodland backdrop of what remains of Poor Common. Although this is a relatively small region, it would be missed as an open space. The best that can be hoped is that it could be suitably shielded from road side view. Access could only be to Christchurch Road with all its congestion problems.</p> <p>FWP Option 3 Getting much worse! This area is highly visible when coming from Longham into West Parley and affords beautiful views from the hill. Building here would make this whole section of Christchurch Road boxed in. There would no longer be the delightful walk between the fields into the back of Parley Cross past the horses and</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>ponies. It appears the exit from the estate would most likely be onto Christchurch Road just before the traffic lights to conflict with all the traffic queuing ....not a good idea!</p> <p>FWP Option 4</p> <p>There may be some merit for a small health centre as suggested and certainly we need a better post office in this region but no significant houses. This area has a very open feel to it that really defines Parley Cross and I think it should be retained as much as possible. In light of your other possible plans to provide a gyratory traffic system in that area, who would want to live in a giant roundabout? - it would be horrendous, and access and egress would be very difficult.</p> <p>FWP Option 5</p> <p>Now this is a really bad idea, and even the Council have called it the least preferred option. It would significantly shut in West Parley and change the whole character of the village forever. The vast number of houses in that area would cause severe traffic problems no matter what options were chosen for improving the traffic system at Parley Cross.</p> <p>Transport Issues</p> <p>I don't know how you can say in your leaflet 'The possible development options listed earlier could increase traffic on our roads'. They would increase traffic on our roads - that is for sure. In most cases I have found that builders are only happy to support roads on their own estates. Sometimes these roads are built to poor quality</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and have to be repaired soon after being adopted by the Council. A few more cycle paths and a bus lane are not going to redress the problems caused by the increase in traffic from the extra dwellings (unless none of the new residents can afford cars!)</p> <p>A major new store (like Sainsbury's) may be persuaded to spend some money on roads and I believe they did on the Alder Hills development, but I don't think we have the need for a new supermarket in West Parley. Several convenience stores in Parley Cross have found it difficult to make a living, and Co-op finally gave up. Tesco seems to be doing better but I expect that's only because of the muscle they have and the strength to hold position while it is not always profitable. I think the current Tesco Express (along with that on Glenmoor Road) supplies all the needs of West Parley, and I am surprised that another convenience store at Parley Cross was recommended in your documentation.</p> <p>Building on the Green Belt, The Green Belt concept established in the 1950's and confirmed as recent as 1995 in the Planning Policy Guidance Note 2, has its main objective to safeguard the countryside from encroachment of towns and prevent urban sprawl and there are many good reasons for the existence of Green Belts. Clearly, to be of any good, the belt has to be as continuous as possible and connect where possible to provide corridors for wildlife. It's no good trimming it down to the width of</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>an average garden. If councils are allowed to build on Green Belt land, this defines a main principle - that of preventing encroachment on countryside. I believe the present Government still state they do not support building on the Green Belt, so why do it? And finally...</p> <p>I find the statement that was made by a Council representative at a public meeting that: "We will not build on the Green Belt; we will simply redefine its boundaries" astonishing! In my opinion changing the well-established boundaries that have existed for years without extremely good reason should be illegal for any council because the Green Belt was set up on behalf of the population of the UK to protect their environment for present and future generations.</p>			
499748	Ms Soozie Cooper		<a href="#">CSO9740</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503024	Valerie Measey		<a href="#">CSO8882</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503202	Mr and Mrs D J Walters		<a href="#">CSO8278</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503303	Mr Anthony Roberts		<a href="#">CSO8308</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503306	Ian and Freda Hancock		<a href="#">CSO8687</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown and West Parley Options – These are a completely disingenuous			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and self-serving set of proposals by the Council, both in terms of the context in which they have been made and also in terms of the time-frame allowed for the consultation process. In terms of the context, these proposals represent a revival of similar ones made a couple of years ago and the timing of them says everything about the reasons for them: they have been made at the same time that the government has announced a reduction in funding for local government. Therefore the council has immediately embarked on a strategy for increasing its income from other sources, specifically by increasing council tax revenues through increasing the number of houses in the area. It should be noted that the proposals contain no details of plans to increase council expenditure to make improvements to such matters as schooling and medical care which would be needed to cope with the increased population in the area, and this is indicative of the true nature of the council's intentions.</p> <p>And in terms of the time-frame for the consultation process, could there possibly be a more unreasonable and arbitrary deadline for the submission of comments on the proposals than 24 December? Most people have very busy lives and simply do not have the time to give these matters their fullest consideration during most of the year. The one time that they would have had such an opportunity would have been Christmas week, and it seems to me</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>that the council was obviously fearful that local residents would actually have the time to consider the full enormity of these proposals during that period. This also shows what the 'localism' agenda will mean in practice: less scrutiny of councils by central government and the councils notionally welcoming more local involved in local decision-making whilst at the same time making it as difficult as possible for local people to have their say on controversial matters.</p> <p>The proposals also contain a lot of contrived arguments to attempt to justify plans. An example is the statement that the area 'is popular with older people, leading to a significant imbalance in its age structure'. Older people will always be attracted to quieter areas, and younger people will always be attracted to livelier areas, and for that reason it is a good thing that all population centres do not have the same appearance and identity. The statement implies that the council believes that all areas should contain a balanced age structure, but if it does genuinely believe this to be desirable then where are the counter-balancing proposals to make other areas suitable for elderly people? Again this would require expenditure on the part of the council and the lack of any detailed proposals provides further proof of the true intention behind these plans.</p> <p>There is a huge amount of waste in local government, especially the massive proportion of council tax revenues which are spent on local</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							government salaries and pensions, but that will be the very last area made to suffer the consequences of the reduction in central government funding. Doubtless the council will first make cuts to services for the weak and vulnerable, especially the elderly, who do not have the strength or vigour to protest in the same way as we have seen in recent weeks from students. There is little doubt that, if these housing proposals do not go ahead, there will be even greater cuts to front-line services for the weak and vulnerable than those currently announced. Nevertheless that cannot justify changing the face and nature of an area forever, especially when so many savings could be made by cutting out the huge amount of waste and inefficiency that exists in local government administration.			
503315	Mr Kenneth Wood		<a href="#">CSO8336</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503347	Ms Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO8364</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503355	Mr Robert Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO8393</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503358	H C Hoare		<a href="#">CSO8422</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503378	Mr A Bouyer		<a href="#">CSO8463</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The area in general needs more industry to support the people who are going to live in the proposed housing			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							expansion. In addition the infrastructure is not capable of supporting the above.			
503395	Ian Davis		<a href="#">CSO8498</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Loss of Green Belt would be a travesty. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would produce 1.5 vehicles per household, which results in 1000+ more vehicles on the roads. A queue of 1000 vehicles would stretch from Plowmans to Haskins (approx 2 miles). Major roadworks required at a cost of several million pounds, medical, shops, schools, youth amenities, leisure etc., etc.			899
503408	Steve Williams		<a href="#">CSO8518</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It seems unbelievable that any new homes should be considered to be built in this general area - bearing in mind the current traffic problems alone! More houses and people means more sewage, more waste, more eco stuff that will go to the eco recycling plant at E Parley, which already stinks to high heaven! Then there will be the new road scheme for the users of the new super-duper airport. It will soon look like where I come from - Hounslow. Where will I move to next for quality of life? I did not rely on a new house. I bought an existing house - second hand.			899
503431	Phil Surrige		<a href="#">CSO8543</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The developers contributions are standard practice, without them Councils do not grant planning permission anyway. Parley Cross is already one of the busiest lighting crossroads in the County and consideration should be given to how			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic can be diverted, not increased! West Parley is a quiet (aside traffic) parish with a subtle peacefulness. This will be completely destroyed with permission given to developments of this size and nature. ps Has your Sites of Special Scientific Interest policy been considered? (SSSI)			
503444	R Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO8564</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503453	Paul and Julie Williamson		<a href="#">CSO8566</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503462	Mrs S Clarke		<a href="#">CSO8596</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I do not support any proposals to increase housing, and the transport needed, on Christchurch Road/New Road. Traffic congestion around Parley Cross should not be increased as it is used by traffic when the A338 is not in full use. There is not enough parking for shoppers and also not enough public transport for the use of disabled people.			899
503474	Mr and Mrs T J Roffe		<a href="#">CSO8616</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503476	Mrs AM Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO8627</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503479	Robert Eastham		<a href="#">CSO8647</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503482	Mr Ron Hopkins		<a href="#">CSO8667</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503518	C Skipton		<a href="#">CSO8696</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503527	Claire Smith		<a href="#">CSO8704</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		No objection to low density housing development which are more sustainable environmentally for transport. Existing large housing sites should be utilised where they remain unused. GREEN BELT MUST BE PRESERVED.			899
503529	Mrs Leggett		<a href="#">CSO8705</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503554	Mr D Verguson		<a href="#">CSO8748</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503598	Mr John Turner		<a href="#">CSO8768</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503603	Mrs DJ Morley		<a href="#">CSO8792</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503621	A G Haines		<a href="#">CSO8838</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		FWP 1 and 2 - Is a Green Belt gap between Ferndown and Longham really needed more than houses? The 'Green Belt' concept originated to inhibit ribbon development joining built-up areas. It does not HAVE to be regarded as 'sacro-sanct'! As I'm sure you are perfectly aware, all developments I have ticked require serious consideration to the whole road network in the areas concerned.			899
503624	Mr RT		<a href="#">CSO8843</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Jackson									
503635	Mr J Gough		<a href="#">CSO8894</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503639	Mrs and Mr M Stevens		<a href="#">CSO8938</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503640	N J and S A White		<a href="#">CSO9047</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503644	Mr J Riley		<a href="#">CSO8968</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503657	Mrs S Harrison		<a href="#">CSO8973</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503659	Mr JD Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO8994</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I do not agree with Green belt land being used for housing developments.			899
503663	D and L Scott		<a href="#">CSO9000</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503674	S Hoare		<a href="#">CSO9016</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Instead of where we already had density why not go more towards the airport - where people who may work there or at Christchurch direction would not increase the heavy traffic already experienced on New Road and Parley Cross. Indeed the land has already been numbered by plots - my neighbour is 327 and we are 355 so at some time the area has already been 'plotted'. Indeed there are 8.5 acres of redundant agricultural land here and more around. Perhaps the bus route			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							could then be re-instated as it was years ago.			
503687	Mr Nick Smith		<a href="#">CSO9063</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley cannot cope with current levels of traffic. Any development in this area will be a disaster. All the developments are too big, with too much impact.			899
503689	Mr and Mrs Dunnings		<a href="#">CSO9069</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503690	Ms Clare Parvin		<a href="#">CSO9115</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503696	Mr J and Mrs V Morum		<a href="#">CSO9114</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503712	Mr B Barton		<a href="#">CSO9151</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503717	Mr and Mrs L Grounsell		<a href="#">CSO9166</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		4 and 5 floodplains. 1,2 and 3 exit onto Bournemouth circular road and not on.			899
503725	G A Hughes		<a href="#">CSO9221</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503736	Mr and Mrs L D Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9186</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503759	Mr D.J. Middleton		<a href="#">CSO9244</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503763	K S Turner		<a href="#">CSO9205</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Where do these people live who suggest this area is suitable for housing. Not in this area.			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							ED News No buildings should be considered until the ????? infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Milhams Tip once a week etc. No motorway through Parley Cross please. The meaning of a formal space and park, valuable green infrastructure??? We have delightful green space. You seem to want to concrete it. FWP 1, 2, 3 not high density			
503766	James Simmonds		<a href="#">CSO9220</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503787	Mrs P A Dent		<a href="#">CSO9272</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I am totally against the development of large housing estates as they are not popular with the public. Also the cost of providing infrastructure will be phenomenal and impact on existing communities detrimental. Far better to use small existing areas of land rubber stamped as Green belt land but of no benefit to the community. These parcels are mainly within residential areas and give immediate access to facilities without overloading them. With a small number of houses built, people can get to know their neighbours better. I, of course, am part owner of such a piece of land.			899
503835	Lynda and David Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9284</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		There should be no building on the Green Belt where everyone can walk their dogs and enjoy the open space. It is essential to preserve what we have left for future generations. It is the best open space outside of Bournemouth. Families, dog walkers and horse riders			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>need open spaces locally. West Parley cannot cope this any more traffic which is the busiest in Dorset - which leads to gridlock at times and we have difficulty getting out of our drives.</p> <p>There should be no more that 10% of social housing as it leads to increase of crime and vandalism in the area. Schools, doctors surgeries would be unable to cope. why don't you but empty houses in the private sector which would be cheaper than building new.</p> <p>Having lived in West Parley for 35 years we have watched its strangulation with fine homes with gardens destroyed to multiple units - when does it all stop?</p>			
503842	Paul and Sharon Strange		<a href="#">CSO9290</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503846	Mr Anthony Hose		<a href="#">CSO9310</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503847	Jenny Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9306</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
503857	A J Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9317</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>I believe the amount of development is still woefully inadequate to provide sufficient housing.</p> <p>Declaration of interests - I am a Landowner, Parent and a Christian. In the interest of justice and equality I would like my views to carry more weight than those of protesters. My family have been stewards of the land</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>since before there was a Planning Authority and before noisy minorities moved here. We have forgone other economic opportunities in order to own land. We have mended fences in the dark, cold, rainy nights and pulled up ragwort from sun-baked land on sweltering days. We have sheltered wildlife, deer, foxes, badgers, owls, woodpeckers and buzzards and controlled rabbits. We have planted trees and cleared fallen ones after extraordinary storms. To say that my view is only equal to someone who has no commitment to the land, would be unjust and unfair.</p> <p>As a parent, I see my children's family life distorted by exorbitant house prices. I bought a 3 bedroomed house at the age of 22, that cost less than my annual salary. Young families must delay the age they have children, work when they would like to be caring for their babies, all because we cannot build sufficient houses to bring the cost down to a reasonable level.</p> <p>As a Christian, I work with the isolated elderly; their loneliness is exacerbated by their family being unable to afford to live close by. The assumption that they should move to be near their children, breaks their network of contacts which has already diminished by deaths.</p> <p>Secondly, young families cannot put sufficient effort into relationships and their spiritual welfare, if it is diverted to providing homes and shelter. This can cause break-up and extra need for housing.</p> <p>We need to build, not a compromise</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>number of houses, but more than are needed so property prices will stabilise, or better still, fall. I do not believe Cadbury could build Bourneville now!</p> <p>As a democracy, we are unable to make a statesman-like decision, and short term interests prevail. If these 'No Building Views' had been held 50 years ago the protesters would have no house here to live in.</p> <p>Please make a survey of people without houses. Then I believe the democratic majority of them would say 'Build, Build, Build'.</p> <p>In Summary</p> <p>As a landowner, I would like you to build more houses. I will look out at them and enjoy the landscaped paths around them.</p> <p>As a parent, I implore you to build more houses to help our children.</p> <p>As a Christian, I want you to care for the marginalised that are too busy trying to get by to campaign.</p>			
503861	Mr E Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO9340</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
359954	Mr Alan FLINT		<a href="#">CSO10010</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I want to know the projected time scale for these options to start to become active.</li> <li>2. It is most important that there is adequate employment to accommodate the houses to be built.</li> <li>3. More attention must be made to supply of affordable housing.</li> <li>4. The green belt must be respected where possible.</li> </ol>			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							5. The estates around Wimborne must be consulted fully.			
360029	Mr David LANIGAN		<a href="#">CSO10282</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Christchurch &amp; East Dorset Core Strategy Document, Managing Growth and Development up to the year 2027. I agree with the preamble stating that we need to meet local housing needs, with the emphasis on growing the main settlements where we have good access to facilities that people require. Some development of smaller communities should continue however, especially the provision of low cost housing. The community as a whole needs the services of teachers, nurses, firemen, postmen, and policemen who are poorly paid because they commit to a vocation rather than a high salary job. We also need the services of skilled people such as builders, electricians, plumbers, gas fitters, and motor mechanics, the essentially blue collar professionals. They must not be priced out of the market in terms of being able to buy a starter home, in the community that they serve.</p> <p>Having bought my first home in 1963, a two bedroom bungalow for £2,200 I am aware that locally such a property is currently one hundred times as much. This makes things difficult for young couples with the ratio of house prices to wages being the highest in the country. Many struggle with getting mortgages that now require typically a 25% deposit on an already expensive</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>property.                      I moved to West Moors 15 years ago and appreciate the planning decisions that have taken place affecting this village. We now have a new build shopping centre on Station Road, complete with flats, good pavements, lighting, pelican crossing and trees. I am pleased to have seen built several small, three storey, blocks of retirement and two bed flats close to the village centre. I support the gradual expansion of the Village, current population around 7,500 as we have good schools, a large Memorial hall, Churches with halls, two public houses, doctors, dentists and good bus services to both Poole and Bournemouth. We have footpath access to open land such as Holt Heath, as well as being able to use the old railway line route through the village to Ringwood, - the Castleman Trail. Recently St Marys Church has had built a new Church Hall which will serve the community and thanks to the National Lottery grant we now have an excellent Bowling Club. I support the continued slow expansion of the village as currently we have many facilities for people of all ages to enjoy.                      My current house was built in the mid-70s, and has trebled in value since my wife and I moved here 15 years ago. In the 1970s West Moors doubled in size and acquired new schools and since then other infrastructure improvements. In recent years the Library was refurbished. The facilities</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>for retirees in West Moors, with access to Ferndown and Verwood, are very good, and coupled with excellent hospitals at Poole, Bournemouth and Wimborne, and I have felt no need to move from East Dorset area in the conceivable future.</p> <p>I therefore support the proposals for additional housing as outlined in the Core Strategy Consultation, as well as the proposals for business sites for employment. I also support provisions for new schools particularly a new Secondary School at Verwood, and recreation areas to cater for the population increase. I understand that net immigration into Dorset is running at two thousand people a year so clearly we need to plan for housing, jobs, education, recreation, road improvements especially at busy junctions, and off road car parking in town centres and on new housing estates.</p> <p>There are many reasons why East Dorset and Christchurch, are attractive places for people to live, work and retire to. Long term planning that caters for the needs of a steady population increase is sensible and is to be welcomed.</p>			
361105	Mr John GOOCH	Also member of Colehill Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO9910</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503864	Heather Freeman		<a href="#">CSO9341</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The roads are already congested these developments would massively increase the problems. Schools,			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>doctors, dentist and many other community services would need to increase to support these developments. West Parley village would be lost and existing house prices lowered.</p> <p>This massive increase of a further 840 homes to the area would have a great effect on the already congested roads and access to these areas, considering that most homes have at least 1 if not more cars per family!</p> <p>Local schools, doctor's surgeries, dentists and other community and council provided services and amenities would be unable to cope with the increase in demand due to the increase population, requiring these services to be developed to accommodate the demand.</p> <p>Child care services, play areas and social facilities would also have to increase to accommodate the increase of young children within these areas, together with supermarkets, local shops, post offices and so much more!</p> <p>As the proposal includes a large number of affordable housing, for people on low incomes and benefits, public transport would also be affected and would need to be addressed.</p> <p>Due to the massive increase in affordable housing within an area which is full of privately owned properties, house prices of existing properties within West Parley would be seriously affected. Existing property values would be lowered so affecting the current population which have worked and saved hard to move into</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>this sought after area.</p> <p>The 'village/country' feel of West Parley and particularly Parley Cross would be lost and overtaken by busier roads, modern housing developments and a different type of resident that exists today.</p> <p>Our green belt areas which have diminished over the years will once again be massively reduced.</p> <p>The wildlife in the area will be seriously affected; the nesting buzzards which hunt and feed within the fields at Parley Cross would be forced out of their existing habitat and would lose their hunting grounds.</p> <p>The small herd of deer seen regularly grazing in the fields at Parley Cross would also lose their grazing lands and would be forced to move to another area.</p> <p>The main reason we moved into Church Lane, West Parley was the fact that we fell in love with the village and rural feel of the area. We worked and saved very hard and put everything we owned into buying our property so that our children would grow up enjoying the feeling of living in a rural/country environment. To hopefully help them become better adults, showing respect or their environment, neighbours and community.</p> <p>We moved from Winton, which in areas is over populated with houses, cars and people. Where people live in each other's pockets, properties have small gardens and where parking of vehicles is a major problem due to limited or in some cases no off road</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>parking facilities. In an area where there was a very mixed type of resident, some privately owned properties, some rented to working families, students or DSS tenants, neighbour conflicts were very common. These developments will put 'us' back in the type of environment that was the reason for us moving to West Parley in the first place!</p> <p>We understand that not all affordable housing is occupied by 'badly behaved residents' but unfortunately these types of developments do encourage this type of resident.</p> <p>If these developments take place the 'old' West Parley and Parley Cross will be lost forever!</p> <p>We seem to have so few green belt areas left which feel part of our community, so little green areas within our built up 'lives', it would be such a shame to reduce these even more....</p>			
503869	Mrs Jean Khan		<a href="#">CSO9362</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
503877	Mr and Mrs T E C Hales		<a href="#">CSO9373</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>I have noted the sites on your applications and would make the following comments:</p> <p>1) All the proposals are not viable unless there is either a bridged flyover or an underpass at Canford Bottom roundabout.</p> <p>2) At present, Ferndown High Street/ Ringwood Road is always congested, Relief could be obtained if heavy lorries were not allowed through, but had to use the A31 Ferndown bypass.</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							3) Items FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are no go with the present system and would be very doubtful even if items 1 and 2 were carried out. 4) FWP2 should be supported also FWP1 is a feasible development. 5) Final comment please do not let anyone lower the speed limit through Ferndown to 30mph; even under present conditions this would bring traffic to long hold ups.			
503878	Mr Peter Smith		<a href="#">CSO9396</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503879	Mr S Smithson		<a href="#">CSO9423</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
503943	Mr & Mrs Rumball		<a href="#">CSO9451</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Good to see more houses, affordable houses being built. The only objection I have is to the increase of traffic on the A31, Christchurch Road, and Parley Crossroads. Why not make new roads, flyovers, underpasses where possible - Canford Bottom roundabout is one in question and make it a dual carriage way - too many accidents.			899
504093	Mr & Mrs Vivian		<a href="#">CSO9479</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
504101	Mrs Mary Treviss-Bell		<a href="#">CSO9506</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
504285	Mr P Miller		<a href="#">CSO9641</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Density - particularly FWP2. 45 homes on as small an area as this is ludicrous, bearing in mind the high			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>quality of the adjoining properties. To achieve the densities you are proposing will be disastrously small - this is churning out rabbit hutches. Infrastructure. On the basis of 7 movements per property this amounts to almost 6000 additional movements per day on roads that are already overloaded. Highways works will only be tinkering with a situation that cannot cope with a minor accident or road works.</p> <p>Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet</p> <p>DENSITY particularly FWP2; 45 units of the quality of those existing is ludicrous.</p> <p>INFRASTRUCTURE-The existing roads do not cope at present-one small accident or road works seizes the whole area up solid. You are proposing with all 5 areas something in the region of an extra 6000 car movements per day onto these already inadequate roads which cannot be improved sufficient to cope.</p>			
504314	Ms Selina Roper		<a href="#">CSO9712</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
504549	Dan Stone		<a href="#">CSO9787</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
505273	Mrs Lorraine Hubbard		<a href="#">CSO9848</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
505288	Mrs S		<a href="#">CSO9884</a>	Option FWP 2	Support	General Comment	As a married mother of 3 children, 2 girls and 1 boy, living in a 2 bed			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Cramer						Council flat (first floor), I welcome more housing to the Wimborne area for young families needing affordable housing / rented housing.			
505320	Mrs M Puttick		<a href="#">CSO9936</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - This area of East Dorset has been over developed for some years, making traffic congestion and access to all services unacceptably crowded.			899
505354	Mr Tim Edwards		<a href="#">CSO9956</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
505369	J Young		<a href="#">CSO9983</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
505506	Mr Peter Hendra		<a href="#">CSO10059</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	Building more houses has NOTHING to do with meeting the needs of (existing) local residents. We do not need or want more houses in our area. Planning to build more houses is driven by the 'need' to meet externally imposed quotas based on false assumptions on the desirability of further population and economic growth. Instead of wasting resources on building more housing which is ecologically unsustainable, we should be using them to make a transition to a low energy sustainable future for our children. Please listen to local residents and reject further development.			899
505523	Mr & Mrs A Turner		<a href="#">CSO10085</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
505561	Mr D. Calvert		<a href="#">CSO10110</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
505590	M Spalding		<a href="#">CSO10139</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
505681	Mr Nick Lewis		<a href="#">CSO10175</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown and Wimborne are already over developed. On top of that the road access via A31 west of Ringwood is dreadful at rush hour and persons and building 1000.s of new homes will make this situation worse and cause complete gridlock. The traffic noise from the A31 is awful. The road needs to be re-surfaced between Ashley Heath and Ferndown.			899
505742	L. J. Ashplant		<a href="#">CSO10201</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
505786	Mr and Mrs P Frampton		<a href="#">CSO10225</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Christchurch Road is already chaotic with all these proposed homes leading to this road it will be a nightmare! Affordable housing means terraced housing reducing the good housing we now enjoy. Leading to lowering of property values. Where does Ferndown come into this equation? It seems to me it's all West Parley. Surely there are many other areas in Ferndown that could lower the blow. Or are care homes and warden operated flats too good a money making area for Ferndown.			899
505802	Mr RGH Chapman		<a href="#">CSO10252</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
505808	D. C. J. Turner		<a href="#">CSO10239</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		No Building should be considered until the total infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Millhams tip once a week etc, the meaning of a formal park and valuable green infrastructure? We have delightful green space you seem to want to concrete it!			899
506116	Mr and Mrs P and SJ Simpson		<a href="#">CSO10303</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
506161	Mr RD Holyoake		<a href="#">CSO10326</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Any more development within Dorset's existing built up areas will end with a complete gridlock of traffic.			899
506196	Mr & Mrs P Stout		<a href="#">CSO10355</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
506754	Mr Derek E Marsh		<a href="#">CSO10383</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507026	Mr David Craig		<a href="#">CSO10435</a>	Option FWP 2	Support	General Comment	I would like to see priority given to projects which have a higher concentration of social housing inclusion, and also include a higher green/renewable energy provision. Particular concentration on family areas, playgrounds and social/community facilities should be considered.			899
507032	Mr David Oliver		<a href="#">CSO10461</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507033	A R Twaits		<a href="#">CSO10487</a>	Option FWP 2	Support	General Comment	Despite improvements to public transport, cycling and walking, the			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>reality is that the vast majority of journeys will continue to be made by car.</p> <p>Local roads are already congested and the extent of development proposed is not acceptable without significant increases in the capacity of these roads for cars.</p> <p>In particular problems on the Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses need to be resolved, and the A31 to Poole link built.</p>			
507034	Mr Ronald Webb		<a href="#">CSO10504</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
507070	Mr and Mrs Earwicker		<a href="#">CSO10511</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>Homes Development - Ferndown and West Parley: - Sites 1 and 2 are small enough not to have too large an impact on traffic and amenities, however we strongly object to any development at Parley Cross.</p> <p>Our other concern is 'affordable housing', mixing private homes for purchase with housing for persons who may not be as conscientious as their neighbours at looking after their homes etc. More development for these sorts of property should be at the Heatherlands Estate.</p>			899
507132	Ms L Joyce		<a href="#">CSO10530</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
507170	Miss A K Jukes		<a href="#">CSO10578</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361037	Mr P STRATFORD		<a href="#">CSO11270</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>I expect that my objection to everything is not very practical. However, I have lived in the area for most of the last fifty years. In that time there has been a constant cycle of the council saying we need more housing, followed by we need more industrial areas to provide extra jobs for all the new homes. Then in turn the council says we require extra jobs for the new homes, and then in turn the council says we require extra homes for the new workers. This process is never ending. During this time I've witnessed the creation and expansion of the industrial estates at Ferndown, Uddens, Ebblake and Wimborne. A whole new housing estate at Tricketts Cross, Glenmoor Rd Ferndown, and the expansion of West Moors, Colehill, and Verwood turned from sleepy village into a town. In this time I am not aware of any developed area being returned to its natural state from a developed one. Please let me know if you think differently. I hope you agree that my objection to development is rational and that the never ending cycle of expansion is stopped.</p> <p>All the suggested sites would add to the traffic volume at the crossroads and surrounding area, these are already congested. The increased development of the area means it will soon just look like a suburb of Bournemouth.</p> <p>NOW IS THE TIME FOR IT TO STOP!</p>			899
475526	Mr Geoffrey		<a href="#">CSO10954</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Dark									
507218	Mr and Mrs J Smith		<a href="#">CSO10619</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
507261	Barnes		<a href="#">CSO10651</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	Having lived in West Parley for 16 years, the increase in traffic has been colossal. To build so many dwellings on local land is sheer lunacy as the infrastructure will not cope with it all and it will spoil a lovely rural area, already trying to cope with increased traffic problems due to airport expansion. There has been no mention of new schools either, which will have to be built to accommodate all these new families.			899
507286	Mr N P Butler		<a href="#">CSO10695</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507336	Mr John Page		<a href="#">CSO10757</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507356	Mr and Mrs M Moody		<a href="#">CSO10851</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507363	Mr Kevin Sayer		<a href="#">CSO10870</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	The area alongside the A31 between St Leonards Hospital and Tricketts Cross is an area where many houses could be built. Currently this area is an eye-sore and gives a bad impression to visitors as they cross into Dorset from Hampshire. Why are nearly all your proposals on greenbelt land?			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							There are many areas in East Dorset on non-greenbelt land which could be built on. Your proposal (FWP 4 and 5) will put more pressure on Parley Common as well as introduce more traffic on an already busy road system.			
507388	Mr David Huggins		<a href="#">CSO10908</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507463	Mr and Mrs G Hirst		<a href="#">CSO11001</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
507474	Mark and Jean Cording		<a href="#">CSO11039</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>1) We are still due a correctment in housing prices; housing benefits have artificially underpinned the bubble. The so called shortage of housing is also caused by breaking up of the family unit (teenagers and young people do not need their own homes)</p> <p>2) The Green Belt must be protected, a temporary supposed need would and could not be reversed. There are hundreds of brown sites which could be utilised, without the need for more disruptive and expensive infrastructures.</p> <p>3) Green Belt land was created to protect open land between and around developments. We anticipated development and councils saying they need more land, it was and is vital so please do not change the policy. Times change, needs change, populations (immigration and emigration) demands disappear but green land cannot be replaced.</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
507516	D Tyler		<a href="#">CSO11066</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
507524	Mr C G Richardson		<a href="#">CSO11081</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507555	Mr and Mrs C Lamond		<a href="#">CSO11108</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
507572	GJ Pettifer		<a href="#">CSO11144</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I am firmly opposed to any significant housing development in West Parley for the following reasons: 1) Traffic increase arising from up to 850 houses will be quite unsustainable. Improving the x roads will make little difference because the junction is affected by traffic from Christchurch Road, New Road and the Airport. New Rd is also used as a substitute for the Wessex Way whenever that road has a problem. Roadworks in the area, up to 1 mile away always affect Parley Cross. 2) Further housing with its associated extra population and needs, will destroy the remaining pleasant character of West Parley. It will follow Ferndown as an overcrowded sprawl with insufficient infrastructure. 3) No mention of the Dormy! This is enough to contend with, thank you.			899
507652	Mrs Isabel Brooks		<a href="#">CSO11201</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		My Ferndown and West Parley objections are mainly due to the fact that there are already NO school places locally for extra families and that this area is already under extra 'stress' environmentally. What about			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							MORE allotments?			
507659	Ms Victoria Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO11219</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507693	Mrs P Carter		<a href="#">CSO11268</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507789	Mr CJ Barrett		<a href="#">CSO11444</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
507800	Mrs K M Platt		<a href="#">CSO11472</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507980	Sylvia Allen		<a href="#">CSO11589</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Any extra traffic at Parley Cross and Longham would be unbearable without major road improvements. Re more homes at Parley Cross.			899
508383	Ms Emma Hayter		<a href="#">CSO11703</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Think it is important for East Dorset to have affordable housing. At the moment I work in East Dorset and am looking to buy my first home, places in East Dorset are very expensive and are having to look outside the local area. I really don't want to move too far from my job.			899
508413	Mrs S Best		<a href="#">CSO11763</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
508455	Mr W Swingler		<a href="#">CSO11801</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The traffic is bad now. The road network is nil. No schools. Not enough doctors. No. No. No.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508478	M Hewlett		<a href="#">CSO11827</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Can there be a road from Dudsbury Heights looping to New Road behind the houses to relieve congestion at Parley traffic lights.			899
508482	Mrs K Mills		<a href="#">CSO11833</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
508488	Mrs JA Levasseur		<a href="#">CSO11840</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown is built up enough and congested enough as it is. Affordable housing should have been built instead of all the care homes. This has encouraged vast numbers of elderly- who is going to care and pay for them?			899
360116	Mr A G KING		<a href="#">CSO11942</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The above 3 options 1-2-3 would produce a total of 340 houses with no visual impact on the are as they are all partially hidden. FWP5 is the worst as it joins Ferndown to Bournemouth. FWP4 should be resisted.			899
360910	Mrs Fiona BAKER		<a href="#">CSO12905</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The volume of traffic on New Road is already excessively high. Having spent 2 years marketing our New Road home congestion has already had a negative impact on the saleability and value of our property. These plans would further exacerbate this situation.			899
360952	Mr and Mrs GR and JE MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO12051</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
361014	Mr Peter Hammond		<a href="#">CSO12410</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Bearing in mind that the building of new homes on Poor Common went ahead despite the objections of local residents, the Environment Ministry and the Transport Ministry and the fact			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							that new houses will soon be built on the Dormy Hotel site, none of these options should be considered. The area between Award Road and Stapehill Road on Wimborne Road West should be used.			
361062	Mr & Mrs ENH COLLIER		<a href="#">CSO12906</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
475494	Mr Alan Macdonald		<a href="#">CSO12747</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We demand no building in the existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ houses or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			899
481604	Mr Gerald Toomer		<a href="#">CSO11821</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
494723	Mr D Brittain		<a href="#">CSO12668</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
496659	Mr & Mrs Tony & Hilary Hendy		<a href="#">CSO11736</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
500570	Mr J.D		<a href="#">CSO12572</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Feel strongly that suggested levels will result in even more crowding to the			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Head						<p>area and cause irreversible damage to the environment. A full investigation of all brown field sites, derelict and empty properties must be taken before any building on green field sites. You only have to look at number of estate agents in this area and the amount of property they have for sale to see there is no shortage of homes. Yes there is shortfall of affordable property but the reckless over development that is being suggested will not make property any cheaper. You only have to look at Verwood to see that over development has not created cheap homes far from it and the nicer non estate properties have risen in price thus pulling up the prices of all properties. The biggest barrier to affordable housing is the locally low average wage, buy to let and second homes. If any of these developments take place what controls are in place to ensure that only local people can buy them and there will not be another huge influx of people from outside the area. With this over development and the covering of green fields in concrete these estates that are being talked about could be anywhere in the country they will all look the same, so why destroy countryside to create something that people from outside the area move to that is little different to the estate from where they came from. Why encourage people to move to the area, if you discourage the influx of retired to the area it will relieve the pressure on social and health services and the local infrastructure. The large</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>no of elderly people within the area means that the death rate will exceed the birth rate and so over next few years more property will come on to the market.</p> <p>I have major objections to the levels proposed.</p> <p>1) The sheer environmental impact on this amount of housing into the fragile green lung that exists along the Stour corridor that divides East Dorset from the Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch conurbation. If the level of development continues as proposed it will be possible to drive from north of Verwood to the sea at Bournemouth pier and all you will see is houses.</p> <p>2) There will be much higher level of storm water run-off from these new estates. At present times of high rainfall the water can soak into the ground and filter away, by covering the land with buildings and roads there will be a much higher faster level of run off which will have to drain to the Stour thereby causing risk of flash flooding.</p> <p>2a) Conversely to the above the increases in proposed population across the whole area will cause strain on water supplies especially in dry weather. Rivers such as the Allen are already suffering reduced flows because of heavy extraction and increases in population will only make it worse. As long ago as the 1970's warnings were given as to what would happen to Dorset's chalk streams and rivers if increasing levels of extraction were allowed, regrettably they were ignored but what was predicted will</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>come true if this level of development is allowed to continue.</p> <p>3) Increased traffic levels in the area in general would be caused by proposed development. It is no good talking about improving public transport when for many people the car is the only way to get to work, buses for example being too inflexible. Governments over the years have kept telling us that we must be flexible in our approach to work which means in many cases working odd hours. The average wait at lights at Parley Cross is 2 minutes, the biggest problem is caused by drivers who are too slow to start moving increased housing will make this situation far worse. Any redevelopment of this junction due to extra population runs the risk of the roads and vehicles starting to dominate the area which will then discourage walkers who will then use their cars for short journeys to the shops which then just makes even more traffic congestion.</p> <p>4) To talk about extra shops at Parley Cross is questionable when the existing shops are under used. There used to be a wider range of shops but they could not compete with large supermarkets at Castlepoint, Ferndown and Wimborne. For example the new Waitrose in Wimborne will only serve to hasten the decline of small shops left in the area. People will use the bigger stores because of price, choice and opening hours and to suggest another shop at the crossroads will just result in an under used store that will struggle to compete</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the bigger stores nearby.</p> <p>5) Development on South East side of cross roads will put at risk the fine row of trees which contribute to the visual appearance of the area. The levels of development will put a heavy strain on surrounding areas that are used for recreation.</p> <p>I feel that South East Dorset has now reached breaking point as far as large scale development is concerned. I accept that certain amount of small scale infill can take place across the area. Full use should be made of derelict and brownfield sites. Small scale infill across every community should be fully investigated, stricter controls over under used second homes and strict controls over the sale of so called affordable homes to people from out of the area. If there is a problem with building homes on the old dormy site due to its closeness to Parley Common then the same can be said for building by Parley crossroads. Common sense says tidy the derelict site and leave the green fields alone. If the government is giving you these targets tell them to go away and look elsewhere in the country and look at housing stock in the whole country and bring that fully into use before going down the road to a concrete jungle that is being proposed. The full up has now to be put up on South East Dorset. The above reasons are why I object as many people do to the level of development being proposed.</p>			
500724	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO12472</a>	Option	Object		It would be helpful if confirmation could			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Russ and Sharon Newman			FWP 2			<p>be provided as to the exact title of the land to be considered. The table suggests that it is Holmwood House but the map clearly states Holmwood Park. It is my belief that when proposals were made to build the Camelias development there was strong opposition; the case was taken to European Parliament but was sadly lost. The area of the common was reduced and should the sites FWP 1 &amp; 2 be developed, virtually cutting off Poor Common, the natural corridor for the wildlife that exists within what remains of the Common will be substantially narrowed causing a bottle neck for species migrating from one area to another and this may be disastrous for the wild animals which have survived and recovered from the trauma of new build. In December I contacted the Council to advise of a colony of stag beetles that I have monitored for the last few years. I was assured someone would be in touch but to date I have heard nothing. They are a protected species and I have serious concerns that if the land is developed it will undoubtedly have serious consequences for these animals. Stag beetles lay their larvae deep underground and can take up to 7 years to emerge, this would make it difficult to remove them from the site before development can take place such is the practice for the removal of slow worms. There are also slow worms close to the sites and the land is used by tawny and barn owls also deer graze, recently a pair of buzzards</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>have been seen and bats use the open space to hunt for food.</p> <p>I have noted that the key features and benefits for developing FWP 1 and I quote' could provide valuable green infrastructure that would benefit residents and divert pressures away from the heaths'. Green infrastructure is already there and how will building 85 homes and 45 homes divert pressure away from the heaths? they will be built right on their doorstep which can only impact on them in a negative way.</p> <p>Trees also suffer trauma when development takes place, there are numerous trees with preservation orders adjacent to the development site.</p> <p>In the interests of wildlife and animal welfare and to encourage and not deter the future of native wildlife in this area we implore you to reconsider the development of these sites.</p> <p>Future generations will need affordable homes to live in, an article in Daily Mail suggested government should introduce tax incentives for extended families to live together. If families were encouraged to live together there would be readily available surplus of homes for an ever growing population and then there would be no need for major development of brown or green belt land. Perhaps Dorset could pioneer this idea and be at the forefront of the country and lead a green way forward.</p> <p>It would be wise to mention and remind the powers that be that apparently land</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>isn't being made anymore and what will we do when it all runs out and towns merge into one with no green spaces in-between to mark the boundary? It seems ludicrous that individuals are prevented from selling off their gardens to provide builders with much need land to build necessary properties and therefore conserve green and open spaces. Why not act when we still have the chance to keep the balance if nothing else our carbon footprint would be reduced and with ever aging population extended families can be there to look after family members that will undoubtedly require help and care in the future.</p> <p>Now isn't that food for thought? BY EMAIL</p> <p>I wish to make comment on the proposed development within the Core Strategy plan for sites FWP1 and FWP2.</p> <p>Firstly, it would be most helpful if confirmation could be provided as to the exact title of the land to be considered on the Core strategy option for FWP1- The table suggests that it is Holmwood House that is the considered site, but the map clearly states that it is Holmwood Park that is under consideration, so verification would be appreciated.</p> <p>It is my belief that when proposals were made to build the Camellias development in early 2000, there was very strong opposition from residents that lived on the perimeter of Poor Common. So much was their</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>opposition that they took their case to the European Parliament to try and stop any proposed development of the site. Sadly they lost their case and subsequently the Camellias site was built.</p> <p>The properties that were built reduced the Common, and should the sites, FWP1 and FWP2 be developed, virtually cutting off Poor Common, the natural corridor for the wildlife that exists within what remains of the Common will be substantially narrowed, causing a bottle neck for species migrating from one area to another, and this may be disastrous for the wild animals which have survived and recovered from the trauma of new build.</p> <p>In December I contacted East Dorset District Council by telephone to advise of a colony of stag beetles that I have monitored for the last few years since moving into my property. I was assured that someone would contact me from the council, but to this date no one has been in touch for me to formally log the existence of these remarkable creatures.</p> <p>They are a protected species and I have serious concerns that if the land is developed it will undoubtedly have serious consequences for these animals. Stag beetles lay their larvae deep underground and can take up to seven years to emerge. This would make them difficult, if not impossible, to remove from a site before development can take place, and such is the practise for the removal of slow</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>worms. Stag beetles appear to be quite frightening, probably the reason why they are very often killed by people who have very little or no understanding of them, and so those that may survive will most possibly be killed through ignorance.</p> <p>Further to the stag beetles there are slow worms very close to the site and probably live on the land to be developed. The open space is also used by Tawny and Barn Owls for hunting food and deer graze on the open space at dusk. Recently a pair of Buzzards has been seen hunting for food during the day on the very site being considered, and numerous bats live around the site and use the open space to hunt for food</p> <p>I have noted that the "Key features and benefits ", for developing FWP1, and I quote, "Could provide valuable green infrastructure that would benefit residents and divert pressures away from the heaths". What rubbish is this? Firstly the green infrastructure is already there for the existing residents of Camellias and surrounding areas to enjoy. Secondly how will building 85 homes on FWP1 and 45 homes on FWP2" divert pressure away from the heaths? They will be built right on their doorstep which can only impact on them in a negative way.</p> <p>Furthermore, I have been reliably informed by a tree surgeon that trees suffer major trauma when developments occur locally. We have first-hand experience of this as the trees at the rear of our property are still</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>showing the signs of stress with large amounts of debris dropping every year, so it is apparent that the woods have still not recovered from the last development back in 2003. There are numerous trees that have TPO's on them adjacent to the proposed development site-how can this be good for the health of these age old trees? In the interest of wildlife and animal welfare, and to encourage and not deter the future of native wildlife in this area we implore you to reconsider the development of this site. The emergence of an otter at Golden Acres last year should be a major wake up call to ensure that our green open spaces should remain just that "GREEN"</p> <p>Whilst on the subject of wildlife may I take this opportunity to ask "What did happen to the rabbits at Canford Bottom roundabout? Having read a letter to the editor of the Stour and Avon magazine the question was raised as to why no rabbits had been seen since the work had been completed on the roundabout in early 2010. I have never seen an explanation-perhaps now would be a good time to ask?</p> <p>I certainly hope that the wildlife was not disposed of so as to not cause a problem with future planned development of the roundabout. Needless to say, but if the same fate were to happen on Poor Common it would be a tragedy!!</p> <p>Finally, I understand that future generations will need affordable</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>homes to live in and hopefully will have employment to sustain a happy and comfortable life. Perhaps it would be worth mentioning an article I read in the Daily Mail, December1, 2010, by Sarah Beeny. Sarah suggests that it would be very useful if the government would provide tax incentives for extended families to live together. If extended families could be encouraged to live together, i.e. Grandparents living with their children and grandchildren there would be a readily available surplus of homes for an ever growing population and then there would be no need for such major development of brown or green belt land.</p> <p>When my family and I were looking for a property with a view to amalgamation we were horrified that a Granny Annexe commanded a separate council tax to the main family home. How can this be an incentive for families to even consider living together? With so much emphasis on global warming, lack of affordable homes and with the pressures associated with the increase in VAT etc, it makes sense for the family unit to live together. Why heat two houses when you can live together in one? Perhaps Dorset could pioneer this idea and be at the forefront of the country and lead a green way forward. Finally, it would be wise to mention and remind the powers that be that apparently land isn't being made anymore, and what will we do when it all runs out and towns will merge into</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>one, with no green spaces in-between to mark the boundary?</p> <p>It seems ludicrous that individuals are prevented from selling off gardens that they probably find too large to manage, providing builders/developers with much needed land to build the necessary properties which would already fit into a developed area, and would therefore conserve swathes of our green and open spaces.</p> <p>Why not act why we still have a chance to keep the balance, if nothing else, our carbon footprint would be substantially reduced, and, with an ever ageing population, extended families can be there to look after those family members that will undoubtedly require help and care in the future</p> <p>Now isn't that sufficient food for thought?</p>			
504776	Mr and Mrs T R Jenner		<a href="#">CSO11794</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
507760	Mr and Mrs R Gardner		<a href="#">CSO11358</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
508549	R and S Gooden		<a href="#">CSO11899</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
508562	K Clayson		<a href="#">CSO11915</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		More houses will cause a huge increase on an already busy main road network.			899
508578	David and Carolyn		<a href="#">CSO11927</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		FWP4 and 5 would take less traffic issue. Christchurch Rd and Longham			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Winsall						in particular is plagued with traffic. We need some relief not more cars. Can't move now for traffic at Haskins roundabout.			
508590	Mr Jamie Ball		<a href="#">CSO11953</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Building houses on the open space we have reduces the open space we have. We are a young family who have moved to the area because of the open space. If you reduce the open space we have by building more houses on it you will force us to move elsewhere. This whole consideration of development options will create a negative effect on everyone that already live in the area. We are totally against this proposed set of plans.			899
508601	KA Cook		<a href="#">CSO11969</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		There is little doubt that more housing is needed and a number of smaller developments seem more attractive than the large one (FWP5). However, without the necessary transport infrastructure to support the increased activity during and after these developments, there will always be public hostility. Better bus services and cycle facilities are key to limiting road traffic increases.			899
508605	J Ames		<a href="#">CSO11999</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
508634	Jacqueline Legg		<a href="#">CSO12012</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
508649	Mr		<a href="#">CSO12027</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J Tucker			FWP 2						
508674	Mrs C Winsall		<a href="#">CSO12069</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Traffic on junction of Christchurch Rd Longham and Ringwood Road is extreme. This is untenable and Longham is very noisy and dangerous with traffic. You can't get across the road even. Also Longham will blend into Ferndown and should not. We have lost Poor Common to development and gained a hotel already.			899
508685	Mr and Mrs DG Spivey		<a href="#">CSO12086</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
508708	Gary and Sue Peskett		<a href="#">CSO12110</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Major road improvements required before any of these proposals can take place-plus updated school and medical provision required.			899
508712	SR Lambden		<a href="#">CSO12122</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
508717	Mrs A Young		<a href="#">CSO12138</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		No matter how many road improvements you make for every ONE house you build around West Parley there will be ONE to TWO extra cars on the roads surrounding Parley Cross which is known to be one of the busiest in Dorset. It is not fair to existing residents living in the area to bring this extra amount of traffic on to these roads.			899
508828	Mr & Mrs Hardiman		<a href="#">CSO12165</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Ferndown and West Parley have not got the infrastructure to support such a large housing development. The roads			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							at Parley Cross and around Hurn Airport at peak times come to stand still and cannot support the traffic volumes. The green belt in and around Ferndown has already been reduced considerably in size and the fields at West Parley flood at high rain fall. So to these developments we say No. So we hope EDDC like us would like to see Ferndown and West Parley stay the beautiful and peaceful area that it already is. Please think about the people that already live in this area. Thank you.			
508835	Mrs E.L. Stratford		<a href="#">CSO12186</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The Council is always after land for housing or business development. Whatever is granted is never enough and a few years later they want more. This process has been going on for over 50 years and it is time it came to a stop. Enough is enough as it is ruining the area.			899
508852	Mrs L.A. Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO12241</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
508860	Mrs Sue Cartlidge		<a href="#">CSO12289</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
508866	Mr and Mrs Penny and Vincent Smith		<a href="#">CSO12797</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
508887	Mr J.S. Kidd		<a href="#">CSO12310</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508966	Mrs Mansell		<a href="#">CSO12382</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
508993	Mr A Samways		<a href="#">CSO12426</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
509065	Mrs B Burge		<a href="#">CSO12496</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
509281	Mr Paul Molloy		<a href="#">CSO12603</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The roads and infrastructure struggle to cope in Parley as it is! Massive improvements to roads will be required.			899
509299	Mr R Moore		<a href="#">CSO12617</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
509318	P Bamborough		<a href="#">CSO12654</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509453	Mrs H Stallard		<a href="#">CSO12672</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509489	CD Raine		<a href="#">CSO12689</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The roads are already congested. FWP1 and FWP2 can only make matters significantly worse.			899
509504	Mr and Mrs Lewis		<a href="#">CSO12704</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
509533	Mr M Mason		<a href="#">CSO12717</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Too many people on roads, pressure on jobs, hospitals, doctors, dentists, schools, etc The only way we would support this is for only low cost affordable housing for local people not rich outsiders. Why not use existing properties that			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							are empty and need refurbishing?			
509543	Ms Beryl MacDonald		<a href="#">CSO12734</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We demand no building in existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road traffic conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ homes or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			899
509611	Mrs M Toomer		<a href="#">CSO12759</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
509658	Ms Carole McLean		<a href="#">CSO12773</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		FWP2 is a small infill, with residential housing on either side. There is already a footpath leading into Poor Common, which is a designated recreational area. The golf course opposite maintains green belt and hedgerows. All in all, I believe the proposed site has the least environmental impact.			899
509754	Mr Brian Smith		<a href="#">CSO12780</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509776	Ms Jane Foulger		<a href="#">CSO12790</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509784	Mr		<a href="#">CSO12806</a>	Option		No				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Nathan Winfield			FWP 2		Opinion				
509795	Mr R Ridley		<a href="#">CSO12816</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Please ensure you get the minimum affordable houses, Ferndown badly needs these. The developments should be houses and not flats.			899
509811	Mrs J Waugh		<a href="#">CSO12832</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509818	Mr and Mrs A Cooper		<a href="#">CSO12846</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509829	Mr Andrew Taylor		<a href="#">CSO12847</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We live in Parley Close and we have a hard job crossing the road now, it will be impossible if these places are built.			899
360097	Mr John DOWLING		<a href="#">CSO14496</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
361035	Mrs H.L O'SULLIVAN		<a href="#">CSO13013</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
509843	Mr and Mrs Brian and Dorothy Adams		<a href="#">CSO12865</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It appears that the West Parley area South and South West of Parley Cross is expected to take the entire share of any new development. Where is the contribution from our neighbours? This throws the greater burden of schools, medical and environmental issues on this small area. The map supplied looks like the introduction to an episode of Dad's Army with development arrows pointing menacingly towards West Parley Crossroads. The perception is that			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							West Parley is an easy option; you surely know and will be told from your survey that nobody wants an expansion of this magnitude, a quiet village to double in size? No Way! If this is an enforced situation with no true discussion then the process will become one of rearranging the deck chairs on the West Parley Titanic. It is surely necessary to study the layout again and examine where provision already exists and then look for available space. Not an easy task but the tail should not wag the dog in this way.			
509859	Mrs Sperling		<a href="#">CSO12876</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
509897	Mrs Rachel Harding		<a href="#">CSO12892</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We live on Christchurch Road, which is extremely busy and would not be able to cope with increased traffic. We moved out of town on purpose to a semi-rural area and do not want to see new housing developments. Developments should take place in towns that have the infrastructure to cope West Parley does not.			899
509934	Miss Nixon		<a href="#">CSO12937</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		There are already thousands of cars, lorries etc. going through Parley Cross Christchurch etc.! It's extremely dangerous crossing the roads and the immense volume of cars, inevitably cause accidents! Putting these houses here will cause nothing but heart ache and problems to existing residence!			899
509939	Mr and Mrs Barton		<a href="#">CSO12942</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Infrastructure will need to be in place before any major development takes			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							place. Development of FWP 1 and 2 would eventually lead to further building on the Holmwood Park green belt area.			
509977	A Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO12962</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
510026	Ms Tracy Ryan		<a href="#">CSO12972</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
510055	R Elliott		<a href="#">CSO12988</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
510070	Mr David Humphrey		<a href="#">CSO12997</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		1,2 will greatly reduce green belt between West Parley and surrounding areas losing local identity.			899
510084	Ms Elizabeth Morris		<a href="#">CSO13005</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		If any traffic is to be added to Christchurch Rd then there must be drastic improvements to traffic flow. In addition public transport must improve to stop people using cars.			899
510420	Mr Peter Stevens		<a href="#">CSO13154</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510490	Ms Helen Banfield		<a href="#">CSO13241</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510532	W.W. Chant		<a href="#">CSO13284</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510623	Mr Douglas Priest		<a href="#">CSO13389</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510798	Mr		<a href="#">CSO13531</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	F Sullivan			FWP 2						
510844	Mr Michael Guilmany-Cush		<a href="#">CSO13576</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510873	Mr & Mrs B.R. Mayes		<a href="#">CSO13624</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510974	Mr Jim Cullumbine		<a href="#">CSO13652</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
510993	R.A. Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO13686</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511015	Mr Christopher White		<a href="#">CSO13733</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511052	Mrs B.J Bailey		<a href="#">CSO13768</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511066	Mr and Mrs D Legg		<a href="#">CSO13793</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511076	Mr Ian Burden		<a href="#">CSO13821</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511114	Mr Rob Gigg		<a href="#">CSO13839</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The area cannot support any more traffic. This area is very popular with horse riders who need to access the local bridleways; the levels of traffic already pose a significant danger. Use the out of town developments built			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							for this purpose eg Verwood, not pile development on the towns.			
511125	Mrs Christine Elliott		<a href="#">CSO13854</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		No more traffic please for Christchurch Road, Coppins especially bad as with FWP 3.			899
359971	Mr Alan Pickering		<a href="#">CSO14730</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
361121	Mrs Elizabeth JONES		<a href="#">CSO14013</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
475495	Mrs Hilary Barnett		<a href="#">CSO14577</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511399	Mr & Mrs Michael and Diana Froud		<a href="#">CSO14058</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511417	Mrs Marjorie Derrick		<a href="#">CSO14075</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511430	A.D. May		<a href="#">CSO14192</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
511489	Mr H G Holden		<a href="#">CSO14175</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511571	Colin Alborough		<a href="#">CSO14230</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		We are in desperate need of more affordable housing in the East Dorset area. There is also a shortage of employment opportunities in the area. The provision of more houses should help to push house prices down to give			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							young people a change of getting on the property ladder.			
511618	Mrs V Blunden		<a href="#">CSO14293</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511621	Ms Mary A Combe		<a href="#">CSO14276</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511692	Ms Ruth Blaug		<a href="#">CSO14412</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I			899
511711	Coombes		<a href="#">CSO14433</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511872	Ms Debbie Boyes/Osborne		<a href="#">CSO14545</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511881	Mr R Brookes		<a href="#">CSO14559</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
511896	Mr David Elrick		<a href="#">CSO14589</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Traffic volumes on Christchurch Road are already high. Reason we moved (young family) to this area was due to more open areas and green belt.			899
511905	Mrs S Lanham		<a href="#">CSO14605</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
511910	Mr O.K Izzard		<a href="#">CSO14619</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Infrastructure plans need to be very clearly prepared and defined well before any development is approved.			899
511916	Mr Craig		<a href="#">CSO14627</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		These developments are not required as there is sufficient housing stock in			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Baker						East Dorset. Ferndown will become part of the Bournemouth conurbation if these developments go ahead. New Road cannot take any more traffic. FWP 1 and 2 should not go ahead as we have built on Poor Common.			
511926	Mr John Beesley		<a href="#">CSO14649</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
511937	Mr R Pogson		<a href="#">CSO14667</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		FWP 1 and 2 are very close to a site of nature conservation and would adversely impact the area. It is unlikely that the existing infrastructure would cope with the increase in population.			899
511953	Mr and Mrs Alan and Julie Ridout		<a href="#">CSO14687</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Transport improvements are required now before any house building takes place.			899
511978	Mr Cummings		<a href="#">CSO14716</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
512007	Mr Neil Hayward		<a href="#">CSO14746</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I have lived at West Parley for 8 years, anyone who proposes housing in this area must be living on another planet with traffic levels reaching saturation point along Parley Lane and New Road and the close proximity of Bournemouth airport, any development in this area would be an act of complete insanity.			899
512016	Mrs Sue Willcocks		<a href="#">CSO14772</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The transport infrastructure must be given priority and needs to be implemented before any development starts.			899
512027	Mr and Mrs B.D		<a href="#">CSO14789</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Young									
512034	Mr R.J Jerrett		<a href="#">CSO14800</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		This area needs more affordable houses and more jobs as most people in this area are not local and have moved from away, I cannot see how they can object. We need a new bridge at Longham also local road improvements before some of the work starts ie Parley Cross roundabout etc.			899
512069	Mr and Mrs Stephen Rideout		<a href="#">CSO14823</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Roads in these areas are at capacity, it takes us several minutes to safely get out of our drive onto Christchurch Road. 10 years ago this was not such a problem. Constant traffic and queuing does not add up to further development.			899
512083	Mrs D.C Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO14835</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We are keen to see the green belt area protected and feel the developments in West Parley endanger this. The local community of West Parley wish to retain this 'local feel'. Increase of traffic is another very negative aspect.			899
512098	Mr and Mrs D and A Burch		<a href="#">CSO14854</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Development should be spread in order to maintain a semi-rural environment. Consideration should also ensure that all roads take the increased traffic. Green belts are essential maintaining independent districts. We object to linking up forming a structure for future infill. Traffic congestion is everyone's concern especially those of us that live on Christchurch Road.			899
512113	Mr Steve		<a href="#">CSO14874</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Kitson									
512129	Mr J.R Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO14893</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
512134	Mrs Anthea Scott		<a href="#">CSO14921</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
512281	Graham Roberts		<a href="#">CSO14999</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
512315	Mr Roger J Heath		<a href="#">CSO14998</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
512332	Mr John Neal		<a href="#">CSO15053</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
361124	Mrs M.E Brown		<a href="#">CSO16359</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
361196	Mr J.M BULLIVANT		<a href="#">CSO16277</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
476264	Mr David Reddaway		<a href="#">CSO15669</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Ferndown has room for expansion taking into account present services and road use.			899
511639	Paul Hockey		<a href="#">CSO16080</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
512325	Mr DM Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO15033</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The traffic situation would become intolerable if the proposed houses were built in the West Parley area. West Parley would become an overspill for Bournemouth and lose its			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							village status.			
512326	Mr Ian Willis		<a href="#">CSO15057</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
512344	Mr M Wyeth		<a href="#">CSO15075</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
512351	Mr J.R Warnock		<a href="#">CSO15087</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Not enough transport facilities ie buses more pressure on Parley crossroads which is already very busy. This is green belt area and should not be built on as there are very few left.			899
512363	Mr T Meads		<a href="#">CSO15117</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		It already takes up to 10 mins to get out of our drive with 25,000 movements per day. Now you want to add a further 3,000 cars per day and how would you like 45 neighbours. How will various services cope with all the extra?			899
512399	Mr and Mrs RD Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15131</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
512406	Mr and Mrs PE and JA Coward		<a href="#">CSO15137</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
512437	E Andrews		<a href="#">CSO15154</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I do not want to see loss of the fields.			899
512459	Sandra Davis		<a href="#">CSO15161</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Loss of green belt is my main concern. 700 homes in close proximity to traffic lights would be a nightmare for exiting the proposed estates. The airport will grow so will the traffic. We see queues to the lights at Parley of			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							over a mile in all directions most mornings/evenings. The village will require all sorts of supporting facilities/infrastructure. The new cycle path, lights to airport is infrequently used. You have FWP1-5 but description w.sub area, eastern sub area and central sub area- not very clear at all.			
512477	Mr DJ Budden		<a href="#">CSO15171</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Cannot understand why PC9 is (not?) favourite for new homes. It has no existing residential border. Has much better trunk road access. Can benefit from money spent on forthcoming A31/B3073 roundabout improvements. Enjoys excellent bus services (No13 W and D every 30 mins to Wimborne, Ferndown and Bournemouth) Has local shops at Stapehill. To feed even more traffic direct onto A348 and B3073 is irresponsible. In any development it must be essential to maintain tree/shrub screen bordering A348 and B3073 to preserve rural nature, also only one single access road to/from each development.			899
512505	Ms Linda Dawson		<a href="#">CSO15176</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The Ringwood Road from Ferndown shopping centre thru Longham is already extremely congested. More houses mean more cars.			899
512677	Mr P R Field		<a href="#">CSO15223</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
512703	Rita Sweatland		<a href="#">CSO15235</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513015	Mr Adrian Roscoe		<a href="#">CSO15247</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I feel that this is just a money generating scheme for the council. There is no way the roads could cope with the extra traffic as you have highlighted yet made no suggestion as to how to deal with it. Other issues would be schools, parks, medical facilities, dentists etc etc.			899
513028	Mrs M Wilson		<a href="#">CSO15260</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
513034	Mrs Cheryll Walters		<a href="#">CSO15274</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Can Ferndown schools, surgeries etc cope with any/all of the above. All would add to Ringwood Road traffic congestion and already maybe a problem.			899
513063	Mr I Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15297</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Existing development on Camellias not yet taken over by Council (roads). After considerable period of years water not taken over. Any further development seems inappropriate as current developments not finished and these developments would only add to the problem.			899
513085	Mr and Mrs B Harris		<a href="#">CSO15303</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
513091	Mr Paul Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO15309</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
513126	Mr S.J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15329</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Look again at PC4 and PC9. West Parley is a mature village with few social pressures, having remained generally unchanged for many years.			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							A recent survey of its 1600 households showed an overwhelming majority against this scheme and the pressures it will bring to transport, infrastructure. Local facilities and that the need to protect our green belt, heritage sites, nature and environment are paramount and take precedence over cash generation from building especially when other less sensitive areas for housing exist, but are not recommended because it appears they are not in the hands of rich developers and are therefore unable to fund other infrastructure projects. Transport road congestion is well above saturation levels. Christchurch Road, West Parley already suffers long tailbacks at all peak times such that a simple developer funded gyratory system is not likely to be an area solution especially when asked to cope with rising levels generally and a further anticipated 1200 vehicles entering/exiting the system from the proposed development. The conurbation needs a major upgrade via Highways Agency approval funding not a local sticking plaster funded on the back of further congestion.			
513149	Mrs A.R.E Seaton		<a href="#">CSO15508</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Being a resident at 77 Christchurch Road I consider this road to be a complete death trap, nobody keeps to the 40mph speed limit and to access and exit ones property at peak times can be impossible so building 45 homes on Coppins nursery site would be highly unacceptable.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513639	Mr and Mrs D J A Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15440</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
513881	Mrs S Bagg		<a href="#">CSO15535</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
513897	Mrs Elizabeth Dawkins		<a href="#">CSO15549</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
513900	Mr P Wall		<a href="#">CSO15583</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Unfortunately more development more movement. A comprehensive transport policy should be developed eg. road improvement (A31), bus and trams, rail links (restored).			899
513923	Mrs M Fraser		<a href="#">CSO15588</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		In the early morning till around 9am and late afternoon till about 6.30pm all around West Parley to New Road roundabout and up to Ferndown the traffic is awful. How on earth will anyone manage with these extra houses? No amount of transport improvements will help this, when the A338 is closed it will be and already is horrendous. I am on the flight path; this does not bother me all that dreadful traffic does.			899
513943	Mrs P Reeves		<a href="#">CSO15605</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
513974	Mr A Moore		<a href="#">CSO15812</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
514007	Ms Emily		<a href="#">CSO15681</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Allan									
514014	Mr Colin Cable		<a href="#">CSO15707</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Over the past 20 years the roads between Canford Bottom across to the A338 spur road - A347 Ferndown to West Howe and New Road have become major traffic routes. Any additional building works or housing projects will bring life to a standstill in the area. None of your FWP areas are viable PC4 or PC9 would impact far less on the area, however any projects without major improvements to the road network will be a disaster. Ameyford (Police Station) to Broadmoor Coppice would be more practical. What Happens Next? If it's anything like the Folkestone - Honiton coastal trunk road 50 years ago - NOTHING			899
514042	Mr Tony Davison		<a href="#">CSO15723</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Having lived in West Parley for 16 years I have seen considerable change and increased traffic, even after road widening works at West Parley traffic lights. The roads are still congested, the increased traffic to and from the airport, the new quarry, the busier business parks that have several courier companies on that operate a whole fleet of vehicle, city link, 5years transport, voyager A to B, just the ones I know of, also the whole infrastructure around creating these increased homes is not here, shopping, schooling and recreation. West Parley was once a small village; we should be protecting areas like this not losing them to a mass of over development. I thought the lay			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							planning act was to do much this; there are plenty of small infill sites that could help the housing situation with careful planning at the end of the day. This is green belt land, if I wanted to build on this land there is no way you planners would agree. I have myself a small piece of land adjacent to my property this was severed as part of the garden some 17 years ago. I have spoken to planners on various occasions over the years as it is an eyesore, but I have always been told its green belt. The term for green belt means open space yet this plot has houses either side and a yard to the rear, therefore how can the rules differ from being sensible and allowing smaller infill plots of land that would not impact on the structure of Ferndown and West Parley over the years, then adopt a considerable development that certainly would.			
514049	Mrs E Hellier		<a href="#">CSO15744</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514086	Mr Ian Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15777</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Area is already over developed with retirement homes and facilities to support these proposed sites does not exist. Transport on roads is oversubscribed in this area.			899
514091	Mr RJ Potts		<a href="#">CSO15814</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514099	Mr and Mrs T C Blakeley		<a href="#">CSO15853</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514111	Penelope Webiery		<a href="#">CSO15895</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
514127	Mr Geoff Voisey		<a href="#">CSO15911</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
514135	Mr and Mrs S Farr		<a href="#">CSO15921</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
514136	Mrs J Crumb		<a href="#">CSO15950</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
514156	Mr J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15927</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I commute daily through West Parley. To add additional congestion from 900 or so homes onto Christchurch Rd/New Road + normal growth is pure folly. Only major network works will cure this.			899
514225	Mr Simon Dixon	Secretary West Moors Traders Association	<a href="#">CSO15996</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
514246	Theresa Monahan & Jonathon Chaffey		<a href="#">CSO15995</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
514274	Mr Showell		<a href="#">CSO16052</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Instead of new housing there should be a new strategy of providing more public open space. The lessons of the Edwardians/Victorians should be learnt. New public parks like Poole Park, Queens Park and Kings Park save an area from urban crowding. Perhaps all the land proposed for			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							housing could be reallocated to public parks.			
514467	Mrs Lesley Cripps		<a href="#">CSO16199</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514482	C E T Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO16233</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
359856	Mrs B Breeze		<a href="#">CSO16876</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		West Parley Green Belt should remain protected. The democratic wishes of the overwhelming number of West Parley residents should be adhered to; they do not want this development, for many reasons. Environmental, transport / road congestion / infrastructure etc.			899
360235	Mr Christopher UNDERY	Christopher D Undery	<a href="#">CSO16847</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Christchurch Road is a low density single side ribbon of development and this site would be incongruous if developed with 45 homes as envisaged. A frontage development of 7(or so) dwellings would be in keeping. Development required to provide housing levels in this area should be afforded within Longham village which is well suited to additional development.	See representation. Text should be amended and extended to allow for development within Longham		899
361138	Mr Rod WHITHAM		<a href="#">CSO16886</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514507	Mr and Mrs C Macy		<a href="#">CSO16266</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514649	Mrs June		<a href="#">CSO16314</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sawyer									
514752	Claire Richardson & Jamie Shirley		<a href="#">CSO16389</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514812	Mr C Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16426</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
514912	Mrs Mary Carsbury		<a href="#">CSO16478</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Seeking the opinion of the general public on planning issues is all very commendable - but setting aside all political persuasion my feeling is that this is no more than a Public Relations exercise because in the end the final decisions are always to the advantage of the developers whoever they may be because of money, greed and looks good on paper. My general view is that density levels for new homes should be kept down; East Dorset has become so over developed that its now just one big urban sprawl. Infact it has lost so much of all the natural character and charm that it used to enjoy it is hard to know where the so called green belt begins and ends.			899
514913	Mr and Mrs M Clark		<a href="#">CSO16462</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
514939	D Porter		<a href="#">CSO16504</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The more land you concrete over the more you have to because you just increase demand. Infill best option.			899
514959	Mr and Mrs R		<a href="#">CSO16535</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Fisher									
514993	Dr Peter J Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO16569</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The area is already over-developed and congested - further development on the scales proposed would lead to deterioration of the environment and a reduction in the quality of life. I am particularly dismayed by continued threats to the Green Belt, especially as this Government pledged to protect it. I do not believe that such massive development is necessary or beneficial to the vast majority of inhabitants. Pressure on our area should be reduced by Government tackling such issues as population growth through immigration control and regeneration of brownfield sites in Midlands and North. There is a need for limited affordable and social housing locally, but not more executive homes. It is wrong to build new homes while second homes and investment properties continue to exist.			899
515033	Mr T Crump		<a href="#">CSO16606</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		These proposals are typical of the failures contrived by Council and Consultants to address short term solutions to Government edict. Fundamental to any significant further development is the need for joined up thinking for transportation. All of these proposals further the burden on traffic flow through and around conurbation centres. These major roads are the responsibility of the government highways department. They should foot the bill for their improvements prior to further development. Some of these proposals			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							virtually adjoin 100 instance flood lines. This is totally unacceptable. Any proposal to move allotments, away from the housing that uses them, increases road usage and has a negative impact on the community.			
515287	Mr L Jackson		<a href="#">CSO16681</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The A31 trunk road from Ferndown by-pass should be widened to form a dual carriageway all the way through to Bere Regis.			899
515367	Mr & Mrs J Pottinger		<a href="#">CSO16799</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Please save the Green Belt			899
515868	Mr F Weller		<a href="#">CSO16858</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Keep off Green Belt			899
516011	Mr Ray Goodchild		<a href="#">CSO16907</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>As residents we all welcome the opportunity to contribute to "feedback" on future housing plans in our local area, especially as it impacts upon all of us.</p> <p>Although I am not old enough to remember the second world war, I am reminded of the old cliché, "don't you know there's a war on"!</p> <p>I am connected myself with the building trade, in particular "development" as well as being a shareholder in both Barratts and Taylor Wimpey.</p> <p>Developers are pretty much at a standstill, and there is unlikely to be much of a change in the foreseeable future. We are entering a period of austerity, and as long as prospective homeowners are unable to get</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							mortgages, then the building trade is not going to invest, and there is little money available for "social housing". How are you expecting to devise a long term plan for local development when so much, which is out of "councils" control, is probably going to change in the next five years, which will influence building decisions? I think you are premature in seeking our opinions at this stage.			
359461	Mrs Nicola BRUNT	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	<a href="#">CSO17495</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Options FWP 1 (Holmwood House) and FWP 2 (Coppins Nursery) Whilst we welcome the recognition that the Holm Wood SNCI (SZ09/19) should be discounted from development (10.69), there is cause for concern that development of these sites could lead to further pressure on the dry heath and grassland habitats of the SNCI, and would wish to ensure that a SANG in this area would buffer and alleviate pressure on the SNCI whilst delivering enhancements for biodiversity. We would wish to see biodiversity value of these horse-grazed fields established and, in the case of Coppins Nursery, an alternative use as allotments given consideration.			899
359477	Ms Natasha Mackenzie	Clerk Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17716</a>	Option FWP 2	Object	General Comment	The proposed additional housing and employment areas will therefore only increase the traffic problems at Ringwood and thus on the roads in our Parish, especially on the B3081, A338 and Linwood Road if the A31 is blocked. The junction at Bakers Hanging will also become even more			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							saturated. The Parish Council agree that these developments should only go ahead if road improvements at Bakers Hanging and the junction of the A338 and A31 are carried out.			
359553	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17941</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Parish Council has no objection to proposed development on this site.			899
359891	Mrs Susanne Parkin		<a href="#">CSO18346</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	<a href="#">CSO18178</a>	Option FWP 2		General Comment	As with FWP 1, the biodiversity of the site is unknown because of grazing. The same considerations about protecting the SNCI heathland at Poor Common apply.			899
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	<a href="#">CSO17746</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Options FWP1, FWP2, FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are all located south of Ferndown, away from the A31 which is north of the town. However, despite being well served by regular bus services, Ferndown suffers from congestion around the A31 which is of concern to the Agency. The Agency supports the development of the evidence base to inform any strategic growth retained in the Ferndown employment area, given its strategic scale and close proximity to the highly constrained Canford Bottom-Merley section of the A31. This will continue to apply and the Agency will have a particular interest in potential improvements at Canford Bottom			899



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							triggered by further employment development. We are also aware of the area travel plan for the Ferndown industrial estate, the expansion of which will be essential to assist with the suppression of demand for further movements in the area generally, and specifically on the A31			
517986	Mr B W Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO17269</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Although it has been recognised that significant improvements to roads will be required, the infrastructure in Ferndown and Parley would in no way support any further development unless a by-pass was constructed around all town boundaries. The schools in the area cannot accommodate the catchment area at the present time nor can the police and fire services. The cost to the highways would be increased beyond any benefit.			899
518000	L Brebner		<a href="#">CSO17275</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion	All developments bring increased traffic; Ferndown is already gridlocked 80% of the day due to inaction by the Council and its refusal to divert traffic away from Longham Bridge. Any development of land in Ferndown / W. Parley will only add to the existing problem which is already growing.			899
518027	Mr J Talbot		<a href="#">CSO17291</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The lungs of the whole area are at Parley. Whoever heard of a large estate being built under the immediate flight path of an airport - (on the increase). The infrastructure at the present time is unable to cope, the schools, the roads, the hospitals;			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>everything in fact, so new dwellings is a joke.                      It is congested enough already.                      As for affordable housing, where are the affordable people going to find any kind of work with the extreme unemployment already in existence.</p>			
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		<a href="#">CSO17938</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>SUMMARY: FWP2 COPPINS NURSERY AND THE ADJACENT FIELD (45)                      This is a 'least worst option' site. This site will be visible from Christchurch Road and will unfortunately take up the last piece of open ground on the north side of the road. The site must achieve 40% affordable housing or we will object to it.                      As Holmwood, this site has the potential for GI to really reduce the use of travel by car. It can be linked to the existing Cameliass estate, cycle tracks could easily be extended to reach the employment centres. If car journeys were not reduced it would have the potential to add 67 cars on the road. This is a conservative estimate of 1 ½ cars per household.                      Environmentally this may impact together with Holmwood to endanger the biodiversity of this area. As the field is grazed by horses, it is impossible to assess the loss.                      PURPOSES OF THE GREENBELT PPG2 (as applicable to Coppins Nursery)                      To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas.                      Unfortunately, this would absorb one of</p>			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the last fields on this North side of Christchurch Road.</p> <p>To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment. This potential site already has a good screening from the road; this site could be sacrificed to protect the green fields to the south of Christchurch Road.</p> <p>VISUAL IMPACT ON BOTH THE NATURAL AND HISTORIC LANDSCAPE</p> <p>Any development will have an immediate and detrimental impact on the openness along Christchurch Road. Increasing the tree planting all around the periphery, especially along the edge of the road, could contribute to mitigation. These would have to be mature deciduous trees and to be seen to be thriving before the developer leaves site. The proposed landscape area to the east could be incorporated into Poor Common; this may also soften the development.</p> <p>SUSTAINABLE LOCATION PPS1</p> <p>Location</p> <p>Primary Schools</p> <p>Middle and Upper</p> <p>Daily shopping</p> <p>Post Office</p> <p>Doctors</p> <p>Coppins</p> <p>1.0km</p> <p>2.5km M</p> <p>3.0km U</p> <p>1.0km</p> <p>WP: 1.4km</p> <p>FD: 2.8km</p> <p>Glenmoor 1.0km or FD</p> <p>If there was no spare capacity at</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Parley 1st School, this would no longer be a sustainable location.</p> <p>EMPLOYMENT</p> <p>Bournemouth Airport</p> <p>Ferndown and Uddens Industrial Estates</p> <p>Ferndown itself</p> <p>Poole or Bournemouth</p> <p>Commuting Figures for Ferndown.</p> <p>Source: 2001 Census</p> <p>Internal Commuters ... 3365</p> <p>In Commuters .....7035</p> <p>Out Commuters....4893</p> <p>Total commuters (in and out)..... 11928</p> <p>According to the Core Strategy, 85% of all Ferndown and West Parley commute by car either as a driver or passenger.</p> <p>This would only be a sustainable site if the main employment centres can be accessed without the use of the private motor car, as there is already a high level of commuting in this area.</p> <p>Cycle tracks exist from West Parley to Bournemouth Airport. One is planned to North Bournemouth. Cycle routes also exist up to Ferndown. For anyone working on Ferndown Industrial Estate, the cycle track down Ham Lane ends at the Hampreston Cross Roads, this would need extending. Cyclists would have to be directed down on the Old Ham lane for Wimborne and for the Industrial estate cycle track would have to take them from Fox Lane, across Ham Lane and into the blocked exist from the old Fox Lane onto Wimborne Road West.</p> <p>PUBLIC TRANSPORT</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Number 37 from Longham to Poole hourly. 1km to bus stop.                      Journey time: 30 minutes                      Number 13 from Parley to Bournemouth and Wimborne ½ hourly. 1.5km to bus stop at West Parley                      Journey time: 25 mins                      NEAREST CENTRE WITH FULL RANGE OF SERVICES                      Ferndown or Wimborne are the closest. It is unlikely that public transport would be used because of the distance to the bus stops. If residents needed to use a bus, the easiest bus to use would be the number 13 from Parley Cross.                      PROVISION OF MULTI-FUNCTIONAL GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPEN SPACES: (Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance NE176 &amp; PPG17 Planning for Open Spaces                      This is a very small site. An all-weather cycling track through Poor Common would have to link up with the existing cycle tracks on the Dare and Camelias estates. Without these links the site would not be sustainable. There would be little room for SUDS so permeable surfaces would be vital and the open space to the east of the site could serve this function as well as that of an open space. GI links would be required to Parley Cross.                      ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: Our comments on environmental aspects of the Core Strategy Options document are included in the Response of the Environmental TAG, East Dorset Community Partnership, which we fully endorse.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>ECOSYSTEM DAMAGE: disturbance to flora and fauna                      Half of this site is open grazing land. The other half is the Coppins Nursery. Ecological surveys would be required.                      TRANQUILLITY: a sense of place. The change in the nursery to housing would not really make much difference, though it is a 'quiet' nursery so more people would impact on the tranquillity. The loss of the field and the grazing horses would end the tranquil sense of place. The sound of the traffic and aircraft stop this being a quiet place.                      LIGHT POLLUTION                      Please see the ETAG Response and comments by Bob Mizon.                      DRAINAGE PPS25 (causing increase in river flooding or surface water problems)                      As the site is so small there would be little chance of any on site drainage. Old fashioned peripheral ditches could be dug to make sure neither the site nor the road was subject to flood.                      PROXIMITY TO HEATHLAND AND AVAILABILITY OF SANGS FOR MITIGATION                      1.6km to Ferndown Common.                      2.25km to Parley Common.                      No room around the site itself for additional mitigation other than links through Poor Common to SANGS at the Holmwood site. Otherwise GI links required to SANGS at West Parley.                      PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE (schools etc)                      Potential problem of sewage and pumping stations at capacity.                      Parley Cross has no Health Centre.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>If Parley 1st School has little capacity for the additional children from the development around Longham, capacity must be created or the site loses its sustainability.</p> <p>IMPACT ON TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>The roads are at capacity. Altering Canford Bottom roundabout and Parley Cross roads will hardly keep the traffic running. We have too many cars on the road. No amount of GI or public transport will solve this basic problem. We do not need more roads destroying more ecosystems we need alternate systems that work. Schools close by housing and suitable employment sites that are accessible without using a car. Safe, well lit all weather cycle and pedestrian racks are required.</p> <p>East Dorset District Council has a target in the Transport Plan to introduce buses to take workers directly to industrial estates. This is an excellent idea. However, one such bus already exists, the number 29 from Bournemouth to Ferndown Industrial site. There are two early morning buses from Bournemouth, one leaving the estate at lunch time and two leaving in the evening. This service started in April 2010, without subsidies. The Yellow bus company confirmed that they do carry some regular passengers to and from the Industrial Estate. We suspect the buses are not that well used, one major problem influencing their use is the vast areas of free parking available on Ferndown Industrial Estate. It may be that the</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							'stick and carrot' needs to be introduced to get people out of their cars and into a bus. If less parking existed there would be more room for new business. We noticed a large new factory down Brook Road had a vast new car park, when parking is free and easy no-one will catch a bus. Perhaps spaces should only be allocated if there are no other means of reasonable travel.			
359571	Mr Renny Henderson	Conservation Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	<a href="#">CSO18701</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		We agree with the findings of the HRA in connection with the proposed housing sites within these areas. Consequently, until such time as assessment of the potential impacts of the options on European sites is determined we object to the following policies:			899
523300	Mr Trevor Abbott		<a href="#">CSO18291</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
359875	Dr Lesley HASKINS		<a href="#">CSO19294</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The urban fringe of south-east Dorset supports a range of acid and neutral grasslands which seem to have escaped improvement to some degree or another by virtue of being marginal to main stream agriculture. Many of these grasslands are grazed by horses, tending to mask their floristic composition, and making recognition of their value, without the opportunity for a properly timed and preprepared survey, an inevitably random affair While some have been recognised as SSSIs, or SNCIs others are certainly yet to be formally identified and			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>recognised by such proper survey. Yet they represent a most important and rapidly diminishing biodiversity resource which must be properly identified and protected. There is little or no reference to this resource in the Core Strategy and it is most worrying that no proper attempt at assessment was made of preferred sites prior to its publication. Consequently the number of preferred options in the Core Strategy which impact upon important grasslands is simply not known, and it will be essential that all proposed sites be assessed most carefully in respect of this resource before decision making progresses any further. The intrinsic biodiversity interest of the grassland sites (and their associated features - hedges, trees etc.) must be properly assessed during the coming year and be accorded proper weight in the judgement of these options. However it is certain that by rejecting some areas of search the Core Strategy has successfully steered away from areas where grasslands of interest would most likely to have been substantially threatened. This is welcomed and supported.</p> <p>Options FWP1 and 2. Notwithstanding the highly commendable improvement of Poor Common woods for public amenity, development of both these sites will inevitably increase pressure upon the heathland of Poor Common (SNCI). It is not certain that these sites are without any intrinsic biodiversity interest.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
359280	Mr Andrew ROBINSON	Partner Symonds & Sampson	<a href="#">CSO19147</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		<p>These representations have been prepared by Symonds &amp; Sampson LLP as part of our continued promotion of the land north of Christchurch Road, (Coppins Nursery), West Parley through the Christchurch and East Dorset Local Development Framework for residential development together with associated landscape area. Symonds &amp; Sampson have promoted the land which is in the sole ownership of the Trustees of B Hiscock (deceased) for residential development within Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment.</p> <p>The land promoted for residential development by Symonds &amp; Sampson is located to the north of the B3073 Christchurch Road and is close to the main centres of Ferndown and West Parley.</p> <p>Symonds &amp; Sampson support the continued progress of the joint Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy and the identification of Ferndown and West Parley as a settlement suitable for accommodating residential growth across the plan period. Symonds &amp; Sampson acknowledge that the Council have recognised that housing growth is required across the joint districts to meet housing demand and they believe that the site identified as FWP2 meets many of the principles of the Core Strategy as listed within that document.</p> <p>Symonds &amp; Sampson support the provision of residential development at Ferndown and West Parley due to its</p>			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>sustainability, its connectivity to employment providers and transportation networks and, in particular, we support site FWP2 which already has a good access on to a major local distributor road and, in our view, can be developed in a way that enhances the locality with minimum effect on the openness of the Green Belt.</p> <p>Housing Demand in East Dorset Symonds &amp; Sampson support the provision of market and affordable housing across the joint districts and, in particular, within East Dorset District where the availability of housing stock has led to existing house prices being higher than the national average. It is clear with limited housing stock and inflated house prices that the affordability of housing restricts existing residents within the district from entering the private housing market. We, therefore, need an increased housing allocation at Ferndown and West Parley to deliver both much needed affordable and market housing.</p> <p>Symonds &amp; Sampson support residential development at Ferndown and West Parley as part of the core strategy allocations. In particular, site FWP2, because</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- is a site enclosed with good boundaries and visual containment;</li> <li>- has an existing good access on to a local distributor road from an existing access point;</li> <li>- can provide valuable green infrastructure that would benefit</li> </ul>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>residents and divert pressures away from the heaths;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- it is located in a sustainable location;</li> <li>- it has good connectivity to employment providers and to transportation networks.</li> </ul> <p>By retaining certain of the existing boundaries, the developer can ensure that any future housing development has only very limited impact on the landscape and, by maintaining a landscaped area, can ensure that there is no coalescence between the small hamlet of Dudsbury and the larger settlement of West Parley.</p> <p>Suitability of Development at Ferndown and West Parley</p> <p>We support the provision of residential development at Ferndown and West Parley, particularly on smaller sites such as Coppins Nursery.</p> <p>A smaller development of this nature can be integrated with both adjoining housing and the countryside whilst having no direct impact upon the heathlands habitat. Part of the site is already developed by way of the various sheds and glass housing forming Coppins Nursery and by providing both a landscaped area (as part of any residential development) and a "green link" access path, benefits can be provided for existing local dwellers.</p> <p>Apart from the nursery (which has been in decline in recent years), the land is currently in poor heart and is used for extensive horse grazing. The land will be of no loss to agriculture and what is a semi-derelict area of lad</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>can be partly developed and partly used for landscaping and public access.</p> <p><b>Local Housing Need</b>                      Reviewing the Council's data, which has been prepared as part of the Local Development Framework system, it is possible to conclude that housing demand for both market and affordable housing is greater than the supply of new housing. Our concern is that if East Dorset District Council did not provide sufficient allocations of residential development, then the market and affordable housing supply will suffer through insufficient supply, which will affect the wider level of affordability across the district. A small development such as Coppins Nursery (FWP2) can ensure that major development elsewhere becomes less of a necessity, with that type of development being quickly incorporated within the locality.</p> <p><b>Landscaping</b>                      Part of the site identified as FWP2 has been highlighted within the Core Strategy for landscaping purposes as well as a "green linkage" access way. Symonds &amp; Sampson, on behalf of the landowners feel very comfortable with this proposal and appreciate the benefits that this could bring to the neighbourhood. Any future development of the site could be promoted on that basis.</p> <p>It will certainly ensure that the site known as FWP2, if developed, has minimal impact on the Green Belt and the adjoining open countryside.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Transportation</p> <p>Within the Core Strategy Consultation the Council identify that Ferndown and West Parley have good transport links and are well located to existing employment areas and larger service centres within the joint authorities. Site FWP2 also has good existing access on to a major local distributor road.</p> <p>The site is also located adjacent to a road where there is good public transport and within the locality there is an excellent service centre.</p> <p>The landscaped area and the "green link" access path will also mean that any future residences in the area have ready access to recreation.</p> <p>Conclusion</p> <p>There can be no doubt that site FWP2 does confirm to rules, regulations and advice set out in national and local planning policies and statements. In particular, it accords with and has due regard to:</p> <p>The East Dorset and Christchurch Housing Needs &amp; Demands Survey (2008)</p> <p>The East Dorset and Christchurch Housing Needs Assessment (2008)</p> <p>The East Dorset Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (2009)</p> <p>The East Dorset Housing Options Master Plan Report (2010)</p> <p>The site is deliverable (being owned in its entirety by one family), it is sustainable, it is away from protected heathland and it is close to both employment and service centres. In addition, there will be no loss of good agricultural land, it has good access to</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>an established public highway, it has established boundaries and, therefore, good visual containment and will have minimal impact on the openness of the Green Belt.</p> <p>Finally, by incorporating landscaping and a "green link" there need be few concerns about closing the narrow Green Belt gap between Ferndown and Longham. With the land opposite site FWP2 in recreational use (Dudsbury Golf Club) the openness of the area will be maintained.</p> <p>We have already submitted a response on behalf of our clients in relation to the Core Strategy Options, and in particular with regard to site FWP2. One of the beneficiaries of the Trust has, however, asked me to also state: East Dorset District Council have previously agreed significant growth/development at other very local plant centres (eg.Haskins at Longham) over the last 20 plus years, and this has obviously had a great effect on Coppins Nursery which forms part of FWP2. The loss of Coppins Nursery facility to the local population will, therefore, be minimal.</p> <p>Coppins Nursery is becoming an eyesore and the grazing land is not much better. Sensitive development and provision of a green area as discussed will, in the opinion of the owners of the site at FWP2, visually enhance that part of Christchurch Road to the direct benefit of neighbouring properties, and more generally to the residents of Parley, Longham and Dudsbury.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
527750	Mr Colin MacNee		<a href="#">CSO18919</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
527818	Mr Nigel Lester	Synergy Housing Association	<a href="#">CSO18965</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Having looked at the proposals for each of the geographical areas and the proposed sites within those areas we can see no reason to disagree with the Local Authorities assessment and findings in each case, and would be very keen to become involved in the provision of affordable housing on any of these sites.			899
527849	Miss Kate Tunks	Transport Planning Officer Dorset County Council	<a href="#">CSO19039</a>	Option FWP 2		General Comment	Option FWP 2 Land at Coppins Nursery north of Christchurch Road This option is not well linked to the centre of Ferndown but is closer to Parley Cross (about 1km) where the services are limited although there is a small local supermarket. Even with good links to Parley Cross it would be difficult to secure sustainable development here unless the Parley Cross option improves the services at that centre. Even so the distance is still quite a walk with currently poor public transport provision along here. Access on to the existing road network should be achievable however			899
527863	A Barratt		<a href="#">CSO19011</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
527897	Mr Roy Crossland		<a href="#">CSO19082</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Existing infrastructure does not support CURRENT housing stock .FWP5 land is under approach flight path for Bournemouth Airport, this would be a very dangerous and noisy place to live.			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
527908	Mrs J Coakes		<a href="#">CSO19099</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
533834	Mr Tim Harris		<a href="#">CSO19205</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
534808	M Ward		<a href="#">CSO19415</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Greenbelt land should be sacrosanct because once lost it will be lost forever. New homes are needed but brownfield sites should be fully used (and by brownfield I would exclude garden grab). Developers who make profit from a local area should be made to contribute much more to local provisions (not just transport) i.e. leisure, school and community centres.			899
534820	Paul Batten		<a href="#">CSO19431</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
534837	Mrs P Martin		<a href="#">CSO19495</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
534875	Brian Lane		<a href="#">CSO19537</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
534877	Mr Roger Owen		<a href="#">CSO19538</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
534883	K L Ward		<a href="#">CSO19563</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
534891	Mr Alan R Roberts		<a href="#">CSO19574</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
360977	Mr		<a href="#">CSO20711</a>	Option	Support					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Nick Solomon			FWP 2						
490854	D MUTTON		<a href="#">CSO20069</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507361	Mr Kenneth Archer		<a href="#">CSO20333</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
507737	Mrs S Philpot		<a href="#">CSO20208</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
511383	Mrs Jean Archer		<a href="#">CSO20385</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
534833	Frederick Wall		<a href="#">CSO19465</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
534914	Mrs P Froud		<a href="#">CSO19662</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535066	Mr Louis Kling		<a href="#">CSO19612</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		"Options" FWP1 - FWP5: I object to any development on Health and Safety grounds before pedestrian crossings at PARLEY CROSS have been made safe. They are highly dangerous NOW without the addition of 840 houses and more from other areas, most of which with multiple car ownerships. We need a pedestrian underpass or at least a pedestrian walk bridge so people can walk from one side of the four-fold road crossings to the other with a reasonable level of safety. Even without the house development taking place, we do need those crossing facilities due to the general heavy			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							increase in traffic.			
535070	Mr Alan Reade		<a href="#">CSO19627</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
535094	MS Tracey Tucker		<a href="#">CSO19653</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535109	Mr Stephen Warner		<a href="#">CSO19683</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
535112	Mr Jack Tindall		<a href="#">CSO19704</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535116	Mrs Susan Warner		<a href="#">CSO19701</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
535167	Lynda Lake		<a href="#">CSO19755</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535209	Mr P Webster		<a href="#">CSO19793</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Please liaise with NFDC and NPA, as the additional traffic generated by the extra housing and employment zones will increase the traffic problems at the already congested bottleneck at Ringwood and cause yet more problems for the people who live in New Forest hamlets such as Linwood, Brook and Burley as motorists try to find alternative routes. Can the New Forest take any more pressure from additional numbers of visitors? Has Dorset got enough sand and gravel in the land bank to meet the needs of the developers? Can you force Tarmac to start mining gravel by the Spur Road			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							near Hurn? What will happen to the pollution levels close to the trunk roads e.g. at Ringwood, especially particulate pollution? Can Bournemouth Water meet the increased demands for water?			
535349	P Thomas		<a href="#">CSO19822</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535368	Mr Andrew Evans		<a href="#">CSO19880</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I think that this whole core strategy consultation needs a full review in light of the proposed changes being brought in under the new government. I particularly object to all the proposed Verwood development as the infrastructure is not in place to support the current population let alone adding even further to it by building a further 400 homes. Any EDDC councillor who has the affront to say that the infrastructure is in place to support this development does not know what they are talking about and obviously do not live in the town.			899
535370	Deborah Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO19856</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Keep West Parley GREEN!! There should be no building on GREEN BELT land!!			899
535387	Mr Brian Cox		<a href="#">CSO19949</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535393	Jeremy Berg		<a href="#">CSO19901</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		ROADS, ROADS, ROADS, BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYWHERE & DESTROY EVERYONES WAY OF LIFE FOREVER, BUILD ROADS & BY PASSES.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535421	Mr Roland Andrews		<a href="#">CSO20613</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535457	Mr Matthew Newman		<a href="#">CSO19963</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535500	David Veevers		<a href="#">CSO20010</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535504	Mr Michael Beer		<a href="#">CSO20075</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
535509	Mrs S Durant		<a href="#">CSO20117</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535547	Mr M Folland		<a href="#">CSO20179</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
535550	Mrs D Mogg		<a href="#">CSO20172</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535567	Ms Judy McMath		<a href="#">CSO20266</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
535574	Mr and Mrs Ralph Williams		<a href="#">CSO20251</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Our Comments relate to FWP1, 2, 3, and 5 also TA1 Transport Issues. We strongly object to any further development In West Parley as it is totally Unsustainable. All five housing options will add to the major congestion that already completely bring the area to a standstill most weekday mornings and evenings from the A348 at Longham all of the B3073			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							through Parley traffic lights past the Airport to the Blackwater junction on the A338 and beyond. In the other direction crossing Parley traffic lights on New Road the A347 the traffic again stands form the junction at the Porsche Garage to the Ensbury Park roundabout junction with the A341 and beyond with standing traffic in both directions. This traffic currently adds major pollution to the local environment that's with-out the impact of the Airport which adds also considerable noise particularly at night. These five sites will add a considerable amount of traffic which no contribution from developers will improve the introduction of families is not a reason to allow any of these site to be developed. Please advise that you have received this email and read. Both planner and local councillors need to be more sensible in the approach to new housing in the Ferndown area it needs far more consideration and is now in conflict with government planning policy. To put people on to green field site as an easy option, Do the job properly; work on other sites that are sustainable.			
535591	A Walker		<a href="#">CSO20290</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535610	Mr Stewart Bullen		<a href="#">CSO20359</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535618	Mrs Angela		<a href="#">CSO20336</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Robinson									
535670	Dave Allen		<a href="#">CSO20409</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535678	Andrew Bryant		<a href="#">CSO20437</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535688	Susan Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO20527</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535701	Mr Andy Skeats		<a href="#">CSO20484</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535815	Mrs C M Davies		<a href="#">CSO20554</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
535836	Peter Parsons		<a href="#">CSO20581</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
360768	Cllr. Mr David Packer	Colehill West Ward East Dorset District Council	<a href="#">CSO21084</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
535865	Darren Charles Bryson		<a href="#">CSO20644</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535875	John Kitchenside		<a href="#">CSO20675</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535907	Mr Christopher Baxendale		<a href="#">CSO20719</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
535935	Mr Peter J Medler		<a href="#">CSO20838</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The majority of residents in this area relocated because of the permanent despoiling of their places of birth by			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>elected local and national 'representatives' who would not listen or care about the needs of the people that voted for them. Do you want to be remembered as the people who finally ruined this area or the people who saved it? Do not forget that people still visit this area as tourists and we welcome them and accept their contribution to the local economy. They will stop coming if the developments continue. How many tourists visit the outer London sprawl? Can I quote p227 from the Core Strategy Options paper - para 11.28 The Government's key housing policy is to ensure that everyone has the opportunity of living in a decent home, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live? This is an impossible dream that can never and will never, be fulfilled. If the developments did go ahead what would be the effect of another 5000 cars on our local roads be? If Morrisons developments go ahead where are the customers going to park? The parking within Verwood is just about adequate at the moment.</p>			
535940	Mrs Linda Medler		<a href="#">CSO20776</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Any additional building of houses will need to have a better road structure than exist at the moment to cater for all the extra traffic this will bring. I thought we were trying to bring about a greener environment to Dorset county?			899
535954	Mary Ford		<a href="#">CSO20795</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley			899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			
535965	Harry Ford		<a href="#">CSO20802</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			899
535972	Mr Ronald Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20815</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I feel there is no infrastructure to support this development which would be better suited to another area. I have worked hard to live in a highly thought off area. This development would totally change the character of the area for the worse in my opinion which I feel is unfair on the current residents who enjoy the high standard of housing and the green belt areas. This development could bring down the whole value of the area particularly with the high percentage of low cost housing which is totally out of keeping with the current style of housing. I strongly oppose this large scale transformation of the area.			899
535986	Mrs Angela Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20830</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		In my opinion this is over development on every site, particularly as 50% of the housing could be low cost housing which is entirely out of keeping with the			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>rest of Parley/Ferndown. Parley is known for its village style with large amounts of green belt the development of FWP3 FWP4 and FWP5 would totally wipe out a large area of the green belt and totally change the unique character of West Parley which I and many, if not all Parley residents, find unacceptable. The scale of development of FMP1 and FWP2 is also out of keeping with the rest of the Parley and Ferndown are which prides it's self on its high standard of housing. If these developments were to go ahead it would totally change the character of the area. The building of any housing on the green belt fields is totally unacceptable in my opinion. The percentage of low cost housing is also totally unacceptable in my opinion. I feel any building on FWP1 and FWP2 should be in keeping with other neighbouring housing. The e numbers here are far too high, as is the percentage of low cost housing. Plus you are opening up the potential to then link these two developments by building on the green belt which currently separates them meaning more loss of green fields. Another reason for my objection is that there are not enough school places to support this housing. I live in Parley as I like the friendly community feel and the view of both the housing and the fields as I walk and drive around. I often walk on or close to the green belt areas being considered for development and hate the thought of what it would do to the whole look and</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							feel of the area should the planned development go ahead adding such a large percentage of housing to the area. I object in the strongest terms to all the planning proposals for Parley and Ferndown.			
536014	Mrs Dawn Tindall		<a href="#">CSO20870</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536046	L Appleton		<a href="#">CSO20891</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
536050	Mr Andrew Collin		<a href="#">CSO20885</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		It is important to have a policy of continuous improvement for housing and employment for the area. Many are concerned for our environment, sensitive and empathic development will take care of this, but to do nothing will benefit no one in the longer term. A mixture of traditional and affordable homes is desirable, backed by a development in industrial and business land and premises availability. It is important to maintain and improve all areas of housing and commercial opportunity to invest in the future of our area for all parts of the community, the young. The aspiring as well as the established and retired members. Establishing the right mix is essential for a sustainable future in our community.			899
536096	Katie Lacey		<a href="#">CSO20943</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
536129	Mr Peter		<a href="#">CSO20966</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Houghton									
536169	Mr Tony Trevis-Bell		<a href="#">CSO21017</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
536267	Trish Wheeler		<a href="#">CSO21155</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536271	Mrs D Holt		<a href="#">CSO21193</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		No development should be supported within Green belt areas at all. Definitely not within the West parley area as the flats already built within this and the Ferndown area have far outweighed normal development in this semi-rural area which is now seeing a complete change of atmosphere. This area is a retirement area in particular and would be ruined by the over development changing its character to one of a feeling of living on an estate, and smaller housing and cheaper housing would mean that it suddenly became a family area, which it is not geared up for at all. In summer the area is congested with passing through traffic from holiday makers and it would make it an absolute nightmare to live here with another pile of bricks b being developed within the boundaries of the new road area. When we moved here 8 years ago, many of the houses within the area of new road were large plots with one house built within it. These have now been demolished and up to 14 flats have taken their place without any addition to facilities or betterment to local roads surrounding all these new builds. The population therefore must have increased significantly			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							within the short time that we have lived here. This would be a change too far!!!			
536282	Mrs Doreen Kingaby		<a href="#">CSO21186</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
536324	Paul Sumner		<a href="#">CSO21283</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Wimborne has the land and facilities to support more houses. The other areas do not especially Verwood, as it has been built upon to the point where it is second only to Dorchester, in terms of population. Verwood should be left alone and other areas play catch up. Verwood has no vibrant town centre no realistic extra employment opportunities. No infrastructure, no buses after 6pm or police for that matter. One nhs dentist with a waiting list as long as your arm. Same with the meagre doctors services. None of the houses are actually needed, only for the council to get social housing built. Not the attractive affordable homes it seems to like to label them as. Building on green belt land is supposed to be for exceptional circumstances, there are no homeless in verwood. Do not destroy green belt land just because of a loophole. There is no need for social housing in verwood, therefore no exceptional circumstances exist. I have looked at the proposed sights and they will all destroy habitat for local wildlife, VWM4 will also see ssi sites read beds ancient hedge rows etc severely disrupted. Did you know that there is a flood plane? What about the scientific report on the river crane and fishing lakes down there? Common sense			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							please.			
536341	Adrian Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21308</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536346	Mr Ben Richards		<a href="#">CSO21357</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536349	Mrs C Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21376</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536449	Dave Isaacs		<a href="#">CSO21450</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536543	Mrs Samantha Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21523</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		NO MORE HOUSES IN VERWOOD ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!!!!			899
536572	Mr Roger Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21580</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I strongly object to the proposal to increase the housing in and around Verwood. Verwood has been extensively developed over the years and there are inadequate services to support the extra cars and people. Also having seen the housing density of the Ebblake housing estate it is clear that agreeing to more housing will only create 'no go' areas in the very near future. I also note that in and around Wimborne there are huge areas of open land, which could easily be developed, especially between Ferndown and Wimborne which also has excellent road networks in place as it could immediately open onto the A31			899
361024	Mr & Mrs Kenny		<a href="#">CSO22097</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	PEARCE									
475508	Mr and Mrs Marshall		<a href="#">CSO21807</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>We live in West Parley and the transport infrastructure (especially along Hurn Road, Christchurch Road, Ringwood Road and New Road) is already struggling to support the volume of daily traffic. There are always hold-ups along Hurn Road (by the airport), which will become more frequent once the traffic lights are installed at the junction into the airport (being done at present). Longham Bridge and the bends through Longham along Ringwood Road is a notoriously dangerous stretch of road, which is not helped by the ever increasing amount of heavy lorries which use it as a 'short cut' to Poole Ferry Terminal instead of using the Wimborne by-pass because that is also not up to the task, being single carriageway and having both Merley &amp; Canford Bottom roundabouts unable to cope with the sheer volume of traffic coming onto them. New Road (which is the main route in &amp; out of Bournemouth for local people) also struggles with traffic, especially during the rush hour periods. When absurd? We do not need developers trying to 'sweeten the pill' with new facilities at West Parley, which are not needed or wanted. I would much rather see the present shopping facilities at Parley Cross and the Children's park by the Memorial Hall improved for the benefit of the local community. Small offices are not required - there are lots of such offices / industrial units in Ferndown</p>			899

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							which remain empty. We believe the impact of any development would detrimentally change the character of the village of West Parley and therefore do not support the proposed developments.			
482494	Mrs Yvette Jones		<a href="#">CSO21877</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		Large scale developments damage the environment for everyone, and it is for ever. The open green spaces are valued by so many who have paid a premium to live in a semi-rural area. Desecration of this is an assault to the residents' wellbeing; an unwarranted invasion causing a wholesale change of character to what is home to thousands of people who chose a neighbourhood because of its history of modest gradual development. Not convinced there is a housing shortage. Keep 'social' housing in more 'affordable' areas. What is there to aspire to and work towards otherwise? Don't patronise us re. 'housing for local people' - occupants become 'local' by living in a 'location'. Small developments can be assimilated to the character of an area without destroying people's enjoyment of their homes. They can be developed with consideration, gradually and as appropriate. They do not have to cause illness through acute distress of residents just to line the developers' pockets and gratify councillors.			899
498211	Mrs J AUCKLAND		<a href="#">CSO21728</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498402	Mrs T Hughes		<a href="#">CSO22235</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
508735	Mr Peter Barham		<a href="#">CSO22268</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536576	Mrs Valerie Green		<a href="#">CSO21586</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536606	K A Morris		<a href="#">CSO21612</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The greenbelt should be protected to retain the character and boundaries of these communities separate from Bournemouth. The traffic situation is already poor in these areas especially at Parley Cross and the shops add to the chaos so additional shops are not wanted or needed. There are plenty of food shops already in the area. This area is desirable for those looking for a semi-rural situation and many younger people would find it isolating with no community facilities. There is plenty of brown belt or smaller development areas that could be used. It seems the aim of this council is to turn all our villages into extensions of the larger conurbations. We should be working to protect these areas of historical interest and beauty not destroy them. Also, Parley Crossroads is already a dominating part of west parley it should not become more so and traffic further traffic should be discouraged not encouraged. Building here is a very poor option and should be avoided at all costs.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536614	Mrs S Morris		<a href="#">CSO21621</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The infrastructure is not suitable. These areas are not extensions of Bournemouth and should not become so. If we the residents have made our views clear about this before why are we doing it all again. The traffic in the area is appalling and whilst we understand the need for some housing development the actual figures of need should be verified and published before any further steps are taken. The greenbelt should be maintained.			899
536628	Mr C.A Wills		<a href="#">CSO21645</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536629	D Morris		<a href="#">CSO21632</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I find these proposals for the Ferndown and West Parley area short sighted. The area is not suitable for this level of development and does not have the infrastructure to support it let alone the impact to the character of these places and their historical value. This exercise seems purely based on achieving funds with disregard to the impact on the residents that actually live here.			899
536699	Ms Kathryn D'Arcy		<a href="#">CSO21782</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Ensure that all existing unoccupied homes are utilised. Look at infill in a positive way- Ensure that all infrastructure REALLY supports proposed development Do not build homes if the occupants are unable to find work locally. This leads to juvenile problems and a group of unemployed within the community. There is not enough employment in Wimborne for the number of homes proposed.			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536702	Mr Mark Hirst		<a href="#">CSO21761</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
536767	Mr John Sharp		<a href="#">CSO21827</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I have no faith that the 'significant improvements' to the road infrastructure would be implemented. Nor do I believe that they would cope with the existing and increasing traffic flow at this seriously overused junction. In any event, the bottleneck in the flow through the junction is the inadequate roundabout at Hurn. There are no plans to resolve that, so any other changes will not work. Consequently, increased housing will only exacerbate the existing dreadful situation.			899
536771	B Lusher		<a href="#">CSO21844</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		I object to any development that expands Wimborne and surrounding villages now and at any time in the future. Why is Wimborne such a beautiful town, could it be the Minster, old buildings, steeped in history? probably all of these and many other attributes. Take a good look at that stunning photograph 'Wimborne Minster and Stour In Winter' by Roger Holman, fields and the town centre, all in one picture. This view of the landscape, identified as a scene of merit by the artistic eye of the photographer, often passes the layman by, until pointed out so graphically. This photograph, like others, is a measure of what we could lose. I wonder if there were other equally stunning scenes in the hills northeast of Wimborne, Colehill, now completely covered in houses. Can you imagine			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the equivalent photograph of that time, the town, with a backdrop of fields and trees rising above horizon. Too late, that moment has passed. The town, in close proximity to countryside, is ye has realised this and is making an effort to preserve old Singapore. We, on the other hand, seem to be quite happy to knock down our countryside in the name of development. If we really want to preserve Wimborne for generations to come, so they can see the town, be part of its unique character, situated amongst, close at hand countryside, then we must make a conscious decision now to preserve the green belt and green areas in the town forever, before Wimborne eventually becomes a quaint little roundabout that travellers come across on the way from somewhere to somewhere.			
536790	David Steadman		<a href="#">CSO21897</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
536808	Ms Yvette Allen		<a href="#">CSO21996</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536812	R H Barker		<a href="#">CSO21985</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536830	Mrs Janet Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO22036</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		I feel that each community must decide for itself what is appropriate...hence my focus on Ferndown and West Parley. Whilst I'm fully in favour of building new homes at affordable prices, this should not mean that they are badly designed, use cheap			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							materials or are crammed together. I prefer the smaller developments that promote a feeling of neighbourhood, and adjoin existing communities without being too intrusive. The biggest problem for any development is undoubtedly the "traffic". Public transport in this area is very poor. Everybody (88%) owns and uses at least one vehicle, the traffic is often at a standstill in this area already... there are certain times of the day when access to the village is very restricted indeed. Car parking is also an issue, many local shops and businesses must lose custom as a result. It's for these reasons that I feel any property developer (of multiple dwellings) should contribute to any changes that need to be made to the infrastructure, whether that's roads, car parks, shops, or any other community facilities.			
536848	Ola Steadman		<a href="#">CSO22136</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536850	Mr Michael Hird		<a href="#">CSO22139</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536860	Mrs Sparks		<a href="#">CSO22185</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536866	Emma Huns		<a href="#">CSO22212</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
536932	Paul Bason		<a href="#">CSO22302</a>	Option FWP 2	Object					899
537003	Peter		<a href="#">CSO22363</a>	Option		No	FWP1 At peak times there are often			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Goodwin			FWP 2		Opinion	<p>queues up to a mile long at the Parley cross roads, with the addition of the retail units and the extra housing then this will become even worse. In addition this would severely detract from the existing Village atmosphere of West Parley and remove for ever its uniqueness within the urban sprawl of Ferndown and Kinson In section FWP3 at the moment during wet weather there is excessive water running down Longfield Drive having drained of the field above, if houses were built on this then the absorption of the water in this area would be less which could result in more severe run of and ingress into the gardens of Longfield Drive. The plan states that for FWP3 if these houses were built the access to these would be the north end of Christchurch road. It is important that under no circumstances should there be a link from Christchurch Road through the new estate to Longfield Drive as this would become a rat run at peak times to miss the Parley Junction. Before when the Ridgeway was a through rd. even though un made up and full of pot holes it was used by many as a short cut during peak times. In Area FWP5 this area provides a natural break from the Northbourne area and West Parley and is an important divide to keep the identity of West parley, in addition it is doubtful if the road links and facilities could support the 1200 to 2000 extra people this would bring into the Area. If for some reason any of these plans do manage to get approved it is of utmost importance that the area is developed</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							tastefully and that the developers are responsible for upgrading all transport links and providing all amenities and green space necessary for the number of families that move into the area. Finally all these areas were dedicated green belt for a reason have these reasons now changed, have the need for homes Offices and retail units now become more important than preserving the uniqueness of this area.			
537014	Master Kieran Morris		<a href="#">CSO22374</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899
537050	Diane Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO22409</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
537075	Mrs Elaine Holt		<a href="#">CSO22443</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
537106	C Hebditch		<a href="#">CSO22474</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		The more homes we build the more roads we need. The homes and roads get filled up then we're back to square one. When will it ever end? The notion expressed in some of the planning, about trying to get people out of their cars, off the roads, and into public transport, is a joke. For example, building on the Cuthbury allotments in Wimborne means that those who have one of the new allotments will have to get their cars out to drive to them, whereas at present there are many people in Wimborne who can walk to their allotments. Most of the areas designated as fit for development will mean even more chaos on our local			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							roads because public transport is not going to improve to the state where those of us who are fortunate to have a job to go to will all be able to get to work on time using a bus. The size of the proposed developments, in my opinion, is too big and will put undue pressure on local services for which we do not at present have funding to improve. I suppose though that these new homes will bring some new council tax payers to the area and therefore, maybe, help with the budget deficit ... until we have to build the new roads....			
537362	Peter Constable		<a href="#">CSO22532</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		The larger residential building proposals are completely out of character for the area and will consume large tracts of green belt. The infrastructure to support development on a large scale is simply not in place. The smaller developments appear to be a better fit e.g. Cuthbury, Leigh Park, and Stone Lane.			899
537435	Ms Pauline Burton		<a href="#">CSO22560</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
537529	Mrs C White		<a href="#">CSO22587</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
537571	Nick and Marion Leatherdale		<a href="#">CSO22639</a>	Option FWP 2		No Opinion				899
538111	Mr Graham		<a href="#">CSO22695</a>	Option FWP 2	Support					899



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Austin									
538118	Mrs Christine Cullen		<a href="#">CSO22700</a>	Option FWP 2	Object		<p>Any more building in Dorset will have a detrimental effect on the infrastructure on the area. Schools, doctors, hospitals and employment, not to mention the amount of extra traffic - which is already high with passing through vehicles also. Building of affordable housing is used to make people think it will be for local people - but no doubt it will be for inner city or immigrants.</p> <p>No industry is providing extra jobs There are already enough retirement properties here! Despite all the building which has already taken place in this and surrounding areas we still have high Council Tax. There are plenty of brown sites in towns and cities where houses could be built, not on green areas.</p> <p>Hopefully our comments will be listened to as the traffic problem and over development of green space is becoming worse each year and as a minority resident actually born in Ferndown can only see the beauty of Dorset as a whole being ruined by over population and traffic. Especially as the properties that are built are beyond our youngsters pocket, and builders only consider the people retiring to the area moving here from London with considerably more money that locals are capable of earning.</p>			899
538210	Mr and Mrs Peter Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO22717</a>	Option FWP 2	Support		Approve of provision of affordable housing, however, agreement with Housing Association must be			899

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							watertight so housing stock for rent remains constant and stock can never be sold. These houses will be near to facilities, schools, shops, transport, and work and will alleviate pressure on rural communities with no facilities to provide affordable housing in the Green Belt.			
497944	Mrs G Mary Salway		<a href="#">CSO22797</a>	10.84	Object		Regarding FWP 3 How can building on this large field 'provide valuable green infrastructure'?! (See your Consultative Document) Any increase in local building is likely to do away with any green infrastructure and to put future pressure on local heathlands from dog walkers, bike riders. NB. It should be noted that the field FWP 3 has a steep incline and has several springs which course down the hill in any wet weather. Ridgeway, Longfield Drive and Elm Tree Walk already receive a lot of water from these springs causing large puddles and a heavy flow of water into the drains. Should building be allowed on this field the danger of flooding and water damage to local properties would be very real and should be carefully and independently expertly examined prior to any consent for buildings on the field being considered?			902
483371	R Davies		<a href="#">CSO279</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No building on Green Belt land. We bought our house 7 years ago to look over open fields, not 400 homes.			903
359928	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO781</a>	Option	Object		I have lived in West Parley since I was			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M.A. TAYLOR			FWP 3			11. Traffic is horrendous. Schools are all full, likewise doctors and dentists. Most of the sites listed are flooded in winter or waterlogged. Who will build new schools?			
361055	Mr David OAKLEY		<a href="#">CSO1013</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I find it very hard to understand how, after unanimous support for no development in the Green Belt this now goes directly against that decision.			903
361099	Mrs Lynette PAYNE		<a href="#">CSO1256</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		A good number of these options, especially in Wimborne and Parley, are on the edges of the urban areas. Building in these locations changes the whole ethos of the area. When you leave Wimborne you see fields, trees and rivers dotted with thatched cottages. Even along Leigh Road, there is a definite rural feel, with true separation between Wimborne and Colehill. Developing along here or Cranborne Road will dramatically change the area, for the worst. This in turn reduces people's quality of life, as we see our area creep closer to urbanisation, field by field. Why can't some of the brownfield sites be used instead?			903
475534	Mr Anthony Elliott		<a href="#">CSO1222</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley is green belt. If we lose any more we become just part of Ferndown. We do not need affordable housing here. Christchurch Rd cannot take more traffic.			903
487902	Mr and Mrs B L Thompson		<a href="#">CSO651</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Areas 3-4-5 will cause horrendous problems at Parley cross, a roundabout will make it impossible to			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							get out of Parley Close			
489250	Mavis Quincey		<a href="#">CSO686</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Objection to extra houses is due to increased traffic use of all local roads. Ellesfield Road into Dudsbury Road to Horns becoming very busy not helped by parked cars etc. This area needs a bus link to Parley Cross, we have one per week.			903
489388	TJ Robins		<a href="#">CSO693</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
489397	Mrs Kim Church		<a href="#">CSO699</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley and Ferndown roads cannot cope with the current volume of traffic. Unless major road improvements were to be made prior to any development the roads would be saturated. Other local infrastructure would be significantly impacted including local doctors, schools and the environment. Why is green belt being considered for housing developments?			903
489411	JMT Morris		<a href="#">CSO706</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
489426	P Jackson		<a href="#">CSO712</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The current road system does not support the proposed development. This green belt housing proposals would radically alter the West Parley green belt.			903
489519	R Huggett		<a href="#">CSO733</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		100 homes will bring 200 extra cars onto roads. Any further traffic onto New Road will cause traffic chaos. We already have to queue to reach the lights at the crossroads. We would need a flyover.			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489527	Mrs and Mr PY and A Housden and Pearce		<a href="#">CSO721</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Please leave our green belt alone. There is not a lot of it but at least its open breathing/exercise space and it keeps us Ferndown and West Parley. As soon as you cross the bridge into W Parley it is so different: so please not Ferndown, Bournemouth, and Dorset. I thought Mr Cameron said stop. The road system is a total nightmare; roads are already gridlocked at rush hours and very busy at other times. No thank you to affordable housing. It will totally ruin the whole picturesque location so none ideally, or 230 max on FWP 1 2 and 3 if you must. Medical and school provision is not adequate, forward thinking to only 20 years in the future schools overpopulated and you would need more medics. It is becoming increasingly essential to keep the green belt environment. Invaluable. Area "lungs". If we had wanted to live in a concrete jungle we would have located to such and cheaper properties. Social housing (affordable) will inevitably lower our property price levels. Our outlook onto greenery and horses peacefully grazing is the reason we are here. Boundaries are just that-keep moving them and we will become just another suburb of Bournemouth. Quiet, expensive, mainly retirement areas and affordable housing do not mix.			903
489534	Mr David McGuinness		<a href="#">CSO729</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I've worked hard all my life to live in West Parley the way it is thank you very much. It's all about lining somebody else's pockets. We don't want a concrete jungle or an IMAX. I			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							want to see deer and wildlife not more houses and traffic. Start building on industrial sites. I live in Church Lane and there's nothing I like better than looking out over the field at the back of my garden. I've worked very hard all my life for these views and the lovely deer that walk through all this will be lost. Just to fill someone's pockets with backhanders. Just like the IMAX, they won't be happy until everywhere is concrete. In another 20 years the kids of today will have concrete everywhere.			
489571	Mrs KP Sutton		<a href="#">CSO739</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Whilst I strongly support providing housing for the younger generation at an affordable price, I do wonder where they are going to work in this area. Given that the majority will find work in Bournemouth/Christchurch/Poole, we need to work with those boroughs in getting traffic moving more efficiently. The New Road bridge is totally inadequate. It needs to be at least double the width-that would involve demolishing houses in the southern end of New Road to accommodate the new traffic flow. There is a similar (worse) problem at the bridge on the Ringwood road at Longham.			903
489582	Mr John Swift		<a href="#">CSO746</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ferndown and West Parley already suffer from overcrowding and traffic congestion. As proved in the past very few, if any, of the new housing would be 'affordable'. It is time to tackle the problem of overcrowding of areas and not to just keep building more and more.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489589	Linda Sherring		<a href="#">CSO747</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		New Road and Christchurch Road are already extremely busy. Changing the layout at Parley crossroads will give little improvement. It cannot support the volume of traffic from Parley crossroads to Ferndown as New Road is too narrow, Schools and facilities cannot cope with this enormous influx.			903
489602	Mr Nigel Stride		<a href="#">CSO754</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Green belt should be protected as per Conservative manifesto promise			903
489713	Mr Robert Davison		<a href="#">CSO763</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
489720	Mrs Joyce Bellisario		<a href="#">CSO769</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Green spaces should be kept for future generations. Our roads are already congested.			903
489729	Mr Malcolm Baker		<a href="#">CSO775</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Need to restrict "flat" developments. The need is for "houses" and affordable ones at that.			903
489743	Mr D Russell		<a href="#">CSO787</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am against any increase in housing density in the proposed areas, until the traffic congestion and safety on the approaches to the "Longham Roundabouts" and the traffic lights at "Parley Cross Roads" has been resolved. With the airport expanding and anticipated to do so in future by a factor of three, the highways infrastructure is not acceptable as it currently exists. Sort out the roads now before creating further problems, it will be cheaper and more successful than trying, and likely failing, to bolt the stable door later.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489747	Mrs M Hartley		<a href="#">CSO794</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
489748	Andrew ALLEN		<a href="#">CSO797</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too many houses and too much traffic for present amenities and to improve these will impede on too much Green Belt therefore losing West Parley's identity.			903
489750	Mrs and Mr R Bundy		<a href="#">CSO800</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
489757	Alan Mitchell		<a href="#">CSO806</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Existing road system could not handle more traffic. Green Belt should mean Green Belt.			903
489764	Mr and Mrs W M DEAGLE		<a href="#">CSO814</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		While the Council are in no doubt interested in the increased Council tax revenue that additional housing will bring, there does not appear to be any regard for the GRIDLOCK that ALREADY exists on this neighbourhood's roads network. It CANNOT support additional housing			903
489765	Derek KEAREY		<a href="#">CSO819</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley is popular with older people primarily because of the way it is now. By introducing large numbers of 'young workers' into an area with very little work means the village will lose much of its character. It will create a significant increase in traffic as the new residents will have to travel outside the area to work and use other facilities such as schools. West Parley, at the moment, still retains the feel of being a village which it has been for centuries with a documented history. If			903



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the proposed development goes ahead then West Parley will become just another suburb of Bournemouth. There must be many other sites in East Dorset that would be much more suitable and that already have the infrastructure in place to support new housing estates.			
489767	Mr J D BOUTLE		<a href="#">CSO826</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I consider sites 3, 4 and 5 not viable for building private housing, due to the proximity being too close to the end of the runway of Bournemouth airport. The noise and pollution would be intolerable, Further comments received on ED news</p> <p>I consider Locations FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are unsuitable sites for building new houses for the following reasons They are directly under the flight path of planes from Bournemouth Airport!! Who in their right minds buys a plot of land and builds houses in these positions. In summer the occupants will not be able to sleep with their windows open because of the noise and air pollution and disturbed in the day in the gardens by the aircraft. Children in particular would be woken up in the evenings by the aircraft noise. Before a decision is made, buy a tent and try it out? NB Ask yourselves would I want to live with my family here.</p>			903
489768	Mr and Mrs R		<a href="#">CSO832</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	HALL									
489771	Tony STARK		<a href="#">CSO840</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Any major development is just not possible while we have just New Road Bridge and Longham Bridge to keep traffic moving. It is bad enough now quite often, particularly as heavy lorries still use Longham Bridge, which defies all logical thinking.			903
489898	Mrs Elizabeth Daw		<a href="#">CSO849</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Before any housing development is contemplated major road improvements would be necessary to cope with increased traffic problems in Ferndown and West Parley - are bad enough as it is now. Shops- Major rethink about shops in West Parley is needed. Food shops that people can go into as it used to be 25/30 years ago-butcher, fruiterer, baker etc and less tiles, bathrooms, kitchens, beauty treatment as it is now. Glenmoor Road- A dangerous area at the shops/carpark. Cars should not be allowed to park on both sides of the road. A clear view leaving the car park is almost impossible. Particularly bad at school times especially in the afternoon when children are collected. This area is congested and positively dangerous at this time. It is surprising that there has not been an accident			903
489924	Mr and Mrs CD Culpin		<a href="#">CSO855</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Are the government going to provide new medical facilities in this area as health centres are stretched to capacity in this area. Also it takes already far too long to get anywhere on the roads surrounding the proposed areas of development. Always too			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							much traffic.			
489996	Miss W Hammett		<a href="#">CSO862</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Most of the proposed sites are used for horse grazing. Where are the animals going to go? This area has no provision for children to play so family housing would not be appropriate. Very poor or no bus service to these sites at present.			903
490006	Mr Gary Tharme		<a href="#">CSO868</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I support introduction of homes suitable for younger families. Perhaps at the same time, restrictions on conversion of large or multiple flats into retirement or care homes would also help? Extra economic infrastructure is needed			903
490020	Mr R.I.G. MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO874</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
490051	Chalmers		<a href="#">CSO879</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Main concern is that all the proposed sites will impact on the road systems especially approaching Parley lights. The roads are already jam packed especially at rush hour times. What impacts will extending of the airport have? Parley has a local centre but it does not serve the everyday needs of homes as it used to.			903
490084	Mrs J Stone		<a href="#">CSO885</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		If the large number of houses represents family homes, the area will need additional medical facilities and probably school places. I would not wish to see a large density of affordable homes on the Parley sites with the attendant problems as seen at Heatherlands.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
490090	MTB Mills		<a href="#">CSO891</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion	I moved to West Parley 14 years ago. This area is very nice; the traffic has got steadily worse. We must maintain the same medical help as now. I also love the green areas just to walk and look at. 800 more houses will increase the traffic by approximately 800 vehicles moving in the peak period and also during the day. Parley Cross traffic lights will not cope.			903
490093	Mr John Goodman		<a href="#">CSO897</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
490094	Caroline Jones		<a href="#">CSO901</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
490099	Mr B Patching		<a href="#">CSO908</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I believe these areas should not be considered. The road structure, amenities, sewer systems and other infrastructure is far from adequate. Roads are already becoming clogged with traffic mainly due to the airport and surrounding work sites. Traffic in Christchurch has increased by at least 60% in recent years.			903
490400	Mrs G D Field		<a href="#">CSO947</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
490507	Ruscombe Smyth-Pigott		<a href="#">CSO953</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The current road infrastructure is at creaking point. The addition of 800+ houses will result in Parley Cross becoming a choke point.			903
490551	J Kidd		<a href="#">CSO1008</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion	Radio Solent state 10,000 housed are presently vacant/unoccupied in their area. May I suggest that by 2027 most			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							English roads are likely to be totally gridlocked if present growth increases are maintained. Even at certain times now Parley X Roads are under stress. Why not look at areas north of Ameysford Police Station and beyond the old railway (Castlemain) line where access to Ferndown bypass for any new development would be easy. Any new development in Parley would require more traditional shops or even a new superstore. Some 800 affordable housing probably suggests 800 married couples and eventually 2.4 children in each property. Both parents needing work and schools and no doubt no garaging for 2 cars. Therefore massive street parking. Wardens would have a field day.			
490561	Ian King		<a href="#">CSO1023</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Overdevelopment of the area will add to future traffic problems and burden the services in the Ferndown area eg schools and doctors. Open fields are important for residents and horse owners and to develop the green belt is not environmental.			903
490829	Mr D CLEARY		<a href="#">CSO1050</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The road network around West Parley is already one of the most congested in the Greater Bournemouth area; the possibility of another 500 - 1000 cars per day will make matters worse. Schemes have been tried to ease the present chaos and have failed.			903
490836	Mr M P Graves		<a href="#">CSO1055</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
490866	Mr and Mrs D WRIGHT		<a href="#">CSO1073</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Before any further development should even be considered it will be essential to extend infrastructure by way of schools, medical facilities etc. It is irresponsible for the Council to state 'We are aware of problem'. Also Green Belt was a promise to the public - Local politicians will only earn even greater contempt if they break this promise.			903
490982	KG James		<a href="#">CSO1078</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		The proposals for FWP 3 are OK provided a new access is provided.			903
491000	Mr and Mrs DW and FA Mallett		<a href="#">CSO1084</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
491016	Mrs D Abel		<a href="#">CSO1090</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I feel that with so many proposed homes in these areas would create traffic problems especially with the Hurn airport workers which are bad enough at the present time. Also supermarkets would have to be built to cope with new residents thereby completely spoiling West Parley area.			903
491020	Mr Simon Jordan		<a href="#">CSO1096</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
491034	Mr Kenneth Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO1103</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The green belt must remain as is. The road system, schools, medical services are totally inadequate for any more housing. The green belt must not be built on .West Parley is not suitable for social housing. Build on the brown belt if necessary			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491080	J C Hanney		<a href="#">CSO1110</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Increase traffic will cause even more problems in the area particularly at Parley traffic lights.			903
491125	Miss I Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1116</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
491139	SJ and EJ Dixon-Gough		<a href="#">CSO1121</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Leaving Parley Close now is a nightmare; increase in traffic volume will be disastrous.			903
491150	Mr C D Allen		<a href="#">CSO1130</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		If the government were to cut back on immigration there would be no need for excessive new builds.			903
491163	Mr Butterfield		<a href="#">CSO1137</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It is essential to maintain West Parley's green belt environment and village status. The medical and school facilities are not sufficient for houses which are being considered. The main concern is New Road which is virtually impossible to cross, no complete stop of traffic- no pavement leading up to iron bridge on odd side of road-no crossing and 40mph speed limit-this can only get worse.			903
491171	Mr and Mrs Plewka		<a href="#">CSO1143</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley's environment will be destroyed. More housing will have an adverse effect on existing road systems, medical and school provision			903
491173	G Burningham		<a href="#">CSO1149</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		As mentioned any extra houses built in the area there needs to be doctors, dentists, schools before anyone moves in.			903
491174	Mrs O Mead		<a href="#">CSO1199</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley already is overcrowded!			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491232	Mr Keith Barnett		<a href="#">CSO1156</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>It is time to stop mass building projects as it is not the answer to the problems the nation and local communities are experiencing. The easy short term solution is to build, build, build but it only creates long term problems to the population that have to live with it. If the government and councils listened to the people they would have already concluded that this project had already met with resistance with the Conservative party saying they would quash it. Trying to resurrect this project will not change people's minds even though it is now called the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The area cannot sustain this quantity of new homes which would also require jobs for the vast majority. This proposal of 2,570 homes would also add another 5,000 cars to an already congested junction at West Parley. This area is already over stretched private and industrial vehicles. Jobs are already at a premium with companies moving out of the area or even closing. Warehouses are lying empty and shops are being converted into living accommodation. The young local people are not able to find homes due to the vast majority going to people moving into the area. This vast development will destroy this rural area. Just look at Bournemouth if you require any proof.</p>			903
491272	D Steel		<a href="#">CSO1170</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>The roads are already inadequate and with these numbers of houses it will become unbearable. The road around the airport is a nightmare as is the</p>			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							single road-Castle Lane-Castlepoint-A338 is also bad and overloaded. Buses are too expensive and do not go to places such as Castlepoint or hospitals. Local post offices have been closed with the Ferndown one threatened as well. Parking in Ferndown as a town centre is also inadequate. What do you intend to improve??? What is happening to the Dormy?			
491277	Mr Jefferies		<a href="#">CSO1173</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too much hard ground creates HARD ground which then causes flooding. Changing local roads only pushes problems further along to small A roads/B roads. Sewage works already working to capacity.			903
491285	C W and B J FREWIN		<a href="#">CSO1179</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Any new homes adjacent to Parley crossroads will cause more traffic problems. If building works go ahead the road improvements must be in place before building			903
491299	Mr and Mrs Shaw		<a href="#">CSO1184</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The roads are bad enough now. No amount of road improvements will make any difference. This will all melt into Bournemouth. Too much has been taken away already from the green belt and wildlife habitat.			903
491301	Mr Norman TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO1188</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
491311	Mr Kevin GILLING		<a href="#">CSO1198</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491318	Jackie Ball		<a href="#">CSO1237</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		New Road is over used as it is; with a possible extra 500 houses = 750 extra cars (approx) the roads around Parley would be grid locked. If the Spur Road is closed through accidents, all traffic comes via New Road. This leads to chaos.			903
491383	Mr Stanley Havill		<a href="#">CSO1234</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I object most strongly to any substantial housing development in the vicinity of Parley Cross until such times improvements are made to the traffic infrastructure in the area. I have watched the traffic flow increase considerably over the past 20+ years. It has decreased the air quality and has affected property with vibration, dust, grime and water thrown from vehicles.			903
491392	Mrs Lesley Wilson		<a href="#">CSO1279</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
491436	Mr and Mrs G Martin		<a href="#">CSO1286</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		More housing will only spoil West Parley. It is a village, not a town and should be kept as one. Please save our village West Parley.			903
491446	E J Clempson		<a href="#">CSO1292</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Not viable unless matching infrastructure can be provided. Christchurch Rd already heavily developed, try exiting Linden Rd/Dudsbury Rd.			903
491451	R Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO1299</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Fill in sites with one or two buildings Colehill/Parley/Ferndown. They are there but you refuse permission.			903
495549	Mr		<a href="#">CSO1486</a>	Option	Object		Bus routes and cycle lanes will not be			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Simon Rowberry			FWP 3			classed as transport improvements. People have cars, they use them, and therefore you need to consider this pressure on Parley Crossroads, an already congested junction.			
495554	Mrs Nesta Small		<a href="#">CSO1492</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley's green belt environment and village nature must be preserved. Infrastructure /roads will not support 700+ homes.			903
495562	Mr MJ Banting		<a href="#">CSO1513</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
495625	Mr Michael McMath		<a href="#">CSO1540</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
360714	Carol Morgan		<a href="#">CSO2025</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
361111	Mr Raymond Brown		<a href="#">CSO2076</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
484088	Mr David Price		<a href="#">CSO2527</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		If correct guidelines and environmental studies for wildlife etc are conducted along with consultation on all aspects of development then they could proceed with developments but scale in relation to services and infrastructure for these developments is completely out of balance. The relatively short open period for consultation on a document that has clearly been developed over quite some time is a concern in order to formulate a serious plan of objections. The descriptions of local infrastructure	A period of FULL public consultation should be entered into and the council should provide a clearer information pack to local councils and remove the fear that everyone has that this is a done deal and residents will not be listened to,.		903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and improvements has been met with much derision along with the continued failure to upgrade the supportive infrastructure required. This is not surprising with the consultants being in Weybridge and clearly has only visited the area briefly. Additionally I note that the environmental impact has been glibbed over as the Bat, Badger, Deer, Slow worm and newt populations have risen considerably. Living on Eastworth itself I cannot see how the council proposes to make the road safe to use as they are narrow and protected by houses, nor is there room for the required pedestrian walkways.			
491465	Mr John Dinsdale		<a href="#">CSO1306</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My main concern and objection to sites FWP 3, 4 and 5 is their close proximity to Bournemouth airport and the industrial estates thereon. The infrastructure is already inadequate and I have huge reservations as to how it could be improved.			903
494596	Mr Sperling		<a href="#">CSO1324</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
494598	Mrs Jean Pedley		<a href="#">CSO1326</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It will be imperative to get the infrastructure correct if any of the above materialise.			903
494600	Audrey Russell		<a href="#">CSO1333</a>	Option FWP 3		General Comment	I feel unable to give my support to any of the proposed housing locations in West Parley until the road infrastructure has been vastly improved. Currently the area around the junction at Parley Cross and the Christchurch Road is very congested			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and dangerous to negotiate. I suggest that sites for schools and other necessary services should be identified at this planning stage. I am also aware that a proposed development, close to the Coppins site, has been turned down in the past due to its close proximity to the site of 'Nature Conservation Interest' at Poor Common.			
494604	Mr John Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO1345</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 would lead to large increases of traffic at Parley Cross and on New Road, which are already heavily congested. These developments would ruin the semi-rural character of the area and should not be allowed on green belt land.			903
494623	S and R Perkins		<a href="#">CSO1341</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Developing east of New Road areas FWP 3, 4 and 5 will create a small town which has little or no infrastructure to support a development of this size. There is no need for additional shops or commercial development as there are empty shops in Ferndown centre and we have industrial units in Ferndown and at Hurn Airport. No developer would be willing to contribute the amount of money required to create a satisfactory road scheme especially at Parley Crossroads which would have to withstand the brunt of any further development within the area.			903
494726	M J Martin		<a href="#">CSO1356</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley's green environment would be ruined by developing FWP 3, 4 and 5. The road system around Parley Cross is already under huge			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							pressure and cannot cope with further large scale housing development, nor are medical or school provisions adequate.			
494736	Mr S Cox		<a href="#">CSO1363</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
494741	Sybil Eastham		<a href="#">CSO1367</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
495200	Mr and Mrs J M B Webber		<a href="#">CSO1379</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Canford Bottom Roundabout needs urgent improvements. A31 / Wimborne Road - pressures on these roads E / W. Reduction in industrial traffic through Ferndown.			903
495225	L Higgins		<a href="#">CSO1383</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have chosen the above options as they will minimise the flow of traffic onto the existing roads by being in diverse positions. It may help the current road network which is a disaster.			903
495269	Mr E Wigman		<a href="#">CSO1392</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We understand that young people require some housing but with the already overcrowded road systems and lack of bus services a lot of improvements need to be made. A circular bus service to cover Bournemouth, Christchurch hospitals and the airport would be advantageous to older people who can no longer drive. We would also like West Parley to remain a "village" and not joined to Bournemouth			903
495348	Mr and Mrs RJ		<a href="#">CSO1403</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Coppins already industrial ie brown site. All other green land.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Veal									
495369	S W Wood		<a href="#">CSO1409</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		45 million has just been invested in the new airport terminal - in itself increasing aircraft noise and extra road congestion in and around West Parley. To consider building 700 new homes on Green Belt land will mean more road congestion, pollution and noise. This is unfair to the residents of West Parley. I realise we need more homes and would support FWP4 - we would also need adequate schooling, medical care and transport.			903
495376	AG Poole		<a href="#">CSO1416</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too many houses. Green belt being eroded. Transport and roads appalling. West Parley will lose its rural status.			903
495378	A'Barrow/Wade		<a href="#">CSO1418</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We choose to move to West Parley because of its natural open spaces. Since we've been here Parley Common has already been an area that's been ruined. Not to mention the extra traffic these extra houses will bring to the area. This is a major concern, especially when the emergency services need to get through Parley lights or Longham. Its grid lock now. What will it be like with all these extra houses? Don't build new, re-build/regenerate old.			903
495429	L Mansbridge		<a href="#">CSO1426</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Both FWP1 and 2 would put too much pressure on Poor Common which is busy enough. FWP 1,2,3,4 and 5 would increase traffic on what is already struggling with current vehicular use.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495437	Mr Andrew Scott		<a href="#">CSO1434</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
495446	Nicola Anne Lowe		<a href="#">CSO1440</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
495453	Mr R Walden		<a href="#">CSO1447</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		In our opinion FWP 3 and FWP 5 are only suitable for limited housing development. Obvious constraints include (a) distance from employment (eg Ferndown Industrial areas) (b) distance from main schools, medical centre and a viable shopping area. Proximity to flood plain and flight path should have eliminated these options. Re-development of Parley Cross is unlikely to be funded under current economic circumstances. At present the elderly residents do not commute to work or school - a positive factor!			903
495460	Steve Scamell		<a href="#">CSO1453</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Before building work commences, road infrastructure should take place. New Road, Christchurch Road are congested as it is!! Also - where are these new people going to work??			903
495485	Mrs Jane-Louise Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1463</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley needs younger people but building in excess of 800 houses is not the answer. Also Parley lights are busy enough without more congestion from new houses. I feel flats need to stop being built for the elderly. This encourages young people away and Ferndown struggles to cater to the younger, middle aged people.			903
495493	MJ McManus		<a href="#">CSO1470</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495662	M Abbott		<a href="#">CSO1557</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
495680	J M Brown		<a href="#">CSO1762</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The attractiveness of West Parley arises by the number of green spaces, and rural feel of the area. This is so apparent when one leaves the Bournemouth conurbation after Redhill, and enters the openness of West Parley.			903
495971	T A Reith		<a href="#">CSO2206</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
496060	Mr C and Mrs K Ward		<a href="#">CSO1597</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		My concerns are for the shortage of facilities and infrastructure if any of these proposals are accepted. More traffic, people who will need more nursery provision, more schools more teenage facilities, doctors, health provision for the elderly. What percentage of these houses will be really affordable to local people? Please keep West Parley and surrounding areas pleasant to live in, by limiting the density of all of these options.			903
496188	Mr RE Vogel		<a href="#">CSO1620</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
496388	Mrs J Pinnington		<a href="#">CSO1658</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My concern is the increased traffic congestion at Parley crossroads. Also the number of houses proposed would mean that families with children would mean more school places would have to be provided. How could the hospitals and doctors surgeries be able to cope? It would be obvious that			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							this should be considered. The point about the green belt boundary being amended - think of the future-soon it will mean we would have no places to walk or enjoy!			
496439	Mr and Mrs Gordon Third		<a href="#">CSO1669</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Whether improvements are made to the road system or not, West Parley is unable to cope with any increase in traffic. Support services, e.g., doctors, dentists, and schools, public transport would not be able to cope with the increased population in the area. Also, semi-rural area, which is why people chose to live here. The green belt must not be used for housing. It is essential for this to be kept for leisure use, wildlife. Too many animal species are dying out because their habitats are being destroyed.			903
496479	Charlotte Dixon		<a href="#">CSO1709</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496564	JH Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO1753</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
496575	Mrs Gillian Sewell		<a href="#">CSO1763</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ancient tracks and fields approaching ancient site should be conserved as valuable green belt for future generations. Green corridor between Bournemouth and Ferndown valued by local population and will be by others to come. There are other less fragile and ancient areas. This area is 'undervalued' at present, but appreciated by many. Flight path, congestion of local traffic. Water drainage problems, already water problems in area.	Land should remain undeveloped for this green corridor creates a 'village feel' to West Parley and Ferndown rather than becoming part of Bournemouth/Christchurch conurbation. We do not need designated 'open space' but natural fields etc.		903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							This is the worst option.			
496588	Mr and Mrs Richardson		<a href="#">CSO1779</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496597	Mr Colin Sewell		<a href="#">CSO1784</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Green belt land which should be preserved for posterity. All fields near river and Castle Rings should be conserved as a Green Belt/green corridor providing definition between Bournemouth and West Parley etc. Provides footpaths to access walks, views of Isle of Wight etc. 'Breathing space'. Also adds to congestion on roads in area etc. Fields are already visual open space.	Do not build on this site. This is the worst option.		903
496612	Mr and Mrs JP Lovell		<a href="#">CSO1804</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496661	Mr Isger		<a href="#">CSO1824</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496704	Mr Davies		<a href="#">CSO1839</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Inadequate medical facilities and schools, existing road system clearly under pressure. Nowhere for youths except to congregate outside local shops.			903
496708	Mr and Mrs Z M Radwanski		<a href="#">CSO1850</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496747	K W Walker		<a href="#">CSO1856</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496749	Mr J S Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1862</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Submitting readily to the pressure of 'in-migration' is paying the Danegeld. Control of population movements is not			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>an option in our society but it is inescapable that as more housing is made available more will be required. Finding sites for affordable housing and other housing is commendable but only the price mechanism (upper limit), other economic/market factors and sensible but rigid allocation criteria for affordable housing can ensure the desires result (accusations of social engineering can then be ignored). Parley Cross is already a nightmare and upwards of 700 new houses will aggravate what is, by any definition, urban blight. Just plonking down large numbers of houses without imaginative, long term, commercial, highways, and services developments/solutions will squeeze existing residential areas and can only confirm Parley Cross (specifically the roads and shopping) as a deeply unattractive, traffic bound, nasty, inaccessible, dangerous and tawdry trading site. The Ferndown shopping centre and Parley Cross, both of which are glorified, fragmented traffic conduits, reflect laissez-faire evolution rather than the more considered planning apparent in most of the residential areas. Expediency will generate temporary solutions. Time to stand back and ask questions about 'quality of life', future generations and the like.</p>			
496751	A J Leggatt		<a href="#">CSO1868</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The Green Belt was designated for a purpose - to stop the sprawl of housing from one community to another. This still stands and should be upheld. I			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							have no objection to small developments but not to large estates which would spoil the village character of Parley. People who live here obviously want to live in a village rather than a sprawling town.			
496760	N K M Stephens		<a href="#">CSO1874</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It is noted a new Core Strategy Plan is required but feel there is and will be insufficient infrastructure and facilities to support a large development which would completely alter the character of the neighbourhood. Also development east of New Road would be under the flight path of the airport as aircraft do not always fly over the water meadows adjacent to New Road Bridge.			903
496764	Mr P S Tripp		<a href="#">CSO1880</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I feel the road system in West Parley is already at maximum usage. There are many occasions when it takes 10 - 15 minutes to reach the traffic lights at Parley Cross from Winnards Close. Before any further development takes place, we need a dual carriageway directly linking W Parley lights, with the A338 Spur Road.			903
496785	Mrs S Lovelock		<a href="#">CSO1886</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do enjoy living in the present West Parley environment but I also appreciate affordable housing is needed. Improved infrastructure of transport (all forms) should definitely be in place first. A doctor's surgery is already badly needed in West Parley. I know little about children these days, but obviously these proposals will mean many more children in the area and needs careful consideration re schools			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							etc.			
496786	Andrew and Lorna Gardner		<a href="#">CSO1892</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No road improvements around Parley Cross can adequately meet the necessary relief of the resultant congestion caused by the added population of FWP3 and 4 and 5.			903
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO2177</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		This Option proposes housing development on land west of Ridgeway, West Parley. This would be a large development of 210 homes and an inappropriate imposition on the green belt in an area adjacent to the historic Iron Age Hill Fort of Dudsbury Rings. The development appears to be on a hill which has high landscape value with views across to the Isle of Wight. Below the hill is River Stour flood plain is which often under water in the winter. In addition to being a risk/hazard to occupants/children from the new development, this could have implications for drainage from the new properties. The road network at Parley, and in particular Parley Cross Roads would not cope with such a large influx of additional vehicular traffic. We consider this to be overdevelopment of Parley.	Remove the Option from the Core Strategy.		903
496958	Mrs J Beech		<a href="#">CSO1933</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
496978	I Holmes		<a href="#">CSO1946</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do not believe that the local infrastructure will ever be updated to reflect the development needs.			903
496987	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO1959</a>	Option	Support					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Marshall			FWP 3						
497022	Mrs J D Newbold		<a href="#">CSO1972</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
497026	Doreen Smith		<a href="#">CSO1992</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		This amount of building will create extra traffic in Ferndown and Parley which already has a lot of road build up in the busy morning and evening travel. Also taking a lot of natural land for our wild life.			903
497038	Mrs H A Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1993</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am very strongly opposed to the development of more houses either at Parley Cross or near it. Christchurch Road is already an extremely busy road, as is the junction at Parley Cross. Even if the junction were re-developed, the strain on the roads would be far too much. I believe that an influx of so many people would also place too much pressure on other local amenities.			903
497051	Tovey		<a href="#">CSO2020</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
497056	Mrs Gillian Miles		<a href="#">CSO2039</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
497089	Mr Frank A Soan		<a href="#">CSO2106</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
497158	B S Newman		<a href="#">CSO2148</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No to Green Belt development - we wish to keep a Green Belt between rural West Parley and Bournemouth.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							That is why we saved up for years to live here. Our existing roads sustain more housing traffic. Unable at the moment to cross safely with dog over Christchurch Road or come out of our residential roads to filter into existing main roads. Schools and medical. Use garden building for small town houses to give affordable homes to young families or people who have not been able to buy ie schemes for 1st time buyers.			
497173	D Dixon		<a href="#">CSO2159</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
497182	Margaret Muir		<a href="#">CSO2174</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Transport issues, school issues, improvements can be promised, but may not work and cause more transport and traffic increase.			903
497184	Mr Hilling		<a href="#">CSO2212</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
497239	Martine Lewis		<a href="#">CSO2253</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
497343	Sharon Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO2277</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
497773	R Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO2480</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
497944	Mrs G Mary Salway		<a href="#">CSO2541</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP 3 & \$ & 5 are all Green Belt land - this is not mentioned in the Core Strategy Plan 'previously expressed community concerns' although frequently and forcibly expressed. This report acknowledges that local residents 'did not want green belt to be released for housing' - what has			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							changed? Green belt boundaries were confirmed in 2002 and there are no proven 'exceptional circumstances' justifying any change, except perhaps the indication that councils will get a lot of extra funding for each new house built in next 6 years!			
360037	Mr Dave BARNES		<a href="#">CSO4049</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	What alternative locations, if any, have been considered? There is mention of heathland development.....where would this be?			903
361041	Mr & Mrs G K HAMPTON		<a href="#">CSO4021</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		We strongly support the provision of affordable housing on all sites and that the two types of housing should be intermingled. We applaud the emphasis on provision of adequate public transport.			903
361170	Mr TR HARVEY		<a href="#">CSO2845</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
498044	Carolyne BANKS		<a href="#">CSO2676</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Transport needs to be sorted out centrally - to get something that works properly - this is the biggest showstopper to all of these schemes - the current roads will not support any more traffic. Use the newer out-of-town developments to extend housing - after all that's what they were created for ie: Verwood, Corfe Mullen, Sturminster Marshall. Don't cram more development into existing residential areas in town. Consider the impact on horse-riders especially in West Parley, where there are a significant number of horses.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Adding more traffic to horses = more accidents. Horse-riders have to use the roads to access the bridleways. Recent increases in traffic already make the situation fraught with danger.			
498047	CD Bradford		<a href="#">CSO2653</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498062	Mr Nick Crawford		<a href="#">CSO2729</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Although I live in Ferndown, I work and travel around a lot in the local area, so feel I can voice my opinion on developments around the area.			903
498084	Mr P HARTLEY		<a href="#">CSO2755</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
498125	Mr and Mrs P DASHWOOD		<a href="#">CSO2795</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498169	Mrs D WEAVER		<a href="#">CSO2899</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498184	Mrs Angela BARKER		<a href="#">CSO2926</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I value West Parley's Green Belt in particular as this is where I live - I chose to move here for its green spaces, quiet roads and somewhere for my children to grow up relatively peacefully - I do not want to find myself living on the outskirts effectively of Bournemouth. West Parley is semi-rural and that's how I would like it to stay, unique and appealing. Not every area needs affordable housing - if a lot of people are retired and/or own their own homes, does it matter?			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Leave West Parley as it is - it works ok, so don't fix it.			
498267	B G Northwood		<a href="#">CSO2989</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
498268	Mr and Mrs R Cullen		<a href="#">CSO3007</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498270	Mr and Mrs P Herrington		<a href="#">CSO3014</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Due to current infrastructure around the airport, industrial estates and Christchurch Road, additional transport would cause extreme traffic problems and congestion as well as this there is the issue of Parley village merging with the Bournemouth conurbation. Surely it would be more beneficial to home owners to increase development within an already suburban area with amenities.			903
498271	Mandy CHEESEMAN		<a href="#">CSO3057</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498274	P Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO3034</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion	This 'Ferndown and West Parley Options' document is very weighted to housing in West Parley. Most of these options will create significant additional traffic volumes at Parley Cross, which already struggles with the existing volumes.			903
498281	D R and M E Fitzhenry		<a href="#">CSO3050</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		None. Until the total infrastructure (doctors, schools and all other amenities) have been totally gone in to, before any decisions are made.			903
498335	Mr and Mrs Lester		<a href="#">CSO3212</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		14 lane traffic lights through tiny village centre. West Parley has one of the busiest sets of traffic lights on the			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>south coast. This cannot and does not cope at all now. The field at traffic lights is an emergency landing strip for airport.</p> <p>The field marked FW3 is right next to Saxon rings in Doomsday Book, would the Council build over all our heritage as well as Green Belt. Strongly object. FW5 floods now regularly so how can you consider building on that. FW1 is proposed off road that can't cope with its traffic already.</p>			
498338	Joan Furniss		<a href="#">CSO3084</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The extra traffic on our roads is not viable.			903
498341	Ronald B Diggle		<a href="#">CSO3095</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
498359	Brian Miles CBE		<a href="#">CSO3110</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I fully recognise that a 'Nimby' response needs to be resisted! Nevertheless I really do wonder whether development on this scale is justified in such a threatened Green Belt environment. Even with improvements, the effect on the road system would be potentially damaging and the increase of affordable housing particularly in terms of school and medical facilities would change the character of West Parley and its environment in a substantial and damaging way.</p>			903
498446	W A Murphy		<a href="#">CSO3213</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
498455	Mrs Rosemary Dark		<a href="#">CSO3229</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498470	Mr Mike Baynham		<a href="#">CSO3244</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		One of the main justifications seems to be houses for our children. If this policy wins then a cycle of never ending development starts because a generation later more houses are needed for their children etc.			903
498495	Mr John Williams		<a href="#">CSO3275</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
498540	R Crawford		<a href="#">CSO3294</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The road around West Parley and Ferndown are congested as it is. Any further building will contribute to this. West Parley needs to be kept as Green Belt wherever possible as land everywhere is being gobbled up for building.			903
498548	Messrs Sewell		<a href="#">CSO3354</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The existing Green Belt should be preserved for future generations. Any development near Parley Crossroads will mean further traffic, congestion, pedestrian problems, as well as loss of the green corridor defining Ferndown area as opposed to becoming a suburb of Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch.			903
498555	Mrs Della Edwards		<a href="#">CSO3315</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		This site was rejected by the public and EDDC when it was proposed by the RSS. Now EDDC planners seek to try to sell it again!. Reasons as always.. Green belt , traffic , flood plain, airport, loss of identity to village of West Parley, lack of amenities, ancient monument, heathland, urban sprawl etc. Alan Breakwell former CEO stated in a public meeting at EDDC that you			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							needed to build these houses because the council needed the money! Stop these consultations, listen to what the public has said time and time again and sort your finances out without crucifying the people who live here.			
498692	Alwyn Stavert		<a href="#">CSO3360</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498699	Mr and Mrs P J Edkins		<a href="#">CSO3365</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have travelled on the airport road every work day almost for over 27 years. It has never significantly improved. Any development in Parley (which would add at least 1,000 cars to the road) could make the road infrastructure jammed and cause chaos to the area.			903
498706	Darren Schmidt		<a href="#">CSO3371</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
498711	Mr Tam Chant		<a href="#">CSO3389</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
498775	Mrs P L Buckler		<a href="#">CSO3396</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I object very strongly to having green belt land built on - I moved to this area many years ago because I felt it was semi-rural. I like to see horses and cattle grazing - if I wanted to live in town I'd have bought in Bournemouth.			903
498818	Mr R White		<a href="#">CSO3401</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too close to national monument. Land is designated Green Belt land. An influx of this amount of housing will turn the area into a low cost estate. The number of properties will increase to approx 500. There is not sufficient infrastructure to support this number.			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Parley Cross has always been a retirement area. If the proposed increases in population are approved the area will change dramatically, reducing the value of the homes. If approved how will the Council compensate existing home owners for loss of valuation.</p> <p>98% of residents of West Parley have already stated they do not want any development of the green fields and the Council voted in agreement.</p> <p>Ferndown and West Parley Housing leaflet</p> <p>There is not the infrastructure, schools or facilities in the local area that can support an influx of approximately 2000 proposed people into the area. The council has already voted these proposals out.</p>			
498828	Paul Brady		<a href="#">CSO3411</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>It appears that 710 out of 840 houses are to be built within a couple of minutes' walk of Parley Cross. This is too many new homes concentrated in a small area.</p>			903
498871	Mrs Laura Jean HEASLIP		<a href="#">CSO3425</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Option FWP 3 is particularly objectionable.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The site has a high landscape value.</li> <li>2. The site has strong links with West Parley, re history and identity.</li> <li>3. Stour Valley Way passes through the site; - this is an important Dorset County walking trail.</li> <li>4. The ancient monument and the Guides Camp would surely be vandalized with so many families so close.</li> <li>5. The extra traffic would be an</li> </ol>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							intolerable load on Parley Cross.			
498996	M E CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO3454</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
498999	Mrs M Weller		<a href="#">CSO3443</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
499019	William Legg		<a href="#">CSO3461</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		1) Additional development would in the main be a dormitory for Bournemouth/Poole workers. 2) Extensive wildlife would be affected - including deer and buzzards and badgers. 3) Loss of identity and community.			903
499035	John Pelang		<a href="#">CSO3473</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There is little work in the area and housing is going to increase traffic. Roads can't cope now at Parley Cross (doctors, dentists, schools) will all be under more pressure with the cut backs this is all not going to work.			903
499040	Mr K Grimshaw		<a href="#">CSO3484</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My concern is due to traffic build up on New Road, I don't consider it suitable for the extra traffic that these proposed houses will mean. Even now from 7.15 until after 9am traffic is stationary outside my home waiting to clear Parley Cross traffic lights situated some half mile distant. From F and WP leaflet- Most of these sites are supposed to be green belt and are also under flight path of planes from Hurn either taking off or landing thus at a dangerous stage. Also New Road is unsuitable for an increase in traffic.			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
499049	Mr and Mrs Worsfold		<a href="#">CSO3494</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley can't cope with any more traffic; it is at breaking point now, especially when they shut the spur road, if there is an accident. We have enough people living here and also the schools would not be able to cope with the extra children.			903
499065	David Stacey		<a href="#">CSO3504</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
499231	Mrs A Lathbury		<a href="#">CSO3526</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
499236	J PIPE		<a href="#">CSO3546</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
499245	Mr and Mrs N BUTLER		<a href="#">CSO3579</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
499248	H Hedges		<a href="#">CSO3593</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The current infrastructure is inadequate for the existing population: roads, sewage, drainage etc. Any housing development in West Parley would be a disaster and politicians, both local and national will find out at the ballot box that the vast majority of voters object to and reject these plans. The growth and proximity of the airport is in itself a reason to abandon any plans for housing development.			903
360098	Mr John L ROBINSON		<a href="#">CSO4604</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Would prefer to see fewer homes on this site. Would prefer them to be in the perimeter of site ie backing onto Ridgeway and adjacent to Christchurch Road			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360190	Mr John CULLEN	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO4280</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
360685	Mr M.P HOSE		<a href="#">CSO4636</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
361049	Mr Brian ROPER		<a href="#">CSO4494</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Bring forward submissions and planning, create employment, low cost housing with assistance for young people.			903
361106	Mr and Mrs Robin and Janet WALL		<a href="#">CSO3675</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
475537	Mrs Julie Bird		<a href="#">CSO4094</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have no objection to small pockets of development as this will not change the infrastructure of West Parley. Building large areas of housing will mean that the roads, already not coping with the traffic, and schools, already full, cannot accommodate these changes.			903
491252	Margaret Wareham		<a href="#">CSO3791</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I object to green belt being built on. We do not need more housing in West Parley. The roads are too busy, and we wish to keep our green fields, plus there is no work in the area for more families and the public transport is not good and we do not need more shops. Also Response form			903
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO4192</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		1- Why build on green belt, what has now changed? Many brown and infill sites, council policy not permitting this. Is it government promise of funding			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>from new houses?                      2 - Extreme traffic congestion, more houses and road improvements in area will not help as mainly service industry post, not key posts. Many people have to travel daily outside of the area for work. What will change and where are the key posts available in the whole area? Will more industry and commerce come into whole area?                      3- Considerable housing stock on market and rented houses available, These should be utilised as other countries before covering green belt.                      4 - When green belt lost West Parley adjoins Bournemouth no need for duplicate council posts or Parish Council.</p>			
499261	Mrs Norma JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO3613</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I have no objection to the limited use of green belt land for development, as long as there are no water/flooding issues, and there are enough green spaces in any development for children's play areas.			903
499290	Mrs Lisa TURNBULL		<a href="#">CSO3647</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
499384	Mr A I ROSE		<a href="#">CSO3719</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		The centre of activity is the main crossroads. Develop housing as near as possible to avoid more travel.			903
499560	Mr and Mrs Tickner		<a href="#">CSO3836</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		We trust you are aware of the traffic density between the Ferndown and West Parley traffic lights on New Road, which has sharp bends and difficult access. We were wondering if the island by the Porsche Centre would			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							create better controlled traffic if turned into a roundabout.			
499681	Paul Rogers		<a href="#">CSO3873</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
499732	Mr and Mrs A J James		<a href="#">CSO3891</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Having lived in West Parley for only 4 years I cannot imagine how anyone with knowledge of this area's roads would consider adding to its congestion! Housing of this magnitude would make this area impossible to get from A to B!			903
499745	Mr M C Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO3900</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
499753	Mrs S E Stringer		<a href="#">CSO3907</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The area is already congested with very heavy traffic - particularly during holiday times. There must be additional superstructure and more road improvements. A long awaited happening.			903
499761	Mrs M Q Saunders		<a href="#">CSO3915</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No. It is not practical.			903
499772	Julia Williams		<a href="#">CSO3931</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We object to the prospect of having West Parley built on and used as a public dumping site for voluminous housing. This area has been kept as a wonderful place to live. Why should we put up with this imposition? We have the incinerator, the gravel pits, eco composting. The roads are a nightmare at Parley Cross morning and evening. Why not use the area alongside the A31 it has room for			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic and the room to deal with extra capacity - Parley does not.			
499788	Mr and Mrs Halford		<a href="#">CSO3949</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We object particularly and most strongly to areas FWP4 and 5 in addition object to the ludicrous quality of housing to these areas close by to what is already one of the busiest junctions in the country. What 'brain box' would even contemplate such development in that area given the already heavy traffic flow at this junction/crossroads? The West Parley parish area and Ferndown area could not possibly support the needs of what could be up to 500 new homes on FWp 4 and 5 the proposal is in our view absolutely crazy! We attach a high value to the green belt and are concerned that the medical and school provision would not be adequate for additional houses.			903
499810	Mrs A Clark		<a href="#">CSO3958</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Class sizes in local schools are a big concern. Class sizes are far too big already and therefore any increase in the local population will only make that worse. Increased traffic volumes a concern, particularly at Parley Crossroads.			903
499873	Mr Graham Holt		<a href="#">CSO3987</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
499900	D A Gwillam		<a href="#">CSO3981</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
500060	Mr Stuart		<a href="#">CSO4077</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	PIDDOCK									
500070	Mr J W ELCOCK		<a href="#">CSO4110</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
500077	Peter and Rose Olivo		<a href="#">CSO4115</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500082	Mr S Harding		<a href="#">CSO4123</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The roads would not be able to cope with the extra traffic this would cause. The road we live on is extremely busy at all times of the day already. We are a young couple who made a decision to move to a semi-rural area. Just because most properties are bungalows doesn't mean only old people want to live here.			903
500115	Mrs Sylvia McIntyre		<a href="#">CSO4139</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The road structure through Christchurch Road would be untenable if such development were to take place. The road traffic is already grid locked at certain times of day and buses etc. would only add to this problem. As Coppins is only a small development and Holmwood House traffic can go via other roads the impact would be more negligible. We need to keep some of our green areas for posterity. What about the Dormy Hotel development. This is an eyesore and has been for ages. Why not use this to contribute to the housing requirements.			903
500137	Mrs Shelagh Hickinbottom		<a href="#">CSO4148</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It is going to spoil the Green Belt areas. More traffic which will cause a lot of hassle on roads, already too much.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500143	Mr G Wright		<a href="#">CSO4157</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I would prefer no building but as I have a house and love (?) the area I cannot vote for somebody if housing is needed not to have the opportunity.			903
500204	Mr and Mrs S T and J P Terrill		<a href="#">CSO4170</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP 1, 2 3, 4 and 5 all unsuitable for housing development. Land to the east of Trickett's Cross should be used instead. There is too much traffic already going through West Parley; more houses will make traffic worse. FWP 3, 4 and 5 act as flood plains and building there would cause flooding elsewhere. Please leave West Parley alone! Put houses where their owners' cars will not cause congestion; along the Ringwood Road, east of Ferndown.			903
500222	S Hartley		<a href="#">CSO4190</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500261	Mrs Sharp		<a href="#">CSO4199</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Inadequate infrastructure. Traffic congestion already - don't need any more. Don't believe that sufficient investment will be made to alleviate these problems.			903
500307	Mrs J Wolverson		<a href="#">CSO4205</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500350	Mr P H BARTLETT		<a href="#">CSO4221</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
500360	Mr K Nippard		<a href="#">CSO4253</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500361	Mr and Miss N and A		<a href="#">CSO4262</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Middleton and Turner									
500391	Ms Jennifer Mogg		<a href="#">CSO4337</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There should be NO building on Green Belt land. If the Councillors go against the wishes of the majority of West Parley people, my views are: FWP1 - Max 50 homes FWP2 - Max 35 homes FWP3 - Max 100 homes FWP4 - Max 100 homes but no community centre or shops, we already have a sports club which could be used. MOST IMPORTANT - Absolutely NO to 40 - 50% social / low cost housing. No more than 10% is ACCEPTABLE.			903
500427	Mrs D J LYONS		<a href="#">CSO4312</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
500558	A Baker		<a href="#">CSO4357</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
500580	John and Linda Russell		<a href="#">CSO4384</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Small developments only. We value the green space and traffic problems which are already bad at rush hours will only get worse.			903
500592	Mr and Mrs Ash		<a href="#">CSO4374</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The building of homes at Parley Cross would erode the existing green belt and the existing roads, i.e. New Road and Christchurch Road could not take the extra volume of traffic up to 800 extra cars. Regarding FWP 3, 4 & 5.			903
500622	Mr and Mrs M Simpson		<a href="#">CSO4391</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Having resided in West Parley for the past 25 years, we have noticed the dramatic increase on the amount of			903



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic, using New Road and Christchurch Road. This is almost anything of day leading to queues in all directions. Will the council only be happy when the whole area comes to a gridlock, which I might add already happens, when there's road works and accidents on the Bournemouth Spur Road. West Parley was a pleasant area 25 years ago, but with the already massive building of houses and flats in the surrounding area, has made it a travelling nightmare.			
500630	S R and J V Jones		<a href="#">CSO4396</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The New Road will not take large developments at FWP3, 4 and 5. A dual carriageway and a new bridge over the river Stour will be necessary prior to those schemes. (but not provided) Look at A347 and A348 - they are both low grade roads frequently choked up and will come to gridlock in the near future, The Dormy site and also West Moors schemes will also load onto those roads. Loss of Green Belt would be tragic.			903
500646	Mr and Mrs L A Searle		<a href="#">CSO4402</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I live on the east side of Ridgeway Lane, the back of my bungalow facing west onto the steep hill which at the moment is home to several horses. In the autumn and winter rains Ridgeway Lane turns into what can only be described as a small river from water draining off the hill. This in turn causes a flood in the field on the left hand side of my property. My main concern is if they were to build houses on the hill, rain water would drain off in greater quantities			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>due to there being less drainage as the ground would not be able to soak up water and cause serious flooding not only of my property but the surrounding area (shown in a photograph attached to the letter). Your argument that the surrounding area is 'imbalanced' due to there being an older population is quite ridiculous. The area has an increasing older population for a reason: to live in a peaceful and quiet environment, with beautiful open areas of fields and greenbelt, not to live next to yet another housing estate.</p> <p>If there is an imbalance of young people in an area, is there a concerted effort to move older people into that area? No.</p> <p>People moved to the site at West Parley because of its attractive area and surroundings, not for 'affordable housing'. The area is not easily commutable to town centres and the road infrastructure struggles already. No amount of traffic lights or roundabouts is going to ease the problem and should be left as it is for the foreseeable future.</p>			
500660	Andrea Thomson		<a href="#">CSO4407</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500672	Mrs P E Budding		<a href="#">CSO4413</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500679	D Saunders		<a href="#">CSO4419</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500680	Mr and Mrs M Maybury		<a href="#">CSO4424</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic concerns if development near Parley Cross. It can take 10 minutes to get out of our close to get to traffic lights.			903
500682	Peter Bird		<a href="#">CSO4430</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley is a small village and surrounded by Green Belt. Adding large numbers of houses will mean the status of village will be lost. The roads, schools cannot cope with large volumes of new houses,			903
500685	Mrs E Hedges		<a href="#">CSO4435</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I would rather that there was no more development of our area, especially West Parley.			903
500694	Mr and Mrs J R MANSBRIDGE		<a href="#">CSO4457</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Homes and jobs increase movement of people. Therefore the roads must be able to cater for an increase in use. Public transport or company coaches to places of work - like J P Morgan at Littledown. Canford Bottom needs a FLYOVER - nothing less. Think of the flyover at Ringwood and what a bottleneck that was years ago. With a lot more homes in and around West Parley something should be done to alleviate traffic going down New Road. A second road and an additional bridge is the answer there.			903
500697	Mr Thomas SMITH		<a href="#">CSO4481</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My objections are based on the infrastructure being unable to support the extra traffic etc. Plus areas that are liable to flooding. Please note this East Dorset News was given to me by a friend and NOT delivered.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500706	R & J Jeans		<a href="#">CSO4525</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
500720	Mrs VALLIER		<a href="#">CSO4530</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500731	Mr Tony Brown		<a href="#">CSO4547</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The whole area is far too congested and the services, doctors and infrastructure cannot handle the additional population.			903
500746	Mrs J Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4567</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		7 - 10 households equal 1400 cars - ludicrous numbers. Also every 16 year old needs their transport. Traffic queues already stretch past Dudsbury Gardens and south of New Road to way past the corner garage after Ebor Close north at rush hours. The numbers are horrific ie consequences why can't you have a single road of houses at any of these sites? Why not all affordable houses? Look at the map maybe a new community at PC9,PC8 and PC4 these areas are suitable for starting a whole new planned community area and the same size (3,4 &5 ground area) approx 23 hectares and PC4 = 20 hectares. Room and uncluttered not a building nightmare for the rest of Parley and Ferndown.			903
500748	Mrs Lauren MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO4589</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
500751	Mr Christopher Halford		<a href="#">CSO4576</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Protect the green belt as the Government and Council had pledged to do, by using Brown Belt land and infill to build small development of			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							affordable homes. No need for large high density developments containing very few affordable homes. The green belt is precious and should be protected not used to fuel developer's greed.			
500766	Mr Brian Raine		<a href="#">CSO4623</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500786	Mrs Janet Toomer		<a href="#">CSO4644</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I object to FWP 3, 4 and 5 because the large amount of homes being built which will change the core strategy of the area by too big an impact. I think little by little is best.			903
500802	J Hayward		<a href="#">CSO4683</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500810	Mrs Elizabeth Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO4671</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The traffic on New Road never stops and there are frequent long queues. Any development in the area will totally clog up Parley Cross. The rural feel south of Parley Cross will disappear and become a conurbation of Ferndown.			903
500817	Mr L Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4692</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Re use or develop existing empty houses. Each house has 2-3 cars and there is too much congestion and we do not need more roads or by passes. There are no jobs in this area, build houses where there is work ie Bournemouth and Poole not our Green Belt land.			903
500818	Mr J		<a href="#">CSO4688</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		GREEN BELT LAND MUST BE PROTECTED; otherwise what is the			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	LADD						point of having 'Green Belt'? Please listen to public opinion and don't just say 'we will listen and then disregard it'. I expect this will happen anyway.			
500903	Mr and Mrs S and R Harris		<a href="#">CSO4782</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
500906	Mr and Mrs Scholes		<a href="#">CSO4751</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Leave West Parley as it is. It needs Green Belt to keep it separate from Bournemouth. Traffic congestion especially at peak times is already bad in West Parley.			903
500913	Mrs M STARK		<a href="#">CSO4797</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic at Parley cannot cope with any more vehicles. Also drains and infrastructure cannot cope. Make lorries use the Ferndown Bypass and not Longham Bridge.			903
500919	V Thomas		<a href="#">CSO4761</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
500921	Emily Collins		<a href="#">CSO4768</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The road system is already over - loaded. The Green Belt is a precious resource and one that must be protected.			903
500933	Mr Michael Matthews		<a href="#">CSO4777</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Keep West Parley Green!			903
501000	Mr S W J Smith		<a href="#">CSO4814</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Extremely unhappy with the proposed developments around the Ferndown and Parley areas. They will increase the pressure on the infrastructure even more. The roads are terribly congested at peak times even when the traffic is			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>flowing well. I have noticed that, when there is an accident or other reason for a hold up there can be a serious knock-on effect (eg the closing of the road around Hurn Airport). I am very sceptical about the authority improving the infrastructure - we have heard a lot of talk and seen no real action to provide a bypass for Ferndown. In the present financial climate, it will never happen. Therefore I object to further housing development</p>			
501006	Mr and Mrs J Horsley		<a href="#">CSO4821</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>We just feel that the traffic and facilities are such that to have more housing in this area would cause complete grid lock. Our schools and local doctors would be unable to cope.</p>			903
501008	Mrs P Norrie		<a href="#">CSO4817</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Increase in local traffic. Concreting over green field areas could cause further water logging on fields, near bridge and flooding. Need for felling of mature trees.</p>			903
501012	Louise ARNOLD		<a href="#">CSO4868</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
359895	Mr Jeff REECE		<a href="#">CSO6052</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Road Infrastructure needs to be tackled before any development takes place.</p>			903
360060	Mr G.M EDWARDS		<a href="#">CSO5426</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Consideration for infrastructure including: Green Belt, projected traffic flow, doctors, schools, paying transport, floods, sewage, gas, electricity, water, welfare facility. Protection of current rate payers against subsidies. Consideration of matters residents may consider non</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							PC.			
475517	Mrs Sarah Collins		<a href="#">CSO6101</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>This is our green belt land. Talk of green infrastructure when in reality green belt will be lost never to be regained.</p> <p>Traffic at Parley Cross roads and past the airport is already terrible. The thought of adding more residents and cars is unthinkable.</p> <p>Schools and health centres are not ready for big infill.</p>			903
475530	Mr George Phillips		<a href="#">CSO5161</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I don't mind building new homes - people have to live somewhere. BUT - homes should be decent, they should not be built on poor land eg flood plains, road improvements required include a new bridge and a better link between the dual carriageway and Poole.</p> <p>Additional Response received: You need to have a plan on how the infrastructure will be improved to support these housing and commercial developments before we decide on any housing or commercial developments. Infrastructure includes roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, etc. And for roads, I don't mean links from the developments to the existing roads. Everything round here is already overloaded. We need more bridges over the Stour, and a motorway link from Poole to the M27. Motorways north to the M4 and west to the M5 should also be built. These will take the load off the local roads round here. I also think we should only build decent homes. Everyone has to live</p>			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							somewhere, and they should have somewhere decent. It's the cost of land that drives up the cost of accommodation, and building land is expensive because it's in short supply.			
501014	Mr J Morris		<a href="#">CSO4837</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I would think it would be sensible for less housing not more. The road system is obviously overloaded quite often I cannot get access to New Road. All I can hope for is if the nightmare goes ahead it takes as long as the Ferndown relief road, Poole and Wimborne bypass is taking ie about 20 years.			903
501015	Mr & Mrs W McMillan		<a href="#">CSO4866</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501039	Dr and Mrs Peter Liebling		<a href="#">CSO4873</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic at Parley Cross is already far too great at peak periods. Any new gyratory system will not reduce the volume of traffic, buses and cycle lanes will not really help. The noise and air pollution from the airport will go over most of the proposed development. No infrastructure to support development.			903
501051	Mr Eric Franklin		<a href="#">CSO4902</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The roads at West Parley already have enough traffic without more houses. Hurn airport is expected to get busier which will mean more traffic without extra housing.			903
501071	Mr G		<a href="#">CSO4920</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Please explain how building on these beautiful green sites could provide			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Dingle						valuable green infrastructure away from the heath - Dog walking. Please explain how bus lanes and cycle paths will improve the transport situation when each of these new homes will have at least 2 cars.			
501080	Ms Olivia Collins		<a href="#">CSO4931</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I enjoy the green belt and it is designated to prevent housing spread. I have to leave early to get to school in Bournemouth extra cars will make it worse. Where will all the new children go to school? Road improvements in progress will further disrupt traffic.			903
501107	Mr & Mrs E C Lacey		<a href="#">CSO5013</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501114	John Lee		<a href="#">CSO4968</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501118	Mr Ron Cook		<a href="#">CSO5008</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501123	Mr and Mrs Foster		<a href="#">CSO4992</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Environmental disaster! Delightful village and area to suburban sprawl!			903
501127	Dr Stephen Riley		<a href="#">CSO5026</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The development will massively increase the population and change the character of West Parley. It will become yet another sprawling suburb. This is in a time of recession. The lending necessary for people to buy these houses is not available, therefore demand is highly questionable. Many of these houses			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							will be directly under the taking off / landing paths of aircraft and will suffer from noise pollution.			
501136	Miss M Parker		<a href="#">CSO5042</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501143	Rita Whitfield		<a href="#">CSO5057</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Acute congestion already prevails at Parley Cross and community facilities are already inadequate. In your own words, cut backs are taking affect. Our road is a disgrace.			903
501191	Mr and Mrs Redman		<a href="#">CSO5077</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We find that the traffic is bad having to come from our entrance turning right to go to Longham, in the years we have lived here there has been accidents and we fear that building more houses on Coppins Nursery will make things worse with more traffic on the road.			903
501194	Mrs Colton		<a href="#">CSO5084</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501195	Mr A Skinner		<a href="#">CSO5089</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501201	B H Balinas		<a href="#">CSO5109</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I cannot possibly support Options 3, 4 and 5 since Parley Cross is now clogged with traffic throughout the day. There was a time when there was no congestion at all and only two or three years ago there was only congestion during the rush hour. Options 3, 4, 5 will produce yet more traffic to a road system that is already inadequate. The resultant air pollution affects all residents in the area. It is essential to			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							preserve West Parley's Green Belt.			
501210	Whitehorn		<a href="#">CSO5117</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501234	A and M Grieve		<a href="#">CSO5135</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Options FWP 4 and 5 are the least desirable. Occupants of houses will suffer being under the flight path. Whatever option is chosen transport will be a prime consideration since conditions at Parley Cross are already nearing grid lock at times. Green Belt areas should not be 'nibbled' at.			903
501327	M E Perkins		<a href="#">CSO5176</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501335	Mrs J J Bassett		<a href="#">CSO5188</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am very concerned that there isn't the infrastructure or the services to support any large housing development. I think that the Green Belt should be preserved.			903
501342	George and Ann Alexander		<a href="#">CSO5197</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There is too many people and cars in the area. 'We don't want anymore'.			903
501351	Mr and Mrs R D'Cruze		<a href="#">CSO5225</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501353	Mr and Mrs Ireland		<a href="#">CSO5210</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501362	G P Hough		<a href="#">CSO5244</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		FWP 1, 2, and 3 are suitable/viable and have less impact on the environments and traffic levels etc. 340 homes are more than enough.			903
501364	Mr M Devetta		<a href="#">CSO5858</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501369	Mrs S Hunwicks		<a href="#">CSO5261</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501380	Paul Ashton		<a href="#">CSO5269</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We have just moved to the area, and part of our reason for moving here was the rural aspects in close proximity to our property. To allow the building you are outlining is wrong as it will spoil the area and add too much congestion.			903
501386	Mrs A Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO5276</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501485	Mrs J JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO5292</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501488	Chris LAMB		<a href="#">CSO5325</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501500	Mrs E Chinn		<a href="#">CSO5348</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley is a very old village. Think of all the extra cars, and any other thing they will need. That's the beginning of a concrete jungle! Find somewhere where the residents are not so affected. I live alone and don't want houses up to my back fence - this affects more people than West Parley - who need to travel to work. FWP 4 for sake don't do it!			903
501502	Mr Michael WAREHAM		<a href="#">CSO5372</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have lived in Ridgeway, West Parley for 28 years and there have always been water problems in the RIDGEWAY due to draining of the field FWP 3. So therefore I don't think it is suitable to build houses on as it will cause more water problems.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501506	Janet Cooke		<a href="#">CSO5378</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My greatest concern about the above is that the Parley Cross junction can already barely cope with current traffic levels and is likely to become gridlocked. We need to protect our green belt.			903
501510	Mrs J Swingler		<a href="#">CSO5397</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Roads will not take any more traffic. Doctors surgeries now full. Not enough schools.			903
501520	Mrs Z MERRIFIELD		<a href="#">CSO5443</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501523	Rita Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO5473</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501530	Mr and Mrs J Archer		<a href="#">CSO5448</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		If these building proposals are agreed the loss of character to the area will be fundamental and the reason most of us bought dwellings here. The extra traffic, lack of parking, need for roads etc., does not make these proposals attractive to anyone. The proposed development will swamp West Parley and is grossly over development of the site. Parley Cross is bulging with cars at the lights, to propose more families and cars is insane.			903
501537	Stalley		<a href="#">CSO5534</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic congestion is the greatest problem. Parley Cross and New Road are far too congested at the moment. More traffic will only make matters worse.			903
501538	Mr and Mrs R B MORGAN		<a href="#">CSO5488</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Ferndown and West Parley - The options we have selected are those that would provide additional			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							housing with a lower impact on the main roads through West Parley. Our decision was also influenced by the comments given previously as concerns for the area and the road infrastructure. Any house building in the Parley Cross / New Road area would require major new traffic management and road layout.			
501542	Mr and Mrs STRACHAN		<a href="#">CSO5523</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion	Please do not accept any community centre / halls or leisure centre as a corporate inducement from developers of housing developments. In my experience they hardly ever work and cost a great deal in subsidy for councils each and every year. ie. Verwood Hub; Lenham, Kent; Ditton, Nr Maidstone, Kent. My ref: 10 years as a Parish Councillor in Ditton, Kent.			903
501556	Mr and Mrs VEAL		<a href="#">CSO5549</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501560	Mr Christopher CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO5581</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501563	Mr and Mrs Halls		<a href="#">CSO5564</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		This area is already saturated with traffic and noise. Refuse centres cannot cope with current population. Infrastructure (road) too small.			903
501567	Trevor Openshaw		<a href="#">CSO5603</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have lived in West Parley for over 30 years. This is the umpteenth time that the authorities have attempted to despoil this lovely area we live in. In the past they have stopped, for example, the megastore that was almost inflicted on Parley Cross in			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							sections 4 and 5. Usually there is no allowance made for roads and services, so I don't expect there will be this time. Suggest we let the council sample commuter time at Parley, or hereabouts. Or try to get a doctor's appointment, if they are not increased. Anyway, everyone knows you can't sell a house for a toffee at present, so who is going to buy these phantom properties. I don't feel that there is any demand to go anywhere near justifying this ridiculous plan. It also will have the effect of ruining some local builders. Of course it may be that the houses are to be provided for the thousands of immigrants we have leaked into the population.			
501574	Mr & Mrs B S Nippard		<a href="#">CSO5630</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Re FERNDOWN AND WEST PARLEY OPTIONS</p> <p>The existing road structure, even with improvements cannot support increases in traffic brought about by this and other development. Access to the spur road, access into Bournemouth via New Road and access along Ringwood Road can come to lengthy standstills at most times of the day depending upon circumstances.</p> <p>FWP3 - Building on an exposed and elevated site which adjoins a major EDDC ancient monument should not be proposed.</p> <p>FWP3/4 - Despite the provision of open space, these developments will inevitably be to the detriment of the protected areas at Parley Common.</p> <p>FWP5 - This site partially covers the</p>			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							noise exclusion zone as shown on the previous proposal by the SWRSS. A small portion is also within the 400m heathland envelope.			
501586	Mr D S Gardner		<a href="#">CSO5646</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Road improvements must be sorted out at Parley Cross before any development is agreed. Parley Cross has not had much done to it since World War Two. Traffic as a nightmare if you live here. No more development should take place near Parley Cross itself unless improvements are done.			903
501591	Mr & Mrs A Davies		<a href="#">CSO5672</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Strongly object to any developments in rural areas and "green" areas. There are more than enough cars on the road without bringing more traffic chaos.			903
501593	E J Brockhurst		<a href="#">CSO5664</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501601	P Longland		<a href="#">CSO5682</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Development on this scale is going to make the area's chronic traffic problems much worse. In addition, the whole character of Parley will deteriorate if the Green Belt is built on.			903
501613	Mr and Mrs P Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5709</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do not think any houses should be built at all. We will become an addition to the Bournemouth/Poole conurbation which will take away the personality of West Parley. I also don't think any infrastructure improvement will sort out the traffic congestion problems in the area. 840 houses 2 cars a house!! Chaos!! I am appalled at all of the proposals for West Parley. I am a resident there as you will see. Every day I queue to get			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>through the lights at Parley to get to work. How on earth do you think the roads in this area will cope?                      Additionally what makes this area lovely is that we do still have green fields to drive past. Why are you intent on spoiling this?                      I am happy to pay a premium for my house to live here; my husband and I work extremely hard to pay for it. But prices will not hold if you add all these properties and use the lovely open spaces. I do not work to live in an area where others just roll into each other like in Bournemouth/Winton/Charminster etc. Finally, I work in a school and carry lots of things to and from work each day, no matter how good you make the public transport, I will not be able to use it.</p>			
501616	R J Joyce		<a href="#">CSO5980</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501624	Ben Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5723</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I totally object to any further developments in this West Parley area, especially on Green Belt land. Further housing will see the area overdeveloped and undermine the existing housing. This current infrastructure cannot cope with current demand and cannot be? Further housing will cause further chaos and bottlenecks.</p>			903
501626	Mrs J A Russell		<a href="#">CSO5756</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501636	Mr W Revill		<a href="#">CSO5746</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501694	Mr R BRYAN		<a href="#">CSO5793</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501699	B THOMAS		<a href="#">CSO5820</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501705	Michael Lucas		<a href="#">CSO5838</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I cannot believe that you want to build on green field sites when there are so many brownfield ones! Or is it all to do with cost? FWP 3, 4 and 5 will also produce more likelihood of flooding in Stour valley.			903
501709	Alan Seymour		<a href="#">CSO5857</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501717	D J and J L Cooper		<a href="#">CSO5884</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Development of any new site will put extra strain on an already very congested road system. Any promises to ease the situation will always fall by the wayside!!!			903
501718	Mr D Duckenfield		<a href="#">CSO5875</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501720	Dr and Mrs D HARLOW		<a href="#">CSO5915</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501732	Mrs H.M Ridout		<a href="#">CSO5900</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I strongly believe that this development will destroy the village feel of West Parley and will bring no benefits whatsoever. I am unconvinced that the idea of road improvements will be effective, it will			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							be like living on Canford Heath with all the properties but no reduction in council tax.			
501737	Mr and Mrs Ryan		<a href="#">CSO5914</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley is a local community of which this building of new homes would erode forever. The roads around this area already taking too much traffic and have at times become bottlenecked. Crime is also low around here and all that these building would create is more crime and a sense of not belonging.			903
501738	RJ Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO5902</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
501744	Mr J Drew		<a href="#">CSO5932</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501745	Michael Cook		<a href="#">CSO5944</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Parley Lane congestion and Parley Cross congestion already a serious problem with airport, airport business park, NMSB and general commuter traffic. Extreme redevelopment of road infrastructure from Parley Cross through to A31 and A338 would be necessary to cope with the potential increase in traffic. I do not think this redevelopment will ever fully take place.			903
359908	Mr F.D.A REVILL		<a href="#">CSO6247</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
359927	Mrs Ann BISSEX		<a href="#">CSO6359</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361113	Mr Alan Meade		<a href="#">CSO7188</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I like the rate of affordable housing to private homes. We all know that there is a shortage. Jobs will be created, money brought in, good for all in East Dorset, Christchurch.			903
477822	Ms Susan Rayment		<a href="#">CSO6771</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501753	Mrs J Easterbee		<a href="#">CSO5962</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Whatever is decided there will always be traffic congestion polluting the air. Number of houses proposed is unbelievable adding more traffic and spoiling a pleasant village - No			903
501754	Mrs M J Rossister		<a href="#">CSO5970</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Re Core strategy option 7 (Bournemouth Airport) 7.29 states by 2015 1,900 new full-time jobs. FWP 3, FWP4 and FWP5 could help us to provide a local work force. Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet also			903
501761	Mr D Curtis		<a href="#">CSO6014</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501765	Mrs M.I Smith		<a href="#">CSO5995</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too big an impact. Too much woodland will be impacted.			903
501766	D E Anderton		<a href="#">CSO6002</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501772	Mrs C Wilson		<a href="#">CSO6030</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too much traffic at Parley Cross .Already shops not used except as commercial premises -cars parked but no one in shops so restrictions to be imposed. No doctors or dentists so No houses.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501774	Mrs Chisman		<a href="#">CSO6039</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley and surrounding areas cannot cope with any more traffic on the Christchurch Road, it is blocked most of the day, and we cannot get out of our gates without trouble.			903
501793	Mrs Marian Dingle		<a href="#">CSO6070</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Slotting in small green spaces amongst housing developments cannot enhance the beauty of West Parley which has a country feel because of the fields targeted for development. Get used to the fact that families will always use cars in preference to public transport or cycles.			903
501801	Mrs Ward		<a href="#">CSO6082</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There are enough houses and traffic problems in this area without adding to it.			903
501804	Mr Glyn Davies		<a href="#">CSO6097</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501814	Mr and Mrs C Sorbie		<a href="#">CSO6116</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The identity of West Parley must be preserved and its Green Belt land is crucial to this objective.			903
501867	Mrs I M Marks		<a href="#">CSO6298</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501873	Mr and Mrs Harold A and D Joan Lilley		<a href="#">CSO6237</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		All proposals of this nature have a number of basic needs. One of the most important is infrastructure. Subject to finance being available Canford Bottom Roundabout is to be drastically amended for the Olympic Games. The reference to "Improvements to			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Canford Bottom therefore appear to have been superseded. Since I cannot find any intention to improve the road network all these proposals will only add to our present problems and cannot be supported.			
501876	J Long		<a href="#">CSO6204</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501881	B W Deverill		<a href="#">CSO6276</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501900	Miss Theresa Gale		<a href="#">CSO6266</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
501940	Mr M J Godfrey		<a href="#">CSO6345</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
501953	J Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO6351</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I moved from London to get away from built up areas. I don't want to have to move again. West Parley is a great unspoilt place let's keep it that way.			903
502022	Mr HWR Stevens		<a href="#">CSO6388</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Dorset's villages and small towns should be preserved as such. So called "developers" are only concerned with profits. There'll always be an England? Not when it has been built over and occupied by strangers.			903
502029	Mr J MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO6425</a>	Option FWP 3	Support	General Comment	Quality of materials and road layout is a key requirement to any new house building. More 2 bed homes to give small families a chance at being housed. Houses and roads can be attractive (Look at Poundbury, Nr Dorchester). Enough parking is a must			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							- no 1.25 spaces per plot, plus a couple of visitors bays, will not suffice. Plenty of 'part rent - part buy' should be included to give all young people a chance to have a home. Try to get away from open plan frontages. Terraces of even small boundary fenced homes look better and give a feel of being established houses.			
502032	Mr and Mrs Pitt		<a href="#">CSO6445</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The whole area is congested, there is no real provision for new TRANSPORT OR services for new homes ie doctors, local shops or community ctrs. There are already MANY EMPTY industrial units. Building more industrial is only viable if a tax loss for developers. Verwood has lost its soul now, and does not need any more ad-hock development. Wimborne will follow if the development of this (at present) lovely Town is carried out in the same way as Verwood has been.			903
502034	Mr and Mrs A and C Buckingham		<a href="#">CSO6431</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Area already over built. Many areas do not have access to the small amount of public transport, which is infrequent. Public services Dr's etc appear to be overstretched as it is. Narrow roads cannot cope with the already increased traffic. Many surrounding roads are gridlocked especially at weekends.			903
502041	Mrs M Franklin		<a href="#">CSO6469</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I would prefer to see small pockets of affordable homes to blend in with the local community and keep our green belt. Do we need more industrial sites and shops which will increase traffic and eat into our countryside.			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502059	Mr R Seddon		<a href="#">CSO6498</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502076	Kay Stead		<a href="#">CSO6553</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502099	Carolyn Lourens		<a href="#">CSO6591</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502113	Mr A Garner		<a href="#">CSO6625</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	(Picture of Stocks drawn on sheet.) These should be placed in town centres to discourage yobs and vandals, to which they will be fastened.			903
502114	Mr P Foster		<a href="#">CSO6638</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502136	Mr and Mrs WA Forster		<a href="#">CSO6680</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502138	Mrs E Mason		<a href="#">CSO6694</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too much traffic and too many people putting pressure on roads, jobs, hospitals, schools, doctors, dentists etc. Please keep to MODERATE expansion! In all areas especially Ferndown/West Parley area.			903
502312	Mrs Sally Brierley		<a href="#">CSO6799</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502317	Cllr Peter Lucas		<a href="#">CSO6829</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		As a Ferndown councillor I am very concerned about the proposals for large scale development at Parley Cross and the impact the proposals will have on people from Ferndown commuting to Bournemouth,			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Christchurch and Bournemouth airport for work. Public transport improvements in services from Ferndown to Bournemouth/Poole are needed, especially early mornings evenings and Sundays where residents have observed an insufficient service.			
502322	Mrs J C Critchell		<a href="#">CSO6834</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		With the existing infrastructure at Parley Cross, West Parley, any development should be away from the cross roads. It should be in small pockets and of low - medium density in keeping with the area. The aesthetic values of the countryside are of the utmost importance in providing a fitting habitat for human beings, and in protecting the other habitats from human beings. The Green Belt is a vital asset and must be retained. At present, West Parley has a semi-rural feel and appearance - the reason people want to live here. If you destroy this ambience and concrete over large areas we might as well live in an urban jungle. I wouldn't want West Parley to become another Ferndown.			903
502326	Mr and Mrs T Bennett		<a href="#">CSO6873</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		With more homes will need more employment, a vicious circle. Our roads are too busy now. We surely have enough community areas and centres.			903
502329	Mrs Rosemary Rooke		<a href="#">CSO6884</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502342	Mrs D P Scamell		<a href="#">CSO6925</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502344	Mr and Mrs W Smith		<a href="#">CSO6940</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too much traffic in the area already. (speed limit on New Road and Christchurch Road should be 30) People want to see green fields not 100's of houses.			903
502345	Mr and Mrs Ray and Irene Coulson		<a href="#">CSO6947</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502347	Sarah Cobb		<a href="#">CSO7081</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502366	Mr P Lewis		<a href="#">CSO6989</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502371	Mrs S Smith		<a href="#">CSO6996</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502376	Mark Palmer		<a href="#">CSO7008</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley/Ferndown already has too many residents for the current services (schools, doctors) due to the knocking down of large houses and building blocks of flats.			903
502381	Mr Cyril Josey		<a href="#">CSO7058</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Why is it necessary to include affordable homes (low value) in with others (of higher value), thus lowering the value of other properties in the area. Put low value homes of high density in low value areas. People are not going to accept paying high prices for property when other houses nearby are run by housing			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							associations or the council renting to tenants. 50% affordable housing in any area is too much. Local infrastructure, road improvement, services etc must be provided in all cases.			
502387	Mr George Kilpatrick		<a href="#">CSO7046</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion	I would like to see the justification for increased housing in East Dorset eg, demographics, immigration, increased work opportunities etc. I would also like to understand how transport strategy (and infrastructure) is coordinated with this in such a way to make expansion sustainable.			903
502392	Mr and Mrs G Orr		<a href="#">CSO7027</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
359598	Mr A KETCHLEY		<a href="#">CSO7998</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Unfortunately whatever is finally decided on the following well known saying will occur: 'You can please some of the people all the time, You can please all of the people some of the time, But you cannot please all of the people all of the time!' I wish you well in whatever is decided. Some will see it as inevitable due to the area's population increases, whereas others will see it as a necessary evil, and some will accept it without question			903
359873	Mrs M HUGHES		<a href="#">CSO8617</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		A31 Canford Bottom needs to be bridged if increased traffic from new housing takes place; it just can't take any further loading (or a dual carriage			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							way up to A350 from Ferndown).			
359977	Miss M.G. EARP		<a href="#">CSO8064</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
360145	Mr Nigel WARREN		<a href="#">CSO8205</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
361123	Mr Iain STEVENSON		<a href="#">CSO8225</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
475502	Mr Richard Croom		<a href="#">CSO7731</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Any of these proposed developments would definitely increase traffic on all of the local roads, particularly Christchurch Road from Hurn to Longham village, creating further tailbacks and congestion at Parley Cross. It is difficult if not impossible to envisage how developers could 'contribute to the provision of traffic improvements' when there is no space for extra lanes in the aforementioned roads leading to or from Parley Cross, or the junction itself.</p> <p>These roads are already saturated with traffic at peak commuter and school travelling times, and if there was a major incident at the airport, the emergency services would struggle to obtain access.</p> <p>A further point to consider is that if homes are built on the sites earmarked at FWP3 and FWP5 they are very close, or directly under the take-off flight path from the airport.</p>			903
501822	Mr		<a href="#">CSO7708</a>	Option	Object		I object to any development on green			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Stephen Collins			FWP 3			belt land. The infrastructure in the area cannot support these proposals but if some development goes ahead the developers must improve the transport improvements.			
501826	Mr Gordon Hunt		<a href="#">CSO7723</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP 4 & 5 is good farmland which is rare these days. Dudsbury Fort must be preserved as ancient monument. Housing should be of low density to match surrounding area, don't forget the cyclists and electric buggies we have enough suicide runs eg New Road.			903
502404	Antony Jennings		<a href="#">CSO7064</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I believe your argument is floored on two accounts: - 1) Ferndown/West Parley is not a first time house buyer's area. It is an aspirational area for 2nd time buyers/retired as there is no industry in Ferndown/West Parley. Workers need to commute some distance to their places of work. 2) Developers will take one look at this area and will build 'luxury' apartments; 'executive homes' not social housing. And if they did build social housing, where are the local jobs? They would have to commute like everyone else.			903
502415	Mrs S Humphries		<a href="#">CSO7102</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		All sites would increase traffic levels very considerably-infrastructure not in place to accommodate all this extra building. Roads already grid locked when problems on spur Road.			903
502417	A		<a href="#">CSO7095</a>	Option		No				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Read			FWP 3		Opinion				
502424	Mr and Mrs A V Messenger		<a href="#">CSO7119</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502429	Mrs Lesley Newcombe		<a href="#">CSO7125</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Increased traffic is the major concern.			903
502430	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7132</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet - To many homes in a small area. What happens to the wildlife? Not enough schools			903
502438	Carol Louise Craggs		<a href="#">CSO7147</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	None of these developments are viable unless the transport development PRECEDES them, obviously, so please concentrate on infrastructure and services NOW. Thanks.			903
502468	Robert Lambert		<a href="#">CSO7383</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502492	M L Portugal		<a href="#">CSO7191</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It is vital with any development that the local infrastructure can handle the influx. Concerns over health-care, and social services, parking etc which are already struggling to cope! Also what constitutes affordable housing in East Dorset?!			903
502517	Mrs Crossland		<a href="#">CSO7232</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Infrastructure currently in place does not support existing population. FWP5 is under flight path and would be a dangerous place to live.			903
502520	P and M Coussell		<a href="#">CSO7240</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		1) No mention of public transport which is inadequate locally. 2) Green Belt is always 'up for grabs'			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and is gradually being eroded. 3) Will local people get preferential treatment for the housing, particularly the affordable? 4) Traffic congestion locally is already a major issue.			
502524	Mr B Heap		<a href="#">CSO7250</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The traffic congestion is serious now; to increase the problem is not accepting responsibility for the future. To consider developing FWP 3, 4 and 5 close to the flight path from Bournemouth airport again is not accepting responsibility for possible accidents, noise and pollution.			903
502528	Mr and Mrs B Stanford		<a href="#">CSO7257</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502568	Sarah Morgan		<a href="#">CSO7277</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502569	Mr & Ms M & L Skinner & Jeffries		<a href="#">CSO7305</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502570	Mr Samways		<a href="#">CSO7333</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502579	Mr & Mrs R L Thorne		<a href="#">CSO7362</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502595	Mr Colin House		<a href="#">CSO7450</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		All these developments will cause an impact on the local heathland and the proposals in all areas will cause road chaos on the A31, Ferndown, Parley and Wimborne. A new road structure is required before any development takes			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							place.			
502596	A C Hayter		<a href="#">CSO7419</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502610	John Jackson		<a href="#">CSO7482</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502612	Hugh and Joy Dickson		<a href="#">CSO7452</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502632	Mr and Mrs Evans		<a href="#">CSO7495</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502635	Mrs JS Brough		<a href="#">CSO7499</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502648	Teresa Halford		<a href="#">CSO7517</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The road system would be overwhelmed by this level of development, even if the developers contribute to so called improvements. Use Brown Belt land to build small developments of affordable homes, thus avoiding the need to destroy the Green Belt with large developments that will very quickly turn into ghettos. The medical and school facilities in West Parley are totally inadequate for this increase in population - not to mention the level of policing required.			903
502661	Julia Green		<a href="#">CSO7525</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The level of infrastructure at West Parley will not support this level of new housing. The main drains are already inadequate especially when it rains heavily. Most importantly, the volume of traffic now is excessive with Parley Crossroads frequently gridlocked so any additional traffic would make the area impossible to travel through. Also			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							doctors/dentists are in short supply.			
502670	Mrs S Trevorrow		<a href="#">CSO7536</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502673	Mrs A Powell		<a href="#">CSO7561</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The infrastructure in this area needs to be sorted out before anymore building goes ahead. There are times you cannot move on the roads. The area is being ruined with all this building works that are already going on.			903
502678	Mr R F Perry		<a href="#">CSO7550</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Let's see the infrastructure in place first.			903
502683	Mr and Mrs Garfield Milne		<a href="#">CSO7562</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Green spaces should be kept as green spaces.			903
502701	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7592</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502706	Neil Kenworthy		<a href="#">CSO7606</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502707	N Tomsett		<a href="#">CSO7628</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I moved to West Parley 4 years ago specifically because it was surrounded by green fields not masses of housing. I have watched another village now a town (the place I grew up, Selsey Bill) destroyed by overdevelopment on green land. Selsey has now lost its heart and soul. Overdevelopment destroys community spirit which is vital to protecting communities like Parley from crime, pollution, erosion etc. If Dorset CC considers itself a green council then this should include green			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							fields not their destruction!			
502708	Ms Julia Owen		<a href="#">CSO7641</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502712	W D J Pearce		<a href="#">CSO7639</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do not think that FWP4 and FWP5 at West Parley are viable proposals. An additional 500 homes may well produce an additional 1,000 cars creating further strain on the already over stretched New Road. Similarly, FWP3 is a non-starter as all traffic from this proposed site will have to be routed through Christchurch Road causing bottlenecks on that road. Moreover, the proposals for modifications at Canford Bottom suggest more congestion over Longham Bridge. I also consider that the Planners should refuse all further demands for sheltered housing schemes as the new buildings on Ringwood Road have created more cars in the area slowing traffic.			903
502745	Mrs J.M Kenny		<a href="#">CSO7683</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502749	Gerald Giltrow		<a href="#">CSO7671</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502865	Mr and Mrs D H Bustard		<a href="#">CSO7701</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We are implacably opposed to sites FWP3, 4 and 5 on the grounds that they would destroy what remains of a village atmosphere around Parley Cross. In particular, the surrender of FWP5 to development would be to			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							remove West Parley's true village green. Additionally, the proposal of 400 dwellings represents a monstrous increase of about 22% on the total of West Parley dwellings, today standing at about 1800. Furthermore this development would widen very considerably the southern residential tip of West Parley which is already sufficiently close to the boundary with Bournemouth at Ensburry Bridge. Indeed there is already a widely held view that Ferndown and West Parley are, even now, part of the Bournemouth conurbation. A final thought - neighbourhoods may have the final say if the impending Localism Bill finds parliamentary favour.			
502876	Mr Russell Tidman		<a href="#">CSO7710</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		All traffic travelling north or south across the River Stour have only three points to cross, along the six mile stretch from the Spur Road to Longham. They are already heavily congested. More homes, more cars, more congestion.			903
502880	Mrs P M Tarbuck		<a href="#">CSO7720</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
502887	Mrs Grace Sargeant		<a href="#">CSO7739</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
502900	Mrs M Baynham		<a href="#">CSO7744</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502910	Mr & Mrs R & JD Gregory		<a href="#">CSO7750</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My husband and I totally object to FWP 3, 4 and 5. Ferndown and West Parley cannot take any more traffic. Every time there is an accident on the main spur road from Bournemouth to Ringwood all the traffic is rerouted through Ferndown and West Parley causing absolute dead lock. We need some green spaces left not concrete jungles			903
502913	Mr & Mrs D Whitmarsh		<a href="#">CSO7909</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There should not be any more large scale house building in the East Dorset area as the roads cannot cope with any more traffic			903
502918	D M Moore		<a href="#">CSO7759</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Anyone looking at the traffic on the main roads in this area would realise that with more houses and flats, these roads would come to a complete standstill at most times of the day.			903
502921	Mr and Mrs L Forinton		<a href="#">CSO7781</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
502923	Mrs M Phippard		<a href="#">CSO7770</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Use brown field sites first, you never get the green land back it will be gone forever.			903
502925	Mrs J E Allen		<a href="#">CSO7778</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I strongly object to any development in the West Parley/Ferndown area. The road infrastructure cannot cope in this area at the moment. I feel it is just a way of increasing revenue by the way of council taxes or the Local Authority to fund their pension deficit.			903
502934	Mr D		<a href="#">CSO7789</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I could support a small development of say 100 homes on the west side of the			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Parkinson						plot where the Christchurch Road frontage is.			
502935	Mr Roger Parker		<a href="#">CSO7816</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Please take care - if you allow development on areas like West Parley it will mean that the visual link between Bournemouth and Ferndown will be like a seamless conurbation and will result in Ferndown/East Dorset losing its status as a 'place' - it will resemble one large conurbation. Better I believe to preserve some 'Green' separation just to maintain a visual separation.			903
502937	Mr and Mrs M J Turvey		<a href="#">CSO7809</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		1) We moved to West Parley from Ferndown for peace and quiet. 2) Why so many houses to be built, who will be using them. 3) What do you mean by liveliness and prosperity, I expect you mean a lot more noise and cars. 4) What extra schools, doctors and police are you going to provide. 5) Do you realise project 4 and 5 will be under the flight path and who will want that?			903
502944	Mr and Mrs D Cook		<a href="#">CSO7826</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We are most concerned about traffic problems on New Road and Parley Cross area. Also Longham roundabout and adjoining areas traffic is very bad already.			903
502950	Mr I G Banks		<a href="#">CSO7835</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods, heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>another food supermarket or more crossroads changes.</p> <p>Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods, heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is another food supermarket or more crossroads changes.</p> <p>Imbalance in Age Structure Members of the older population have been encouraged to move into the district largely as a result of policy in recent years of the Town Planning department regarding giving approval of existing housing sites being developed as blocks of flats. Most of these are suited to or reserved for, people of a particular age. Thus the situation has been engineered to a great extent and must be accepted as it is. The anticipated increase in population will involve young, those of working age, and the elderly. Provision will have to be found for everybody, but the EDDC covers a large area where a wider search for suitable sites is taking place. My own two daughters have homes outside of this district.</p> <p>Population Growth The population growth being so 'significant' it demonstrates to me the considerable number of homes that have been generated in the period from 1961 until fairly recently in 2008 (see Options 10.6). If we are going to preserve existing areas used as parks, recreational areas, walks in Green Belt areas, then further housing</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>development will have to be restricted. It is not going to be feasible to keep chasing ever increasing arbitrary home building targets. I repeat my daughters have homes outside if this district.</p> <p>Green Belt Area</p> <p>There has been a great deal of energy expended, and anxiety generated, during the recent battle with the Regional Spatial Strategy body regarding their proposal to see homes built within the Green Belt areas including agricultural land, It was my understanding that, having gained the backing of the DCC to fight these proposals, and the policy of the new government to cancel the various RSS policies, then the preference of the local population would prevail over decisions regarding use of Green Belt areas. I cannot, therefore, see the necessity to revisit this subject when a clear choice has been made through unflagging efforts made on our behalf through various meetings and consultations by the Residents Associations concerned.</p> <p>Industrial Estates</p> <p>These have been expanded over the years since inception. A great deal of the employment opportunities are benefitting people from outlying district, this automatically contributes to traffic flow. Further opportunities to increase employment locally should not therefore be encouraged close to residential areas.</p> <p>General Comments</p> <p>Transport</p> <p>The road network does suffer from</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							high levels of congestion. Whenever traffic is diverted from the A31 or Bournemouth Spur Road, then New Road has traffic at a standstill for periods between a short crawl forwards. The result is high concentrations of exhaust fumes are released into the atmosphere in close proximity to dwellings. Any increase in business, sports or other facilities will increase levels of traffic congestion even further. Any modifications to roads or road junctions will lead to increased frustration of drivers in traffic queues. Parley Cross cross-roads has previously modified with extra lanes, involving changes to services and drainage, shop forecourts and parking facilities. I don't think anyone wants to see that happen again, and definitely not a 3000Sq.m food store taking up Green Belt land under the pretext of providing additional housing land. I trust that further searches for suitable land for homes development within EDC area may progress with the above comments fully taken into account.			
502987	Anne Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO7851</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Unless the roads (all of them) are completely renovated, there is absolutely no way that the Parley area can cope with any more traffic than it currently sustains. Together with the increase of noise from both flights from the airport and the traffic, the village atmosphere would be lost. There is plenty of shopping available at Castlepoint and in Ferndown or Kinson, so no extra shops are			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							required. Please do not join Parley to Bournemouth physically - the green belt is absolutely VITAL in all the areas suggested for redevelopment. The animals in Poor Common have already suffered once due to building; and further erosion of their habitat along Christchurch Road would be disastrous.			
502989	Jackie Butcher		<a href="#">CSO7866</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Each town or village needs its green space to stop its identity being swallowed up by neighbouring towns. Why not build a shopping mall in Ferndown, demolish the depressing High Street (Victoria Road and Ringwood Road) shops and build new homes on the resulting space in town centre. I cannot support such vague outlines in FWP1 and FWP5.			903
502999	Mrs Marion E Lock		<a href="#">CSO7876</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		1. What has happened to the idea of development on the old Dormy Hotel site in West Parley? 2. It would be better to develop on the outskirts of our villages, as this would also help to sustain their village schools, shops, post offices and churches etc. It also provides a more natural environment to bring children up in. They are more likely to experience a friendly, close-knit community where people all know one another, than on a large estate near a town. Towns also don't become over-developed.			903
503019	David Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7900</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Concerned about the use of Longfield Drive as a route to FWP3. It would be			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							impossible to get onto New Road.			
503022	Mr and Mrs D Money		<a href="#">CSO7904</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>1. FWP is the only area where 'All of the options will need transport improvements.'</p> <p>2. FWP is already clogged with traffic.</p> <p>3. The whole area should receive major traffic improvements BEFORE any building takes place. Too often in the past, there have been major promises made of transport improvements only for these to be cancelled due to change of government or economic circumstances.</p> <p>4. Has consideration been given to the effect on local schools, medical and other services?</p> <p>5. FWP 3, 4, 5 are under the flight path from the airport. Has any consideration been given to the environmental health effects?</p>			903
503040	Kathleen Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7929</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Use of Longfield Drive as an exit for FWP unacceptable.			903
503085	Mr P.A. Scott		<a href="#">CSO7973</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503156	Richard and Jackie Blunderfield		<a href="#">CSO8037</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503163	Mrs M Kimber		<a href="#">CSO8036</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Keep the Green Belt. Protect wildlife on Green Belt. Conservative Party promised not to build on Green Belt. Traffic problems already exist.			903
503171	Sally		<a href="#">CSO8081</a>	Option		No				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Cooke			FWP 3		Opinion				
503176	Vernon Britton		<a href="#">CSO8103</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The above areas should be preserved as Green Belt land, part of an important environmentally sensitive area as a habitat for wildlife close to a river catchment area.			903
503183	B Chissell		<a href="#">CSO8157</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503188	David Zambra		<a href="#">CSO8120</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Existing road system struggles to support current traffic volumes. Christchurch Road and New Road are frequently gridlocked! Any additional access to Christchurch Road between Dudsbury Golf Course and Dudsbury Road would be extremely dangerous. This stretch of road has seen several fatalities in the past!			903
503189	Mr David Bulley		<a href="#">CSO8115</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP 3, 4, 5 - sometimes absolute traffic chaos at Christchurch Road/New Road junctions, at present time. Number of extra vehicles would make it worse.			903
503233	F Parkes		<a href="#">CSO8241</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503250	Mrs Helen Poole		<a href="#">CSO8269</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
359889	Mr and Mrs P CLARK		<a href="#">CSO8486</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		With any developments we would need to be assured that infrastructure improvements are in place BEFORE any development is consented to. This is particularly important with regard to public transport provisions. It is also important that feedback is			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							forthcoming as an acknowledgement of our response to this survey.			
359920	S PARKER		<a href="#">CSO9544</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
360095	Mr & Mrs John & Barbara POLKINGHORN		<a href="#">CSO9823</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
360111	Mr K VIVIAN		<a href="#">CSO9600</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
360701	Mrs Jennifer Thorpe		<a href="#">CSO9382</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		In spite of supporting these developments we do not support all of them - there would be far too much traffic going through Parley Cross and also the limited numbers of routes across the river - New Road, Longham and Hurn. Parley Crossroads needs developing - this would be compulsory for any development, and also green spaces/play areas. Improved public transport - compulsory. Castle Point, Bournemouth Hospital, Airport/Christchurch - all on our doorstep with no public transport to access. Medical and school provision should be included in any development. Under no circumstances should West Parley lose all its Green Belt - important that urban sprawl is not continuous between Ferndown and Bournemouth. A total of 250 houses should be the maximum in West Parley. If any Green Belt is lost - it would be sensible to move the existing boundary to behind existing dwellings on south side of			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Christchurch Road between the entrance to the Dudsbury Restaurant and 176 to include the derelict piece of land between The Horns and Owls Nest, where Japanese knotweed is well established and now spreading wildly through surrounding properties. This area is also a haven for rats.			
361015	Mr and Mrs M.S and C.E HACK		<a href="#">CSO8492</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
476561	Mr Kevin Horton		<a href="#">CSO9193</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I should like to put my opinions and have more to say than could be expressed in the text box you provide. I feel passionate about this subject, and hope that you will consider some of my concerns. Where one lives and what happens to it is an emotional as well as practical and financial issue since it impinges on all aspects of one's life - changes to quality of life and environment cannot be measures in just pounds and pence and how much profit it will bring to the neighbourhood or its attendant Council.</p> <p>I have placed "object" against each of the proposed sites of development. This is because in principle I do not think that West Parley should be subjected to housing estate development within the medium term future. West Parley has a unique character and semi-rural nature for which the presence of green fields interspersed within the current village is essential. Although a small site in itself may not seem too critical, the</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>gradual erosion of the natural open space will change its character forever - in my opinion, not for the better. There are few places that are both conveniently situated for the facilities of Bournemouth and Poole and yet still have rural character such that you can pass horses and sheep in fields on the way to the shops - this is very valuable to me.</p> <p>Need for further houses.</p> <p>I am not in a position to know the extent of the pressure for new housing but if, as you suggest there is an imbalance of elderly people, and then the requirement for new housing in this area is likely to be minimal. Several times you emphasis that you want a "lively" Ferndown and West Parley. I don't know exactly what is meant by that, but if you believe this can only be achieved by encouraging younger people to move into the areas, then I fail to see how that can help with any housing shortage. The last Government decided that building new roads cannot solve traffic congestion since more people will be encouraged to use these roads. I suggest that a similar situation applies to building more houses; there will never be enough houses as people from other towns will move here to fill them,</p> <p>"Affordable" Housing.</p> <p>Although I realise there may be a need for a small number of starter homes for people on low income, I fear that by "Affordable Housing" you may mean houses of sufficiently low price and quality that local housing associations</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>can afford to buy them and rent them to the Council. I owned a 'starter home' in Wallisdown for 16 years and gradually saw the area deteriorate as homes were bought up by housing associations and used by the Council to house 'problem people'. When I complained about the persistent high levels of crime in the area resulting from this, I was told that the Council had a duty of care to house such people and nothing could be done other than moving them on to another estate when the problem got too bad. Eventually most half-decent people decided to leave the area. I do not want a similar situation to develop here, in the West Parley that I love. West Parley currently has a low level of crime - let's keep it that way!</p> <p>I realise houses are expensive, but that is not unique to this region, and not entirely caused by supply and demand. It is a reflection of a general far reaching imbalance in the economy. Much of the elevated house prices are a result of excessively high mortgages that were offered by banks and building societies in the ten years or so prior to the credit crunch. When I bought my first house in 1982 interest rates were at 15% and I had a 93% mortgage - now with credit shortage you are lucky to get a 30% mortgage - that makes many houses unaffordable but it is not related to a housing shortage.</p> <p>I believe everyone has to live where they can afford. I could never afford a house in Canford Cliffs or Sandbanks,</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and it would be no good someone who bought a house there many years ago when they were cheap complaining that their offspring could not afford to live near them and there ought to be more affordable houses built in that area - it just wouldn't happen! People cannot always live where they would like, but this provides a natural gradient throughout the housing market, and something to aspire to as they earn more money throughout their life.</p> <p>Environmental Impact You never miss things until they are gone forever, and the openness of West Parley would be gone forever if all your options were to be realised. Every time one more field goes, the area becomes more urbanised, more shut-in. Worst of all, judging by the number of houses you propose for each region, the houses will be very densely packed in a way that maximises profit for the builders. It leaves very little space for gardens which these days form a major haven for wildlife. If we really must have a few more houses, let's have some quality homes that are fitting to the area not 'little boxes made of ticky-tacky' as the song goes.</p> <p>The lesser of the evils although I have put an 'objection' against each option, if I were asked to grade them I would say that the options get worse as their FWP number increases, that is option 5 is the worst option with option 1 being perhaps the least harmful.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>FWP Option 1 This land is very close to existing houses and would be largely a continuation of the housing estate that was formed by carving up Poor Common. It would not have much of a presence from the road providing the surrounding fields that are not marked remain, although I expect it would not be long before they were claimed too. Exit onto either Ringwood Road or Christchurch Road would be difficult due to the already high levels of traffic congestion existing on those roads at peak times.</p> <p>FWP Option 2 This is a visually attractive area with a woodland backdrop of what remains of Poor Common. Although this is a relatively small region, it would be missed as an open space. The best that can be hoped is that it could be suitably shielded from road side view. Access could only be to Christchurch Road with all its congestion problems.</p> <p>FWP Option 3 Getting much worse! This area is highly visible when coming from Longham into West Parley and affords beautiful views from the hill. Building here would make this whole section of Christchurch Road boxed in. There would no longer be the delightful walk between the fields into the back of Parley Cross past the horses and ponies. It appears the exit from the estate would most likely be onto Christchurch Road just before the traffic lights to conflict with all the traffic queuing ....not a good idea!</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>FWP Option 4                      There may be some merit for a small health centre as suggested and certainly we need a better post office in this region but no significant houses. This area has a very open feel to it that really defines Parley Cross and I think it should be retained as much as possible. In light of your other possible plans to provide a gyratory traffic system in that area, who would want to live in a giant roundabout? - It would be horrendous, and access and egress would be very difficult.</p> <p>FWP Option 5                      Now this is a really bad idea, and even the Council have called it the least preferred option. It would significantly shut in West Parley and change the whole character of the village forever. The vast number of houses in that area would cause severe traffic problems no matter what options were chosen for improving the traffic system at Parley Cross.</p> <p>Transport Issues                      I don't know how you can say in your leaflet 'The possible development options listed earlier could increase traffic on our roads'. They would increase traffic on our roads - that is for sure. In most cases I have found that builders are only happy to support roads on their own estates. Sometimes these roads are built to poor quality and have to be repaired soon after being adopted by the Council. A few more cycle paths and a bus lane are not going to redress the problems caused by the increase in traffic from</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the extra dwellings (unless none of the new residents can afford cars!)</p> <p>A major new store (like Sainsbury's) may be persuaded to spend some money on roads and I believe they did on the Alder Hills development, but I don't think we have the need for a new supermarket in West Parley. Several convenience stores in Parley Cross have found it difficult to make a living, and Co-op finally gave up. Tesco seems to be doing better but I expect that's only because of the muscle they have and the strength to hold position while it is not always profitable. I think the current Tesco Express (along with that on Glenmore Road) supplies all the needs of West Parley, and I am surprised that another convenience store at Parley Cross was recommended in your documentation.</p> <p>Building on the Green Belt, The Green Belt concept established in the 1950's and confirmed as recent as 1995 in the Planning Policy Guidance Note 2, has its main objective to safeguard the countryside from encroachment of towns and prevent urban sprawl and there are many good reasons for the existence of Green Belts. Clearly, to be of any good, the belt has to be as continuous as possible and connect where possible to provide corridors for wildlife. It's no good trimming it down to the width of an average garden. If councils are allowed to build on Green Belt land, this defines a main principle - that of preventing encroachment on countryside. I believe the present</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Government still state they do not support building on the Green Belt, so why do it? And finally... I find the statement that was made by a Council representative at a public meeting that: "We will not build on the Green Belt; we will simply redefine its boundaries" astonishing! In my opinion changing the well-established boundaries that have existed for years without extremely good reason should be illegal for any council because the Green Belt was set up on behalf of the population of the UK to protect their environment for present and future generations.			
499748	Ms Soozie Cooper		<a href="#">CSO9741</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
503024	Valerie Measey		<a href="#">CSO8885</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503202	Mr and Mrs D J Walters		<a href="#">CSO8279</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
503299	Mr and Mrs R Farnfield		<a href="#">CSO8292</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		These proposals would cause havoc to the Parley Cross area. Traffic is already a nightmare. To accommodate the amount of homes FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 would be ridiculous. The only solution which could be possible would be a flyover even that would be doubtful because of the volume.			903
503303	Mr Anthony		<a href="#">CSO8309</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Roberts									
503306	Ian and Freda Hancock		<a href="#">CSO8688</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Ferndown and West Parley Options – These are a completely disingenuous and self-serving set of proposals by the Council, both in terms of the context in which they have been made and also in terms of the time-frame allowed for the consultation process. In terms of the context, these proposals represent a revival of similar ones made a couple of years ago and the timing of them says everything about the reasons for them: they have been made at the same time that the government has announced a reduction in funding for local government. Therefore the council has immediately embarked on a strategy for increasing its income from other sources, specifically by increasing council tax revenues through increasing the number of houses in the area. It should be noted that the proposals contain no details of plans to increase council expenditure to make improvements to such matters as schooling and medical care which would be needed to cope with the increased population in the area, and this is indicative of the true nature of the council's intentions.</p> <p>And in terms of the time-frame for the consultation process, could there possibly be a more unreasonable and arbitrary deadline for the submission of comments on the proposals than 24 December? Most people have very busy lives and simply do not have the time to give these matters their fullest</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>consideration during most of the year. The one time that they would have had such an opportunity would have been Christmas week, and it seems to me that the council was obviously fearful that local residents would actually have the time to consider the full enormity of these proposals during that period. This also shows what the 'localism' agenda will mean in practice: less scrutiny of councils by central government and the councils notionally welcoming more local involved in local decision-making whilst at the same time making it as difficult as possible for local people to have their say on controversial matters.</p> <p>The proposals also contain a lot of contrived arguments to attempt to justify plans. An example is the statement that the area 'is popular with older people, leading to a significant imbalance in its age structure'. Older people will always be attracted to quieter areas, and younger people will always be attracted to livelier areas, and for that reason it is a good thing that all population centres do not have the same appearance and identity. The statement implies that the council believes that all areas should contain a balanced age structure, but if it does genuinely believe this to be desirable then where are the counter-balancing proposals to make other areas suitable for elderly people? Again this would require expenditure on the part of the council and the lack of any detailed proposals provides further proof of the true intention behind these plans.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							There is a huge amount of waste in local government, especially the massive proportion of council tax revenues which are spent on local government salaries and pensions, but that will be the very last area made to suffer the consequences of the reduction in central government funding. Doubtless the council will first make cuts to services for the weak and vulnerable, especially the elderly, who do not have the strength or vigour to protest in the same way as we have seen in recent weeks from students. There is little doubt that, if these housing proposals do not go ahead, there will be even greater cuts to front-line services for the weak and vulnerable than those currently announced. Nevertheless that cannot justify changing the face and nature of an area forever, especially when so many savings could be made by cutting out the huge amount of waste and inefficiency that exists in local government administration.			
503315	Mr Kenneth Wood		<a href="#">CSO8337</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503347	Ms Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO8366</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503355	Mr Robert Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO8394</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503358	H C Hoare		<a href="#">CSO8423</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503378	Mr A Bouyer		<a href="#">CSO8465</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The area in general needs more industry to support the people who are going to live in the proposed housing expansion. In addition the infrastructure is not capable of supporting the above.			903
503389	Twyford		<a href="#">CSO8475</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503395	Ian Davis		<a href="#">CSO8500</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Loss of Green Belt would be a travesty. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would produce 1.5 vehicles per household, which results in 1000+ more vehicles on the roads. A queue of 1000 vehicles would stretch from Plowmans to Haskins (approx 2 miles). Major roadworks required at a cost of several million pounds, medical, shops, schools, youth amenities, leisure etc., etc.			903
503408	Steve Williams		<a href="#">CSO8519</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It seems unbelievable that any new homes should be considered to be built in this general area - bearing in mind the current traffic problems alone! More houses and people means more sewage, more waste, more eco stuff that will go to the eco recycling plant at E Parley, which already stinks to high heaven! Then there will be the new road scheme for the users of the new super-duper airport. It will soon look like where I come from - Hounslow. Where will I move to next for quality of life? I did not rely on a new house. I bought an existing house - second hand.			903
503431	Phil		<a href="#">CSO8546</a>	Option	Object		The developers contributions are			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Surridge			FWP 3			standard practice, without them Councils do not grant planning permission anyway. Parley Cross is already one of the busiest lighting crossroads in the County and consideration should be given to how traffic can be diverted, not increased! West Parley is a quiet (aside traffic) parish with a subtle peacefulness. This will be completely destroyed with permission given to developments of this size and nature. Ps Has your Sites of Special Scientific Interest policy been considered? (SSSI)			
503444	R Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO8565</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503453	Paul and Julie Williamson		<a href="#">CSO8569</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503462	Mrs S Clarke		<a href="#">CSO8597</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do not support any proposals to increase housing, and the transport needed, on Christchurch Road/New Road. Traffic congestion around Parley Cross should not be increased as it is used by traffic when the A338 is not in full use. There is not enough parking for shoppers and also not enough public transport for the use of disabled people.			903
503474	Mr and Mrs T J Roffe		<a href="#">CSO8619</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503476	Mrs AM Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO8631</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503479	Robert Eastham		<a href="#">CSO8648</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Roads within the new estates will not alleviate the road network problems at Longham and West Parley, in fact any development only adds to the problems. The possibility of a further 1500 cars is intolerable.			903
503482	Mr Ron Hopkins		<a href="#">CSO8668</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503518	C Skipton		<a href="#">CSO8697</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503527	Claire Smith		<a href="#">CSO8709</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No objection to low density housing developments which are more sustainable environmentally for transport. Existing large housing sites should be utilised where they remain unused. GREEN BELT MUST BE PRESERVED.			903
503529	Mrs Leggett		<a href="#">CSO8707</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503547	Mr Michael Stefanou		<a href="#">CSO8732</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am against any influx of peoples settling in Parley. Farmland is the reason I came here in my advancing years, (situated at front and rear of my home). It's peaceful and quiet here. Revellers do come and go from the caravan park in Church Lane. I have suffered damage to my front garden after midnight and noise. Please no druggies or drunks. I came to live here because of no neighbours from hell or war zone.			903
503554	Mr		<a href="#">CSO8751</a>	Option		No				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	D Verguson			FWP 3		Opinion				
503598	Mr John Turner		<a href="#">CSO8769</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503603	Mrs DJ Morley		<a href="#">CSO8796</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503621	A G Haines		<a href="#">CSO8840</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		As I'm sure you are perfectly aware, all developments I have ticked require serious consideration to the whole road network in the areas concerned.			903
503624	Mr RT Jackson		<a href="#">CSO8845</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503635	Mr J Gough		<a href="#">CSO8896</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
503639	Mrs and Mr M Stevens		<a href="#">CSO8940</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The A31 is already over-saturated with regular gridlock on Friday/Saturday without major redevelopment (min 2 lane dual carriageway from Ferndown industrial estate to Tolpuddle) it is incapable of taking any more traffic. Wimborne, Ferndown and Parley cross- we object to more building on green field sites Parley Cross area is already over-saturated with traffic and is incapable to taking any more. The entire East Dorset area already been over-developed versus other areas of the country. It does not have the transport, social, educational. etc			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							resources for any more.			
503640	N J and S A White		<a href="#">CSO9051</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503644	Mr J Riley		<a href="#">CSO8969</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503657	Mrs S Harrison		<a href="#">CSO8975</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Strong objection to high density development on any of the sites 3/4/5 listed due to the impact on environment, overloaded road system, local educational/medical facilities - especially near the Parley Crossroads. I cannot see how any of these facilities can be improved sufficiently to cope with the extra traffic/population, although I appreciate that some development is necessary.			903
503659	Mr JD Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO8996</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do not agree with Green belt land being used for housing developments.			903
503663	D and L Scott		<a href="#">CSO9001</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Existing road system inadequate already especially for expanding airport traffic.</li> <li>- Inadequate medical and school provision for major increase.</li> <li>- Inadequate policing for major increasing population.</li> <li>- Where will the Green Belt go?</li> </ul>			903
503674	S Hoare		<a href="#">CSO9024</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Instead of where we already had density why not go more towards the airport - where people who may work there or at Christchurch direction would not increase the heavy traffic already experienced on New Road and			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Parley Cross. Indeed the land has already been numbered by plots - my neighbour is 327 and we are 355 so at some time the area has already been 'plotted'. Indeed there are 8.5 acres of redundant agricultural land here and more around. Perhaps the bus route could then be re-instated as it was years ago.			
503687	Mr Nick Smith		<a href="#">CSO9066</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley cannot cope with current levels of traffic. Any development in this area will be a disaster. All the developments are too big, with too much impact.			903
503689	Mr and Mrs Dunnings		<a href="#">CSO9073</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Road congestion desperate at present, with extension of airport and more traffic, the construction of several hundred houses with one or two cars, will be completely gridlocked. Friend's house sale fallen through owing to purchaser unable to find school places, GP surgeries very, very full.			903
503690	Ms Clare Parvin		<a href="#">CSO9118</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503696	Mr J and Mrs V Morum		<a href="#">CSO9117</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		With regard to options 3 and 5 feel sure the question of flooding should be strongly considered. Even Barrack Road is a high water table and we are located away from the river. Would also suggest P Office be re-located next to our new Tesco's.			903
503712	Mr B Barton		<a href="#">CSO9154</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		If options 3, 4 and 5 were to come about West Parley would lose that touch of the rural which attracted me			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							when I and my family came here from Bournemouth 32 years ago, and were I younger I might decide to move away.			
503717	Mr and Mrs L Grounell		<a href="#">CSO9168</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		4 and 5 floodplains. 1, 2 and 3 exit onto Bournemouth circular road and not on.			903
503725	G A Hughes		<a href="#">CSO9234</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503736	Mr and Mrs L D Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9187</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We, like most residents in West Parley are horrified and concerned at the possibility that any of these developments will proceed. As a Council please remember that the reason most residents moved here was for an improved quality of life - ie less traffic and less demand on services. Please, please- do not turn us into a large conurbation attached to the edge of Bournemouth. West Parley already has the prospect of increased activity at the airport and the associated impact that will have on the existing infrastructure. As Councillors, please don't let this be your legacy - when we voted for you, we trusted you to do what is right.			903
503759	Mr D.J. Middleton		<a href="#">CSO9245</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503763	K S Turner		<a href="#">CSO9208</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Where do these people live who suggest this area is suitable for housing? Not in this area. ED News No buildings should be considered until the infrastructure is completed.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Traffic, medical, schools and access to Milhams Tip once a week etc. No motorway through Parley Cross please. The meaning of a formal space and park, valuable green infrastructure???. We have delightful green space. You seem to want to concrete it. FWP 1, 2, 3 not high density			
503766	James Simmonds		<a href="#">CSO9222</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
503787	Mrs P A Dent		<a href="#">CSO9267</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am totally against the development of large housing estates as they are not popular with the public. Also the cost of providing infrastructure will be phenomenal and impact on existing communities detrimental. Far better to use small existing areas of land rubber stamped as Green belt land but of no benefit to the community. These parcels are mainly within residential areas and give immediate access to facilities without overloading them. With a small number of houses built, people can get to know their neighbours better. I, of course, am part owner of such a piece of land.			903
503835	Lynda and David Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9285</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There should be no building on the Green Belt where everyone can walk their dogs and enjoy the open space. It is essential to preserve what we have left for future generations. It is the best open space outside of Bournemouth. Families, dog walkers and horse riders need open spaces locally. West Parley cannot cope with any more traffic which is the busiest in			903



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Dorset - which leads to gridlock at times and we have difficulty getting out of our drives.</p> <p>There should be no more that 10% of social housing as it leads to increase of crime and vandalism in the area. Schools, doctors surgeries would be unable to cope. Why don't you but empty houses in the private sector which would be cheaper than building new.</p> <p>Having lived in West Parley for 35 years we have watched its strangulation with fine homes with gardens destroyed to multiple units - when does it all stop?</p>			
503842	Paul and Sharon Strange		<a href="#">CSO9291</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>It would be unrealistic not to accept the need for more housing, but I am supporting the smaller developments as these would have the least impact on the character of what can still currently be described as a semi-rural village, The purpose of green belt designations was to prevent urban sprawl. The village character of Parley is important to me, but developments at FWP3, 4, or5 would mean West Parley and Ferndown becoming an extension of the Bournemouth conurbation.</p> <p>I don't understand why precious green belt land is being considered for development, when there is already a suitable brownfield site a short distance away in Ferndown. I refer to the Dormy Hotel site. This already has housing on either side, road access at either end and it rapidly becoming an eyesore, as empty buildings become</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>ever more shabby and dilapidated. I believe the council has already rejected two development proposals in part due to opposition from Natural England, but it seems illogical to protect a previously developed site in favour of developing virgin green belt land.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the transport situation if development goes ahead at the larger sites. Your form makes some vague reference to developer contributions to improvements, and specifies improved bus routes and cycle lanes. This would be laughable were it not so pitiful. The reality is that virtually every household has a car, and many have two, and yet it is my understanding that any development would not include any plans to improve the Parley crossroads junction. I already experience anxious moments whilst existing right from my road (Longfield Drive) at peak times and it is not unusual to see drivers ignoring the No Entry signs at the service road alongside New Road to avoid traffic queues as the situation stands. The current junction can, I believe, just about cope with the current weight of traffic, even at peak times. If, however, there is an incident on the Spur Road that causes extra traffic to seek an alternative route through Parley, long queues at all approaches quickly ensue, and the exits also become log jammed. This is currently an occasional nightmare, but could be the daily situation if large-scale development proceeds in the</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							village. I have lived with my family in West Parley for the last 18 years and would therefore be extremely sorry to see radical alteration in the character of this village. I hope the council will agree that small scale development and utilising current empty sites is the best outcome for this area.			
503846	Mr Anthony Hose		<a href="#">CSO9312</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503847	Jenny Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9307</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		West Parley has no community focus - its notable features are main roads to Bournemouth or the Airport and a row of shops. What facilities there are, such as land in FWP3 with extensive views, is inaccessible to the residents because of grazing leases. This could be a delightful resource for leisure - if well landscaped and provide more housing of which there is such a shortage.			903
503857	A J Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9319</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I believe the amount of development is still woefully inadequate to provide sufficient housing. Declaration of interests - I am a Landowner, Parent and a Christian. In the interest of justice and equality I would like my views to carry more weight than those of protesters. My family have been stewards of the land since before there was a Planning Authority and before noisy minorities moved here. We have forgone other economic opportunities in order to own land. We have mended fences in the			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>dark, cold, rainy nights and pulled up ragwort from sun-baked land on sweltering days. We have sheltered wildlife, deer, foxes, badgers, owls, woodpeckers and buzzards and controlled rabbits. We have planted trees and cleared fallen ones after extraordinary storms. To say that my view is only equal to someone who has no commitment to the land, would be unjust and unfair.</p> <p>As a parent, I see my children's family life distorted by exorbitant house prices. I bought a 3 bedroomed house at the age of 22, which cost less than my annual salary. Young families must delay the age they have children, work when they would like to be caring for their babies, all because we cannot build sufficient houses to bring the cost down to a reasonable level.</p> <p>As a Christian, I work with the isolated elderly; their loneliness is exacerbated by their family being unable to afford to live close by. The assumption that they should move to be near their children, breaks their network of contacts which has already diminished by deaths.</p> <p>Secondly, young families cannot put sufficient effort into relationships and their spiritual welfare, if it is diverted to providing homes and shelter. This can cause break-up and extra need for housing.</p> <p>We need to build, not a compromise number of houses, but more than are needed so property prices will stabilise, or better still, fall. I do not believe Cadbury could build Bourneville now!</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>As a democracy, we are unable to make a statesman-like decision, and short term interests prevail. If these 'No Building Views' had been held 50 years ago the protesters would have no house here to live in.</p> <p>Please make a survey of people without houses. Then I believe the democratic majority of them would say 'Build, Build, Build'.</p> <p>In Summary</p> <p>As a landowner, I would like you to build more houses. I will look out at them and enjoy the landscaped paths around them.</p> <p>As a parent, I implore you to build more houses to help our children.</p> <p>As a Christian, I want you to care for the marginalised that are too busy trying to get by to campaign.</p>			
503861	Mr E Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO9342</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
359954	Mr Alan FLINT		<a href="#">CSO10011</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I want to know the projected time scale for these options to start to become active.</li> <li>2. It is most important that there is adequate employment to accommodate the houses to be built.</li> <li>3. More attention must be made to supply of affordable housing.</li> <li>4. The green belt must be respected where possible.</li> <li>5. The estates around Wimborne must be consulted fully.</li> </ol>			903
360029	Mr David		<a href="#">CSO10283</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Christchurch & East			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	LANIGAN						<p>Dorset Core Strategy Document, Managing Growth and Development up to the year 2027. I agree with the preamble stating that we need to meet local housing needs, with the emphasis on growing the main settlements where we have good access to facilities that people require. Some development of smaller communities should continue however, especially the provision of low cost housing. The community as a whole needs the services of teachers, nurses, firemen, postmen, and policemen who are poorly paid because they commit to a vocation rather than a high salary job. We also need the services of skilled people such as builders, electricians, plumbers, gas fitters, and motor mechanics, the essentially blue collar professionals. They must not be priced out of the market in terms of being able to buy a starter home, in the community that they serve. Having bought my first home in 1963, a two bedroom bungalow for £2,200 I am aware that locally such a property is currently one hundred times as much. This makes things difficult for young couples with the ratio of house prices to wages being the highest in the country. Many struggle with getting mortgages that now require typically a 25% deposit on an already expensive property.</p> <p>I moved to West Moors 15 years ago and appreciate the planning decisions that have taken place affecting this village. We now have a new build</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>shopping centre on Station Road, complete with flats, good pavements, lighting, pelican crossing and trees. I am pleased to have seen built several small, three storey, blocks of retirement and two bed flats close to the village centre. I support the gradual expansion of the Village, current population around 7,500 as we have good schools, a large Memorial hall, Churches with halls, two public houses, doctors, dentists and good bus services to both Poole and Bournemouth. We have footpath access to open land such as Holt Heath, as well as being able to use the old railway line route through the village to Ringwood, - the Castleman Trail. Recently St Marys Church has had built a new Church Hall which will serve the community and thanks to the National Lottery grant we now have an excellent Bowling Club. I support the continued slow expansion of the village as currently we have many facilities for people of all ages to enjoy.</p> <p>My current house was built in the mid-70s, and has trebled in value since my wife and I moved here 15 years ago. In the 1970s West Moors doubled in size and acquired new schools and since then other infrastructure improvements. In recent years the Library was refurbished. The facilities for retirees in West Moors, with access to Ferndown and Verwood, are very good, and coupled with excellent hospitals at Poole, Bournemouth and Wimborne, and I have felt no need to</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>move from East Dorset area in the conceivable future.</p> <p>I therefore support the proposals for additional housing as outlined in the Core Strategy Consultation, as well as the proposals for business sites for employment. I also support provisions for new schools particularly a new Secondary School at Verwood, and recreation areas to cater for the population increase. I understand that net immigration into Dorset is running at two thousand people a year so clearly we need to plan for housing, jobs, education, recreation, road improvements especially at busy junctions, and off road car parking in town centres and on new housing estates.</p> <p>There are many reasons why East Dorset and Christchurch, are attractive places for people to live, work and retire to. Long term planning that caters for the needs of a steady population increase is sensible and is to be welcomed.</p>			
361105	Mr John GOOCH	Also member of Colehill Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO9911</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503864	Heather Freeman		<a href="#">CSO9352</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The roads are already congested these developments would massively increase the problems. Schools, doctors, dentist and many other community services would need to increase to support these developments. West Parley village would be lost and existing house			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>prices lowered.</p> <p>This massive increase of a further 840 homes to the area would have a great effect on the already congested roads and access to these areas, considering that most homes have at least 1 if not more cars per family!</p> <p>Local schools, doctor's surgeries, dentists and other community and council provided services and amenities would be unable to cope with the increase in demand due to the increase population, requiring these services to be developed to accommodate the demand.</p> <p>Child care services, play areas and social facilities would also have to increase to accommodate the increase of young children within these areas, together with supermarkets, local shops, post offices and so much more!</p> <p>As the proposal includes a large number of affordable housing, for people on low incomes and benefits, public transport would also be affected and would need to be addressed.</p> <p>Due to the massive increase in affordable housing within an area which is full of privately owned properties, house prices of existing properties within West Parley would be seriously affected. Existing property values would be lowered so affecting the current population which have worked and saved hard to move into this sought after area.</p> <p>The 'village/country' feel of West Parley and particularly Parley Cross would be lost and overtaken by busier roads, modern housing developments</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and a different type of resident that exists today.</p> <p>Our green belt areas which have diminished over the years will once again be massively reduced.</p> <p>The wildlife in the area will be seriously affected; the nesting buzzards which hunt and feed within the fields at Parley Cross would be forced out of their existing habitat and would lose their hunting grounds.</p> <p>The small herd of deer seen regularly grazing in the fields at Parley Cross would also lose their grazing lands and would be forced to move to another area.</p> <p>The main reason we moved into Church Lane, West Parley was the fact that we fell in love with the village and rural feel of the area. We worked and saved very hard and put everything we owned into buying our property so that our children would grow up enjoying the feeling of living in a rural/country environment. To hopefully help them become better adults, showing respect for their environment, neighbours and community.</p> <p>We moved from Winton, which in areas is over populated with houses, cars and people. Where people live in each other's pockets, properties have small gardens and where parking of vehicles is a major problem due to limited or in some cases no off road parking facilities. In an area where there was a very mixed type of resident, some privately owned properties, some rented to working families, students or DSS tenants,</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>neighbour conflicts were very common. These developments will put 'us' back in the type of environment that was the reason for us moving to West Parley in the first place!</p> <p>We understand that not all affordable housing is occupied by 'badly behaved residents' but unfortunately these types of developments do encourage this type of resident.</p> <p>If these developments take place the 'old' West Parley and Parley Cross will be lost forever!</p> <p>We seem to have so few green belt areas left which feel part of our community, so little green areas within our built up 'lives', it would be such a shame to reduce these even more....</p>			
503869	Mrs Jean Khan		<a href="#">CSO9363</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>WPRA form</p> <p>The EDDC seems hell bent on destroying what was once a nice place to live.</p> <p>The Parley Cross Roads area is already chaotic, more housing in this area would only add to an already inadequate road system.</p> <p>I don't think West Parley is the best place for affordable housing.</p> <p>The present medical provision would be inadequate. It is already a fight to get an appointment. More houses would overload the system even more.</p> <p>One of the reasons I chose to live in West Parley was the Green Belt area. I hate the idea of losing it and I would not like to stay in W Parley should it be built on.</p> <p>The sewerage system has already got problems particularly the Gallows drive</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>area. Again more housing would only add more problems to the present system. The expansion of Hurn Airport increases aircraft noise and I certainly don't want to become a suburb of Bournemouth. Added pressure to an already chaotic road system doesn't bear thinking about.</p> <p>West Parley and Ferndown Housing Leaflet</p> <p>Parley crossroads is already a nightmare with the extension of Hurn airport and the gravel pit, if 500 homes are to be built this means minimum 500 more cars adding to the chaos. Sewerage problems, Gallows Drive area has already got problems. There will be added pressures to the medical services. I have always avoided buying on a housing estate and have no desire to do so. I also have concerns for the wildlife as far as I'm concerned you can stuff FWP 3, 4 and 5, if this goes ahead I won't be staying in West Parley. You seem hell bent on destroying what was once a pleasant place to live.</p>			
503877	Mr and Mrs T E C Hales		<a href="#">CSO9374</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I have noted the sites on your applications and would make the following comments:</p> <p>1) All the proposals are not viable unless there is either a bridged flyover or an underpass at Canford Bottom roundabout.</p> <p>2) At present, Ferndown High Street/ Ringwood Road is always congested, Relief could be obtained if heavy lorries were not allowed through, but had to use the A31 Ferndown bypass.</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							3) Items FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are no go with the present system and would be very doubtful even if items 1 and 2 were carried out. 4) FWP2 should be supported also FWP1 is a feasible development. 5) Final comment please do not let anyone lower the speed limit through Ferndown to 30mph; even under present conditions this would bring traffic to long hold ups.			
503878	Mr Peter Smith		<a href="#">CSO9397</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503879	Mr S Smithson		<a href="#">CSO9424</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
503943	Mr & Mrs Rumball		<a href="#">CSO9453</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
504093	Mr & Mrs Vivian		<a href="#">CSO9480</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
504101	Mrs Mary Treviss-Bell		<a href="#">CSO9507</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
504285	Mr P Miller		<a href="#">CSO9642</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Density - particularly FWP2. To achieve the densities you are proposing will be disastrously small - this is churning out rabbit hutches. Infrastructure. On the basis of 7 movements per property this amounts to almost 6000 additional movements per day on roads that are already overloaded. Highways works will only be tinkering with a situation that cannot			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							cope with a minor accident or road works. Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet DENSITY particularly FWP2; 45 units of the quality of those existing is ludicrous. INFRASTRUCTURE-The existing roads do not cope at present-one small accident or road works seizes the whole area up solid. You are proposing with all 5 areas something in the region of an extra 6000 car movements per day onto these already inadequate roads which cannot be improved sufficient to cope.			
504314	Ms Selina Roper		<a href="#">CSO9713</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
504549	Dan Stone		<a href="#">CSO9788</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley is a nice place to live. Building housing estates will tarnish that reputation and have a devastating effect on the community. Traffic is already a major issue in this area! It would be irresponsible to increase this problem further.			903
505273	Mrs Lorraine Hubbard		<a href="#">CSO9849</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
505288	Mrs S Cramer		<a href="#">CSO9885</a>	Option FWP 3	Support	General Comment	As a married mother of 3 children, 2 girls and 1 boy, living in a 2 bed Council flat (first floor), I welcome more housing to the Wimborne area for young families needing affordable housing / rented housing.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
505320	Mrs M Puttick		<a href="#">CSO9937</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - This area of East Dorset has been over developed for some years, making traffic congestion and access to all services unacceptably crowded. FWP 3, 4 and 5 have been subject to quite serious flooding over the last few winters, and it is surprising that housing would be considered here.			903
505354	Mr Tim Edwards		<a href="#">CSO9957</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		East Dorset cannot supply all the services required to build these houses - electric, water, waste, roads, gas There has already been a study on this subject. Please review!!!			903
505369	J Young		<a href="#">CSO9984</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
505506	Mr Peter Hendra		<a href="#">CSO10060</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	Building more houses has NOTHING to do with meeting the needs of (existing) local residents. We do not need or want more houses in our area. Planning to build more houses is driven by the 'need' to meet externally imposed quotas based on false assumptions on the desirability of further population and economic growth. Instead of wasting resources on building more housing which is ecologically unsustainable, we should be using them to make a transition to a low energy sustainable future for our children. Please listen to local residents and reject further development.			903
505523	Mr & Mrs A		<a href="#">CSO10083</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Can only really comment on Ferndown/West Parley and Wimborne			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Turner						areas. The potential for increase in traffic and harm to local ecology is biggest threat to West Parley and Longham. Traffic is already heavy - while commuting to Bournemouth a 20 min journey can take 45 mins. The strain on local infrastructure to doctors, schools and other sources will make life more uncomfortable.			
505561	Mr D. Calvert		<a href="#">CSO10111</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
505590	M Spalding		<a href="#">CSO10140</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
505681	Mr Nick Lewis		<a href="#">CSO10176</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ferndown and Wimborne are already over developed. On top of that the road access via A31 west of Ringwood is dreadful at rush hour and persons and building 1000.s of new homes will make this situation worse and cause complete gridlock. The traffic noise from the A31 is awful. The road needs to be re-surfaced between Ashley Heath and Ferndown.			903
505742	L. J. Ashplant		<a href="#">CSO10202</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
505786	Mr and Mrs P Frampton		<a href="#">CSO10226</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Christchurch Road is already chaotic with all these proposed homes leading to this road it will be a nightmare! Affordable housing means terraced housing reducing the good housing we now enjoy. Leading to lowering of property values. Where does Ferndown come into this			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							equation? It seems to me it's all West Parley. Surely there are many other areas in Ferndown that could lower the blow. Or are care homes and warden operated flats too good a money making area for Ferndown.			
505802	Mr RGH Chapman		<a href="#">CSO10253</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
505808	D. C. J. Turner		<a href="#">CSO10240</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No Building should be considered until the total infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Millhams tip once a week etc, the meaning of a formal park and valuable green infrastructure? We have delightful green space you seem to want to concrete it!			903
506116	Mr and Mrs P and SJ Simpson		<a href="#">CSO10304</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The current level of traffic in the West Parley and Ferndown area already causes considerable congestion. Any increase to this would just increase and compound this problem, particularly when any road works are on-going in the surrounding areas. At such times Parley Crossroads, New Road, Christchurch Road and Hurn become gridlocked. Local residents also have concerns over the provision of medical centres, community facilities, pre-schooling and school places if there were to be such a vast% increase in the population of West Parley.			903
506161	Mr RD Holyoake		<a href="#">CSO10327</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Any more development within Dorset's existing built up areas will end with a complete gridlock of traffic.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
506196	Mr & Mrs P Stout		<a href="#">CSO10356</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
506754	Mr Derek E Marsh		<a href="#">CSO10384</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507026	Mr David Craig		<a href="#">CSO10436</a>	Option FWP 3	Support	General Comment	I would like to see priority given to projects which have a higher concentration of social housing inclusion, and also include a higher green/renewable energy provision. Particular concentration on family areas, playgrounds and social/community facilities should be considered.			903
507032	Mr David Oliver		<a href="#">CSO10462</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507033	A R Twaits		<a href="#">CSO10488</a>	Option FWP 3	Support	General Comment	Despite improvements to public transport, cycling and walking, the reality is that the vast majority of journeys will continue to be made by car. Local roads are already congested and the extent of development proposed is not acceptable without significant increases in the capacity of these roads for cars. In particular problems on the Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses need to be resolved, and the A31 to Poole link built.			903
507034	Mr Ronald Webb		<a href="#">CSO10505</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
507070	Mr and Mrs Earwicker		<a href="#">CSO10512</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Homes Development - Ferndown and West Parley: - Sites 3, 4 and 5 - we strongly object to any development at Parley Cross. This would radically change the way our area looks and feels, and an already bad traffic situation at peak times would be made extremely worse. No amount of development in the roads would solve the problem of too many people trying to use too few routes. Our other concern is 'affordable housing', mixing private homes for purchase with housing for persons who may not be as conscientious as their neighbours at looking after their homes etc. More development for these sorts of property should be at the Heatherlands Estate.			903
507132	Ms L Joyce		<a href="#">CSO10531</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
507170	Miss A K Jukes		<a href="#">CSO10580</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
361037	Mr P STRATFORD		<a href="#">CSO11274</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I expect that my objection to everything is not very practical. However, I have lived in the area for most of the last fifty years. In that time there has been a constant cycle of the council saying we need more housing, followed by we need more industrial areas to provide extra jobs for all the new homes. Then in turn the council says we require extra jobs for the new homes, and then in turn the council says we require extra homes for the new workers. This			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>process is never ending. During this time I've witnessed the creation and expansion of the industrial estates at Ferndown, Uddens, Ebblake and Wimborne. A whole new housing estate at Tricketts Cross, Glenmoor Rd Ferndown, and the expansion of West Moors, Colehill, and Verwood turned from sleepy village into a town. In this time I am not aware of any developed area being returned to its natural state from a developed one. Please let me know if you think differently. I hope you agree that my objection to development is rational and that the never ending cycle of expansion is stopped.</p> <p>All the suggested sites would add to the traffic volume at the crossroads and surrounding area, these are already congested. The increased development of the area means it will soon just look like a suburb of Bournemouth.</p> <p>NOW IS THE TIME FOR IT TO STOP!</p>			
475526	Mr Geoffrey Dark		<a href="#">CSO10956</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Any development in FWP 3, 4 and 5 would make traffic conditions at Parley Cross unacceptable. It would also destroy the rural nature of West Parley.</p> <p>Water from FWP 3 already runs across Ridgeway and into Longfield Drive and Elm Tree Walk, and concreting this area would greatly increase the problem. Also Longfield Drive could not accept traffic from the development, as it is very difficult now to exit into New Road.</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
507218	Mr and Mrs J Smith		<a href="#">CSO10620</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
507261	Barnes		<a href="#">CSO10653</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	Having lived in West Parley for 16 years, the increase in traffic has been colossal. To build so many dwellings on local land is sheer lunacy as the infrastructure will not cope with it all and it will spoil a lovely rural area, already trying to cope with increased traffic problems due to airport expansion. There has been no mention of new schools either, which will have to be built to accommodate all these new families.			903
507286	Mr N P Butler		<a href="#">CSO10696</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507336	Mr John Page		<a href="#">CSO10758</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507356	Mr and Mrs M Moody		<a href="#">CSO10852</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507363	Mr Kevin Sayer		<a href="#">CSO10872</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	The area alongside the A31 between St Leonards Hospital and Tricketts Cross is an area where many houses could be built. Currently this area is an eye-sore and gives a bad impression to visitors as they cross into Dorset from Hampshire. Why are nearly all your proposals on greenbelt land? There are many areas in East Dorset on non-greenbelt land which could be			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							built on. Your proposal (FWP 4 and 5) will put more pressure on Parley Common as well as introduce more traffic on an already busy road system.			
507388	Mr David Huggins		<a href="#">CSO10909</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
507463	Mr and Mrs G Hirst		<a href="#">CSO11003</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
507474	Mark and Jean Cording		<a href="#">CSO11042</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>1) We are still due a correctment in housing prices; housing benefits have artificially underpinned the bubble. The so called shortage of housing is also caused by breaking up of the family unit (teenagers and young people do not need their own homes)</p> <p>2) The Green Belt must be protected, a temporary supposed need would and could not be reversed. There are hundreds of brown sites which could be utilised, without the need for more disruptive and expensive infrastructures.</p> <p>3) Green Belt land was created to protect open land between and around developments. We anticipated development and councils saying they need more land, it was and is vital so please do not change the policy. Times change, needs change, populations (immigration and emigration) demands disappear but green land cannot be replaced.</p>			903
507516	D Tyler		<a href="#">CSO11067</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The introduction of nearly 400 homes FWP1, FWP3, FWP4 would cause			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic chaos at both Parley X and Longham R/Bs both of which now affect the whole area? bottlenecks. Holmwood Park and Poor Common would feel the effects of building at FWP1, never mind an extra 50-85 cars and families. Are there no brownfield sites available? Has the minimal wildlife that clings to an already disturbed existence, be further decimated. Do these proposals include health centres and schools? Shops?			
507524	Mr C G Richardson		<a href="#">CSO11082</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507555	Mr and Mrs C Lamond		<a href="#">CSO11109</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
507572	GJ Pettifer		<a href="#">CSO11145</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am firmly opposed to any significant housing development in West Parley for the following reasons: 1) Traffic increase arising from up to 850 houses will be quite unsustainable. Improving the x roads will make little difference because the junction is affected by traffic from Christchurch Road, New Road and the Airport. New Rd is also used as a substitute for the Wessex Way whenever that road has a problem. Roadworks in the area, up to 1 mile away always affect Parley Cross. 2) Further housing with its associated extra population and needs, will destroy the remaining pleasant character of West Parley. It will follow			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Ferndown as an overcrowded sprawl with insufficient infrastructure. 3) No mention of the Dormy! This is enough to contend with, thank you.			
507652	Mrs Isabel Brooks		<a href="#">CSO11202</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		My Ferndown and West Parley objections are mainly due to the fact that there are already NO school places locally for extra families and that this area is already under extra 'stress' environmentally. What about MORE allotments?			903
507659	Ms Victoria Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO11220</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
507693	Mrs P Carter		<a href="#">CSO11269</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507789	Mr CJ Barrett		<a href="#">CSO11447</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
507800	Mrs K M Platt		<a href="#">CSO11473</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507980	Sylvia Allen		<a href="#">CSO11590</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Any extra traffic at Parley Cross and Longham would be unbearable without major road improvements. Re more homes at Parley Cross.			903
508383	Ms Emma Hayter		<a href="#">CSO11705</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Think it is important for East Dorset to have affordable housing. At the moment I work in East Dorset and am looking to buy my first home, places in East Dorset are very expensive and are having to look outside the local area.			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							I really don't want to move too far from my job.			
508413	Mrs S Best		<a href="#">CSO11765</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
508455	Mr W Swingler		<a href="#">CSO11802</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The traffic is bad now. The road network is nil. No schools. Not enough doctors. No. No. No.			903
508478	M Hewlett		<a href="#">CSO11828</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Can there be a road from Dudsbury Heights looping to New Road behind the houses to relieve congestion at Parley traffic lights.			903
508482	Mrs K Mills		<a href="#">CSO11834</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
508488	Mrs JA Levasseur		<a href="#">CSO11841</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ferndown is built up enough and congested enough as it is. Affordable housing should have been built instead of all the care homes. This has encouraged vast numbers of elderly- who is going to care and pay for them?			903
360116	Mr A G KING		<a href="#">CSO11944</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		The above 3 options 1-2-3 would produce a total of 340 houses with no visual impact on the are as they are all partially hidden. FWP5 is the worst as it joins Ferndown to Bournemouth. FWP4 should be resisted.			903
360910	Mrs Fiona BAKER		<a href="#">CSO12907</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The volume of traffic on New Road is already excessively high. Having spent 2 years marketing our New Road home congestion has already had a negative impact on the saleability and value of our property. These plans			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							would further exacerbate this situation.			
360952	Mr and Mrs GR and JE MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO12052</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
361014	Mr Peter Hammond		<a href="#">CSO12411</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Bearing in mind that the building of new homes on Poor Common went ahead despite the objections of local residents, the Environment Ministry and the Transport Ministry and the fact that new houses will soon be built on the Dormy Hotel site, none of these options should be considered. The area between Award Road and Stapehill Road on Wimborne Road West should be used.			903
361062	Mr & Mrs ENH COLLIER		<a href="#">CSO12913</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic levels in Christchurch Rd and Parley Cross are already excessive. Heavy lorries and speeding traffic are already a nuisance-major road improvements and consideration of vehicle weight restrictions would be welcome.			903
475494	Mr Alan Macdonald		<a href="#">CSO12749</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We demand no building in the existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ houses or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
481604	Mr Gerald Toomer		<a href="#">CSO11822</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Affordable homes at FWP3, FWP4, FWP5 would provide a valuable labour force close to the fast growing Northern Business Park of the Airport KS13 and BA 1-11			903
494723	Mr D Brittain		<a href="#">CSO12669</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
496659	Mr & Mrs Tony & Hilary Hendy		<a href="#">CSO11737</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
500570	Mr J.D Head		<a href="#">CSO12573</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Feel strongly that suggested levels will result in even more crowding to the area and cause irreversible damage to the environment. A full investigation of all brown field sites, derelict and empty properties must be taken before any building on green field sites. You only have to look at number of estate agents in this area and the amount of property they have for sale to see there is no shortage of homes. Yes there is shortfall of affordable property but the reckless over development that is being suggested will not make property any cheaper. You only have to look at Verwood to see that over development has not created cheap homes far from it and the nicer non-estate properties have risen in price thus pulling up the prices of all properties. The biggest barrier to affordable housing is the locally low average wage, buy to let and second homes. If any of these developments take place what controls are in place to ensure that only local people can buy			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>them and there will not be another huge influx of people from outside the area. With this over development and the covering of green fields in concrete these estates that are being talked about could be anywhere in the country they will all look the same, so why destroy countryside to create something that people from outside the area move to that is little different to the estate from where they came from. Why encourage people to move to the area, if you discourage the influx of retired to the area it will relieve the pressure on social and health services and the local infrastructure. The large no of elderly people within the area means that the death rate will exceed the birth rate and so over next few years more property will come on to the market.</p> <p>I have major objections to the levels proposed.</p> <p>1) The sheer environmental impact on this amount of housing into the fragile green lung that exists along the Stour corridor that divides East Dorset from the Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch conurbation. If the level of development continues as proposed it will be possible to drive from north of Verwood to the sea at Bournemouth pier and all you will see is houses.</p> <p>2) There will be much higher level of storm water run-off from these new estates. At present times of high rainfall the water can soak into the ground and filter away, by covering the land with buildings and roads there will be a much higher faster level of run off</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>which will have to drain to the Stour thereby causing risk of flash flooding.</p> <p>2a) Conversely to the above the increases in proposed population across the whole area will cause strain on water supplies especially in dry weather. Rivers such as the Allen are already suffering reduced flows because of heavy extraction and increases in population will only make it worse. As long ago as the 1970's warnings were given as to what would happen to Dorset's chalk streams and rivers if increasing levels of extraction were allowed, regrettably they were ignored but what was predicted will come true if this level of development is allowed to continue.</p> <p>3) Increased traffic levels in the area in general would be caused by proposed development. It is no good talking about improving public transport when for many people the car is the only way to get to work, buses for example being too inflexible. Governments over the years have kept telling us that we must be flexible in our approach to work which means in many cases working odd hours. The average wait at lights at Parley Cross is 2 minutes, the biggest problem is caused by drivers who are too slow to start moving increased housing will make this situation far worse. Any redevelopment of this junction due to extra population runs the risk of the roads and vehicles starting to dominate the area which will then discourage walkers who will then use their cars for short journeys to the shops which then just makes even</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>more traffic congestion.</p> <p>4) To talk about extra shops at Parley Cross is questionable when the existing shops are under used. There used to be a wider range of shops but they could not compete with large supermarkets at Castlepoint, Ferndown and Wimborne. For example the new Waitrose in Wimborne will only serve to hasten the decline of small shops left in the area. People will use the bigger stores because of price, choice and opening hours and to suggest another shop at the crossroads will just result in an under used store that will struggle to compete the bigger stores nearby.</p> <p>5) Development on South East side of cross roads will put at risk the fine row of trees which contribute to the visual appearance of the area. The levels of development will put a heavy strain on surrounding areas that are used for recreation.</p> <p>I feel that South East Dorset has now reached breaking point as far as large scale development is concerned. I accept that certain amount of small scale infill can take place across the area. Full use should be made of derelict and brownfield sites. Small scale infill across every community should be fully investigated, stricter controls over under used second homes and strict controls over the sale of so called affordable homes to people from out of the area. If there is a problem with building homes on the old dormy site due to its closeness to Parley Common then the same can be</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							said for building by Parley crossroads. Common sense says tidy the derelict site and leave the green fields alone. If the government is giving you these targets tell them to go away and look elsewhere in the country and look at housing stock in the whole country and bring that fully into use before going down the road to a concrete jungle that is being proposed. The full up has now to be put up on South East Dorset. The above reasons are why I object as many people do to the level of development being proposed.			
504776	Mr and Mrs T R Jenner		<a href="#">CSO11795</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507759	Peter and Dee Houghton		<a href="#">CSO11362</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The roads cannot cope at present. Proposed development will cause massive additional pressure/peak time delay. Green land eroded.			903
507760	Mr and Mrs R Gardner		<a href="#">CSO11360</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
508549	R and S Gooden		<a href="#">CSO11901</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Where will all these people work? Jobs are already scarce. Residents are not likely to use buses as they take too long and there are too many detours. They are also very expensive. The infrastructure is not adequate for the amount of houses planned.			903
508562	K Clayson		<a href="#">CSO11917</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		More houses will cause a huge increase on an already busy main road			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							network.			
508578	David and Carolyn Winsall		<a href="#">CSO11928</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP4 and 5 would take less traffic issue. Christchurch Rd and Longham in particular is plagued with traffic. We need some relief not more cars. Can't move now for traffic at Haskins roundabout.			903
508590	Mr Jamie Ball		<a href="#">CSO11954</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Building houses on the open space we have reduces the open space we have. We are a young family who have moved to the area because of the open space. If you reduce the open space we have by building more houses on it you will force us to move elsewhere. This whole consideration of development options will create a negative effect on everyone that already live in the area. We are totally against this proposed set of plans.			903
508601	KA Cook		<a href="#">CSO11971</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		There is little doubt that more housing is needed and a number of smaller developments seem more attractive than the large one (FWP5). However, without the necessary transport infrastructure to support the increased activity during and after these developments, there will always be public hostility. Better bus services and cycle facilities are key to limiting road traffic increases.			903
508605	J Ames		<a href="#">CSO12001</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508634	Jacqueline Legg		<a href="#">CSO12015</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Badgers, deer, buzzards and other wildlife in these areas. Commuters to jobs in Bournemouth would occupy new housing. Character of area would be changed and traffic would increase to an impossible level.			903
508649	Mr J Tucker		<a href="#">CSO12029</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I believe it is important to maintain an open area "buffer" between Parley and Bournemouth. In present economic times I cannot see justification for the full building development. Whilst assurances about affordable housing I can see exploitation of the upper value properties noting desirable areas ie FWP2 and 3. There is no way that transport issues can be dealt with satisfactorily noting bottlenecks at Longham and New Road bridge which cannot be improved as well as traffic towards the airport.			903
508674	Mrs C Winsall		<a href="#">CSO12070</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic on junction of Christchurch Rd Longham and Ringwood Road is extreme. This is untenable and Longham is very noisy and dangerous with traffic. You can't get across the road even. Also Longham will blend into Ferndown and should not. We have lost Poor Common to development and gained a hotel already.			903
508685	Mr and Mrs DG Spivey		<a href="#">CSO12087</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
508708	Gary and Sue Peskett		<a href="#">CSO12112</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Major road improvements required before any of these proposals can take			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							place-plus updated school and medical provision required.			
508712	SR Lambden		<a href="#">CSO12123</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
508717	Mrs A Young		<a href="#">CSO12139</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No matter how many road improvements you make for every ONE house you build around West Parley there will be ONE to TWO extra cars on the roads surrounding Parley Cross which is known to be one of the busiest in Dorset. It is not fair to existing residents living in the area to bring this extra amount of traffic on to these roads.			903
508828	Mr & Mrs Hardiman		<a href="#">CSO12166</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Ferndown and West Parley have not got the infrastructure to support such a large housing development. The roads at Parley Cross and around Hurn Airport at peak times come to stand still and cannot support the traffic volumes. The green belt in and around Ferndown has already been reduced considerably in size and the fields at West Parley flood at high rain fall. So to these developments we say No. So we hope EDDC like us would like to see Ferndown and West Parley stay the beautiful and peaceful area that it already is. Please think about the people that already live in this area. Thank you.			903
508835	Mrs E.L. Stratford		<a href="#">CSO12187</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The Council is always after land for housing or business development. Whatever is granted is never enough and a few years later they want more. This process has been going on for			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							over 50 years and it is time it came to a stop. Enough is enough as it is ruining the area.			
508852	Mrs L.A. Cheshire		<a href="#">CSO12244</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Have no objections to small developments at various locations in the West Parley area (corner of Chine Walk and Christchurch Road is a wonderful example) but we are totally against mass development which would saturate relatively small areas to a massive degree and which would be totally out of keeping with a small village which has little infra-structure and the worse crossroads / traffic congestion in the whole of East Dorset. These schemes are madness!			903
508860	Mrs Sue Cartlidge		<a href="#">CSO12576</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Don't spoil the country atmosphere of West Parley with houses.			903
508866	Mr and Mrs Penny and Vincent Smith		<a href="#">CSO12798</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
508887	Mr J.S. Kidd		<a href="#">CSO12312</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
508966	Mrs Mansell		<a href="#">CSO12384</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
508993	Mr A Samways		<a href="#">CSO12428</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
509065	Mrs B Burge		<a href="#">CSO12497</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509281	Mr Paul Molloy		<a href="#">CSO12605</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The roads and infrastructure struggle to cope in Parley as it is! Massive improvements to roads will be required.			903
509299	Mr R Moore		<a href="#">CSO12620</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I think that all of these sites are going to attract the older generation because this is the sort of area that appeals to them. FWP5 may bring younger families to this area but will require many more facilities than homes. It is important for developers to pay for transport developments.			903
509318	P Bamborough		<a href="#">CSO12657</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We fail to see the need for additional shops/offices in FWP4 and 5. It would also be helpful if it were clearly spelt out what road improvements will be made and transport improvements enhanced. A scaled down housing number of say 100 would be acceptable for FWP3.			903
509453	Mrs H Stallard		<a href="#">CSO12673</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
509489	CD Raine		<a href="#">CSO12692</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
509504	Mr and Mrs Lewis		<a href="#">CSO12705</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It doesn't take too much research to see that the West Parley area has more than enough traffic to cope with, without adding any further significant quantity of vehicle movements that would result from the proposals given. No amount of cycle paths or even improved infrastructure will help New Road, Christchurch Road or surrounding roads absorb further			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic. Surely we don't need to fill in all the "green gaps" around the area.			
509533	Mr M Mason		<a href="#">CSO12719</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Too many people on roads, pressure on jobs, hospitals, doctors, dentists, schools, etc The only way we would support this is for only low cost affordable housing for local people not rich outsiders. Why not use existing properties that are empty and need refurbishing?			903
509543	Ms Beryl MacDonald		<a href="#">CSO12736</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We demand no building in existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road traffic conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ homes or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			903
509611	Mrs M Toomer		<a href="#">CSO12762</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Re: Core Strategy Options (7 Bmth airport) growth of industrial est. (7.9=1900 new jobs) FWP3/4/5 could provide a local workforce near to its location.			903
509658	Ms Carole McLean		<a href="#">CSO12774</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
509754	Mr Brian		<a href="#">CSO12782</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP3/4/5 is under flight path or close enough to cause concern. FwP4/5			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Smith						have already been previously under discussion and turned down due to traffic concerns.			
509776	Ms Jane Foulger		<a href="#">CSO12791</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
509784	Mr Nathan Winfield		<a href="#">CSO12808</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		10 years resident in Parley near Parley Cross-year on year increase in volume of traffic. Gridlock between 7.30am and 9.00 am and 4.30pm -6pm. Roads can clearly not support existing level of traffic. Proposed improvements will make little difference. On-going expansion of Bmth airport and associated business park. Significant increase in HGV traffic. Development will spoil the look and feel of the area. Insufficient schooling, doctors etc... Clearly whoever makes this decision should come and live with us for a while. It will make their decision easy!			903
509795	Mr R Ridley		<a href="#">CSO12821</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Please ensure you get the minimum affordable houses, Ferndown badly needs these. The developments should be houses and not flats.			903
509811	Mrs J Waugh		<a href="#">CSO12833</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Parley crossroads are busiest in Dorset so 835 extra houses with 3 cars each would be impossible. This is not even considering the extra strain Drs, medical services, schools etc. I have objections to building on Green Belt land which preserves the charm of West Parley and keeps us separate from Kinson and Bournemouth.			903
509818	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO12859</a>	Option	Object		Ratio of affordable housing extremely			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	A Cooper			FWP 3			high Parley Cross completely gridlocked every day, proposal would introduce approx a further 300-400 vehicles on an already congested area. FWP1 would encroach on the existing green area which would gradually join Ferndown to Longham The number of approx housing per site would indicate small, terraced properties.			
509829	Mr Andrew Taylor		<a href="#">CSO12848</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We live in Parley Close and we have a hard job crossing the road now, it will be impossible if these places are built.			903
361035	Mrs H.L O'SULLIVAN		<a href="#">CSO13015</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
509843	Mr and Mrs Brian and Dorothy Adams		<a href="#">CSO12867</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It appears that the West Parley area South and South West of Parley Cross is expected to take the entire share of any new development. Where is the contribution from our neighbours? This throws the greater burden of schools, medical and environmental issues on this small area. The map supplied looks like the introduction to an episode of Dad's Army with development arrows pointing menacingly towards West Parley Crossroads. The perception is that West Parley is an easy option; you surely know and will be told from your survey that nobody wants an expansion of this magnitude, a quiet village to double in size? No Way! If this is an enforced situation with no true discussion then the process will			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							become one of rearranging the deck chairs on the West Parley Titanic. It is surely necessary to study the layout again and examine where provision already exists and then look for available space. Not an easy task but the tail should not wag the dog in this way.			
509859	Mrs Sperling		<a href="#">CSO12878</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
509897	Mrs Rachel Harding		<a href="#">CSO12895</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We live on Christchurch Road, which is extremely busy and would not be able to cope with increased traffic. We moved out of town on purpose to a semi-rural area and do not want to see new housing developments. Developments should take place in towns that have the infrastructure to cope West Parley does not.			903
509934	Miss Nixon		<a href="#">CSO12939</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		There are already thousands of cars, lorries etc. going through Parley Cross Christchurch etc.! It's extremely dangerous crossing the roads and the immense volume of cars, inevitably cause accidents! Putting these houses here will cause nothing but heart ache and problems to existing residence!			903
509939	Mr and Mrs Barton		<a href="#">CSO12943</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
509977	A Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO12963</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Already too much congestion on Christchurch Rd and New Rd. The huge lorries that use Christchurch Rd is ridiculous going to industrial estate. This is supposed to be Ferndown and West Parley but I can't see any			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							development plans for Ferndown.			
510026	Ms Tracy Ryan		<a href="#">CSO12975</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
510055	R Elliott		<a href="#">CSO12989</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
510070	Mr David Humphrey		<a href="#">CSO12998</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		3, 4, 5 provides natural expansion. 4 and 5 will provide much needed retail area needed with expansion planned.			903
510084	Ms Elizabeth Morris		<a href="#">CSO13006</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		If any traffic is to be added to Christchurch Rd then there must be drastic improvements to traffic flow. In addition public transport must improve to stop people using cars.			903
510420	Mr Peter Stevens		<a href="#">CSO13155</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
510490	Ms Helen Banfield		<a href="#">CSO13242</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
510532	W.W. Chant		<a href="#">CSO13286</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
510623	Mr Douglas Priest		<a href="#">CSO13390</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
510798	Mr F Sullivan		<a href="#">CSO13533</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
510844	Mr Michael Guilmany-Cush		<a href="#">CSO13577</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
510873	Mr & Mrs B.R. Mayes		<a href="#">CSO13625</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
510974	Mr Jim Cullumbine		<a href="#">CSO13653</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
510993	R.A. Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO13687</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
511015	Mr Christopher White		<a href="#">CSO13741</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511052	Mrs B.J Bailey		<a href="#">CSO13769</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Roads will be far too crowded with so many extra houses which will most likely have one or more at each property.			903
511066	Mr and Mrs D Legg		<a href="#">CSO13795</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We really need better roads in an already very busy area. We already have industrial saturation in Church Lane what with businesses operating to the far end and also the M.S centre generates a vast amount of traffic. We do not need all of this for comfortable living.			903
511076	Mr Ian Burden		<a href="#">CSO13822</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
511114	Mr Rob Gigg		<a href="#">CSO13840</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The area cannot support any more traffic. This area is very popular with horse riders who need to access the local bridleways; the levels of traffic already pose a significant danger. Use the out of town developments built for this purpose eg Verwood, not pile			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							development on the towns.			
511125	Mrs Christine Elliott		<a href="#">CSO13855</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No more traffic please for Christchurch Road, Coppins especially bad as with FWP 3.			903
359971	Mr Alan Pickering		<a href="#">CSO14731</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Will join us to Kinson (urban sprawl) Proposed supermarket is wanted. Green belt farmland wasted for money by London insurance company speculators. Roads are not adequate and directly under Hurn flight path No.			903
360097	Mr John DOWLING		<a href="#">CSO14497</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
361036	Mr & Mrs Frank & Victoria TROLLOPE		<a href="#">CSO15287</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Having lived in Ridgeway for 45 years we place great value on the green belt land in front of us and it was a principal reason for living there originally. Any development in those green fields would greatly devalue our (and our neighbours) properties and our quality of life. We also have great concerns about flooding at this end of Ridgeway with the amount of water which would run off developed surfaces considerably higher than Ridgeway.			903
361121	Mrs Elizabeth JONES		<a href="#">CSO14014</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
475495	Mrs Hilary Barnett		<a href="#">CSO14574</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Object as land gets water logged, congestion of traffic and no facilities.			903
502441	Mrs Ingrid Wells		<a href="#">CSO15293</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511399	Mr & Mrs Michael and Diana Froud		<a href="#">CSO14060</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511417	Mrs Marjorie Derrick		<a href="#">CSO14087</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
511430	A.D. May		<a href="#">CSO14193</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511475	Mrs M Kinsey		<a href="#">CSO14154</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley will no longer be a village and will be completely ruined. The traffic is horrendous now and I dread to think what it will be like if we have cars from all the houses you suggest. I live on the corner of Parley Close and have a job getting on to New Road now. Also there are mostly elderly people living round here and have a terrible time crossing the roads so it will be a disaster if houses are built round here. It is also supposed to be green belt and we will certainly lose all the birds and wildlife. I am against it all.			903
511489	Mr H G Holden		<a href="#">CSO14176</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511571	Colin Alborough		<a href="#">CSO14231</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		We are in desperate need of more affordable housing in the East Dorset area. There is also a shortage of employment opportunities in the area. The provision of more houses should help to push house prices down to give young people a change of getting on the property ladder.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511618	Mrs V Blunden		<a href="#">CSO14296</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
511621	Ms Mary A Combe		<a href="#">CSO14277</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511692	Ms Ruth Blaug		<a href="#">CSO14414</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I			903
511711	Coombes		<a href="#">CSO14435</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511872	Ms Debbie Boyes/Osborne		<a href="#">CSO14546</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
511881	Mr R Brookes		<a href="#">CSO14560</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
511896	Mr David Elrick		<a href="#">CSO14590</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Traffic volumes on Christchurch Road are already high. Reason we moved (young family) to this area was due to more open areas and green belt.			903
511905	Mrs S Lanham		<a href="#">CSO14606</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
511910	Mr O.K Izzard		<a href="#">CSO14620</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511916	Mr Craig Baker		<a href="#">CSO14628</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		These developments are not required as there is sufficient housing stock in East Dorset. Ferndown will become part of the Bournemouth conurbation if these developments go ahead. New			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Road cannot take any more traffic. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would be disastrous for the residents, environment and roads.			
511926	Mr John Beesley		<a href="#">CSO14644</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Infrastructure (mainly roads) is completely overstretched currently New Road/Christchurch Road/Ringwood Road/Ham Lane/A31 Wimborne- Canford Bottom what on earth will add more housing and shops do to it. A radical road scheme is necessary eg widening of A31 from Ferndown to Poole into dual carriageway relieving Ringwood Road/New Road.			903
511937	Mr R Pogson		<a href="#">CSO14670</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 are almost directly beneath the flight path of Bournemouth airport, also it is unlikely that the existing infrastructure would cope with the increase in population.			903
511953	Mr and Mrs Alan and Julie Ridout		<a href="#">CSO14688</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Transport improvements are required now before any house building takes place.			903
511964	Mrs Sue Cornell		<a href="#">CSO14698</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Church Lane can barely cope with the traffic at the moment, trying to get out of this lane in the mornings is awful. The volume of traffic and the chaos caused when there are road works brings the area to a standstill. I imagine all the developers will be building all their houses way before any improvement to the road structure is complete. Have you seen what happens when an accident on the spur road involves redirecting traffic this way.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511978	Mr Cummings		<a href="#">CSO14719</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512007	Mr Neil Hayward		<a href="#">CSO14749</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have lived at West Parley for 8 years, anyone who proposes housing in this area must be living on another planet with traffic levels reaching saturation point along Parley Lane and New Road and the close proximity of Bournemouth airport, any development in this area would be an act of complete insanity.			903
512016	Mrs Sue Willcocks		<a href="#">CSO14774</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		The transport infrastructure must be given priority and needs to be implemented before any development starts.			903
512027	Mr and Mrs B.D Young		<a href="#">CSO14792</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512034	Mr R.J Jerrett		<a href="#">CSO14802</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		This area needs more affordable houses and more jobs as most people in this area are not local and have moved from away, I cannot see how they can object. We need a new bridge at Longham also local road improvements before some of the work starts ie Parley Cross roundabout etc.			903
512069	Mr and Mrs Stephen Rideout		<a href="#">CSO14825</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Roads in these areas are at capacity, it takes us several minutes to safely get out of our drive onto Christchurch Road. 10 years ago this was not such a problem. Constant traffic and queuing does not add up to further development.			903
512083	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO14838</a>	Option	Object		We are keen to see the green belt area			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	D.C Morse-Boycott			FWP 3			protected and feel the development in West Parley endangers this. The local community of West Parley wish to retain this 'local feel'. Increase of traffic is another very negative aspect.			
512098	Mr and Mrs D and A Burch		<a href="#">CSO14859</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Development should be spread in order to maintain a semi-rural environment. Consideration should also ensure that all roads take the increased traffic. Green belts are essential maintaining independent districts. We object to linking up forming a structure for future infill. Traffic congestion is everyone's concern especially those of us that live on Christchurch Road.			903
512113	Mr Steve Kitson		<a href="#">CSO14876</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Roads, schools and general infrastructure will not support any large building of houses nor should the green belt between Longham and Ferndown be compromised to any large extent. Ringwood Road and Casterbridge Road are already becoming busier as traffic increases and use cut through.			903
512129	Mr J.R Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO14895</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512132	Mr J.E Wells		<a href="#">CSO14906</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		New homes will have to be developed, however I do hope the culture and serenity of these areas will be maintained.			903
512134	Mrs Anthea Scott		<a href="#">CSO14918</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I believe that the green belt as slim as it is should be protected at all costs - I do not think the gap between East			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Dorset and Bournemouth would be closed and we would end up with their quota of houses destined for our green belt. Hurn airport is always referred to as Bournemouth International Airport although Adventure Wonderland on the opposite side of the road is advertised as being in Hurn. We do not want to be swallowed up.			
512281	Graham Roberts		<a href="#">CSO15002</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
512315	Mr Roger J Heath		<a href="#">CSO15000</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The proposed developments around West Parley are untenable. The junction at Parley Cross is already over capacity and any attempt to improve the junction will only have a minimal effect. There is no room to widen New Road nor is there space for a relief road. Adding new homes and inviting even more cars to pour out of it. New Road at peak times will lead to a total log jam. The local area also lacks key infrastructure ie schools, doctors, dentists etc.			903
361124	Mrs M.E Brown		<a href="#">CSO16360</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
361196	Mr J.M BULLIVANT		<a href="#">CSO16278</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
476264	Mr David Reddaway		<a href="#">CSO15673</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Ferndown has room for expansion taking into account present services and road use.			903
511639	Paul		<a href="#">CSO16082</a>	Option	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Hockey			FWP 3						
512325	Mr DM Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO15036</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The traffic situation would become intolerable if the proposed houses were built in the West Parley area. West Parley would become an overspill for Bournemouth and lose its village status.			903
512326	Mr Ian Willis		<a href="#">CSO15059</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
512332	Mr John Neal		<a href="#">CSO15058</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512344	Mr M Wyeth		<a href="#">CSO15077</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Totally oppose building on green belt. We already have unacceptable congestion levels on Christchurch Road and New Road, in addition to high levels of noise and air pollution. I voted at the last election for a party that would protect the green belt.			903
512351	Mr J.R Warnock		<a href="#">CSO15090</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Not enough transport facilities ie buses more pressure on Parley crossroads which is already very busy. This is green belt area and should not be built on as there are very few left. This site is under or close to the airport flight path ie noise and safety.			903
512363	Mr T Meads		<a href="#">CSO15118</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It already takes up to 10 mins to get out of our drive with 25,000 movements per day. Now you want to add a further 3,000 cars per day and how would you like 45 neighbours. How will various services cope with all the extra.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512399	Mr and Mrs RD Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15132</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512406	Mr and Mrs PE and JA Coward		<a href="#">CSO15138</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512437	E Andrews		<a href="#">CSO15155</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I do not want to see loss of the fields.			903
512459	Sandra Davis		<a href="#">CSO15163</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Loss of green belt is my main concern. 700 homes in close approximation to traffic lights would be a nightmare for exiting the proposed estates. The airport will grow so will the traffic. We see queues to the lights at Parley of over a mile in all directions most mornings/evenings. The village will require all sorts of supporting facilities/infrastructure. The new cycle path, lights to airport is infrequently used. You have FWP1-5 but description w.sub area, eastern sub area and central sub area- not very clear at all.			903
512477	Mr DJ Budden		<a href="#">CSO15172</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Cannot understand why PC9 is (not?) favourite for new homes. It has no existing residential border. Has much better trunk road access. Can benefit from money spent on forthcoming A31/B3073 roundabout improvements. Enjoys excellent bus services (No13 W and D every 30 mins to Wimborne, Ferndown and Bournemouth) Has local shops at Stapehill. To feed even more traffic direct onto A348 and B3073 is irresponsible. In any development it must be essential to			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							maintain tree/shrub screen bordering A348 and B3073 to preserve rural nature, also only one single access road to/from each development.			
512677	Mr P R Field		<a href="#">CSO15224</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
512703	Rita Sweatland		<a href="#">CSO15236</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
513015	Mr Adrian Roscoe		<a href="#">CSO15248</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I feel that this is just a money generating scheme for the council. There is no way the roads could cope with the extra traffic as you have highlighted yet made no suggestion as to how to deal with it. Other issues would be schools, parks, medical facilities, dentists etc etc.			903
513028	Mrs M Wilson		<a href="#">CSO15262</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
513034	Mrs Cheryl Walters		<a href="#">CSO15275</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Can Ferndown schools, surgeries etc cope with any/all of the above. All would add to Ringwood Road traffic congestion and already maybe a problem.			903
513063	Mr I Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15298</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Existing development on Camellias not yet taken over by Council (roads). After considerable period of years water not taken over. Any further development seems inappropriate as current developments not finished and these developments would only add to the problem.			903
513085	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO15304</a>	Option	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	B Harris			FWP 3						
513091	Mr Paul Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO15308</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
513126	Mr S.J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15330</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Look again at PC4 and PC9. West Parley is a mature village with few social pressures, having remained generally unchanged for many years. A recent survey of its 1600 households showed an overwhelming majority against this scheme and the pressures it will bring to transport, infrastructure. Local facilities and that the need to protect our green belt, heritage sites, nature and environment are paramount and take precedence over cash generation from building especially when other less sensitive areas for housing exist, but are not recommended because it appears they are not in the hands of rich developers and are therefore unable to fund other infrastructure projects. Transport road congestion is well above saturation levels. Christchurch Road, West Parley already suffers long tailbacks at all peak times such that a simple developer funded gyratory system is not likely to be an area solution especially when asked to cope with rising levels generally and a further anticipated 1200 vehicles entering/exiting the system from the proposed development. The conurbation needs a major upgrade via Highways Agency approval funding not a local sticking plaster funded on the			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							back of further congestion.			
513149	Mrs A.R.E Seaton		<a href="#">CSO15514</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Surely this area is congested enough.			903
513639	Mr and Mrs D J A Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15441</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
513881	Mrs S Bagg		<a href="#">CSO15537</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		By ticking 'SUPPORT BOXES' I am not actively supporting the locations but, if we must have so much development, making the best of a bad job. We must not spoil the area by over development. More houses only bring more people to our already overcrowded area. Local houses for local people, yes, but how can that be ensured?			903
513897	Mrs Elizabeth Dawkins		<a href="#">CSO15551</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		As one who is very involved with the running and upkeep of the Dudsbury Guide Camp which is part of the Ancient Monument I am concerned as to what protection will be given to this site.			903
513900	Mr P Wall		<a href="#">CSO15585</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Unfortunately more development more movement. A comprehensive transport policy should be developed eg. Road improvement (A31), bus and trams, rail links (restored).			903
513923	Mrs M Fraser		<a href="#">CSO15589</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		In the early morning till around 9am and late afternoon till about 6.30pm all around West Parley to New Road roundabout and up to Ferndown the traffic is awful. How on earth will anyone manage with these extra			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							houses. No amount of transport improvements will help this, when the A338 is closed it will be and already is horrendous. I am on the flight path; this does not bother me all that dreadful traffic does.			
513943	Mrs P Reeves		<a href="#">CSO15606</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
513974	Mr A Moore		<a href="#">CSO15815</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514007	Ms Emily Allan		<a href="#">CSO15682</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
514014	Mr Colin Cable		<a href="#">CSO15708</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Over the past 20 years the roads between Canford Bottom across to the A338 spur road - A347 Ferndown to West Howe and New Road have become major traffic routes. Any additional building works or housing projects will bring life to a standstill in the area. None of your FWP areas are viable PC4 or PC9 would impact far less on the area, however any projects without major improvements to the road network will be a disaster. Ameysford (Police Station) to Broadmoor Coppice would be more practical. What Happens Next? If its anything like the Folkestone - Honiton coastal trunk road 50 years ago - NOTHING			903
514042	Mr Tony		<a href="#">CSO15742</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Having lived in West Parley for 16 years I have seen considerable			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Davison						<p>change and increased traffic, even after road widening works at West Parley traffic lights. The roads are still congested, the increased traffic to and from the airport, the new quarry, the busier business parks that have several courier companies on that operate a whole fleet of vehicle, city link, 5years transport, voyager A to B, just the ones I know of, also the whole infrastructure around creating these increased homes is not here, shopping, schooling and recreation. West Parley was once a small village; we should be protecting areas like this not losing them to a mass of over development. I thought the lay planning act was to do much this; there are plenty of small infill sites that could help the housing situation with careful planning at the end of the day. This is green belt land, if I wanted to build on this land there is no way you planners would agree. I have myself a small piece of land adjacent to my property this was severed as part of the garden some 17 years ago. I have spoken to planners on various occasions over the years as it is an eyesore, but I have always been told its green belt. The term for green belt means open space yet this plot has houses either side and a yard to the rear, therefore how can the rules differ from being sensible and allowing smaller infill plots of land that would not impact on the structure of Ferndown and West Parley over the years, then adopt a considerable development that certainly would.</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514049	Mrs E Hellier		<a href="#">CSO15746</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514086	Mr Ian Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15780</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Area is already over developed with retirement homes and facilities to support these proposed sites does not exist. Transport on roads is oversubscribed in this area.			903
514091	Mr RJ Potts		<a href="#">CSO15816</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514099	Mr and Mrs T C Blakeley		<a href="#">CSO15854</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514111	Penelope Webiery		<a href="#">CSO15896</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
514127	Mr Geoff Voisey		<a href="#">CSO15912</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
514135	Mr and Mrs S Farr		<a href="#">CSO15922</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
514136	Mrs J Crumb		<a href="#">CSO15953</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
514156	Mr J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15928</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I commute daily through West Parley. To add additional congestion from 900 or so homes onto Christchurch Rd/New Road + normal growth is pure folly. Only major network works will cure this.			903
514225	Mr	Secretary	<a href="#">CSO15998</a>	Option	Support					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Simon Dixon	West Moors Traders Association		FWP 3						
514246	Theresa Monahan & Jonathon Chaffey		<a href="#">CSO15997</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
514274	Mr Showell		<a href="#">CSO16053</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Instead of new housing there should be a new strategy of providing more public open space. The lessons of the Edwardians/Victorians should be learnt. New public parks like Poole Park, Queens Park and Kings Park save an area from urban crowding. Perhaps all the land proposed for housing could be reallocated to public parks.			903
514467	Mrs Lesley Cripps		<a href="#">CSO16200</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514482	C E T Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO16234</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
359856	Mrs B Breeze		<a href="#">CSO16877</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		West Parley Green Belt should remain protected. The democratic wishes of the overwhelming number of West Parley residents should be adhered to; they do not want this development, for many reasons. Environmental, transport / road congestion / infrastructure etc. Response Form Dudsbury Rings Scheduled Monument proximity/TPO driveway access to Car Park			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Reduction in FWP3 site area to permit setting zone to the monument environ, reduce Adjacent Pressure on the monument/ancient woodland, preserve the integrity of the nature trail, protect TPO driveway and improve available year round green space.</p> <p>The area at the far western(Dudsbury) end of this FWP3 site crosses the picturesque tree lined avenue(covered by existing TPO's and right of way to Dudsbury Manor) which currently gives access to the secluded mature oak lined car park for the Dudsbury Inn and those visitors to the adjacent Dudsbury Rings Scheduled Ancient Monument site. Using that sector of the immediately adjacent Dorset Stour Way designated footpath/nature trail crossing the car park, via the monument and down the beautiful Stour River walk to Longham and beyond.</p> <p>It is a cause for considerable concern that the planner's state in respect of this FP3 site and others nearby it "could provide valuable green infrastructure that would benefit residents and divert pressures away from the heaths." (The heaths referred to being protected sites some mile or so distant.)</p> <p>The implication is that these anticipated considerable pressures, that this and the other proposed nearby high density social housing sites (840 houses) will create, will inevitably be diverted to this area and be permitted to impact instead on the adjacent ancient Monument and its</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>wonderful wooded and panoramic surroundings. Much of the alternative suggested recreational area in this proposed development is on a flood plain and regularly underwater. To consider Dudsbury rings site as an unrestricted adjacent year round outlet for the many children, teenagers and adults outdoor activities, mountain bikes, off road etc in the ancient woodland monument/nature walk would be a disaster and one that a councillors and council would surely live to regret.</p> <p>Previous correspondence with WPRA (West Parley Residents Association) and English Heritage indicates that they both share this concern, and that in common with other protected sites EH expect the planning authority to liaise with them in the process to provide protection usually in the form of a significant setting zone or buffer of land to mitigate such pressures and set the site and its access ways within the proposed environs to minimise any impact both practically and visually. It is noted that English Heritage does not appear in the list of stakeholders/specific organizations for consultation as noted in EDDC core strategy consultation document of Oct 2010 table 3.</p> <p>It is recommended that in order to go some way to satisfying the above that as an absolute minimum the FWP3 proposal should end 20meters east of the existing TPO driveway.</p> <p>The paddocks to the west of this tree lined avenue are bounded on three</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							sides by rights of way and a deep hedgerow noted on maps for several hundred years. The paddocks have been in use for horses for the last 30 years and before that the area was used as a sports field and the local cricket team had a pitch there. Ordnance Survey maps still often show the Sports field green space designation.			
360683	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO16912</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>The Broadway Malyan Masterplan report on which the Core Strategy is based contains a very shallow analysis of West Parley. It sees W.P. as being "two parts" and as being "essentially a suburb of Ferndown". This hasty misreading of W.P. lies at the heart of all the subsequent treatment of the specific sites.</p> <p>W.P. is physically and constitutionally a distinct entity, not part of Ferndown. If one has no time and just drives down from Ferndown on the A347, then one passes from Ferndown to W.P. with no obvious change. if one walks around the outside of W.P., it becomes clear that this is a semi-rural community, surrounded to the South, East and West by fields, farming, stables, horse paddocks, heathland, the river Stour. Only to the North is it joined to Ferndown.</p> <p>W.P. is constitutionally separate from Ferndown-being a Parish with its own borders, parish council, school, village hall, churches, pubs, recreation ground-all the constituents of a village. W.P. has a distinct and much older history. Ferndown is a very recent</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>dormitory town from Bournemouth. W.P is far older than either, going back from the Domesday Book to a Saxon church and an Iron Age hill fort. This contributes to a distinct and powerful sense of identity in W.P., confirmed only very recently in the Oct 2010 Parish Plan Survey, where no less than 87% of respondents said that W.P.'s particular character and identity was very important to them.</p> <p>In the 2008 Issues and Options consultation based on the R.S.S. plans, Ferndown and W.P. were treated separately. Taking them together now makes the houses proposed for W.P. seem quite a small number when compared to the 8000+ houses in Ferndown/W.P. Taking W.P. by itself would have emphasized what a large and unsustainable addition the proposed 310 houses of FWP3/FWP4 are on top of W.P.'s existing 1600. Taking them together also blurs the democratic validity of the responses from the public. Ferndown has only 130 houses planned, on its extreme SS corner. Most of the public in Ferndown are quite unconscious of these plans. Thus the overall number of objections from Ferndown/W.P. combined may seem quite small, whereas the number of objections from W.P. alone would seem overwhelming. The greatest danger to W.P. from the proposed plans is the loss of its identity-from a sudden and unsustainable large addition to its housing stock, and from the separation from Bournemouth becoming a small</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>strip of land around the river Stour. The prime purpose of the green belt was to exactly prevent this type of urban sprawl, and it originally envisaged far greater separations than would be left. BM page 51 underlines this point, identifying this gap as a "Key Edge".</p> <p>Broadway Malyan's Site Appraisal (page 68) of "West of Ridgeway" (FWP3) is wholly inadequate. The "footpath" referred to is the Stour Valley Way, one of Dorset County's most advertised long distance walks, all the way from Christchurch harbour to Stourhead in Wiltshire. D.C.C. uses it in its tourist advertising and several books have been written about it. The scheduled ancient monument is Dudsbury Rings, the remains of an Iron Age hill fort perched on this prominent site overlooking the river and miles of country to the South. From FWP3 one can see all the way to the Needles on the Isle of Wight. The distinct hill sloping down to the South in FWP3 has considerable landscape value as well as historical connotations. This FWP3 hill can also be seen distinctly from the East of W.P., from where a new estate would be markedly visible.</p> <p>Bearing the above in mind, the Landscape Designation of "West of Ridgeway" significantly underrates the cultural and aesthetic factors as well as visual sensitivity.</p> <p>Its proximity to the ancient monument is similarly underplayed. The hill fort's walls are diminished but distinct,</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>mostly by some 20 feet across and up to 8 feet high. Their composition is earth bound together by local growth. The whole circular area, completely unprotected, is the most attractive playground imaginable to the young families of 210 adjacent houses, and in very short order the present ancient monument would be unrecognisable, covered in bike scramble routes and the like.</p> <p>Inside the walls is a circular grassed area of great character, some 500 feet in diameter, transited by the very important County Route, the Stour Valley Way, and used by local girl guides (who have a substantial set of wooded building there.) as a holiday camp. The issue was raised in the EDDC Focus Group of 1 May 2008 which was part of the Issues and Options Consultation on the then Core Strategy. Concerns were expressed that the camp already suffered vandalism. In W.P.R.A's view, the G.G. camp would be totally unsustainable with 210 houses and young families a stone's throw away.</p> <p>The surface water run-off and attenuation from FWP3 (BM page 116/7) looks very shaky if one is familiar with the site. Immediately below it the area is a relief flood plain for the river Stour, which in winter regularly overflows its banks right up to the edge where the slope to the FWP3 site begins. In Ridgeway, the unmade up road immediately to the east of FWP3, there are substantial springs which begin running with water from</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the hill site immediately following any rain. It is very hard to see, if the site were to be covered with houses, where the surface water would drain in already wet conditions.</p> <p>It is further noted that the surface water run off calculations are based on a desk top soil assessment and estimations only.</p> <p>"The transport and movement impacts of growth and whether and how these can be satisfactorily mitigated remain uncertain" says the BM masterplan, and one can only agree. The A347/A341 junction -Parley Cross- is already running at or above maximum capacity.</p> <p>It is clear that as of now there are no funds at all for any road works that could mitigate the effect of present traffic, let alone more, on the West Parley area. The design of the oft mentioned Parley Cross Gyratory scheme has neither been tested nor at all discussed with the local public, and ought really to be totally discounted until these preliminary steps have been taken. It is noticed that whereas FWP4 has a rider to the effect that this scheme cannot be undertaken until there are improvements to Parley Cross, FWP3 has no such appellation. Yet FWP3 is just as close to Parley Cross, and has twice the number of houses planned. FWP3 will add materially to the rush hour traffic at Parley Cross, and should have the same rider attached to it as FWP4. Most importantly, on BM page 257 FWP3 is shown as having no</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>coalescence issues. Yet its existence would prejudice the KEY EDGE to the South of West Parley, already referred to by BM as an important issue.</p> <p>The Masterplan report, discussing deliverability issues, seems to make it clear that on realistic cost consideration for developers, related infrastructure would come after the development rather than during or before. This relates poorly to Dorset County Council's policy statement of September 2008, where they said they regarded the R.S.S. urban extensions as undeliverable until adequate infrastructure was in place. At a recent CPRE/DCC meeting where two cabinet members were present, they said this was still their policy.</p> <p>Finally, the West Parley Parish Plan (now in the course of preparation under the auspices of the Parish Council) has completed and analysed the returns from its all house survey, compiled with the assistance of E.D.C. Just fewer than 1000 responses were received, giving it a very high degree of statistical significance. 80% of respondents, asked to choose between differing housing numbers for 0 to 500+ as being appropriate for West Parley, chose up to 100 houses as being the right level for the village. This is significant now, and will be even more so when the Localism Bill becomes law.</p> <p>Given all the above factors, it is very hard to see how the housing options for site FWP3 could survive a public</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							examination or appeal.			
361138	Mr Rod WHITHAM		<a href="#">CSO16887</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514649	Mrs June Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16315</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
514752	Claire Richardson & Jamie Shirley		<a href="#">CSO16391</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514812	Mr C Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16427</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
514912	Mrs Mary Carsbury		<a href="#">CSO16483</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Seeking the opinion of the general public on planning issues is all very commendable - but setting aside all political persuasion my feeling is that this is no more than a Public Relations exercise because in the end the final decisions are always to the advantage of the developers whoever they may be because of money, greed and looks good on paper. My general view is that density levels for new homes should be kept down; East Dorset has become so over developed that its now just one big urban sprawl. In fact it has lost so much of all the natural character and charm that it used to enjoy it is hard to know where the so called green belt begins and ends.			903
514913	Mr and Mrs M		<a href="#">CSO16463</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Clark									
514939	D Porter		<a href="#">CSO16506</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The more land you concrete over the more you have to because you just increase demand. Infill best option.			903
514959	Mr and Mrs R Fisher		<a href="#">CSO16536</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514993	Dr Peter J Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO16570</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The area is already over-developed and congested - further development on the scales proposed would lead to deterioration of the environment and a reduction in the quality of life. I am particularly dismayed by continued threats to the Green Belt, especially as this Government pledged to protect it. I do not believe that such massive development is necessary or beneficial to the vast majority of inhabitants. Pressure on our area should be reduced by Government tackling such issues as population growth through immigration control and regeneration of brownfield sites in Midlands and North. There is a need for limited affordable and social housing locally, but not more executive homes. It is wrong to build new homes while second homes and investment properties continue to exist.			903
515033	Mr T Crump		<a href="#">CSO16607</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		These proposals are typical of the failures contrived by Council and Consultants to address short term solutions to Government edict. Fundamental to any significant further development is the need for joined up thinking for transportation.			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							All of these proposals further the burden on traffic flow through and around conurbation centres. These major roads are the responsibility of the government highways department. They should foot the bill for their improvements prior to further development. Some of these proposals virtually adjoin 100 instance flood lines. This is totally unacceptable. Any proposal to move allotments, away from the housing that uses them, increases road usage and has a negative impact on the community.			
515287	Mr L Jackson		<a href="#">CSO16682</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		The A31 trunk road from Ferndown by-pass should be widened to form a dual carriageway all the way through to Bere Regis.			903
515367	Mr & Mrs J Pottinger		<a href="#">CSO16803</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
515868	Mr F Weller		<a href="#">CSO16859</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Keep off Green Belt			903
516011	Mr Ray Goodchild		<a href="#">CSO16908</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		As residents we all welcome the opportunity to contribute to "feedback" on future housing plans in our local area, especially as it impacts upon all of us. Although I am not old enough to remember the second world war, I am reminded of the old cliché, "don't you know there's a war on"! I am connected myself with the building trade, in particular "development" as well as being a			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							shareholder in both Barratts and Taylor Wimpey. Developers are pretty much at a standstill, and there is unlikely to be much of a change in the foreseeable future. We are entering a period of austerity, and as long as prospective homeowners are unable to get mortgages, then the building trade is not going to invest, and there is little money available for "social housing". How are you expecting to devise a long term plan for local development when so much, which is out of "councils" control, is probably going to change in the next five years, which will influence building decisions. I think you are premature in seeking our opinions at this stage.			
359461	Mrs Nicola BRUNT	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	<a href="#">CSO17496</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		This option provides opportunity to develop a section of SANG along the Stour corridor. We consider ecological information is required to inform decisions on a development in this area, which could have impacts on the wildlife of the Stour Valley through light pollution, run-off, disturbance and direct biodiversity loss. With this option, opportunity should be taken to maximise the area of SANG here in line with the desire to create a country park along the Stour (10.43) and enhance biodiversity. Development would need Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems.			903
359477	Ms Natasha Mackenzie	Clerk Ellingham, Harbridge	<a href="#">CSO17718</a>	Option FWP 3	Object	General Comment	The proposed additional housing and employment areas will therefore only increase the traffic problems at			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		and Ibsley Parish Council					Ringwood and thus on the roads in our Parish, especially on the B3081, A338 and Linwood Road if the A31 is blocked. The junction at Bakers Hanging will also become even more saturated. The Parish Council agree that these developments should only go ahead if road improvements at Bakers Hanging and the junction of the A338 and A31 are carried out.			
359478	Mr Rohan TORKILDSEN	West Territory Planner English Heritage	<a href="#">CSO18558</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		To ensure the national significance of Dudsbury camp is conserved (protected and enhanced), its values must first be fully appreciated. The immediate and wider significance of the historic landscape must also be understood. Specific detailed evidence must therefore be gathered to inform consideration of the areas suitability, the proximity and the form of any future potential development.			903
359553	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17930</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Green Belt – This area is within South East Dorset Green Belt and we would not wish to see the boundary altered to accommodate housing at this location. Landscape – This hill site is prominent in the landscape, and any housing on it would be highly visible, especially from the south, east, and from Christchurch Road to the north. RoW (FP9) – FP9 crosses the site and we would wish to retain this footpath with a rural outlook. Ancient Monument – This potential housing site is in very close proximity			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>to the scheduled ancient monument of Castle Rings. Ferndown Guides are located within the Rings and they have concerns about the effects of large scale housing development on their activities.</p> <p>Sustainable Location – Although new health facilities, shops etc. are proposed if all the housing at FWP3 &amp; FWP4 go ahead, no new school is envisaged. In the absence of this facility there would undoubtedly be increased pressure on the existing First School, which will exacerbate the current traffic problems. Public transport is now much reduced in terms of routes and frequency when compared to 10 years ago, despite an increase in local population. Local traffic is also likely to increase as a result of this proposed development.</p> <p>Improvements to Parley Cross (this junction is one of the busiest outside of Bournemouth and Poole) would be a necessity regardless of development.</p> <p>Employment – Expansion of Bournemouth Airport Industrial Zone (North East sector) would have a major impact on local highway network, affecting both long and short journeys.</p> <p>Environment – Semi-rural nature of the site would be affected by major development. SUDS required.</p> <p>Conclusion – Whilst Parish Council is opposed to large scale housing development, there may be scope for limited, low density, single storey housing adjacent Christchurch Road, and incorporating small cul-de-sacs at lower end of the hill, where they would</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							not be so noticeable.			
359891	Mrs Susanne Parkin		<a href="#">CSO18347</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	<a href="#">CSO18179</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>ETAG members' combined professional experience would support the view that potentially the site has good biodiversity.</p> <p>Hillside to the west of Ridgeway The topography of the site raises concern about its acceptability for housing. Similar gradients have ruled out land at Corfe Mullen. The Masterplan identifies a required surface run-off attenuation storage capacity of 10,668 cu.m. It is assumed that this would have to be tanked to ensure it did not become a magnet for waterfowl and hence risk air strike.</p> <p>There are land drains at the lower (eastern) boundary of FWP 3 (Ridgeway): a resident in this wet un-adopted road reports that they have been blocked for many years. Water from the higher ground floods across roadway and has been channelled by local residents into a footpath/gulley. There is extensive growth of rushes alongside Ridgeway at the base of the high bank.</p> <p>Water is also culverted across a track to a field gate and from there runs in land drains under a narrow footpath that has been topped with gravel: this leads to the R Stour. The view of the Stour is obscured from this point by a tree line.</p> <p>Land on the plateau at the top of the</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>hill.                      Again there is potential for considerable run-off primarily towards the Christchurch Road. The soil appears to be sandy loam overlying clay. The area is criss-crossed by many old hedgerows which include a number of mature or semi-mature trees, especially oaks. If the land were to be developed many of these would probably be lost. According to the mapping on Dorset Explorer not all have TPOs, including at least two with a DBH of 1.5m. The setting of these trees as well as the trees themselves should be protected. Much of the hill is divided up into paddocks for grazing, so any native plant species are grazed to ground level. Full survey would be essential if there is any suggestion of taking this option forward to the next stage.</p> <p>Landscape                      The strong historical context of the site should be taken into consideration. Artefacts from the Iron Age Hill Fort have been found over the area. Dudsbury Rings is a Scheduled Ancient Monument with records held by DCC.</p> <p>The impact on the landscape of the wider area would be significant. Development on the other side of the R Stour extends right up to the edge so the Green Belt gap between Dudsbury and North Bournemouth relies on the area that is in East Dorset. Because of the land's open aspect, there is potential for widespread light pollution, particularly</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>on the river ecosystem. Were the site to be taken forward to the next stage, design consideration should include creating a wide buffer area between the development and the river. The views from the east would be changed completely: the last thing we want is a hillside that looks like Blandford!</p> <p>ETAG considers that issues of landscape, gradient, run-off and the need for water attenuation, light pollution, and archaeological interest all seem to present such challenges as to render most of this area an unsatisfactory choice for development. The known and suspected biodiversity interest of the area could prove to be a further significant constraint. Subject to biodiversity assessment there may be potential for very limited development at the NE of this site but with that exception ETAG could not support development here.</p>			
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	<a href="#">CSQ17747</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		<p>Options FWP1, FWP2, FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are all located south of Ferndown, away from the A31 which is north of the town. However, despite being well served by regular bus services, Ferndown suffers from congestion around the A31 which is of concern to the Agency.</p> <p>The Agency supports the development of the evidence base to inform any strategic growth retained in the Ferndown employment area, given its strategic scale and close proximity to the highly constrained Canford Bottom-Merley section of the A31. This will continue to apply and the Agency will</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>have a particular interest in potential improvements at Canford Bottom triggered by further employment development.</p> <p>We are also aware of the area travel plan for the Ferndown industrial estate, the expansion of which will be essential to assist with the suppression of demand for further movements in the area generally, and specifically on the A31</p>			
517986	Mr B W Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO17270</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Although it has been recognised that significant improvements to roads will be required, the infrastructure in Ferndown and Parley would in no way support any further development unless a by-pass was constructed around all town boundaries. The schools in the area cannot accommodate the catchment area at the present time nor can the police and fire services. The cost to the highways would be increased beyond any benefit.</p>			903
518000	L Brebner		<a href="#">CSO17276</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>All developments bring increased traffic; Ferndown is already gridlocked 80% of the day due to inaction by the Council and its refusal to divert traffic away from Longham Bridge. Any development of land in Ferndown / W. Parley will only add to the existing problem which is already growing.</p>			903
518027	Mr J Talbot		<a href="#">CSO17292</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>The lungs of the whole area are at Parley. Whoever heard of a large estate being built under the immediate flight path of an airport - (on the increase). The infrastructure at the</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>present time is unable to cope, the schools, the roads, the hospitals; everything in fact, so new dwellings is a joke.</p> <p>It is congested enough already.</p> <p>As for affordable housing, where are the affordable people going to find any kind of work with the extreme unemployment already in existence.</p>			
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		<a href="#">CSO17956</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>SUMMARY: FWP3 TO THE SOUTH OF CHRISTCHURCH ROAD (210) We OBJECT to this site.</p> <p>We do not think it is in such a sustainable location as the Longham sites as it is more likely that children will be taken to school by private transport and this will increase the congestion problems at Parley Cross and other adjacent areas. The site has a potential of 300+ cars counting 1 ½ cars per household. This is likely to be a low estimate of additional cars on the road.</p> <p>It will be a more difficult site to provide drainage for as it is on a slope, this could lead to surface water problems at the bottom of the hill and a greater potential for the Stour to flood down stream.</p> <p>However, the greatest objection is to the visual impact, the loss of the green open spaces on the hillside. It will turn Parley Cross into an extension of the Ferndown urban area.</p> <p>The historic monument of Dudsbury Rings will have its setting compromised by such a large estate so close to it.</p> <p>The Stour Valley Way will lose a</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>valuable open area with beautiful views.</p> <p>PURPOSES OF THE GREENBELT PPG2 as applicable to this site.</p> <p>To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas.</p> <p>This site would sprawl all down the hillside.</p> <p>To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another.</p> <p>The concentration of visible housing would reduce the appearance of a gap between North Bournemouth and East Dorset District.</p> <p>To assist in safeguarding the countryside from encroachment.</p> <p>The last of the openness along Christchurch Road would be lost forever.</p> <p>VISUAL IMPACT ON BOTH THE NATURAL AND HISTORIC LANDSCAPE</p> <p>The fields above West Parley are currently used for grazing which lends itself to an open and rural appearance. The proposed estate would sweep down the valley sides and loom over West Parley. There would be no way of hiding or completely mitigating the large sprawl by tree planting. It would be such a solid block of housing that the impact of the open greenness as you cross the Stour would be lost.</p> <p>There are some mature deciduous trees along field boundaries that would need additional protection.</p> <p>The BroadwayMaylan comments on landscape appraisals. These completely failed to take into account local perceptions. At Parley, the</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>landscape west of the ridgeway has its landscape value assessed as 'slight'. The golf course that changed the skyline forever at Longham, is classed as 'moderate', yet is it artificial. This we cannot understand.</p> <p>SUSTAINABLE LOCATION (PPS1)                      The potential site will be on a slope that rises from 10 to 25 metres in about 110metres. The middle part is quite steep. The location will be likely to attract elderly residents. The housing, with young families, will they be able to negotiate the slope on foot or will they all take to their cars?</p> <p>Location                      Primary Schools                      Middle and Upper                      Daily shopping                      Post Office                      Doctors                      Sth of Xchurch Rd                      1.6km                      3.0km M                      3.5km U                      0.75km                      0.75km                      Ferndown                      EMPLOYMENT                      Bournemouth Airport                      Ferndown and Uddens Industrial Estates                      Ferndown itself                      Poole or Bournemouth                      Commuting Figures for Ferndown.                      Source: 2001 Census                      Internal Commuters ... 3365                      In Commuters .....7035                      Out Commuters.....4893                      Total commuting (in and out): ...11928</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>According to the figures in the Core Strategy, 85% of all Ferndown and West Parley commute by car. This would only be a sustainable site if the main employment centres can be accessed without the use of the private motor car. There is already a high level of commuting in this area.</p> <p>Cycle tracks exist from West Parley to Bournemouth Airport. One is planned to North Bournemouth. Cycle routes also exist up to Ferndown. For anyone working on Ferndown Industrial Estate, the cycle track down Ham Lane ends at the Hampreston Cross Roads, this would need extending. Cyclists would have to be directed down on the Old Ham lane for Wimborne and for the Industrial estate cycle track would have to take them from Fox Lane, across Ham Lane and into the blocked exist from the old Fox Lane onto Wimborne Road West.</p> <p><b>PUBLIC TRANSPORT</b>            Number 13 between Bournemouth and Wimborne. ½ hourly services.            Journey time: 25 minutes (from Parley Cross)</p> <p><b>NEAREST CENTRE WITH FULL RANGE OF SERVICES</b>            Ferndown or Wimborne are the closest centres. The bus stop at Parley Cross is quite close and runs every 30 minutes.</p> <p><b>PROVISION OF MULTI-FUNCTIONAL GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPEN SPACES:</b> (Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance NE176 &amp; PPG17 Planning for Open Spaces            GI on this site would not help with</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>sustainable access to schools as on the other sites at Longham.</p> <p>The distance to the existing primary school at Glenmoor Road ( 1.6km) would probably have to be by car rather than walk, especially if parents/grandparents start their journey by pushing a pushchair and/or dragging a child up hill to reach Christchurch Road. SUDS are not supposed to be so successful on a slope, but the site will have to provide some mitigation to prevent flood towards the bottom of the hill. Run off and interflow must not increase with the house building. Attenuation tanks, wild life ponds? The landscape area to the South could be used to provide this and it could provide trees and greenery for urban cooling. The real advantage of GI on this site would be its links to the Stour Valley way, and further GI could possibly be provided to Dudsbury Rings and on to the Stour. From here Longham Lakes could be reached. It would have to be on foot and parts would be wet or flooded in the winter months.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: Our comments on environmental aspects of the Core Strategy Options document are included in the Response of the Environmental TAG, East Dorset Community Partnership, which we fully endorse.</p> <p>ECOSYSTEM DAMAGE: disturbance to flora and fauna</p> <p>The site is open grazing land. It is grazed by horses and as they crop the grass very closely it is not possible to</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>see what flora and fauna would exist on this site.</p> <p>Dudsbury Rings would have to be protected from the impact of this site, both from additional recreational use and loss of wild life due to the proximity of the housing and people.</p> <p>TRANQUILLITY: a sense of place.</p> <p>This is an open sweep of green fields with grazing horses; this sense of being in a rural area would be lost.</p> <p>This is a large site, even with mature tree planting to protect the river valley and any remaining unspoilt areas, the loss could not be masked</p> <p>LIGHT POLLUTION</p> <p>Please see the ETAG Response and the comments by Bob Mizon.</p> <p>DRAINAGE PPS25 (causing increase in river flooding or surface water problems)</p> <p>Green Infrastructure and SUDS would have to prevent any increase in speed/volume of water reaching the Stour as this could help to increase flooding downstream. It is crucial that these measures are taken so that the increase in surface water run-off and interflow are at the same rate as now.</p> <p>A very large area would be required for attenuation and some drainage along the ridgeway to protect the existing houses.</p> <p>PROXIMITY TO HEATHLAND AND AVAILABILITY OF SANGS FOR MITIGATION</p> <p>Parley Common 1.6km.</p> <p>Ferndown Common 2.6km</p> <p>There would be a need for a short GI route (easy to walk/cycle and all</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>weather) to take the residents to the SANGS to the East of New Road. Although there is the potential for onsite SANGS to the south, this is flood plain and it does flood! Even when the river was not in flood the area would be very wet for walking during the winter months. There would be a need for additional SANGS to compensate for the wettest winter months. Poor Common would be ideal and it could be extended.</p> <p>PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE (schools etc) Potential problem of sewage and pumping stations at capacity. Parley Cross has no Health Centre.</p> <p>IMPACT ON TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE The roads are at capacity. Modifications to Canford Bottom roundabout and Parley Cross roads will hardly keep the traffic running. We have too many cars on the road. No amount of GI or public transport will solve this basic problem. We do not need more roads destroying more ecosystems we need alternate systems that work. Schools close by housing and suitable employment sites that are accessible without using a car. Safe, well lit all weather cycle and pedestrian racks are required. East Dorset District Council has a target in the Transport Plan to introduce buses to take workers directly to industrial estates. This is an excellent idea. However, one such bus already exists, the number 29 from Bournemouth to Ferndown Industrial</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>site. There are two early morning buses from Bournemouth, one leaving the estate at lunch time and two leaving in the evening. This service started in April 2010, without subsidies. The Yellow bus company confirmed that they do carry some regular passengers to and from the Industrial Estate. We suspect the buses are not that well used, one major problem influencing their use is the vast areas of free parking available on Ferndown Industrial Estate. It may be that the 'stick and carrot' needs to be introduced to get people out of their cars and into a bus. If less parking existed there would be more room for new business. We noticed a large new factory down Brook Road had a vast new car park, when parking is free and easy no-one will catch a bus. Perhaps spaces should only be allocated if there are no other means of reasonable travel.</p>			
359482	Ms Helen POWELL	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	<a href="#">CSO18715</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>This option offers opportunities for significant green infrastructure that could remove potential adverse effects (from recreational pressures) on the Dorset heaths and increase the value of the locality for biodiversity. However, the master plan shows a disproportionate ratio of housing to SANG and if this option is to be taken to the next stage, we advise that further consideration should be given to the area of SANG to be provided alongside this development.</p>			903
359571	Mr	Conservation	<a href="#">CSO18707</a>	Option	Object		We agree with the findings of the HRA			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Renny Henderson	Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds		FWP 3			in connection with the proposed housing sites within these areas. Consequently, until such time as assessment of the potential impacts of the options on European sites is determined we object to the following policies:			
523300	Mr Trevor Abbott		<a href="#">CSO18293</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
527750	Mr Colin MacNee		<a href="#">CSO18920</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
359875	Dr Lesley HASKINS		<a href="#">CSO19162</a>	Option FWP 3		General Comment	<p>Riverine Habitats.</p> <p>The River Stour is the major river of East Dorset and it receives two tributaries in the area covered by the Core Strategy – The Allen and The Moors River. Together these rivers and their corridors perform vital ecosystem services, including attenuation and flood absorption - the need for which seems most likely to increase in the future. They are also vital to the support of important and specialised wildlife. There is insufficient weight given in the Strategy to the desirability of maintaining, protecting, and wherever possible restoring, both these rivers and their corridors with these critical functions in mind.</p> <p>It should not be forgotten that any type of built development close to or within the river corridors will increase existing flood risk, preclude the ability to absorb future increased flood risk, increase low level pollution and smothering by</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>siltation, and introduce or increase disturbance by humans and domestic pets. Employment or industrial development additionally introduces the ever present threat of high level pollution. Further, until such time as a long sea outfall is in place, any type of development anywhere in the catchment also has worrying implications for foul water treatment and disposal thereof - into our rivers. The River Stour itself may be the most robust river, simply by virtue of its larger volume, but it is nevertheless still vulnerable to all these impacts. Preferred options in the Core Strategy most obviously impinging on the Stour and its corridor include WMC1 and potentially FWP 3.</p>			
359875	Dr Lesley HASKINS		<a href="#">CSO19295</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>The urban fringe of south-east Dorset supports a range of acid and neutral grasslands which seem to have escaped improvement to some degree or another by virtue of being marginal to main stream agriculture. Many of these grasslands are grazed by horses, tending to mask their floristic composition, and making recognition of their value, without the opportunity for a properly timed and preprepared survey, an inevitably random affair. While some have been recognised as SSSIs, or SNCIs others are certainly yet to be formally identified and recognised by such proper survey. Yet they represent a most important and rapidly diminishing biodiversity resource which must be properly identified and protected. There is little</p>			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>or no reference to this resource in the Core Strategy and it is most worrying that no proper attempt at assessment was made of preferred sites prior to its publication. Consequently the number of preferred options in the Core Strategy which impact upon important grasslands is simply not known, and it will be essential that all proposed sites be assessed most carefully in respect of this resource before decision making progresses any further. The intrinsic biodiversity interest of the grassland sites (and their associated features - hedges, trees etc.) must be properly assessed during the coming year and be accorded proper weight in the judgement of these options. However it is certain that by rejecting some areas of search the Core Strategy has successfully steered away from areas where grasslands of interest would most likely to have been substantially threatened. This is welcomed and supported. This is a site whose potential intrinsic wildlife interest merits particularly close examination. The separation of any proposed development from the Stour corridor by landscape area should be maximised</p>			
523419	HLF Planning	HLF Planning	<a href="#">CSO18371</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>2.2 The most obvious limitation to the Masterplan Report is the grouping together of Ferndown and West Parley as one 'search area' and the reason for this grouping is not clear nor set out in any detail. West Parley is identified in the Report as being 'largely a residential suburb of the town of</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Ferndown' (page 4) when this is categorically not the case. This is clearly a critical flaw as this misguided geographical analysis has led to a skewed treatment of all of the specific sites.</p> <p>2.3 West Parley is physically and constitutionally an entity all of its own. Driving south on the A347 from Ferndown to West Parley shows no distinction between the two settlements, but it is evident from walking or cycling along the periphery of West Parley that it is a semi-rural community mostly surrounded by fields and agricultural activities.. With its own Parish Council, school, village hall, recreation ground, and churches, it has all the constituent parts of village life.</p> <p>2.4 West Parley is referred to in the Domesday Book and dates back to an Iron Age Hill Fort. All of this has resulted in West Parley residents having their own identity quite separate from the 20th century dormitory town of Ferndown. In a September 2010 Parish Plan Survey 87% of respondents said that West Parley had a 'particular character and identity that was important to them'.</p> <p>2.5 It is evident that the proposals around West Parley are contrary to advice contained within Planning Policy Statement 3 (PPS3 – Housing) which states (para 16) that development should create or enhance 'a distinctive character that relates well to the surroundings and supports a sense of local pride and civic identity'.</p> <p>2.6 During 2008, EDDC produced an</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>'Issues and Options' document which referred to West Parley and Ferndown as separate localities. It must be questioned why EDDC have had a change of heart and lumped both settlements together for the purposes of this Masterplan Report. The change makes a material difference when analysing the projected housing figures. The 310 proposed houses combined in sites FWP3 and FWP4 within the West Parley boundary seem only a small addition to West Parley and Ferndown's current housing stock of 8000+. If West Parley was correctly treated on its own, then the 310 houses proposed would be a quite unsustainable addition to the village's existing 1600 households.</p> <p>2.7 It is this melding of the West Parley and Ferndown settlements which will see a harmful loss to the character of the former should the plans for FWP3 and FWP4 come to fruition. There will be an undoubted loss of identity from an explosion in the housing stock and the gap between West Parley and Bournemouth becoming just a small strip along the River Stour. All of this against the primary purposes of the Green Belt which will be explored later in this objection.</p> <p>2.16 The Bournemouth, Poole and Dorset Local Transport Plan 3 (2011-2026) is due to be implemented in March 2011. This document will set out a long term strategy for travel and transport within the area during the plan period. Paragraph 6.2.9 of the consultation document concerns</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>strategic network improvements and in particular the A31 improvements and the Bournemouth Airport Access Scheme. The Plan states the implementation of both schemes 'will be essential for residential development at West Parley to go ahead'.</p> <p>2.17 In terms of transportation then, none of the proposals which are directly affected by the A31 road network improvements can be progressed until the works are implemented according to the emerging Transport Plan. Given the current economic climate, this could be some years away if at all and would cast huge doubt about FWP3 or any other scheme in West Parley, Corfe Mullen, Verwood or Wimborne Minster being deliverable and as much is admitted in page 130 of the Masterplan Report 'the outcomes of the 2010 spending review and of subsequent decision making processes by the Department for Transport, Dorset County Council and Highways Agency about how to achieve required budget savings could have a significant impact on strategic infrastructure delivery in Dorset'.</p> <p>FWP3 – West Parley</p> <p>3.2 Turning to page 79 of the Masterplan Report which deals with the shortlisted sites around West Parley and Ferndown in terms of their landscape value it is evident that the close proximity of FWP3 to a Scheduled Ancient Monument is diluted by the grid system put forward.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>The proximity of the SAM at Dudsbury Rings to FWP3 is given equal weighting in the grid system as the provision of allotments at Longham and less historical and cultural 'value' than the Holmswood House Grounds.</p> <p>3.3 Decisions to designate Scheduled Ancient Monuments are guided by criteria set out by the Secretary of State as per the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979 and attempt to protect the basic characteristics of SAMs including their fragility, rarity and current condition. Paragraph 14 of PPS5 (Planning for the Historic Environment – Planning Practice Guide) confirms that for a monument to be scheduled it must be 'nationally important by reason its historic, architectural, artistic, traditional or archaeological interest'.</p> <p>3.4 Paragraph 54 of PPS5 – Planning Practice Guide confirms that heritage assets may be affected by a 'change in their setting' and paragraph 70 advises that 'an asset's setting may be affected by a scheme at some distance from the site itself'. Furthermore paragraph 118 states that 'for the purposes of spatial planning, any development or change capable of affecting the significance of a heritage asset or people's experience of it can be considered as falling within its setting'.</p> <p>3.5 It is certainly true that the proposal at FWP3, particularly the north-eastern segment, is in close enough proximity to affect the setting of the SAM at Dudsbury Rings especially given the fact that the proposal is for 210 homes</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and all of the various population and environmental pressures that this development will place upon the SAM.</p> <p>3.6 In terms of impact of development on the setting of a SAM, securing the preservation of the monument 'within an appropriate setting' as required by national policy is solely a matter for the planning system. Whether any particular development within the setting of a SAM will have an adverse impact on its significance is a matter for professional judgement and for this reason English Heritage should be asked to evaluate the impact of the proposal.</p> <p>3.7 Dudsbury Rings is located on an elevated and prominent site and is currently unprotected so the influx of 210 homes in very close proximity is a significantly underplayed threat in the landscape value assessment. The SAM is already the subject of historical vandalism as expressed during the 2008 Issues &amp; Options consultation and it is clear that this whole issue needs to be revisited, preferably with input from English Heritage, before it is decided whether or not FWP3 should be progressed with.</p> <p>3.8 As stated in paragraph 2.25 of this objection statement, viable alternative options should be considered by the local planning authority even if they are put forward by respondents. Having visited the area and assessed the options for additional housing development in this location, it is evident that smaller-scale, piecemeal development on the peripheries and</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>within Ferndown should be the optimum method to reach the desired figure for new housing. This is especially the case given that swathes of Green Belt land are at risk of becoming developed.</p> <p>3.9 Certainly there is scope for some small ribbon development along New Road and some parts of Christchurch Road that would maintain the majority of the Green Belt land under threat and at the same retain the primary objectives of the Green Belt.</p> <p>3.10 This is the type of development wanted by local residents of West Parley. At the end of 2010 the West Parley Parish Plan was completed included in which was an 'all-house' survey and this was compiled with the assistance of EDDC. The response was very high with just under 1000 responses received – of those who responded 80% chose 'up to 100 houses' as being a suitable figure for future development in the village. This shows a common-sense approach to the housing needs of the village with the majority accepting that some additional housing is required but only enough to maintain the identity of the village without having to contend with a sudden wave of unsustainable new housing.</p> <p>3.11 Returning to Green Belt issues, page 51 of the Masterplan Report confirms that the area to the south of West Parley is a 'key edge'. Such locations are those defined by the South East Dorset Joint Green Belt Review as being a place where the</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>width of the key gaps struggling to keep settlements from coalescing was less than 1km.</p> <p>3.12 FWP3 will effectively alter the Green Belt boundary again and will further reduce the gap between West Parley and Bournemouth to a small segment of land either side of the River Stour. There must therefore be preferable alternatives to developing FWP3 as to develop this land in such a way without justifying that exceptional circumstances exist to do so is clearly contrary to advice contained within PPG2.</p> <p>3.13 The construction for new buildings within the Green Belt is considered inappropriate unless it is for purposes such as agriculture, forestry, essential facilities for outdoor recreation, cemeteries, replacement dwellings and some infill development (para 3.4, PPG2) and therefore very special circumstances should be put forward to say why this development is acceptable. The Masterplan Report relies far too heavily on the 'town cramming' argument without giving sufficient thought to alternatives.</p> <p>3.14 Page 116 of the Masterplan Report concerns surface water run-off and attenuation specific to FWP3. It is evident that the Report has not fully appraised local conditions or sought to access the local knowledge base. Immediately to the south of the site is a relief flood plain for the River Stour which regularly overflows its banks up to the foot of the slope up to FWP3 begins. Ridgeway contains substantial</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>springs which begin running with water from the hillside immediately after rainfall. If the site was built upon with houses, where would the surface water drain to in wet winter conditions?</p> <p>3.15 Clearly, as set out in the Report itself, the run off calculations are based on a desktop study and cannot be relied upon until a full assessment is carried out.</p> <p>3.16 Both FWP3 and FWP4 are located at either side of Parley Cross which is well known locally as having a major traffic flow problem, especially during rush hour. Interestingly, the justification for FWP4 in the Report suggests that particular scheme cannot be progressed until improvements are made to the Parley Cross junction. However, FWP3 has no such rider even though it is in equal proximity to the junction and is more than double the size in terms of the number of households compared to FWP4.</p> <p>3.17 Page 261 of the Masterplan Report refers in further detail to the Parley Cross junction and suggests that there are options to improve it via 'a large road gyratory or a route through the development site (FWP4), designed as a street'. The Report goes on to state that the gyratory scheme 'has been tested and works' however from discussions with West Parley residents it is evident that such schemes have neither been discussed with the local public, tested, or funded.</p> <p>3.18 Indeed the authors of the Report are uncertain themselves of the traffic</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>situation around Parley Cross stating that 'the transport and movement impacts of growth and whether and how these can be satisfactorily mitigated remain uncertain'.</p> <p>3.19 The existing and proposed infrastructure is inadequately assessed by the Masterplan Report. This is very much a 'chicken and egg' scenario with the Report stating that realistically in terms of cost and deliverability, the additional infrastructure required to cope with the large scale proposals would need to come after the development has taken place rather than before. However, it is recognised good planning practice to factor in the required infrastructure at the same time as housing and to have the new infrastructure in place ready for the new development.</p> <p>3.20 Large numbers of local residents have written in to object to FWP3 during the course of the consultation period and it is clear that a local road network that is not fit for purpose is the main issue which residents are highlighting and EDDC would be foolish to carry on blindly with this scheme when there is such a strong feeling amongst local residents.</p>			
359288	Mr Steve Molnar	Terence O'Rourke	<a href="#">CSO18975</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Whilst Banner Homes supports this preferred option in that it identifies land west of Ridgeway for housing development, it is considered that the site has greater capacity than 210 units without breaching the identified constraints.</p> <p>The proposed improvements to Parley</p>	The reference to 210 dwellings should be amended to read 'up to 263 dwellings'.		903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Cross junction (if necessary for the delivery of FWP3) will require developer contributions, and whilst development at the airport and the potential for other development options at Ferndown and Parley will also contribute, plus the use of public funding, maximizing development within the constraints will provide maximum contributions to the infrastructure improvements.</p> <p>A concept sketch masterplan is submitted which shows how the land might be developed for between 225 and 263 units, whilst providing potential SANGs (including potential contribution to the Stour Valley Country Park concept), linear parks, retention of tree belts, links and enhancements to existing recreational routes (including the Stour Valley Way), and good quality play space and public spaces, all in a sustainable location close to existing local services and public transport routes. The proposals are also on a prime transport corridor where investment will be directed to improving facilities for pedestrians, cyclists and public transport, including links to the nearby strategic employment area at the airport.</p> <p>The proposals also offer potential to link Christchurch Road and New Road via Longfield Drive, bypassing the Parley Cross junction for some movements. This would provide traffic relief of approximately 15% in the peak periods (13.8% reduction in the morning peak and 17.6% reduction in</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the evening peak) based on 2020 predictions. This is a significant reduction which has a bearing on the implementation of the Prime Transport Corridor and the opportunity to improve links with the airport. A drawing is submitted with these representations which show how the existing junction of Lingfield Drive and New Road might be improved to provide this link.			
527818	Mr Nigel Lester	Synergy Housing Association	<a href="#">CSO18966</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Having looked at the proposals for each of the geographical areas and the proposed sites within those areas we can see no reason to disagree with the Local Authorities assessment and findings in each case, and would be very keen to become involved in the provision of affordable housing on any of these sites.			903
527849	Miss Kate Tunks	Transport Planning Officer Dorset County Council	<a href="#">CSO19043</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		The higher levels of development contained in the current non-preferred option FWP 5 are supported. Development in this location is sustainable within the SE Dorset context as it is within the existing urban area and there is opportunity to use alternative modes to access employment, education, shop and other services provided locally and within Poole and Bournemouth. Whilst additional development in this location will generate more traffic, a pre-requisite to this development is the reduction in congestion in the vicinity of Parley Cross. Development of a large enough scale here can help improve transport conditions at the			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>crossroads. If only a small level of development occurs then very little benefit can be achieved for the existing or new residents as transport improvements will not be financially viable.</p> <p>Current peak hour traffic congestion problems at Parley Cross are acknowledged and various junction improvements have been investigated. It is recognised that the longstanding proposal for a gyratory in that location might provide a solution in highway engineering terms but it is unlikely to provide an attractive, permeable urban design solution.</p> <p>Development on both sides of Parley Cross should contribute towards provision of a solution to reduce traffic flows and therefore congestion at the existing crossroads because traffic arising from both developments will further worsen conditions there.</p> <p>Wording in the Core Strategy should reflect this pre-requisite.</p> <p>Ideally the developers of the land to the east and west of the cross roads would work together to create a joint solution which would remove a certain level of traffic from the existing Parley crossroads. DCC Transport Planning Officers have met with consultants representing developers of both sites and have suggested this course of action. A potential solution could be the construction of new streets through each of the developments which would both serve the development itself and remove west – south, south – west, east – south and south – east</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							movements from the crossroads. Parley Cross could then benefit from a reduction in vehicular traffic, provision of better facilities for cycling, walking, public transport and become a more vibrant centre for the community with additional shops and services.			
527863	A Barratt		<a href="#">CSO19013</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
527897	Mr Roy Crossland		<a href="#">CSO19083</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Existing infrastructure does not support CURRENT housing stock .FWP5 land is under approach flight path for Bournemouth Airport, this would be a very dangerous and noisy place to live.			903
527908	Mrs J Coakes		<a href="#">CSO19100</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
533834	Mr Tim Harris		<a href="#">CSO19206</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
534808	M Ward		<a href="#">CSO19416</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Greenbelt land should be sacrosanct because once lost it will be lost forever. New homes are needed but brownfield sites should be fully used (and by brownfield I would exclude garden grab). Developers who make profit from a local area should be made to contribute much more too local provisions (not just transport) i.e. leisure, school and community centres.			903
534820	Paul Batten		<a href="#">CSO19432</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
534837	Mrs P		<a href="#">CSO19497</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Horses need grazing land. No infrastructure in place for the total			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Martin						number of homes planned. I do not go out between 8.00 and 9.30 am and 3.00pm and 6.30pm because of the traffic on the roads now.			
534875	Brian Lane		<a href="#">CSO19539</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
534877	Mr Roger Owen		<a href="#">CSO19541</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		To build on these parcels of land will decimate the Village feel of the locality and make the area an urban sprawl similar to outer London boroughs. There is not enough industry of any sort in the area to support additional personnel and will cause social problems. There will be increased demands on medical facilities. More school places will be required and there are no sensible provisions planned for additional education requirements. Some of these proposals will affect the flood plain especially those areas near the River Stour. If these areas are developed by building there will be flooding in the locality. The comment that these developments will benefit local residents and take pressure away from the heathlands is a complete "Red Herring" and will be of no benefit to the existing local residents. The fact is that it will be a detriment to the current local residents who will be living in an urban sprawl with hardly any definition between our existing area and Bournemouth It will lower the value of a lot of the existing properties with no recompense to the current owners.			903
534883	K L		<a href="#">CSO19566</a>	Option	Object		The open areas of FWP 3, 4 & 5			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Ward			FWP 3			should be maintained in their current state. Much more attention should be given to building houses on brown field sites - for instance the site of the Dormy Hotel.			
534891	Mr Alan R Roberts		<a href="#">CSO19575</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
360977	Mr Nick Solomon		<a href="#">CSO20720</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
490854	D MUTTON		<a href="#">CSO20062</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507361	Mr Kenneth Archer		<a href="#">CSO20335</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
507737	Mrs S Philpot		<a href="#">CSO20306</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
511383	Mrs Jean Archer		<a href="#">CSO20386</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
534833	Frederick Wall		<a href="#">CSO19466</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
534914	Mrs P Froud		<a href="#">CSO19666</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535063	Ms Karen Morris		<a href="#">CSO19608</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		See letter to Chris Chope M.P. forwarded to Cllr Flower for ALL Objections and concerns			903
535066	Mr		<a href="#">CSO19613</a>	Option	Object		"Options" FWP1 - FWP5: I object to			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Louis Kling			FWP 3			any development on Health and Safety grounds before pedestrian crossings at PARLEY CROSS have been made safe. They are highly dangerous NOW without the addition of 840 houses and more from other areas, most of which with multiple car ownerships. We need a pedestrian underpass or at least a pedestrian walk bridge so people can walk from one side of the four-fold road crossings to the other with a reasonable level of safety. Even without the house development taking place, we do need those crossing facilities due to the general heavy increase in traffic.			
535070	Mr Alan Reade		<a href="#">CSO19628</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535094	MS Tracey Tucker		<a href="#">CSO19654</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am very concerned about increased housing in W Parley; the traffic is horrendous around here so much that at weekends and bank holidays I try not to go out and to travel into work at the wrong time is just a nightmare. Additional traffic is just not needed. With regards the industrial development at Blunts Farm, there is no much empty office and factory space on Ferndown Ind estate, why is more needed at Uddens? I keep my horse in Uddens Drive and entrance to the ind estate is so dangerous with the size of the lorries coming into uddens drive both for drivers and horse riders. I am surprised there has not been a serious accident or death there. The A31 does not need any additional			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic, any proposed ind development should be subject to access being made through Ferndown ind estate and not uddens drive			
535109	Mr Stephen Warner		<a href="#">CSO19684</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
535112	Mr Jack Tindall		<a href="#">CSO19705</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535116	Mrs Susan Warner		<a href="#">CSO19703</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535167	Lynda Lake		<a href="#">CSO19758</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535209	Mr P Webster		<a href="#">CSO19794</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Please liaise with NFDC and NPA, as the additional traffic generated by the extra housing and employment zones will increase the traffic problems at the already congested bottleneck at Ringwood and cause yet more problems for the people who live in New Forest hamlets such as Linwood, Brook and Burley as motorists try to find alternative routes. Can the New Forest take any more pressure from additional numbers of visitors? Has Dorset got enough sand and gravel in the land bank to meet the needs of the developers? Can you force Tarmac to start mining gravel by the Spur Road near Hurn? What will happen to the pollution levels close to the trunk roads e.g. at Ringwood, especially particulate pollution? Can Bournemouth Water			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							meet the increased demands for water?			
535349	P Thomas		<a href="#">CSO19824</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Each of the proposed developments around Parley Cross would inevitably have a strong community interest with Bournemouth and Poole. The only access to these towns would be over the river Stour at Longham, New Road or Christchurch. Thus there would be a requirement for new bridges, and, in the case of New Road, a widening of the existing residential roads. This would also apply to some extent to New Road between Parley Cross and Ferndown. It should also be noted that the area between Parley Cross and the river Stour is prone to both dense mists and flooding at various times of the year.			903
535368	Mr Andrew Evans		<a href="#">CSO19976</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I think that this whole core strategy consultation needs a full review in light of the proposed changes being brought in under the new government. I particularly object to all the proposed Verwood development as the infrastructure is not in place to support the current population let alone adding even further to it by building a further 400 homes. Any EDDC councillor who has the affront to say that the infrastructure is in place to support this development does not know what they are talking about and obviously do not live in the town.			903
535370	Deborah Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO19859</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Keep West Parley GREEN!! There should be no building on GREEN BELT land!!			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535387	Mr Brian Cox		<a href="#">CSO19950</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535393	Jeremy Berg		<a href="#">CSO19902</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		ROADS, ROADS, ROADS, BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYWHERE & DESTROY EVERYONES WAY OF LIFE FOREVER, BUILD ROADS & BY PASSES.			903
535421	Mr Roland Andrews		<a href="#">CSO20614</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535457	Mr Matthew Newman		<a href="#">CSO19965</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535500	David Veevers		<a href="#">CSO20012</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535509	Mrs S Durant		<a href="#">CSO20120</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535517	Roy Rich		<a href="#">CSO20092</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535547	Mr M Folland		<a href="#">CSO20188</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535550	Mrs D Mogg		<a href="#">CSO20174</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535567	Ms Judy McMath		<a href="#">CSO20269</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
535574	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO20252</a>	Option	Object		Our Comments relate to FWP1, 2, 3,			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Ralph Williams			FWP 3			and 5 also TA1 Transport Issues. We strongly object to any further development In West Parley as it is totally Unsustainable. All five housing options will add to the major congestion that already completely bring the area to a standstill most weekday mornings and evenings from the A348 at Longham all of the B3073 through Parley traffic lights past the Airport to the Blackwater junction on the A338 and beyond. In the other direction crossing Parley traffic lights on New Road the A347 the traffic again stands form the junction at the Porsche Garage to the Ensbury Park roundabout junction with the A341 and beyond with standing traffic in both directions. This traffic currently adds major pollution to the local environment that's with-out the impact of the Airport which adds also considerable noise particularly at night. These five sites will add a considerable amount of traffic which no contribution from developers will improve the introduction of families is not a reason to allow any of these site to be developed. Please advise that you have received this email and read. Both planner and local councillors need to be more sensible in the approach to new housing in the Ferndown area it needs far more consideration and is now in conflict with government planning policy. To put people on to green field site as an easy option, Do the job properly; work on other sites that are sustainable.			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535591	A Walker		<a href="#">CSO20291</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535610	Mr Stewart Bullen		<a href="#">CSO20360</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535618	Mrs Angela Robinson		<a href="#">CSO20338</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535670	Dave Allen		<a href="#">CSO20410</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535678	Andrew Bryant		<a href="#">CSO20438</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535688	Susan Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO20528</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535701	Mr Andy Skeats		<a href="#">CSO20485</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535815	Mrs C M Davies		<a href="#">CSO20555</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Parley Cross is already a very busy junction and has been considerably enlarged once. Hundreds of new homes would exacerbate the traffic issues, which do not occur only during the rush hour, and any plans to increase the road network further would lead to the village being cut in two and many of us living beside a major road system. West Parley is a community in existence from ancient times, with its own character, and does not want to be an add-on for Bournemouth's overflow - or even Ferndown's, come to that. I agree that there is a need for some affordable housing but large 'rabbit-warren'			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							estates do nothing to maintain or improve the character of an area. Small developments, say in the dozens, where a sense of community can be engendered are far preferable. The number of new residents must be such that the infrastructure of the village - roads, drainage (we have had problems in the past with flooding) schools, medical services, etc - can cope. Green belt land was designated for a purpose. That purpose is more important than ever in these times when people's lives are so pressured. Research shows that those who live in areas where trees and green spaces abound are able to deal better with the stresses of life. Urban sprawl leads to 'rats in a trap' mentality and loss of a sense of community where people have no care for each other or the area in which they live.			
535836	Peter Parsons		<a href="#">CSO20582</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
360768	Cllr. Mr David Packer	Colehill West Ward East Dorset District Council	<a href="#">CSO21087</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
535865	Darren Charles Bryson		<a href="#">CSO20645</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535875	John Kitchenside		<a href="#">CSO20676</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
535907	Mr Christopher		<a href="#">CSO20722</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Baxendale									
535935	Mr Peter J Medler		<a href="#">CSO20839</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>The majority of residents in this area relocated because of the permanent despoiling of their places of birth by elected local and national 'representatives' who would not listen or care about the needs of the people that voted for them. Do you want to be remembered as the people who finally ruined this area or the people who saved it? Do not forget that people still visit this area as tourists and we welcome them and accept their contribution to the local economy. They will stop coming if the developments continue. How many tourists visit the outer London sprawl? Can I quote p227 from the Core Strategy Options paper - para 11.28 The Government's key housing policy is to ensure that everyone has the opportunity of living in a decent home, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live? This is an impossible dream that can never and will never, be fulfilled. If the developments did go ahead what would be the effect of another 5000 cars on our local roads be? If Morrisons developments go ahead where are the customers going to park? The parking within Verwood is just about adequate at the moment.</p>			903
535940	Mrs Linda Medler		<a href="#">CSO20778</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>Any additional building of houses will need to have a better road structure than exist at the moment to cater for all the extra traffic this will bring. I thought we were trying to bring about a</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							greener environment to Dorset county?			
535954	Mary Ford		<a href="#">CSO20796</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			903
535965	Harry Ford		<a href="#">CSO20803</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			903
535972	Mr Ronald Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20816</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I feel there is no infrastructure to support this development which would be better suited to another area. I have worked hard to live in a highly thought off area. This development would totally change the character of the area for the worse in my opinion which I feel is unfair on the current residents who enjoy the high standard of housing and the green belt areas. This development could bring down the whole value of the area particularly with the high percentage of low cost housing which is totally out of keeping with the current style of housing. I strongly oppose this large scale transformation of the area.			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535986	Mrs Angela Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20832</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>In my opinion this is over development on every site, particularly as 50% of the housing could be low cost housing which is entirely out of keeping with the rest of Parley/Ferndown. Parley is known for its village style with large amounts of green belt the development of FWP3 FWP4 and FWP5 would totally wipe out a large area of the green belt and totally change the unique character of West Parley which I and many, if not all Parley residents, find unacceptable. The scale of development of FWP1 and FWP2 is also out of keeping with the rest of the Parley and Ferndown are which prides it's self on its high standard of housing. If these developments were to go ahead it would totally change the character of the area. The building of any housing on the green belt fields is totally unacceptable in my opinion. The percentage of low cost housing is also totally unacceptable in my opinion. I feel any building on FWP1 and FWP2 should be in keeping with other neighbouring housing. The e numbers here are far too high, as is the percentage of low cost housing. Plus you are opening up the potential to then link these two developments by building on the green belt which currently separates them meaning more loss of green fields. Another reason for my objection is that there are not enough school places to support this housing. I live in Parley as I like the friendly community feel and the view of both the housing and the fields as I walk and drive around. I</p>			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							often walk on or close to the green belt areas being considered for development and hate the thought of what it would do to the whole look and feel of the area should the planned development go ahead adding such a large percentage of housing to the area. I object in the strongest terms to all the planning proposals for Parley and Ferndown.			
536014	Mrs Dawn Tindall		<a href="#">CSO20871</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536046	L Appleton		<a href="#">CSO20894</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
536050	Mr Andrew Collin		<a href="#">CSO20889</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		It is important to have a policy of continuous improvement for housing and employment for the area. Many are concerned for our environment, sensitive and empathic development will take care of this, but to do nothing will benefit no one in the longer term. A mixture of traditional and affordable homes is desirable, backed by a development in industrial and business land and premises availability. It is important to maintain and improve all areas of housing and commercial opportunity to invest in the future of our area for all parts of the community, the young. The aspiring as well as the established and retired members. Establishing the right mix is essential for a sustainable future in our community.			903
536096	Katie		<a href="#">CSO20944</a>	Option	Object					903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lacey			FWP 3						
536129	Mr Peter Houghton		<a href="#">CSO20967</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536169	Mr Tony Treviss-Bell		<a href="#">CSO21019</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
536267	Trish Wheeler		<a href="#">CSO21156</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536271	Mrs D Holt		<a href="#">CSO21195</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		No development should be supported within Green belt areas at all. Definitely not within the West parley area as the flats already built within this and the Ferndown area have far outweighed normal development in this semi-rural area which is now seeing a complete change of atmosphere. This area is a retirement area in particular and would be ruined by the over development changing its character to one of a feeling of living on an estate, and smaller housing and cheaper housing would mean that it suddenly became a family area, which it is not geared up for at all. In summer the area is congested with passing through traffic from holiday makers and it would make it an absolute nightmare to live here with another pile of bricks b being developed within the boundaries of the new road area. When we moved here 8 years ago, many of the houses within the area of new road were large plots with one house built within it. These have now been demolished and up to 14 flats have taken their place without			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							any addition to facilities or betterment to local roads surrounding all these new builds. The population therefore must have increased significantly within the short time that we have lived here. This would be a change too far!!			
536282	Mrs Doreen Kingaby		<a href="#">CSO21187</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
536324	Paul Sumner		<a href="#">CSO21284</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Wimborne has the land and facilities to support more houses. The other areas do not. Especially Verwood, as it has been built upon to the point where it is second only to Dorchester, in terms of population. Verwood should be left alone and other areas play catch up. Verwood has no vibrant town centre no realistic extra employment opportunities. No infrastructure, no buses after 6pm or police for that matter. One nhs dentist with a waiting list as long as your arm. Same with the meagre doctors services. None of the houses are actually needed, only for the council to get social housing built. Not the attractive affordable homes it seems to like to label them as. Building on green belt land is supposed to be for exceptional circumstances, there are no homeless in verwood. Do not destroy green belt land just because of a loophole. There is no need for social housing in verwood therefore no exceptional circumstances exist. I have looked at the proposed sights and they will all destroy habitat for local wildlife, VWM4 will also see ssi sites read beds ancient hedge rows etc severely			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							disrupted. Did you know that there is a flood plane? What about the scientific report on the river crane and fishing lakes down there? Common sense please.			
536341	Adrian Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21310</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536346	Mr Ben Richards		<a href="#">CSO21361</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536349	Mrs C Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21378</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536449	Dave Isaacs		<a href="#">CSO21451</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536543	Mrs Samantha Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21525</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		NO MORE HOUSES IN VERWOOD ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!!!!			903
536572	Mr Roger Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21583</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I strongly object to the proposal to increase the housing in and around Verwood. Verwood has been extensively developed over the years and there are inadequate services to support the extra cars and people. Also having seen the housing density of the Ebblake housing estate it is clear that agreeing to more housing will only create 'no go' areas in the very near future. I also note that in and around Wimborne there are huge areas of open land, which could easily be developed, especially between Ferndown and Wimborne which also has excellent road networks in place			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							as it could immediately open onto the A31			
361024	Mr & Mrs Kenny PEARCE		<a href="#">CSO22098</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Infra-structure is overcrowded and traffic congestion is a serious issue around Parley already. Invest in road improvements, a medical centre at Parley, cycle routes that are actually complete and unified and that go somewhere useful. Build houses on the wasted brown belt land isolated areas that naturally are available rather than on Green Belt Boundary land and surrounding countryside. EDDC should try and preserve small communities rather than greedily grabbing land for houses that are not needed - people can't sell the ones they have at the moment. Parley People have already said overwhelmingly no to this so why waste more council money on this debate?			903
475508	Mr and Mrs Marshall		<a href="#">CSO21808</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		We live in West Parley and the transport infrastructure (especially along Hurn Road, Christchurch Road, Ringwood Road and New Road) is already struggling to support the volume of daily traffic. There are always hold-ups along Hurn Road (by the airport), which will become more frequent once the traffic lights are installed at the junction into the airport (being done at present). Longham Bridge and the bends through Longham along Ringwood Road is a notoriously dangerous stretch of road, which is not helped by the ever increasing amount of heavy lorries which use it as a 'short cut' to Poole			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Ferry Terminal instead of using the Wimborne by-pass because that is also not up to the task, being single carriageway and having both Merley & Canford Bottom roundabouts unable to cope with the sheer volume of traffic coming onto them. New Road (which is the main route in & out of Bournemouth for local people) also struggles with traffic, especially during the rush hour periods. When absurd? We do not need developers trying to 'sweeten the pill' with new facilities at West Parley, which are not needed or wanted. I would much rather see the present shopping facilities at Parley Cross and the Children's park by the Memorial Hall improved for the benefit of the local community. Small offices are not required - there are lots of such offices / industrial units in Ferndown which remain empty. We believe the impact of any development would detrimentally change the character of the village of West Parley and therefore do not support the proposed developments.			
482494	Mrs Yvette Jones		<a href="#">CSO21878</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Large scale developments damage the environment for everyone, and it is for ever. The open green spaces are valued by so many who have paid a premium to live in a semi-rural area. Deseccration of this is an assault to the residents' wellbeing; an unwarranted invasion causing a wholesale change of character to what is home to thousands of people who chose a neighbourhood because of its history of modest gradual development. Not			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							convinced there is a housing shortage. Keep 'social' housing in more 'affordable' areas. What is there to aspire to and work towards otherwise? Don't patronise us re. 'Housing for local people' - occupants become 'local' by living in a 'location'. Small developments can be assimilated to the character of an area without destroying people's enjoyment of their homes. They can be developed with consideration, gradually and as appropriate. They do not have to cause illness through acute distress of residents just to line the developers' pockets and gratify councillors.			
498211	Mrs J AUCKLAND		<a href="#">CSO21729</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
498402	Mrs T Hughes		<a href="#">CSO22236</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
508735	Mr Peter Barham		<a href="#">CSO22269</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
514507	Mr and Mrs C Macy		<a href="#">CSO22764</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536576	Mrs Valerie Green		<a href="#">CSO21588</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536606	K A Morris		<a href="#">CSO21614</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The greenbelt should be protected to retain the character and boundaries of these communities separate from			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Bournemouth. The traffic situation is already poor in these areas especially at Parley Cross and the shops add to the chaos so additional shops are not wanted or needed. There are plenty of food shops already in the area. This area is desirable for those looking for a semi-rural situation and many younger people would find it isolating with no community facilities. There are plenty of brown belt or smaller development areas that could be used. It seems the aim of this council is to turn all our villages into extensions of the larger conurbations. We should be working to protect these areas of historical interest and beauty not destroy them. Also, Parley Crossroads is already a dominating part of west parley it should not become more so and traffic further traffic should be discouraged not encouraged. Building here is a very poor option and should be avoided at all costs.			
536614	Mrs S Morris		<a href="#">CSO21622</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The infrastructure is not suitable. These areas are not extensions of Bournemouth and should not become so. If we the residents have made our views clear about this before why are we doing it all again. The traffic in the area is appalling and whilst we understand the need for some housing development the actual figures of need should be verified and published before any further steps are taken. The greenbelt should be maintained.			903
536628	Mr C.A		<a href="#">CSO21647</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Wills									
536629	D Morris		<a href="#">CSO21633</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I find these proposals for the Ferndown and West Parley area short sighted. The area is not suitable for this level of development and does not have the infrastructure to support it let alone the impact to the character of these places and their historical value. This exercise seems purely based on achieving funds with disregard to the impact on the residents that actually live here.			903
536699	Ms Kathryn D'Arcy		<a href="#">CSO21784</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		Ensure that all existing unoccupied homes are utilised. Look at infill in a positive way- Ensure that all infrastructure REALLY supports proposed development Do not build homes if the occupants are unable to find work locally. This leads to juvenile problems and a group of unemployed within the community. There is not enough employment in Wimborne for the number of homes proposed.			903
536702	Mr Mark Hirst		<a href="#">CSO21762</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
536767	Mr John Sharp		<a href="#">CSO21829</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		I have no faith that the 'significant improvements' to the road infrastructure would be implemented. Nor do I believe that they would cope with the existing and increasing traffic flow at this seriously overused junction. In any event, the bottleneck in the flow through the junction is the inadequate roundabout at Hurn. There are no plans to resolve that, so any other changes will not work. Consequently,			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							increased housing will only exacerbate the existing dreadful situation.			
536771	B Lusher		<a href="#">CSO21845</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		<p>I object to any development that expands Wimborne and surrounding villages now and at any time in the future. Why is Wimborne such a beautiful town, could it be the Minster, old buildings, steeped in history? Probably all of these and many other attributes. Take a good look at that stunning photograph 'Wimborne Minster and Stour In Winter' by Roger Holman, fields and the town centre, all in one picture. This view of the landscape, identified as a scene of merit by the artistic eye of the photographer, often passes the layman by, until pointed out so graphically. This photograph, like others, is a measure of what we could lose. I wonder if there were other equally stunning scenes in the hills northeast of Wimborne, Colehill, now completely covered in houses. Can you imagine the equivalent photograph of that time, the town, with a backdrop of fields and trees rising above horizon? Too late, that moment has passed. The town, in close proximity to countryside, is ye has realised this and is making an effort to preserve old Singapore. We, on the other hand, seem to be quite happy to knock down our countryside in the name of development. If we really want to preserve Wimborne for generations to come, so they can see the town, be part of its unique character, situated amongst, close at hand countryside, then we must make</p>			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							a conscious decision now to preserve the green belt and green areas in the town forever, before Wimborne eventually becomes a quaint little roundabout that travellers come across on the way from somewhere to somewhere.			
536790	David Steadman		<a href="#">CSO21898</a>	Option FWP 3	Support					903
536808	Ms Yvette Allen		<a href="#">CSO22007</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536812	R H Barker		<a href="#">CSO21987</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536830	Mrs Janet Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO22037</a>	Option FWP 3	Support		I feel that each community must decide for itself what is appropriate...hence my focus on Ferndown and West Parley. Whilst I'm fully in favour of building new homes at affordable prices, this should not mean that they are badly designed, use cheap materials or are crammed together. I prefer the smaller developments that promote a feeling of neighbourhood, and adjoin existing communities without being too intrusive. The biggest problem for any development is undoubtedly the "traffic". Public transport in this area is very poor. Everybody (88%) owns and uses at least one vehicle, the traffic is often at a standstill in this area already... there are certain times of the day when access to the village is very restricted indeed. Car parking is also an issue, many local shops and businesses			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							must lose custom as a result. It's for these reasons that I feel any property developer (of multiple dwellings) should contribute to any changes that need to be made to the infrastructure, whether that's roads, car parks, shops, or any other community facilities.			
536848	Ola Steadman		<a href="#">CSO22137</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536850	Mr Michael Hird		<a href="#">CSO22141</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536860	Mrs Sparks		<a href="#">CSO22186</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536866	Emma Huns		<a href="#">CSO22214</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
536932	Paul Bason		<a href="#">CSO22303</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
537003	Peter Goodwin		<a href="#">CSO22364</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		FWP1 At peak times there are often queues up to a mile long at the Parley cross roads, with the addition of the retail units and the extra housing then this will become even worse. In addition this would severely detract from the existing Village atmosphere of West Parley and remove for ever its uniqueness within the urban sprawl of Ferndown and Kinson In section FWP3 at the moment during wet weather there is excessive water running down Longfield Drive having drained of the field above, if houses were built on this then the absorption of the water in this area would be less which could result			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>in more severe run of and ingress into the gardens of Longfield Drive. The plan states that for FWP3 if these houses were built the access to these would be the north end of Christchurch road. It is important that under no circumstances should there be a link from Christchurch Road through the new estate to Longfield Drive as this would become a rat run at peak times to miss the Parley Junction. Before when the Ridgeway was a through rd. even though un made up and full of pot holes it was used by many as a short cut during peak times. In Area FWP5 this area provides a natural break from the Northbourne area and West Parley and is an important divide to keep the identity of West parley, in addition it is doubtful if the road links and facilities could support the 1200 to 2000 extra people this would bring into the Area. If for some reason any of these plans do manage to get approved it is of utmost importance that the area is developed tastefully and that the developers are responsible for upgrading all transport links and providing all amenities and green space necessary for the number of families that move into the area. Finally all these areas were dedicated green belt for a reason have these reasons now changed, have the need for homes Offices and retail units now become more important than preserving the uniqueness of this area.</p>			
537014	Master Kieran Morris		<a href="#">CSO22375</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The proposal to build on the greenbelt in this area has been poorly considered. I am only 17 years old but			903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>have lived in Parley all my life. Although I understand the need for some new housing I am amazed that you even feel this area at all suitable. There are very poor facilities for families and transport including public transport is poor. The traffic has got worse over the years and I have been knocked of my bike on more than one occasion. All of these comments are from my own experiences living here for 17 years! Although I am young I actually appreciate the green areas and the semi-rural aspect of this village. It is highly unlikely I would chose to live here when I am starting out as I would like somewhere more urban with facilities and access to employment etc but when I am older and have a family of my own it would be nice to know that areas such as this will be available to consider relocating to as my own Mum did with my sister and I 20 years ago. If you keep turning all these areas into urban extensions there will be nothing left for my children and their families in the future. I have actually spoken up personally about these issues over the last 4 years at public meetings as I don't feel that you are listening to the residents who actually live here. I am extremely interested in Government and Politics and I have to say that I am so disappointed that the people making these proposals claim to be representing us!</p>			
537050	Diane Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO22410</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
537075	Mrs Elaine Holt		<a href="#">CSO22444</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
537106	C Hebditch		<a href="#">CSO22475</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The more homes we build the more roads we need. The homes and roads get filled up then we're back to square one. When will it ever end? The notion expressed in some of the planning, about trying to get people out of their cars, off the roads, and into public transport, is a joke. For example, building on the Cuthbury allotments in Wimborne means that those who have one of the new allotments will have to get their cars out to drive to them, whereas at present there are many people in Wimborne who can walk to their allotments. Most of the areas designated as fit for development will mean even more chaos on our local roads because public transport is not going to improve to the state where those of us who are fortunate to have a job to go to will all be able to get to work on time using a bus. The size of the proposed developments, in my opinion, is too big and will put undue pressure on local services for which we do not at present have funding to improve. I suppose though that these new homes will bring some new council tax payers to the area and therefore, maybe, help with the budget deficit ... until we have to build the new roads....			903
537362	Peter Constable		<a href="#">CSO22533</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		The larger residential building proposals are completely out of character for the area and will			903

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							consume large tracts of green belt. The infrastructure to support development on a large scale is simply not in place. The smaller developments appear to be a better fit e.g. Cuthbury, Leigh Park, and Stone Lane.			
537435	Ms Pauline Burton		<a href="#">CSO22561</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
537529	Mrs C White		<a href="#">CSO22588</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
537571	Nick and Marion Leatherdale		<a href="#">CSO22640</a>	Option FWP 3		No Opinion				903
538111	Mr Graham Austin		<a href="#">CSO22696</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
538118	Mrs Christine Cullen		<a href="#">CSO22701</a>	Option FWP 3	Object		Any more building in Dorset will have a detrimental effect on the infrastructure on the area. Schools, doctors, hospitals and employment, not to mention the amount of extra traffic - which is already high with passing through vehicles also. Building of affordable housing is used to make people think it will be for local people - but no doubt it will be for inner city or immigrants. No industry is providing extra jobs There are already enough retirement properties here! Despite all the building which has already taken place in this and surrounding areas we still have high Council Tax. There are plenty of			903



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>brown sites in towns and cities where houses could be built, not on green areas.</p> <p>Hopefully our comments will be listened to as the traffic problem and over development of green space is becoming worse each year and as a minority resident actually born in Ferndown can only see the beauty of Dorset as a whole being ruined by over population and traffic. Especially as the properties that are built are beyond our youngsters pocket, and builders only consider the people retiring to the area moving here from London with considerably more money that locals are capable of earning.</p>			
538210	Mr and Mrs Peter Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO22718</a>	Option FWP 3	Object					903
361011	Ms Mary MOGG		<a href="#">CSO1570</a>	10.85	Object		<p>I object to any housing on Green belt but particularly feel that the proposed 200+ houses are far too many. This will almost completely cover the whole of these fields. The problem with the run off of water will be substantial and the risk of flooding with the land being covered with housing will be of a much higher risk than currently.</p>			906
483371	R Davies		<a href="#">CSO282</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>No building on Green Belt land. We bought our house 7 years ago to look over open fields, not 400 homes.</p>			907
359928	Mrs M.A. TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO782</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I have lived in West Parley since I was 11. Traffic is horrendous. Schools are all full, likewise doctors and dentists. Most of the sites listed are flooded in</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							winter or waterlogged. Who will build new schools?			
361055	Mr David OAKLEY		<a href="#">CSO1015</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
361099	Mrs Lynette PAYNE		<a href="#">CSO1257</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		A good number of these options, especially in Wimborne and Parley, are on the edges of the urban areas. Building in these locations changes the whole ethos of the area. When you leave Wimborne you see fields, trees and rivers dotted with thatched cottages. Even along Leigh Road, there is a definite rural feel, with true separation between Wimborne and Colehill. Developing along here or Cranborne Road will dramatically change the area, for the worst. This in turn reduces people's quality of life, as we see our area creep closer to urbanisation, field by field. Why can't some of the brownfield sites be used instead?			907
475534	Mr Anthony Elliott		<a href="#">CSO1223</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley is green belt. If we lose any more we become just part of Ferndown. We do not need affordable housing here. Christchurch Rd cannot take more traffic.			907
487902	Mr and Mrs B L Thompson		<a href="#">CSO652</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I strongly support objections to development in the FWP4 and FWP5 areas, mainly because of the safety aspect of the airfield and infrastructure of extra traffic along New Road Areas 3-4-5 will cause horrendous problems at Parley cross, a roundabout will make it impossible to			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							get out of Parley Close			
489250	Mavis Quincey		<a href="#">CSO687</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Objection to extra houses is due to increased traffic use of all local roads. Ellesfield Road into Dudsbury Road to Horns becoming very busy not helped by parked cars etc. This area needs a bus link to Parley Cross, we have one per week.			907
489388	TJ Robins		<a href="#">CSO694</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
489397	Mrs Kim Church		<a href="#">CSO700</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley and Ferndown roads cannot cope with the current volume of traffic. Unless major road improvements were to be made prior to any development the roads would be saturated. Other local infrastructure would be significantly impacted including local doctors, schools and the environment. Why is green belt being considered for housing developments?			907
489411	JMT Morris		<a href="#">CSO707</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Development of FWP 4 would cause considerable congestion at the crossroads and ruin the area. Also too close to the airport.			907
489426	P Jackson		<a href="#">CSO713</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The current road system does not support the proposed development. This green belt housing proposals would radically alter the West Parley green belt.			907
489519	R Huggett		<a href="#">CSO734</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		100 homes will bring 200 extra cars onto roads. Any further traffic onto New Road will cause traffic chaos. We already have to queue to reach the			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							lights at the crossroads. We would need a flyover.			
489527	Mrs and Mr PY and A Housden and Pearce		<a href="#">CSO722</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
489534	Mr David McGuinness		<a href="#">CSO730</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I've worked hard all my life to live in West Parley the way it is thank you very much. It's all about lining somebody else's pockets. We don't want a concrete jungle or an IMAX. I want to see deer and wildlife not more houses and traffic. Start building on industrial sites. I live in Church Lane and there's nothing I like better than looking out over the field at the back of my garden. I've worked very hard all my life for these views and the lovely deer that walk through all this will be lost. Just to fill someone's pockets with backhanders. Just like the IMAX, they won't be happy until everywhere is concrete. In another 20 years the kids of today will have concrete everywhere.			907
489571	Mrs KP Sutton		<a href="#">CSO740</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Whilst I strongly support providing housing for the younger generation at an affordable price, I do wonder where they are going to work in this area. Given that the majority will find work in Bournemouth/Christchurch/Poole, we need to work with those boroughs in getting traffic moving more efficiently. The New Road bridge is totally inadequate. It needs to be at least double the width-that would involve demolishing houses in the southern			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							end of New Road to accommodate the new traffic flow. There is a similar (worse) problem at the bridge on the Ringwood Road at Longham.			
489582	Mr John Swift		<a href="#">CSO748</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ferndown and West Parley already suffer from overcrowding and traffic congestion. As proved in the past very few, if any, of the new housing would be 'affordable'. It is time to tackle the problem of overcrowding of areas and not to just keep building more and more.			907
489589	Linda Sherring		<a href="#">CSO750</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		New Road and Christchurch Road are already extremely busy. Changing the layout at the Parley crossroads will give little improvement. It cannot support the volume of traffic from Parley crossroads to Ferndown as New Road is too narrow. Schools and facilities cannot cope with this enormous influx.			907
489602	Mr Nigel Stride		<a href="#">CSO755</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Green belt should be protected as per Conservative manifesto promise			907
489713	Mr Robert Davison		<a href="#">CSO764</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Area FWP4 definitely not viable because of the congestion of traffic at parley Cross which needs a solution. FWP5 most strongly object! The open green field area, a West Parley heritage precludes the merging of urban sprawl from the Borough of Bournemouth. The local infrastructure is insufficient to cope with existing needs and present traffic congestion needs a solution before the burden of additional housing here. The			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							recommendation for housing in this area is flawed and illustrates how easy it is to overlook the importance of this West Parley legacy as a green belt site.			
489720	Mrs Joyce Bellisario		<a href="#">CSO770</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Green spaces should be kept for future generations. Our roads are already congested.			907
489729	Mr Malcolm Baker		<a href="#">CSO776</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
489743	Mr D Russell		<a href="#">CSO789</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am against any increase in housing density in the proposed areas, until the traffic congestion and safety on the approaches to the "Longham Roundabouts" and the traffic lights at "Parley Cross Roads" has been resolved. With the airport expanding and anticipated to do so in future by a factor of three, the highways infrastructure is not acceptable as it currently exists. Sort out the roads now before creating further problems, it will be cheaper and more successful than trying, and likely failing, to bolt the stable door later.			907
489747	Mrs M Hartley		<a href="#">CSO796</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
489748	Andrew ALLEN		<a href="#">CSO801</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Too many houses and too much traffic for present amenities and to improve these will impede on too much Green Belt therefore losing West Parley's identity.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489750	Mrs and Mr R Bundy		<a href="#">CSO844</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
489757	Alan Mitchell		<a href="#">CSO807</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Existing road system could not handle more traffic. Green Belt should mean Green Belt.			907
489764	Mr and Mrs W M DEAGLE		<a href="#">CSO815</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		While the Council are in no doubt interested in the increased Council tax revenue that additional housing will bring, there does not appear to be any regard for the GRIDLOCK that ALREADY exists on this neighbourhood's roads network. It CANNOT support additional housing			907
489765	Derek KEAREY		<a href="#">CSO820</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley is popular with older people primarily because of the way it is now. By introducing large numbers of 'young workers' into an area with very little work means the village will lose much of its character. It will create a significant increase in traffic as the new residents will have to travel outside the area to work and use other facilities such as schools. West Parley, at the moment, still retains the feel of being a village which it has been for centuries with a documented history. If the proposed development goes ahead then West Parley will become just another suburb of Bournemouth. There must be many other sites in East Dorset that would be much more suitable and that already have the infrastructure in place to support new housing estates.			907
489767	Mr		<a href="#">CSO827</a>	Option	Object		I consider sites 3, 4 and 5 not viable			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J D BOUTLE			FWP 4			<p>for building private housing, due to the proximity being too close to the end of the runway of Bournemouth airport. The noise and pollution would be intolerable,</p> <p>Further comments received on ED news</p> <p>I consider Locations FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are unsuitable sites for building new houses for the following reasons</p> <p>They are directly under the flight path of planes from Bournemouth Airport!!</p> <p>Who in their right minds buys a plot of land and builds houses in these positions.</p> <p>In summer the occupants will not be able to sleep with their windows open because of the noise and air pollution and disturbed in the day in the gardens by the aircraft.</p> <p>Children in particular would be woken up in the evenings by the aircraft noise.</p> <p>Before a decision is made, buy a tent and try it out?</p> <p>NB Ask yourselves would I want to live with my family here.</p>			
489768	Mr and Mrs R HALL		<a href="#">CSO833</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
489771	Tony STARK		<a href="#">CSO841</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Any major development is just not possible while we have just New Road Bridge and Longham Bridge to keep traffic moving. It is bad enough now quite often, particularly as heavy lorries still use Longham Bridge, which defies all logical thinking.</p>			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
489898	Mrs Elizabeth Daw		<a href="#">CSO850</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Before any housing development is contemplated major road improvements would be necessary to cope with increased traffic problems in Ferndown and West Parley - are bad enough as it is now.</p> <p>Shops- Major rethink about shops in West Parley is needed. Food shops that people can go into as it used to be 25/30 years ago-butcher, fruiterer, baker etc and less tiles, bathrooms, kitchens, beauty treatment as it is now.</p> <p>Glenmoor Road- A dangerous area at the shops/carpark. Cars should not be allowed to park on both sides of the road. A clear view leaving the car park is almost impossible. Particularly bad at school times especially in the afternoon when children are collected. This area is congested and positively dangerous at this time. It is surprising that there has not been an accident</p>			907
489924	Mr and Mrs CD Culpin		<a href="#">CSO856</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Are the government going to provide new medical facilities in this area as health centres are stretched to capacity in this area? Also it takes already far too long to get anywhere on the roads surrounding the proposed areas of development. Always too much traffic.</p>			907
489996	Miss W Hammett		<a href="#">CSO863</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Most of the proposed sites are used for horse grazing. Where are the animals going to go? This area has no provision for children to play so family housing would not be appropriate. Very poor or no bus service to these sites at present.</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
490006	Mr Gary Tharme		<a href="#">CSO869</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I support introduction of homes suitable for younger families. Perhaps at the same time, restrictions on conversion of large or multiple flats into retirement or care homes would also help? Extra economic infrastructure is needed			907
490020	Mr R.I.G. MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO875</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Areas 4 and 5 would just be a start into green belt area			907
490051	Chalmers		<a href="#">CSO880</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Main concern is that all the proposed sites will impact on the road systems especially approaching Parley lights. The roads are already jam packed especially at rush hour times. What impacts will extending of the airport have? Parley has a local centre but it does not serve the everyday needs of homes as it used to.			907
490084	Mrs J Stone		<a href="#">CSO886</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		If the large number of houses represents family homes, the area will need additional medical facilities and probably school places. I would not wish to see a large density of affordable homes on the Parley sites with the attendant problems as seen at Heatherlands.			907
490090	MTB Mills		<a href="#">CSO892</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	I moved to West Parley 14 years ago. This area is very nice; the traffic has got steadily worse. We must maintain the same medical help as now. I also love the green areas just to walk and look at. 800 more houses will increase the traffic by approximately 800 vehicles moving in the peak period and also during the day. Parley Cross			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic lights will not cope.			
490093	Mr John Goodman		<a href="#">CSO898</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
490094	Caroline Jones		<a href="#">CSO902</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
490099	Mr B Patching		<a href="#">CSO909</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I believe these areas should not be considered. The road structure, amenities, sewer systems and other infrastructure is far from adequate. Roads are already becoming clogged with traffic mainly due to the airport and surrounding work sites. Traffic in Christchurch has increased by at least 60% in recent years.			907
490400	Mrs G D Field		<a href="#">CSO948</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
490507	Ruscombe Smyth-Pigott		<a href="#">CSO954</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The current road infrastructure is at creaking point. The addition of 800+ houses will result in Parley Cross becoming a choke point.			907
490551	J Kidd		<a href="#">CSO1010</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Radio Solent state 10,000 housed are presently vacant/unoccupied in their area. May I suggest that by 2027 most English roads are likely to be totally gridlocked if present growth increases are maintained. Even at certain times now Parley X Roads are under stress. Why not look at areas north of Ameysford Police Station and beyond the old railway (Castlemain) line where access to Ferndown bypass for any new development would be easy. Any			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							new development in Parley would require more traditional shops or even a new superstore. Some 800 affordable housing probably suggests 800 married couples and eventually 2.4 children in each property. Both parents needing work and schools and no doubt no garaging for 2 cars. Therefore massive street parking. Wardens would have a field day.			
490561	Ian King		<a href="#">CSO1031</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
490829	Mr D CLEARY		<a href="#">CSO1051</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The road network around West Parley is already one of the most congested in the Greater Bournemouth area; the possibility of another 500 - 1000 cars per day will make matters worse. Schemes have been tried to ease the present chaos and have failed.			907
490836	Mr M P Graves		<a href="#">CSO1056</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Ideal locations for the required housing.			907
490866	Mr and Mrs D WRIGHT		<a href="#">CSO1074</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Before any further development should even be considered it will be essential to extend infrastructure by way of schools, medical facilities etc. It is irresponsible for the Council to state 'We are aware of problem'. Also Green Belt was a promise to the public - Local politicians will only earn even greater contempt if they break this promise.			907
490982	KG James		<a href="#">CSO1079</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		FWP 4 and 5 are more doubtful unless the developer pays for all resulting costs and make provision for retail			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							premises, so much of the existing shopping is centred in a near builders merchants. In addition any retail parking should be restricted by timing to exclude all day parking to avoid what occurs at the present time.			
491000	Mr and Mrs DW and FA Mallett		<a href="#">CSO1085</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any development of the open space signified as FWP 4 and 5 on your plan of options, reversely shown, would totally destroy the freedom of space associated with that particularly wonderful area of brilliant West Parley. Additional homes will always be needed but put them round the back..			907
491016	Mrs D Abel		<a href="#">CSO1091</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I feel that with so many proposed homes in these areas would create traffic problems especially with the Hurn airport workers which are bad enough at the present time. Also supermarkets would have to be built to cope with new resident's thereby completely spoiling West Parley area.			907
491020	Mr Simon Jordan		<a href="#">CSO1097</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
491034	Mr Kenneth Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO1104</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The green belt must remain as is. The road system, schools, medical services are totally inadequate for any more housing. The green belt must not be built on. West Parley is not suitable for social housing. Build on the brown belt if necessary			907
491080	J C Hanney		<a href="#">CSO1111</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Increase traffic will cause even more problems in the area particularly at			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Parley traffic lights.			
491125	Miss I Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1117</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
491139	SJ and EJ Dixon-Gough		<a href="#">CSO1123</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Leaving Parley Close now is a nightmare; increase in traffic volume will be disastrous. The area marked FWP 4 and 5 is a flood plain for the river (consider global warming) and is an emergency landing area for the airport.			907
491150	Mr C D Allen		<a href="#">CSO1131</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		If the government were to cut back on immigration there would be no need for excessive new builds.			907
491163	Mr Butterfield		<a href="#">CSO1138</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It is essential to maintain West Parley's green belt environment and village status. The medical and school facilities are not sufficient for houses which are being considered. The main concern is New Road which is virtually impossible to cross, no complete stop of traffic- no pavement leading up to iron bridge on odd side of road-no crossing and 40mph speed limit-this can only get worse.			907
491171	Mr and Mrs Plewka		<a href="#">CSO1144</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley's environment will be destroyed. More housing will have an adverse effect on existing road systems, medical and school provision			907
491173	G Burningham		<a href="#">CSO1150</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		As mentioned any extra houses built in the area there needs to be doctors, dentists, schools before anyone moves in.			907
491174	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO1204</a>	Option	Object		West Parley already is overcrowded!			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	O Mead			FWP 4						
491232	Mr Keith Barnett		<a href="#">CSO1157</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>It is time to stop mass building projects as it is not the answer to the problems the nation and local communities are experiencing. The easy short term solution is to build, build, build but it only creates long term problems to the population that have to live with it. If the government and councils listened to the people they would have already concluded that this project had already met with resistance with the Conservative party saying they would quash it. Trying to resurrect this project will not change people's minds even though it is now called the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The area cannot sustain this quantity of new homes which would also require jobs for the vast majority. This proposal of 2,570 homes would also add another 5,000 cars to an already congested junction at West Parley. This area is already over stretched private and industrial vehicles. Jobs are already at a premium with companies moving out of the area or even closing. Warehouses are lying empty and shops are being converted into living accommodation. The young local people are not able to find homes due to the vast majority going to people moving into the area. This vast development will destroy this rural area. Just look at Bournemouth if you require any proof.</p>			907
491272	D		<a href="#">CSO1171</a>	Option	Object		The roads are already inadequate and			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Steel			FWP 4			with these numbers of houses it will become unbearable. The road around the airport is a nightmare as is the single road-Castle Lane-Castlepoint-A338 is also bad and overloaded. Buses are too expensive and do not go to places such as Castlepoint or hospitals. Local post offices have been closed with the Ferndown one threatened as well. Parking in Ferndown as a town centre is also inadequate. What do you intend to improve??? What is happening to the Dormy?			
491277	Mr Jefferies		<a href="#">CSO1174</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Too much hard ground creates HARD ground which then causes flooding. Changing local roads only pushes problems further along to small A roads/B roads. Sewage works already working to capacity.			907
491285	C W and B J FREWIN		<a href="#">CSO1180</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any new homes adjacent to Parley crossroads will cause more traffic problems. If building works go ahead the road improvements must be in place before building			907
491299	Mr and Mrs Shaw		<a href="#">CSO1187</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The roads are bad enough now. No amount of road improvements will make any difference. This will all melt into Bournemouth. Too much has been taken away already from the green belt and wildlife habitat.			907
491301	Mr Norman TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO1190</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491311	Mr Kevin GILLING		<a href="#">CSO1201</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
491318	Jackie Ball		<a href="#">CSO1239</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		New Road is over used as it is; with a possible extra 500 houses = 750 extra cars (approx) the roads around Parley would be grid locked. If the Spur Road is closed through accidents, all traffic comes via New Road. This leads to chaos.			907
491383	Mr Stanley Havill		<a href="#">CSO1238</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I object most strongly to any substantial housing development in the vicinity of Parley Cross until such times improvements are made to the traffic infrastructure in the area. I have watched the traffic flow increase considerably over the past 20+ years. It has decreased the air quality and has affected property with vibration, dust, grime and water thrown from vehicles.			907
491392	Mrs Lesley Wilson		<a href="#">CSO1281</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
491436	Mr and Mrs G Martin		<a href="#">CSO1287</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		More housing will only spoil West Parley. It is a village, not a town and should be kept as one. Please save our village West Parley.			907
491446	E J Clempson		<a href="#">CSO1293</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Not viable unless matching infrastructure can be provided. Christchurch Rd already heavily developed, try exiting Linden Rd/Dudsbury Rd.			907
491451	R		<a href="#">CSO1300</a>	Option	Object		Fill in sites with one or two buildings			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Fletcher			FWP 4			Colehill/Parley/Ferndown. They are there but you refuse permission.			
495549	Mr Simon Rowberry		<a href="#">CSO1487</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Bus routes and cycle lanes will not be classed as transport improvements. People have cars, they use them, and therefore you need to consider this pressure on Parley Crossroads, an already congested junction.			907
495554	Mrs Nesta Small		<a href="#">CSO1493</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley's green belt environment and village nature must be preserved. Infrastructure/roads will not support 700+ homes.			907
495562	Mr MJ Banting		<a href="#">CSO1514</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
495625	Mr Michael McMath		<a href="#">CSO1541</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
360714	Carol Morgan		<a href="#">CSO2027</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
360734	Mr Nick Moulton	Amphibians and Reptiles Conservation Trust	<a href="#">CSO2341</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		ARC would object to this proposal due to its proximity to the heathland SSSI. This protected site already has very high public use that is having a negative effect on its condition. Additional housing in this area would cause an increase of public use to this site and would increase these negative effects. The proposed SANG could not mitigate to reduce additional public use to this site.			907
361111	Mr Raymond Brown		<a href="#">CSO2077</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
484088	Mr David Price		<a href="#">CSO2528</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
491465	Mr John Dinsdale		<a href="#">CSO1307</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		My main concern and objection to sites FWP 3, 4 and 5 is their close proximity to Bournemouth airport and the industrial estates thereon. The infrastructure is already inadequate and I have huge reservations as to how it could be improved.			907
494596	Mr Sperling		<a href="#">CSO1325</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
494598	Mrs Jean Pedley		<a href="#">CSO1328</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It will be imperative to get the infrastructure correct if any of the above materialise.			907
494600	Audrey Russell		<a href="#">CSO1335</a>	Option FWP 4		General Comment	I feel unable to give my support to any of the proposed housing locations in West Parley until the road infrastructure has been vastly improved. Currently the area around the junction at Parley Cross and the Christchurch Road is very congested and dangerous to negotiate. I suggest that sites for schools and other necessary services should be identified at this planning stage. I am also aware that a proposed development, close to the Coppins site, has been turned down in the past due to its close proximity to the site of 'Nature Conservation Interest' at Poor Common.			907
494604	Mr John Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO1346</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 would lead to large increases of traffic at Parley Cross and on New Road, which are already			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							heavily congested. These developments would ruin the semi-rural character of the area and should not be allowed on green belt land.			
494623	S and R Perkins		<a href="#">CSO1342</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Developing east of New Road areas FWP 3, 4 and 5 will create a small town which has little or no infrastructure to support a development of this size. There is no need for additional shops or commercial development as there are empty shops in Ferndown centre and we have industrial units in Ferndown and at Hurn Airport. No developer would be willing to contribute the amount of money required to create a satisfactory road scheme especially at Parley Crossroads which would have to withstand the brunt of any further development within the area.			907
494723	Mr D Brittain		<a href="#">CSO1351</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Would not want housing on FWP4/5. Planes use this field to fly over after taking off keeping them clear of most houses. Also Parley Cross adjacent to these fields is quite the worse crossroads I have ever come across. Extremely dangerous for pedestrians and frustrating for motorists. Would not want more traffic at this junction.			907
494726	M J Martin		<a href="#">CSO1357</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley's green environment would be ruined by developing FWP 3, 4 and 5. The road system around Parley Cross is already under huge pressure and cannot cope with further large scale housing development, nor are medical or school provisions adequate.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
494736	Mr S Cox		<a href="#">CSO1366</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
494741	Sybil Eastham		<a href="#">CSO1368</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
495200	Mr and Mrs J M B Webber		<a href="#">CSO1396</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Canford Bottom Roundabout needs urgent improvements. A31 / Wimborne Road - pressures on these roads E / W. Reduction in industrial traffic through Ferndown.			907
495225	L Higgins		<a href="#">CSO1384</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I have chosen the above options as they will minimise the flow of traffic onto the existing roads by being in diverse positions. It may help the current road network which is a disaster.			907
495269	Mr E Wigman		<a href="#">CSO1393</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		We understand that young people require some housing but with the already overcrowded road systems and lack of bus services a lot of improvements need to be made. A circular bus service to cover Bournemouth, Christchurch hospitals and the airport would be advantageous to older people who can no longer drive. We would also like West Parley to remain a "village" and not joined to Bournemouth			907
495348	Mr and Mrs RJ Veal		<a href="#">CSO1404</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Coppins already industrial ie brown site. All other green land.			907
495369	S W Wood		<a href="#">CSO1410</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		45 million has just been invested in the new airport terminal - in itself increasing aircraft noise and extra road			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							congestion in and around West Parley. To consider building 700 new homes on Green Belt land will mean more road congestion, pollution and noise. This is unfair to the residents of West Parley. I realise we need more homes and would support FWP4 - we would also need adequate schooling, medical care and transport.			
495376	AG Poole		<a href="#">CSO1458</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Too many houses. Green belt being eroded. Transport and roads appalling. West Parley will lose its rural status.			907
495378	A'Barrow/Wade		<a href="#">CSO1419</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We choose to move to West Parley because of its natural open spaces. Since we've been here Parley Common has already been an area that's been ruined. Not to mention the extra traffic these extra houses will bring to the area. This is a major concern, especially when the emergency services need to get through Parley lights or Longham. Its grid lock now. What will it be like with all these extra houses? Don't build new, re-build/regenerate old.			907
495429	L Mansbridge		<a href="#">CSO1427</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Both FWP1 and 2 would put too much pressure on Poor Common which is busy enough. FWP 1,2,3,4 and 5 would increase traffic on what is already struggling with current vehicular use.			907
495437	Mr Andrew Scott		<a href="#">CSO1435</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
495446	Nicola Anne		<a href="#">CSO1441</a>	Option	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lowe			FWP 4						
495453	Mr R Walden		<a href="#">CSO1448</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
495460	Steve Scamell		<a href="#">CSO1454</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Before building work commences, road infrastructure should take place. New Road, Christchurch Road are congested as it is!! Also - where are these new people going to work??			907
495485	Mrs Jane-Louise Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1465</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley needs younger people but building in excess of 800 houses is not the answer. Also Parley lights are busy enough without more congestion from new houses. I feel flats need to stop being built for the elderly. This encourages young people away and Ferndown struggles to cater to the younger, middle aged people.			907
495493	MJ McManus		<a href="#">CSO1471</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
495662	M Abbott		<a href="#">CSO1559</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
495680	J M Brown		<a href="#">CSO1765</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The attractiveness of West Parley arises by the number of green spaces, and rural feel of the area. This is so apparent when one leaves the Bournemouth conurbation after Redhill, and enters the openness of West Parley.			907
495971	T A Reith		<a href="#">CSO2208</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
496060	Mr C and Mrs K Ward		<a href="#">CSO1598</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		My concerns are for the shortage of facilities and infrastructure if any of			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							these proposals are accepted. More traffic, people who will need more nursery provision, more schools more teenage facilities, doctors, health provision for the elderly. What percentage of these houses will be really affordable to local people? Please keep West Parley and surrounding areas pleasant to live in, by limiting the density of all of these options.			
496188	Mr RE Vogel		<a href="#">CSO1621</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
496388	Mrs J Pinnington		<a href="#">CSO1659</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		My concern is the increased traffic congestion at Parley crossroads. Also the number of houses proposed would mean that families with children would mean more school places would have to be provided. How could the hospitals and doctors surgeries be able to cope? It would be obvious that this should be considered. The point about the green belt boundary being amended - think of the future-soon it will mean we would have no places to walk or enjoy!			907
496439	Mr and Mrs Gordon Third		<a href="#">CSO1670</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Whether improvements are made to the road system or not, West Parley is unable to cope with any increase in traffic. Support services, e.g., doctors, dentists, and schools, public transport would not be able to cope with the increased population in the area. Also, semi-rural area, which is why people chose to live here. The green belt must not be used for housing. It is essential			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							for this to be kept for leisure use, wildlife. Too many animal species are dying out because tier habitats are being destroyed.			
496479	Charlotte Dixon		<a href="#">CSO1711</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
496564	JH Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO1754</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
496575	Mrs Gillian Sewell		<a href="#">CSO1778</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Present shops are underused; many having gone out of business gradually over last 20 years as shopping habits have changed. Housing would cause extra traffic in this already congested area. Extra traffic near traffic lights, especially in view of airport expansion. New retail is not required a Tesco's and Sainsbury's have been built since 1950s parades for shops in Ferndown area. Cars are now used.			907
496588	Mr and Mrs Richardson		<a href="#">CSO1780</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
496597	Mr Colin Sewell		<a href="#">CSO1785</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Retail expansion not needed. Economically unviable. Would end up with possibly more takeaways and definitely empty premises. Traffic would be horrendous. Loss of old fields.			907
496612	Mr and Mrs JP Lovell		<a href="#">CSO1805</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
496661	Mr Isger		<a href="#">CSO1834</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
496704	Mr		<a href="#">CSO1841</a>	Option	Support		Inadequate medical facilities and			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Davies			FWP 4			schools, existing road system clearly under pressure. Nowhere for youths except to congregate outside local shops.			
496708	Mr and Mrs Z M Radwanski		<a href="#">CSO1851</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
496747	K W Walker		<a href="#">CSO1857</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
496749	Mr J S Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1863</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Submitting readily to the pressure of 'in-migration' is paying the Danegeld. Control of population movements is not an option in our society but it is inescapable that as more housing is made available more will be required. Finding sites for affordable housing and other housing is commendable but only the price mechanism (upper limit), other economic/market factors and sensible but rigid allocation criteria for affordable housing can ensure the desires result (accusations of social engineering can then be ignored). Parley Cross is already a nightmare and upwards of 700 new houses will aggravate what is, by any definition, urban blight. Just plonking down large numbers of houses without imaginative, long term, commercial, highways, and services developments/solutions will squeeze existing residential areas and can only confirm Parley Cross (specifically the roads and shopping) as a deeply unattractive, traffic bound, nasty, inaccessible, dangerous and tawdry trading site. The Ferndown shopping			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							centre and Parley Cross, both of which are glorified, fragmented traffic conduits, reflect laissez-faire evolution rather than the more considered planning apparent in most of the residential areas. Expediency will generate temporary solutions. Time to stand back and ask questions about 'quality of life', future generations and the like.			
496751	A J Leggatt		<a href="#">CSO1869</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The Green Belt was designated for a purpose - to stop the sprawl of housing from one community to another. This still stands and should be upheld. I have no objection to small developments but not to large estates which would spoil the village character of Parley. People who live here obviously want to live in a village rather than a sprawling town.			907
496760	N K M Stephens		<a href="#">CSO1875</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	It is noted a new Core Strategy Plan is required but feel there is and will be insufficient infrastructure and facilities to support a large development which would completely alter the character of the neighbourhood. Also development east of New Road would be under the flight path of the airport as aircraft do not always fly over the water meadows adjacent to New Road Bridge.			907
496764	Mr P S Tripp		<a href="#">CSO1881</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I feel the road system in West Parley is already at maximum usage. There are many occasions when it takes 10 - 15 minutes to reach the traffic lights at Parley Cross from Winnards Close. Before any further development takes place, we need a dual carriageway			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							directly linking W Parley lights, with the A338 Spur Road.			
496785	Mrs S Lovelock		<a href="#">CSO1887</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I do enjoy living in the present West Parley environment but I also appreciate affordable housing is needed. Improved infrastructure of transport (all forms) should definitely be in place first. A doctor's surgery is already badly needed in West Parley. I know little about children these days, but obviously these proposals will mean many more children in the area and needs careful consideration re schools etc.			907
496786	Andrew and Lorna Gardner		<a href="#">CSO1893</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		No road improvements around Parley Cross can adequately meet the necessary relief of the resultant congestion caused by the added population of FWP3 and 4 and 5.			907
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO2179</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		This Option proposes a housing development of 100 homes together with the provision of a retail shopping centre and community facilities east of New Road at Parley Cross, in the green belt. This proposal is adjacent to the airport flight path and within the airport noise zone which could impose harmful noise emissions on any new inhabitants or employees. We do not believe it is recommended or sensible to construct dwellings in an airport noise zone, and would suggest that the Core Strategy should be endeavouring to reduce the number of people affected by airport noise, not increase it. The proposal for a Local Centre as	Remove Option FWP4 from the Core Strategy		907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							outlined on the Option map does not appear to take into account that some of this land may be required to construct a proposed new road system at Parley Cross, which is outlined in other Options in the Core Strategy. The current road infrastructure at Parley would be unable to cope with the additional vehicles from this employment and housing development, and if major road improvement works were implemented at Parley Cross, then the Village would be adversely split in half. If either FWP3 or FWP4, or both proposed developments were to be agreed, then the character of Parley would be changed completely, pushing it as a giant conurbation towards Kinson. This is inappropriate development in the green belt, the purpose of which is to maintain the separate identities of each separate community. We would point out that the Hurn Parish Plan 2010 confirms that in a survey of Hurn residents, 93% agreed that it is important to preserve green belt boundaries.			
496958	Mrs J Beech		<a href="#">CSO1935</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
496978	I Holmes		<a href="#">CSO1950</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I do not believe that the local infrastructure will ever be updated to reflect the development needs.			907
496987	Mrs M Marshall		<a href="#">CSO1960</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Parley Crossroads is very busy. It is not near any schools so will generate a lot of short journeys at a very busy			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							time. The other sites will create business for Ferndown but FWP4/FWP5 may not.			
497022	Mrs J D Newbold		<a href="#">CSO1974</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		So long as road issues, schools, shops etc are present developers should first improve Parley Cross centre. Buses must be a priority. The Green Belt to be retained as first priority.			907
497026	Doreen Smith		<a href="#">CSO1994</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		This amount of building will create extra traffic in Ferndown and Parley which already has a lot of road build up in the busy morning and evening travel. Also taking a lot of natural land for our wild life.			907
497038	Mrs H A Davidson		<a href="#">CSO1996</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am very strongly opposed to the development of more houses either at Parley Cross or near it. Christchurch Road is already an extremely busy road, as is the junction at Parley Cross. Even if the junction were re-developed, the strain on the roads would be far too much. I believe that an influx of so many people would also place too much pressure on other local amenities.			907
497051	Tovey		<a href="#">CSO2023</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
497056	Mrs Gillian Miles		<a href="#">CSO2041</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
497089	Mr Frank A Soan		<a href="#">CSO2107</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
497158	B S Newman		<a href="#">CSO2149</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		No to Green Belt development - we wish to keep a Green Belt between rural West Parley and Bournemouth. That is why we saved up for years to live here. Our existing roads sustain more housing traffic. Unable at the moment to cross safely with dog over Christchurch Road or come out of our residential roads to filter into existing main roads. Schools and medical. Use garden building for small town houses to give affordable homes to young families or people who have not been able to buy ie schemes for 1st time buyers.			907
497173	D Dixon		<a href="#">CSO2160</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I personally think you should offer to the resident of this area a proposal of roads and infrastructure before asking the public to commit accepting you're planning for more housing as shown on your plans. Without more building the New Road is inadequate to take more traffic, when the recession has passed there is bound to be more cars and lorries using New Road. This plan you have put forward does not constitute good planning.			907
497182	Margaret Muir		<a href="#">CSO2180</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Transport issues, school issues, improvements can be promised, but may not work and cause more transport and traffic increase.			907
497184	Mr Hilling		<a href="#">CSO2213</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
497239	Martine Lewis		<a href="#">CSO2255</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
497343	Sharon Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO2278</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
497773	R Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO2481</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
360037	Mr Dave BARNES		<a href="#">CSO4050</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	What alternative locations, if any, have been considered? There is mention of heathland development.....where would this be?			907
361041	Mr & Mrs G K HAMPTON		<a href="#">CSO4022</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		We strongly support the provision of affordable housing on all sites and that the two types of housing should be intermingled. We applaud the emphasis on provision of adequate public transport.			907
361170	Mr TR HARVEY		<a href="#">CSO2846</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498044	Carolyne BANKS		<a href="#">CSO2677</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Transport needs to be sorted out centrally - to get something that works properly - this is the biggest showstopper to all of these schemes - the current roads will not support any more traffic. Use the newer out-of-town developments to extend housing - after all that's what they were created for ie: Verwood, Corfe Mullen, Sturminster Marshall. Don't cram more development into existing residential areas in town. Consider the impact on horse-riders especially in West Parley, where there are a significant number of horses. Adding more traffic to horses = more accidents. Horse-riders have to use			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the roads to access the bridleways. Recent increases in traffic already make the situation fraught with danger.			
498047	CD Bradford		<a href="#">CSO2655</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
498062	Mr Nick Crawford		<a href="#">CSO2731</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Although I live in Ferndown, I work and travel around a lot in the local area, so feel I can voice my opinion on developments around the area.			907
498084	Mr P HARTLEY		<a href="#">CSO2757</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
498125	Mr and Mrs P DASHWOOD		<a href="#">CSO2796</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
498169	Mrs D WEAVER		<a href="#">CSO2900</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
498184	Mrs Angela BARKER		<a href="#">CSO2927</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I value West Parley's Green Belt in particular as this is where I live - I chose to move here for its green spaces, quiet roads and somewhere for my children to grow up relatively peacefully - I do not want to find myself living on the outskirts effectively of Bournemouth. West Parley is semi-rural and that's how I would like it to stay, unique and appealing. Not every area needs affordable housing - if a lot of people are retired and/or own their own homes, does it matter? Leave West Parley as it is - it works ok, so don't fix it.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498267	B G Northwood		<a href="#">CSO2990</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498268	Mr and Mrs R Cullen		<a href="#">CSO3008</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
498270	Mr and Mrs P Herrington		<a href="#">CSO3018</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Due to current infrastructure around the airport, industrial estates and Christchurch Road, additional transport would cause extreme traffic problems and congestion as well as this there is the issue of Parley village merging with the Bournemouth conurbation. Surely it would be more beneficial to home owners to increase development within an already suburban area with amenities.			907
498271	Mandy CHEESEMAN		<a href="#">CSO3058</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
498274	P Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO3036</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	This 'Ferndown and West Parley Options' document is very weighted to housing in West Parley. Most of these options will create significant additional traffic volumes at Parley Cross, which already struggles with the existing volumes.			907
498281	D R and M E Fitzhenry		<a href="#">CSO3052</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		None. Until the total infrastructure (doctors, schools and all other amenities) have been totally gone in to, before any decisions are made.			907
498335	Mr and Mrs Lester		<a href="#">CSO3215</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		14 lane traffic lights through tiny village centre. West Parley has one of the busiest sets of traffic lights on the south coast. This cannot and does not cope at all now. The field at traffic			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							lights is an emergency landing strip for airport. The field marked FW3 is right next to Saxon rings in Doomsday Book, would the Council build over all our heritage as well as Green Belt. Strongly object. FW5 floods now regularly so how can you consider building on that. FW1 is proposed off road that can't cope with its traffic already.			
498338	Joan Furniss		<a href="#">CSO3085</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The extra traffic on our roads is not viable.			907
498341	Ronald B Diggle		<a href="#">CSO3096</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Area FWP4 should remain undeveloped. Parley Cross should retain some semblance of countryside.			907
498359	Brian Miles CBE		<a href="#">CSO3112</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I fully recognise that a 'Nimby' response needs to be resisted! Nevertheless I really do wonder whether development on this scale is justified in such a threatened Green Belt environment. Even with improvements, the effect on the road system would be potentially damaging and the increase of affordable housing particularly in terms of school and medical facilities would change the character of West Parley and its environment in a substantial and damaging way.			907
498446	W A Murphy		<a href="#">CSO3214</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498455	Mrs Rosemary Dark		<a href="#">CSO3230</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498470	Mr Mike Baynham		<a href="#">CSO3245</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		One of the main justifications seems to be houses for our children. If this policy wins then a cycle of never ending development starts because a generation later more houses are needed for their children etc.			907
498495	Mr John Williams		<a href="#">CSO3276</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
498540	R Crawford		<a href="#">CSO3295</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The road around West Parley and Ferndown are congested as it is. Any further building will contribute to this. West Parley needs to be kept as Green Belt wherever possible as land everywhere is being gobbled up for building.			907
498548	Messrs Sewell		<a href="#">CSO3355</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The existing Green Belt should be preserved for future generations. Any development near Parley Crossroads will mean further traffic, congestion, pedestrian problems, as well as loss of the green corridor defining Ferndown area as opposed to becoming a suburb of Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch.			907
498555	Mrs Della Edwards		<a href="#">CSO3319</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Green Belt should be retained, flood plain, airport noise, traffic already the busiest crossroads in Dorset and overcapacity, urban sprawl, divisive and overwhelming numbers of new build in regard to size of West Parley and not sensitive to the existing population, West Parley is a village not an extension of Ferndown so data is flawed. Heathland too close. Brown field sites not investigated as			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							alternative fully as it is cheaper to build on green belt which is a short term fix resulting in the loss of green belt forever, amenities not in place. Semi-rural feel of village will be lost forever. This planning is lazy use of the discredited RSS data which has already been rejected by the public and EDDC councillors once and not a fresh look for serious alternative sites. Ignores 2002 local plan which stated that road system was overloaded even then let alone with the numbers of extra developments that all these proposed sites would mean. Plans are unsustainable.			
498692	Alwyn Stavert		<a href="#">CSO3361</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Keep for green open space adjacent to the Cross.			907
498699	Mr and Mrs P J Edkins		<a href="#">CSO3366</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I have travelled on the airport road every work day almost for over 27 years. It has never significantly improved. Any development in Parley (which would add at least 1,000 cars to the road) could make the road infrastructure jammed and cause chaos to the area.			907
498706	Darren Schmidt		<a href="#">CSO3372</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498711	Mr Tam Chant		<a href="#">CSO3390</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498775	Mrs P L Buckler		<a href="#">CSO3402</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I object very strongly to having green belt land built on - I moved to this area many years ago because I felt it was semi-rural. I like to see horses and			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							cattle grazing - if I wanted to live in town I'd have bought in Bournemouth.			
498818	Mr R White		<a href="#">CSO3423</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>The land is designated green belt There will be traffic increase. Routing roads across green field land will only push the traffic problem further down New Road. It will become impossible to enter Christchurch Road from Parley Close, Memorial Hall, Equestrian Centre and Curlew Road because of new lights that will have to be introduced. Increase of homes will cause more young people onto this area. There are no facilities or infrastructure to support this increase. The additional homes would not be used for local people, only immigrants or socially inept, probably turning the area into a "Townsend Estate" Nor more homes in Parley on Green Belt land.</p>			907
498828	Paul Brady		<a href="#">CSO3412</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498871	Mrs Laura Jean HEASLIP		<a href="#">CSO3426</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
498996	M E CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO3455</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
498999	Mrs M Weller		<a href="#">CSO3444</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
499019	William Legg		<a href="#">CSO3462</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		1) Additional development would in the main be a dormitory for			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Bournemouth/Poole workers. 2) Extensive wildlife would be affected - including deer and buzzards and badgers. 3) Loss of identity and community.			
499035	John Pelang		<a href="#">CSO3476</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	There is little work in the area and housing is going to increase traffic. Roads can't cope now at Parley Cross (doctors, dentists, schools) will all be under more pressure with the cut backs this is all not going to work.			907
499040	Mr K Grimshaw		<a href="#">CSO3486</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		My concern is due to traffic build up on New Road, I don't consider it suitable for the extra traffic that these proposed houses will mean. Even now from 7.15 until after 9am traffic is stationary outside my home waiting to clear Parley Cross traffic lights situated some half mile distant. From F and WP leaflet- Most of these sites are supposed to be green belt and are also under flight path of planes from Hurn either taking off or landing thus at a dangerous stage. Also New Road is unsuitable for an increase in traffic.			907
499049	Mr and Mrs Worsfold		<a href="#">CSO3496</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley can't cope with any more traffic; it is at breaking point now, especially when they shut the spur road, if there is an accident. We have enough people living here and also the schools would not be able to cope with the extra children.			907
499065	David Stacey		<a href="#">CSO3505</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
499231	Mrs A Lathbury		<a href="#">CSO3528</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I know people need homes but it is important to keep open spaces and not overdevelop the area. Obviously extra homes provide more council tax but the environment is important and once lost is lost forever. Therefore careful consideration should be given.			907
499236	J PIPE		<a href="#">CSO3547</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
499245	Mr and Mrs N BUTLER		<a href="#">CSO3580</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
499248	H Hedges		<a href="#">CSO3594</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The current infrastructure is inadequate for the existing population: roads, sewage, drainage etc. Any housing development in West Parley would be a disaster and politicians, both local and national will find out at the ballot box that the vast majority of voters object to and reject these plans. The growth and proximity of the airport is in itself a reason to abandon any plans for housing development.			907
360098	Mr John L ROBINSON		<a href="#">CSO4609</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
360190	Mr John CULLEN	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO4282</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Detailed comments on letter dated 10.1.11 from Barrack Road Residents Association as follows: - The recommendations for this site include new local shops, including a new food store and a health centre plus small offices. Along with suitable parking facilities, internal roads and with the 100 houses proposed for the			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>area, it does not look like the area allocated on the plans is large enough for all the amenities proposed. As existing local shops remain empty for some time when vacated, new shop capacity is unlikely to be needed. In addition, the recently conducted West Parley Parish Plan survey has shown that 73% of residents are happy with the existing local health facilities so there seems little need for a new health centre.</p> <p>Para 10.74 also suggests a combined heat and power plan on the site. We assume that the suggestion for a power plant here is an error and refers to the Dorset Waste Plan proposal (since dropped) for a waste plant at the Airport. The Masterplan also proposes that such plants put for each area of search for new houses despite none of these locations being included in the Waste Plan.</p> <p>The shops at Parley Cross are mainly DIY related and are attracted there by low rents as all the traditional local shops have closed due to lack of use. A new centre could revive the area but could also severely impact on the existing shops on New Road.</p> <p>Traffic</p> <p>The proposals in Options FWP 4 show traffic using a new road around the development. As currently drawn it shows 90 degree junctions at the New Road / Longfield Drive junction and on Christchurch Road. As drawn these would severely reduce the total traffic capacity of the area as traffic would have to slow considerably to negotiate</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>these junctions.</p> <p>We assume that both new junctions would have to be traffic light controlled, creating additional delays to through traffic and increasing congestion outside houses on the main roads.</p> <p>Until DCC release their own layout plans it is unclear how much land will be taken up with the new road layout.</p> <p>No road access to the development is shown in the plan. This access would itself create a further traffic restriction that will slow through traffic.</p> <p>The outline plan also shows continuing access to the New Road shops west of FWP4 from both the north and the south.</p> <p>West Parley has seen a steady increase in traffic using Parley Cross and the junction is overcapacity at peak times. Unless there are improvements to Parley Cross, the morning and afternoon peaks will extend over time. In Summer it is usual for traffic queues to extend eastwards from Parley Cross to the Airport entrance, northwards to Ferndown, south into Bournemouth and east to Longham as holiday traffic adds to existing commuter traffic.</p> <p>Building houses in the numbers proposed will add to this situation unless road improvements preceded any house building.</p> <p>The houses will be very close to the flight path for the Airport.</p> <p>This proposal seems to try to put too much development, some shown not to be needed, into a small area and the road plans as drawn may actually</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>make the traffic congestion worse. Green Belt status We appreciate that some additional housing is needed in West Parley at some stage but much of the area was designated as Green Belt to protect the existing situation and the Green Belt status becomes meaningless if the boundaries are changed regularly. Older residents in Barrack Road heard in the 1980s the assurances about Green Belt being sacrosanct so the current assurances about preserving Green Belt if the current plans are agreed carry little weight. We feel that the additional planned housing numbers put forward are not warranted by market and economic conditions. Some small additions in West Parley are acceptable but not in the numbers proposed. It is surprising that the Chapter on Odours (page 104) does not mention the existing long term problems of odours from the Eco composting plant in Chapel Lane. Noticeable odours can be smelt from up to one mile away, most especially in East and West Parley but also across to Hurn and north Bournemouth, depending on wind direction. The Environment Agency is well aware of this problem, as is the Environmental Health Department at CBC. The plant has been the subject of repeated complaints over a number of years. New housing in West Parley would be directly affected by these odours.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360685	Mr M.P HOSE		<a href="#">CSO4638</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
361049	Mr Brian ROPER		<a href="#">CSO4496</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Bring forward submissions and planning, create employment, low cost housing with assistance for young people.			907
361106	Mr and Mrs Robin and Janet WALL		<a href="#">CSO3676</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
475530	Mr George Phillips		<a href="#">CSO5162</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I don't mind building new homes - people have to live somewhere. BUT - homes should be decent, they should not be built on poor land eg flood plains, road improvements required include a new bridge and a better link between the dual carriageway and Poole.</p> <p>Additional Response received: You need to have a plan on how the infrastructure will be improved to support these housing and commercial developments before we decide on any housing or commercial developments. Infrastructure includes roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, etc. And for roads, I don't mean links from the developments to the existing roads. Everything round here is already overloaded. We need more bridges over the Stour, and a motorway link from Poole to the M27. Motorways north to the M4 and west to the M5 should also be built. These will take the load off the local roads round here. I also think we should only build decent homes. Everyone has to live</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>somewhere, and they should have somewhere decent. It's the cost of land that drives up the cost of accommodation, and building land is expensive because it's in short supply.</p>			
475537	Mrs Julie Bird		<a href="#">CSO4101</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I have no objection to small pockets of development as this will not change the infrastructure of West Parley. Building large areas of housing will mean that the roads, already not coping with the traffic, and schools, already full, cannot accommodate these changes.</p>			907
491252	Margaret Wareham		<a href="#">CSO3794</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I object to green belt being built on. We do not need more housing in West Parley. The roads are too busy, and we wish to keep our green fields, plus there is no work in the area for more families and the public transport is not good and we do not need more shops. Also Response form</p>			907
497944	Mrs G Mary Salway		<a href="#">CSO4181</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>FWP 3, 4 and 5 are all Green belt land - this is not mentioned in the Core Strategy Plan 'previously expressed community concerns' although frequently and forcibly expressed. This report acknowledges that local residents 'did not want green belt to be released for housing' - what has changed? Green belt boundaries were confirmed in 2002 and there are no proven 'exceptional circumstances' justifying any change, except perhaps the indication that councils will get a lot of extra funding for each new house built in next 6 years!</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO4194</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		1 -Why build on green belt, what has now changed? Many brown and infill sites, council policy not permitting this. Is it government promise of funding from new houses? 2 - Extreme traffic congestion, more houses and road improvements in area will not help as mainly service industry post, not key posts. Many people have to travel daily outside of the area for work. What will change and where are the key posts available in the whole area? Will more industry and commerce come into whole area? 3- Considerable housing stock on market and rented houses available, These should be utilised as other countries before covering green belt. 4 - When green belt lost West Parley adjoins Bournemouth no need for duplicate council posts or Parish Council.			907
499261	Mrs Norma JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO3615</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
499290	Mrs Lisa TURNBULL		<a href="#">CSO3648</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
499384	Mr A I ROSE		<a href="#">CSO3720</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		The centre of activity is the main crossroads. Develop housing as near as possible to avoid more travel.			907
499560	Mr and Mrs Tickner		<a href="#">CSO3837</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
499681	Paul Rogers		<a href="#">CSO3875</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Overdevelopment of area. Traffic density very high at present. Further			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							development will prove disastrous for traffic access and transit. Further shopping/office development not required. Modification of road junction will not solve increased traffic levels.			
499732	Mr and Mrs A J James		<a href="#">CSO3893</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Having lived in West Parley for only 4 years I cannot imagine how anyone with knowledge of this area's roads would consider adding to its congestion! Housing of this magnitude would make this area impossible to get from A to B!			907
499745	Mr M C Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO3902</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
499753	Mrs S E Stringer		<a href="#">CSO3908</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
499761	Mrs M Q Saunders		<a href="#">CSO3916</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		No. It is not practical.			907
499772	Julia Williams		<a href="#">CSO3933</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We object to the prospect of having West Parley built on and used as a public dumping site for voluminous housing. This area has been kept as a wonderful place to live. Why should we put up with this imposition? We have the incinerator, the gravel pits, eco composting. The roads are a nightmare at Parley Cross morning and evening. Why not use the area alongside the A31 it has room for traffic and the room to deal with extra capacity - Parley does not.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
499788	Mr and Mrs Halford		<a href="#">CSO3952</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We object particularly and most strongly to areas FWP4 and 5 in addition object to the ludicrous quality of housing to these areas close by to what is already one of the busiest junctions in the country. What 'brain box' would even contemplate such development in that area given the already heavy traffic flow at this junction/crossroads? The West Parley parish area and Ferndown area could not possibly support the needs of what could be up to 500 new homes on FWp 4 and 5 the proposal is in our view absolutely crazy! We attach a high value to the green belt and are concerned that the medical and school provision would not be adequate for additional houses.			907
499810	Mrs A Clark		<a href="#">CSO3959</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Class sizes in local schools are a big concern. Class sizes are far too big already and therefore any increase in the local population will only make that worse. Increased traffic volumes a concern, particularly at Parley Crossroads.			907
499873	Mr Graham Holt		<a href="#">CSO3988</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
499900	D A Gwillam		<a href="#">CSO3989</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
500060	Mr Stuart PIDDOCK		<a href="#">CSO4078</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
500070	Mr		<a href="#">CSO4111</a>	Option		No				907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J W ELCOCK			FWP 4		Opinion				
500077	Peter and Rose Olivo		<a href="#">CSO4116</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500082	Mr S Harding		<a href="#">CSO4125</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The roads would not be able to cope with the extra traffic this would cause. The road we live on is extremely busy at all times of the day already. We are a young couple who made a decision to move to a semi-rural area. Just because most properties are bungalows doesn't mean only old people want to live here.			907
500115	Mrs Sylvia McIntyre		<a href="#">CSO4140</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
500137	Mrs Shelagh Hickinbottom		<a href="#">CSO4149</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It is going to spoil the Green Belt areas. More traffic which will cause a lot of hassle on roads, already too much.			907
500143	Mr G Wright		<a href="#">CSO4158</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I would prefer no building but as I have a house and love (?) the area I cannot vote for somebody if housing is needed not to have the opportunity.			907
500204	Mr and Mrs S T and J P Terrill		<a href="#">CSO4171</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 1, 2 3, 4 and 5 all unsuitable for housing development. Land to the east of Trickett's Cross should be used instead. There is too much traffic already going through West Parley; more houses will make traffic worse. FWP 3, 4 and 5 acts as flood plains and building there would cause flooding elsewhere. Please leave West Parley alone! Put houses where their			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							owners' cars will not cause congestion; along the Ringwood Road, east of Ferndown.			
500222	S Hartley		<a href="#">CSO4191</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
500261	Mrs Sharp		<a href="#">CSO4200</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Inadequate infrastructure. Traffic congestion already - don't need any more. Don't believe that sufficient investment will be made to alleviate these problems.			907
500307	Mrs J Wolverson		<a href="#">CSO4206</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500350	Mr P H BARTLETT		<a href="#">CSO4222</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
500360	Mr K Nippard		<a href="#">CSO4255</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500361	Mr and Miss N and A Middleton and Turner		<a href="#">CSO4264</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The proposed development for consideration by the junction of New Road, and Christchurch Road (Parley Traffic Lights) would bring that area of East Dorset closer to being a Bournemouth suburb, and once this development was completed then extensions would be added, with the excuse of housing for the expanding airport.			907
500391	Ms Jennifer Mogg		<a href="#">CSO4338</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		There should be NO building on Green Belt land. If the Councillors go against the wishes of the majority of West Parley people, my views are: FWP1 - Max 50 homes			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							FWP2 - Max 35 homes FWP3 - Max 100 homes FWP4 - Max 100 homes but no community centre or shops, we already have a sports club which could be used. MOST IMPORTANT - Absolutely NO to 40 - 50% social / low cost housing. No more than 10% is ACCEPTABLE.			
500427	Mrs D J LYONS		<a href="#">CSO4313</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
500558	A Baker		<a href="#">CSO4361</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
500580	John and Linda Russell		<a href="#">CSO4385</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Small developments only. We value the green space and traffic problems which are already bad at rush hours will only get worse.			907
500592	Mr and Mrs Ash		<a href="#">CSO4376</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The building of homes at Parley Cross would erode the existing green belt and the existing roads, i.e. New Road and Christchurch Road could not take the extra volume of traffic up to 800 extra cars. Regarding FWP 3, 4 & 5.			907
500622	Mr and Mrs M Simpson		<a href="#">CSO4392</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Having resided in West Parley for the past 25 years, we have noticed the dramatic increase on the amount of traffic, using New Road and Christchurch Road. This is almost anything of day leading to queues in all directions. Will the council only be happy when the whole area comes to a gridlock, which I might add already happens, when there's roadwork's and accidents on the Bournemouth Spur			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Road. West Parley was a pleasant area 25 years ago, but with the already massive building of houses and flats in the surrounding area, has made it a travelling nightmare.			
500630	S R and J V Jones		<a href="#">CSO4397</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The New Road will not take large developments at FWP3, 4 and 5. A dual carriageway and a new bridge over the river Stour will be necessary prior to those schemes. (but not provided) Look at A347 and A348 - they are both low grade roads frequently choked up and will come to gridlock in the near future, The Dormy site and also West Moors schemes will also load onto those roads. Loss of Green Belt would be tragic.			907
500646	Mr and Mrs L A Searle		<a href="#">CSO4403</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I live on the east side of Ridgeway Lane, the back of my bungalow facing west onto the steep hill which at the moment is home to several horses. In the autumn and winter rains Ridgeway Lane turns into what can only be described as a small river from water draining off the hill. This in turn causes a flood in the field on the left hand side of my property. My main concern is if they were to build houses on the hill, rain water would drain off in greater quantities due to there being less drainage as the ground would not be able to soak up water and cause serious flooding not only of my property but the surrounding area (shown in a photograph attached to the letter). Your argument that the surrounding area is 'imbalanced' due to there being			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>an older population is quite ridiculous. The area has an increasing older population for a reason: to live in a peaceful and quiet environment, with beautiful open areas of fields and greenbelt, not to live next to yet another housing estate.</p> <p>If there is an imbalance of young people in an area, is there a concerted effort to move older people into that area? No.</p> <p>People moved to the site at West Parley because of its attractive area and surroundings, not for 'affordable housing'. The area is not easily commutable to town centres and the road infrastructure struggles already. No amount of traffic lights or roundabouts is going to ease the problem and should be left as it is for the foreseeable future.</p>			
500660	Andrea Thomson		<a href="#">CSO4408</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500672	Mrs P E Budding		<a href="#">CSO4414</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500679	D Saunders		<a href="#">CSO4420</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500680	Mr and Mrs M Maybury		<a href="#">CSO4425</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Traffic concerns if development near Parley Cross. It can take 10 minutes to get out of our close to get to traffic lights.			907
500682	Peter Bird		<a href="#">CSO4431</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley is a small village and surrounded by Green Belt. Adding large numbers of houses will mean the			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							status of village will be lost. The roads, schools cannot cope with large volumes of new houses,			
500685	Mrs E Hedges		<a href="#">CSO4436</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I would rather that there was no more development of our area, especially West Parley.			907
500694	Mr and Mrs J R MANSBRIDGE		<a href="#">CSO4458</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Homes and jobs increase movement of people. Therefore the roads must be able to cater for an increase in use. Public transport or company coaches to places of work - like J P Morgan at Littledown. Canford Bottom needs a FLYOVER - nothing less. Think of the flyover at Ringwood and what a bottleneck that was years ago. With a lot more homes in and around West Parley something should be done to alleviate traffic going down New Road. A second road and an additional bridge is the answer there.			907
500697	Mr Thomas SMITH		<a href="#">CSO4482</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		My objections are based on the infrastructure being unable to support the extra traffic etc. Plus areas that are liable to flooding. Please note this East Dorset News was given to me by a friend and NOT delivered.			907
500706	R & J Jeans		<a href="#">CSO4532</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
500720	Mrs VALLIER		<a href="#">CSO4531</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500731	Mr Tony		<a href="#">CSO4550</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The whole area is far too congested and the services, doctors and			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Brown						infrastructure cannot handle the additional population.			
500748	Mrs Lauren MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO4590</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
500751	Mr Christopher Halford		<a href="#">CSO4579</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Protect the green belt as the Government and Council had pledged to do, by using Brown Belt land and infill to build small development of affordable homes. No need for large high density developments containing very few affordable homes. The green belt is precious and should be protected not used to fuel developer's greed.			907
500766	Mr Brian Raine		<a href="#">CSO4625</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500786	Mrs Janet Toomer		<a href="#">CSO4649</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I object to FWP 3, 4 and 5 because the large amount of homes being built which will change the core strategy of the area by too big an impact. I think little by little is best.			907
500802	J Hayward		<a href="#">CSO4685</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
500810	Mrs Elizabeth Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO4675</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The traffic on New Road never stops and there are frequent long queues. Any development in the area will totally clog up Parley Cross. The rural feel south of Parley Cross will disappear and become a conurbation of Ferndown.			907
500817	Mr L		<a href="#">CSO4703</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Re use or develop existing empty houses.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Lothian						Each house has 2-3 cars and there will be too much congestion, we do not need more roads or by passes. There are no jobs in this area build the houses where there is work ie Bournemouth and Poole not on our Green Belt land.			
500818	Mr J LADD		<a href="#">CSO4690</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		GREEN BELT LAND MUST BE PROTECTED; otherwise what is the point of having 'Green Belt'? Please listen to public opinion and don't just say 'we will listen and then disregard it'. I expect this will happen anyway.			907
500903	Mr and Mrs S and R Harris		<a href="#">CSO4783</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500906	Mr and Mrs Scholes		<a href="#">CSO4753</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Leave West Parley as it is. It needs Green Belt to keep it separate from Bournemouth. Traffic congestion especially at peak times is already bad in West Parley.			907
500913	Mrs M STARK		<a href="#">CSO4798</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Traffic at Parley cannot cope with any more vehicles. Also drains and infrastructure cannot cope. Make lorries use the Ferndown Bypass and not Longham Bridge.			907
500919	V Thomas		<a href="#">CSO4762</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
500921	Emily Collins		<a href="#">CSO4769</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The road system is already over - loaded. The Green Belt is a precious resource and one that must be protected.			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500933	Mr Michael Matthews		<a href="#">CSO4778</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Keep West Parley Green!			907
501000	Mr S W J Smith		<a href="#">CSO4815</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Extremely unhappy with the proposed developments around the Ferndown and Parley areas. They will increase the pressure on the infrastructure even more. The roads are terribly congested at peak times even when the traffic is flowing well. I have noticed that, when there is an accident or other reason for a hold up there can be a serious knock-on effect (eg the closing of the road around Hurn Airport). I am very sceptical about the authority improving the infrastructure - we have heard a lot of talk and seen no real action to provide a bypass for Ferndown. In the present financial climate, it will never happen. Therefore I object to further housing development			907
501006	Mr and Mrs J Horsley		<a href="#">CSO4823</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We just feel that the traffic and facilities are such that to have more housing in this area would cause complete grid lock. Our schools and local doctors would be unable to cope.			907
501008	Mrs P Norrie		<a href="#">CSO4818</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Increase in local traffic. Concreting over green field areas could cause further water logging on fields, near bridge and flooding. Need for felling of mature trees.			907
359895	Mr Jeff REECE		<a href="#">CSO6055</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Road Infrastructure needs to be tackled before any development takes place.			907
360060	Mr		<a href="#">CSO5428</a>	Option	Object		Consideration for infrastructure			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	G.M EDWARDS			FWP 4			including: Green Belt, projected traffic flow, doctors, schools, paying transport, floods, sewage, gas, electricity, water, welfare facility. Protection of current rate payers against subsidies. Consideration of matters residents may consider non PC.			
475517	Mrs Sarah Collins		<a href="#">CSO6117</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		This is our green belt land. Talk of green infrastructure when in reality green belt will be lost never to be regained. Traffic at Parley Cross roads and past the airport is already terrible. The thought of adding more residents and cars is unthinkable. Schools and health centres are not ready for big infill.			907
501012	Louise ARNOLD		<a href="#">CSO4872</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501014	Mr J Morris		<a href="#">CSO4838</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I would think it would be sensible for less housing not more. The road system is obviously overloaded quite often I cannot get access to New Road. All I can hope for is if the nightmare goes ahead it takes as long as the Ferndown relief road, Poole and Wimborne bypass is taking ie about 20 years.			907
501015	Mr & Mrs W McMillan		<a href="#">CSO4870</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501039	Dr and Mrs Peter		<a href="#">CSO4886</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Traffic at Parley Cross is already far too great at peak periods.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Liebling						Any new gyratory system will not reduce the volume of traffic, buses and cycle lanes will not really help. The noise and air pollution from the airport will go over most of the proposed development. No infrastructure to support development.			
501051	Mr Eric Franklin		<a href="#">CSO4903</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The roads at West Parley already have enough traffic without more houses. Hurn airport is expected to get busier which will mean more traffic without extra housing.			907
501071	Mr G Dingle		<a href="#">CSO4921</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Please explain how building on these beautiful green sites could provide valuable green infrastructure away from the heath - Dog walking. Please explain how bus lanes and cycle paths will improve the transport situation when each of these new homes will have at least 2 cars.			907
501080	Ms Olivia Collins		<a href="#">CSO4932</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I enjoy the green belt and it is designated to prevent housing spread. I have to leave early to get to school in Bournemouth extra cars will make it worse. Where will all the new children go to school? Road improvements in progress will further disrupt traffic.			907
501107	Mr & Mrs E C Lacey		<a href="#">CSO5014</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501114	John Lee		<a href="#">CSO4974</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Supporting development for FWP1, FWP2 and FWP4 spreads the			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							infrastructure, avoiding a concentration of pressure in a smaller localised area. Provides average 102 affordable homes (44%).			
501118	Mr Ron Cook		<a href="#">CSO5010</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501123	Mr and Mrs Foster		<a href="#">CSO4995</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Environmental disaster! Delightful village and area to suburban sprawl!			907
501127	Dr Stephen Riley		<a href="#">CSO5029</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The development will massively increase the population and change the character of West Parley. It will become yet another sprawling suburb. This is in a time of recession. The lending necessary for people to buy these houses is not available, therefore demand is highly questionable. Many of these houses will be directly under the taking off / landing paths of aircraft and will suffer from noise pollution.			907
501136	Miss M Parker		<a href="#">CSO5044</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501143	Rita Whitfield		<a href="#">CSO5066</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Acute congestion already prevails at Parley Cross and community facilities are already inadequate. In your own words, cut backs are taking affect. Our road is a disgrace.			907
501191	Mr and Mrs Redman		<a href="#">CSO5078</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We find that the traffic is bad having to come from our entrance turning right to go to Longham, in the years we have lived here there has been accidents and we fear that building more houses			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							on Coppins Nursery will make things worse with more traffic on the road.			
501194	Mrs Colton		<a href="#">CSO5085</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501195	Mr A Skinner		<a href="#">CSO5091</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501201	B H Balinas		<a href="#">CSO5110</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I cannot possibly support Options 3, 4 and 5 since Parley Cross is now clogged with traffic throughout the day. There was a time when there was no congestion at all and only two or three years ago there was only congestion during the rush hour. Options 3, 4, 5 will produce yet more traffic to a road system that is already inadequate. The resultant air pollution affects all residents in the area. It is essential to preserve West Parley's Green Belt.			907
501210	Whitehorn		<a href="#">CSO5118</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501234	A and M Grieve		<a href="#">CSO5140</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Options FWP 4 and 5 are the least desirable. Occupants of houses will suffer being under the flight path. Whatever option is chosen transport will be a prime consideration since conditions at Parley Cross are already nearing grid lock at times. Green Belt areas should not be 'nibbled' at.			907
501327	M E Perkins		<a href="#">CSO5177</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501335	Mrs J J Bassett		<a href="#">CSO5189</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am very concerned that there isn't the infrastructure or the services to support any large housing development. I think			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							that the Green Belt should be preserved.			
501342	George and Ann Alexander		<a href="#">CSO5198</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		There is too many people and cars in the area. 'We don't want anymore'.			907
501351	Mr and Mrs R D'Cruze		<a href="#">CSO5226</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501353	Mr and Mrs Ireland		<a href="#">CSO5212</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501362	G P Hough		<a href="#">CSO5245</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 4 and 5 are way over the top for the location and services. What is affordable? In the foreseeable future how will people be in a position to Buy!! Let's get real??			907
501364	Mr M Devetta		<a href="#">CSO5860</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501369	Mrs S Hunwicks		<a href="#">CSO5262</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501380	Paul Ashton		<a href="#">CSO5271</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We have just moved to the area, and part of our reason for moving here was the rural aspects in close proximity to our property. To allow the building you are outlining is wrong as it will spoil the area and add too much congestion.			907
501386	Mrs A Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO5277</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501485	Mrs J JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO5293</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501488	Chris LAMB		<a href="#">CSO5327</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501500	Mrs E Chinn		<a href="#">CSO5349</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley is a very old village. Think of all the extra cars, and any other thing they will need. That's the beginning of a concrete jungle! Find somewhere where the residents are not so affected. I live alone and don't want houses up to my back fence - this affects more people than West Parley - who need to travel to work. FWP 4 for Sake don't do it!			907
501502	Mr Michael WAREHAM		<a href="#">CSO5373</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501506	Janet Cooke		<a href="#">CSO5380</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		My greatest concern about the above is that the Parley Cross junction can already barely cope with current traffic levels and is likely to become gridlocked. We need to protect our green belt.			907
501510	Mrs J Swingler		<a href="#">CSO5398</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Roads will not take any more traffic. Doctors surgeries now full. Not enough schools.			907
501520	Mrs Z MERRIFIELD		<a href="#">CSO5444</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501523	Rita Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO5477</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501530	Mr and Mrs J Archer		<a href="#">CSO5462</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		If these building proposals are agreed the loss of character to the area will be fundamental and the reason most of us bought dwellings here. The extra traffic, lack of parking, need for roads			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							etc., does not make these proposals attractive to anyone. The proposed development will swamp West Parley and is grossly over development of the site. Parley Cross is bulging with cars at the lights, to propose more families and cars is insane.			
501537	Stalley		<a href="#">CSO5536</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Traffic congestion is the greatest problem. Parley Cross and New Road are far too congested at the moment. More traffic will only make matters worse.			907
501538	Mr and Mrs R B MORGAN		<a href="#">CSO5489</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - The options we have selected are those that would provide additional housing with a lower impact on the main roads through West Parley. Our decision was also influenced by the comments given previously as concerns for the area and the road infrastructure. Any house building in the Parley Cross / New Road area would require major new traffic management and road layout.			907
501542	Mr and Mrs STRACHAN		<a href="#">CSO5524</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	Please do not accept any community centre / halls or leisure centre as a corporate inducement from developers of housing developments. In my experience they hardly ever work and cost a great deal in subsidy for councils each and every year. ie. Verwood Hub; Lenham, Kent; Ditton, Nr Maidstone, Kent. My ref: 10 years as a Parish Councillor in Ditton, Kent.			907
501556	Mr and Mrs VEAL		<a href="#">CSO5550</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501560	Mr Christopher CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO5582</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501563	Mr and Mrs Halls		<a href="#">CSO5568</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		This area is already saturated with traffic and noise. Refuse centres cannot cope with current population. Infrastructure (road) too small.			907
501567	Trevor Openshaw		<a href="#">CSO5633</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I have lived in West Parley for over 30 years. This is the umpteenth time that the authorities have attempted to despoil this lovely area we live in. In the past they have stopped, for example, the megastore that was almost inflicted on Parley Cross in sections 4 and 5. Usually there is no allowance made for roads and services, so I don't expect there will be this time. Suggest we let the council sample commuter time at Parley, or hereabouts. Or try to get a doctor's appointment, if they are not increased. Anyway, everyone knows you can't sell a house for a toffee at present, so who is going to buy these phantom properties. I don't feel that there is any demand to go anywhere near justifying this ridiculous plan. It also will have the effect of ruining some local builders. Of course it may be that the houses are to be provided for the thousands of immigrants we have leaked into the population.			907
501574	Mr & Mrs B S Nippard		<a href="#">CSO5631</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Re FERNDOWN AND WEST PARLEY OPTIONS The existing road structure, even with improvements cannot support increases in traffic brought about by			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>this and other development. Access to the spur road, access into Bournemouth via New Road and access along Ringwood Road can come to lengthy standstills at most times of the day depending upon circumstances.</p> <p>FWP3 - Building on an exposed and elevated site which adjoins a major EDDC ancient monument should not be proposed.</p> <p>FWP3/4 - Despite the provision of open space, these developments will inevitably be to the detriment of the protected areas at Parley Common.</p> <p>FWP5 - This site partially covers the noise exclusion zone as shown on the previous proposal by the SWRSS. A small portion is also within the 400m heathland envelope.</p>			
501586	Mr D S Gardner		<a href="#">CSO5649</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Road improvements must be sorted out at Parley Cross before any development is agreed. Parley Cross has not had much done to it since World War Two. Traffic as a nightmare if you live here. No more development should take place near Parley Cross itself unless improvements are done.			907
501591	Mr & Mrs A Davies		<a href="#">CSO5676</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Strongly object to any developments in rural areas and "green" areas. There are more than enough cars on the road without bringing more traffic chaos.			907
501593	E J Brockhurst		<a href="#">CSO5666</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I do not want the land to the east of New Road (FWP 4 and 5) developed with new housing. It should be retained as it is. It would clog up the road system; put a strain on the services			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							such as drainage, sewers, and medical and educational facilities.			
501601	P Longland		<a href="#">CSO5683</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Developments on this scale are going to make the area's chronic traffic problems much worse. In addition, the whole character of Parley will deteriorate if the Green Belt is built on.			907
501613	Mr and Mrs P Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5711</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I do not think any houses should be built at all. We will become an addition to the Bournemouth/Poole conurbation which will take away the personality of West Parley. I also don't think any infrastructure improvement will sort out the traffic congestion problems in the area. 840 houses 2 cars a house!! Chaos!!</p> <p>I am appalled at all of the proposals for West Parley. I am a resident there as you will see. Every day I queue to get through the lights at Parley to get to work. How on earth do you think the roads in this area will cope? Additionally what makes this area lovely is that we do still have green fields to drive past. Why are you intent on spoiling this?</p> <p>I am happy to pay a premium for my house to live here; my husband and I work extremely hard to pay for it. But prices will not hold if you add all these properties and use the lovely open spaces. I do not work to live in an area where others just roll into each other like in Bournemouth/Winton/Charminster etc. Finally, I work in a school and carry lots of things to and from work each day, no matter how good you make the</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							public transport, I will not be able to use it.			
501616	R J Joyce		<a href="#">CSO5982</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501624	Ben Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5726</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I totally object to any further developments in this West Parley area, especially on Green Belt land. Further housing will see the area overdeveloped and undermine the existing housing. This current infrastructure cannot cope with current demand and cannot be? Further housing will cause further chaos and bottlenecks.			907
501626	Mrs J A Russell		<a href="#">CSO5758</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501636	Mr W Revill		<a href="#">CSO5749</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501694	Mr R BRYAN		<a href="#">CSO5794</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501699	B THOMAS		<a href="#">CSO5821</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501705	Michael Lucas		<a href="#">CSO5839</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I cannot believe that you want to build on green field sites when there are so many brownfield ones! Or is it all to do with cost? FWP 3, 4 and 5 will also produce more likelihood of flooding in Stour valley.			907
501709	Alan Seymour		<a href="#">CSO5859</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501717	D J and J L Cooper		<a href="#">CSO5889</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Development of any new site will put extra strain on an already very congested road system. Any promises to ease the situation will always fall by the wayside!!!			907
501718	Mr D Duckenfield		<a href="#">CSO5878</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
501720	Dr and Mrs D HARLOW		<a href="#">CSO5916</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501732	Mrs H.M Ridout		<a href="#">CSO5903</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I strongly believe that this development will destroy the village feel of West Parley and will bring no benefits whatsoever. I am unconvinced that the idea of road improvements will be effective, it will be like living on Canford Heath with all the properties but no reduction in council tax.			907
501737	Mr and Mrs Ryan		<a href="#">CSO5917</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley is a local community of which this building of new homes would erode forever. The roads around this area already taking too much traffic and have at times become bottlenecked. Crime is also low around here and all that these building would create is more crime and a sense of not belonging.			907
501738	RJ Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO5906</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501744	Mr J Drew		<a href="#">CSO5937</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501745	Michael Cook		<a href="#">CSO5946</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
359908	Mr F.D.A REVILL		<a href="#">CSO6253</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
359927	Mrs Ann BISSEX		<a href="#">CSO6361</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The green belt should not be encroached on any further. No building should be allowed on flood plains. Much more serious effort needs to be put into reducing necessity of relying on car travel.			907
361113	Mr Alan Meade		<a href="#">CSO7190</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I like the rate of affordable housing to private homes. We all know that there is a shortage. Jobs will be created, money brought in, good for all in East Dorset, Christchurch.			907
477822	Ms Susan Rayment		<a href="#">CSO6772</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The site at Parley will create untold traffic problems			907
501753	Mrs J Easterbee		<a href="#">CSO5969</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Whatever is decided there will always be traffic congestion polluting the air. Number of houses proposed is unbelievable adding more traffic and spoiling a pleasant village - No			907
501754	Mrs M J Rossister		<a href="#">CSO5975</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Re Core strategy option 7 (Bournemouth Airport) 7.29 states by 2015 1,900 new full-time jobs. FWP 3, FWP4 and FWP5 could help us to provide a local work force. Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet also			907
501761	Mr D Curtis		<a href="#">CSO6015</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		The most urgent and critical limiting factors are the A31 single carriageways E and W and density of			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							traffic using Canford Bottom roundabout - Encouraging increased commercial traffic re. PC 4 to PC 9 would only exacerbate the present traffic problems.			
501765	Mrs M.I Smith		<a href="#">CSO6005</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501766	D E Anderton		<a href="#">CSO6008</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I would support FWP1, 2 and 4 as they are reasonably sized developments which should have reasonable impact on local infrastructure and not significantly affect the overall Green Belt separation from Bournemouth.			907
501772	Mrs C Wilson		<a href="#">CSO6045</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Too much traffic at Parley Cross .Already shops not used except as commercial premises -cars parked but no one in shops so restrictions to be imposed. No doctors or dentists so No houses.			907
501774	Mrs Chisman		<a href="#">CSO6044</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley and surrounding areas cannot cope with any more traffic on the Christchurch Road, it is blocked most of the day, and we cannot get out of our gates without trouble.			907
501793	Mrs Marian Dingle		<a href="#">CSO6072</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Slotting in small green spaces amongst housing developments cannot enhance the beauty of West Parley which has a country feel because of the fields targeted for development. Get used to the fact that families will always use cars in preference to public transport or cycles.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501801	Mrs Ward		<a href="#">CSO6087</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		There are enough houses and traffic problems in this area without adding to it.			907
501804	Mr Glyn Davies		<a href="#">CSO6099</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501814	Mr and Mrs C Sorbie		<a href="#">CSO6119</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The identity of West Parley must be preserved and its Green Belt land is crucial to this objective.			907
501867	Mrs I M Marks		<a href="#">CSO6300</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
501873	Mr and Mrs Harold A and D Joan Lilley		<a href="#">CSO6242</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		All proposals of this nature have a number of basic needs. One of the most important is infrastructure. Subject to finance being available Canford Bottom Roundabout is to be drastically amended for the Olympic Games. The reference to "Improvements to Canford Bottom therefore appear to have been superseded. Since I cannot find any intention to improve the road network all these proposals will only add to our present problems and cannot be supported.			907
501876	J Long		<a href="#">CSO6207</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501881	B W Deverill		<a href="#">CSO6280</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501900	Miss Theresa Gale		<a href="#">CSO6268</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501940	Mr M J Godfrey		<a href="#">CSO6346</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
501953	J Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO6352</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I moved from London to get away from built up areas. I don't want to have to move again. West Parley is a great unspoilt place let's keep it that way.			907
502022	Mr HWR Stevens		<a href="#">CSO6389</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Dorset's villages and small towns should be preserved as such. So called "developers" are only concerned with profits. There'll always be an England? Not when it has been built over and occupied by strangers.			907
502029	Mr J MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO6428</a>	Option FWP 4	Support	General Comment	Quality of materials and road layout is a key requirement to any new house building. More 2 bed homes to give small families a chance at being housed. Houses and roads can be attractive (Look at Poundbury, Nr Dorchester). Enough parking is a must - no 1.25 spaces per plot, plus a couple of visitors bays, will not suffice. Plenty of 'part rent - part buy' should be included to give all young people a chance to have a home. Try to get away from open plan frontages. Terraces of even small boundary fenced homes look better and give a feel of being established houses.			907
502032	Mr and Mrs Pitt		<a href="#">CSO6446</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The whole area is congested, there is no real provision for new TRANSPORT OR services for new homes ie doctors, local shops or community ctrs. There are already MANY EMPTY industrial units. Building more industrial is only			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							viable if a tax loss for developers. Verwood has lost its soul now, and does not need any more ad-hock development. Wimborne will follow if the development of this (at present) lovely Town is carried out in the same way as Verwood has been.			
502034	Mr and Mrs A and C Buckingham		<a href="#">CSO6433</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Area already over built. Many areas do not have access to the small amount of public transport, which is infrequent. Public services Dr's etc appear to be overstretched as it is. Narrow roads cannot cope with the already increased traffic. Many surrounding roads are gridlocked especially at weekends.			907
502041	Mrs M Franklin		<a href="#">CSO6471</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I would prefer to see small pockets of affordable homes to blend in with the local community and keep our green belt. Do we need more industrial sites and shops which will increase traffic and eat into our countryside?			907
502059	Mr R Seddon		<a href="#">CSO6499</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502076	Kay Stead		<a href="#">CSO6554</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502099	Carolyn Lourens		<a href="#">CSO6592</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502113	Mr A Garner		<a href="#">CSO6627</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	(Picture of Stocks drawn on sheet.) These should be placed in town centres to discourage yobs and vandals, to which they will be fastened.			907
502114	Mr P		<a href="#">CSO6639</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Foster									
502136	Mr and Mrs WA Forster		<a href="#">CSO6681</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502138	Mrs E Mason		<a href="#">CSO6695</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Too much traffic and too many people putting pressure on roads, jobs, hospitals, schools, doctors, dentists etc. Please keep to MODERATE expansion in all areas especially Ferndown/West Parley area.			907
502312	Mrs Sally Brierley		<a href="#">CSO6800</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	No new building should be anywhere near a floodplain with sea levels rising. Transport issues on and around the A31 are already over capacity - no development should proceed without that being sorted - including knock on effects of A31 issues. Has it actually been established that there is a need for all the homes and industrial development? Are we at risk of creating a need in order to service developers rather than establishing if there will be a real need in the first place?			907
502317	Cllr Peter Lucas		<a href="#">CSO6830</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		As a Ferndown councillor I am very concerned about the proposals for large scale development at Parley Cross and the impact the proposals will have on people from Ferndown commuting to Bournemouth, Christchurch and Bournemouth airport for work. Public transport improvements in services from Ferndown to Bournemouth/Poole are needed, especially early mornings			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							evenings and Sundays where residents have observed an insufficient service.			
502322	Mrs J C Critchell		<a href="#">CSO6835</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		With the existing infrastructure at Parley Cross, West Parley, any development should be away from the cross roads. It should be in small pockets and of low - medium density in keeping with the area. The aesthetic values of the countryside are of the utmost importance in providing a fitting habitat for human beings, and in protecting the other habitats from human beings. The Green Belt is a vital asset and must be retained. At present, West Parley has a semi-rural feel and appearance - the reason people want to live here. If you destroy this ambience and concrete over large areas we might as well live in an urban jungle. I wouldn't want West Parley to become another Ferndown.			907
502326	Mr and Mrs T Bennett		<a href="#">CSO6878</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		With more homes will need more employment, a vicious circle. Our roads are too busy now. We surely have enough community areas and centres.			907
502329	Mrs Rosemary Rooke		<a href="#">CSO6886</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502342	Mrs D P Scamell		<a href="#">CSO6926</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502344	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO6943</a>	Option	Object		Too much traffic in the area already.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	W Smith			FWP 4			(speed limit on New Road and Christchurch Road should be 30) People want to see green fields not 100's of houses.			
502345	Mr and Mrs Ray and Irene Coulson		<a href="#">CSO6948</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502347	Sarah Cobb		<a href="#">CSO7084</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502366	Mr P Lewis		<a href="#">CSO6991</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502371	Mrs S Smith		<a href="#">CSO6997</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502376	Mark Palmer		<a href="#">CSO7010</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley/Ferndown already has too many residents for the current services (schools, doctors) due to the knocking down of large houses and building blocks of flats.			907
502381	Mr Cyril Josey		<a href="#">CSO7061</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Why is it necessary to include affordable homes (low value) in with others (of higher value), thus lowering the value of other properties in the area. Put low value homes of high density in low value areas. People are not going to accept paying high prices for property when other houses nearby are run by housing associations or the council renting to tenants. 50% affordable housing in any area is too much. Local infrastructure, road			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							improvement, services etc must be provided in all cases.			
502387	Mr George Kilpatrick		<a href="#">CSO7049</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	I would like to see the justification for increased housing in East Dorset eg, demographics, immigration, increased work opportunities etc. I would also like to understand how transport strategy (and infrastructure) is coordinated with this in such a way to make expansion sustainable.			907
502392	Mr and Mrs G Orr		<a href="#">CSO7030</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
359598	Mr A KETCHLEY		<a href="#">CSO8000</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Unfortunately whatever is finally decided on the following well known saying will occur: 'You can please some of the people all the time, You can please all of the people some of the time, But you cannot please all of the people all of the time!' I wish you well in whatever is decided. Some will see it as inevitable due to the area's population increases, whereas others will see it as a necessary evil, and some will accept it without question			907
359873	Mrs M HUGHES		<a href="#">CSO8618</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		A31 Canford Bottom needs to be bridged if increased traffic from new housing takes place; it just can't take any further loading (or a dual carriage way up to A350 from Ferndown).			907
359977	Miss M.G.		<a href="#">CSO8068</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	EARP									
360145	Mr Nigel WARREN		<a href="#">CSO8206</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
361123	Mr Iain STEVENSON		<a href="#">CSO8226</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
475502	Mr Richard Croom		<a href="#">CSO7732</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any of these proposed developments would definitely increase traffic on all of the local roads, particularly Christchurch Road from Hurn to Longham village, creating further tailbacks and congestion at Parley Cross. It is difficult if not impossible to envisage how developers could 'contribute to the provision of traffic improvements' when there is no space for extra lanes in the aforementioned roads leading to or from Parley Cross, or the junction itself. These roads are already saturated with traffic at peak commuter and school travelling times, and if there was a major incident at the airport, the emergency services would struggle to obtain access. A further point to consider is that if homes are built on the sites earmarked at FWP3 and FWP5 they are very close, or directly under the take-off flight path from the airport.			907
501822	Mr Stephen Collins		<a href="#">CSO7711</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I object to any development on green belt land. The infrastructure in the area cannot support these proposals but if some development goes ahead the			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							developers must improve the transport improvements.			
501826	Mr Gordon Hunt		<a href="#">CSO7726</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 4 & 5 is good farmland which is rare these days. Dudsbury Fort must be preserved as ancient monument. Housing should be of low density to match surrounding area, don't forget the cyclists and electric buggies we have enough suicide runs eg New Road.			907
502404	Antony Jennings		<a href="#">CSO7067</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I believe your argument is floored on two accounts: - 1) Ferndown/West Parley is not a first time house buyer's area. It is an aspirational area for 2nd time buyers/retired as there is no industry in Ferndown/West Parley. Workers need to commute some distance to their places of work. 2) Developers will take one look at this area and will build 'luxury' apartments; 'executive homes' not social housing. And if they did build social housing, where are the local jobs? They would have to commute like everyone else.			907
502415	Mrs S Humphries		<a href="#">CSO7103</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		All sites would increase traffic levels very considerably-infrastructure not in place to accommodate all this extra building. Roads already grid locked when problems on spur Road.			907
502417	A Read		<a href="#">CSO7096</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502424	Mr and Mrs A V		<a href="#">CSO7121</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Messenger									
502429	Mrs Lesley Newcombe		<a href="#">CSO7126</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Increased traffic is the major concern.			907
502430	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7133</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet - To many homes in a small area. What happens to the wildlife? Not enough schools			907
502435	Mr and Mrs P and S Sanderson		<a href="#">CSO7134</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502438	Carol Louise Craggs		<a href="#">CSO7148</a>	Option FWP 4	Support	General Comment	None of these developments are viable unless the transport development PRECEDES them, obviously, so please concentrate on infrastructure and services NOW. Thanks.			907
502441	Mrs Ingrid Wells		<a href="#">CSO7162</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502468	Robert Lambert		<a href="#">CSO7384</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502492	M L Portugal		<a href="#">CSO7192</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It is vital with any development that the local infrastructure can handle the influx. Concerns over health-care, and social services, parking etc which are already struggling to cope! Also what constitutes affordable housing in East Dorset?!			907
502517	Mrs Crossland		<a href="#">CSO7233</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Infrastructure currently in place does not support existing population. FWP5 is under flight path and would be a dangerous place to live.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502520	P and M Coussell		<a href="#">CSO7241</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		1) No mention of public transport which is inadequate locally. 2) Green Belt is always 'up for grabs' and is gradually being eroded. 3) Will local people get preferential treatment for the housing, particularly the affordable? 4) Traffic congestion locally is already a major issue.			907
502524	Mr B Heap		<a href="#">CSO7252</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The traffic congestion is serious now; to increase the problem is not accepting responsibility for the future. To consider developing FWP 3, 4 and 5 close to the flight path from Bournemouth airport again is not accepting responsibility for possible accidents, noise and pollution.			907
502528	Mr and Mrs B Stanford		<a href="#">CSO7258</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502568	Sarah Morgan		<a href="#">CSO7278</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502569	Mr & Ms M & L Skinner & Jeffries		<a href="#">CSO7306</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502570	Mr Samways		<a href="#">CSO7334</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502579	Mr & Mrs R L Thorne		<a href="#">CSO7363</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502595	Mr Colin House		<a href="#">CSO7453</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		All these developments will cause an impact on the local heathland and the proposals in all areas will cause road			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							chaos on the A31, Ferndown, Parley and Wimborne. A new road structure is required before any development takes place.			
502596	A C Hayter		<a href="#">CSO7420</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502610	John Jackson		<a href="#">CSO7484</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502612	Hugh and Joy Dickson		<a href="#">CSO7455</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502632	Mr and Mrs Evans		<a href="#">CSO7497</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502635	Mrs JS Brough		<a href="#">CSO7501</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502648	Teresa Halford		<a href="#">CSO7518</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The road system would be overwhelmed by this level of development, even if the developers contribute to so called improvements. Use Brown Belt land to build small developments of affordable homes, thus avoiding the need to destroy the Green Belt with large developments that will very quickly turn into ghettos. The medical and school facilities in West Parley are totally inadequate for this increase in population - not to mention the level of policing required.			907
502661	Julia Green		<a href="#">CSO7526</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The level of infrastructure at West Parley will not support this level of new housing. The main drains are already inadequate especially when it rains heavily. Most importantly, the volume of traffic now is excessive with Parley			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Crossroads frequently gridlocked so any additional traffic would make the area impossible to travel through. Also doctors/dentists are in short supply.			
502670	Mrs S Trevorrow		<a href="#">CSO7537</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502673	Mrs A Powell		<a href="#">CSO7566</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The infrastructure in this area needs to be sorted out before anymore building goes ahead. There are times you cannot move on the roads. The area is being ruined with all this building works that are already going on.			907
502678	Mr R F Perry		<a href="#">CSO7552</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Let's see the infrastructure in place first.			907
502683	Mr and Mrs Garfield Milne		<a href="#">CSO7563</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Green spaces should be kept as green spaces.			907
502701	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7593</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502706	Neil Kenworthy		<a href="#">CSO7608</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502707	N Tomsett		<a href="#">CSO7630</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I moved to West Parley 4 years ago specifically because it was surrounded by green fields not masses of housing. I have watched another village now a town (the place I grew up, Selsey Bill) destroyed by overdevelopment on green land. Selsey has now lost its heart and soul. Overdevelopment destroys community spirit which is vital to protecting communities like Parley			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							from crime, pollution, erosion etc. If Dorset CC considers itself a green council then this should include green fields not their destruction!			
502708	Ms Julia Owen		<a href="#">CSO7644</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502712	W D J Pearce		<a href="#">CSO7642</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I do not think that FWP4 and FWP5 at West Parley are viable proposals. An additional 500 homes may well produce an additional 1,000 cars creating further strain on the already over stretched New Road. Similarly, FWP3 is a non-starter as all traffic from this proposed site will have to be routed through Christchurch Road causing bottlenecks on that road. Moreover, the proposals for modifications at Canford Bottom suggest more congestion over Longham Bridge. I also consider that the Planners should refuse all further demands for sheltered housing schemes as the new buildings on Ringwood Road have created more cars in the area slowing traffic.			907
502745	Mrs J.M Kenny		<a href="#">CSO7684</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502749	Gerald Giltrow		<a href="#">CSO7673</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502865	Mr and Mrs D H Bustard		<a href="#">CSO7702</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We are implacably opposed to sites FWP3, 4 and 5 on the grounds that they would destroy what remains of a			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							village atmosphere around Parley Cross. In particular, the surrender of FWP5 to development would be to remove West Parley's true village green. Additionally, the proposal of 400 dwellings represents a monstrous increase of about 22% on the total of West Parley dwellings, today standing at about 1800. Furthermore this development would widen very considerably the southern residential tip of West Parley which is already sufficiently close to the boundary with Bournemouth at Ensburry Bridge. Indeed there is already a widely held view that Ferndown and West Parley are, even now, part of the Bournemouth conurbation. A final thought - neighbourhoods may have the final say if the impending Localism Bill finds parliamentary favour.			
502876	Mr Russell Tidman		<a href="#">CSO7712</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		All traffic travelling north or south across the River Stour have only three points to cross, along the six mile stretch from the Spur Road to Longham. They are already heavily congested. More homes, more cars, more congestion.			907
502880	Mrs P M Tarbuck		<a href="#">CSO7722</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502900	Mrs M Baynham		<a href="#">CSO7745</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
502910	Mr & Mrs		<a href="#">CSO7753</a>	Option	Object		My husband and I totally object to			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	R & JD Gregory			FWP 4			FWP 3, 4 and 5. Ferndown and West Parley cannot take any more traffic. Every time there is an accident on the main spur road from Bournemouth to Ringwood all the traffic is rerouted through Ferndown and West Parley causing absolute dead lock. We need some green spaces left not concrete jungles			
502913	Mr & Mrs D Whitmarsh		<a href="#">CSO7911</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		There should not be any more large scale house building in the East Dorset area as the roads cannot cope with any more traffic			907
502918	D M Moore		<a href="#">CSO7760</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Anyone looking at the traffic on the main roads in this area would realise that with more houses and flats, these roads would come to a complete standstill at most times of the day.			907
502921	Mr and Mrs L Forinton		<a href="#">CSO7785</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502923	Mrs M Phippard		<a href="#">CSO7771</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Use brown field sites first, you never get the green land back it will be gone forever.			907
502925	Mrs J E Allen		<a href="#">CSO7780</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I strongly object to any development in the West Parley/Ferndown area. The road infrastructure cannot cope in this area at the moment. I feel it is just a way of increasing revenue by the way of council taxes or the Local Authority to fund their pension deficit.			907
502934	Mr D Parkinson		<a href="#">CSO7796</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		There needs to be a major road improvement scheme before we should consider housing to the East of			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							New Road.			
502935	Mr Roger Parker		<a href="#">CSO7817</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Please take care - if you allow development on areas like West Parley it will mean that the visual link between Bournemouth and Ferndown will be like a seamless conurbation and will result in Ferndown/East Dorset losing its status as a 'place' - it will resemble one large conurbation. Better I believe to preserve some 'Green' separation just to maintain a visual separation.			907
502937	Mr and Mrs M J Turvey		<a href="#">CSO7811</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		1) We moved to West Parley from Ferndown for peace and quiet. 2) Why so many houses to be built, who will be using them. 3) What do you mean by liveliness and prosperity, I expect you mean a lot more noise and cars. 4) What extra schools, doctors and police are you going to provide. 5) Do you realise project 4 and 5 will be under the flight path and who will want that?			907
502944	Mr and Mrs D Cook		<a href="#">CSO7827</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
502950	Mr I G Banks		<a href="#">CSO7837</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods, heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options?? Because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is another food supermarket or more crossroads changes. Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods,			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options?? Because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is another food supermarket or more crossroads changes.</p> <p>Imbalance in Age Structure Members of the older population have been encouraged to move into the district largely as a result of policy in recent years of the Town Planning department regarding giving approval of existing housing sites being developed as blocks of flats. Most of these are suited to or reserved for, people of a particular age. Thus the situation has been engineered to a great extent and must be accepted as it is. The anticipated increase in population will involve young, those of working age, and the elderly. Provision will have to be found for everybody, but the EDDC covers a large area where a wider search for suitable sites is taking place. My own two daughters have homes outside of this district.</p> <p>Population Growth The population growth being so 'significant' it demonstrates to me the considerable number of homes that have been generated in the period from 1961 until fairly recently in 2008 (see Options 10.6). If we are going to preserve existing areas used as parks, recreational areas, walks in Green Belt areas, then further housing development will have to be restricted. It is not going to be feasible to keep chasing ever increasing arbitrary home building targets. I repeat my daughters</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>have homes outside if this district.</p> <p><b>Green Belt Area</b>                      There has been a great deal of energy expended, and anxiety generated, during the recent battle with the Regional Spatial Strategy body regarding their proposal to see homes built within the Green Belt areas including agricultural land, It was my understanding that, having gained the backing of the DCC to fight these proposals, and the policy of the new government to cancel the various RSS policies, then the preference of the local population would prevail over decisions regarding use of Green Belt areas. I cannot, therefore, see the necessity to revisit this subject when a clear choice has been made through unflagging efforts made on our behalf through various meetings and consultations by the Residents Associations concerned.</p> <p><b>Industrial Estates</b>                      These have been expanded over the years since inception. A great deal of the employment opportunities are benefitting people from outlying district, this automatically contributes to traffic flow. Further opportunities to increase employment locally should not therefore be encouraged close to residential areas.</p> <p><b>General Comments</b>  <b>Transport</b>                      The road network does suffer from high levels of congestion. Whenever traffic is diverted from the A31 or Bournemouth Spur Road, then New Road has traffic at a standstill for</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>periods between a short crawl forwards. The result is high concentrations of exhaust fumes are released into the atmosphere in close proximity to dwellings. Any increase in business, sports or other facilities will increase levels of traffic congestion even further. Any modifications to roads or road junctions will lead to increased frustration of drivers in traffic queues. Parley Cross cross-roads has previously modified with extra lanes, involving changes to services and drainage, shop forecourts and parking facilities. I don't think anyone wants to see that happen again, and definitely not a 3000Sq.m food store taking up Green Belt land under the pretext of providing additional housing land. I trust that further searches for suitable land for homes development within EDC area may progress with the above comments fully taken into account.</p>			
502987	Anne Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO7852</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Unless the roads (all of them) are completely renovated, there is absolutely no way that the Parley area can cope with any more traffic than it currently sustains. Together with the increase of noise from both flights from the airport and the traffic, the village atmosphere would be lost. There is plenty of shopping available at Castlepoint and in Ferndown or Kinson, so no extra shops are required.</p> <p>Please do not join Parley to Bournemouth physically - the green belt is absolutely VITAL in all the areas</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							suggested for redevelopment. The animals in Poor Common have already suffered once due to building; and further erosion of their habitat along Christchurch Road would be disastrous.			
502989	Jackie Butcher		<a href="#">CSO7869</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Each town or village needs its green space to stop its identity being swallowed up by neighbouring towns. Why not build a shopping mall in Ferndown, demolish the depressing High Street (Victoria Road and Ringwood Road) shops and build new homes on the resulting space in town centre. I cannot support such vague outlines in FWP1 and FWP5.			907
502999	Mrs Marion E Lock		<a href="#">CSO7877</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. What has happened to the idea of development on the old Dormy Hotel site in West Parley?</li> <li>2. It would be better to develop on the outskirts of our villages, as this would also help to sustain their village schools, shops, post offices and churches etc. It also provides a more natural environment to bring children up in. They are more likely to experience a friendly, close-knit community where people all know one another, than on a large estate near a town. Towns also don't become over-developed.</li> </ol>			907
503022	Mr and Mrs D Money		<a href="#">CSO7910</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. FWP is the only area where 'All of the options will need transport improvements.'</li> <li>2. FWP is already clogged with traffic.</li> <li>3. The whole area should receive major traffic improvements BEFORE</li> </ol>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							any building takes place. Too often in the past, there have been major promises made of transport improvements only for these to be cancelled due to change of government or economic circumstances. 4. Has consideration been given to the effect on local schools, medical and other services? 5. FWP 3, 4, 5 are under the flight path from the airport. Has any consideration been given to the environmental health effects?			
503040	Kathleen Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7936</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Use of Longfield Drive as an exit for FWP unacceptable			907
503085	Mr P.A. Scott		<a href="#">CSO7974</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503156	Richard and Jackie Blunderfield		<a href="#">CSO8039</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503163	Mrs M Kimber		<a href="#">CSO8076</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Keep the Green Belt. Protect wildlife on Green Belt. Conservative Party promised not to build on Green Belt. Traffic problems already exist.			907
503171	Sally Cooke		<a href="#">CSO8083</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503176	Vernon Britton		<a href="#">CSO8104</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The above areas should be preserved as Green Belt land, part of an important environmentally sensitive area as a habitat for wildlife close to a river catchment area.			907
503183	B		<a href="#">CSO8162</a>	Option		No				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Chissell			FWP 4		Opinion				
503188	David Zambra		<a href="#">CSO8122</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Existing road system struggles to support current traffic volumes. Christchurch Road and New Road are frequently gridlocked! Any additional access to Christchurch Road between Dudsbury Golf Course and Dudsbury Road would be extremely dangerous. This stretch of road has seen several fatalities in the past!			907
503189	Mr David Bulley		<a href="#">CSO8116</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 3, 4, 5 - sometimes absolute traffic chaos at Christchurch Road/New Road junctions, at present time. Number of extra vehicles would make it worse.			907
503202	Mr and Mrs D J Walters		<a href="#">CSO8280</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We object to any major development affecting the New Road area. 500 new homes could produce at least 500 more cars which could not be absorbed into the present system which already reached deadlock caused by even the smallest work on the roads, school runs, flooding etc. Can you make provision for the transport dilemma in any other direction other than New Road?			907
503233	F Parkes		<a href="#">CSO8243</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503250	Mrs Helen Poole		<a href="#">CSO8270</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
359889	Mr and Mrs P CLARK		<a href="#">CSO8488</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		With any developments we would need to be assured that infrastructure improvements are in place BEFORE			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							any development is consented to. This is particularly important with regard to public transport provisions. It is also important that feedback is forthcoming as an acknowledgement of our response to this survey.			
359920	S PARKER		<a href="#">CSO9545</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
360095	Mr & Mrs John & Barbara POLKINGHORN		<a href="#">CSO9824</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
360111	Mr K VIVIAN		<a href="#">CSO9601</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
360701	Mrs Jennifer Thorpe		<a href="#">CSO9383</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		In spite of supporting these developments we do not support all of them - there would be far too much traffic going through Parley Cross and also the limited numbers of routes across the river - New Road, Longham and Hurn. Parley Crossroads needs developing - this would be compulsory for any development, and also green spaces/play areas. Improved public transport - compulsory. Castle Point, Bournemouth Hospital, Airport/Christchurch - all on our doorstep with no public transport to access. Medical and school provision should be included in any development. Under no circumstances should West Parley lose all its Green Belt - important that urban sprawl is not continuous between Ferndown and Bournemouth. A total of 250 houses should be the			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							maximum in West Parley. If any Green Belt is lost - it would be sensible to move the existing boundary to behind existing dwellings on south side of Christchurch Road between the entrance to the Dudsbury Restaurant and 176 to include the derelict piece of land between The Horns and Owls Nest, where Japanese knotweed is well established and now spreading wildly through surrounding properties. This area is also a haven for rats.			
361015	Mr and Mrs M.S and C.E HACK		<a href="#">CSO8495</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Would affect the same area as FWP5 but would not provide as many much needed homes.			907
476561	Mr Kevin Horton		<a href="#">CSO9194</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I should like to put my opinions and have more to say than could be expressed in the text box you provide. I feel passionate about this subject, and hope that you will consider some of my concerns. Where one lives and what happens to it is an emotional as well as practical and financial issue since it impinges on all aspects of one's life - changes to quality of life and environment cannot be measures in just pounds and pence and how much profit it will bring to the neighbourhood or its attendant Council.</p> <p>I have placed "object" against each of the proposed sites of development. This is because in principle I do not think that West Parley should be subjected to housing estate development within the medium term future. West Parley has a unique character and semi-rural nature for</p>			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>which the presence of green fields interspersed within the current village is essential. Although a small site in itself may not seem too critical, the gradual erosion of the natural open space will change its character forever - in my opinion, not for the better.</p> <p>There are few places that are both conveniently situated for the facilities of Bournemouth and Poole and yet still have rural character such that you can pass horses and sheep in fields on the way to the shops - this is very valuable to me.</p> <p>Need for further houses.</p> <p>I am not in a position to know the extent of the pressure for new housing but if, as you suggest there is an imbalance of elderly people, and then the requirement for new housing in this area is likely to be minimal. Several times you emphasis that you want a "lively" Ferndown and West Parley. I don't know exactly what is meant by that, but if you believe this can only be achieved by encouraging younger people to move into the areas, then I fail to see how that can help with any housing shortage. The last Government decided that building new roads cannot solve traffic congestion since more people will be encouraged to use these roads. I suggest that a similar situation applies to building more houses; there will never be enough houses as people from other towns will move here to fill them, "Affordable" Housing.</p> <p>Although I realise there may be a need for a small number of starter homes for</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>people on low income, I fear that by "Affordable Housing" you may mean houses of sufficiently low price and quality that local housing associations can afford to buy them and rent them to the Council. I owned a 'starter home' in Wallisdown for 16 years and gradually saw the area deteriorate as homes were bought up by housing associations and used by the Council to house 'problem people'. When I complained about the persistent high levels of crime in the area resulting from this, I was told that the Council had a duty of care to house such people and nothing could be done other than moving them on to another estate when the problem got too bad. Eventually most half-decent people decided to leave the area. I do not want a similar situation to develop here, in the West Parley that I love West Parley currently has a low level of crime - let's keep it that way!</p> <p>I realise houses are expensive, but that is not unique to this region, and not entirely caused by supply and demand. It is a reflection of a general far reaching imbalance in the economy. Much of the elevated house prices are a result of excessively high mortgages that were offered by banks and building societies in the ten years or so prior to the credit crunch. When I bought my first house in 1982 interest rates were at 15% and I had a 93% mortgage - now with credit shortage you are lucky to get a 30% mortgage - that makes many houses unaffordable but it is not related to a housing</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>shortage.</p> <p>I believe everyone has to live where they can afford. I could never afford a house in Canford Cliffs or Sandbanks, and it would be no good someone who bought a house there many years ago when they were cheap complaining that their offspring could not afford to live near them and there ought to be more affordable houses built in that area - it just wouldn't happen! People cannot always live where they would like, but this provides a natural gradient throughout the housing market, and something to aspire to as they earn more money throughout their life.</p> <p>Environmental Impact</p> <p>You never miss things until they are gone forever, and the openness of West Parley would be gone forever if all your options were to be realised. Every time one more field goes, the area becomes more urbanised, more shut-in. Worst of all, judging by the number of houses you propose for each region, the houses will be very densely packed in a way that maximises profit for the builders. It leaves very little space for gardens which these days form a major haven for wildlife. If we really must have a few more houses, let's have some quality homes that are fitting to the area not 'little boxes made of ticky-tacky' as the song goes.</p> <p>The lesser of the evils</p> <p>although I have put an 'objection' against each option, if I were asked to grade them I would say that the</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>options get worse as their FWP number increases, that is option 5 is the worst option with option 1 being perhaps the least harmful.</p> <p>FWP Option 1 This land is very close to existing houses and would be largely a continuation of the housing estate that was formed by carving up Poor Common. It would not have much of a presence from the road providing the surrounding fields that are not marked remain, although I expect it would not be long before they were claimed too. Exit onto either Ringwood Road or Christchurch Road would be difficult due to the already high levels of traffic congestion existing on those roads at peak times.</p> <p>FWP Option 2 This is a visually attractive area with a woodland backdrop of what remains of Poor Common. Although this is a relatively small region, it would be missed as an open space. The best that can be hoped is that it could be suitably shielded from road side view. Access could only be to Christchurch Road with all its congestion problems.</p> <p>FWP Option 3 Getting much worse! This area is highly visible when coming from Longham into West Parley and affords beautiful views from the hill. Building here would make this whole section of Christchurch Road boxed in. There would no longer be the delightful walk between the fields into the back of Parley Cross past the horses and ponies. It appears the exit from the</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>estate would most likely be onto Christchurch Road just before the traffic lights to conflict with all the traffic queuing ....not a good idea!</p> <p>FWP Option 4 There may be some merit for a small health centre as suggested and certainly we need a better post office in this region but no significant houses. This area has a very open feel to it that really defines Parley Cross and I think it should be retained as much as possible. In light of your other possible plans to provide a gyratory traffic system in that area, who would want to live in a giant roundabout? - It would be horrendous, and access and egress would be very difficult.</p> <p>FWP Option 5 Now this is a really bad idea, and even the Council have called it the least preferred option. It would significantly shut in West Parley and change the whole character of the village forever. The vast number of houses in that area would cause severe traffic problems no matter what options were chosen for improving the traffic system at Parley Cross.</p> <p>Transport Issues I don't know how you can say in your leaflet 'The possible development options listed earlier could increase traffic on our roads'. They would increase traffic on our roads - that is for sure. In most cases I have found that builders are only happy to support roads on their own estates. Sometimes these roads are built to poor quality and have to be repaired soon after</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>being adopted by the Council. A few more cycle paths and a bus lane are not going to redress the problems caused by the increase in traffic from the extra dwellings (unless none of the new residents can afford cars!)</p> <p>A major new store (like Sainsbury's) may be persuaded to spend some money on roads and I believe they did on the Alder Hills development, but I don't think we have the need for a new supermarket in West Parley. Several convenience stores in Parley Cross have found it difficult to make a living, and Co-op finally gave up. Tesco seems to be doing better but I expect that's only because of the muscle they have and the strength to hold position while it is not always profitable. I think the current Tesco Express (along with that on Glenmore Road) supplies all the needs of West Parley, and I am surprised that another convenience store at Parley Cross was recommended in your documentation.</p> <p>Building on the Green Belt, The Green Belt concept established in the 1950's and confirmed as recent as 1995 in the Planning Policy Guidance Note 2, has its main objective to safeguard the countryside from encroachment of towns and prevent urban sprawl and there are many good reasons for the existence of Green Belts. Clearly, to be of any good, the belt has to be as continuous as possible and connect where possible to provide corridors for wildlife. It's no good trimming it down to the width of an average garden. If councils are</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>allowed to build on Green Belt land, this defines a main principle - that of preventing encroachment on countryside. I believe the present Government still state they do not support building on the Green Belt, so why do it? And finally... I find the statement that was made by a Council representative at a public meeting that: "We will not build on the Green Belt; we will simply redefine its boundaries" astonishing! In my opinion changing the well-established boundaries that have existed for years without extremely good reason should be illegal for ay council because the Green Belt was set up on behalf of the population of the UK to protect their environment for present and future generations.</p>			
499748	Ms Soози Cooper		<a href="#">CSO9743</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
503024	Valerie Measey		<a href="#">CSO8887</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503299	Mr and Mrs R Farnfield		<a href="#">CSO8451</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>These proposals would cause havoc to the Parley Cross area. Traffic is already a nightmare. To accommodate the amount of homes FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 would be ridiculous. The only solution which could be possible would be a flyover even that would be doubtful because of the volume.</p>			907
503303	Mr Anthony		<a href="#">CSO8310</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Roberts									
503306	Ian and Freda Hancock		<a href="#">CSO8689</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Ferndown and West Parley Options – These are a completely disingenuous and self-serving set of proposals by the Council, both in terms of the context in which they have been made and also in terms of the time-frame allowed for the consultation process. In terms of the context, these proposals represent a revival of similar ones made a couple of years ago and the timing of them says everything about the reasons for them: they have been made at the same time that the government has announced a reduction in funding for local government. Therefore the council has immediately embarked on a strategy for increasing its income from other sources, specifically by increasing council tax revenues through increasing the number of houses in the area. It should be noted that the proposals contain no details of plans to increase council expenditure to make improvements to such matters as schooling and medical care which would be needed to cope with the increased population in the area, and this is indicative of the true nature of the council's intentions.</p> <p>And in terms of the time-frame for the consultation process, could there possibly be a more unreasonable and arbitrary deadline for the submission of comments on the proposals than 24 December? Most people have very busy lives and simply do not have the time to give these matters their fullest</p>			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>consideration during most of the year. The one time that they would have had such an opportunity would have been Christmas week, and it seems to me that the council was obviously fearful that local residents would actually have the time to consider the full enormity of these proposals during that period. This also shows what the 'localism' agenda will mean in practice: less scrutiny of councils by central government and the councils notionally welcoming more local involved in local decision-making whilst at the same time making it as difficult as possible for local people to have their say on controversial matters.</p> <p>The proposals also contain a lot of contrived arguments to attempt to justify plans. An example is the statement that the area 'is popular with older people, leading to a significant imbalance in its age structure'. Older people will always be attracted to quieter areas, and younger people will always be attracted to livelier areas, and for that reason it is a good thing that all population centres do not have the same appearance and identity. The statement implies that the council believes that all areas should contain a balanced age structure, but if it does genuinely believe this to be desirable then where are the counter-balancing proposals to make other areas suitable for elderly people? Again this would require expenditure on the part of the council and the lack of any detailed proposals provides further proof of the true intention behind these plans.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							There is a huge amount of waste in local government, especially the massive proportion of council tax revenues which are spent on local government salaries and pensions, but that will be the very last area made to suffer the consequences of the reduction in central government funding. Doubtless the council will first make cuts to services for the weak and vulnerable, especially the elderly, who do not have the strength or vigour to protest in the same way as we have seen in recent weeks from students. There is little doubt that, if these housing proposals do not go ahead, there will be even greater cuts to front-line services for the weak and vulnerable than those currently announced. Nevertheless that cannot justify changing the face and nature of an area forever, especially when so many savings could be made by cutting out the huge amount of waste and inefficiency that exists in local government administration.			
503315	Mr Kenneth Wood		<a href="#">CSO8338</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503347	Ms Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO8367</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503355	Mr Robert Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO8395</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503358	H C Hoare		<a href="#">CSO8424</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503378	Mr A Bouyer		<a href="#">CSO8466</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The area in general needs more industry to support the people who are going to live in the proposed housing expansion. In addition the infrastructure is not capable of supporting the above.			907
503389	Twyford		<a href="#">CSO8478</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503395	Ian Davis		<a href="#">CSO8502</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Loss of Green Belt would be a travesty. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would produce 1.5 vehicles per household, which results in 1000+ more vehicles on the roads. A queue of 1000 vehicles would stretch from Plowmans to Haskins (approx 2 miles). Major roadworks required at a cost of several million pounds, medical, shops, schools, youth amenities, leisure etc., etc.			907
503408	Steve Williams		<a href="#">CSO8520</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It seems unbelievable that any new homes should be considered to be built in this general area - bearing in mind the current traffic problems alone! More houses and people means more sewage, more waste, more eco stuff that will go to the eco recycling plant at E Parley, which already stinks to high heaven! Then there will be the new road scheme for the users of the new super-duper airport. It will soon look like where I come from - Hounslow. Where will I move to next for quality of life? I did not rely on a new house. I bought an existing house - second hand.			907
503431	Phil		<a href="#">CSO8547</a>	Option	Object		The developer's contributions are			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Surridge			FWP 4			standard practice, without those Councils do not grant planning permission anyway. Parley Cross is already one of the busiest lighting crossroads in the County and consideration should be given to how traffic can be diverted, not increased! West Parley is a quiet (aside traffic) parish with a subtle peacefulness. This will be completely destroyed with permission given to developments of this size and nature. Ps Has your Sites of Special Scientific Interest policy been considered? (SSSI)			
503444	R Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO8567</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503453	Paul and Julie Williamson		<a href="#">CSO8570</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503462	Mrs S Clarke		<a href="#">CSO8600</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503474	Mr and Mrs T J Roffe		<a href="#">CSO8623</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503476	Mrs AM Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO8634</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503479	Robert Eastham		<a href="#">CSO8651</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Roads within the new estates will not alleviate the road network problems at Longham and West Parley, in fact any development only adds to the problems. The possibility of a further 1500 cars is intolerable.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503482	Mr Ron Hopkins		<a href="#">CSO8670</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503518	C Skipton		<a href="#">CSO8698</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503527	Claire Smith		<a href="#">CSO8712</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		No objection to low density housing development which is more sustainable environmentally for transport. Existing large housing sites should be utilised where they remain unused. GREEN BELT MUST BE PRESERVED.			907
503529	Mrs Leggett		<a href="#">CSO8708</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503547	Mr Michael Stefanou		<a href="#">CSO8737</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am against any influx of peoples settling in Parley. Farmland is the reason I came here in my advancing years, (situated at front and rear of my home). It's peaceful and quiet here. Revellers do come and go from the caravan park in Church Lane. I have suffered damage to my front garden after midnight and noise. Please no druggies or drunks. I came to live here because of no neighbours from hell or war zone.			907
503554	Mr D Verguson		<a href="#">CSO8752</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503598	Mr John Turner		<a href="#">CSO8771</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503603	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO8799</a>	Option		No				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	DJ Morley			FWP 4		Opinion				
503621	A G Haines		<a href="#">CSO8842</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		As I'm sure you are perfectly aware, all developments I have ticked require serious consideration to the whole road network in the areas concerned.			907
503624	Mr RT Jackson		<a href="#">CSO8846</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503635	Mr J Gough		<a href="#">CSO8897</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
503639	Mrs and Mr M Stevens		<a href="#">CSO8941</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The A31 is already over-saturated with regular gridlock on Friday/Saturday without major redevelopment (min 2 lane dual carriageway from Ferndown industrial estate to Tolpuddle) it is incapable of taking any more traffic. Wimborne, Ferndown and Parley cross- we object to more building on green field sites Parley Cross area is already over-saturated with traffic and is incapable of taking any more. The entire East Dorset area already been over-developed versus other areas of the country. It does not have the transport, social, educational. etc resources for any more.			907
503640	N J and S A White		<a href="#">CSO9065</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503644	Mr J Riley		<a href="#">CSO8971</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503657	Mrs S Harrison		<a href="#">CSO8982</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Strong objection to high density development on any of the sites 3/4/5 listed due to the impact on environment, overloaded road system, local educational/medical facilities - especially near the Parley Crossroads. I cannot see how any of these facilities can be improved sufficiently to cope with the extra traffic/population, although I appreciate that some development is necessary.			907
503659	Mr JD Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO8997</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I do not agree with Green belt land being used for housing developments.			907
503663	D and L Scott		<a href="#">CSO9004</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Existing road system inadequate already especially for expanding airport traffic.</li> <li>- Inadequate medical and school provision for major increase.</li> <li>- Inadequate policing for major increasing population.</li> <li>- Where will the Green Belt go?</li> </ul>			907
503674	S Hoare		<a href="#">CSO9026</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
503687	Mr Nick Smith		<a href="#">CSO9071</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley cannot cope with current levels of traffic. Any development in this area will be a disaster. All the developments are too big, with too much impact.			907
503689	Mr and Mrs Dunnings		<a href="#">CSO9081</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Road congestion desperate at present, with extension of airport and more traffic, the construction of several hundred houses with one or two cars, will be completely gridlocked. Friend's house sale fallen through owing to			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							purchaser unable to find school places, GP surgeries very, very full.			
503690	Ms Clare Parvin		<a href="#">CSO9121</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
503696	Mr J and Mrs V Morum		<a href="#">CSO9125</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		With regard to options 3 and 5 feel sure the question of flooding should be strongly considered. Even Barrack Road is a high water table and we are located away from the river. Would also suggest P Office be re-located next to our new Tesco's.			907
503712	Mr B Barton		<a href="#">CSO9159</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		If options 3, 4 and 5 were to come about West Parley would lose that touch of the rural which attracted me when I and my family came here from Bournemouth 32 years ago, and were I younger I might decide to move away.			907
503717	Mr and Mrs L Grounsell		<a href="#">CSO9169</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		4 and 5 floodplains. 1, 2 and 3 exit onto Bournemouth circular road and not on.			907
503725	G A Hughes		<a href="#">CSO9237</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503736	Mr and Mrs L D Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9188</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We, like most residents in West Parley are horrified and concerned at the possibility that any of these developments will proceed. As a Council please remember that the reason most residents moved here was for an improved quality of life - ie less traffic and less demand on services. Please, please- do not turn us into a large conurbation attached to the edge of Bournemouth. West Parley			907



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							already has the prospect of increased activity at the airport and the associated impact that will have on the existing infrastructure. As Councillors, please don't let this be your legacy - when we voted for you, we trusted you to do what is right.			
503759	Mr D.J. Middleton		<a href="#">CSO9246</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503763	K S Turner		<a href="#">CSO9209</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Where do these people live who suggest this area is suitable for housing? Not in this area. ED News No buildings should be considered until the infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Milhams Tip once a week etc. No motorway through Parley Cross please. The meaning of a formal space and park, valuable green infrastructure??? We have delightful green space. You seem to want to concrete it. FWP 4 and 5 should never be built on; they are in the flight path. Pollution noise and discharge from planes and is not acceptable. Then maybe 1/4 of buildings.			907
503766	James Simmonds		<a href="#">CSO9225</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
503787	Mrs P A Dent		<a href="#">CSO9268</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am totally against the development of large housing estates as they are not popular with the public. Also the cost of providing infrastructure will be phenomenal and impact on existing			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							communities detrimental. Far better to use small existing areas of land rubber stamped as Green belt land but of no benefit to the community. These parcels are mainly within residential areas and give immediate access to facilities without overloading them. With a small number of houses built, people can get to know their neighbours better. I, of course, am part owner of such a piece of land.			
503835	Lynda and David Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9286</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>There should be no building on the Green Belt where everyone can walk their dogs and enjoy the open space. It is essential to preserve what we have left for future generations. It is the best open space outside of Bournemouth. Families, dog walkers and horse riders need open spaces locally.</p> <p>West Parley cannot cope this any more traffic which is the busiest in Dorset - which leads to gridlock at times and we have difficulty getting out of our drives.</p> <p>There should be no more that 10% of social housing as it leads to increase of crime and vandalism in the area. Schools, doctor's surgeries would be unable to cope. Why don't you but empty houses in the private sector which would be cheaper than building new.</p> <p>Having lived in West Parley for 35 years we have watched its strangulation with fine homes with gardens destroyed to multiple units - when does it all stop?</p>			907
503842	Paul and		<a href="#">CSO9292</a>	Option	Object		It would be unrealistic not to accept the			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sharon Strange			FWP 4			<p>need for more housing, but I am supporting the smaller developments as these would have the least impact on the character of what can still currently be described as a semi-rural village. The purpose of green belt designations was to prevent urban sprawl. The village character of Parley is important to me, but developments at FWP3, 4, or5 would mean West Parley and Ferndown becoming an extension of the Bournemouth conurbation.</p> <p>I don't understand why precious green belt land is being considered for development, when there is already a suitable brownfield site a short distance away in Ferndown. I refer to the Dormy Hotel site. This already has housing on either side, road access at either end and it rapidly becoming an eyesore, as empty buildings become ever more shabby and dilapidated. I believe the council has already rejected two development proposals in part due to opposition from Natural England, but it seems illogical to protect a previously developed site in favour of developing virgin green belt land.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the transport situation if development goes ahead at the larger sites. Your form makes some vague reference to developer contributions to improvements, and specifies improved bus routes and cycle lanes. This would be laughable were it not so pitiful. The reality is that virtually every household has a car, and many have two, and yet</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>it is my understanding that any development would not include any plans to improve the Parley crossroads junction. I already experience anxious moments whilst existing right from my road (Longfield Drive) at peak times and it is not unusual to see drivers ignoring the No Entry signs at the service road alongside New Road to avoid traffic queues as the situation stands. The current junction can, I believe, just about cope with the current weight of traffic, even at peak times. If, however, there is an incident on the Spur Road that causes extra traffic to seek an alternative route through Parley, long queues at all approaches quickly ensue, and the exits also become log jammed. This is currently an occasional nightmare, but could be the daily situation if large-scale development proceeds in the village.</p> <p>I have lived with my family in West Parley for the last 18 years and would therefore be extremely sorry to see radical alteration in the character of this village. I hope the council will agree that small scale development and utilising current empty sites is the best outcome for this area.</p>			
503846	Mr Anthony Hose		<a href="#">CSO9313</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503847	Jenny Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9308</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503857	A J		<a href="#">CSO9320</a>	Option	Support		I believe the amount of development is			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Thornton			FWP 4			<p>still woefully inadequate to provide sufficient housing.</p> <p>Declaration of interests - I am a Landowner, Parent and a Christian. In the interest of justice and equality I would like my views to carry more weight than those of protesters. My family have been stewards of the land since before there was a Planning Authority and before noisy minorities moved here. We have forgone other economic opportunities in order to own land. We have mended fences in the dark, cold, rainy nights and pulled up ragwort from sun-baked land on sweltering days. We have sheltered wildlife, deer, foxes, badgers, owls, woodpeckers and buzzards and controlled rabbits. We have planted trees and cleared fallen ones after extraordinary storms. To say that my view is only equal to someone who has no commitment to the land, would be unjust and unfair.</p> <p>As a parent, I see my children's family life distorted by exorbitant house prices. I bought a 3 bedroomed house at the age of 22, which cost less than my annual salary. Young families must delay the age they have children, work when they would like to be caring for their babies, all because we cannot build sufficient houses to bring the cost down to a reasonable level.</p> <p>As a Christian, I work with the isolated elderly; their loneliness is exacerbated by their family being unable to afford to live close by. The assumption that they should move to be near their children, breaks their network of contacts which</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>has already diminished by deaths. Secondly, young families cannot put sufficient effort into relationships and their spiritual welfare, if it is diverted to providing homes and shelter. This can cause break-up and extra need for housing.</p> <p>We need to build, not a compromise number of houses, but more than are needed so property prices will stabilise, or better still, fall. I do not believe Cadbury could build Bourneville now!</p> <p>As a democracy, we are unable to make a statesman-like decision, and short term interests prevail. If these 'No Building Views' had been held 50 years ago the protesters would have no house here to live in.</p> <p>Please make a survey of people without houses. Then I believe the democratic majority of them would say 'Build, Build, Build'.</p> <p>In Summary</p> <p>As a landowner, I would like you to build more houses. I will look out at them and enjoy the landscaped paths around them.</p> <p>As a parent, I implore you to build more houses to help our children.</p> <p>As a Christian, I want you to care for the marginalised that are too busy trying to get by to campaign.</p>			
359954	Mr Alan FLINT		<a href="#">CSO10012</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I want to know the projected time scale for these options to start to become active.</li> <li>2. It is most important that there is adequate employment to accommodate the houses to be built.</li> </ol>			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>3. More attention must be made to supply of affordable housing.</p> <p>4. The green belt must be respected where possible.</p> <p>5. The estates around Wimborne must be consulted fully.</p>			
360029	Mr David LANIGAN		<a href="#">CSO10284</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Christchurch &amp; East Dorset Core Strategy Document, Managing Growth and Development up to the year 2027. I agree with the preamble stating that we need to meet local housing needs, with the emphasis on growing the main settlements where we have good access to facilities that people require. Some development of smaller communities should continue however, especially the provision of low cost housing. The community as a whole needs the services of teachers, nurses, firemen, postmen, and policemen who are poorly paid because they commit to a vocation rather than a high salary job. We also need the services of skilled people such as builders, electricians, plumbers, gas fitters, and motor mechanics, the essentially blue collar professionals. They must not be priced out of the market in terms of being able to buy a starter home, in the community that they serve. Having bought my first home in 1963, a two bedroom bungalow for £2,200 I am aware that locally such a property is currently one hundred times as much. This makes things difficult for young couples with the ratio of house</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>prices to wages being the highest in the country. Many struggle with getting mortgages that now require typically a 25% deposit on an already expensive property.</p> <p>I moved to West Moors 15 years ago and appreciate the planning decisions that have taken place affecting this village. We now have a new build shopping centre on Station Road, complete with flats, good pavements, lighting, pelican crossing and trees. I am pleased to have seen built several small, three storey, blocks of retirement and two bed flats close to the village centre. I support the gradual expansion of the</p> <p>Village, current population around 7,500 as we have good schools, a large Memorial hall, Churches with halls, two public houses, doctors, dentists and good bus services to both Poole and Bournemouth. We have footpath access to open land such as Holt Heath, as well as being able to use the old railway line route through the village to Ringwood, - the Castleman Trail. Recently St Marys Church has had built a new Church Hall which will serve the community and thanks to the National Lottery grant we now have an excellent Bowling Club. I support the continued slow expansion of the village as currently we have many facilities for people of all ages to enjoy.</p> <p>My current house was built in the mid-70s, and has trebled in value since my wife and I moved here 15 years ago. In the 1970s West Moors doubled in size</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and acquired new schools and since then other infrastructure improvements. In recent years the Library was refurbished. The facilities for retirees in West Moors, with access to Ferndown and Verwood, are very good, and coupled with excellent hospitals at Poole, Bournemouth and Wimborne, and I have felt no need to move from East Dorset area in the conceivable future.</p> <p>I therefore support the proposals for additional housing as outlined in the Core Strategy Consultation, as well as the proposals for business sites for employment. I also support provisions for new schools particularly a new Secondary School at Verwood, and recreation areas to cater for the population increase. I understand that net immigration into Dorset is running at two thousand people a year so clearly we need to plan for housing, jobs, education, recreation, road improvements especially at busy junctions, and off road car parking in town centres and on new housing estates.</p> <p>There are many reasons why East Dorset and Christchurch, are attractive places for people to live, work and retire to. Long term planning that caters for the needs of a steady population increase is sensible and is to be welcomed.</p>			
361105	Mr John GOOCH	Also member of Colehill Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO9912</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503861	Mr E Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO9343</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503864	Heather Freeman		<a href="#">CSO9355</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>The roads are already congested these developments would massively increase the problems. Schools, doctors, dentist and many other community services would need to increase to support these developments. West Parley village would be lost and existing house prices lowered.</p> <p>This massive increase of a further 840 homes to the area would have a great effect on the already congested roads and access to these areas, considering that most homes have at least 1 if not more cars per family!</p> <p>Local schools, doctor's surgeries, dentists and other community and council provided services and amenities would be unable to cope with the increase in demand due to the increase population, requiring these services to be developed to accommodate the demand.</p> <p>Child care services, play areas and social facilities would also have to increase to accommodate the increase of young children within these areas, together with supermarkets, local shops, post offices and so much more!</p> <p>As the proposal includes a large number of affordable housing, for people on low incomes and benefits, public transport would also be affected and would need to be addressed.</p> <p>Due to the massive increase in affordable housing within an area</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>which is full of privately owned properties, house prices of existing properties within West Parley would be seriously affected. Existing property values would be lowered so affecting the current population which have worked and saved hard to move into this sought after area.</p> <p>The 'village/country' feel of West Parley and particularly Parley Cross would be lost and overtaken by busier roads, modern housing developments and a different type of resident that exists today.</p> <p>Our green belt areas which have diminished over the years will once again be massively reduced.</p> <p>The wildlife in the area will be seriously affected; the nesting buzzards which hunt and feed within the fields at Parley Cross would be forced out of their existing habitat and would lose their hunting grounds.</p> <p>The small herd of deer seen regularly grazing in the fields at Parley Cross would also lose their grazing lands and would be forced to move to another area.</p> <p>The main reason we moved into Church Lane, West Parley was the fact that we fell in love with the village and rural feel of the area. We worked and saved very hard and put everything we owned into buying our property so that our children would grow up enjoying the feeling of living in a rural/country environment. To hopefully help them become better adults, showing respect for their environment, neighbours and community.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>We moved from Winton, which in areas is over populated with houses, cars and people. Where people live in each other's pockets, properties have small gardens and where parking of vehicles is a major problem due to limited or in some cases no off road parking facilities. In an area where there was a very mixed type of resident, some privately owned properties, some rented to working families, students or DSS tenants, neighbour conflicts were very common. These developments will put 'us' back in the type of environment that was the reason for us moving to West Parley in the first place!</p> <p>We understand that not all affordable housing is occupied by 'badly behaved residents' but unfortunately these types of developments do encourage this type of resident.</p> <p>If these developments take place the 'old' West Parley and Parley Cross will be lost forever!</p> <p>We seem to have so few green belt areas left which feel part of our community, so little green areas within our built up 'lives', it would be such a shame to reduce these even more....</p>			
503869	Mrs Jean Khan		<a href="#">CSO9365</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>WPRA form</p> <p>The EDDC seems hell bent on destroying what was once a nice place to live.</p> <p>The Parley Cross Roads area is already chaotic, more housing in this area would only add to an already inadequate road system.</p> <p>I don't think West Parley is the best</p>			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>place for affordable housing. The present medical provision would be inadequate. It is already a fight to get an appointment. More houses would overload the system even more. One of the reasons I chose to live in West Parley was the Green Belt area. I hate the idea of losing it and I would not like to stay in W Parley should it be built on.</p> <p>The sewerage system has already got problems particularly the Gallows drive area. Again more housing would only add more problems to the present system. The expansion of Hurn Airport increases aircraft noise and I certainly don't want to become a suburb of Bournemouth. Added pressure to an already chaotic road system doesn't bear thinking about.</p> <p>West Parley and Ferndown Housing Leaflet</p> <p>Parley crossroads is already a nightmare with the extension of Hurn airport and the gravel pit, if 500 homes are to be built this means minimum 500 more cars adding to the chaos. Sewerage problems, Gallows Drive area has already got problems. There will be added pressures to the medical services. I have always avoided buying on a housing estate and have no desire to do so. I also have concerns for the wildlife as far as I'm concerned you can stuff FWP 3, 4 and 5, if this goes ahead I won't be staying in West Parley. You seem hell bent on destroying what was once a pleasant place to live.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503877	Mr and Mrs T E C Hales		<a href="#">CSO9375</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I have noted the sites on your applications and would make the following comments: 1) All the proposals are not viable unless there is either a bridged flyover or an underpass at Canford Bottom roundabout. 2) At present, Ferndown High Street/ Ringwood Road is always congested, Relief could be obtained if heavy lorries were not allowed through, but had to use the A31 Ferndown bypass. 3) Items FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are no go with the present system and would be very doubtful even if items 1 and 2 were carried out. 4) FWP2 should be supported also FWP1 is a feasible development. 5) Final comment please do not let anyone lower the speed limit through Ferndown to 30mph; even under present conditions this would bring traffic to long hold ups.			907
503878	Mr Peter Smith		<a href="#">CSO9398</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503879	Mr S Smithson		<a href="#">CSO9425</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
503943	Mr & Mrs Rumball		<a href="#">CSO9454</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
504093	Mr & Mrs Vivian		<a href="#">CSO9481</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
504101	Mrs Mary		<a href="#">CSO9508</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Trevis-Bell									
504285	Mr P Miller		<a href="#">CSO9649</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
504314	Ms Selina Roper		<a href="#">CSO9714</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
504549	Dan Stone		<a href="#">CSO9789</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley is a nice place to live. Building housing estates will tarnish that reputation and have a devastating effect on the community. Traffic is already a major issue in this area! It would be irresponsible to increase this problem further.			907
505273	Mrs Lorraine Hubbard		<a href="#">CSO9850</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
505288	Mrs S Cramer		<a href="#">CSO9886</a>	Option FWP 4	Support	General Comment	As a married mother of 3 children, 2 girls and 1 boy, living in a 2 bed Council flat (first floor), I welcome more housing to the Wimborne area for young families needing affordable housing / rented housing.			907
505320	Mrs M Puttick		<a href="#">CSO9938</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - This area of East Dorset has been over developed for some years, making traffic congestion and access to all services unacceptably crowded. FWP 3, 4 and 5 have been subject to quite serious flooding over the last few winters, and it is surprising that housing would be considered here.			907
505354	Mr Tim		<a href="#">CSO9958</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Edwards									
505369	J Young		<a href="#">CSO9985</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
505506	Mr Peter Hendra		<a href="#">CSO10061</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	<p>Building more houses has NOTHING to do with meeting the needs of (existing) local residents. We do not need or want more houses in our area. Planning to build more houses is driven by the 'need' to meet externally imposed quotas based on false assumptions on the desirability of further population and economic growth.</p> <p>Instead of wasting resources on building more housing which is ecologically unsustainable, we should be using them to make a transition to a low energy sustainable future for our children.</p> <p>Please listen to local residents and reject further development.</p>			907
505523	Mr & Mrs A Turner		<a href="#">CSO10086</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
505561	Mr D. Calvert		<a href="#">CSO10112</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
505590	M Spalding		<a href="#">CSO10141</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
505681	Mr Nick Lewis		<a href="#">CSO10177</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Ferndown and Wimborne are already over developed. On top of that the road access via A31 west of Ringwood is dreadful at rush hour and persons and building 1000.s of new homes will</p>			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							make this situation worse and cause complete gridlock. The traffic noise from the A31 is awful. The road needs to be re-surfaced between Ashley Heath and Ferndown.			
505742	L. J. Ashplant		<a href="#">CSO10203</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
505786	Mr and Mrs P Frampton		<a href="#">CSO10227</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Christchurch Road is already chaotic with all these proposed homes leading to this road it will be a nightmare! Affordable housing means terraced housing reducing the good housing we now enjoy. Leading to lowering of property values. Where does Ferndown come into this equation? It seems to me it's all West Parley. Surely there are many other areas in Ferndown that could lower the blow. Or are care homes and warden operated flats too good a money making area for Ferndown.			907
505802	Mr RGH Chapman		<a href="#">CSO10254</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
505808	D. C. J. Turner		<a href="#">CSO10241</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 4+5 should never be built on, as they are in the flight path. Pollution noise and discharge from planes which is not acceptable.			907
506116	Mr and Mrs P and SJ Simpson		<a href="#">CSO10305</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The current level of traffic in the West Parley and Ferndown area already causes considerable congestion. Any increase to this would just increase and compound this problem, particularly when any road works are on-going in the surrounding areas. At			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							such times Parley Crossroads, New Road, Christchurch Road and Hurn become gridlocked. Local residents also have concerns over the provision of medical centres, community facilities, pre-schooling and school places if there were to be such a vast% increase in the population of West Parley.			
506161	Mr RD Holyoake		<a href="#">CSO10328</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any more development within Dorset's existing built up areas will end with a complete gridlock of traffic.			907
506196	Mr & Mrs P Stout		<a href="#">CSO10357</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
506754	Mr Derek E Marsh		<a href="#">CSO10385</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507026	Mr David Craig		<a href="#">CSO10437</a>	Option FWP 4	Support	General Comment	I would like to see priority given to projects which have a higher concentration of social housing inclusion, and also include a higher green/renewable energy provision. Particular concentration on family areas, playgrounds and social/community facilities should be considered.			907
507032	Mr David Oliver		<a href="#">CSO10463</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507033	A R Twaits		<a href="#">CSO10489</a>	Option FWP 4	Support	General Comment	Despite improvements to public transport, cycling and walking, the reality is that the vast majority of journeys will continue to be made by			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							car. Local roads are already congested and the extent of development proposed is not acceptable without significant increases in the capacity of these roads for cars. In particular problems on the Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses need to be resolved, and the A31 to Poole link built.			
507034	Mr Ronald Webb		<a href="#">CSO10506</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507070	Mr and Mrs Earwicker		<a href="#">CSO10513</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Homes Development - Ferndown and West Parley: - Sites 3, 4 and 5 - we strongly object to any development at Parley Cross. This would radically change the way our area looks and feels, and an already bad traffic situation at peak times would be made extremely worse. No amount of development in the roads would solve the problem of too many people trying to use too few routes. Our other concern is 'affordable housing', mixing private homes for purchase with housing for persons who may not be as conscientious as their neighbours at looking after their homes etc. More development for these sorts of property should be at the Heatherlands Estate.			907
507132	Ms L Joyce		<a href="#">CSO10532</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507170	Miss		<a href="#">CSO10582</a>	Option		No				907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	A K Jukes			FWP 4		Opinion				
361037	Mr P STRATFORD		<a href="#">CSO11281</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I expect that my objection to everything is not very practical. However, I have lived in the area for most of the last fifty years. In that time there has been a constant cycle of the council saying we need more housing, followed by we need more industrial areas to provide extra jobs for all the new homes. Then in turn the council says we require extra jobs for the new homes, and then in turn the council says we require extra homes for the new workers. This process is never ending. During this time I've witnessed the creation and expansion of the industrial estates at Ferndown, Uddens, Ebblake and Wimborne. A whole new housing estate at Tricketts Cross, Glenmoor Rd Ferndown, and the expansion of West Moors, Colehill, and Verwood turned from sleepy village into a town. In this time I am not aware of any developed area being returned to its natural state from a developed one. Please let me know if you think differently. I hope you agree that my objection to development is rational and that the never ending cycle of expansion is stopped.</p> <p>All the suggested sites would add to the traffic volume at the crossroads and surrounding area, these are already congested. The increased development of the area means it will soon just look like a suburb of Bournemouth.</p> <p>NOW IS THE TIME FOR IT TO STOP!</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
475526	Mr Geoffrey Dark		<a href="#">CSO10957</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any development in FWP 3, 4 and 5 would make traffic conditions at Parley Cross unacceptable. It would also destroy the rural nature of West Parley. Water from FWP 3 already runs across Ridgeway and into Longfield Drive and Elm Tree Walk, and concreting this area would greatly increase the problem. Also Longfield Drive could not accept traffic from the development, as it is very difficult now to exit into New Road.			907
507218	Mr and Mrs J Smith		<a href="#">CSO10626</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507261	Barnes		<a href="#">CSO10654</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	Having lived in West Parley for 16 years, the increase in traffic has been colossal. To build so many dwellings on local land is sheer lunacy as the infrastructure will not cope with it all and it will spoil a lovely rural area, already trying to cope with increased traffic problems due to airport expansion. There has been no mention of new schools either, which will have to be built to accommodate all these new families.			907
507286	Mr N P Butler		<a href="#">CSO10697</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507336	Mr John Page		<a href="#">CSO10760</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507356	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO10853</a>	Option		No				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Moody			FWP 4		Opinion				
507363	Mr Kevin Sayer		<a href="#">CSO10875</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	The area alongside the A31 between St Leonards Hospital and Tricketts Cross is an area where many houses could be built. Currently this area is an eye-sore and gives a bad impression to visitors as they cross into Dorset from Hampshire. Why are nearly all your proposals on greenbelt land? There are many areas in East Dorset on non-greenbelt land which could be built on. Your proposal (FWP 4 and 5) will put more pressure on Parley Common as well as introduce more traffic on an already busy road system.			907
507388	Mr David Huggins		<a href="#">CSO10910</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507463	Mr and Mrs G Hirst		<a href="#">CSO11005</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507474	Mark and Jean Cording		<a href="#">CSO11044</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		1) We are still due a correctment in housing prices; housing benefits have artificially underpinned the bubble. The so called shortage of housing is also caused by breaking up of the family unit (teenagers and young people do not need their own homes) 2) The Green Belt must be protected, a temporary supposed need would and could not be reversed. There are hundreds of brown sites which could be utilised, without the need for more disruptive and expensive			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							infrastructures. 3) Green Belt land was created to protect open land between and around developments. We anticipated development and councils saying they need more land, it was and is vital so please do not change the policy. Times change, needs change, populations (immigration and emigration) demands disappear but green land cannot be replaced.			
507516	D Tyler		<a href="#">CSO11068</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The introduction of nearly 400 homes FWP1, FWP3, FWP4 would cause traffic chaos at both Parley X and Longham R/Bs both of which now affect the whole area? bottlenecks. Holmwood Park and Poor Common would feel the effects of building at FWP1, never mind an extra 50-85 cars and families. Are there no brownfield sites available? Has the minimal wildlife that clings to an already disturbed existence, be further decimated. Do these proposals include health centres and schools? Shops?			907
507524	Mr C G Richardson		<a href="#">CSO11083</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507555	Mr and Mrs C Lamond		<a href="#">CSO11110</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507572	GJ Pettifer		<a href="#">CSO11147</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am firmly opposed to any significant housing development in West Parley for the following reasons: 1) Traffic increase arising from up to			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							850 houses will be quite unsustainable. Improving the x roads will make little difference because the junction is affected by traffic from Christchurch Road, New Road and the Airport. New Rd is also used as a substitute for the Wessex Way whenever that road has a problem. Roadworks in the area, up to 1 mile away always affect Parley Cross. 2) Further housing with its associated extra population and needs, will destroy the remaining pleasant character of West Parley. It will follow Ferndown as an overcrowded sprawl with insufficient infrastructure. 3) No mention of the Dormy! This is enough to contend with, thank you.			
507652	Mrs Isabel Brooks		<a href="#">CSO11203</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507659	Ms Victoria Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO11221</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I think it would be a good idea to support FWP 4 and 5, due to the fact of the large growth of the airport and its industrial estate, (a good local workforce for the industrial base of the Northern Business Park).			907
507693	Mrs P Carter		<a href="#">CSO11271</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507789	Mr CJ Barrett		<a href="#">CSO11451</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507800	Mrs K M		<a href="#">CSO11475</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Platt									
507980	Sylvia Allen		<a href="#">CSO11591</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Any extra traffic at Parley Cross and Longham would be unbearable without major road improvements. Re more homes at Parley Cross.			907
508383	Ms Emma Hayter		<a href="#">CSO11706</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Think it is important for East Dorset to have affordable housing. At the moment I work in East Dorset and am looking to buy my first home, places in East Dorset are very expensive and are having to look outside the local area. I really don't want to move too far from my job.			907
508413	Mrs S Best		<a href="#">CSO11766</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
508455	Mr W Swingler		<a href="#">CSO11803</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The traffic is bad now. The road network is nil. No schools. Not enough doctors. No. No. No.			907
508478	M Hewlett		<a href="#">CSO11829</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Can there be a road from Dudsbury Heights looping to New Road behind the houses to relieve congestion at Parley traffic lights.			907
508482	Mrs K Mills		<a href="#">CSO11835</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
508488	Mrs JA Levasseur		<a href="#">CSO11842</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ferndown is built up enough and congested enough as it is. Affordable housing should have been built instead of all the care homes. This has encouraged vast numbers of elderly- who is going to care and pay for them?			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360910	Mrs Fiona BAKER		<a href="#">CSO12909</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The volume of traffic on New Road is already excessively high. Having spent 2 years marketing our New Road home congestion has already had a negative impact on the saleability and value of our property. These plans would further exacerbate this situation.			907
360952	Mr and Mrs GR and JE MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO12054</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
361014	Mr Peter Hammond		<a href="#">CSO12413</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
361062	Mr & Mrs ENH COLLIER		<a href="#">CSO12914</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Traffic levels in Christchurch Rd and Parley Cross are already excessive. Heavy lorries and speeding traffic are already a nuisance-major road improvements and consideration of vehicle weight restrictions would be welcome.			907
475494	Mr Alan Macdonald		<a href="#">CSO12750</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We demand no building in the existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ houses or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			907
481604	Mr		<a href="#">CSO11823</a>	Option	Support		Affordable homes at FWP3, FWP4,			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Gerald Toomer			FWP 4			FWP5 would provide a valuable labour force close to the fast growing Northern Business Park of the Airport KS13 and BA 1-11			
496659	Mr & Mrs Tony & Hilary Hendy		<a href="#">CSO11738</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
500570	Mr J.D Head		<a href="#">CSO12574</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Feel strongly that suggested levels will result in even more crowding to the area and cause irreversible damage to the environment. A full investigation of all brown field sites, derelict and empty properties must be taken before any building on green field sites. You only have to look at number of estate agents in this area and the amount of property they have for sale to see there is no shortage of homes. Yes there is shortfall of affordable property but the reckless over development that is being suggested will not make property any cheaper. You only have to look at Verwood to see that over development has not created cheap homes far from it and the nicer non-estate properties have risen in price thus pulling up the prices of all properties. The biggest barrier to affordable housing is the locally low average wage, buy to let and second homes. If any of these developments take place what controls are in place to ensure that only local people can buy them and there will not be another huge influx of people from outside the area? With this over development and the covering of green fields in concrete these estates that are being talked			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>about could be anywhere in the country they will all look the same, so why destroy countryside to create something that people from outside the area move to that is little different to the estate from where they came from. Why encourage people to move to the area, if you discourage the influx of retired to the area it will relieve the pressure on social and health services and the local infrastructure. The large no of elderly people within the area means that the death rate will exceed the birth rate and so over next few years more property will come on to the market.</p> <p>I have major objections to the levels proposed.</p> <p>1) The sheer environmental impact on this amount of housing into the fragile green lung that exists along the Stour corridor that divides East Dorset from the Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch conurbation. If the level of development continues as proposed it will be possible to drive from north of Verwood to the sea at Bournemouth pier and all you will see is houses.</p> <p>2) There will be much higher level of storm water run-off from these new estates. At present times of high rainfall the water can soak into the ground and filter away, by covering the land with buildings and roads there will be a much higher faster level of run off which will have to drain to the Stour thereby causing risk of flash flooding.</p> <p>2a) Conversely to the above the increases in proposed population across the whole area will cause strain</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>on water supplies especially in dry weather. Rivers such as the Allen are already suffering reduced flows because of heavy extraction and increases in population will only make it worse. As long ago as the 1970's warnings were given as to what would happen to Dorset's chalk streams and rivers if increasing levels of extraction were allowed, regrettably they were ignored but what was predicted will come true if this level of development is allowed to continue.</p> <p>3) Increased traffic levels in the area in general would be caused by proposed development. It is no good talking about improving public transport when for many people the car is the only way to get to work, buses for example being too inflexible. Governments over the years have kept telling us that we must be flexible in our approach to work which means in many cases working odd hours. The average wait at lights at Parley Cross is 2 minutes, the biggest problem is caused by drivers who are too slow to start moving increased housing will make this situation far worse. Any redevelopment of this junction due to extra population runs the risk of the roads and vehicles starting to dominate the area which will then discourage walkers who will then use their cars for short journeys to the shops which then just makes even more traffic congestion.</p> <p>4) To talk about extra shops at Parley Cross is questionable when the existing shops are under used. There</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>used to be a wider range of shops but they could not compete with large supermarkets at Castlepoint, Ferndown and Wimborne. For example the new Waitrose in Wimborne will only serve to hasten the decline of small shops left in the area. People will use the bigger stores because of price, choice and opening hours and to suggest another shop at the crossroads will just result in an under used store that will struggle to compete the bigger stores nearby.</p> <p>5) Development on South East side of cross roads will put at risk the fine row of trees which contribute to the visual appearance of the area. The levels of development will put a heavy strain on surrounding areas that are used for recreation.</p> <p>I feel that South East Dorset has now reached breaking point as far as large scale development is concerned. I accept that certain amount of small scale infill can take place across the area. Full use should be made of derelict and brownfield sites. Small scale infill across every community should be fully investigated, stricter controls over under used second homes and strict controls over the sale of so called affordable homes to people from out of the area. If there is a problem with building homes on the old dormy site due to its closeness to Parley Common then the same can be said for building by Parley crossroads. Common sense says tidy the derelict site and leave the green fields alone. If the government is giving you these</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							targets tell them to go away and look elsewhere in the country and look at housing stock in the whole country and bring that fully into use before going down the road to a concrete jungle that is being proposed. The full up has now to be put up on South East Dorset. The above reasons are why I object as many people do to the level of development being proposed.			
500746	Mrs J Lothian		<a href="#">CSO12433</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		7 - 10 households equal 1400 cars - ludicrous numbers. Also every 16 year old needs their transport. Traffic queues already stretch past Dudsbury Gardens and south of New Road to way past the corner garage after Ebor Close north at rush hours. The numbers are horrific ie consequences why can't you have a single road of houses at any of these sites? Why not all affordable houses? Look at the map maybe a new community at PC9,PC8 and PC4 these areas are suitable for starting a whole new planned community area and the same size (3,4 &5 ground area) approx 23 hectares and PC4 = 20 hectares. Room and uncluttered not a building nightmare for the rest of Parley and Ferndown.			907
504776	Mr and Mrs T R Jenner		<a href="#">CSO11796</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
507759	Peter and Dee Houghton		<a href="#">CSO11365</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The roads cannot cope at present. Proposed development will cause massive additional pressure/peak time delay.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Green land eroded.			
507760	Mr and Mrs R Gardner		<a href="#">CSO11363</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
508549	R and S Gooden		<a href="#">CSO11905</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Where will all these people work? Jobs are already scarce. Residents are not likely to use buses as they take too long and there are too many detours. They are also very expensive. The infrastructure is not adequate for the amount of houses planned.			907
508562	K Clayson		<a href="#">CSO11918</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		More houses will cause a huge increase on an already busy main road network.			907
508578	David and Carolyn Winsall		<a href="#">CSO11930</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		FWP4 and 5 would take less traffic issue. Christchurch Rd and Longham in particular is plagued with traffic. We need some relief not more cars. Can't move now for traffic at Haskins roundabout.			907
508590	Mr Jamie Ball		<a href="#">CSO11955</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Building houses on the open space we have reduces the open space we have. We are a young family who have moved to the area because of the open space. If you reduce the open space we have by building more houses on it you will force us to move elsewhere. This whole consideration of development options will create a negative effect on everyone that already live in the area. We are totally against this proposed			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							set of plans.			
508601	KA Cook		<a href="#">CSO11972</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		There is little doubt that more housing is needed and a number of smaller developments seem more attractive than the large one (FWP5). However, without the necessary transport infrastructure to support the increased activity during and after these developments, there will always be public hostility. Better bus services and cycle facilities are key to limiting road traffic increases.			907
508605	J Ames		<a href="#">CSO12002</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 4 and 5 are on the flood plain-where will the water go-into the river to flood other houses eg lford and to damage Mudeford Sandbank. The roads are inadequate. Is the infant school large enough-the road outside is blocked now. Where will the children play-there's nothing in Dudsbury and no transport. Speed along Christchurch Road too high.			907
508634	Jacqueline Legg		<a href="#">CSO12018</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Badgers, deer, buzzards and other wildlife in these areas. Commuters to jobs in Bournemouth would occupy new housing. Character of area would be changed and traffic would increase to an impossible level.			907
508649	Mr J Tucker		<a href="#">CSO12036</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
508674	Mrs C		<a href="#">CSO12071</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Winsall									
508685	Mr and Mrs DG Spivey		<a href="#">CSO12089</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
508708	Gary and Sue Peskett		<a href="#">CSO12113</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Major road improvements required before any of these proposals can take place-plus updated school and medical provision required.			907
508712	SR Lambden		<a href="#">CSO12124</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
508717	Mrs A Young		<a href="#">CSO12140</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		No matter how many road improvements you make for every ONE house you build around West Parley there will be ONE to TWO extra cars on the roads surrounding Parley Cross which is known to be one of the busiest in Dorset. It is not fair to existing residents living in the area to bring this extra amount of traffic on to these roads.			907
508828	Mr & Mrs Hardiman		<a href="#">CSO12167</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ferndown and West Parley have not got the infrastructure to support such a large housing development. The roads at Parley Cross and around Hurn Airport at peak times come to stand still and cannot support the traffic volumes. The green belt in and around Ferndown has already been reduced considerably in size and the fields at West Parley flood at high rain fall. So to these developments we say No. So we hope EDDC like us would like to see Ferndown and West Parley stay the beautiful and peaceful area that it already is.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Please think about the people that already live in this area. Thank you.			
508835	Mrs E.L. Stratford		<a href="#">CSO12188</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The Council is always after land for housing or business development. Whatever is granted is never enough and a few years later they want more. This process has been going on for over 50 years and it is time it came to a stop. Enough is enough as it is ruining the area.			907
508852	Mrs L.A. Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO12250</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Have no objections to small developments at various locations in the West Parley area (corner of Chine Walk and Christchurch Road is a wonderful example) but we are totally against mass development which would saturate relatively small areas to a massive degree and which would be totally out of keeping with a small village which has little infra-structure and the worse crossroads / traffic congestion in the whole of East Dorset. These schemes are madness!			907
508860	Mrs Sue Cartlidge		<a href="#">CSO12577</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Don't spoil the country atmosphere of West Parley with houses.			907
508866	Mr and Mrs Penny and Vincent Smith		<a href="#">CSO12799</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
508887	Mr J.S. Kidd		<a href="#">CSO12313</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
508966	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO12391</a>	Option	Object		Too many houses - too much impact			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Mansell			FWP 4			on roads and services. Airport traffic increasing to near airport and am against destroying the green belt.			
508993	Mr A Samways		<a href="#">CSO12429</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
509065	Mrs B Burge		<a href="#">CSO12499</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
509281	Mr Paul Molloy		<a href="#">CSO12607</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The roads and infrastructure struggle to cope in Parley as it is! Massive improvements to roads will be required.			907
509299	Mr R Moore		<a href="#">CSO12621</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I think that all of these sites are going to attract the older generation because this is the sort of area that appeals to them. FWP5 may bring younger families to this area but will require many more facilities than homes. It is important for developers to pay for transport developments.			907
509318	P Bamborough		<a href="#">CSO12659</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We fail to see the need for additional shops/offices in FWP4 and 5. It would also be helpful if it were clearly spelt out what road improvements will be made and transport improvements enhanced. A scaled down housing number of say 100 would be acceptable for FWP3.			907
509453	Mrs H Stallard		<a href="#">CSO12674</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
509489	CD		<a href="#">CSO12694</a>	Option		No				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Raine			FWP 4		Opinion				
509504	Mr and Mrs Lewis		<a href="#">CSO12709</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It doesn't take too much research to see that the West Parley area has more than enough traffic to cope with, without adding any further significant quantity of vehicle movements that would result from the proposals given. No amount of cycle paths or even improved infrastructure will help New Road, Christchurch Road or surrounding roads absorb further traffic. Surely we don't need to fill in all the "green gaps" around the area.			907
509533	Mr M Mason		<a href="#">CSO12720</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Too many people on roads, pressure on jobs, hospitals, doctors, dentists, schools, etc. The only way we would support this is for only low cost affordable housing for local people not rich outsiders. Why not use existing properties that are empty and need refurbishing?			907
509543	Ms Beryl MacDonald		<a href="#">CSO12737</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We demand no building in existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road traffic conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ homes or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509611	Mrs M Toomer		<a href="#">CSO12763</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Re: Core Strategy Options (7 Bmth airport) growth of industrial est. (7.9=1900 new jobs) FWP3/4/5 could provide a local workforce near to its location.			907
509658	Ms Carole McLean		<a href="#">CSO12775</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
509754	Mr Brian Smith		<a href="#">CSO12785</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP3/4/5 is under flight path or close enough to cause concern. FwP4/5 have already been previously under discussion and turned down due to traffic concerns.			907
509776	Ms Jane Foulger		<a href="#">CSO12792</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
509784	Mr Nathan Winfield		<a href="#">CSO12815</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		10 years resident in Parley near Parley Cross-year on year increase in volume of traffic. Gridlock between 7.30am and 9.00 am and 4.30pm -6pm. Roads can clearly not support existing level of traffic. Proposed improvements will make little difference. On-going expansion of Bmth airport and associated business park. Significant increase in HGV traffic. Development will spoil the look and feel of the area. Insufficient schooling, doctors etc... Clearly whoever makes this decision should come and live with us for a while. It will make their decision easy!			907
509795	Mr R Ridley		<a href="#">CSO12822</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Please ensure you get the minimum affordable houses, Ferndown badly needs these. The developments should be houses and not flats.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509811	Mrs J Waugh		<a href="#">CSO12836</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Parley crossroads are busiest in Dorset so 835 extra houses with 3 cars each would be impossible. This is not even considering the extra strain Drs, medical services, schools etc. I have objections to building on Green Belt land which preserves the charm of West Parley and keeps us separate from Kinson and Bournemouth.			907
509818	Mr and Mrs A Cooper		<a href="#">CSO12863</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Ratio of affordable housing extremely high Parley Cross completely gridlocked every day, proposal would introduce approx. a further 300-400 vehicles on an already congested area. FWP1 would encroach on the existing green area which would gradually join Ferndown to Longham The number of approx. housing per site would indicate small, terraced properties.			907
509829	Mr Andrew Taylor		<a href="#">CSO12849</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We live in Parley Close and we have a hard job crossing the road now, it will be impossible if these places are built.			907
361035	Mrs H.L O'SULLIVAN		<a href="#">CSO13016</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
509843	Mr and Mrs Brian and Dorothy Adams		<a href="#">CSO12869</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It appears that the West Parley area South and South West of Parley Cross is expected to take the entire share of any new development. Where is the contribution from our neighbours? This throws the greater burden of schools, medical and environmental issues on this small area. The map supplied looks like the introduction to an			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							episode of Dad's Army with development arrows pointing menacingly towards West Parley Crossroads. The perception is that West Parley is an easy option; you surely know and will be told from your survey that nobody wants an expansion of this magnitude, a quiet village to double in size? No Way! If this is an enforced situation with no true discussion then the process will become one of rearranging the deck chairs on the West Parley Titanic. It is surely necessary to study the layout again and examine where provision already exists and then look for available space. Not an easy task but the tail should not wag the dog in this way.			
509859	Mrs Sperling		<a href="#">CSO12880</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
509897	Mrs Rachel Harding		<a href="#">CSO12898</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We live on Christchurch Road, which is extremely busy and would not be able to cope with increased traffic. We moved out of town on purpose to a semi-rural area and do not want to see new housing developments. Developments should take place in towns that have the infrastructure to cope West Parley does not.			907
509934	Miss Nixon		<a href="#">CSO12941</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		There are already thousands of cars, lorries etc. going through Parley Cross Christchurch etc.! Its extremely dangerous crossing the roads and the immense volume of cars, inevitably cause accidents! Putting these houses here will cause nothing but heart ache			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and problems to existing residence!			
509939	Mr and Mrs Barton		<a href="#">CSO12944</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
509977	A Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO12966</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Already too much congestion on Christchurch Rd and New Rd. The huge lorries that use Christchurch Rd is ridiculous going to industrial estate. This is supposed to be Ferndown and West Parley but I can't see any development plans for Ferndown.			907
510026	Ms Tracy Ryan		<a href="#">CSO12976</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
510055	R Elliott		<a href="#">CSO12991</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Church Lane is a narrow and historic lane and would suffer from development of 4 and 5. It is also a conservation area and would be ruined by 5.			907
510070	Mr David Humphrey		<a href="#">CSO12999</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		3, 4, 5 provides natural expansion. 4 and 5 will provide much needed retail area needed with expansion planned.			907
510084	Ms Elizabeth Morris		<a href="#">CSO13007</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		If any traffic is to be added to Christchurch Rd then there must be drastic improvements to traffic flow. In addition public transport must improve to stop people using cars.			907
510420	Mr Peter Stevens		<a href="#">CSO13156</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
510490	Ms Helen Banfield		<a href="#">CSO13243</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
510532	W.W. Chant		<a href="#">CSO13287</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
510623	Mr Douglas Priest		<a href="#">CSO13391</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
510798	Mr F Sullivan		<a href="#">CSO13534</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
510844	Mr Michael Guilmany-Cush		<a href="#">CSO13578</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
510873	Mr & Mrs B.R. Mayes		<a href="#">CSO13626</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
510974	Mr Jim Cullumbine		<a href="#">CSO13654</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
510993	R.A. Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO13688</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
511015	Mr Christopher White		<a href="#">CSO13742</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511052	Mrs B.J Bailey		<a href="#">CSO13774</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Roads will be far too crowded with so many extra houses which will most likely have one or more at each property.			907
511066	Mr and Mrs D Legg		<a href="#">CSO13801</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We really need better roads in an already very busy area. We already have industrial saturation in Church Lane what with businesses operating to the far end and also the M.S centre generates a vast amount of traffic. We			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							do not need all of this for comfortable living.			
511076	Mr Ian Burden		<a href="#">CSO13823</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
511114	Mr Rob Gigg		<a href="#">CSO13841</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The area cannot support any more traffic. This area is very popular with horse riders who need to access the local bridleways; the levels of traffic already pose a significant danger. Use the out of town developments built for this purpose eg Verwood, not pile development on the towns.			907
511125	Mrs Christine Elliott		<a href="#">CSO13857</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
359971	Mr Alan Pickering		<a href="#">CSO14734</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Will join us to Kinson (urban sprawl) Proposed supermarket is wanted. Green belt farmland wasted for money by London insurance company speculators. Roads are not adequate and directly under Hurn flight path No.			907
360097	Mr John DOWLING		<a href="#">CSO14498</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
361121	Mrs Elizabeth JONES		<a href="#">CSO14015</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
475495	Mrs Hilary Barnett		<a href="#">CSO14575</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Object as land gets water logged, congestion of traffic and no facilities.			907
511399	Mr & Mrs		<a href="#">CSO14061</a>	Option		No				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Michael and Diana Froud			FWP 4		Opinion				
511417	Mrs Marjorie Derrick		<a href="#">CSO14093</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I consider that all the extra traffic caused by the extra housing having at least one car per home will cause absolute chaos on New Road, just look at the queues now during peak times.			907
511430	A.D. May		<a href="#">CSO14194</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511475	Mrs M Kinsey		<a href="#">CSO14163</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley will no longer be a village and will be completely ruined. The traffic is horrendous now and I dread to think what it will be like if we have cars from all the houses you suggest. I live on the corner of Parley Close and have a job getting on to New Road now. Also there are mostly elderly people living round here and have a terrible time crossing the roads so it will be a disaster if houses are built round here. It is also supposed to be green belt and we will certainly lose all the birds and wildlife. I am against it all.			907
511489	Mr H G Holden		<a href="#">CSO14177</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
511571	Colin Alborough		<a href="#">CSO14232</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		We are in desperate need of more affordable housing in the East Dorset area. There is also a shortage of employment opportunities in the area. The provision of more houses should help to push house prices down to give young people a change of getting on the property ladder.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511618	Mrs V Blunden		<a href="#">CSO14297</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
511621	Ms Mary A Combe		<a href="#">CSO14278</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511692	Ms Ruth Blaug		<a href="#">CSO14415</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I			907
511711	Coombes		<a href="#">CSO14437</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511872	Ms Debbie Boyes/Osborne		<a href="#">CSO14547</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
511881	Mr R Brookes		<a href="#">CSO14562</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Parley Cross traffic is already over loaded. Traffic to the airport should be via the Bournemouth spur road using a newly constructed road which the airport owners should make a very large contribution to the cost.			907
511896	Mr David Elrick		<a href="#">CSO14594</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
511905	Mrs S Lanham		<a href="#">CSO14608</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
511910	Mr O.K Izzard		<a href="#">CSO14621</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511916	Mr Craig Baker		<a href="#">CSO14631</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		These developments are not required as there is sufficient housing stock in East Dorset. Ferndown will become			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							part of the Bournemouth conurbation if these developments go ahead. New Road cannot take any more traffic. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would be disastrous for the residents, environment and roads.			
511926	Mr John Beesley		<a href="#">CSO14652</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511937	Mr R Pogson		<a href="#">CSO14680</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 are almost directly beneath the flight path of Bournemouth airport, also it is unlikely that the existing infrastructure would cope with the increase in population. FWP 4 and 5 are also to close to Parley Common.			907
511953	Mr and Mrs Alan and Julie Ridout		<a href="#">CSO14689</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Transport improvements are required now before any house building takes place.			907
511964	Mrs Sue Cornell		<a href="#">CSO14704</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Church Lane can barely cope with the traffic at the moment, trying to get out of this lane in the mornings is awful. The volume of traffic and the chaos caused when there are road works brings the area to a standstill. I imagine all the developers will be building all their houses way before any improvement to the road structure is complete. Have you seen what happens when an accident on the spur road involves redirecting traffic this way?			907
511978	Mr Cummings		<a href="#">CSO14721</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
512007	Mr		<a href="#">CSO14751</a>	Option	Object		I have lived at West Parley for 8 years,			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Neil Hayward			FWP 4			anyone who proposes housing in this area must be living on another planet with traffic levels reaching saturation point along Parley Lane and New Road and the close proximity of Bournemouth airport, any development in this area would be an act of complete insanity.			
512016	Mrs Sue Willcocks		<a href="#">CSO14775</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The transport infrastructure must be given priority and needs to be implemented before any development starts.			907
512027	Mr and Mrs B.D Young		<a href="#">CSO14788</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
512034	Mr R.J Jerrett		<a href="#">CSO14803</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		This area needs more affordable houses and more jobs as most people in this area are not local and have moved from away, I cannot see how they can object. We need a new bridge at Longham also local road improvements before some of the work starts i.e. Parley Cross roundabout etc.			907
512069	Mr and Mrs Stephen Rideout		<a href="#">CSO14826</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Roads in these areas are at capacity, it takes us several minutes to safely get out of our drive onto Christchurch Road. 10 years ago this was not such a problem. Constant traffic and queuing does not add up to further development.			907
512083	Mrs D.C Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO14840</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We are keen to see the green belt area protected and feel the developments in West Parley endanger this. The local community of West Parley wish to retain this 'local feel'. Increase of traffic			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							is another very negative aspect.			
512098	Mr and Mrs D and A Burch		<a href="#">CSO14852</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Development should be spread in order to maintain a semi-rural environment. Consideration should also ensure that all roads take the increased traffic. Green belts are essential maintaining independent districts.			907
512113	Mr Steve Kitson		<a href="#">CSO14877</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Roads, schools and general infrastructure will not support any large building of houses nor should the green belt between Longham and Ferndown be compromised to any large extent. Ringwood Road and Casterbridge Road are already becoming busier as traffic increases and use cut throughs.			907
512129	Mr J.R Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO14898</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
512134	Mrs Anthea Scott		<a href="#">CSO14919</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I believe that the green belt as slim as it is should be protected at all costs - I do not think the gap between East Dorset and Bournemouth would be closed and we would end up with their quota of houses destined for our green belt. Hurn airport is always referred to as Bournemouth International Airport although Adventure Wonderland on the opposite side of the road is advertised as being in Hurn. We do not want to be swallowed up.			907
512281	Graham Roberts		<a href="#">CSO15003</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512315	Mr Roger J Heath		<a href="#">CSO15017</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The proposed developments around West Parley are untenable. The junction at Parley Cross is already over capacity and any attempt to improve the junction will only have a minimal effect. There is no room to widen New Road nor is there space for a relief road. Adding new homes and inviting even more cars to pour out of it. New Road at peak times will lead to a total log jam. The local area also lacks key infrastructure i.e. schools, doctors, dentists etc.			907
361124	Mrs M.E Brown		<a href="#">CSO16361</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
361196	Mr J.M BULLIVANT		<a href="#">CSO16279</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
476264	Mr David Reddaway		<a href="#">CSO15675</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Ferndown has room for expansion taking into account present services and road use.			907
511639	Paul Hockey		<a href="#">CSO16085</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
512325	Mr DM Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO15037</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The traffic situation would become intolerable if the proposed houses were built in the West Parley area. West Parley would become an overspill for Bournemouth and lose its village status.			907
512326	Mr Ian Willis		<a href="#">CSO15060</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
512332	Mr		<a href="#">CSO15061</a>	Option	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	John Neal			FWP 4						
512344	Mr M Wyeth		<a href="#">CSO15081</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Totally oppose building on green belt. We already have unacceptable congestion levels on Christchurch Road and New Road, in addition to high levels of noise and air pollution. I voted at the last election for a party that would protect the green belt.			907
512351	Mr J.R Warnock		<a href="#">CSO15091</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Not enough transport facilities i.e. buses more pressure on Parley crossroads which is already very busy. This is green belt area and should not be built on as there are very few left. This site is under or close to the airport flight path i.e. noise and safety.			907
512363	Mr T Meads		<a href="#">CSO15120</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		It already takes up to 10 mins to get out of our drive with 25,000 movements per day. Now you want to add a further 3,000 cars per day and how would you like 45 neighbours. How will various services cope with all the extra?			907
512399	Mr and Mrs RD Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15133</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
512406	Mr and Mrs PE and JA Coward		<a href="#">CSO15139</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
512409	Mr and Mrs J Francis		<a href="#">CSO15143</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		500 homes in flight path!! Is this fair on affordable home buyers? The number is far too high for the area, involving transport problems and lack of facilities.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							"...expectation...some gain...local community...new open space..." what does this mean? We already have large open spaces.			
512437	E Andrews		<a href="#">CSO15156</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I do not want to see loss of the fields.			907
512459	Sandra Davis		<a href="#">CSO15164</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Loss of green belt is my main concern. 700 homes in close approximation to traffic lights would be a nightmare for exiting the proposed estates. The airport will grow so will the traffic. We see queues to the lights at Parley of over a mile in all directions most mornings/evenings. The village will require all sorts of supporting facilities/infrastructure. The new cycle path, lights to airport is infrequently used. You have FWP1-5 but description w.sub area, eastern sub area and central sub area- not very clear at all.			907
512477	Mr DJ Budden		<a href="#">CSO15173</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Cannot understand why PC9 is (not?) favourite for new homes. It has no existing residential border. Has much better trunk road access. Can benefit from money spent on forthcoming A31/B3073 roundabout improvements. Enjoys excellent bus services (No13 W and D every 30 mins to Wimborne, Ferndown and Bournemouth) Has local shops at Stapehill. To feed even more traffic direct onto A348 and B3073 is irresponsible. In any development it must be essential to maintain tree/shrub screen bordering A348 and B3073 to preserve rural nature, also only one single access			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							road to/from each development.			
512677	Mr P R Field		<a href="#">CSO15225</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
512703	Rita Sweatland		<a href="#">CSO15237</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513015	Mr Adrian Roscoe		<a href="#">CSO15249</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I feel that this is just a money generating scheme for the council. There is no way the roads could cope with the extra traffic as you have highlighted yet made no suggestion as to how to deal with it. Other issues would be schools, parks, medical facilities, dentists etc. etc.			907
513028	Mrs M Wilson		<a href="#">CSO15264</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513034	Mrs Cheryl Walters		<a href="#">CSO15276</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Can Ferndown schools, surgeries etc. cope with any/all of the above? All would add to Ringwood Road traffic congestion and already maybe a problem.			907
513063	Mr I Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15299</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
513085	Mr and Mrs B Harris		<a href="#">CSO15305</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513091	Mr Paul Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO15310</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513126	Mr		<a href="#">CSO15331</a>	Option	Object		Look again at PC4 and PC9. West			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	S.J Breeze			FWP 4			Parley is a mature village with few social pressures, having remained generally unchanged for many years. A recent survey of its 1600 households showed an overwhelming majority against this scheme and the pressures it will bring to transport, infrastructure. Local facilities and that the need to protect our green belt, heritage sites, nature and environment are paramount and take precedence over cash generation from building especially when other less sensitive areas for housing exist, but are not recommended because it appears they are not in the hands of rich developers and are therefore unable to fund other infrastructure projects. Transport road congestion is well above saturation levels. Christchurch Road, West Parley already suffers long tailbacks at all peak times such that a simple developer funded gyratory system is not likely to be an area solution especially when asked to cope with rising levels generally and a further anticipated 1200 vehicles entering/exiting the system from the proposed development. The conurbation needs a major upgrade via Highways Agency approval funding not a local sticking plaster funded on the back of further congestion.			
513149	Mrs A.R.E Seaton		<a href="#">CSO15518</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Surely this area is congested enough.			907
513503	D Skinner		<a href="#">CSO15377</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513639	Mr and Mrs D J A Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15442</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513881	Mrs S Bagg		<a href="#">CSO15539</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513897	Mrs Elizabeth Dawkins		<a href="#">CSO15557</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
513900	Mr P Wall		<a href="#">CSO15586</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Unfortunately more development more movement. A comprehensive transport policy should be developed e.g. road improvement (A31), bus and trams, rail links (restored).			907
513923	Mrs M Fraser		<a href="#">CSO15590</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		In the early morning till around 9am and late afternoon till about 6.30pm all around West Parley to New Road roundabout and up to Ferndown the traffic is awful. How on earth will anyone manage with these extra houses? No amount of transport improvements will help this, when the A338 is closed it will be and already is horrendous. I am on the flight path; this does not bother me all that dreadful traffic does.			907
513943	Mrs P Reeves		<a href="#">CSO15607</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
513974	Mr A Moore		<a href="#">CSO15817</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514007	Ms Emily		<a href="#">CSO15686</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Allan									
514014	Mr Colin Cable		<a href="#">CSO15709</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Over the past 20 years the roads between Canford Bottom across to the A338 spur road - A347 Ferndown to West Howe and New Road have become major traffic routes. Any additional building works or housing projects will bring life to a standstill in the area. None of your FWP areas are viable PC4 or PC9 would impact far less on the area, however any projects without major improvements to the road network will be a disaster. Ameyford (Police Station) to Broadmoor Coppice would be more practical. What Happens Next? If its anything like the Folkestone - Honiton coastal trunk road 50 years ago - NOTHING			907
514042	Mr Tony Davison		<a href="#">CSO15745</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Having lived in West Parley for 16 years I have seen considerable change and increased traffic, even after road widening works at West Parley traffic lights. The roads are still congested, the increased traffic to and from the airport, the new quarry, the busier business parks that have several courier companies on that operate a whole fleet of vehicle, city link, 5years transport, voyager A to B, just the ones I know of, also the whole infrastructure around creating these increased homes is not here, shopping, schooling and recreation. West Parley was once a small village; we should be protecting areas like this not losing them to a mass of over development. I thought the lay			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							planning act was to do much this; there are plenty of small infill sites that could help the housing situation with careful planning at the end of the day. This is green belt land, if I wanted to build on this land there is no way you planners would agree. I have myself a small piece of land adjacent to my property this was severed as part of the garden some 17 years ago. I have spoken to planners on various occasions over the years as it is an eyesore, but I have always been told its green belt. The term for green belt means open space yet this plot has houses either side and a yard to the rear, therefore how can the rules differ from being sensible and allowing smaller infill plots of land that would not impact on the structure of Ferndown and West Parley over the years, then adopt a considerable development that certainly would.			
514049	Mrs E Hellier		<a href="#">CSO15747</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514086	Mr Ian Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15782</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514091	Mr RJ Potts		<a href="#">CSO15822</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514099	Mr and Mrs T C Blakeley		<a href="#">CSO15855</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514111	Penelope		<a href="#">CSO15897</a>	Option	Support					907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Webiery			FWP 4						
514127	Mr Geoff Voisey		<a href="#">CSO15913</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
514135	Mr and Mrs S Farr		<a href="#">CSO15923</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
514136	Mrs J Crumb		<a href="#">CSO15955</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
514156	Mr J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15929</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I commute daily through West Parley. To add additional congestion from 900 or so homes onto Christchurch Rd/New Road + normal growth is pure folly. Only major network works will cure this.			907
514225	Mr Simon Dixon	Secretary West Moors Traders Association	<a href="#">CSO16000</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
514246	Theresa Monahan & Jonathon Chaffey		<a href="#">CSO15999</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
514274	Mr Showell		<a href="#">CSO16065</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Instead of new housing there should be a new strategy of providing more public open space. The lessons of the Edwardians/Victorians should be learnt. New public parks like Poole Park, Queens Park and Kings Park save an area from urban crowding. Perhaps all the land proposed for housing could be reallocated to public			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							parks.			
514450	Mr and Mrs P Webb		<a href="#">CSO16174</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514467	Mrs Lesley Cripps		<a href="#">CSO16202</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514482	C E T Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO16235</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
359856	Mrs B Breeze		<a href="#">CSO16904</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		West Parley Green Belt should remain protected. The democratic wishes of the overwhelming number of West Parley residents should be adhered to; they do not want this development, for many reasons. Environmental, transport / road congestion / infrastructure etc.			907
360683	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO16913</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The Broadway Malyan Masterplan report on which the Core Strategy is based contains a very shallow analysis of West Parley. It sees W.P. as being "two parts" and as being "essentially a suburb of Ferndown". This hasty misreading of W.P. lies at the heart of all the subsequent treatment of the specific sites. W.P. is physically and constitutionally a distinct entity, not part of Ferndown. If one has no time and just drives down from Ferndown on the A347, then one passes from Ferndown to W.P, with no obvious change. if one walks around the outside of W.P., it becomes clear that is a semi-rural community, surrounded to the South, East and			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>West by fields, farming, stables, horse paddocks, heathland, the river Stour. Only to the North is it joined to Ferndown.</p> <p>W.P. is constitutionally separate from Ferndown-being a Parish with its own borders, parish council, school, village hall, churches, pubs, recreation ground-all the constituents of a village. W.P. has a distinct and much older history. Ferndown is a very recent dormitory town from Bournemouth. W.P is far older than either, going back from the Domesday Book to a Saxon church and an Iron Age hill fort. This contributes to a distinct and powerful sense of identity in W.P., confirmed only very recently in the Oct 2010 Parish Plan Survey, where no less than 87% of respondents said that W.P.'s particular character and identity was very important to them.</p> <p>In the 2008 Issues and Options consultation based on the R.S.S. plans, Ferndown and W.P. were treated separately. Taking them together now makes the houses proposed for W.P. seem quite a small number when compared to the 8000+ houses in Ferndown/W.P. Taking W.P. by itself would have emphasised what a large and unsustainable addition the proposed 310 houses of FWP3/FWP4 are on top of W.P.'s existing 1600. Taking them together also blurs the democratic validity of the responses from the public. Ferndown has only 130 houses planned, on its extreme SS corner. Most of the public in Ferndown are quite unconscious of</p>			

*Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses*

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>these plans. Thus the overall number of objections from Ferndown/W.P. combined may seem quite small, whereas the number of objections from W.P. alone would seem overwhelming. The greatest danger to W.P. from the proposed plans is the loss of its identity-from a sudden and unsustainable large addition to its housing stock, and from the separation from Bournemouth becoming a small strip of land around the river Stour. The prime purpose of the green belt was to exactly prevent this type of urban sprawl, and it originally envisaged far greater separations than would be left. BM page 51 underlines this point, identifying this gap as a "Key Edge".</p> <p>Neither the proposed 3000 sq. metre food store nor the proposed formal park have been discussed in any way with the community. They have emerged out of the blue. This is an example of very recent top down planning that is specifically contrary to Government policy, and will be eminently challengeable once the Localism Bill becomes law.</p> <p>Although FWP4 seems to be just outside the published noise contours of the airport, the law and regulations are still developing, and it is believed that the chosen area may lie inside the noise zone that the world health organisation deems harmful to young children.</p> <p>If FWP4 goes ahead, then when the economy improves and house building picks up it is regarded as a certainty</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>that housing will expand to the areas and levels in FWP5. This will raise definite contour concerns as well as coalescence issues along the "key edge" between West Parley and Bournemouth. It will constitute an unsustainable and insensitive overload to West Parley's present 1600 houses and to the character and identity of the present community.</p> <p>The traffic impact on the already overloaded Parley Cross Junction will be large and unsustainable. It is agreed that FWP4 development could not begin before this infrastructure problem is solved. Since no tested and costed plans for this are in existence, or have been discussed with the local community, FWP4 is not considered a responsible option to put before the public now.</p> <p>Finally, the West Parley Parish Plan (now in course of preparation under the auspices of the Parish Council) has completed and analysed the returns from its all house survey, compiled with the assistance of E.D.D.C. Just fewer than 1000 responses were received, giving it a very high degree of statistical significance. 80% of respondents, asked to choose between differing housing numbers from 0 to 500+ as being appropriate for West Parley, chose up to 100 houses as being the right level for the village. This is significant now, and will be even more so when the Localism Bill becomes law.</p>			
361138	Mr		<a href="#">CSO16888</a>	Option	Object		I object to any development especially			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Rod WHITHAM			FWP 4			<p>commercial development at these locations as it would seriously affect the Green Belt which separates villages in this part of East Dorset. Therefore support the team's non-preference for these options. Does West Parley really need a 3,000sq.m supermarket? There are more than enough supermarkets in the Ferndown area to serve the whole of Dorset. Two last paragraphs from my letter of 29th April 2008.</p> <p>To return to my original point, I do not believe that this level of development is necessary, justified, sustainable, or desirable for this part of East Dorset and I feel EDDC is being railroaded into accepting the strategy simply in order to satisfy Government broad housing targets irrespective of their relevance to the locality. I have felt for some years now that East Dorset is continually being sacrificed in order to preserve what may be seen by some as more open countryside further waste in the county and which has greater protection from conservation laws and the National Trust.</p> <p>Wimborne, Colehill, West Parley, and Corfe Mullen are still separately identifiable villages surrounded by agricultural land; they are not part of the big conurbations such as Poole and Bournemouth and they should be respected as being different and treated differently. I understand the difficulty EDDC might well have with these strategy documents and I'm sure they will do their best for the area. However I would like to see the council</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							standing up and really challenging the basic premises of this plan and propose much less development in the area based on real local need and not a notional level set essentially by Central Government.			
514649	Mrs June Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16316</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
514752	Claire Richardson & Jamie Shirley		<a href="#">CSO16392</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514812	Mr C Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16428</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
514912	Mrs Mary Carsbury		<a href="#">CSO16484</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Seeking the opinion of the general public on planning issues is all very commendable - but setting aside all political persuasion my feeling is that this is no more than a Public Relations exercise because in the end the final decisions are always to the advantage of the developers whoever they may be because of money, greed and looks good on paper. My general view is that density levels for new homes should be kept down; East Dorset has become so over developed that it is now just one big urban sprawl. In fact it has lost so much of all the natural character and charm that it used to enjoy it is hard to know where the so called green belt begins and ends.			907
514913	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO16464</a>	Option		No				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M Clark			FWP 4		Opinion				
514939	D Porter		<a href="#">CSO16507</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The more land you concrete over the more you have to because you just increase demand. Infill best option.			907
514959	Mr and Mrs R Fisher		<a href="#">CSO16537</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514993	Dr Peter J Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO16571</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The area is already over-developed and congested - further development on the scales proposed would lead to deterioration of the environment and a reduction in the quality of life. I am particularly dismayed by continued threats to the Green Belt, especially as this Government pledged to protect it. I do not believe that such massive development is necessary or beneficial to the vast majority of inhabitants. Pressure on our area should be reduced by Government tackling such issues as population growth through immigration control and regeneration of brownfield sites in Midlands and North. There is a need for limited affordable and social housing locally, but not more executive homes. It is wrong to build new homes while second homes and investment properties continue to exist.			907
515033	Mr T Crump		<a href="#">CSO16608</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
515287	Mr L		<a href="#">CSO16683</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		The A31 trunk road from Ferndown by-pass should be widened to form a dual			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Jackson						carriageway all the way through to Bere Regis.			
515367	Mr & Mrs J Pottinger		<a href="#">CSO16805</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
515868	Mr F Weller		<a href="#">CSO16860</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Keep off Green Belt			907
516011	Mr Ray Goodchild		<a href="#">CSO16909</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>As residents we all welcome the opportunity to contribute to "feedback" on future housing plans in our local area, especially as it impacts upon all of us.</p> <p>Although I am not old enough to remember the second world war, I am reminded of the old cliché, "don't you know there's a war on"!</p> <p>I am connected myself with the building trade, in particular "development" as well as being a shareholder in both Barratts and Taylor Wimpey.</p> <p>Developers are pretty much at a standstill, and there is unlikely to be much of a change in the foreseeable future. We are entering a period of austerity, and as long as prospective homeowners are unable to get mortgages, then the building trade is not going to invest, and there is little money available for "social housing".</p> <p>How are you expecting to devise a long term plan for local development when so much, which is out of "councils" control, is probably going to change in the next five years, which will influence building decisions?</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							I think you are premature in seeking our opinions at this stage.			
359461	Mrs Nicola BRUNT	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	<a href="#">CSO17497</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Option FWP 4 Proposals for this option place housing right up to the 400m buffer zone applying to residential uses with respect to heathlands. There is therefore a need to ensure that the provision of a landscape area and SANG will effectively divert pressure away for the heathlands. We consider this will need to be a requirement for the development.			907
359477	Ms Natasha Mackenzie	Clerk Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17720</a>	Option FWP 4	Object	General Comment	The proposed additional housing and employment areas will therefore only increase the traffic problems at Ringwood and thus on the roads in our Parish, especially on the B3081, A338 and Linwood Road if the A31 is blocked. The junction at Bakers Hanging will also become even more saturated. The Parish Council agree that these developments should only go ahead if road improvements at Bakers Hanging and the junction of the A338 and A31 are carried out.			907
359553	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17934</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Whilst not in favour of development on this rural site, if a new neighbourhood centre can be created, together with shops and small offices, the Parish Council would be willing to accept the proposal; a proviso being that development comprises a proportionate element of affordable accommodation (both rented and shared ownership in			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>perpetuity).Expansion of Bournemouth Airport and the introduction of new noise contours may impact on development of this site.</p> <p>(Comment from Cllr P Timberlake) 1. I have this afternoon, had a meeting with the Revd. Charles Booth, vicar to All Saints and St Mark's, regarding the options for FWP4. - SE Parley Cross site.</p> <p>Back in about 1998, the churchyard at All Saints closed to new burials. The only exceptions are where a plot has been reserved (faculty) and cremated burials. This area too is also filling up. Despite the increase in the popularity of cremations, for some years, there has been a marked shortage of burial places in the area, the nearest being West Moors or, if one is able, Hampreston Churchyard.</p> <p>In order to help meet the demand for burials - which may increase still further with a growing population - the creation of a new site between any new developments as proposed in the FWP4, and the rear of adjacent properties in Church Lane. Tree planting around the site would help to screen it.</p> <p>Of course, any new burial site would be run by the local authority rather than the local PCC. The vicar wholly supports this proposal.</p> <p>2. The other issue to mention is the possibility of the site provision for allotments. With new affordable housing nearby, either flats or dwellings with small gardens, or established residents wanting more</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							vegetable area, some additional growing space could prove useful. Again, the area could be screened with suitable planting. Both of these measures - especially the burial site - would also help to ensure the openness is maintained and perhaps could be incorporated in the open space to be provided with development.			
359891	Mrs Susanne Parkin		<a href="#">CSO18349</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	<a href="#">CSO18180</a>	Option FWP 4		General Comment	This site is unlikely to have significant biodiversity interest but the proximity of Parley Common will restrict the extent and nature of development here and proposals will require full HRA if they are taken forward to the next stage of Core Strategy. The search area includes some trees with TPOs and native hedgerows should be incorporated into any plans for a SANG. With safe DDA compliant pedestrian and cycle access across the main roads, the SANG could make a valuable contribution to the community of West Parley. Safe access for horse riders should also be considered. The proposals for live/work units (as advocated elsewhere in the CS Options) should be considered as part of the shopping development.			907
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager	<a href="#">CSO17748</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Options FWP1, FWP2, FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are all located south of Ferndown, away from the A31 which is			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Highways Agency					<p>north of the town. However, despite being well served by regular bus services, Ferndown suffers from congestion around the A31 which is of concern to the Agency.</p> <p>The Agency supports the development of the evidence base to inform any strategic growth retained in the Ferndown employment area, given its strategic scale and close proximity to the highly constrained Canford Bottom-Merley section of the A31. This will continue to apply and the Agency will have a particular interest in potential improvements at Canford Bottom triggered by further employment development.</p> <p>We are also aware of the area travel plan for the Ferndown industrial estate, the expansion of which will be essential to assist with the suppression of demand for further movements in the area generally, and specifically on the A31</p>			
517986	Mr B W Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO17271</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Although it has been recognised that significant improvements to roads will be required, the infrastructure in Ferndown and Parley would in no way support any further development unless a by-pass was constructed around all town boundaries. The schools in the area cannot accommodate the catchment area at the present time nor can the police and fire services. The cost to the highways would be increased beyond any benefit.</p>			907
518000	L		<a href="#">CSO17277</a>	Option	Object		All developments bring increased			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Brebner			FWP 4			traffic; Ferndown is already gridlocked 80% of the day due to inaction by the Council and its refusal to divert traffic away from Longham Bridge. Any development of land in Ferndown / W. Parley will only add to the existing problem which is already growing.			
518027	Mr J Talbot		<a href="#">CSO17294</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The lungs of the whole area are at Parley. Whoever heard of a large estate being built under the immediate flight path of an airport - (on the increase)? The infrastructure at the present time is unable to cope, the schools, the roads, the hospitals; everything in fact, so new dwellings is a joke. It is congested enough already. As for affordable housing, where are the affordable people going to find any kind of work with the extreme unemployment already in existence.			907
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		<a href="#">CSO18023</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		SUMMARY: FWP4 LAND TO THE EAST OF CROSS ROADS (100) We consider this to be a poor site and on balance must OBJECT to any development. We would like to reaffirm our objection to FWP5 We consider the loss of a large green expanse and the reduction in this open area between North Bournemouth and East Dorset to be detrimental to our District. The visual impact will be severe. We do not think it is in such a sustainable location as the Longham sites as it is more likely that children will be taken to school by private transport and this will increase the			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>congestion problems at Parley Cross and other adjacent areas. The site has a potential of 200+ cars counting 1 ½ cars per household. This is likely to be a low estimate of additional cars on the road.</p> <p>Why the convenience store? Parley used to have a good collection of shops but these have been taken over by tiling outlets, sheds, conservatories etc. There is no guarantee the same would not happen to a new food store. Extending the out of town shopping area will just encourage more car trips. Loss of agricultural land grade 2.</p> <p>PURPOSES OF THE GREENBELT PPG2 (as applicable to this site)</p> <p>To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas.</p> <p>Building on this site will reduce the open green gap between North Bournemouth and West Parley.</p> <p>To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another.</p> <p>It is this open expanse of fields which has sharply marked the division between the urban Bournemouth and the rural East Dorset District.</p> <p>To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns.</p> <p>This is not strictly correct when applied to Parley, but as already mentioned, it is the 'gateway' to the more rural part of Dorset.</p> <p>VISUAL IMPACT ON BOTH THE NATURAL AND HISTORIC LANDSCAPE</p> <p>It is the openness of this area that is so important to save. Within it lies the old West Parley that is a conservation</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>area; the houses would be creeping ever nearer. Above Parley on the other side of New Road is the hill on which the ancient monument of Dudsbury is sited. The rural appearance gives these historic sites a traditional setting. The loss of the open views and open space would truly make East Dorset a part of the main conurbation.</p> <p>SUSTAINABLE LOCATION PPS1 Location Primary Schools Middle and Upper Daily shopping Post Office Doctors Parley Cross East 2.2km – Parley 1st 3.3 – Fd 1st 3.0km 3.5km 0.3km 0.3km 2.2km to Glenmoor Rd EMPLOYMENT Bournemouth Airport Ferndown and Uddens Industrial Estates Ferndown itself Poole or Bournemouth Commuting Figures for Ferndown. Source: 2001 Census Internal Commuters ... 3365 In Commuters .....7035 Out Commuters .....4893 Total commuters (in and out)..... 11928 According to the Core Strategy, 85% of all Ferndown and West Parley commute by car either as a driver or</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>passenger.  This would only be a sustainable site if the main employment centres can be accessed without the use of the private motor car. There is already a high level of commuting in this area.  Cycle tracks exist from West Parley to Bournemouth Airport. One is planned to North Bournemouth. Cycle routes also exist up to Ferndown. For anyone working on Ferndown Industrial Estate, the cycle track down Ham Lane ends at the Hampreston Cross Roads, this would need extending. Cyclists would have to be directed down on the Old Ham lane for Wimborne and for the Industrial estate cycle track would have to take them from Fox Lane, across Ham Lane and into the blocked exist from the old Fox Lane onto Wimborne Road West.  PUBLIC TRANSPORT  Number 13 between Bournemouth and Wimborne. ½ hourly services.  Journey time: 25 minutes (from Parley Cross)  NEAREST CENTRE WITH FULL RANGE OF SERVICES  Ferndown or Wimborne are the closest centres. The bus stop at Parley Cross is quite close and runs every 30 minutes.  PROVISION OF MULTI-FUNCTIONAL GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPEN SPACES: (Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance NE176 &amp; PPG17 Planning for Open Spaces  GI on this site would not help with sustainable access to schools as on the other sites at Longham, though it is</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>better for employment. There appears to be a large area of landscaped open space planned, this would be very important for recreation as the proposed site is in a very bad position in relation to busy traffic and potential air pollution. More GI would be required to link up with Dudsbury and the Stour Valley Way for fresh air. We feel that in this location preserving the openness would be best as that is what we are used to seeing.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: Our comments on environmental aspects of the Core Strategy Options document are included in the Response of the Environmental TAG, East Dorset Community Partnership, which we fully endorse.</p> <p>ECOSYSTEM DAMAGE: disturbance to flora and fauna This land has been farmed fairly recently and is currently used for growing grass as a crop. There are no grazing animals on this part of the land. A survey would need to be done to see what exists and may be harmed</p> <p>TRANQUILLITY: a sense of place. It is the visual tranquillity of this large green site after red brick of the urban areas of Bournemouth and Ferndown that makes it so valuable. There is no sound of silence due to the proximity of the traffic and Bournemouth Airport.</p> <p>LIGHT POLLUTION Please see the ETAG Response and the comments by Bob Mizon.</p> <p>DRAINAGE PPS25 (causing increase in river flooding or surface water problems)</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>This site is a large flat area that forms the river terrace. We doubt there would be much risk of flooding on this site but SUDS must be used to make sure there is no increase in surface water run-off as the river Stour is not far away and speedier run-off may increase flooding downstream.</p> <p>PROXIMITY TO HEATHLAND AND AVAILABILITY OF SANGS FOR MITIGATION</p> <p>This area is close to Parley Common, Parley SSSI and Ferndown Common so SANGS will be required. A SANGS has been allocated to the east and south of the site. The one to the south must be close to the flood plain which is very active during the winter months. This would reduce the available access.</p> <p>PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE (schools etc.)</p> <p>No primary school is to be provided which does reduce the sustainability of this site. However, there will be retail outlets including a large store. It would be a shame to put the Tesco Express out of service just as it has opened. Parley used to have a row of very good shops for local people but now it is tiles and bathrooms etc. Will new retail development be any different? We cannot see the objective behind office accommodation.</p> <p>IMPACT ON TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE</p> <p>The roads are at capacity. Modifications to Canford Bottom roundabout and Parley Cross roads will hardly keep the traffic running. We</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>have too many cars on the road. No amount of GI or public transport will solve this basic problem. We do not need more roads destroying more ecosystems we need alternate systems that work. Schools close by housing and suitable employment sites that are accessible without using a car. Safe, well lit all weather cycle and pedestrian racks are required. East Dorset District Council has a target in the Transport Plan to introduce buses to take workers directly to industrial estates. This is an excellent idea. However, one such bus already exists, the number 29 from Bournemouth to Ferndown Industrial site. There are two early morning buses from Bournemouth, one leaving the estate at lunch time and two leaving in the evening. This service started in April 2010, without subsidies. The Yellow bus company confirmed that they do carry some regular passengers to and from the Industrial Estate. We suspect the buses are not that well used, one major problem influencing their use is the vast areas of free parking available on Ferndown Industrial Estate. It may be that the 'stick and carrot' needs to be introduced to get people out of their cars and into a bus. If less parking existed there would be more room for new business. We noticed a large new factory down Brook Road had a vast new car park, when parking is free and easy no-one will catch a bus. Perhaps spaces should only be allocated if there are no other means of</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							reasonable travel.			
359261	Mr Doug Cramond	DC Planning Ltd	<a href="#">CSO18244</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>FWP4 is included in the CS as a preferred option. However, it is not an option in any real sense. It is not viable and it is completely illogical in planning terms in any event.</p> <p>The merits of the site as a whole, and looked at under another scheme comparable to CS Option FWP5, are exemplary for residential and commercial development, recreational provision and transport improvements. FWP4 does nothing to achieve delivery of any of these.</p> <p>The Core Strategy should be just that – looking at critical core issues for the District and coming up with an achievable and sustainable strategy to address them. The document needs to be coming forward with a deliverable and visionary way ahead for the next 20 years. PPS12 makes this absolutely clear.</p> <p>Put simply and more succinctly than the CS, the Vision, albeit with a local slant placed on it, might be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide sufficient good quality homes to meet needs;</li> <li>• protect local character along with areas of environmental and ecological quality;</li> <li>• create enough jobs generally and expand the employment potential at the airport in particular;</li> <li>• minimise the need to travel and make it easier when people have to get around the District; and</li> <li>• provide appropriate services and recreational opportunities.</li> </ul>			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>All of these things have to come together in a credible CS document and the effect of one decision or land-use annotation has to be looked at within the context of the whole jigsaw. As PPS12 puts it</p> <p>"The vision should be informed by an analysis of the area and its constituent parts and the key issues and challenges facing them". "[Strategic objectives] should expand the vision into the key specific issues for the area which need to be addressed, and how that will be achieved within the timescale of the Core Strategy".</p> <p>The LPAs point out in Key Issue Paper 01 that:</p> <p>"..Whilst Core Strategies should be visionary, they must also be effective, so the vision and objectives must create a spatial strategy which is deliverable."</p> <p>Option FWP4 fails to do that. It could bring virtually nothing to a meaningful CS. More seriously its non-implementation through lack of viability would threaten a major part of the (i) employment, (ii) transport, (iii) residential and (iv) nature conservation strategy.</p> <p>Employment</p> <p>Locally jobs will not be created – there will be no new food store, no small offices, and no enhancement of the existing shopping area. Slightly further afield there will be no airport business park expansion which critically and unequivocally relies in junction/wider works which need land and finance from the respondent's land-holding at</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>the south east quadrant of Parley Cross. Job growth within the airport bounds has the largest potential of all sites within the whole CS area.</p> <p>Transport A foundation stone of creating ease of travel for both public transport and other vehicles is 'Parley Cross' junction. All employment and residential proposals in a great swathe of the District rely on it before they can come forward – collecting contributions is simply not enough, they have to be usable. It is quite clear the restrictions on growth within the airport boundary can only be properly lifted for the first significant phase when works are done. Furthermore, the CS at para 10.76/79 notes that all FWP options require improvements to Parley Crossroads. Even without growth the existing situation is not tenable, not least through people travelling further than the ideal for work and also West Parley having the highest car ownership in East Dorset. Improvements to public transport provision are stymied. Regrettably FWP4 will not deliver junction improvements here because FWP4 will not happen.</p> <p>Residential The Ferndown and West Parley area needs new affordable and market housing of mixed type and size. The evidence base is compelling; EDDC draws attention to the unbalanced population at West Parley in terms of age, social grouping and nature and ownership of homes. This is the most</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>sustainably located site. It aligns closely with the two largest employment proposals in the CS and with convenient accessibility, including via public transport, to other major sources of local jobs in the conurbation. Effective and efficient use of land available must take place to do all it can to meet needs rather than having wildly disproportionate landscaped areas.</p> <p>Nature Conservation Land in this quadrant is required not only to mitigate the development itself but would provide a positive means of potentially lessening the pressure in the nearby SPA from existing local residents and from other sites in this part of the District which may come forward. No development here through lack of viability, means land in this part of West Parley would not come forward for public access to help address the CS Chapter's opening paragraph call for increased countryside access and alternatives to visiting heathland.</p> <p>FWP4 is thus ill conceived, it is arbitrary and inappropriate and does not flow from the evidence base; it is simply not an option.</p> <p>The PPS12 (and S.20(5)(b) of the Act) tests of soundness are unequivocal. "To be 'sound' a core strategy should be JUSTIFIED, EFFECTIVE and consistent with NATIONAL POLICY". PPS12 goes on to explain that:</p> <p>i) justification stems from a robust / credible evidence base and the development plan having the most</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>appropriate development strategy.</p> <p>ii) Effectiveness is as a result of the plan being deliverable, flexible and able to be monitored.</p> <p>In terms of the PPS12 tests not only would the proposed allocation itself fail but if the Council continues to persevere with this policy the intended strategy of housing delivery elsewhere, job generation, transport enhancement and environmental protection would also completely unwind in terms of soundness. A major part of the CS would fail.</p> <p>The Council must move from FWP4 to a strategy more akin to FWP5. A parallel representation explains the merits of FWP5.</p>			
359482	Ms Helen POWELL	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	<a href="#">CSO18736</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion	<p>This option could be detrimental to designated nature conservation sites in the locality but the development also offers an opportunity for significant green infrastructure that could remove this detriment and increase the value of the locality for biodiversity. Our opinion on this option will therefore be shaped by the strength of the policy on delivery of the green infrastructure as an integral part of the development and the requirements to be met by the green infrastructure.</p> <p>The following requirements for the green infrastructure are essential: Suitable alternative natural green space (SANG) of a scale and design to divert pressures generated by the residential development away from near-by heathland European sites, Ramsar site and SSSI and the habitats</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							of European protected species.			
359571	Mr Renny Henderson	Conservation Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	<a href="#">CSO18708</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We agree with the findings of the HRA in connection with the proposed housing sites within these areas. Consequently, until such time as assessment of the potential impacts of the options on European sites is determined we object to the following policies:			907
360379	Mr Andrew MURRAY	Planning Advisor Manchester Airport	<a href="#">CSO18489</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We doubt that the level of development proposed by this option would generate a sufficient financial return to deliver the junction improvements suggested. This could affect journey-time reliability to the airport and business park by increasing loading on existing junctions.			907
523281	Mr W F Hughes	Smiths Gore	<a href="#">CSO18268</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		<p>We confirm we act on behalf of the owners of part of the land covered by FWP4 and FWP5. We confirm that their land is immediately available for development.</p> <p>We confirm the land is well positioned for a sustainable development given its proximity to the airport and the current and proposed future employment land, facilities and public transport.</p> <p>We confirm the land will assist in meeting the Affordable Housing in the District.</p> <p>Our clients believe that the site's development is essential to bring a solution to the traffic problems at the Parley Crossroads and is able to offer extensive new parkland.</p> <p>My clients selected developer is local to the site and has a proven track</p>			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							record of delivery. We believe FWP4 on its own is of insufficient size to be viable. We confirm our clients support of FWP5			
523300	Mr Trevor Abbott		<a href="#">CSO18294</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
523366	Mr Raymond Silverthorne		<a href="#">CSO18339</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		<p>Having considered the sites available for comment we would recommend the FWP4 as being the most suitable for development.</p> <p>The West Parley area would benefit greatly with the proposed new Shopping Parade, Medical Centre and suggested junction improvements at Parley Cross.</p> <p>The FWP4 benefits of improved shopping/medical facilities would compensate for the loss of green land and offset sufficiently the impact of additional housing on the scale suggested.</p> <p>It is very important that everyone is able to live in a home which is suited for their needs, comfortable and safe with sufficient outside space for the needs of the Household.</p> <p>Every home should have off street parking provision as insufficient car parking provision only leads to neighbour tensions and overcrowded dangerous streets.</p> <p>New housing needs to blend in with existing developments to avoid over disparity of property.</p> <p>We support fully the need for the Council to provide affordable housing</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and we would press that these houses should be generous and comfortable. It is vital that any new development is supported by sufficient public transport, medical facilities and community buildings. Public recreational areas need to be provided for Sports/Walking. These could be provided on the FWP5 site and nearby Chapelgate facility.</p> <p>The FWP4 site is the one which we recommend.</p> <p>Any increased Housing in Parley would increase the intake of Parley 1st School and by effect increase the traffic problems at the School. Therefore extra Housing needs to be coupled with access/egress improvements at the School.</p> <p>The Parley area would benefit greatly from a new modern and larger facility as part of the FWP4 housing development.</p> <p>The current Surgeries whilst doing an excellent job in limited circumstances are stretched and would in our opinion be enhanced by new premises.</p> <p>This parade of shops is currently limited and would greatly benefit by a new shopping area and improved Junction as proposed in FWP4. This could provide a Centre for Parley providing for basic needs and reducing the need to travel to Ferndown or Castlepoint for essentials.</p> <p>The Council should also ensure that the whole Centre is accessible to all and supported by Public Transport links to the wider community.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
359875	Dr Lesley HASKINS		<a href="#">CSO19296</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>The urban fringe of south-east Dorset supports a range of acid and neutral grasslands which seem to have escaped improvement to some degree or another by virtue of being marginal to main stream agriculture. Many of these grasslands are grazed by horses, tending to mask their floristic composition, and making recognition of their value, without the opportunity for a properly timed and preprepared survey, an inevitably random affair. While some have been recognised as SSSIs, or SNCIs others are certainly yet to be formally identified and recognised by such proper survey. Yet they represent a most important and rapidly diminishing biodiversity resource which must be properly identified and protected. There is little or no reference to this resource in the Core Strategy and it is most worrying that no proper attempt at assessment was made of preferred sites prior to its publication. Consequently the number of preferred options in the Core Strategy which impact upon important grasslands is simply not known, and it will be essential that all proposed sites be assessed most carefully in respect of this resource before decision making progresses any further. The intrinsic biodiversity interest of the grassland sites (and their associated features - hedges, trees etc.) must be properly assessed during the coming year and be accorded proper weight in the judgement of these options. However it is certain that by rejecting some areas of search the Core</p>			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Strategy has successfully steered away from areas where grasslands of interest would most likely to have been substantially threatened. This is welcomed and supported.</p> <p>This site seems fairly certain to be of low intrinsic wildlife value - but has the disadvantage of being closer to Parley Common. Assessing the overall impact of FW3 and FW5 should weigh up both the intrinsic wildlife value and indirect impact on Parley Common of each site.</p>			
523419	HLF Planning	HLF Planning	<a href="#">CSO18384</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>2.2 The most obvious limitation to the Masterplan Report is the grouping together of Ferndown and West Parley as one 'search area' and the reason for this grouping is not clear nor set out in any detail. West Parley is identified in the Report as being 'largely a residential suburb of the town of Ferndown' (page 4) when this is categorically not the case. This is clearly a critical flaw as this misguided geographical analysis has led to a skewed treatment of all of the specific sites.</p> <p>2.3 West Parley is physically and constitutionally an entity all of its own. Driving south on the A347 from Ferndown to West Parley shows no distinction between the two settlements, but it is evident from walking or cycling along the periphery of West Parley that it is a semi-rural community mostly surrounded by fields and agricultural activities. With its own Parish Council, school, village hall, recreation ground, and churches, it has</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>all the constituent parts of village life.</p> <p>2.4 West Parley is referred to in the Domesday Book and dates back to an Iron Age Hill Fort. All of this has resulted in West Parley residents having their own identity quite separate from the 20th century dormitory town of Ferndown. In a September 2010 Parish Plan Survey 87% of respondents said that West Parley had a 'particular character and identity that was important to them'.</p> <p>2.5 It is evident that the proposals around West Parley are contrary to advice contained within Planning Policy Statement 3 (PPS3 – Housing) which states (para 16) that development should create or enhance 'a distinctive character that relates well to the surroundings and supports a sense of local pride and civic identity'.</p> <p>2.6 During 2008, EDDC produced an 'Issues and Options' document which referred to West Parley and Ferndown as separate localities. It must be questioned why EDDC have had a change of heart and lumped both settlements together for the purposes of this Masterplan Report. The change makes a material difference when analysing the projected housing figures. The 310 proposed houses combined in sites FWP3 and FWP4 within the West Parley boundary seem only a small addition to West Parley and Ferndown's current housing stock of 8000+. If West Parley was correctly treated on its own, then the 310 houses proposed would be a quite unsustainable addition to the village's</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							existing 1600 households. 2.7 It is this melding of the West Parley and Ferndown settlements which will see a harmful loss to the character of the former should the plans for FWP3 and FWP4 come to fruition. There will be an undoubted loss of identity from an explosion in the housing stock and the gap between West Parley and Bournemouth becoming just a small strip along the River Stour. All of this against the primary purposes of the Green Belt which will be explored later in this objection.			
527750	Mr Colin MacNee		<a href="#">CSO18921</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
527818	Mr Nigel Lester	Synergy Housing Association	<a href="#">CSO18967</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Having looked at the proposals for each of the geographical areas and the proposed sites within those areas we can see no reason to disagree with the Local Authorities assessment and findings in each case, and would be very keen to become involved in the provision of affordable housing on any of these sites.			907
527849	Miss Kate Tunks	Transport Planning Officer Dorset County Council	<a href="#">CSO19045</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		The higher levels of development contained in the current non-preferred option FWP 5 are supported. Development in this location is sustainable within the SE Dorset context as it is within the existing urban area and there is opportunity to use alternative modes to access employment, education, shop and other services provided locally and within Poole and Bournemouth. Whilst			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>additional development in this location will generate more traffic, a pre-requisite to this development is the reduction in congestion in the vicinity of Parley Cross. Development of a large enough scale here can help improve transport conditions at the crossroads. If only a small level of development occurs then very little benefit can be achieved for the existing or new residents as transport improvements will not be financially viable.</p> <p>Current peak hour traffic congestion problems at Parley Cross are acknowledged and various junction improvements have been investigated. It is recognised that the longstanding proposal for a gyratory in that location might provide a solution in highway engineering terms but it is unlikely to provide an attractive, permeable urban design solution.</p> <p>Development on both sides of Parley Cross should contribute towards provision of a solution to reduce traffic flows and therefore congestion at the existing crossroads because traffic arising from both developments will further worsen conditions there.</p> <p>Wording in the Core Strategy should reflect this pre-requisite.</p> <p>Ideally the developers of the land to the east and west of the cross roads would work together to create a joint solution which would remove a certain level of traffic from the existing Parley crossroads. DCC Transport Planning Officers have met with consultants representing developers of both sites</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and have suggested this course of action. A potential solution could be the construction of new streets through each of the developments which would both serve the development itself and remove west – south, south – west, east – south and south – east movements from the crossroads. Parley Cross could then benefit from a reduction in vehicular traffic, provision of better facilities for cycling, walking, public transport and become a more vibrant centre for the community with additional shops and services.			
527859	Mr Tony Murphy	Synergy Housing	<a href="#">CSO19007</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		These sites are logical urban extensions, as they naturally integrate as infill areas with the existing urban setting. These larger sites will potentially provide more much needed affordable housing within a balanced mixed tenure neighbourhood than the adoption of some of the smaller sites contained within the core strategy consultation document. The development of these sites with private and affordable family homes will create a more balanced sustainable community in the area, than currently exists. Also given the proximity of existing and new employment opportunities, plus the airport within the area, the provision of new family homes at affordable levels nearer to people's places of work will help reduce carbon emissions created by people having to commute long distances between their homes and work.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
527863	A Barratt		<a href="#">CSO19015</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
527897	Mr Roy Crossland		<a href="#">CSO19084</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Existing infrastructure does not support CURRENT housing stock .FWP5 land is under approach flight path for Bournemouth Airport, this would be a very dangerous and noisy place to live.			907
527908	Mrs J Coakes		<a href="#">CSO19103</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
533834	Mr Tim Harris		<a href="#">CSO19207</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
534808	M Ward		<a href="#">CSO19417</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Greenbelt land should be sacrosanct because once lost it will be lost forever. New homes are needed but brownfield sites should be fully used (and by brownfield I would exclude garden grab). Developers who make profit from a local area should be made to contribute much more too local provisions (not just transport) i.e. leisure, school and community centres.			907
534820	Paul Batten		<a href="#">CSO19433</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
534837	Mrs P Martin		<a href="#">CSO19498</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
534875	Brian Lane		<a href="#">CSO19540</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
534877	Mr Roger Owen		<a href="#">CSO19544</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		To build on these parcels of land will decimate the Village feel of the locality and make the area an urban sprawl			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							similar to outer London boroughs. There is not enough industry of any sort in the area to support additional personnel and will cause social problems. There will be increased demands on medical facilities. More school places will be required and there are no sensible provisions planned for additional education requirements. Some of these proposals will affect the flood plain especially those areas near the River Stour. If these areas are developed by building there will be flooding in the locality. The comment that these developments will benefit local residents and take pressure away from the heathlands is a complete "Red Herring" and will be of no benefit to the existing local residents. The fact is that it will be a detriment to the current local residents who will be living in an urban sprawl with hardly any definition between our existing area and Bour nemouth It will lower the value of a lot of the existing properties with no recompense to the current owners.			
534883	K L Ward		<a href="#">CSO19569</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The open areas of FWP 3, 4 & 5 should be maintained in their current state. Much more attention should be given to building houses on brown field sites - for instance the site of the Dormy Hotel.			907
534891	Mr Alan R Roberts		<a href="#">CSO19576</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
360977	Mr		<a href="#">CSO20721</a>	Option	Object					907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Nick Solomon			FWP 4						
490854	D MUTTON		<a href="#">CSO20057</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507361	Mr Kenneth Archer		<a href="#">CSO20337</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
507737	Mrs S Philpot		<a href="#">CSO20307</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
511383	Mrs Jean Archer		<a href="#">CSO20387</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
534833	Frederick Wall		<a href="#">CSO19467</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
534914	Mrs P Froud		<a href="#">CSO19667</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535063	Ms Karen Morris		<a href="#">CSO19609</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		See letter to Chris Chope M.P. forwarded to Cllr Flower for ALL Objections and concerns			907
535066	Mr Louis Kling		<a href="#">CSO19614</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		"Options" FWP1 - FWP5: I object to any development on Health and Safety grounds before pedestrian crossings at PARLEY CROSS have been made safe. They are highly dangerous NOW without the addition of 840 houses and more from other areas, most of which with multiple car ownerships. We need a pedestrian underpass or at least a pedestrian walk bridge so people can walk from one side of the four-fold road crossings to the other with a			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							reasonable level of safety. Even without the house development taking place, we do need those crossing facilities due to the general heavy increase in traffic.			
535070	Mr Alan Reade		<a href="#">CSO19629</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535094	MS Tracey Tucker		<a href="#">CSO19656</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am very concerned about increased housing in W Parley; the traffic is horrendous around here so much that at weekends and bank holidays I try not to go out and to travel into work at the wrong time is just a nightmare. Additional traffic is just not needed. With regards the industrial development at Blunts Farm, there is no much empty office and factory space on Ferndown Ind estate, why is more needed at Uddens? I keep my horse in Uddens Drive and entrance to the ind estate is so dangerous with the size of the lorries coming into uddens drive both for drivers and horse riders. I am surprised there has not been a serious accident or death there. The A31 does not need any additional traffic, any proposed ind development should be subject to access being made through Ferndown ind estate and not uddens drive			907
535109	Mr Stephen Warner		<a href="#">CSO19685</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
535112	Mr Jack		<a href="#">CSO19707</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Tindall									
535116	Mrs Susan Warner		<a href="#">CSO19706</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535167	Lynda Lake		<a href="#">CSO19761</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535209	Mr P Webster		<a href="#">CSO19795</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Please liaise with NFDC and NPA, as the additional traffic generated by the extra housing and employment zones will increase the traffic problems at the already congested bottleneck at Ringwood and cause yet more problems for the people who live in New Forest hamlets such as Linwood, Brook and Burley as motorists try to find alternative routes. Can the New Forest take any more pressure from additional numbers of visitors? Has Dorset got enough sand and gravel in the land bank to meet the needs of the developers? Can you force Tarmac to start mining gravel by the Spur Road near Hurn? What will happen to the pollution levels close to the trunk roads e.g. at Ringwood, especially particulate pollution? Can Bournemouth Water meet the increased demands for water?			907
535349	P Thomas		<a href="#">CSO19826</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Each of the proposed developments around Parley Cross would inevitably have a strong community interest with Bournemouth and Poole. The only access to these towns would be over the river Stour at Longham, New Road or Christchurch. Thus there would be a requirement for new bridges, and, in			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the case of New Road, a widening of the existing residential roads. This would also apply to some extent to New Road between Parley Cross and Ferndown. It should also be noted that the area between Parley Cross and the river Stour is prone to both dense mists and flooding at various times of the year.			
535368	Mr Andrew Evans		<a href="#">CSO19977</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I think that this whole core strategy consultation needs a full review in light of the proposed changes being brought in under the new government. I particularly object to all the proposed Verwood development as the infrastructure is not in place to support the current population let alone adding even fther to it by building a further 400 homes. Any EDDC councillor who has the affront to say that the infrastructure is in place to support this development does not know what they are talking about and obviously do not live in the town.			907
535370	Deborah Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO19862</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Keep West Parley GREEN!! There should be no building on GREEN BELT land!!			907
535387	Mr Brian Cox		<a href="#">CSO19953</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535393	Jeremy Berg		<a href="#">CSO19904</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		ROADS, ROADS, ROADS, BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYWHERE & DESTROY EVERYONES WAY OF LIFE FOREVER, BUILD ROADS & BY PASSES.			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535421	Mr Roland Andrews		<a href="#">CSO20615</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535457	Mr Matthew Newman		<a href="#">CSO19967</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535500	David Veevers		<a href="#">CSO20014</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535504	Mr Michael Beer		<a href="#">CSO20077</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535509	Mrs S Durant		<a href="#">CSO20121</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535517	Roy Rich		<a href="#">CSO20093</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535547	Mr M Folland		<a href="#">CSO20184</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
535550	Mrs D Mogg		<a href="#">CSO20176</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535567	Ms Judy McMath		<a href="#">CSO20272</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
535574	Mr and Mrs Ralph Williams		<a href="#">CSO20253</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Our Comments relate to FWP1, 2, 3, and 5 also TA1 Transport Issues. We strongly object to any further development in West Parley as it is totally Unsustainable. All five housing options will add to the major congestion that already completely			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							bring the area to a standstill most weekday mornings and evenings from the A348 at Longham all of the B3073 through Parley traffic lights past the Airport to the Blackwater junction on the A338 and beyond. In the other direction crossing Parley traffic lights on New Road the A347 the traffic again stands form the junction at the Porsche Garage to the Ensbury Park roundabout junction with the A341 and beyond with standing traffic in both directions. This traffic currently adds major pollution to the local environment that's with-out the impact of the Airport which adds also considerable noise particularly at night. These five sites will add a considerable amount of traffic which no contribution from developers will improve the si d the introduction of families is not a reason to allow any of these sites to be developed. Please advise that you have received this email and read. Both planner and local councillors need to be more sensible in the approach to new housing in the Ferndown area it needs far more consideration and is now in conflict with government planning policy. To put people on to green field site as an easy option, Do the job properly; work on other sites that are sustainable.			
535591	A Walker		<a href="#">CSO20292</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535610	Mr Stewart Bullen		<a href="#">CSO20361</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535618	Mrs Angela Robinson		<a href="#">CSO20339</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP 4: Further shop premises are not needed on this site. There is limited attraction to this site for customers as evidenced by many closures over the past few years. If there is demand, there should be a process which limits the consolidation of multiple premises into a single "out of town" style operation e.g. Tiegla tiles, in favour of diversity. It must be clarified exactly what the "major transport improvements" will entail. .			907
535670	Dave Allen		<a href="#">CSO20411</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535678	Andrew Bryant		<a href="#">CSO20439</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535688	Susan Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO20529</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535701	Mr Andy Skeats		<a href="#">CSO20486</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535815	Mrs C M Davies		<a href="#">CSO20556</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Parley Cross is already a very busy junction and has been considerably enlarged once. Hundreds of new homes would exacerbate the traffic issues, which do not occur only during the rush hour, and any plans to increase the road network further would lead to the village being cut in two and many of us living beside a major road system. West Parley is a community in existence from ancient times, with its own character, and does not want to be an add-on for Bournemouth's overflow - or even			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Ferndown's, come to that. I agree that there is a need for some affordable housing but large 'rabbit-warren' estates do nothing to maintain or improve the character of an area. Small developments, say in the dozens, where a sense of community can be engendered are far preferable. The number of new residents must be such that the infrastructure of the village - roads, drainage (we have had problems in the past with flooding) schools, medical services, etc. - can cope. Green belt land was designated for a purpose. That purpose is more important than ever in these times when people's lives are so pressured. Research shows that those who live in areas where trees and green spaces abound are able to deal better with the stresses of life. Urban sprawl leads to 'rats in a trap' mentality and loss of a sense of community where people have no care for each other or the area in which they live.			
535836	Peter Parsons		<a href="#">CSO20583</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
360768	Cllr. Mr David Packer	Colehill West Ward East Dorset District Council	<a href="#">CSO21088</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
535865	Darren Charles Bryson		<a href="#">CSO20646</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535875	John Kitchenside		<a href="#">CSO20677</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535907	Mr Christopher Baxendale		<a href="#">CSO20725</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
535935	Mr Peter J Medler		<a href="#">CSO20840</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The majority of residents in this area relocated because of the permanent despoiling of their places of birth by elected local and national 'representatives' who would not listen or care about the needs of the people that voted for them. Do you want to be remembered as the people who finally ruined this area or the people who saved it? Do not forget that people still visit this area as tourists and we welcome them and accept their contribution to the local economy. They will stop coming if the developments continue. How many tourists visit the outer London sprawl? Can I quote p227 from the Core Strategy Options paper - para 11.28 The Government's key housing policy is to ensure that everyone has the opportunity of living in a decent home, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live? This is an impossible dream that can never and will never, be fulfilled. If the developments did go ahead what would be the effect of another 5000 cars on our local roads be? If Morrisons developments go ahead where are the customers going to park? The parking within Verwood is just about adequate at the moment.			907
535940	Mrs Linda Medler		<a href="#">CSO20777</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any additional building of houses will need to have a better road structure than exist at the moment to cater for all			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the extra traffic this will bring. I thought we were trying to bring about a greener environment to Dorset county?			
535954	Mary Ford		<a href="#">CSO20797</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			907
535965	Harry Ford		<a href="#">CSO20804</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			907
535972	Mr Ronald Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20817</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I feel there is no infrastructure to support this development which would be better suited to another area. I have worked hard to live in a highly thought off area. This development would totally change the character of the area for the worse in my opinion which I feel is unfair on the current residents who enjoy the high standard of housing and the green belt areas. This development could bring down the whole value of the area particularly with the high percentage of low cost housing which is totally out of keeping with the current			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							style of housing. I strongly oppose this large scale transformation of the area.			
535986	Mrs Angela Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20834</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		In my opinion this is over development on every site, particularly as 50% of the housing could be low cost housing which is entirely out of keeping with the rest of Parley/Ferndown. Parley is known for its village style with large amounts of green belt the development of FWP3 FWP4 and FWP5 would totally wipe out a large area of the green belt and totally change the unique character of West Parley which I and many, if not all Parley residents, find unacceptable. The scale of development of FMP1 and FWP2 is also out of keeping with the rest of the Parley and Ferndown are which prides it's self on its high standard of housing. If these developments were to go ahead it would totally change the character of the area. The building of any housing on the green belt fields is totally unacceptable in my opinion. The percentage of low cost housing is also totally unacceptable in my opinion. I feel any building on FWP1 and FWP2 should be in keeping with other neighbouring housing. The e numbers here are far too high, as is the percentage of low cost housing. Plus you are opening up the potential to then link these two developments by building on the green belt which currently separates them meaning more loss of green fields. Another reason for my objection is that there are not enough school places to support this housing. I live in Parley as			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							I like the friendly community feel and the view of both the housing and the fields as I walk and drive around. I often walk on or close to the green belt areas being considered for development and hate the thought of what it would do to the whole look and feel of the area should the planned development go ahead adding such a large percentage of housing to the area. I object in the strongest terms to all the planning proposals for Parley and Ferndown.			
536014	Mrs Dawn Tindall		<a href="#">CSO20872</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536046	L Appleton		<a href="#">CSO20895</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
536050	Mr Andrew Collin		<a href="#">CSO20893</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		It is important to have a policy of continuous improvement for housing and employment for the area. Many are concerned for our environment, sensitive and empathic development will take care of this, but to do nothing will benefit no one in the longer term. A mixture of traditional and affordable homes is desirable, backed by a development in industrial and business land and premises availability. It is important to maintain and improve all areas of housing and commercial opportunity to invest in the future of our area for all parts of the community, the young. The aspiring as well as the established and retired members. Establishing the right mix is essential for a sustainable future in our			907



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							community.			
536096	Katie Lacey		<a href="#">CSO20945</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536129	Mr Peter Houghton		<a href="#">CSO20969</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536169	Mr Tony Treviss-Bell		<a href="#">CSO21022</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
536267	Trish Wheeler		<a href="#">CSO21160</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536271	Mrs D Holt		<a href="#">CSO21200</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		No development should be supported within Green belt areas at all. Definitely not within the West parley area as the flats already built within this and the Ferndown area have far outweighed normal development in this semi-rural area which is now seeing a complete change of atmosphere. This area is a retirement area in particular and would be ruined by the over development changing its character to one of a feeling of living on an estate, and smaller housing and cheaper housing would mean that it suddenly became a family area, which it is not geared up for at all. In summer the area is congested with passing through traffic from holiday makers and it would make it an absolute nightmare to live here with another pile of bricks b being developed within the boundaries of the new road area. When we moved here 8 years ago, many of the houses within the area of new road were large plots			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							with one house built within it. These have now been demolished and up to 14 flats have taken their place without any addition to facilities or betterment to local roads surrounding all these new builds. The population therefore must have increased significantly within the short time that we have lived here. This would be a change too far!!!			
536282	Mrs Doreen Kingaby		<a href="#">CSO21190</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
536324	Paul Sumner		<a href="#">CSO21285</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Wimborne has the land and facilities to support more houses. The other areas do not. Especially Verwood, as it has been built upon to the point where it is second only to Dorchester, in terms of population. Verwood should be left alone and other areas play catch up. Verwood has no vibrant town centre no realistic extra employment opportunities. No infrastructure, no buses after 6pm or police for that matter. One nhs dentist with a waiting list as long as your arm. Same with the meagre doctors services. None of the houses are actually needed, only for the council to get social housing built. Not the attractive affordable homes it seems to like to label them as. Building on green belt land is supposed to be for exceptional circumstances, there are no homeless in verwood. Do not destroy green belt land just because of a loophole. There is no need for social housing in verwood, therefore no exceptional circumstances exist. I have looked at the proposed sights and they			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							will all destroy habitat for r local wildlife, VWM4 will also see ssi sites read beds ancient hedge rows etc. severely disrupted. Did you know that there is a flood plane? What about the scientific report on the river crane and fishing lakes down there? Common sense please.			
536341	Adrian Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21312</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536346	Mr Ben Richards		<a href="#">CSO21364</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536349	Mrs C Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21380</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536449	Dave Isaacs		<a href="#">CSO21452</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536543	Mrs Samantha Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21526</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		NO MORE HOUSES IN VERWOOD. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!!!!			907
536572	Mr Roger Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21585</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I strongly object to the proposal to increase the housing in and around Verwood. Verwood has been extensively developed over the years and there are inadequate services to support the extra cars and people. Also having seen the housing density of the Ebblake housing estate it is clear that agreeing to more housing will only create 'no go' areas in the very near future. I also note that in and around Wimborne there are huge areas of open land, which could easily			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							be developed, especially between Ferndown and Wimborne which also has excellent road networks in place as it could immediately open onto the A31			
361024	Mr & Mrs Kenny PEARCE		<a href="#">CSO22099</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Infra-structure is overcrowded and traffic congestion is a serious issue around Parley already. Invest in road improvements, a medical centre at Parley, cycle routes that are actually complete and unified and that go somewhere useful. Build houses on the wasted brown belt land isolated areas that naturally are available rather than on Green Belt Boundary land and surrounding countryside. EDDC should try and preserve small communities rather than greedily grabbing land for houses that are not needed - people can't sell the ones they have at the moment. Parley People have already said overwhelmingly no to this so why waste more council money on this debate?			907
475508	Mr and Mrs Marshall		<a href="#">CSO21809</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		We live in West Parley and the transport infrastructure (especially along Hurn Road, Christchurch Road, Ringwood Road and New Road) is already struggling to support the volume of daily traffic. There are always hold-ups along Hurn Road (by the airport), which will become more frequent once the traffic lights are installed at the junction into the airport (being done at present). Longham Bridge and the bends through Longham along Ringwood Road is a notoriously dangerous stretch of road,			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>which is not helped by the ever increasing amount of heavy lorries which use it as a 'short cut' to Poole Ferry Terminal instead of using the Wimborne by-pass because that is also not up to the task, being single carriageway and having both Merley &amp; Canford Bottom roundabouts unable to cope with the sheer volume of traffic coming onto them. New Road (which is the main route in &amp; out of Bournemouth for local people) also struggles with traffic, especially during the rush hour periods. When absurd? We do not need developers trying to 'sweeten the pill' with new facilities at West Parley, which are not needed or wanted. I would much rather see the present shopping facilities at Parley Cross and the Children's park by the Memorial Hall improved for the benefit of the local community. Small offices are not required - there are lots of such offices / industrial units in Ferndown which remain empty. We believe the impact of any development would detrimentally change the character of the village of West Parley and therefore do not support the proposed developments.</p>			
482494	Mrs Yvette Jones		<a href="#">CSO21879</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>Large scale developments damage the environment for everyone, and it is for ever. The open green spaces are valued by so many who have paid a premium to live in a semi-rural area. Desecration of this is an assault to the residents' wellbeing; an unwarranted invasion causing a wholesale change of character to what is home to</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							thousands of people who chose a neighbourhood because of its history of modest gradual development. Not convinced there is a housing shortage. Keep 'social' housing in more 'affordable' areas. What is there to aspire to and work towards otherwise? Don't patronise us re. 'Housing for local people' - occupants become 'local' by living in a 'location'. Small developments can be assimilated to the character of an area without destroying people's enjoyment of their homes. They can be developed with consideration, gradually and as appropriate. They do not have to cause illness through acute distress of residents just to line the developers' pockets and gratify councillors.			
498211	Mrs J AUCKLAND		<a href="#">CSO21731</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
498402	Mrs T Hughes		<a href="#">CSO22237</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
508735	Mr Peter Barham		<a href="#">CSO22270</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
514507	Mr and Mrs C Macy		<a href="#">CSO22765</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536576	Mrs Valerie Green		<a href="#">CSO21591</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536606	K A Morris		<a href="#">CSO21616</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The greenbelt should be protected to retain the character and boundaries of these communities separate from Bournemouth. The traffic situation is already poor in these areas especially at Parley Cross and the shops add to the chaos so additional shops are not wanted or needed. There are plenty of food shops already in the area. This area is desirable for those looking for a semi-rural situation and many younger people would find it isolating with no community facilities. There is plenty of brown belt or smaller development areas that could be used. It seems the aim of this council is to turn all our villages into extensions of the larger conurbations. We should be working to protect these areas of historical interest and beauty not destroy them. Also, Parley Crossroads is already a dominating part of west parley it should not become more so and traffic further traffic should be discouraged not encouraged. Building here is a very poor option and should be avoided at all costs.			907
536614	Mrs S Morris		<a href="#">CSO21623</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The infrastructure is not suitable. These areas are not extensions of Bournemouth and should not become so. If we the residents have made our views clear about this before why are we doing it all again. The traffic in the area is appalling and whilst we understand the need for some housing development the actual figures of need should be verified and published before any further steps are taken. The greenbelt should be maintained.			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536628	Mr C.A Wills		<a href="#">CSO21648</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536629	D Morris		<a href="#">CSO21635</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I find these proposals for the Ferndown and West Parley area short sighted. The area is not suitable for this level of development and does not have the infrastructure to support it let alone the impact to the character of these places and their historical value. This exercise seems purely based on achieving funds with disregard to the impact on the residents that actually live here.			907
536699	Ms Kathryn D'Arcy		<a href="#">CSO21785</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		Ensure that all existing unoccupied homes are utilised. Look at infill in a positive way- Ensure that all infrastructure REALLY supports proposed development Do not build homes if the occupants are unable to find work locally. This leads to juvenile problems and a group of unemployed within the community. There is not enough employment in Wimborne for the number of homes proposed.			907
536702	Mr Mark Hirst		<a href="#">CSO21764</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
536767	Mr John Sharp		<a href="#">CSO21831</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		I have no faith that the 'significant improvements' to the road infrastructure would be implemented. Nor do I believe that they would cope with the existing and increasing traffic flow at this seriously overused junction. In any event, the bottleneck in the flow through the junction is the inadequate roundabout at Hurn. There are no			907



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							plans to resolve that, so any other changes will not work. Consequently, increased housing will only exacerbate the existing dreadful situation.			
536771	B Lusher		<a href="#">CSO21846</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		<p>I object to any development that expands Wimborne and surrounding villages now and at any time in the future. Why is Wimborne such a beautiful town, could it be the Minster, old buildings, steeped in history? Probably all of these and many other attributes. Take a good look at that stunning photograph 'Wimborne Minster and Stour In Winter' by Roger Holman, fields and the town centre, all in one picture. This view of the landscape, identified as a scene of merit by the artistic eye of the photographer, often passes the layman by, until pointed out so graphically. This photograph, like others, is a measure of what we could lose. I wonder if there were other equally stunning scenes in the hills northeast of Wimborne, Colehill, now completely covered in houses. Can you imagine the equivalent photograph of that time, the town, with a backdrop of fields and trees rising above horizon? Too late, that moment has passed. The town, in close proximity to countryside, is ye has realised this and is making an effort to preserve old Singapore. We, on the other hand, seem to be quite happy to knock down our countryside in the name of development. If we really want to preserve Wimborne for generations to come, so they can see the town, be part of its unique</p>			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							character, situated amongst, close at hand countryside, then we must make a conscious decision now to preserve the green belt and green areas in the town forever, before Wimborne eventually becomes a quaint little roundabout that travellers come across on the way from somewhere to somewhere.			
536790	David Steadman		<a href="#">CSO21899</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
536808	Ms Yvette Allen		<a href="#">CSO22010</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536812	R H Barker		<a href="#">CSO21988</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536830	Mrs Janet Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO22038</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		I feel that each community must decide for itself what is appropriate...hence my focus on Ferndown and West Parley. Whilst I'm fully in favour of building new homes at affordable prices, this should not mean that they are badly designed, use cheap materials or are crammed together. I prefer the smaller developments that promote a feeling of neighbourhood, and adjoin existing communities without being too intrusive. The biggest problem for any development is undoubtedly the "traffic". Public transport in this area is very poor. Everybody (88%) owns and uses at least one vehicle, the traffic is often at a standstill in this area already... there are certain times of the day when access to the village is very restricted			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							indeed. Car parking is also an issue, many local shops and businesses must lose custom as a result. It's for these reasons that I feel any property developer (of multiple dwellings) should contribute to any changes that need to be made to the infrastructure, whether that's roads, car parks, shops, or any other community facilities.			
536848	Ola Steadman		<a href="#">CSO22138</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
536850	Mr Michael Hird		<a href="#">CSO22143</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536860	Mrs Sparks		<a href="#">CSO22187</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536866	Emma Huns		<a href="#">CSO22215</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
536932	Paul Bason		<a href="#">CSO22305</a>	Option FWP 4	Support					907
537003	Peter Goodwin		<a href="#">CSO22365</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		FWP1 At peak times there are often queues up to a mile long at the Parley cross roads, with the addition of the retail units and the extra housing then this will become even worse. In addition this would severely detract from the existing Village atmosphere of West Parley and remove for ever its uniqueness within the urban sprawl of Ferndown and Kinson In section FWP3 at the moment during wet weather there is excessive water running down Longfield Drive having drained of the field above, if houses were built on this			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							then the absorption of the water in this area would be less which could result in more severe run of and ingress into the gardens of Longfield Drive. The plan states that for FWP3 if these houses were built the access to these would be the north end of Christchurch road. It is important that under no circumstances should there be a link from Christchurch Road through the new estate to Longfield Drive as this would become a rat run at peak times to miss the Parley Junction. Before when the Ridgeway was a through rd. even though un made up and full of pot holes it was used by many as a short cut during peak times. In Area FWP5 this area provides a natural break from the Northbourne area and West Parley and is an important divide to keep the identity of West parley, in addition it is doubtful if the road links and facilities could support the 1200 to 2000 extra people this would bring into the Area. If for some reason any of these plans do manage to get approved it is of utmost importance that the area is developed tastefully and that the developers are responsible for upgrading all transport links and providing all amenities and green space necessary for the number of families that move into the area. Finally all these areas were dedicated green belt for a reason have these reasons now changed, have the need for homes Offices and retail units now become more important than preserving the uniqueness of this area.			
537014	Master		<a href="#">CSO22376</a>	Option	Object		The proposal to build on the greenbelt			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Kieran Morris			FWP 4			<p>in this area has been poorly considered. I am only 17 years old but have lived in Parley all my life. Although I understand the need for some new housing I am amazed that you even feel this area at all suitable. There are very poor facilities for families and transport including public transport is poor. The traffic has got worse over the years and I have been knocked of my bike on more than one occasion. All of these comments are from my own experiences living here for 17 years! Although I am young I actually appreciate the green areas and the semi-rural aspect of this village. It is highly unlikely I would chose to live here when I am starting out as I would like somewhere more urban with facilities and access to employment etc. but when I am older and have a family of my own it would be nice to know that areas such as this will be available to consider relocating to as my own Mum did with my sister and I 20 years ago. If you keep turning all these areas into urban extensions there will be nothing left for my children and their families in the future. I have actually spoken up personally about these issues over the last 4 years at public meetings as I don't feel that you are listening to the residents who actually live here. I am extremely interested in Government and Politics and I have to say that I am so disappointed that the people making these proposals claim to be representing us!</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
537050	Diane Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO22411</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
502887	Mrs Grace Sargeant		<a href="#">CSO22799</a>	Option FWP 4		General Comment	FWP 4 & 5 should not be used until the road services have been adopted to take the extra traffic. What is happening about the plan to build on the Dormy Hotel site?			907
537075	Mrs Elaine Holt		<a href="#">CSO22445</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
537106	C Hebditch		<a href="#">CSO22476</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		The more homes we build the more roads we need. The homes and roads get filled up then we're back to square one. When will it ever end? The notion expressed in some of the planning, about trying to get people out of their cars, off the roads, and into public transport, is a joke. For example, building on the Cuthbury allotments in Wimborne means that those who have one of the new allotments will have to get their cars out to drive to them, whereas at present there are many people in Wimborne who can walk to their allotments. Most of the areas designated as fit for development will mean even more chaos on our local roads because public transport is not going to improve to the state where those of us who are fortunate to have a job to go to will all be able to get to work on time using a bus. The size of the proposed developments, in my opinion, is too big and will put undue pressure on local services for which we do not at present have funding to improve. I suppose though that these			907

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							new homes will bring some new council tax payers to the area and therefore, maybe, help with the budget deficit ... until we have to build the new roads....			
537362	Peter Constable		<a href="#">CSO22534</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		The larger residential building proposals are completely out of character for the area and will consume large tracts of green belt. The infrastructure to support development on a large scale is simply not in place. The smaller developments appear to be a better fit e.g. Cuthbury, Leigh Park, and Stone Lane.			907
537435	Ms Pauline Burton		<a href="#">CSO22562</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
537529	Mrs C White		<a href="#">CSO22589</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
537571	Nick and Marion Leatherdale		<a href="#">CSO22641</a>	Option FWP 4		No Opinion				907
538111	Mr Graham Austin		<a href="#">CSO22697</a>	Option FWP 4	Object					907
538118	Mrs Christine Cullen		<a href="#">CSO22702</a>	Option FWP 4	Object		Any more building in Dorset will have a detrimental effect on the infrastructure on the area. Schools, doctors, hospitals and employment, not to mention the amount of extra traffic - which is already high with passing through vehicles also. Building of affordable housing is used to make			907

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>people think it will be for local people - but no doubt it will be for inner city or immigrants.</p> <p>No industry is providing extra jobs</p> <p>There are already enough retirement properties here! Despite all the building which has already taken place in this and surrounding areas we still have high Council Tax. There are plenty of brown sites in towns and cities where houses could be built, not on green areas.</p> <p>Hopefully our comments will be listened to as the traffic problem and over development of green space is becoming worse each year and as a minority resident actually born in Ferndown can only see the beauty of Dorset as a whole being ruined by over population and traffic. Especially as the properties that are built are beyond our youngsters pocket, and builders only consider the people retiring to the area moving here from London with considerably more money that locals are capable of earning.</p>			
538210	Mr and Mrs Peter Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO22719</a>	Option FWP 4	Support		<p>Approve of provision of affordable housing, however, agreement with Housing Association must be watertight so housing stock for rent remains constant and stock can never be sold. These houses will be near to facilities, schools, shops, transport, and work and will alleviate pressure on rural communities with no facilities to provide affordable housing in the Green Belt.</p>			907
483371	R		<a href="#">CSO283</a>	Non	Object		No building on Green Belt land			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Davies			Preferred Option FWP 5			We bought our house 7 years ago to look over open fields, not 400 homes.			
359928	Mrs M.A. TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO783</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have lived in West Parley since I was 11. Traffic is horrendous. Schools are all full, likewise doctors and dentists. Most of the sites listed are flooded in winter or waterlogged. Who will build new schools?			912
361055	Mr David OAKLEY		<a href="#">CSO1016</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I find it very hard to understand how, after unanimous support for no development in the Green Belt this now goes directly against that decision.			912
361099	Mrs Lynette PAYNE		<a href="#">CSO1258</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		A good number of these options, especially in Wimborne and Parley, are on the edges of the urban areas. Building in these locations changes the whole ethos of the area. When you leave Wimborne you see fields, trees and rivers dotted with thatched cottages. Even along Leigh Road, there is a definite rural feel, with true separation between Wimborne and Colehill. Developing along here or Cranborne Road will dramatically change the area, for the worst. This in turn reduces people's quality of life, as we see our area creep closer to urbanisation, field by field. Why can't some of the brownfield sites be used instead?			912
475534	Mr Anthony Elliott		<a href="#">CSO1225</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley is green belt. If we lose any more we become just part of Ferndown. We do not need affordable housing here. Christchurch Rd cannot			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							take more traffic.			
487902	Mr and Mrs B L Thompson		<a href="#">CSO653</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I strongly support objections to development in the FWP4 and FWP5 areas, mainly because of the safety aspect of the airfield and infrastructure of extra traffic along New Road Areas 3-4-5 will cause horrendous problems at Parley cross, a roundabout will make it impossible to get out of Parley Close			912
489250	Mavis Quincey		<a href="#">CSO688</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Objection to extra houses is due to increased traffic use of all local roads. Ellesfield Road into Dudsbury Road to Horns becoming very busy not helped by parked cars etc. This area needs a bus link to Parley Cross, we have one per week.			912
489388	TJ Robins		<a href="#">CSO695</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489397	Mrs Kim Church		<a href="#">CSO701</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley and Ferndown roads cannot cope with the current volume of traffic. Unless major road improvements were to be made prior to any development the roads would be saturated. Other local infrastructure would be significantly impacted including local doctors, schools and the environment. Why is green belt being considered for housing developments?			912
489411	JMT Morris		<a href="#">CSO708</a>	Non Preferred Option	Support					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
489426	P Jackson		<a href="#">CSO714</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489519	R Huggett		<a href="#">CSO736</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		100 homes will bring 200 extra cars onto roads. Any further traffic onto New Road will cause traffic chaos. We already have to queue to reach the lights at the crossroads. We would need a flyover.			912
489527	Mrs and Mr PY and A Housden and Pearce		<a href="#">CSO723</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Please leave our green belt alone. There is not a lot of it but at least its open breathing/exercise space and it keeps us Ferndown and West Parley. As soon as you cross the bridge into W Parley it is so different: so please not Ferndown, Bournemouth, and Dorset. I thought Mr Cameron said stop. The road system is a total nightmare; roads are already gridlocked at rush hours and very busy at other times. No thank you to affordable housing. It will totally ruin the whole picturesque location so none ideally, or 230 max on FWP 1 2 and 3 if you must. Medical and school provision is not adequate, forward thinking to only 20 years in the future schools overpopulated and you would need more medics. It is becoming increasingly essential to keep the green belt environment. Invaluable. Area "lungs". If we had wanted to live in a concrete jungle we would have located to such and cheaper properties. Social housing (affordable) will inevitably lower our property price			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							levels. Our outlook onto greenery and horses peacefully grazing is the reason we are here. Boundaries are just that-keep moving them and we will become just another suburb of Bournemouth. Quiet, expensive, mainly retirement areas and affordable housing do not mix.			
489534	Mr David McGuinness		<a href="#">CSO731</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I've worked hard all my life to live in West Parley the way it is thank you very much. It's all about lining somebody else's pockets. We don't want a concrete jungle or an IMAX. I want to see deer and wildlife not more houses and traffic. Start building on industrial sites. I live in Church Lane and there's nothing I like better than looking out over the field at the back of my garden. I've worked very hard all my life for these views and the lovely deer that walk through all this will be lost. Just to fill someone's pockets with backhanders. Just like the IMAX, they won't be happy until everywhere is concrete. In another 20 years the kids of today will have concrete everywhere.			912
489571	Mrs KP Sutton		<a href="#">CSO741</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Whilst I strongly support providing housing for the younger generation at an affordable price, I do wonder where they are going to work in this area. Given that the majority will find work in Bournemouth/Christchurch/Poole, we need to work with those boroughs in getting traffic moving more efficiently. The New Road bridge is totally inadequate. It needs to be at least double the width-that would involve			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							demolishing houses in the southern end of New Road to accommodate the new traffic flow. There is a similar (worse) problem at the bridge on the Ringwood Road at Longham.			
489582	Mr John Swift		<a href="#">CSO749</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown and West Parley already suffer from overcrowding and traffic congestion. As proved in the past very few, if any, of the new housing would be 'affordable'. It is time to tackle the problem of overcrowding of areas and not to just keep building more and more.			912
489589	Linda Sherring		<a href="#">CSO751</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		New Road and Christchurch Road are already extremely busy. Changing the layout at the Parley crossroads will give little improvement. It cannot support the volume of traffic from Parley crossroads to Ferndown as New Road is too narrow. Schools and facilities cannot cope with this enormous influx.			912
489602	Mr Nigel Stride		<a href="#">CSO756</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Green belt should be protected as per Conservative manifesto promise			912
489713	Mr Robert Davison		<a href="#">CSO765</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Area FWP4 definitely not viable because of the congestion of traffic at parley Cross which needs a solution. FWP5 most strongly object! The open green field area, a West Parley heritage precludes the merging of urban sprawl from the Borough of Bournemouth. The local infrastructure is insufficient to cope with existing needs and present traffic congestion			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							needs a solution before the burden of additional housing here. The recommendation for housing in this area is flawed and illustrates how easy it is to overlook the importance of this West Parley legacy as a green belt site.			
489720	Mrs Joyce Bellisario		<a href="#">CSO771</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Green spaces should be kept for future generations. Our roads are already congested.			912
489729	Mr Malcolm Baker		<a href="#">CSO777</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489743	Mr D Russell		<a href="#">CSO792</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am against any increase in housing density in the proposed areas, until the traffic congestion and safety on the approaches to the "Longham Roundabouts" and the traffic lights at "Parley Cross Roads" has been resolved. With the airport expanding and anticipated to do so in future by a factor of three, the highways infrastructure is not acceptable as it currently exists. Sort out the roads now before creating further problems, it will be cheaper and more successful than trying, and likely failing, to bolt the stable door later.			912
489747	Mrs M Hartley		<a href="#">CSO810</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489748	Andrew		<a href="#">CSO802</a>	Non	Object		Too many houses and too much traffic			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	ALLEN			Preferred Option FWP 5			for present amenities and to improve these will impede on too much Green Belt therefore losing West Parley's identity.			
489750	Mrs and Mr R Bundy		<a href="#">CSO845</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489757	Alan Mitchell		<a href="#">CSO808</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Existing road system could not handle more traffic. Green Belt should mean Green Belt.			912
489764	Mr and Mrs W M DEAGLE		<a href="#">CSO816</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		While the Council are in no doubt interested in the increased Council tax revenue that additional housing will bring, there does not appear to be any regard for the GRIDLOCK that ALREADY exists on this neighbourhood's roads network. It CANNOT support additional housing			912
489765	Derek KEAREY		<a href="#">CSO821</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489767	Mr J D BOUTLE		<a href="#">CSO828</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I consider sites 3, 4 and 5 not viable for building private housing, due to the proximity being too close to the end of the runway of Bournemouth airport. The noise and pollution would be intolerable, Further comments received on ED news I consider Locations FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are unsuitable sites for building new houses for the following reasons			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>They are directly under the flight path of planes from Bournemouth Airport!! Who in their right minds buys a plot of land and builds houses in these positions.</p> <p>In summer the occupants will not be able to sleep with their windows open because of the noise and air pollution and disturbed in the day in the gardens by the aircraft.</p> <p>Children in particular would be woken up in the evenings by the aircraft noise.</p> <p>Before a decision is made, buy a tent and try it out?</p> <p>NB Ask yourselves would I want to live with my family here.</p>			
489768	Mr and Mrs R HALL		<a href="#">CSO834</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
489771	Tony STARK		<a href="#">CSO842</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any major development is just not possible while we have just New Road Bridge and Longham Bridge to keep traffic moving. It is bad enough now quite often, particularly as heavy lorries still use Longham Bridge, which defies all logical thinking.			912
489898	Mrs Elizabeth Daw		<a href="#">CSO851</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Before any housing development is contemplated major road improvements would be necessary to cope with increased traffic problems in Ferndown and West Parley - are bad enough as it is now.</p> <p>Shops- Major rethink about shops in West Parley is needed. Food shops that people can go into as it used to be</p>			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							25/30 years ago-butcher, fruiterer, baker etc. and less tiles, bathrooms, kitchens, beauty treatment as it is now. Glenmoor Road- A dangerous area at the shops/car park. Cars should not be allowed to park on both sides of the road. A clear view leaving the car park is almost impossible. Particularly bad at school times especially in the afternoon when children are collected. This area is congested and positively dangerous at this time. It is surprising that there has not been an accident			
489924	Mr and Mrs CD Culpin		<a href="#">CSO857</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Are the government going to provide new medical facilities in this area as health centres are stretched to capacity in this area? Also it takes already far too long to get anywhere on the roads surrounding the proposed areas of development. Always too much traffic.			912
489996	Miss W Hammett		<a href="#">CSO864</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Most of the proposed sites are used for horse grazing. Where are the animals going to go? This area has no provision for children to play so family housing would not be appropriate. Very poor or no bus service to these sites at present.			912
490006	Mr Gary Tharme		<a href="#">CSO870</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
490020	Mr R.I.G. MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO876</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Areas 4 and 5 would just be a start into green belt area			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
490051	Chalmers		<a href="#">CSO881</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Main concern is that all the proposed sites will impact on the road systems especially approaching Parley lights. The roads are already jam packed especially at rush hour times. What impacts will extending of the airport have? Parley has a local centre but it does not serve the everyday needs of homes as it used to.			912
490084	Mrs J Stone		<a href="#">CSO887</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		If the large number of houses represents family homes, the area will need additional medical facilities and probably school places. I would not wish to see a large density of affordable homes on the Parley sites with the attendant problems as seen at Heatherlands.			912
490090	MTB Mills		<a href="#">CSO893</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion	I moved to West Parley 14 years ago. This area is very nice; the traffic has got steadily worse. We must maintain the same medical help as now. I also love the green areas just to walk and look at. 800 more houses will increase the traffic by approximately 800 vehicles moving in the peak period and also during the day. Parley Cross traffic lights will not cope.			912
490093	Mr John Goodman		<a href="#">CSO899</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
490094	Caroline Jones		<a href="#">CSO903</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
490099	Mr B Patching		<a href="#">CSO910</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I believe these areas should not be considered. The road structure, amenities, sewer systems and other infrastructure is far from adequate. Roads are already becoming clogged with traffic mainly due to the airport and surrounding work sites. Traffic in Christchurch has increased by at least 60% in recent years.			912
490400	Mrs G D Field		<a href="#">CSO949</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
490507	Ruscombe Smyth-Pigott		<a href="#">CSO955</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The current road infrastructure is at creaking point. The addition of 800+ houses will result in Parley Cross becoming a choke point.			912
490551	J Kidd		<a href="#">CSO1012</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion	Radio Solent state 10,000 housed are presently vacant/unoccupied in their area. May I suggest that by 2027 most English roads are likely to be totally gridlocked if present growth increases are maintained? Even at certain times now Parley X Roads are under stress. Why not look at areas north of Ameysford Police Station and beyond the old railway (Castlemain) line where access to Ferndown bypass for any new development would be easy. Any new development in Parley would require more traditional shops or even a new superstore. Some 800 affordable housing probably suggests 800 married couples and eventually 2.4 children in each property. Both parents needing work and schools and no doubt no garaging for 2 cars.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Therefore massive street parking. Wardens would have a field day.			
490561	Ian King		<a href="#">CSO1033</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Overdevelopment of the area will add to future traffic problems and burden the services in the Ferndown area eg schools and doctors. Open fields are important for residents and horse owners and to develop the green belt is not environmental.			912
490829	Mr D CLEARY		<a href="#">CSO1052</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The road network around West Parley is already one of the most congested in the Greater Bournemouth area; the possibility of another 500 - 1000 cars per day will make matters worse. Schemes have been tried to ease the present chaos and have failed.			912
490836	Mr M P Graves		<a href="#">CSO1057</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Ideal locations for the required housing.			912
490866	Mr and Mrs D WRIGHT		<a href="#">CSO1075</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Before any further development should even be considered it will be essential to extend infrastructure by way of schools, medical facilities etc. It is irresponsible for the Council to state 'We are aware of problem'. Also Green Belt was a promise to the public - Local politicians will only earn even greater contempt if they break this promise.			912
490982	KG James		<a href="#">CSO1080</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		FWP 4 and 5 are more doubtful unless the developer pays for all resulting costs and make provision for retail premises, so much of the existing shopping is centred in a near builders			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							merchants. In addition any retail parking should be restricted by timing to exclude all day parking to avoid what occurs at the present time.			
491000	Mr and Mrs DW and FA Mallett		<a href="#">CSO1086</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any development of the open space signified as FWP 4 and 5 on your plan of options, reversely shown, would totally destroy the freedom of space associated with that particularly wonderful area of brilliant West Parley. Additional homes will always be needed but put them round the back.			912
491016	Mrs D Abel		<a href="#">CSO1092</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I feel that with so many proposed homes in these areas would create traffic problems especially with the Hurn airport workers which are bad enough at the present time. Also supermarkets would have to be built to cope with new resident's thereby completely spoiling West Parley area.			912
491020	Mr Simon Jordan		<a href="#">CSO1098</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
491034	Mr Kenneth Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO1105</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The green belt must remain as is. The road system, schools, medical services are totally inadequate for any more housing. The green belt must not be built on. West Parley is not suitable for social housing. Build on the brown belt if necessary			912
491080	J C Hanney		<a href="#">CSO1112</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		Increase traffic will cause even more problems in the area particularly at Parley traffic lights.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
491125	Miss I Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1118</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
491139	SJ and EJ Dixon-Gough		<a href="#">CSO1124</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Leaving Parley Close now is a nightmare; increase in traffic volume will be disastrous. The area marked FWP 4 and 5 is a flood plain for the river (consider global warming) and is an emergency landing area for the airport.			912
491150	Mr C D Allen		<a href="#">CSO1132</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		If the government were to cut back on immigration there would be no need for excessive new builds.			912
491163	Mr Butterfield		<a href="#">CSO1139</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It is essential to maintain West Parley's green belt environment and village status. The medical and school facilities are not sufficient for houses which are being considered. The main concern is New Road which is virtually impossible to cross, no complete stop of traffic- no pavement leading up to iron bridge on odd side of road-no crossing and 40mph speed limit-this can only get worse.			912
491171	Mr and Mrs Plewka		<a href="#">CSO1145</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley's environment will be destroyed. More housing will have an adverse effect on existing road systems, medical and school provision			912
491173	G Burningham		<a href="#">CSO1151</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		As mentioned any extra houses built in the area there needs to be doctors, dentists, schools before anyone moves			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			in.			
491174	Mrs O Mead		<a href="#">CSO1205</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley already is overcrowded!			912
491232	Mr Keith Barnett		<a href="#">CSO1158</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>It is time to stop mass building projects as it is not the answer to the problems the nation and local communities are experiencing. The easy short term solution is to build, build, build but it only creates long term problems to the population that have to live with it. If the government and councils listened to the people they would have already concluded that this project had already met with resistance with the Conservative party saying they would quash it. Trying to resurrect this project will not change people's minds even though it is now called the Core Strategy.</p> <p>The area cannot sustain this quantity of new homes which would also require jobs for the vast majority. This proposal of 2,570 homes would also add another 5,000 cars to an already congested junction at West Parley. This area is already over stretched private and industrial vehicles. Jobs are already at a premium with companies moving out of the area or even closing. Warehouses are lying empty and shops are being converted into living accommodation. The young local people are not able to find homes due to the vast majority going to people moving into the area. This vast development will destroy this rural</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							area. Just look at Bournemouth if you require any proof.			
491272	D Steel		<a href="#">CSO1172</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The roads are already inadequate and with these numbers of houses it will become unbearable. The road around the airport is a nightmare as is the single road-Castle Lane-Castlepoint-A338 is also bad and overloaded. Buses are too expensive and do not go to places such as Castlepoint or hospitals. Local post offices have been closed with the Ferndown one threatened as well. Parking in Ferndown as a town centre is also inadequate. What do you intend to improve??? What is happening to the Dormy?			912
491277	Mr Jefferies		<a href="#">CSO1175</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Too much hard ground creates HARD ground which then causes flooding. Changing local roads only pushes problems further along to small A roads/B roads. Sewage works already working to capacity.			912
491285	C W and B J FREWIN		<a href="#">CSO1181</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any new homes adjacent to Parley crossroads will cause more traffic problems. If building works go ahead the road improvements must be in place before building			912
491299	Mr and Mrs Shaw		<a href="#">CSO1189</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The roads are bad enough now. No amount of road improvements will make any difference. This will all melt into Bournemouth. Too much has been taken away already from the green belt and wildlife habitat.			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491301	Mr Norman TAYLOR		<a href="#">CSO1192</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
491311	Mr Kevin GILLING		<a href="#">CSO1202</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
491312	Mr and Mrs D W Lulham		<a href="#">CSO1195</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We purchased our bungalow in New Road for its semi-rural location 10 years ago. And now at the ages of 80 and 85 respectively my wife and I didn't really want to move again. We are close to the shops and enjoy the peace and quiet of the rear garden. More housing-chaos Don't need affordable housing Insufficient medical facilities and schools Environment-priceless We are happy the way things are			912
491318	Jackie Ball		<a href="#">CSO1241</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		New Road is over used as it is; with a possible extra 500 houses = 750 extra cars (approx.) the roads around Parley would be grid locked. If the Spur Road is closed through accidents, all traffic comes via New Road. This leads to chaos.			912
491383	Mr Stanley Havill		<a href="#">CSO1240</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I object most strongly to any substantial housing development in the vicinity of Parley Cross until such times improvements are made to the traffic infrastructure in the area. I have watched the traffic flow increase considerably over the past 20+ years. It has decreased the air quality and			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							has affected property with vibration, dust, grime and water thrown from vehicles.			
491392	Mrs Lesley Wilson		<a href="#">CSO1282</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
491436	Mr and Mrs G Martin		<a href="#">CSO1288</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		More housing will only spoil West Parley. It is a village, not a town and should be kept as one. Please save our village West Parley.			912
491446	E J Clempson		<a href="#">CSO1294</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Not viable unless matching infrastructure can be provided. Christchurch Rd already heavily developed, try exiting Linden Rd/Dudsbury Rd.			912
491451	R Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO1301</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Fill in sites with one or two buildings Colehill/Parley/Ferndown. They are there but you refuse permission.			912
495549	Mr Simon Rowberry		<a href="#">CSO1488</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Bus routes and cycle lanes will not be classed as transport improvements. People have cars, they use them, and therefore you need to consider this pressure on Parley Crossroads, an already congested junction.			912
495554	Mrs Nesta Small		<a href="#">CSO1494</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley's green belt environment and village nature must be preserved. Infrastructure/roads will not support 700+ homes.			912
495562	Mr MJ Banting		<a href="#">CSO1515</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495625	Mr Michael McMath		<a href="#">CSO1542</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
495662	M Abbott		<a href="#">CSO1560</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360714	Carol Morgan		<a href="#">CSO2029</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
360734	Mr Nick Moulton	Amphibians and Reptiles Conservation Trust	<a href="#">CSO2342</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		ARC would object to new development in this area as it would affect the integrity of the protected heathland sites nearby. The provision of SANG's could not reduce additional public use to these already well-used and increasingly vulnerable protected sites.			912
361111	Mr Raymond Brown		<a href="#">CSO2079</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
484088	Mr David Price		<a href="#">CSO2529</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		If correct guidelines and environmental studies for wildlife etc. are conducted along with consultation on all aspects of development then they could proceed with developments but scale in relation to services and infrastructure for these developments is completely out of balance. The relatively short open period for consultation on a document that has clearly been developed over quite some time is a concern in order to	A period of FULL public consultation should be entered into and the council should provide a clearer information pack to local councils and remove the fear that everyone has that this is a done deal and residents will not be listened to,.		912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>formulate a serious plan of objections. The descriptions of local infrastructure and improvements have been met with much derision along with the continued failure to upgrade the supportive infrastructure required. This is not surprising with the consultants being in Weybridge and clearly have only visited the area briefly.</p> <p>Additionally I note that the environmental impact has been glibbed over as the Bat, Badger, Deer, Slow worm and newt populations have risen considerably. Living on Eastworth itself I cannot see how the council proposes to make the road safe to use as they are narrow and protected by houses, nor is there room for the required pedestrian walkways.</p>			
491465	Mr John Dinsdale		<a href="#">CSO1308</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My main concern and objection to sites FWP 3, 4 and 5 is their close proximity to Bournemouth airport and the industrial estates thereon. The infrastructure is already inadequate and I have huge reservations as to how it could be improved.			912
494596	Mr Sperling		<a href="#">CSO1327</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
494598	Mrs Jean Pedley		<a href="#">CSO1329</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It will be imperative to get the infrastructure correct if any of the above materialise.			912
494600	Audrey Russell		<a href="#">CSO1336</a>	Non Preferred		General Comment	I feel unable to give my support to any of the proposed housing locations in			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5			West Parley until the road infrastructure has been vastly improved. Currently the area around the junction at Parley Cross and the Christchurch Road is very congested and dangerous to negotiate. I suggest that sites for schools and other necessary services should be identified at this planning stage. I am also aware that a proposed development, close to the Coppins site, has been turned down in the past due to its close proximity to the site of 'Nature Conservation Interest' at Poor Common.			
494604	Mr John Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO1347</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 would lead to large increases of traffic at Parley Cross and on New Road, which are already heavily congested. These developments would ruin the semi-rural character of the area and should not be allowed on green belt land.			912
494623	S and R Perkins		<a href="#">CSO1343</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Developing east of New Road areas FWP 3, 4 and 5 will create a small town which has little or no infrastructure to support a development of this size. There is no need for additional shops or commercial development as there are empty shops in Ferndown centre and we have industrial units in Ferndown and at Hurn Airport. No developer would be willing to contribute the amount of money required to create a satisfactory road scheme especially at Parley Crossroads which would have to withstand the brunt of any further development within the area.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
494720	Mrs PC Sayer		<a href="#">CSO1349</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
494723	Mr D Brittain		<a href="#">CSO1352</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Would not want housing on FWP4/5. Planes use this field to fly over after taking off keeping them clear of most houses. Also Parley Cross adjacent to these fields is quite the worse crossroads I have ever come across. Extremely dangerous for pedestrians and frustrating for motorists. Would not want more traffic at this junction.			912
494726	M J Martin		<a href="#">CSO1359</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley's green environment would be ruined by developing FWP 3, 4 and 5. The road system around Parley Cross is already under huge pressure and cannot cope with further large scale housing development, nor is medical or school provisions adequate.			912
494736	Mr S Cox		<a href="#">CSO1369</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
494741	Sybil Eastham		<a href="#">CSO1370</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
495200	Mr and Mrs J M B Webber		<a href="#">CSO1397</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Canford Bottom Roundabout needs urgent improvements. A31 / Wimborne Road - pressures on these roads E / W. Reduction in industrial traffic through Ferndown.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
495225	L Higgins		<a href="#">CSO1385</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have chosen the above options as they will minimise the flow of traffic onto the existing roads by being in diverse positions. It may help the current road network which is a disaster.			912
495269	Mr E Wigman		<a href="#">CSO1394</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We understand that young people require some housing but with the already overcrowded road systems and lack of bus services a lot of improvements need to be made. A circular bus service to cover Bournemouth, Christchurch hospitals and the airport would be advantageous to older people who can no longer drive. We would also like West Parley to remain a "village" and not joined to Bournemouth			912
495348	Mr and Mrs RJ Veal		<a href="#">CSO1406</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Coppins already industrial i.e. brown site. All other green land.			912
495369	S W Wood		<a href="#">CSO1411</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		45 million has just been invested in the new airport terminal - in itself increasing aircraft noise and extra road congestion in and around West Parley. To consider building 700 new homes on Green Belt land will mean more road congestion, pollution and noise. This is unfair to the residents of West Parley. I realise we need more homes and would support FWP4 - we would also need adequate schooling, medical care and transport.			912
495376	AG Poole		<a href="#">CSO1459</a>	Non Preferred	Object		Too many houses. Green belt being eroded. Transport and roads appalling.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5			West Parley will lose its rural status.			
495378	A'Barrow/Wade		<a href="#">CSO1420</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We choose to move to West Parley because of its natural open spaces. Since we've been here Parley Common has already been an area that's been ruined. Not to mention the extra traffic these extra houses will bring to the area. This is a major concern, especially when the emergency services need to get through Parley lights or Longham. Its grid lock now. What will it be like with all these extra houses? Don't build new, re-build/regenerate old.			912
495429	L Mansbridge		<a href="#">CSO1429</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Both FWP1 and 2 would put too much pressure on Poor Common which is busy enough. FWP 1,2,3,4 and 5 would increase traffic on what is already struggling with current vehicular use.			912
495437	Mr Andrew Scott		<a href="#">CSO1436</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
495446	Nicola Anne Lowe		<a href="#">CSO1442</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
495453	Mr R Walden		<a href="#">CSO1449</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		In our opinion FWP 3 and FWP 5 are only suitable for limited housing development. Obvious constraints include (a) distance from employment (eg Ferndown Industrial areas) (b) distance from main schools, medical			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							centre and a viable shopping area. Proximity to flood plain and flight path should have eliminated these options. Re-development of Parley Cross is unlikely to be funded under current economic circumstances. At present the elderly residents do not commute to work or school - a positive factor!			
495460	Steve Scamell		<a href="#">CSO1455</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Before building work commences, road infrastructure should take place. New Road, Christchurch Road are congested as it is!! Also - where are these new people going to work??			912
495485	Mrs Jane-Louise Roberts		<a href="#">CSO1466</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley needs younger people but building in excess of 800 houses is not the answer. Also Parley lights are busy enough without more congestion from new houses. I feel flats need to stop being built for the elderly. This encourages young people away and Ferndown struggles to cater to the younger, middle aged people.			912
495493	MJ McManus		<a href="#">CSO1472</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
495680	J M Brown		<a href="#">CSO1767</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The attractiveness of West Parley arises by the number of green spaces, and rural feel of the area. This is so apparent when one leaves the Bournemouth conurbation after Redhill, and enters the openness of West Parley.			912
495971	T A Reith		<a href="#">CSO2211</a>	Non Preferred		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5						
496060	Mr C and Mrs K Ward		<a href="#">CSO1599</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		My concerns are for the shortage of facilities and infrastructure if any of these proposals are accepted. More traffic, people who will need more nursery provision, more schools more teenage facilities, doctors, health provision for the elderly. What percentage of these houses will be really affordable to local people? Please keep West Parley and surrounding areas pleasant to live in, by limiting the density of all of these options.			912
496188	Mr RE Vogel		<a href="#">CSO1623</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
496388	Mrs J Pinnington		<a href="#">CSO1660</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My concern is the increased traffic congestion at Parley crossroads. Also the number of houses proposed would mean that families with children would mean more school places would have to be provided. How could the hospitals and doctors surgeries be able to cope? It would be obvious that this should be considered. The point about the green belt boundary being amended - think of the future-soon it will mean we would have no places to walk or enjoy!			912
496439	Mr and Mrs Gordon Third		<a href="#">CSO1671</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Whether improvements are made to the road system or not, West Parley is unable to cope with any increase in traffic. Support services, eg, doctors,			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							dentists, and schools, public transport would not be able to cope with the increased population in the area. Also, semi-rural area, which is why people chose to live here. The green belt must not be used for housing. It is essential for this to be kept for leisure use, wildlife. Too many animal species are dying out because tier habitats are being destroyed.			
496479	Charlotte Dixon		<a href="#">CSO1713</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496564	JH Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO1755</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
496575	Mrs Gillian Sewell		<a href="#">CSO1781</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any building near West Parley traffic lights and environs will result in even more traffic, loss of character, loss of ancient fields (please refer to local history documents), loss of green corridor from surrounding conurbations, as well as problems with housing directly under flight path. Local heathlands would suffer from more use, fires etc. Loss of fields, open space in area. Green corridor between Ferndown and Bournemouth would be eroded. Green belt land must remain for future generations. Traffic at present is very heavy. More roads, more traffic. There should be no further large development near this area, especially			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							in view of airport and industrial traffic, Local services, eg medical, are already over stretched.			
496588	Mr and Mrs Richardson		<a href="#">CSO1782</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496597	Mr Colin Sewell		<a href="#">CSO1788</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic already congested. Would result in even more traffic regardless of any road schemes. Local heathlands would suffer.			912
496612	Mr and Mrs JP Lovell		<a href="#">CSO1806</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496661	Mr Isger		<a href="#">CSO1835</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496704	Mr Davies		<a href="#">CSO1842</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496708	Mr and Mrs Z M Radwanski		<a href="#">CSO1852</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496747	K W Walker		<a href="#">CSO1858</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496749	Mr J S		<a href="#">CSO1864</a>	Non Preferred	Object		Submitting readily to the pressure of 'in-migration' is paying the Danegeld.			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Davidson			Option FWP 5			Control of population movements is not an option in our society but it is inescapable that as more housing is made available more will be required. Finding sites for affordable housing and other housing is commendable but only the price mechanism (upper limit), other economic/market factors and sensible but rigid allocation criteria for affordable housing can ensure the desires result (accusations of social engineering can then be ignored). Parley Cross is already a nightmare and upwards of 700 new houses will aggravate what is, by any definition, urban blight. Just plonking down large numbers of houses without imaginative, long term, commercial, highways, and services developments/solutions will squeeze existing residential areas and can only confirm Parley Cross (specifically the roads and shopping) as a deeply unattractive, traffic bound, nasty, inaccessible, dangerous and tawdry trading site. The Ferndown shopping centre and Parley Cross, both of which are glorified, fragmented traffic conduits, reflect laissez-faire evolution rather than the more considered planning apparent in most of the residential areas. Expediency will generate temporary solutions. Time to stand back and ask questions about 'quality of life', future generations and the like.			
496751	A J Leggatt		<a href="#">CSO1871</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		The Green Belt was designated for a purpose - to stop the sprawl of housing from one community to another. This			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			still stands and should be upheld. I have no objection to small developments but not to large estates which would spoil the village character of Parley. People who live here obviously want to live in a village rather than a sprawling town.			
496760	N K M Stephens		<a href="#">CSO1876</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It is noted a new Core Strategy Plan is required but feel there is and will be insufficient infrastructure and facilities to support a large development which would completely alter the character of the neighbourhood. Also development east of New Road would be under the flight path of the airport as aircraft do not always fly over the water meadows adjacent to New Road Bridge.			912
496764	Mr P S Tripp		<a href="#">CSO1882</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I feel the road system in West Parley is already at maximum usage. There are many occasions when it takes 10 - 15 minutes to reach the traffic lights at Parley Cross from Winnards Close. Before any further development takes place, we need a dual carriageway directly linking W Parley lights, with the A338 Spur Road.			912
496785	Mrs S Lovelock		<a href="#">CSO1888</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I do enjoy living in the present West Parley environment but I also appreciate affordable housing is needed. Improved infrastructure of transport (all forms) should definitely be in place first. A doctor's surgery is already badly needed in West Parley. I know little about children these days, but obviously these proposals will mean many more children in the area and			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							needs careful consideration re schools etc.			
496786	Andrew and Lorna Gardner		<a href="#">CSO1894</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		No road improvements around Parley Cross can adequately meet the necessary relief of the resultant congestion caused by the added population of FWP3 and 4 and 5.			912
496919	Mrs Nicola Shaw	Parish Clerk Hurn Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO2182</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		This Option is a much larger package than FWP4. As we have objected to FWP4, we strongly object to FWP5.	Remove Option FWP5 from the Core Strategy		912
496958	Mrs J Beech		<a href="#">CSO1936</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
496978	I Holmes		<a href="#">CSO1954</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I do not believe that the local infrastructure will ever be updated to reflect the development needs.			912
496987	Mrs M Marshall		<a href="#">CSO1962</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Parley Crossroads is very busy. It is not near any schools so will generate a lot of short journeys at a very busy time. The other sites will create business for Ferndown but FWP4/FWP5 may not.			912
497022	Mrs J D Newbold		<a href="#">CSO1975</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		So long as road issues, schools, shops etc are present developers should first improve Parley Cross centre. Buses must be a priority. The Green Belt to be retained as first priority.			912
497026	Doreen Smith		<a href="#">CSO1995</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		This amount of building will create extra traffic in Ferndown and Parley which already has a lot of road build up in the busy morning and evening			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							travel. Also taking a lot of natural land for our wild life.			
497038	Mrs H A Davidson		<a href="#">CSO2000</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am very strongly opposed to the development of more houses either at Parley Cross or near it. Christchurch Road is already an extremely busy road, as is the junction at Parley Cross. Even if the junction were re-developed, the strain on the roads would be far too much. I believe that an influx of so many people would also place too much pressure on other local amenities.			912
497051	Tovey		<a href="#">CSO2026</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
497056	Mrs Gillian Miles		<a href="#">CSO2042</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
497089	Mr Frank A Soan		<a href="#">CSO2108</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
497158	B S Newman		<a href="#">CSO2150</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		No to Green Belt development - we wish to keep a Green Belt between rural West Parley and Bournemouth. That is why we saved up for years to live here. Our existing roads sustain more housing traffic. Unable at the moment to cross safely with dog over Christchurch Road or come out of our residential roads to filter into existing			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							main roads. Schools and medical. Use garden building for small town houses to give affordable homes to young families or people who have not been able to buy ie schemes for 1st time buyers.			
497173	D Dixon		<a href="#">CSO2161</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I personally think you should offer to the residents of this area a proposal of roads and infrastructure before asking the public to commit accepting you're planning for more housing as shown on your plans. Without more building the New Road is inadequate to take more traffic, when the recession has passed there is bound to be more cars and lorries using New Road. This plan you have put forward does not constitute good planning.			912
497182	Margaret Muir		<a href="#">CSO2183</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Transport issues, school issues, improvements can be promised, but may not work and cause more transport and traffic increase.			912
497184	Mr Hilling		<a href="#">CSO2214</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
497239	Martine Lewis		<a href="#">CSO2256</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
497343	Sharon Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO2279</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
497773	R Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO2482</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
360037	Mr Dave BARNES		<a href="#">CSO4051</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	What alternative locations, if any, have been considered? There is mention of heathland development.....where would this be?			912
361041	Mr & Mrs G K HAMPTON		<a href="#">CSO4023</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
361170	Mr TR HARVEY		<a href="#">CSO2849</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
498044	Carolyne BANKS		<a href="#">CSO2679</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Transport needs to be sorted out centrally - to get something that works properly - this is the biggest showstopper to all of these schemes - the current roads will not support any more traffic.</p> <p>Use the newer out-of-town developments to extend housing - after all that's what they were created for ie: Verwood, Corfe Mullen, Sturminster Marshall. Don't cram more development into existing residential areas in town.</p> <p>Consider the impact on horse-riders especially in West Parley, where there are a significant number of horses. Adding more traffic to horses = more accidents. Horse-riders have to use the roads to access the bridleways. Recent increases in traffic already</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							make the situation fraught with danger.			
498047	CD Bradford		<a href="#">CSO2656</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
498062	Mr Nick Crawford		<a href="#">CSO2733</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Although I live in Ferndown, I work and travel around a lot in the local area, so feel I can voice my opinion on developments around the area.			912
498084	Mr P HARTLEY		<a href="#">CSO2758</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
498125	Mr and Mrs P DASHWOOD		<a href="#">CSO2797</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
498169	Mrs D WEAVER		<a href="#">CSO2901</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
498184	Mrs Angela BARKER		<a href="#">CSO2928</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I value West Parley's Green Belt in particular as this is where I live - I chose to move here for its green spaces, quiet roads and somewhere for my children to grow up relatively peacefully - I do not want to find myself living on the outskirts effectively of Bournemouth. West Parley is semi-rural and that's how I would like it to stay, unique and appealing. Not every area needs affordable housing - if a lot of people are retired and/or own their own homes, does it			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							matter? Leave West Parley as it is - it works ok, so don't fix it.			
498267	B G Northwood		<a href="#">CSO2992</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
498268	Mr and Mrs R Cullen		<a href="#">CSO3009</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
498270	Mr and Mrs P Herrington		<a href="#">CSO3019</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Due to current infrastructure around the airport, industrial estates and Christchurch Road, additional transport would cause extreme traffic problems and congestion as well as this there is the issue of Parley village merging with the Bournemouth conurbation. Surely it would be more beneficial to home owners to increase development within an already suburban area with amenities.			912
498271	Mandy CHEESEMAN		<a href="#">CSO3059</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
498274	P Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO3037</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		This 'Ferndown and West Parley Options' document is very weighted to housing in West Parley. Most of these options will create significant additional traffic volumes at Parley Cross, which already struggles with the existing volumes.			912
498281	D R and M E		<a href="#">CSO3053</a>	Non	Object		None. Until the total infrastructure			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Fitzhenry			Preferred Option FWP 5			(doctors, schools and all other amenities) have been totally gone in to, before any decisions are made.			
498335	Mr and Mrs Lester		<a href="#">CSO3217</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		14 lane traffic lights through tiny village centre. West Parley has one of the busiest sets of traffic lights on the south coast. This cannot and does not cope at all now. The field at traffic lights is an emergency landing strip for airport. The field marked FW3 is right next to Saxon rings in Doomsday Book, would the Council build over all our heritage as well as Green Belt. Strongly object. FW5 floods now regularly so how can you consider building on that. FW1 is proposed off road that can't cope with its traffic already.			912
498338	Joan Furniss		<a href="#">CSO3086</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The extra traffic on our roads is not viable.			912
498341	Ronald B Diggle		<a href="#">CSO3097</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
498359	Brian Miles CBE		<a href="#">CSO3114</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I fully recognise that a 'Nimby' response needs to be resisted! Nevertheless I really do wonder whether development on this scale is justified in such a threatened Green Belt environment. Even with improvements, the effect on the road system would be potentially damaging and the increase of affordable housing particularly in terms of school and			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							medical facilities would change the character of West Parley and its environment in a substantial and damaging way.			
498446	W A Murphy		<a href="#">CSO3216</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
498455	Mrs Rosemary Dark		<a href="#">CSO3236</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic along the New Road going through West Parley is already very congested at certain times of day. A new road system would be absolutely essential. I especially value West Parley's Green Belt environment and believe building 400 houses in FWP5 would completely ruin the country atmosphere of West Parley.			912
498470	Mr Mike Baynham		<a href="#">CSO3246</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		One of the main justifications seems to be houses for our children. If this policy wins then a cycle of never ending development starts because a generation later more houses are needed for their children etc.			912
498495	Mr John Williams		<a href="#">CSO3277</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
498540	R Crawford		<a href="#">CSO3297</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The road around West Parley and Ferndown are congested as it is. Any further building will contribute to this. West Parley needs to be kept as Green Belt wherever possible as land everywhere is being gobbled up for building.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498548	Messrs Sewell		<a href="#">CSO3356</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The existing Green Belt should be preserved for future generations. Any development near Parley Crossroads will mean further traffic, congestion, pedestrian problems, as well as loss of the green corridor defining Ferndown area as opposed to becoming a suburb of Bournemouth, Poole and Christchurch.			912
498555	Mrs Della Edwards		<a href="#">CSO3322</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Loss of green belt, airport, flood plain, traffic, we do not need more retail surely this will mean even more traffic. Non sensitive overdevelopment of the village of West Parley. Please see comments for FWP3 and FWP4. No matter how consultants dress this up this planning is a nightmare scenario for residents. The RSS plan was discredited and also rejected by the public and EDDC councillors this plan is a lazy regurgitation of those flawed proposals and not a serious effort to find alternative sites which should be on brownfield redevelopment sites. The objections and reasons are too many to go into AGAIN.			912
498692	Alwyn Stavert		<a href="#">CSO3362</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Develop majority of area (beware flood plain) access through upgraded Church Lane and roundabout at Christchurch Road.			912
498699	Mr and Mrs P J Edkins		<a href="#">CSO3367</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have travelled on the airport road every work day almost for over 27 years. It has never significantly improved. Any development in Parley (which would add at least 1,000 cars to the road) could make the road infrastructure jammed and cause			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							chaos to the area.			
498706	Darren Schmidt		<a href="#">CSO3373</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
498711	Mr Tam Chant		<a href="#">CSO3392</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
498775	Mrs P L Buckler		<a href="#">CSO3403</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I object very strongly to having green belt land built on - I moved to this area many years ago because I felt it was semi-rural. I like to see horses and cattle grazing - if I wanted to live in town I'd have bought in Bournemouth.			912
498818	Mr R White		<a href="#">CSO3424</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		This is existing green belt / agricultural land and should not be built on. It is directly in the take-off / landing of Hurn Airport flight path and therefore a potential safety hazard. The resident and Council have already voted and passed that there should be no houses / buildings erected on existing green belt land in West Parley district. Ferndown and West Parley Housing leaflet There is not the infrastructure, schools or facilities in the local area that can support an influx of approximately 2000 proposed people into the area. The council has already voted these proposals out. Nor more homes in Parley on Green Belt land.			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
498828	Paul Brady		<a href="#">CSO3414</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It appears that 710 out of 840 houses are to be built within a couple of minutes' walk of Parley Cross. This is too many new homes concentrated in a small area.			912
498871	Mrs Laura Jean HEASLIP		<a href="#">CSO3427</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
498996	M E CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO3456</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
498999	Mrs M Weller		<a href="#">CSO3447</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
499019	William Legg		<a href="#">CSO3463</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		1) Additional development would in the main be a dormitory for Bournemouth/Poole workers. 2) Extensive wildlife would be affected - including deer and buzzards and badgers. 3) Loss of identity and community.			912
499035	John Pelang		<a href="#">CSO3478</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There is little work in the area and housing is going to increase traffic. Roads can't cope now at Parley Cross (doctors, dentists, schools) will all be under more pressure. With the cut backs this is all not going to work.			912
499040	Mr K Grimshaw		<a href="#">CSO3487</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My concern is due to traffic build up on New Road, I don't consider it suitable for the extra traffic that these proposed houses will mean. Even now from 7.15			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							until after 9am traffic is stationary outside my home waiting to clear Parley Cross traffic lights situated some half mile distant. From F and WP leaflet- Most of these sites are supposed to be green belt and are also under flight path of planes from Hurn either taking off or landing thus at a dangerous stage. Also New Road is unsuitable for an increase in traffic.			
499049	Mr and Mrs Worsfold		<a href="#">CSO3498</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley can't cope with any more traffic; it is at breaking point now, especially when they shut the spur road, if there is an accident. We have enough people living here and also the schools would not be able to cope with the extra children.			912
499065	David Stacey		<a href="#">CSO3506</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
499231	Mrs A Lathbury		<a href="#">CSO3531</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I know people need homes but it is important to keep open spaces and not overdevelop the area. Obviously extra homes provide more council tax but the environment is important and once lost is lost forever. Therefore careful consideration should be given.			912
499236	J PIPE		<a href="#">CSO3549</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
499245	Mr and Mrs N		<a href="#">CSO3582</a>	Non Preferred		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	BUTLER			Option FWP 5						
499261	Mrs Norma JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO3616</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360098	Mr John L ROBINSON		<a href="#">CSO4610</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360190	Mr John CULLEN	Barrack Road (West Parley) Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO4283</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360685	Mr M.P HOSE		<a href="#">CSO4639</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
361049	Mr Brian ROPER		<a href="#">CSO4500</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Bring forward submissions and planning, create employment, low cost housing with assistance for young people.			912
361106	Mr and Mrs Robin and Janet WALL		<a href="#">CSO3677</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
475537	Mrs Julie Bird		<a href="#">CSO4103</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have no objection to small pockets of development as this will not change the infrastructure of West Parley. Building large areas of housing will mean that the roads, already not coping with the traffic, and schools, already full, cannot accommodate these changes.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
491252	Margaret Wareham		<a href="#">CSO3796</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I object to green belt being built on. We do not need more housing in West Parley. The roads are too busy, and we wish to keep our green fields, plus there is no work in the area for more families and the public transport is not good and we do not need more shops. Also Response form			912
497944	Mrs G Mary Salway		<a href="#">CSO4185</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 are all Green belt land - this is not mentioned in the Core Strategy Plan 'previously expressed community concerns' although frequently and forcibly expressed. This report acknowledges that local residents 'did not want green belt to be released for housing' - what has changed? Green belt boundaries were confirmed in 2002 and there are no proven 'exceptional circumstances' justifying any change, except perhaps the indication that councils will get a lot of extra funding for each new house built in next 6 years!			912
498008	Mr John Salway		<a href="#">CSO4195</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		1 - Why build on green belt, what has now changed? Many brown and infill sites, council policy not permitting this. Is it government promise of funding from new houses? 2 - Extreme traffic congestion, more houses and road improvements in area will not help as mainly service industry post, not key posts. Many people have to travel daily outside of the area for work. What will change and where are the key posts available in the whole area? Will more industry and commerce come into whole area? 3- Considerable housing stock on			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							market and rented houses available, These should be utilised as other countries before covering green belt. 4 - When green belt lost West Parley adjoins Bournemouth no need for duplicate council posts or Parish Council.			
499248	H Hedges		<a href="#">CSO3597</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The current infrastructure is inadequate for the existing population: roads, sewage, drainage etc. Any housing development in West Parley would be a disaster and politicians, both local and national will find out at the ballot box that the vast majority of voters object to and reject these plans. The growth and proximity of the airport is in itself a reason to abandon any plans for housing development.			912
499290	Mrs Lisa TURNBULL		<a href="#">CSO3649</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
499384	Mr A I ROSE		<a href="#">CSO3721</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		The centre of activity is the main crossroads. Develop housing as near as possible to avoid more travel.			912
499560	Mr and Mrs Tickner		<a href="#">CSO3838</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We trust you are aware of the traffic density between the Ferndown and West Parley traffic lights on New Road, which has sharp bends and difficult access. We were wondering if the island by the Porsche Centre would create better controlled traffic if turned into a roundabout.			912
499681	Paul		<a href="#">CSO3876</a>	Non	Object		Overdevelopment of area. Traffic			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Rogers			Preferred Option FWP 5			density very high at present. Further development will prove disastrous for traffic access and transit. Further shopping/office development not required. Modification of road junction will not solve increased traffic levels.			
499731	R Beesley		<a href="#">CSO3887</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We realise that more houses are needed, but the plans appear to make the housing much denser than the surrounding area. The current road structure cannot support the extra traffic this will bring. Provision needs to be made for church use. FWP5 is acceptable for 300 houses - in keeping with present density in West Parley.			912
499732	Mr and Mrs A J James		<a href="#">CSO3894</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Having lived in West Parley for only 4 years I cannot imagine how anyone with knowledge of this area's roads would consider adding to its congestion! Housing of this magnitude would make this area impossible to get from A to B!			912
499745	Mr M C Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO3903</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
499753	Mrs S E Stringer		<a href="#">CSO3909</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The area is already congested with very heavy traffic - particularly during holiday times. There must be additional superstructure and more road improvements. A long awaited happening.			912
499761	Mrs M Q		<a href="#">CSO3917</a>	Non Preferred	Object		No. It is not practical.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Saunders			Option FWP 5						
499772	Julia Williams		<a href="#">CSO3935</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We object to the prospect of having West Parley built on and used as a public dumping site for voluminous housing. This area has been kept as a wonderful place to live. Why should we put up with this imposition? We have the incinerator, the gravel pits, eco composting. The roads are a nightmare at Parley Cross morning and evening. Why not use the area alongside the A31 it has room for traffic and the room to deal with extra capacity - Parley does not.			912
499788	Mr and Mrs Halford		<a href="#">CSO3953</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We object particularly and most strongly to areas FWP4 and 5 in addition object to the ludicrous quality of housing to these areas close by to what is already one of the busiest junctions in the country. What 'brain box' would even contemplate such development in that area given the already heavy traffic flow at this junction/crossroads? The West Parley parish area and Ferndown area could not possibly support the needs of what could be up to 500 new homes on FWp 4 and 5 the proposal is in our view absolutely crazy! We attach a high value to the green belt and are concerned that the medical and school provision would not be adequate for additional houses.			912
499810	Mrs A Clark		<a href="#">CSO3960</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		Class sizes in local schools are a big concern. Class sizes are far too big already and therefore any increase in			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			the local population will only make that worse. Increased traffic volumes a concern, particularly at Parley Crossroads.			
499873	Mr Graham Holt		<a href="#">CSO3990</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
499900	D A Gwillam		<a href="#">CSO3991</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My objection to FWP5 is that the exit will have to be on New Road. No matter what road improvements can be made the entry into Bournemouth will still cause problems, we already see the effects when diversions from the Ringwood spur road. Another? 500 cars at rush hour will cause mayhem even if Parley Cross is sorted out.			912
500060	Mr Stuart PIDDOCK		<a href="#">CSO4079</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
500070	Mr J W ELCOCK		<a href="#">CSO4113</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
500077	Peter and Rose Olivo		<a href="#">CSO4117</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500082	Mr S Harding		<a href="#">CSO4126</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The roads would not be able to cope with the extra traffic this would cause. The road we live on is extremely busy at all times of the day already. We are a young couple who made a decision			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							to move to a semi-rural area. Just because most properties are bungalows doesn't mean only old people want to live here.			
500115	Mrs Sylvia McIntyre		<a href="#">CSO4141</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
500137	Mrs Shelagh Hickinbottom		<a href="#">CSO4150</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It is going to spoil the Green Belt areas. More traffic which will cause a lot of hassle on roads, already too much.			912
500143	Mr G Wright		<a href="#">CSO4160</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		I would prefer no building but as I have a house and love (?) the area I cannot vote for somebody if housing is needed not to have the opportunity.			912
500204	Mr and Mrs S T and J P Terrill		<a href="#">CSO4172</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 1, 2 3, 4 and 5 all unsuitable for housing development. Land to the east of Trickett's Cross should be used instead. There is too much traffic already going through West Parley; more houses will make traffic worse. FWP 3, 4 and 5 acts as flood plains and building there would cause flooding elsewhere. Please leave West Parley alone! Put houses where their owners' cars will not cause congestion; along the Ringwood Road, east of Ferndown.			912
500222	S Hartley		<a href="#">CSO4193</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500261	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO4201</a>	Non	Object		Inadequate infrastructure. Traffic			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Sharp			Preferred Option FWP 5			congestion already - don't need any more. Don't believe that sufficient investment will be made to alleviate these problems.			
500307	Mrs J Wolverson		<a href="#">CSO4207</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500350	Mr P H BARTLETT		<a href="#">CSO4223</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
500360	Mr K Nippard		<a href="#">CSO4257</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500361	Mr and Miss N and A Middleton and Turner		<a href="#">CSO4265</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The proposed development for consideration by the junction of New Road, and Christchurch Road (Parley Traffic Lights) would bring that area of East Dorset closer to being a Bournemouth suburb, and once this development was completed then extensions would be added, with the excuse of housing for the expanding airport.			912
500391	Ms Jennifer Mogg		<a href="#">CSO4339</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There should be NO building on Green Belt land. If the Councillors go against the wishes of the majority of West Parley people, my views are: FWP1 - Max 50 homes FWP2 - Max 35 homes FWP3 - Max 100 homes FWP4 - Max 100 homes but no community centre or shops, we			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							already have a sports club which could be used. MOST IMPORTANT - Absolutely NO to 40 - 50% social / low cost housing. No more than 10% is ACCEPTABLE.			
500427	Mrs D J LYONS		<a href="#">CSO4314</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
500558	A Baker		<a href="#">CSO4363</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
500580	John and Linda Russell		<a href="#">CSO4386</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Small developments only. We value the green space and traffic problems which are already bad at rush hours will only get worse.			912
500592	Mr and Mrs Ash		<a href="#">CSO4382</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The building of homes at Parley Cross would erode the existing green belt and the existing roads, i.e. New Road and Christchurch Road could not take the extra volume of traffic up to 800 extra cars. Regarding FWP 3, 4 & 5.			912
500622	Mr and Mrs M Simpson		<a href="#">CSO4393</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Having resided in West Parley for the past 25 years, we have noticed the dramatic increase on the amount of traffic, using New Road and Christchurch Road. This is almost anything of day leading to queues in all directions. Will the council only be happy when the whole area comes to a gridlock, which I might add already happens, when there's roadwork's and accidents on the Bournemouth Spur Road. West Parley was a pleasant			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							area 25 years ago, but with the already massive building of houses and flats in the surrounding area, has made it a travelling nightmare.			
500630	S R and J V Jones		<a href="#">CSO4398</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The New Road will not take large developments at FWP3, 4 and 5. A dual carriageway and a new bridge over the river Stour will be necessary prior to those schemes. (but not provided) Look at A347 and A348 - they are both low grade roads frequently choked up and will come to gridlock in the near future, The Dormy site and also West Moors schemes will also load onto those roads. Loss of Green Belt would be tragic.			912
500646	Mr and Mrs L A Searle		<a href="#">CSO4404</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I live on the east side of Ridgeway Lane, the back of my bungalow facing west onto the steep hill which at the moment is home to several horses. In the autumn and winter rains Ridgeway Lane turns into what can only be described as a small river from water draining off the hill. This in turn causes a flood in the field on the left hand side of my property. My main concern is if they were to build houses on the hill, rain water would drain off in greater quantities due to there being less drainage as the ground would not be able to soak up water and cause serious flooding not only of my property but the surrounding area (shown in a photograph attached to the letter). Your argument that the surrounding area is 'imbalanced' due to there being an older population is quite ridiculous.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>The area has an increasing older population for a reason: to live in a peaceful and quiet environment, with beautiful open areas of fields and greenbelt, not to live next to yet another housing estate.</p> <p>If there is an imbalance of young people in an area, is there a concerted effort to move older people into that area? No.</p> <p>People moved to the site at West Parley because of its attractive area and surroundings, not for 'affordable housing'. The area is not easily commutable to town centres and the road infrastructure struggles already. No amount of traffic lights or roundabouts is going to ease the problem and should be left as it is for the foreseeable future.</p>			
500660	Andrea Thomson		<a href="#">CSO4409</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500672	Mrs P E Budding		<a href="#">CSO4415</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500679	D Saunders		<a href="#">CSO4421</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500680	Mr and Mrs M Maybury		<a href="#">CSO4426</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic concerns if development near Parley Cross. It can take 10 minutes to get out of our close to get to traffic lights.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500682	Peter Bird		<a href="#">CSO4432</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley is a small village and surrounded by Green Belt. Adding large numbers of houses will mean the status of village will be lost. The roads, schools cannot cope with large volumes of new houses,			912
500685	Mrs E Hedges		<a href="#">CSO4437</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I would rather that there was no more development of our area, especially West Parley.			912
500694	Mr and Mrs J R MANSBRIDGE		<a href="#">CSO4459</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Homes and jobs increase movement of people. Therefore the roads must be able to cater for an increase in use. Public transport or company coaches to places of work - like J P Morgan at Littledown. Canford Bottom needs a FLYOVER - nothing less. Think of the flyover at Ringwood and what a bottleneck that was years ago. With a lot more homes in and around West Parley something should be done to alleviate traffic going down New Road. A second road and an additional bridge is the answer there.			912
500697	Mr Thomas SMITH		<a href="#">CSO4483</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My objections are based on the infrastructure being unable to support the extra traffic etc. Plus areas that are liable to flooding. Please note this East Dorset News was given to me by a friend and NOT delivered.			912
500706	R & J Jeans		<a href="#">CSO4534</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
500720	Mrs VALLIER		<a href="#">CSO4533</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500731	Mr Tony Brown		<a href="#">CSO4553</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The whole area is far too congested and the services, doctors and infrastructure cannot handle the additional population.			912
500748	Mrs Lauren MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO4591</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
500751	Mr Christopher Halford		<a href="#">CSO4582</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Protect the green belt as the Government and Council had pledged to do, by using Brown Belt land and infill to build small development of affordable homes. No need for large high density developments containing very few affordable homes. The green belt is precious and should be protected not used to fuel developer's greed.			912
500766	Mr Brian Raine		<a href="#">CSO4626</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500786	Mrs Janet Toomer		<a href="#">CSO4652</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I object to FWP 3, 4 and 5 because the large amount of homes being built which will change the core strategy of the area by too big an impact. I think little by little is best.			912
500802	J Hayward		<a href="#">CSO4686</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
500810	Mrs Elizabeth Le Rossignol		<a href="#">CSO4678</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The traffic on New Road never stops and there are frequent long queues. Any development in the area will totally clog up Parley Cross. The rural feel south of Parley Cross will disappear and become a conurbation of Ferndown.			912
500817	Mr L Lothian		<a href="#">CSO4704</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Re use or develop existing empty houses. Each house has 2-3 cars and there will be too much congestion, we do not need more roads or by passes. There are no jobs in this area build the houses where there is work ie Bournemouth and Poole not on our Green Belt land.			912
500818	Mr J LADD		<a href="#">CSO4691</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		GREEN BELT LAND MUST BE PROTECTED; otherwise what is the point of having 'Green Belt'? Please listen to public opinion and don't just say 'we will listen and then disregard it'. I expect this will happen anyway.			912
500903	Mr and Mrs S and R Harris		<a href="#">CSO4784</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
500906	Mr and Mrs Scholes		<a href="#">CSO4755</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Leave West Parley as it is. It needs Green Belt to keep it separate from Bournemouth. Traffic congestion especially at peak times is already bad in West Parley.			912
500913	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO4799</a>	Non	Object		Traffic at Parley cannot cope with any			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	M STARK			Preferred Option FWP 5			more vehicles. Also drains and infrastructure cannot cope. Make lorries use the Ferndown Bypass and not Longham Bridge.			
500919	V Thomas		<a href="#">CSO4763</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
500921	Emily Collins		<a href="#">CSO4771</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The road system is already over - loaded. The Green Belt is a precious resource and one that must be protected.			912
500933	Mr Michael Matthews		<a href="#">CSO4779</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Keep West Parley Green!			912
501000	Mr S W J Smith		<a href="#">CSO4816</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Extremely unhappy with the proposed developments around the Ferndown and Parley areas. They will increase the pressure on the infrastructure even more. The roads are terribly congested at peak times even when the traffic is flowing well. I have noticed that, when there is an accident or other reason for a hold up there can be a serious knock-on effect (eg the closing of the road around Hurn Airport). I am very sceptical about the authority improving the infrastructure - we have heard a lot of talk and seen no real action to provide a bypass for Ferndown. In the present financial climate, it will never happen. Therefore I object to further housing development			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501006	Mr and Mrs J Horsley		<a href="#">CSO4825</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We just feel that the traffic and facilities are such that to have more housing in this area would cause complete grid lock. Our schools and local doctors would be unable to cope.			912
501008	Mrs P Norrie		<a href="#">CSO4819</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Increase in local traffic. Concreting over green field areas could cause further water logging on fields, near bridge and flooding. Need for felling of mature trees.			912
501012	Louise ARNOLD		<a href="#">CSO4875</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
359895	Mr Jeff REECE		<a href="#">CSO6056</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Road Infrastructure needs to be tackled before any development takes place.			912
360060	Mr G.M EDWARDS		<a href="#">CSO5429</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Consideration for infrastructure including: Green Belt, projected traffic flow, doctors, schools, paying transport, floods, sewage, gas, electricity, water, welfare facility. Protection of current rate payers against subsidies. Consideration of matters residents may consider non PC.			912
475517	Mrs Sarah Collins		<a href="#">CSO6118</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		This is our green belt land. Talk of green infrastructure when in reality green belt will be lost never to be regained. Traffic at Parley Cross roads and past the airport is already terrible. The thought of adding more residents and cars is unthinkable.			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Schools and health centres are not ready for big infill.			
475530	Mr George Phillips		<a href="#">CSO5164</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I don't mind building new homes - people have to live somewhere. BUT - homes should be decent, they should not be built on poor land eg flood plains, road improvements required include a new bridge and a better link between the dual carriageway and Poole.</p> <p>Additional Response received: You need to have a plan on how the infrastructure will be improved to support these housing and commercial developments before we decide on any housing or commercial developments. Infrastructure includes roads, bridges, schools, hospitals, etc. And for roads, I don't mean links from the developments to the existing roads. Everything round here is already overloaded. We need more bridges over the Stour, and a motorway link from Poole to the M27. Motorways north to the M4 and west to the M5 should also be built. These will take the load off the local roads round here. I also think we should only build decent homes. Everyone has to live somewhere, and they should have somewhere decent. It's the cost of land that drives up the cost of accommodation, and building land is expensive because it's in short supply.</p>			912
501014	Mr J Morris		<a href="#">CSO4839</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I would think it would be sensible for less housing not more. The road system is obviously overloaded quite often I cannot get</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							access to New Road. All I can hope for is if the nightmare goes ahead it takes as long as the Ferndown relief road, Poole and Wimborne bypass is taking ie about 20 years.			
501015	Mr & Mrs W McMillan		<a href="#">CSO4871</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501039	Dr and Mrs Peter Liebling		<a href="#">CSO4888</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic at Parley Cross is already far too great at peak periods. Any new gyratory system will not reduce the volume of traffic, buses and cycle lanes will not really help. The noise and air pollution from the airport will go over most of the proposed development. No infrastructure to support development.			912
501051	Mr Eric Franklin		<a href="#">CSO4905</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The roads at West Parley already have enough traffic without more houses. Hurn airport is expected to get busier which will mean more traffic without extra housing.			912
501071	Mr G Dingle		<a href="#">CSO4923</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Please explain how building on these beautiful green sites could provide valuable green infrastructure away from the heath - Dog walking. Please explain how bus lanes and cycle paths will improve the transport situation when each of these new homes will have at least 2 cars.			912
501080	Ms Olivia		<a href="#">CSO4933</a>	Non Preferred	Object		I enjoy the green belt and it is designated to prevent housing spread.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Collins			Option FWP 5			I have to leave early to get to school in Bournemouth extra cars will make it worse. Where will all the new children go to school? Road improvements in progress will further disrupt traffic.			
501107	Mr & Mrs E C Lacey		<a href="#">CSO5015</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501114	John Lee		<a href="#">CSO4976</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501118	Mr Ron Cook		<a href="#">CSO5017</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501123	Mr and Mrs Foster		<a href="#">CSO4998</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Environmental disaster! Delightful village and area to suburban sprawl!			912
501127	Dr Stephen Riley		<a href="#">CSO5031</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The development will massively increase the population and change the character of West Parley. It will become yet another sprawling suburb. This is in a time of recession. The lending necessary for people to buy these houses is not available, therefore demand is highly questionable. Many of these houses will be directly under the taking off / landing paths of aircraft and will suffer from noise pollution.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501136	Miss M Parker		<a href="#">CSO5050</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501143	Rita Whitfield		<a href="#">CSO5068</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Acute congestion already prevails at Parley Cross and community facilities are already inadequate. In your own words, cut backs are taking affect. Our road is a disgrace.			912
501191	Mr and Mrs Redman		<a href="#">CSO5079</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We find that the traffic is bad having to come from our entrance turning right to go to Longham, in the years we have lived here there has been accidents and we fear that building more houses on Coppins Nursery will make things worse with more traffic on the road.			912
501194	Mrs Colton		<a href="#">CSO5088</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501195	Mr A Skinner		<a href="#">CSO5094</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501201	B H Balinas		<a href="#">CSO5111</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I cannot possibly support Options 3, 4 and 5 since Parley Cross is now clogged with traffic throughout the day. There was a time when there was no congestion at all and only two or three years ago there was only congestion during the rush hour. Options 3, 4, 5 will produce yet more traffic to a road system that is already inadequate. The resultant air pollution affects all residents in the area. It is essential to			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							preserve West Parley's Green Belt.			
501210	Whitehorn		<a href="#">CSO5120</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501234	A and M Grieve		<a href="#">CSO5141</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Options FWP 4 and 5 are the least desirable. Occupants of houses will suffer being under the flight path. Whatever option is chosen transport will be a prime consideration since conditions at Parley Cross are already nearing grid lock at times. Green Belt areas should not be 'nibbled' at.			912
501327	M E Perkins		<a href="#">CSO5179</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501335	Mrs J J Bassett		<a href="#">CSO5190</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am very concerned that there isn't the infrastructure or the services to support any large housing development. I think that the Green Belt should be preserved.			912
501342	George and Ann Alexander		<a href="#">CSO5200</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There is too many people and cars in the area. 'We don't want anymore'.			912
501351	Mr and Mrs R D'Cruze		<a href="#">CSO5228</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501353	Mr and Mrs Ireland		<a href="#">CSO5214</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501362	G P Hough		<a href="#">CSO5247</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 4 and 5 are way over the top for the location and services. What is affordable? In the foreseeable future how will people be in a position to Buy!! Let's get real??			912
501364	Mr M Devetta		<a href="#">CSO5862</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501369	Mrs S Hunwicks		<a href="#">CSO5265</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501380	Paul Ashton		<a href="#">CSO5272</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We have just moved to the area, and part of our reason for moving here was the rural aspects in close proximity to our property. To allow the building you are outlining is wrong as it will spoil the area and add too much congestion.			912
501386	Mrs A Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO5278</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501485	Mrs J JACKSON		<a href="#">CSO5294</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501488	Chris LAMB		<a href="#">CSO5328</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
501500	Mrs E Chinn		<a href="#">CSO5351</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		West Parley is a very old village. Think of all the extra cars, and any other thing they will need. That's the			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			beginning of a concrete jungle! Find somewhere where the residents are not so affected. I live alone and don't want houses up to my back fence - this affects more people than West Parley - who need to travel to work. FWP 4 for? sake don't do it!			
501502	Mr Michael WAREHAM		<a href="#">CSO5374</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501506	Janet Cooke		<a href="#">CSO5382</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My greatest concern about the above is that the Parley Cross junction can already barely cope with current traffic levels and is likely to become gridlocked. We need to protect our green belt.			912
501510	Mrs J Swingler		<a href="#">CSO5402</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Roads will not take any more traffic. Doctors surgeries now full. Not enough schools.			912
501520	Mrs Z MERRIFIELD		<a href="#">CSO5445</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501523	Rita Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO5481</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501530	Mr and Mrs J Archer		<a href="#">CSO5465</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		If these building proposals are agreed the loss of character to the area will be fundamental and the reason most of us bought dwellings here. The extra traffic, lack of parking, need for roads			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							etc., does not make these proposals attractive to anyone. The proposed development will swamp West Parley and is grossly over development of the site. Parley Cross is bulging with cars at the lights, to propos more families and cars is insane.			
501537	Stalley		<a href="#">CSO5539</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic congestion is the greatest problem. Parley Cross and New Road are far too congested at the moment. More traffic will only make matters worse.			912
501538	Mr and Mrs R B MORGAN		<a href="#">CSO5491</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - The options we have selected are those that would provide additional housing with a lower impact on the main roads through West Parley. Our decision was also influenced by the comments given previously as concerns for the area and the road infrastructure. Any house building in the Parley Cross / New Road area would require major new traffic management and road layout.			912
501542	Mr and Mrs STRACHAN		<a href="#">CSO5526</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion	Please do not accept any community centre / halls or leisure centre as a corporate inducement from developers of housing developments. In my experience they hardly ever work and cost a great deal in subsidy for councils each and every year. ie. Verwood Hub; Lenham, Kent; Ditton, Nr Maidstone, Kent. My ref: 10 years as a Parish Councillor in Ditton, Kent.			912
501556	Mr and Mrs VEAL		<a href="#">CSO5551</a>	Non Preferred	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5						
501560	Mr Christopher CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO5584</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
501563	Mr and Mrs Halls		<a href="#">CSO5570</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		This area is already saturated with traffic and noise. Refuse centres cannot cope with current population. Infrastructure (road) too small.			912
501567	Trevor Openshaw		<a href="#">CSO5635</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have lived in West Parley for over 30 years. This is the umpteenth time that the authorities have attempted to despoil this lovely area we live in. In the past they have stopped, for example, the megastore that was almost inflicted on Parley Cross in sections 4 and 5. Usually there is no allowance made for roads and services, so I don't expect there will be this time. Suggest we let the council sample commuter time at Parley, or hereabouts. Or try to get a doctor's appointment, if they are not increased. Anyway, everyone knows you can't sell a house for a toffee at present, so who is going to buy these phantom properties. I don't feel that there is any demand to go anywhere near justifying this ridiculous plan. It also will have the effect of ruining some local builders. Of course it may be that the houses are to be provided for the thousands of immigrants we have leaked into the population.			912
501574	Mr & Mrs		<a href="#">CSO5632</a>	Non	Object		Re FERNDOWN AND WEST PARLEY			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	B S Nippard			Preferred Option FWP 5			<p>OPTIONS</p> <p>The existing road structure, even with improvements cannot support increases in traffic brought about by this and other development. Access to the spur road, access into Bournemouth via New Road and access along Ringwood Road can come to lengthy standstills at most times of the day depending upon circumstances.</p> <p>FWP3 - Building on an exposed and elevated site which adjoins a major EDDC ancient monument should not be proposed.</p> <p>FWP3/4 - Despite the provision of open space, these developments will inevitably be to the detriment of the protected areas at Parley Common.</p> <p>FWP5 - This site partially covers the noise exclusion zone as shown on the previous proposal by the SWRSS. A small portion is also within the 400m heathland envelope.</p>			
501586	Mr D S Gardner		<a href="#">CSO5650</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Road improvements must be sorted out at Parley Cross before any development is agreed. Parley Cross has not had much done to it since World War Two. Traffic as a nightmare if you live here. No more development should take place near Parley Cross itself unless improvements are done.			912
501591	Mr & Mrs A Davies		<a href="#">CSO5677</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Strongly object to any developments in rural areas and "green" areas. There are more than enough cars on the road without bringing more traffic chaos.			912
501593	E J		<a href="#">CSO5669</a>	Non	Object		I do not want the land to the east of			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Brockhurst			Preferred Option FWP 5			New Road (FWP 4 and 5) developed with new housing. It should be retained as it is. It would clog up the road system; put a strain on the services such as drainage, sewers, and medical and educational facilities.			
501601	P Longland		<a href="#">CSO5685</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Developments on this scale are going to make the area's chronic traffic problems much worse. In addition, the whole character of Parley will deteriorate if the Green Belt is built on.			912
501613	Mr and Mrs P Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5713</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I do not think any houses should be built at all. We will become an addition to the Bournemouth/Poole conurbation which will take away the personality of West Parley. I also don't think any infrastructure improvement will sort out the traffic congestion problems in the area. 840 houses 2 cars a house!! Chaos!!</p> <p>I am appalled at all of the proposals for West Parley. I am a resident there as you will see. Every day I queue to get through the lights at Parley to get to work. How on earth do you think the roads in this area will cope?</p> <p>Additionally what makes this area lovely is that we do still have green fields to drive past. Why are you intent on spoiling this?</p> <p>I am happy to pay a premium for my house to live here; my husband and I work extremely hard to pay for it. But prices will not hold if you add all these properties and use the lovely open spaces. I do not work to live in an area where others just roll into each other like in</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Bournemouth/Winton/Charminster etc. Finally, I work in a school and carry lots of things to and from work each day, no matter how good you make the public transport, I will not be able to use it.			
501616	R J Joyce		<a href="#">CSO5986</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501624	Ben Ferns		<a href="#">CSO5729</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I totally object to any further developments in this West Parley area, especially on Green Belt land. Further housing will see the area overdeveloped and undermine the existing housing. This current infrastructure cannot cope with current demand and cannot be? Further housing will cause further chaos and bottlenecks.			912
501626	Mrs J A Russell		<a href="#">CSO5760</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501636	Mr W Revill		<a href="#">CSO5752</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
501694	Mr R BRYAN		<a href="#">CSO5795</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501699	B THOMAS		<a href="#">CSO5822</a>	Non Preferred	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5						
501705	Michael Lucas		<a href="#">CSO5840</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I cannot believe that you want to build on green field sites when there are so many brownfield ones! Or is it all to do with cost? FWP 3, 4 and 5 will also produce more likelihood of flooding in Stour valley.			912
501709	Alan Seymour		<a href="#">CSO5861</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501717	D J and J L Cooper		<a href="#">CSO5892</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Development of any new site will put extra strain on an already very congested road system. Any promises to ease the situation will always fall by the wayside!!!			912
501718	Mr D Duckenfield		<a href="#">CSO5883</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501720	Dr and Mrs D HARLOW		<a href="#">CSO5918</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501732	Mrs H.M Ridout		<a href="#">CSO5905</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I strongly believe that this development will destroy the village feel of West Parley and will bring no benefits whatsoever. I am unconvinced that the idea of road improvements will be effective, it will be like living on Canford Heath with all the properties but no reduction in council tax.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501737	Mr and Mrs Ryan		<a href="#">CSO5919</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley is a local community of which this building of new homes would erode forever. The roads around this are area already taking too much traffic and have at times become bottlenecked. Crime is also low around here and all that these building would create is more crime and a sense of not belonging.			912
501738	RJ Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO5909</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The building of four hundred homes referred under FWP5 which is not an option of the Council would certainly not be an option of the West Parley residents. It would spoil the area generally. Why build any more properties in this area when I am sure other sites could be found.			912
501744	Mr J Drew		<a href="#">CSO5940</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Major transport and amenities required as well as G.P's, schools and youth provision. Bus routes need to be improved.			912
501745	Michael Cook		<a href="#">CSO5948</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
359908	Mr F.D.A REVILL		<a href="#">CSO6255</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
359927	Mrs Ann BISSEX		<a href="#">CSO6363</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The green belt should not be encroached on any further. No building should be allowed on flood plains. Much more serious effort needs to be put into reducing necessity of relying on car travel.			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
361113	Mr Alan Meade		<a href="#">CSO7193</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		.			912
477822	Ms Susan Rayment		<a href="#">CSO6773</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The site at Parley will create untold traffic problems			912
501753	Mrs J Easterbee		<a href="#">CSO5972</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Whatever is decided there will always be traffic congestion polluting the air. Number of houses proposed is unbelievable adding more traffic and spoiling a pleasant village - No			912
501754	Mrs M J Rossister		<a href="#">CSO5977</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Re Core strategy option 7 (Bournemouth Airport) 7.29 states by 2015 1,900 new full-time jobs. FWP 3, FWP4 and FWP5 could help us to provide a local work force. Ferndown and West Parley Housing Leaflet also			912
501761	Mr D Curtis		<a href="#">CSO6016</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501765	Mrs M.I Smith		<a href="#">CSO6001</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Too big an impact. Too much woodland will be impacted.			912
501766	D E Anderton		<a href="#">CSO6017</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501772	Mrs C		<a href="#">CSO6048</a>	Non Preferred	Object		Too much traffic at Parley Cross .Already shops not used except as			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Wilson			Option FWP 5			commercial premises -cars parked but no one in shops so restrictions to be imposed. No doctors or dentists so No houses.			
501774	Mrs Chisman		<a href="#">CSO6047</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley and surrounding areas cannot cope with any more traffic on the Christchurch Road, it is blocked most of the day, and we cannot get out of our gates without trouble.			912
501793	Mrs Marian Dingle		<a href="#">CSO6074</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Slotting in small green spaces amongst housing developments cannot enhance the beauty of West Parley which has a country feel because of the fields targeted for development. Get used to the fact that families will always use cars in preference to public transport or cycles.			912
501801	Mrs Ward		<a href="#">CSO6091</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There are enough houses and traffic problems in this area without adding to it.			912
501804	Mr Glyn Davies		<a href="#">CSO6102</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	Do not entertain any further 'traffic calming' measures such as the dangerous/expensive version in Colehill. Re-allocate money to the surface quality of minor roads. Sports facilities could always do with improvement which can often be achieved at fairly low cost.			912
501814	Mr and Mrs C Sorbie		<a href="#">CSO6121</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The identity of West Parley must be preserved and its Green Belt land is crucial to this objective.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501867	Mrs I M Marks		<a href="#">CSO6302</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501873	Mr and Mrs Harold A and D Joan Lilley		<a href="#">CSO6246</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		All proposals of this nature have a number of basic needs. One of the most important is infrastructure. Subject to finance being available Canford Bottom Roundabout is to be drastically amended for the Olympic Games. The reference to "Improvements to Canford Bottom therefore appear to have been superseded. Since I cannot find any intention to improve the road network all these proposals will only add to our present problems and cannot be supported.			912
501876	J Long		<a href="#">CSO6209</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501881	B W Deverill		<a href="#">CSO6282</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
501900	Miss Theresa Gale		<a href="#">CSO6270</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
501940	Mr M J Godfrey		<a href="#">CSO6347</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
501953	J Wilkinson		<a href="#">CSO6890</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I moved from London to get away from built up areas. I don't want to have to move again. West Parley is a great unspoilt place let's keep it that way.			912
502022	Mr HWR Stevens		<a href="#">CSO6391</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Dorset's villages and small towns should be preserved as such. So called "developers" are only concerned with profits. There'll always be an England? Not when it has been built over and occupied by strangers.			912
502029	Mr J MacArthur		<a href="#">CSO6430</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support	General Comment	Quality of materials and road layout is a key requirement to any new house building. More 2 bed homes to give small families a chance at being housed. Houses and roads can be attractive (Look at Poundbury, Nr Dorchester). Enough parking is a must - no 1.25 spaces per plot, plus a couple of visitors bays, will not suffice. Plenty of 'part rent - part buy' should be included to give all young people a chance to have a home. Try to get away from open plan frontages. Terraces of even small boundary fenced homes look better and give a feel of being established houses.			912
502032	Mr and Mrs Pitt		<a href="#">CSO6447</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The whole area is congested, there is no real provision for new TRANSPORT OR services for new homes ie doctors, local shops or community ctrs. There are already MANY EMPTY industrial units. Building more industrial is only viable if a tax loss for developers. Verwood has lost its soul now, and does not need any more ad-hock development. Wimborne will follow if			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the development of this (at present) lovely Town is carried out in the same way as Verwood has been.			
502034	Mr and Mrs A and C Buckingham		<a href="#">CSO6439</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Area already over built. Many areas do not have access to the small amount of public transport, which is infrequent. Public services Dr's etc appear to be overstretched as it is. Narrow roads cannot cope with the already increased traffic. Many surrounding roads are gridlocked especially at weekends.			912
502041	Mrs M Franklin		<a href="#">CSO6472</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I would prefer to see small pockets of affordable homes to blend in with the local community and keep our green belt. Do we need more industrial sites and shops which will increase traffic and eat into our countryside?			912
502059	Mr R Seddon		<a href="#">CSO6501</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502076	Kay Stead		<a href="#">CSO6556</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502099	Carolyn Lourens		<a href="#">CSO6593</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502113	Mr A Garner		<a href="#">CSO6630</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	(Picture of Stocks drawn on sheet.) These should be placed in town centres to discourage yobs and vandals, to which they will be fastened.			912
502114	Mr		<a href="#">CSO6641</a>	Non	Support					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	P Foster			Preferred Option FWP 5						
502136	Mr and Mrs WA Forster		<a href="#">CSO6685</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502138	Mrs E Mason		<a href="#">CSO6697</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Too much traffic and too many people putting pressure on roads, jobs, hospitals, schools, doctors, dentists etc. Please keep to MODERATE expansion in all areas especially Ferndown/West Parley area.			912
502312	Mrs Sally Brierley		<a href="#">CSO6801</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	No new building should be anywhere near a floodplain with sea levels rising. Transport issues on and around the A31 are already over capacity - no development should proceed without that being sorted - including knock on effects of A31 issues. Has it actually been established that there is a need for all the homes and industrial development? Are we at risk of creating a need in order to service developers rather than establishing if there will be a real need in the first place?			912
502317	Cllr Peter Lucas		<a href="#">CSO6831</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		As a Ferndown councillor I am very concerned about the proposals for large scale development at Parley Cross and the impact the proposals will have on people from Ferndown commuting to Bournemouth, Christchurch and Bournemouth airport for work. Public transport			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							improvements in services from Ferndown to Bournemouth/Poole are needed, especially early mornings evenings and Sundays where residents have observed an insufficient service.			
502322	Mrs J C Critchell		<a href="#">CSO6836</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		With the existing infrastructure at Parley Cross, West Parley, any development should be away from the cross roads. It should be in small pockets and of low - medium density in keeping with the area. The aesthetic values of the countryside are of the utmost importance in providing a fitting habitat for human beings, and in protecting the other habitats from human beings. The Green Belt is a vital asset and must be retained. At present, West Parley has a semi-rural feel and appearance - the reason people want to live here. If you destroy this ambience and concrete over large areas we might as well live in an urban jungle. I wouldn't want West Parley to become another Ferndown.			912
502326	Mr and Mrs T Bennett		<a href="#">CSO6880</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		With more homes will need more employment, a vicious circle. Our roads are too busy now. We surely have enough community areas and centres.			912
502329	Mrs Rosemary Rooke		<a href="#">CSO6887</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502341	Mr		<a href="#">CSO6910</a>	Non	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Richard Quainton			Preferred Option FWP 5						
502342	Mrs D P Scamell		<a href="#">CSO6927</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502344	Mr and Mrs W Smith		<a href="#">CSO6945</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Too much traffic in the area already. (speed limit on New Road and Christchurch Road should be 30) People want to see green fields not 100's of houses.			912
502345	Mr and Mrs Ray and Irene Coulson		<a href="#">CSO6949</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502347	Sarah Cobb		<a href="#">CSO7089</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502366	Mr P Lewis		<a href="#">CSO6992</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502371	Mrs S Smith		<a href="#">CSO6998</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502376	Mark Palmer		<a href="#">CSO7011</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley/Ferndown already has too many residents for the current services (schools, doctors) due to the knocking down of large houses and building blocks of flats.			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502381	Mr Cyril Josey		<a href="#">CSO7063</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Why is it necessary to include affordable homes (low value) in with others (of higher value), thus lowering the value of other properties in the area. Put low value homes of high density in low value areas. People are not going to accept paying high prices for property when other houses nearby are run by housing associations or the council renting to tenants. 50% affordable housing in any area is too much. Local infrastructure, road improvement, services etc must be provided in all cases.			912
502387	Mr George Kilpatrick		<a href="#">CSO7050</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion	I would like to see the justification for increased housing in East Dorset eg, demographics, immigration, increased work opportunities etc. I would also like to understand how transport strategy (and infrastructure) is coordinated with this in such a way to make expansion sustainable.			912
502392	Mr and Mrs G Orr		<a href="#">CSO7032</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
359598	Mr A KETCHLEY		<a href="#">CSO8001</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
359873	Mrs M HUGHES		<a href="#">CSO8620</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		A31 Canford Bottom needs to be bridged if increased traffic from new housing takes place; it just can't take any further loading (or a dual carriage			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							way up to A350 from Ferndown).			
359889	Mr and Mrs P CLARK		<a href="#">CSO8490</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		With any developments we would need to be assured that infrastructure improvements are in place BEFORE any development is consented to. This is particularly important with regard to public transport provisions. It is also important that feedback is forthcoming as an acknowledgement of our response to this survey.			912
359977	Miss M.G. EARP		<a href="#">CSO8070</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360145	Mr Nigel WARREN		<a href="#">CSO8207</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
361123	Mr Iain STEVENSON		<a href="#">CSO8228</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
475502	Mr Richard Croom		<a href="#">CSO7733</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any of these proposed developments would definitely increase traffic on all of the local roads, particularly Christchurch Road from Hurn to Longham village, creating further tailbacks and congestion at Parley Cross. It is difficult if not impossible to envisage how developers could 'contribute to the provision of traffic improvements' when there is no space for extra lanes in the aforementioned roads leading to or from Parley Cross, or the junction itself.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>These roads are already saturated with traffic at peak commuter and school travelling times, and if there was a major incident at the airport, the emergency services would struggle to obtain access.</p> <p>A further point to consider is that if homes are built on the sites earmarked at FWP3 and FWP5 they are very close, or directly under the take-off flight path from the airport.</p>			
501822	Mr Stephen Collins		<a href="#">CSO7713</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I object to any development on green belt land.</p> <p>The infrastructure in the area cannot support these proposals but if some development goes ahead the developers must improve the transport improvements.</p>			912
501826	Mr Gordon Hunt		<a href="#">CSO7727</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>FWP 4 &amp; 5 is good farmland which is rare these days.</p> <p>Dudsbury Fort must be preserved as ancient monument.</p> <p>Housing should be of low density to match surrounding area, don't forget the cyclists and electric buggies we have enough suicide runs eg New Road.</p>			912
502404	Antony Jennings		<a href="#">CSO7073</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I believe your argument is floored on two accounts: -</p> <p>1) Ferndown/West Parley is not a first time house buyer's area. It is an aspirational area for 2nd time buyers/retired as there is no industry in Ferndown/West Parley. Workers need to commute some distance to their places of work.</p> <p>2) Developers will take one look at this</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							area and will build 'luxury' apartments; 'executive homes' not social housing. And if they did build social housing, where are the local jobs? They would have to commute like everyone else.			
502415	Mrs S Humphries		<a href="#">CSO7104</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		All sites would increase traffic levels very considerably-infrastructure not in place to accommodate all this extra building. Roads already grid locked when problems on spur Road.			912
502417	A Read		<a href="#">CSO7098</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502424	Mr and Mrs A V Messenger		<a href="#">CSO7122</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502429	Mrs Lesley Newcombe		<a href="#">CSO7129</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Increased traffic is the major concern.			912
502430	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7135</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown and West Parley housing leaflet - To many homes in a small area. What happens to the wildlife? Not enough schools			912
502435	Mr and Mrs P and S Sanderson		<a href="#">CSO7136</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502438	Carol Louise Craggs		<a href="#">CSO7149</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	None of these developments are viable unless the transport development PRECEDES them, obviously, so please concentrate on infrastructure			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							and services NOW. Thanks.			
502441	Mrs Ingrid Wells		<a href="#">CSO7163</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502468	Robert Lambert		<a href="#">CSO7385</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502492	M L Portugal		<a href="#">CSO7194</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It is vital with any development that the local infrastructure can handle the influx. Concerns over health-care, and social services, parking etc which are already struggling to cope! Also what constitutes affordable housing in East Dorset?!			912
502517	Mrs Crossland		<a href="#">CSO7234</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Infrastructure currently in place does not support existing population. FWP5 is under flight path and would be a dangerous place to live.			912
502520	P and M Coussell		<a href="#">CSO7242</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		1) No mention of public transport which is inadequate locally. 2) Green Belt is always 'up for grabs' and is gradually being eroded. 3) Will local people get preferential treatment for the housing, particularly the affordable? 4) Traffic congestion locally is already a major issue.			912
502524	Mr B Heap		<a href="#">CSO7253</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The traffic congestion is serious now; to increase the problem is not accepting responsibility for the future. To consider developing FWP 3, 4 and			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							5 close to the flight path from Bournemouth airport again is not accepting responsibility for possible accidents, noise and pollution.			
502528	Mr and Mrs B Stanford		<a href="#">CSO7259</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502568	Sarah Morgan		<a href="#">CSO7279</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502569	Mr & Ms M & L Skinner & Jeffries		<a href="#">CSO7307</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502570	Mr Samways		<a href="#">CSO7335</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
502579	Mr & Mrs R L Thorne		<a href="#">CSO7364</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502595	Mr Colin House		<a href="#">CSO7456</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		All these developments will cause an impact on the local heathland and the proposals in all areas will cause road chaos on the A31, Ferndown, Parley and Wimborne. A new road structure is required before any development takes place.			912
502596	A C Hayter		<a href="#">CSO7422</a>	Non Preferred Option	Support					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
502610	John Jackson		<a href="#">CSO7485</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502612	Hugh and Joy Dickson		<a href="#">CSO7457</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502632	Mr and Mrs Evans		<a href="#">CSO7502</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
502635	Mrs JS Brough		<a href="#">CSO7503</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
502648	Teresa Halford		<a href="#">CSO7519</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The road system would be overwhelmed by this level of development, even if the developers contribute to so called improvements. Use Brown Belt land to build small developments of affordable homes, thus avoiding the need to destroy the Green Belt with large developments that will very quickly turn into ghettos. The medical and school facilities in West Parley are totally inadequate for this increase in population - not to mention the level of policing required.			912
502661	Julia Green		<a href="#">CSO7527</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The level of infrastructure at West Parley will not support this level of new housing. The main drains are already inadequate especially when it rains			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							heavily. Most importantly, the volume of traffic now is excessive with Parley Crossroads frequently gridlocked so any additional traffic would make the area impossible to travel through. Also doctors/dentists are in short supply.			
502670	Mrs S Trevorrow		<a href="#">CSO7540</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502673	Mrs A Powell		<a href="#">CSO7567</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The infrastructure in this area needs to be sorted out before anymore building goes ahead. There are times you cannot move on the roads. The area is being ruined with all this building works that are already going on.			912
502678	Mr R F Perry		<a href="#">CSO7553</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Let's see the infrastructure in place first.			912
502683	Mr and Mrs Garfield Milne		<a href="#">CSO7564</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Green spaces should be kept as green spaces.			912
502701	Mrs M Williams		<a href="#">CSO7594</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
502706	Neil Kenworthy		<a href="#">CSO7610</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
502707	N Tomsett		<a href="#">CSO7631</a>	Non Preferred	Object		I moved to West Parley 4 years ago specifically because it was surrounded			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5			by green fields not masses of housing. I have watched another village now a town (the place I grew up, Selsey Bill) destroyed by overdevelopment on green land. Selsey has now lost its heart and soul. Overdevelopment destroys community spirit which is vital to protecting communities like Parley from crime, pollution, erosion etc. If Dorset CC considers itself a green council then this should include green fields not their destruction!			
502708	Ms Julia Owen		<a href="#">CSO7645</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502712	W D J Pearce		<a href="#">CSO7646</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I do not think that FWP4 and FWP5 at West Parley are viable proposals. An additional 500 homes may well produce an additional 1,000 cars creating further strain on the already over stretched New Road. Similarly, FWP3 is a non-starter as all traffic from this proposed site will have to be routed through Christchurch Road causing bottlenecks on that road. Moreover, the proposals for modifications at Canford Bottom suggest more congestion over Longham Bridge. I also consider that the Planners should refuse all further demands for sheltered housing schemes as the new buildings on Ringwood Road have created more cars in the area slowing traffic.			912
502745	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO7685</a>	Non	Object		No building on green belt sites. No			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	J.M Kenny			Preferred Option FWP 5			increase of traffic along A31, A350 A35			
502749	Gerald Giltrow		<a href="#">CSO7676</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502865	Mr and Mrs D H Bustard		<a href="#">CSO7703</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>We are implacably opposed to sites FWP3, 4 and 5 on the grounds that they would destroy what remains of a village atmosphere around Parley Cross. In particular, the surrender of FWP5 to development would be to remove West Parley's true village green. Additionally, the proposal of 400 dwellings represents a monstrous increase of about 22% on the total of West Parley dwellings, today standing at about 1800. Furthermore this development would widen very considerably the southern residential tip of West Parley which is already sufficiently close to the boundary with Bournemouth at Ensbury Bridge. Indeed there is already a widely held view that Ferndown and West Parley are, even now, part of the Bournemouth conurbation.</p> <p>A final thought - neighbourhoods may have the final say if the impending Localism Bill finds parliamentary favour.</p>			912
502876	Mr Russell Tidman		<a href="#">CSO7714</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		All traffic travelling north or south across the River Stour have only three points to cross, along the six mile stretch from the Spur Road to Longham. They are already heavily			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							congested. More homes, more cars, more congestion.			
502880	Mrs P M Tarbuck		<a href="#">CSO7724</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502887	Mrs Grace Sargeant		<a href="#">CSO7741</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		General Comment	FWP 4 & 5 should not be used until the road services have been adopted to take the extra traffic. What is happening about the plan to build on the Dormy Hotel site?			912
502900	Mrs M Baynham		<a href="#">CSO7746</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
502910	Mr & Mrs R & JD Gregory		<a href="#">CSO7755</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My husband and I totally object to FWP 3, 4 and 5. Ferndown and West Parley cannot take any more traffic. Every time there is an accident on the main spur road from Bournemouth to Ringwood all the traffic is rerouted through Ferndown and West Parley causing absolute dead lock. We need some green spaces left not concrete jungles			912
502913	Mr & Mrs D Whitmarsh		<a href="#">CSO7913</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There should not be any more large scale house building in the East Dorset area as the roads cannot cope with any more traffic			912
502918	D M Moore		<a href="#">CSO7762</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Anyone looking at the traffic on the main roads in this area would realise that with more houses and flats, these roads would come to a complete standstill at most times of the day.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
502921	Mr and Mrs L Forinton		<a href="#">CSO7788</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
502923	Mrs M Phippard		<a href="#">CSO7772</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Use brown field sites first, you never get the green land back it will be gone forever. FWP 5 seems to be under the flight path of the airport so noise issues plus risk of accident.			912
502925	Mrs J E Allen		<a href="#">CSO7782</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I strongly object to any development in the West Parley/Ferndown area. The road infrastructure cannot cope in this area at the moment. I feel it is just a way of increasing revenue by the way of council taxes or the Local Authority to fund their pension deficit.			912
502934	Mr D Parkinson		<a href="#">CSO7801</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There needs to be a major road improvement scheme before we should consider housing to the East of New Road.			912
502935	Mr Roger Parker		<a href="#">CSO7818</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Please take care - if you allow development on areas like West Parley it will mean that the visual link between Bournemouth and Ferndown will be like a seamless conurbation and will result in Ferndown/East Dorset losing its status as a 'place' - it will resemble one large conurbation. Better I believe to preserve some 'Green' separation just to maintain a visual separation.			912
502937	Mr and Mrs M J Turvey		<a href="#">CSO7812</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		1) We moved to West Parley from Ferndown for peace and quiet. 2) Why so many houses to be built, who will be using them.			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>3) What do you mean by liveliness and prosperity, I expect you mean a lot more noise and cars.</p> <p>4) What extra schools, doctors and police are you going to provide.</p> <p>5) Do you realise project 4 and 5 will be under the flight path and who will want that?</p>			
502944	Mr and Mrs D Cook		<a href="#">CSO7828</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>We are most concerned about traffic problems on New Road and Parley Cross area.</p> <p>Also Longham roundabout and adjoining areas traffic is very bad already.</p>			912
502950	Mr I G Banks		<a href="#">CSO7841</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Family and I moved to West Parley in 1974, to enjoy attractive fields, woods, heathlands and R Stour walks. I would not support your options?? Because of attracting even more increase in New Road traffic. The last thing we want is another food supermarket or more crossroads changes.</p> <p>Imbalance in Age Structure Members of the older population have been encouraged to move into the district largely as a result of policy in recent years of the Town Planning department regarding giving approval of existing housing sites being developed as blocks of flats. Most of these are suited to or reserved for, people of a particular age. Thus the situation has been engineered to a great extent and must be accepted as it is. The anticipated increase in population will involve young, those of working age, and the elderly. Provision will have to be found for everybody,</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>but the EDDC covers a large area where a wider search for suitable sites is taking place. My own two daughters have homes outside of this district.</p> <p>Population Growth The population growth being so 'significant' it demonstrates to me the considerable number of homes that have been generated in the period from 1961 until fairly recently in 2008 (see Options 10.6). If we are going to preserve existing areas used as parks, recreational areas, walks in Green Belt areas, then further housing development will have to be restricted. It is not going to be feasible to keep chasing ever increasing arbitrary home building targets. I repeat my daughters have homes outside if this district.</p> <p>Green Belt Area There has been a great deal of energy expended, and anxiety generated, during the recent battle with the Regional Spatial Strategy body regarding their proposal to see homes built within the Green Belt areas including agricultural land, It was my understanding that, having gained the backing of the DCC to fight these proposals, and the policy of the new government to cancel the various RSS policies, then the preference of the local population would prevail over decisions regarding use of Green Belt areas. I cannot, therefore, see the necessity to revisit this subject when a clear choice has been made through unflagging efforts made on our behalf through various meetings and consultations by the Residents</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Associations concerned.</p> <p>Industrial Estates                      These have been expanded over the years since inception. A great deal of the employment opportunities are benefitting people from outlying district, this automatically contributes to traffic flow. Further opportunities to increase employment locally should not therefore be encouraged close to residential areas.</p> <p>General Comments                      Transport                      The road network does suffer from high levels of congestion. Whenever traffic is diverted from the A31 or Bournemouth Spur Road, then New Road has traffic at a standstill for periods between a short crawl forwards. The result is high concentrations of exhaust fumes are released into the atmosphere in close proximity to dwellings. Any increase in business, sports or other facilities will increase levels of traffic congestion even further. Any modifications to roads or road junctions will lead to increased frustration of drivers in traffic queues. Parley Cross cross-roads has previously modified with extra lanes, involving changes to services and drainage, shop forecourts and parking facilities. I don't think anyone wants to see that happen again, and definitely not a 3000Sq.m food store taking up Green Belt land under the pretext of providing additional housing land.                      I trust that further searches for suitable land for homes development within EDC area may progress with the</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							above comments fully taken into account.			
502987	Anne Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO7853</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Unless the roads (all of them) are completely renovated, there is absolutely no way that the Parley area can cope with any more traffic than it currently sustains. Together with the increase of noise from both flights from the airport and the traffic, the village atmosphere would be lost. There is plenty of shopping available at Castlepoint and in Ferndown or Kinson, so no extra shops are required.</p> <p>Please do not join Parley to Bournemouth physically - the green belt is absolutely VITAL in all the areas suggested for redevelopment. The animals in Poor Common have already suffered once due to building; and further erosion of their habitat along Christchurch Road would be disastrous.</p>			912
502989	Jackie Butcher		<a href="#">CSO7873</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Each town or village needs its green space to stop its identity being swallowed up by neighbouring towns. Why not build a shopping mall in Ferndown, demolish the depressing High Street (Victoria Road and Ringwood Road) shops and build new homes on the resulting space in town centre. I cannot support such vague outlines in FWP1 and FWP5.</p>			912
502999	Mrs Marion E Lock		<a href="#">CSO7878</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>1. What has happened to the idea of development on the old Dormy Hotel site in West Parley?</p> <p>2. It would be better to develop on the</p>			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							outskirts of our villages, as this would also help to sustain their village schools, shops, post offices and churches etc. It also provides a more natural environment to bring children up in. They are more likely to experience a friendly, close-knit community where people all know one another, than on a large estate near a town. Towns also don't become over-developed.			
503022	Mr and Mrs D Money		<a href="#">CSO7912</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>1. FWP is the only area where 'All of the options will need transport improvements.'</p> <p>2. FWP is already clogged with traffic.</p> <p>3. The whole area should receive major traffic improvements BEFORE any building takes place. Too often in the past, there have been major promises made of transport improvements only for these to be cancelled due to change of government or economic circumstances.</p> <p>4. Has consideration been given to the effect on local schools, medical and other services?</p> <p>5. FWP 3, 4, 5 are under the flight path from the airport. Has any consideration been given to the environmental health effects?</p>			912
503040	Kathleen Schofield		<a href="#">CSO7944</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Use of Longfield Drive as an exit for FWP unacceptable			912
503085	Mr P.A.		<a href="#">CSO7975</a>	Non Preferred		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Scott			Option FWP 5						
503156	Richard and Jackie Blunderfield		<a href="#">CSO8041</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503163	Mrs M Kimber		<a href="#">CSO8080</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Keep the Green Belt. Protect wildlife on Green Belt. Conservative Party promised not to build on Green Belt. Traffic problems already exist.			912
503171	Sally Cooke		<a href="#">CSO8086</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503176	Vernon Britton		<a href="#">CSO8105</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The above areas should be preserved as Green Belt land, part of an important environmentally sensitive area as a habitat for wildlife close to a river catchment area.			912
503183	B Chissell		<a href="#">CSO8163</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503188	David Zambra		<a href="#">CSO8124</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Existing road system struggles to support current traffic volumes. Christchurch Road and New Road are frequently gridlocked! Any additional access to Christchurch Road between Dudsbury Golf Course and Dudsbury Road would be extremely dangerous. This stretch of road has seen several fatalities in the past!			912
503189	Mr David		<a href="#">CSO8117</a>	Non Preferred	Object		FWP 3, 4, 5 - sometimes absolute traffic chaos at Christchurch Road/New			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Bulley			Option FWP 5			Road junctions, at present time. Number of extra vehicles would make it worse.			
503233	F Parkes		<a href="#">CSO8247</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503250	Mrs Helen Poole		<a href="#">CSO8272</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
359920	S PARKER		<a href="#">CSO9546</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
360095	Mr & Mrs John & Barbara POLKINGHORN		<a href="#">CSO9825</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
360111	Mr K VIVIAN		<a href="#">CSO9602</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360701	Mrs Jennifer Thorpe		<a href="#">CSO9384</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		In spite of supporting these developments we do not support all of them - there would be far too much traffic going through Parley Cross and also the limited numbers of routes across the river - New Road, Longham and Hurn. Parley Crossroads needs developing - this would be compulsory for any development, and also green spaces/play areas. Improved public transport - compulsory. Castle Point,			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Bournemouth Hospital, Airport/Christchurch - all on our doorstep with no public transport to access. Medical and school provision should be included in any development. Under no circumstances should West Parley lose all its Green Belt - important that urban sprawl is not continuous between Ferndown and Bournemouth.</p> <p>A total of 250 houses should be the maximum in West Parley. If any Green Belt is lost - it would be sensible to move the existing boundary to behind existing dwellings on south side of Christchurch Road between the entrance to the Dudsbury Restaurant and 176 to include the derelict piece of land between The Horns and Owls Nest, where Japanese knotweed is well established and now spreading wildly through surrounding properties. This area is also a haven for rats.</p>			
361015	Mr and Mrs M.S and C.E HACK		<a href="#">CSO8501</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		<p>We would like to STRONGLY support FWP5 at New Road and Christchurch Road for the following reasons: It provides a high proportion of affordable homes. The site has good links to Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole. The site would not directly affect existing housing in the area. Although not a brownfield site it is close to the commercial environs of Bournemouth Airport. It is well away from Parley Common and would therefore have little effect on the wildlife, nor would it change any aspect of the heathland.</p>			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							The informal park and open space would be an added facility, unlike the current site which can only be crossed by a public footpath. FWP 4 would still affect the same area, but would not provide as many much needed homes.			
476561	Mr Kevin Horton		<a href="#">CSO9196</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I should like to put my opinions and have more to say than could be expressed in the text box you provide. I feel passionate about this subject, and hope that you will consider some of my concerns. Where one lives and what happens to it is an emotional as well as practical and financial issue since it impinges on all aspects of one's life - changes to quality of life and environment cannot be measures in just pounds and pence and how much profit it will bring to the neighbourhood or its attendant Council. I have placed "object" against each of the proposed sites of development. This is because in principle I do not think that West Parley should be subjected to housing estate development within the medium term future. West Parley has a unique character and semi-rural nature for which the presence of green fields interspersed within the current village is essential. Although a small site in itself may not seem too critical, the gradual erosion of the natural open space will change its character forever - in my opinion, not for the better. There are few places that are both conveniently situated for the facilities			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>of Bournemouth and Poole and yet still have rural character such that you can pass horses and sheep in fields on the way to the shops - this is very valuable to me.</p> <p>Need for further houses.</p> <p>I am not in a position to know the extent of the pressure for new housing but if, as you suggest there is an imbalance of elderly people, and then the requirement for new housing in this area is likely to be minimal. Several times you emphasis that you want a "lively" Ferndown and West Parley. I don't know exactly what is meant by that, but if you believe this can only be achieved by encouraging younger people to move into the areas, then I fail to see how that can help with any housing shortage. The last Government decided that building new roads cannot solve traffic congestion since more people will be encouraged to use these roads. I suggest that a similar situation applies to building more houses; there will never be enough houses as people from other towns will move here to fill them, "Affordable" Housing.</p> <p>Although I realise there may be a need for a small number of starter homes for people on low income, I fear that by "Affordable Housing" you may mean houses of sufficiently low price and quality that local housing associations can afford to buy them and rent them to the Council. I owned a 'starter home' in Wallisdown for 16 years and gradually saw the area deteriorate as homes were bought up by housing</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>associations and used by the Council to house 'problem people'. When I complained about the persistent high levels of crime in the area resulting from this, I was told that the Council had a duty of care to house such people and nothing could be done other than moving them on to another estate when the problem got too bad. Eventually most half-decent people decided to leave the area. I do not want a similar situation to develop here, in the West Parley that I love West Parley currently has a low level of crime - let's keep it that way!</p> <p>I realise houses are expensive, but that is not unique to this region, and not entirely caused by supply and demand. It is a reflection of a general far reaching imbalance in the economy. Much of the elevated house prices are a result of excessively high mortgages that were offered by banks and building societies in the ten years or so prior to the credit crunch. When I bought my first house in 1982 interest rates were at 15% and I had a 93% mortgage - now with credit shortage you are lucky to get a 30% mortgage - that makes many houses unaffordable but it is not related to a housing shortage.</p> <p>I believe everyone has to live where they can afford. I could never afford a house in Canford Cliffs or Sandbanks, and it would be no good someone who bought a house there many years ago when they were cheap complaining that their offspring could not afford to live near them and there ought to be</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>more affordable houses built in that area - it just wouldn't happen! People cannot always live where they would like, but this provides a natural gradient throughout the housing market, and something to aspire to as they earn more money throughout their life.</p> <p>Environmental Impact                      You never miss things until they are gone forever, and the openness of West Parley would be gone forever if all your options were to be realised. Every time one more field goes, the area becomes more urbanised, more shut-in. Worst of all, judging by the number of houses you propose for each region, the houses will be very densely packed in a way that maximises profit for the builders. It leaves very little space for gardens which these days form a major haven for wildlife. If we really must have a few more houses, let's have some quality homes that are fitting to the area not 'little boxes made of ticky-tacky' as the song goes.</p> <p>The lesser of the evils                      although I have put an 'objection' against each option, if I were asked to grade them I would say that the options get worse as their FWP number increases, that is option 5 is the worst option with option 1 being perhaps the least harmful.</p> <p>FWP Option 1                      This land is very close to existing houses and would be largely a continuation of the housing estate that was formed by carving up Poor</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Common. It would not have much of a presence from the road providing the surrounding fields that are not marked remain, although I expect it would not be long before they were claimed too. Exit onto either Ringwood Road or Christchurch Road would be difficult due to the already high levels of traffic congestion existing on those roads at peak times.</p> <p>FWP Option 2 This is a visually attractive area with a woodland backdrop of what remains of Poor Common. Although this is a relatively small region, it would be missed as an open space. The best that can be hoped is that it could be suitably shielded from road side view. Access could only be to Christchurch Road with all its congestion problems.</p> <p>FWP Option 3 Getting much worse! This area is highly visible when coming from Longham into West Parley and affords beautiful views from the hill. Building here would make this whole section of Christchurch Road boxed in. There would no longer be the delightful walk between the fields into the back of Parley Cross past the horses and ponies. It appears the exit from the estate would most likely be onto Christchurch Road just before the traffic lights to conflict with all the traffic queuing ....not a good idea!</p> <p>FWP Option 4 There may be some merit for a small health centre as suggested and certainly we need a better post office in this region but no significant houses.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>This area has a very open feel to it that really defines Parley Cross and I think it should be retained as much as possible. In light of your other possible plans to provide a gyratory traffic system in that area, who would want to live in a giant roundabout? - It would be horrendous, and access and egress would be very difficult.</p> <p>FWP Option 5                      Now this is a really bad idea, and even the Council have called it the least preferred option. It would significantly shut in West Parley and change the whole character of the village forever. The vast number of houses in that area would cause severe traffic problems no matter what options were chosen for improving the traffic system at Parley Cross.</p> <p>Transport Issues                      I don't know how you can say in your leaflet 'The possible development options listed earlier could increase traffic on our roads'. They would increase traffic on our roads - that is for sure. In most cases I have found that builders are only happy to support roads on their own estates. Sometimes these roads are built to poor quality and have to be repaired soon after being adopted by the Council. A few more cycle paths and a bus lane are not going to redress the problems caused by the increase in traffic from the extra dwellings (unless none of the new residents can afford cars!)</p> <p>A major new store (like Sainsbury's) may be persuaded to spend some money on roads and I believe they did</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>on the Alder Hills development, but I don't think we have the need for a new supermarket in West Parley. Several convenience stores in Parley Cross have found it difficult to make a living, and Co-op finally gave up. Tesco seems to be doing better but I expect that's only because of the muscle they have and the strength to hold position while it is not always profitable. I think the current Tesco Express (along with that on Glenmore Road) supplies all the needs of West Parley, and I am surprised that another convenience store at Parley Cross was recommended in your documentation. Building on the Green Belt, The Green Belt concept established in the 1950's and confirmed as recent as 1995 in the Planning Policy Guidance Note 2, has its main objective to safeguard the countryside from encroachment of towns and prevent urban sprawl and there are many good reasons for the existence of Green Belts. Clearly, to be of any good, the belt has to be as continuous as possible and connect where possible to provide corridors for wildlife. It's no good trimming it down to the width of an average garden. If councils are allowed to build on Green Belt land, this defines a main principle - that of preventing encroachment on countryside. I believe the present Government still state they do not support building on the Green Belt, so why do it? And finally... I find the statement that was made by</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							a Council representative at a public meeting that: "We will not build on the Green Belt; we will simply redefine its boundaries" astonishing! In my opinion changing the well-established boundaries that have existed for years without extremely good reason should be illegal for ay council because the Green Belt was set up on behalf of the population of the UK to protect their environment for present and future generations.			
499748	Ms Soozi Cooper		<a href="#">CSO9744</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
503024	Valerie Measey		<a href="#">CSO8892</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503202	Mr and Mrs D J Walters		<a href="#">CSO8284</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We object to any major development affecting the New Road area. 500 new homes could produce at least 500 more cars which could not be absorbed into the present system which already reached deadlock caused by even the smallest work on the roads, school runs, flooding etc. Can you make provision for the transport dilemma in any other direction other than New Road?			912
503299	Mr and Mrs R Farnfield		<a href="#">CSO8454</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		These proposals would cause havoc to the Parley Cross area. Traffic is already a nightmare. To accommodate the amount of homes FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 would be ridiculous. The			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							only solution which could be possible would be a flyover even that would be doubtful because of the volume.			
503303	Mr Anthony Roberts		<a href="#">CSO8311</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503306	Ian and Freda Hancock		<a href="#">CSO8690</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Ferndown and West Parley Options – These are a completely disingenuous and self-serving set of proposals by the Council, both in terms of the context in which they have been made and also in terms of the time-frame allowed for the consultation process. In terms of the context, these proposals represent a revival of similar ones made a couple of years ago and the timing of them says everything about the reasons for them: they have been made at the same time that the government has announced a reduction in funding for local government. Therefore the council has immediately embarked on a strategy for increasing its income from other sources, specifically by increasing council tax revenues through increasing the number of houses in the area. It should be noted that the proposals contain no details of plans to increase council expenditure to make improvements to such matters as schooling and medical care which would be needed to cope with the increased population in the area, and this is indicative of the true nature of the council's intentions. And in terms of the time-frame for the</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>consultation process, could there possibly be a more unreasonable and arbitrary deadline for the submission of comments on the proposals than 24 December? Most people have very busy lives and simply do not have the time to give these matters their fullest consideration during most of the year. The one time that they would have had such an opportunity would have been Christmas week, and it seems to me that the council was obviously fearful that local residents would actually have the time to consider the full enormity of these proposals during that period. This also shows what the 'localism' agenda will mean in practice: less scrutiny of councils by central government and the councils notionally welcoming more local involvement in local decision-making whilst at the same time making it as difficult as possible for local people to have their say on controversial matters.</p> <p>The proposals also contain a lot of contrived arguments to attempt to justify plans. An example is the statement that the area 'is popular with older people, leading to a significant imbalance in its age structure'. Older people will always be attracted to quieter areas, and younger people will always be attracted to livelier areas, and for that reason it is a good thing that all population centres do not have the same appearance and identity. The statement implies that the council believes that all areas should contain a balanced age structure, but if it does genuinely believe this to be desirable</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							then where are the counter-balancing proposals to make other areas suitable for elderly people? Again this would require expenditure on the part of the council and the lack of any detailed proposals provides further proof of the true intention behind these plans. There is a huge amount of waste in local government, especially the massive proportion of council tax revenues which are spent on local government salaries and pensions, but that will be the very last area made to suffer the consequences of the reduction in central government funding. Doubtless the council will first make cuts to services for the weak and vulnerable, especially the elderly, who do not have the strength or vigour to protest in the same way as we have seen in recent weeks from students. There is little doubt that, if these housing proposals do not go ahead, there will be even greater cuts to front-line services for the weak and vulnerable than those currently announced. Nevertheless that cannot justify changing the face and nature of an area forever, especially when so many savings could be made by cutting out the huge amount of waste and inefficiency that exists in local government administration.			
503315	Mr Kenneth Wood		<a href="#">CSO8339</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503347	Ms		<a href="#">CSO8368</a>	Non	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Hardwick			Preferred Option FWP 5						
503355	Mr Robert Griffiths		<a href="#">CSO8396</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503358	H C Hoare		<a href="#">CSO8425</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503378	Mr A Bouyer		<a href="#">CSO8467</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The area in general needs more industry to support the people who are going to live in the proposed housing expansion. In addition the infrastructure is not capable of supporting the above.			912
503389	Twyford		<a href="#">CSO8479</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503395	Ian Davis		<a href="#">CSO8504</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Loss of Green Belt would be a travesty. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would produce 1.5 vehicles per household, which results in 1000+ more vehicles on the roads. A queue of 1000 vehicles would stretch from Plowmans to Haskins (approx 2 miles). Major road works required at a cost of several million pounds, medical, shops, schools, youth amenities, leisure etc, etc.			912
503408	Steve Williams		<a href="#">CSO8522</a>	Non Preferred	Object		It seems unbelievable that any new homes should be considered to be			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5			built in this general area - bearing in mind the current traffic problems alone! More houses and people means more sewage, more waste, more eco stuff that will go to the eco recycling plant at E Parley, which already stinks to high heaven! Then there will be the new road scheme for the users of the new super-duper airport. It will soon look like where I come from - Hounslow. Where will I move to next for quality of life? I did not rely on a new house. I bought an existing house - second hand.			
503431	Phil Surridge		<a href="#">CSO8548</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The developer's contributions are standard practice, without them Councils do not grant planning permission anyway. Parley Cross is already one of the busiest lighting crossroads in the County and consideration should be given to how traffic can be diverted, not increased! West Parley is a quiet (aside traffic) parish with a subtle peacefulness. This will be completely destroyed with permission given to developments of this size and nature. ps Has your Sites of Special Scientific Interest policy been considered? (SSSI)			912
503444	R Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO8568</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503453	Paul and Julie Williamson		<a href="#">CSO8573</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
503462	Mrs S Clarke		<a href="#">CSO8601</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503474	Mr and Mrs T J Roffe		<a href="#">CSO8625</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503476	Mrs AM Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO8638</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503479	Robert Eastham		<a href="#">CSO8655</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Roads within the new estates will not alleviate the road network problems at Longham and West Parley, in fact any development only adds to the problems. The possibility of a further 1500 cars is intolerable.			912
503482	Mr Ron Hopkins		<a href="#">CSO8671</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503518	C Skipton		<a href="#">CSO8700</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503527	Claire Smith		<a href="#">CSO8714</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		No objection to low density housing developments which are more sustainable environmentally for transport. Existing large housing sites should be utilised where they remain unused. GREEN BELT MUST BE			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							PRESERVED.			
503529	Mrs Leggett		<a href="#">CSO8722</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503547	Mr Michael Stefanou		<a href="#">CSO8740</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am against any influx of peoples settling in Parley. Farmland is the reason I came here in my advancing years, (situated at front and rear of my home). It's peaceful and quiet here. Revellers do come and go from the caravan park in Church Lane. I have suffered damage to my front garden after midnight and noise. Please no druggies or drunks. I came to live here because of no neighbours from hell or war zone.			912
503554	Mr D Verguson		<a href="#">CSO8753</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503598	Mr John Turner		<a href="#">CSO8773</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503603	Mrs DJ Morley		<a href="#">CSO8801</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503621	A G Haines		<a href="#">CSO8844</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		As I'm sure you are perfectly aware, all developments I have ticked require serious consideration to the whole road network in the areas concerned.			912
503624	Mr		<a href="#">CSO8847</a>	Non		No				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	RT Jackson			Preferred Option FWP 5		Opinion				
503635	Mr J Gough		<a href="#">CSO8899</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
503639	Mrs and Mr M Stevens		<a href="#">CSO8942</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The A31 is already over-saturated with regular gridlock on Friday/Saturday without major redevelopment (min 2 lane dual carriageway from Ferndown industrial estate to Tolpuddle) it is incapable of taking any more traffic. Wimborne, Ferndown and Parley cross- we object to more building on green field sites Parley Cross area is already over-saturated with traffic and is incapable of taking any more. The entire East Dorset area already been over-developed versus other areas of the country. It does not have the transport, social, educational. Etc resources for any more.			912
503640	N J and S A White		<a href="#">CSO9074</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503644	Mr J Riley		<a href="#">CSO8972</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503657	Mrs S Harrison		<a href="#">CSO8984</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		Strong objection to high density development on any of the sites 3/4/5 listed due to the impact on			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			environment, overloaded road system, local educational/medical facilities - especially near the Parley Crossroads. I cannot see how any of these facilities can be improved sufficiently to cope with the extra traffic/population, although I appreciate that some development is necessary.			
503659	Mr JD Jenkins		<a href="#">CSO8999</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I do not agree with Green belt land being used for housing developments.			912
503663	D and L Scott		<a href="#">CSO9005</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		- Existing road system inadequate already especially for expanding airport traffic. - Inadequate medical and school provision for major increase. - Inadequate policing for major increasing population. - Where will the Green Belt go?			912
503674	S Hoare		<a href="#">CSO9027</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
503687	Mr Nick Smith		<a href="#">CSO9075</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley cannot cope with current levels of traffic. Any development in this area will be a disaster. All the developments are too big, with too much impact.			912
503689	Mr and Mrs Dunnings		<a href="#">CSO9084</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Road congestion desperate at present, with extension of airport and more traffic, the construction of several hundred houses with one or two cars, will be completely gridlocked. Friend's house sale fallen through owing to			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							purchaser unable to find school places, GP surgeries very, very full.			
503690	Ms Clare Parvin		<a href="#">CSO9124</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503696	Mr J and Mrs V Morum		<a href="#">CSO9127</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		With regard to options 3 and 5 feel sure the question of flooding should be strongly considered. Even Barrack Road is a high water table and we are located away from the river. Would also suggest P Office be re-located next to our new Tesco's.			912
503712	Mr B Barton		<a href="#">CSO9161</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		If options 3, 4 and 5 were to come about West Parley would lose that touch of the rural which attracted me when I and my family came here from Bournemouth 32 years ago, and were I younger I might decide to move away.			912
503717	Mr and Mrs L Grounell		<a href="#">CSO9171</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		4 and 5 floodplains. 1, 2 and 3 exit onto Bournemouth circular road and not on.			912
503725	G A Hughes		<a href="#">CSO9240</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503736	Mr and Mrs L D Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9189</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We, like most residents in West Parley are horrified and concerned at the possibility that any of these developments will proceed. As a Council please remember that the reason most residents moved here was for an improved quality of life - ie			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							less traffic and less demand on services. Please, please- do not turn us into a large conurbation attached to the edge of Bournemouth. West Parley already has the prospect of increased activity at the airport and the associated impact that will have on the existing infrastructure. As Councillors, please don't let this be your legacy - when we voted for you, we trusted you to do what is right.			
503759	Mr D.J. Middleton		<a href="#">CSO9248</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503763	K S Turner		<a href="#">CSO9212</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Where do these people live who suggest this area is suitable for housing? Not in this area. ED News No buildings should be considered until the infrastructure is completed. Traffic, medical, schools and access to Milhams Tip once a week etc. No motorway through Parley Cross please. The meaning of a formal space and park, valuable green infrastructure??? We have delightful green space. You seem to want to concrete it. FWP 4 and 5 should never be built on; they are in the flight path. Pollution noise and discharge from planes and is not acceptable. Then maybe 1/4 of buildings.			912
503766	James Simmonds		<a href="#">CSO9226</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
503787	Mrs P A Dent		<a href="#">CSO9269</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am totally against the development of large housing estates as they are not popular with the public. Also the cost of providing infrastructure will be phenomenal and impact on existing communities detrimental. Far better to use small existing areas of land rubber stamped as Green belt land but of no benefit to the community. These parcels are mainly within residential areas and give immediate access to facilities without overloading them. With a small number of houses built, people can get to know their neighbours better. I, of course, am part owner of such a piece of land.			912
503835	Lynda and David Phillips		<a href="#">CSO9287</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There should be no building on the Green Belt where everyone can walk their dogs and enjoy the open space. It is essential to preserve what we have left for future generations. It is the best open space outside of Bournemouth. Families, dog walkers and horse riders need open spaces locally. West Parley cannot cope with this any more traffic which is the busiest in Dorset - which leads to gridlock at times and we have difficulty getting out of our drives. There should be no more that 10% of social housing as it leads to increase of crime and vandalism in the area. Schools, doctor's surgeries would be unable to cope. Why don't you but empty houses in the private sector which would be cheaper than building new.			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Having lived in West Parley for 35 years we have watched its strangulation with fine homes with gardens destroyed to multiple units - when does it all stop?			
503842	Paul and Sharon Strange		<a href="#">CSO9293</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>It would be unrealistic not to accept the need for more housing, but I am supporting the smaller developments as these would have the least impact on the character of what can still currently be described as a semi-rural village. The purpose of green belt designations was to prevent urban sprawl. The village character of Parley is important to me, but developments at FWP3, 4, or5 would mean West Parley and Ferndown becoming an extension of the Bournemouth conurbation.</p> <p>I don't understand why precious green belt land is being considered for development, when there is already a suitable brownfield site a short distance away in Ferndown. I refer to the Dormy Hotel site. This already has housing on either side, road access at either end and it rapidly becoming an eyesore, as empty buildings become ever more shabby and dilapidated. I believe the council has already rejected two development proposals in part due to opposition from Natural England, but it seems illogical to protect a previously developed site in favour of developing virgin green belt land.</p> <p>I am also concerned about the transport situation if development goes ahead at the larger sites. Your form</p>			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>makes some vague reference to developer contributions to improvements, and specifies improved bus routes and cycle lanes. This would be laughable were it not so pitiful. The reality is that virtually every household has a car, and many have two, and yet it is my understanding that any development would not include any plans to improve the Parley crossroads junction. I already experience anxious moments whilst existing right from my road (Longfield Drive) at peak times and it is not unusual to see drivers ignoring the No Entry signs at the service road alongside New Road to avoid traffic queues as the situation stands. The current junction can, I believe, just about cope with the current weight of traffic, even at peak times. If, however, there is an incident on the Spur Road that causes extra traffic to seek an alternative route through Parley, long queues at all approaches quickly ensue, and the exits also become log jammed. This is currently an occasional nightmare, but could be the daily situation if large-scale development proceeds in the village.</p> <p>I have lived with my family in West Parley for the last 18 years and would therefore be extremely sorry to see radical alteration in the character of this village. I hope the council will agree that small scale development and utilising current empty sites is the best outcome for this area.</p>			
503846	Mr		<a href="#">CSO9314</a>	Non		No				912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Anthony Hose			Preferred Option FWP 5		Opinion				
503847	Jenny Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9309</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
503857	A J Thornton		<a href="#">CSO9321</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		<p>I believe the amount of development is still woefully inadequate to provide sufficient housing.</p> <p>Declaration of interests - I am a Landowner, Parent and a Christian. In the interest of justice and equality I would like my views to carry more weight than those of protesters. My family have been stewards of the land since before there was a Planning Authority and before noisy minorities moved here. We have forgone other economic opportunities in order to own land. We have mended fences in the dark, cold, rainy nights and pulled up ragwort from sun-baked land on sweltering days. We have sheltered wildlife, deer, foxes, badgers, owls, woodpeckers and buzzards and controlled rabbits. We have planted trees and cleared fallen ones after extraordinary storms. To say that my view is only equal to someone who has no commitment to the land, would be unjust and unfair.</p> <p>As a parent, I see my children's family life distorted by exorbitant house prices. I bought a 3 bedroomed house at the age of 22, which cost less than my annual salary. Young families must delay the age they have children, work</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>when they would like to be caring for their babies, all because we cannot build sufficient houses to bring the cost down to a reasonable level.</p> <p>As a Christian, I work with the isolated elderly; their loneliness is exacerbated by their family being unable to afford to live close by. The assumption that they should move to be near their children, breaks their network of contacts which has already diminished by deaths.</p> <p>Secondly, young families cannot put sufficient effort into relationships and their spiritual welfare, if it is diverted to providing homes and shelter. This can cause break-up and extra need for housing.</p> <p>We need to build, not a compromise number of houses, but more than are needed so property prices will stabilise, or better still, fall. I do not believe Cadbury could build Bourneville now!</p> <p>As a democracy, we are unable to make a statesman-like decision, and short term interests prevail. If these 'No Building Views' had been held 50 years ago the protesters would have no house here to live in.</p> <p>Please make a survey of people without houses. Then I believe the democratic majority of them would say 'Build, Build, Build'.</p> <p>In Summary</p> <p>As a landowner, I would like you to build more houses. I will look out at them and enjoy the landscaped paths around them.</p> <p>As a parent, I implore you to build more houses to help our children.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							As a Christian, I want you to care for the marginalised that are too busy trying to get by to campaign.			
359954	Mr Alan FLINT		<a href="#">CSO10013</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>1. I want to know the projected time scale for these options to start to become active.</p> <p>2. It is most important that there is adequate employment to accommodate the houses to be built.</p> <p>3. More attention must be made to supply of affordable housing.</p> <p>4. The green belt must be respected where possible.</p> <p>5. The estates around Wimborne must be consulted fully.</p>			912
360029	Mr David LANIGAN		<a href="#">CSO10285</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		<p>Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Christchurch &amp; East Dorset Core Strategy Document, Managing Growth and Development up to the year 2027. I agree with the preamble stating that we need to meet local housing needs, with the emphasis on growing the main settlements where we have good access to facilities that people require. Some development of smaller communities should continue however, especially the provision of low cost housing. The community as a whole needs the services of teachers, nurses, firemen, postmen, and policemen who are poorly paid because they commit to a vocation rather than a high salary job. We also need the services of skilled people such as builders, electricians, plumbers, gas fitters, and motor mechanics, the essentially blue collar</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>professionals. They must not be priced out of the market in terms of being able to buy a starter home, in the community that they serve.</p> <p>Having bought my first home in 1963, a two bedroom bungalow for £2,200 I am aware that locally such a property is currently one hundred times as much. This makes things difficult for young couples with the ratio of house prices to wages being the highest in the country. Many struggle with getting mortgages that now require typically a 25% deposit on an already expensive property.</p> <p>I moved to West Moors 15 years ago and appreciate the planning decisions that have taken place affecting this village. We now have a new build shopping centre on Station Road, complete with flats, good pavements, lighting, pelican crossing and trees. I am pleased to have seen built several small, three storey, blocks of retirement and two bed flats close to the village centre. I support the gradual expansion of the</p> <p>Village, current population around 7,500 as we have good schools, a large Memorial hall, Churches with halls, two public houses, doctors, dentists and good bus services to both Poole and Bournemouth. We have footpath access to open land such as Holt Heath, as well as being able to use the old railway line route through the village to Ringwood, - the Castleman Trail. Recently St Marys Church has had built a new Church Hall which will serve the community</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>and thanks to the National Lottery grant we now have an excellent Bowling Club. I support the continued slow expansion of the village as currently we have many facilities for people of all ages to enjoy.</p> <p>My current house was built in the mid-70s, and has trebled in value since my wife and I moved here 15 years ago. In the 1970s West Moors doubled in size and acquired new schools and since then other infrastructure improvements. In recent years the Library was refurbished. The facilities for retirees in West Moors, with access to Ferndown and Verwood, are very good, and coupled with excellent hospitals at Poole, Bournemouth and Wimborne, and I have felt no need to move from East Dorset area in the conceivable future.</p> <p>I therefore support the proposals for additional housing as outlined in the Core Strategy Consultation, as well as the proposals for business sites for employment. I also support provisions for new schools particularly a new Secondary School at Verwood, and recreation areas to cater for the population increase. I understand that net immigration into Dorset is running at two thousand people a year so clearly we need to plan for housing, jobs, education, recreation, road improvements especially at busy junctions, and off road car parking in town centres and on new housing estates.</p> <p>There are many reasons why East Dorset and Christchurch, are attractive</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							places for people to live, work and retire to. Long term planning that caters for the needs of a steady population increase is sensible and is to be welcomed.			
361105	Mr John GOOCH	Also member of Colehill Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO9913</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503861	Mr E Hawkins		<a href="#">CSO9345</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503864	Heather Freeman		<a href="#">CSO9356</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>The roads are already congested these developments would massively increase the problems. Schools, doctors, dentist and many other community services would need to increase to support these developments. West Parley village would be lost and existing house prices lowered.</p> <p>This massive increase of a further 840 homes to the area would have a great effect on the already congested roads and access to these areas, considering that most homes have at least 1 if not more cars per family!</p> <p>Local schools, doctor's surgeries, dentists and other community and council provided services and amenities would be unable to cope with the increase in demand due to the increase population, requiring these services to be developed to accommodate the demand.</p> <p>Child care services, play areas and</p>			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>social facilities would also have to increase to accommodate the increase of young children within these areas, together with supermarkets, local shops, post offices and so much more! As the proposal includes a large number of affordable housing, for people on low incomes and benefits, public transport would also be affected and would need to be addressed. Due to the massive increase in affordable housing within an area which is full of privately owned properties, house prices of existing properties within West Parley would be seriously affected. Existing property values would be lowered so affecting the current population which have worked and saved hard to move into this sought after area.</p> <p>The 'village/country' feel of West Parley and particularly Parley Cross would be lost and overtaken by busier roads, modern housing developments and a different type of resident that exists today.</p> <p>Our green belt areas which have diminished over the years will once again be massively reduced.</p> <p>The wildlife in the area will be seriously affected; the nesting buzzards which hunt and feed within the fields at Parley Cross would be forced out of their existing habitat and would lose their hunting grounds.</p> <p>The small herd of deer seen regularly grazing in the fields at Parley Cross would also lose their grazing lands and would be forced to move to another area.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>The main reason we moved into Church Lane, West Parley was the fact that we fell in love with the village and rural feel of the area. We worked and saved very hard and put everything we owned into buying our property so that our children would grow up enjoying the feeling of living in a rural/country environment. To hopefully help them become better adults, showing respect or their environment, neighbours and community.</p> <p>We moved from Winton, which in areas is over populated with houses, cars and people. Where people live in each other's pockets, properties have small gardens and where parking of vehicles is a major problem due to limited or in some cases no off road parking facilities. In an area where there was a very mixed type of resident, some privately owned properties, some rented to working families, students or DSS tenants, neighbour conflicts were very common. These developments will put 'us' back in the type of environment that was the reason for us moving to West Parley in the first place!</p> <p>We understand that not all affordable housing is occupied by 'badly behaved residents' but unfortunately these types of developments do encourage this type of resident.</p> <p>If these developments take place the 'old' West Parley and Parley Cross will be lost forever!</p> <p>We seem to have so few green belt areas left which feel part of our community, so little green areas within</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							our built up 'lives', it would be such a shame to reduce these even more....			
503869	Mrs Jean Khan		<a href="#">CSO9367</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>WPRA form</p> <p>The EDDC seems hell bent on destroying what was once a nice place to live.</p> <p>The Parley Cross Roads area is already chaotic, more housing in this area would only add to an already inadequate road system.</p> <p>I don't think West Parley is the best place for affordable housing.</p> <p>The present medical provision would be inadequate. It is already a fight to get an appointment. More houses would overload the system even more.</p> <p>One of the reasons I chose to live in West Parley was the Green Belt area. I hate the idea of losing it and I would not like to stay in W Parley should it be built on.</p> <p>The sewerage system has already got problems particularly the Gallows drive area. Again more housing would only add more problems to the present system. The expansion of Hurn Airport increases aircraft noise and I certainly don't want to become a suburb of Bournemouth. Added pressure to an already chaotic road system doesn't bear thinking about.</p> <p>West Parley and Ferndown Housing Leaflet</p> <p>Parley crossroads is already a nightmare with the extension of Hurn airport and the gravel pit, if 500 homes are to be built this means minimum 500 more cars adding to the chaos. Sewerage problems, Gallows Drive</p>			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							area has already got problems. There will be added pressures to the medical services. I have always avoided buying on a housing estate and have no desire to do so. I also have concerns for the wildlife as far as I'm concerned you can stuff FWP 3, 4 and 5, if this goes ahead I won't be staying in West Parley. You seem hell bent on destroying what was once a pleasant place to live.			
503877	Mr and Mrs T E C Hales		<a href="#">CSO9376</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have noted the sites on your applications and would make the following comments: 1) All the proposals are not viable unless there is either a bridged flyover or an underpass at Canford Bottom roundabout. 2) At present, Ferndown High Street/ Ringwood Road is always congested, Relief could be obtained if heavy lorries were not allowed through, but had to use the A31 Ferndown bypass. 3) Items FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are no go with the present system and would be very doubtful even if items 1 and 2 were carried out. 4) FWP2 should be supported also FWP1 is a feasible development. 5) Final comment please do not let anyone lower the speed limit through Ferndown to 30mph; even under present conditions this would bring traffic to long hold ups.			912
503878	Mr Peter Smith		<a href="#">CSO9400</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
503879	Mr S Smithson		<a href="#">CSO9426</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
503943	Mr & Mrs Rumball		<a href="#">CSO9455</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Good to see more houses, affordable houses being built. The only objection I have is to the increase of traffic on the A31, Christchurch Road, and Parley Crossroads. Why not make new roads, flyovers, underpasses where possible - Canford Bottom roundabout is one in question and make it a dual carriage way - too many accidents.			912
504093	Mr & Mrs Vivian		<a href="#">CSO9482</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
504101	Mrs Mary Treviss-Bell		<a href="#">CSO9509</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
504285	Mr P Miller		<a href="#">CSO9653</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Density - particularly FWP2. To achieve the densities you are proposing will be disastrously small - this is churning out rabbit hutches. Infrastructure. On the basis of 7 movements per property this amounts to almost 6000 additional movements per day on roads that are already overloaded. Highways works will only be tinkering with a situation that cannot cope with a minor accident or road works.			912
504314	Ms Selina		<a href="#">CSO9715</a>	Non Preferred	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Roper			Option FWP 5						
504549	Dan Stone		<a href="#">CSO9790</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley is a nice place to live. Building housing estates will tarnish that reputation and have a devastating effect on the community. Traffic is already a major issue in this area! It would be irresponsible to increase this problem further.			912
505273	Mrs Lorraine Hubbard		<a href="#">CSO9852</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
505288	Mrs S Cramer		<a href="#">CSO9887</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support	General Comment	As a married mother of 3 children, 2 girls and 1 boy, living in a 2 bed Council flat (first floor), I welcome more housing to the Wimborne area for young families needing affordable housing / rented housing.			912
505320	Mrs M Puttick		<a href="#">CSO9939</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown and West Parley - This area of East Dorset has been over developed for some years, making traffic congestion and access to all services unacceptably crowded. FWP 3, 4 and 5 have been subject to quite serious flooding over the last few winters, and it is surprising that housing would be considered here.			912
505354	Mr Tim Edwards		<a href="#">CSO9959</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
505369	J Young		<a href="#">CSO9986</a>	Non Preferred		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5						
505506	Mr Peter Hendra		<a href="#">CSO10062</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	<p>Building more houses has NOTHING to do with meeting the needs of (existing) local residents. We do not need or want more houses in our area. Planning to build more houses is driven by the 'need' to meet externally imposed quotas based on false assumptions on the desirability of further population and economic growth.</p> <p>Instead of wasting resources on building more housing which is ecologically unsustainable, we should be using them to make a transition to a low energy sustainable future for our children.</p> <p>Please listen to local residents and reject further development.</p>			912
505523	Mr & Mrs A Turner		<a href="#">CSO10084</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Can only really comment on Ferndown/West Parley and Wimborne areas.</p> <p>The potential for increase in traffic and harm to local ecology is biggest threat to West Parley and Longham. Traffic is already heavy - while commuting to Bournemouth a 20 min journey can take 45 mins. The strain on local infrastructure to doctors, schools and other sources will make life more uncomfortable.</p>			912
505561	Mr D. Calvert		<a href="#">CSO10113</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
505590	M Spalding		<a href="#">CSO10142</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
505681	Mr Nick Lewis		<a href="#">CSO10178</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown and Wimborne are already over developed. On top of that the road access via A31 west of Ringwood is dreadful at rush hour and ????? Persons and building 1000.s of new homes will make this situation worse and cause complete gridlock. The traffic noise from the A31 is awful. The road needs to be re-surfaced between Ashley Heath and Ferndown.			912
505742	L. J. Ashplant		<a href="#">CSO10204</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
505786	Mr and Mrs P Frampton		<a href="#">CSO10228</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Christchurch Road is already chaotic with all these proposed homes leading to this road it will be a nightmare! Affordable housing means terraced housing reducing the good housing we now enjoy. Leading to lowering of property values. Where does Ferndown come into this equation? It seems to me it's all West Parley. Surely there are many other areas in Ferndown that could lower the blow. Or are care homes and warden operated flats too good a money making area for Ferndown.			912
505802	Mr RGH Chapman		<a href="#">CSO10255</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
505808	D. C. J. Turner		<a href="#">CSO10242</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 4+5 should never be built on, as they are in the flight path. Pollution noise and discharge from planes which is not acceptable.			912
506116	Mr and Mrs P and SJ Simpson		<a href="#">CSO10306</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The current level of traffic in the West Parley and Ferndown area already causes considerable congestion. Any increase to this would just increase and compound this problem, particularly when any road works are on-going in the surrounding areas. At such times Parley Crossroads, New Road, Christchurch Road and Hurn become gridlocked. Local residents also have concerns over the provision of medical centres, community facilities, pre-schooling and school places if there were to be such a vast% increase in the population of West Parley.			912
506161	Mr RD Holyoake		<a href="#">CSO10329</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any more development within Dorset's existing built up areas will end with a complete gridlock of traffic.			912
506196	Mr & Mrs P Stout		<a href="#">CSO10358</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
506754	Mr Derek E Marsh		<a href="#">CSO10386</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507026	Mr David Craig		<a href="#">CSO10438</a>	Non Preferred Option	Support	General Comment	I would like to see priority given to projects which have a higher concentration of social housing			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			inclusion, and also include a higher green/renewable energy provision. Particular concentration on family areas, playgrounds and social/community facilities should be considered.			
507032	Mr David Oliver		<a href="#">CSO10464</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507033	A R Twaits		<a href="#">CSO10490</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support	General Comment	Despite improvements to public transport, cycling and walking, the reality is that the vast majority of journeys will continue to be made by car. Local roads are already congested and the extent of development proposed is not acceptable without significant increases in the capacity of these roads for cars. In particular problems on the Ferndown and Wimborne bypasses need to be resolved, and the A31 to Poole link built.			912
507034	Mr Ronald Webb		<a href="#">CSO10507</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
507070	Mr and Mrs Earwicker		<a href="#">CSO10514</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Homes Development - Ferndown and West Parley: - Sites 3, 4 and 5 - we strongly object to any development at Parley Cross. This would radically change the way our area looks and feels, and an already bad traffic situation at peak times would be made extremely worse. No amount of			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							development in the roads would solve the problem of too many people trying to use too few routes. Our other concern is 'affordable housing', mixing private homes for purchase with housing for persons who may not be as conscientious as their neighbours at looking after their homes etc. More development for these sorts of property should be at the Heatherlands Estate.			
507132	Ms L Joyce		<a href="#">CSO10533</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
507170	Miss A K Jukes		<a href="#">CSO10583</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
361037	Mr P STRATFORD		<a href="#">CSO11284</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I expect that my objection to everything is not very practical. However, I have lived in the area for most of the last fifty years. In that time there has been a constant cycle of the council saying we need more housing, followed by we need more industrial areas to provide extra jobs for all the new homes. Then in turn the council says we require extra jobs for the new homes, and then in turn the council says we require extra homes for the new workers. This process is never ending. During this time I've witnessed the creation and expansion of the industrial estates at Ferndown, Uddens, Ebblake and Wimborne. A whole new housing estate at Tricketts Cross, Glenmoor Rd			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Ferndown, and the expansion of West Moors, Colehill, and Verwood turned from sleepy village into a town. In this time I am not aware of any developed area being returned to its natural state from a developed one. Please let me know if you think differently. I hope you agree that my objection to development is rational and that the never ending cycle of expansion is stopped.</p> <p>All the suggested sites would add to the traffic volume at the crossroads and surrounding area, these are already congested. The increased development of the area means it will soon just look like a suburb of Bournemouth.</p> <p>NOW IS THE TIME FOR IT TO STOP!</p>			
475526	Mr Geoffrey Dark		<a href="#">CSO10959</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Any development in FWP 3, 4 and 5 would make traffic conditions at Parley Cross unacceptable. It would also destroy the rural nature of West Parley.</p> <p>Water from FWP 3 already runs across Ridgeway and into Longfield Drive and Elm Tree Walk, and concreting this area would greatly increase the problem. Also Longfield Drive could not accept traffic from the development, as it is very difficult now to exit into New Road.</p>			912
507218	Mr and Mrs J Smith		<a href="#">CSO10627</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
507261	Barnes		<a href="#">CSO10656</a>	Non	Object	General	Having lived in West Parley for 16			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Preferred Option FWP 5		Comment	years, the increase in traffic has been colossal. To build so many dwellings on local land is sheer lunacy as the infrastructure will not cope with it all and it will spoil a lovely rural area, already trying to cope with increased traffic problems due to airport expansion. There has been no mention of new schools either, which will have to be built to accommodate all these new families.			
507286	Mr N P Butler		<a href="#">CSO10698</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507336	Mr John Page		<a href="#">CSO10761</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507356	Mr and Mrs M Moody		<a href="#">CSO10854</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507363	Mr Kevin Sayer		<a href="#">CSO10879</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	The area alongside the A31 between St Leonards Hospital and Tricketts Cross is an area where many houses could be built. Currently this area is an eye-sore and gives a bad impression to visitors as they cross into Dorset from Hampshire. Why are nearly all your proposals on greenbelt land? There are many areas in East Dorset on non-greenbelt land which could be built on. Your proposal (FWP 4 and 5) will put more pressure on Parley			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Common as well as introduce more traffic on an already busy road system.			
507388	Mr David Huggins		<a href="#">CSO10911</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
507463	Mr and Mrs G Hirst		<a href="#">CSO11008</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
507474	Mark and Jean Cording		<a href="#">CSO11047</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>1) We are still due a correctment in housing prices; housing benefits have artificially underpinned the bubble. The so called shortage of housing is also caused by breaking up of the family unit (teenagers and young people do not need their own homes)</p> <p>2) The Green Belt must be protected, a temporary supposed need would and could not be reversed. There are hundreds of brown sites which could be utilised, without the need for more disruptive and expensive infrastructures.</p> <p>3) Green Belt land was created to protect open land between and around developments. We anticipated development and councils saying they need more land, it was and is vital so please do not change the policy. Times change, needs change, populations (immigration and emigration) demands disappear but green land cannot be replaced.</p>			912
507516	D Tyler		<a href="#">CSO11070</a>	Non Preferred		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				Option FWP 5						
507524	Mr C G Richardson		<a href="#">CSO11084</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507555	Mr and Mrs C Lamond		<a href="#">CSO11111</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507572	GJ Pettifer		<a href="#">CSO11152</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am firmly opposed to any significant housing development in West Parley for the following reasons: 1) Traffic increase arising from up to 850 houses will be quite unsustainable. Improving the x roads will make little difference because the junction is affected by traffic from Christchurch Road, New Road and the Airport. New Rd is also used as a substitute for the Wessex Way whenever that road has a problem. Road works in the area, up to 1 mile away always affect Parley Cross. 2) Further housing with its associated extra population and needs, will destroy the remaining pleasant character of West Parley. It will follow Ferndown as an overcrowded sprawl with insufficient infrastructure. 3) No mention of the Dormy! This is enough to contend with, thank you.			912
507652	Mrs Isabel Brooks		<a href="#">CSO11204</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		My Ferndown and West Parley objections are mainly due to the fact that there are already NO school places locally for extra families and			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							that this area is already under extra 'stress' environmentally. What about MORE allotments?			
507659	Ms Victoria Johnstone		<a href="#">CSO11222</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		I think it would be a good idea to support FWP 4 and 5, due to the fact of the large growth of the airport and its industrial estate, (a good local workforce for the industrial base of the Northern Business Park).			912
507693	Mrs P Carter		<a href="#">CSO11272</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507789	Mr CJ Barrett		<a href="#">CSO11452</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
507800	Mrs K M Platt		<a href="#">CSO11476</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507980	Sylvia Allen		<a href="#">CSO11592</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Any extra traffic at Parley Cross and Longham would be unbearable without major road improvements. Re more homes at Parley Cross.			912
508383	Ms Emma Hayter		<a href="#">CSO11707</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Think it is important for East Dorset to have affordable housing. At the moment I work in East Dorset and am looking to buy my first home, places in East Dorset are very expensive and are having to look outside the local area. I really don't want to move too far from my job.			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508413	Mrs S Best		<a href="#">CSO11767</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
508455	Mr W Swingler		<a href="#">CSO11804</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The traffic is bad now. The road network is nil. No schools. Not enough doctors. No. No. No.			912
508478	M Hewlett		<a href="#">CSO11830</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Can there be a road from Dudsbury Heights looping to New Road behind the houses to relieve congestion at Parley traffic lights.			912
508482	Mrs K Mills		<a href="#">CSO11836</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
508488	Mrs JA Levasseur		<a href="#">CSO11843</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown is built up enough and congested enough as it is. Affordable housing should have been built instead of all the care homes. This has encouraged vast numbers of elderly- who is going to care and pay for them?			912
360116	Mr A G KING		<a href="#">CSO11945</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The above 3 options 1-2-3 would produce a total of 340 houses with no visual impact on the are as they are all partially hidden. FWP5 is the worst as it joins Ferndown to Bournemouth. FWP4 should be resisted.			912
360910	Mrs Fiona BAKER		<a href="#">CSO12920</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The volume of traffic on New Road is already excessively high. Having spent 2 years marketing our New Road home congestion has already had a negative impact on the saleability and value of our property. These plans			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							would further exacerbate this situation.			
360952	Mr and Mrs GR and JE MATTHEWS		<a href="#">CSO12055</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
361014	Mr Peter Hammond		<a href="#">CSO12412</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Bearing in mind that the building of new homes on Poor Common went ahead despite the objections of local residents, the Environment Ministry and the Transport Ministry and the fact that new houses will soon be built on the Dormy Hotel site, none of these options should be considered. The area between Award Road and Stapehill Road on Wimborne Road West should be used.			912
361062	Mr & Mrs ENH COLLIER		<a href="#">CSO12917</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic levels in Christchurch Rd and Parley Cross are already excessive. Heavy lorries and speeding traffic are already a nuisance-major road improvements and consideration of vehicle weight restrictions would be welcome.			912
475494	Mr Alan Macdonald		<a href="#">CSO12752</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We demand no building in the existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ houses or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							destroyed.			
481604	Mr Gerald Toomer		<a href="#">CSO11824</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Affordable homes at FWP3, FWP4, FWP5 would provide a valuable labour force close to the fast growing Northern Business Park of the Airport KS13 and BA 1-11			912
496659	Mr & Mrs Tony & Hilary Hendy		<a href="#">CSO11739</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The Green Belt should stay Green.			912
500570	Mr J.D Head		<a href="#">CSO12575</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Feel strongly that suggested levels will result in even more crowding to the area and cause irreversible damage to the environment. A full investigation of all brown field sites, derelict and empty properties must be taken before any building on green field sites. You only have to look at number of estate agents in this area and the amount of property they have for sale to see there is no shortage of homes. Yes there is shortfall of affordable property but the reckless over development that is being suggested will not make property any cheaper. You only have to look at Verwood to see that over development has not created cheap homes far from it and the nicer non estate properties have risen in price thus pulling up the prices of all properties. The biggest barrier to affordable housing is the locally low average wage, buy to let and second homes. If any of these developments take place what controls are in place to ensure that only local people can buy them and there will not be another			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>huge influx of people from outside the area? With this over development and the covering of green fields in concrete these estates that are being talked about could be anywhere in the country they will all look the same, so why destroy countryside to create something that people from outside the area move to that is little different to the estate from where they came from. Why encourage people to move to the area, if you discourage the influx of retired to the area it will relieve the pressure on social and health services and the local infrastructure. The large no of elderly people within the area means that the death rate will exceed the birth rate and so over next few years more property will come on to the market.</p> <p>I have major objections to the levels proposed.</p> <p>1) The sheer environmental impact on this amount of housing into the fragile green lung that exists along the Stour corridor that divides East Dorset from the Poole, Bournemouth and Christchurch conurbation. If the level of development continues as proposed it will be possible to drive from north of Verwood to the sea at Bournemouth pier and all you will see is houses.</p> <p>2) There will be much higher level of storm water runoff from these new estates. At present times of high rainfall the water can soak into the ground and filter away, by covering the land with buildings and roads there will be a much higher faster level of run off which will have to drain to the Stour</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>thereby causing risk of flash flooding.</p> <p>2a) Conversely to the above the increases in proposed population across the whole area will cause strain on water supplies especially in dry weather. Rivers such as the Allen are already suffering reduced flows because of heavy extraction and increases in population will only make it worse. As long ago as the 1970's warnings were given as to what would happen to Dorset's chalk streams and rivers if increasing levels of extraction were allowed, regrettably they were ignored but what was predicted will come true if this level of development is allowed to continue.</p> <p>3) Increased traffic levels in the area in general would be caused by proposed development. It is no good talking about improving public transport when for many people the car is the only way to get to work, buses for example being too inflexible. Governments over the years have kept telling us that we must be flexible in our approach to work which means in many cases working odd hours. The average wait at lights at Parley Cross is 2 minutes, the biggest problem is caused by drivers who are too slow to start moving increased housing will make this situation far worse. Any redevelopment of this junction due to extra population runs the risk of the roads and vehicles starting to dominate the area which will then discourage walkers who will then use their cars for short journeys to the shops which then just makes even more traffic congestion.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>4) To talk about extra shops at Parley Cross is questionable when the existing shops are under used. There used to be a wider range of shops but they could not compete with large supermarkets at Castlepoint, Ferndown and Wimborne. For example the new Waitrose in Wimborne will only serve to hasten the decline of small shops left in the area. People will use the bigger stores because of price, choice and opening hours and to suggest another shop at the crossroads will just result in an under used store that will struggle to compete the bigger stores nearby.</p> <p>5) Development on South East side of cross roads will put at risk the fine row of trees which contribute to the visual appearance of the area. The levels of development will put a heavy strain on surrounding areas that are used for recreation.</p> <p>I feel that South East Dorset has now reached breaking point as far as large scale development is concerned. I accept that certain amount of small scale infill can take place across the area. Full use should be made of derelict and brownfield sites. Small scale infill across every community should be fully investigated, stricter controls over under used second homes and strict controls over the sale of so called affordable homes to people from out of the area. If there is a problem with building homes on the old dormy site due to its closeness to Parley Common then the same can be said for building by Parley crossroads.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Common sense says tidy the derelict site and leave the green fields alone. If the government is giving you these targets tell them to go away and look elsewhere in the country and look at housing stock in the whole country and bring that fully into use before going down the road to a concrete jungle that is being proposed. The full up has now to be put up on South East Dorset. The above reasons are why I object as many people do to the level of development being proposed.			
500746	Mrs J Lothian		<a href="#">CSO12434</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		7 - 10 households equal 1400 cars - ludicrous numbers. Also every 16 year old needs their transport. Traffic queues already stretch past Dudsbury Gardens and south of New Road to way past the corner garage after Ebor Close north at rush hours. The numbers are horrific ie consequences why can't you have a single road of houses at any of these sites? Why not all affordable houses? Look at the map maybe a new community at PC9,PC8 and PC4 these areas are suitable for starting a whole new planned community area and the same size (3,4 &5 ground area) approx 23 hectares and PC4 = 20 hectares. Room and uncluttered not a building nightmare for the rest of Parley and Ferndown.			912
504776	Mr and Mrs T R Jenner		<a href="#">CSO11797</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
507759	Peter and Dee Houghton		<a href="#">CSO11370</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The roads cannot cope at present. Proposed development will cause massive additional pressure/peak time delay. Green land eroded.			912
507760	Mr and Mrs R Gardner		<a href="#">CSO11364</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
508549	R and S Gooden		<a href="#">CSO11907</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Where will all these people work? Jobs are already scarce. Residents are not likely to use buses as they take too long and there are too many detours. They are also very expensive. The infrastructure is not adequate for the amount of houses planned.			912
508562	K Clayson		<a href="#">CSO11919</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		More houses will cause a huge increase on an already busy main road network.			912
508578	David and Carolyn Winsall		<a href="#">CSO11932</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		FWP4 and 5 would take less traffic issue. Christchurch Rd and Longham in particular is plagued with traffic. We need some relief not more cars. Can't move now for traffic at Haskins roundabout.			912
508590	Mr Jamie Ball		<a href="#">CSO11959</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Building houses on the open space we have reduces the open space we have. We are a young family who have moved to the area because of the open space. If you reduce the open space we have by building more houses on it you will force us to move			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							elsewhere. This whole consideration of development options will create a negative effect on everyone that already live in the area. We are totally against this proposed set of plans.			
508605	J Ames		<a href="#">CSO12003</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 4 and 5 are on the flood plain-where will the water go-into the river to flood other houses eg lford and to damage Mudeford Sandbank. The roads are inadequate. Is the infant school large enough-the road outside is blocked now. Where will the children play-there's nothing in Dudsbury and no transport. Speed along Christchurch Road too high.			912
508634	Jacqueline Legg		<a href="#">CSO12019</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Badgers, deer, buzzards and other wildlife in these areas. Commuters to jobs in Bournemouth would occupy new housing. Character of area would be changed and traffic would increase to an impossible level.			912
508649	Mr J Tucker		<a href="#">CSO12042</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I believe it is important to maintain an open area "buffer" between Parley and Bournemouth. In present economic times I cannot see justification for the full building development. Whilst assurances about affordable housing I can see exploitation of the upper value properties noting desirable areas ie FWP2 and 3. There is no way that transport issues can be dealt with satisfactorily noting bottlenecks at Longham and New Road bridge which			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							cannot be improved as well as traffic towards the airport.			
508674	Mrs C Winsall		<a href="#">CSO12074</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		.			912
508685	Mr and Mrs DG Spivey		<a href="#">CSO12091</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
508708	Gary and Sue Peskett		<a href="#">CSO12114</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
508712	SR Lambden		<a href="#">CSO12126</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
508717	Mrs A Young		<a href="#">CSO12141</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		No matter how many road improvements you make for every ONE house you build around West Parley there will be ONE to TWO extra cars on the roads surrounding Parley Cross which is known to be one of the busiest in Dorset. It is not fair to existing residents living in the area to bring this extra amount of traffic on to these roads.			912
508828	Mr & Mrs Hardiman		<a href="#">CSO12168</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ferndown and West Parley have not got the infrastructure to support such a large housing development. The roads at Parley Cross and around Hurn Airport at peak times come to stand still and cannot support the traffic			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							volumes. The green belt in and around Ferndown has already been reduced considerably in size and the fields at West Parley flood at high rain fall. So to these developments we say No. So we hope EDDC like us would like to see Ferndown and West Parley stay the beautiful and peaceful area that it already is. Please think about the people that already live in this area. Thank you.			
508835	Mrs E.L. Stratford		<a href="#">CSO12190</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The Council is always after land for housing or business development. Whatever is granted is never enough and a few years later they want more. This process has been going on for over 50 years and it is time it came to a stop. Enough is enough as it is ruining the area.			912
508852	Mrs L.A. Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO12253</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Have no objections to small developments at various locations in the West Parley area (corner of Chine Walk and Christchurch Road is a wonderful example) but we are totally against mass development which would saturate relatively small areas to a massive degree and which would be totally out of keeping with a small village which has little infra-structure and the worse crossroads / traffic congestion in the whole of East Dorset. These schemes are madness!			912
508860	Mrs Sue Cartlidge		<a href="#">CSO12578</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Don't spoil the country atmosphere of West Parley with houses.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
508866	Mr and Mrs Penny and Vincent Smith		<a href="#">CSO12801</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We fully understand the need for housing but feel the FWP5 would be far too many houses and would create a need for schools, medical centres etc. ?Need for shops- especially if transport is improved. Also the old part of Parley ie Church Lane needs to be kept countrified.			912
508887	Mr J.S. Kidd		<a href="#">CSO12318</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
508966	Mrs Mansell		<a href="#">CSO12393</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Too many houses - too much impact on roads and services. Airport traffic increasing to near airport and am against destroying the green belt.			912
508990	Mr Harry Spencer		<a href="#">CSO12415</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
508993	Mr A Samways		<a href="#">CSO12430</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
509065	Mrs B Burge		<a href="#">CSO12500</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
509281	Mr Paul Molloy		<a href="#">CSO12608</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The roads and infrastructure struggle to cope in Parley as it is! Massive improvements to roads will be required.			912
509299	Mr		<a href="#">CSO12622</a>	Non	Object		I think that all of these sites are going			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	R Moore			Preferred Option FWP 5			to attract the older generation because this is the sort of area that appeals to them. FWP5 may bring younger families to this area but will require many more facilities than homes. It is important for developers to pay for transport developments.			
509318	P Bamborough		<a href="#">CSO12661</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We fail to see the need for additional shops/offices in FWP4 and 5. It would also be helpful if it were clearly spelt out what road improvements will be made and transport improvements enhanced. A scaled down housing number of say 100 would be acceptable for FWP3.			912
509453	Mrs H Stallard		<a href="#">CSO12675</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
509489	CD Raine		<a href="#">CSO12696</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
509504	Mr and Mrs Lewis		<a href="#">CSO12711</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It doesn't take too much research to see that the West Parley area has more than enough traffic to cope with, without adding any further significant quantity of vehicle movements that would result from the proposals given. No amount of cycle paths or even improved infrastructure will help New Road, Christchurch Road or surrounding roads absorb further traffic. Surely we don't need to fill in all the "green gaps" around the area.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509533	Mr M Mason		<a href="#">CSO12721</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Too many people on roads, pressure on jobs, hospitals, doctors, dentists, schools, etc The only way we would support this is for only low cost affordable housing for local people not rich outsiders. Why not use existing properties that are empty and need refurbishing?			912
509543	Ms Beryl MacDonald		<a href="#">CSO12739</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We demand no building in existing Green Belt area of West Parley. No building should be considered before infrastructure is improved and is proved to be capable of accommodating both existing traffic and that produced by any extra housing. This must include road traffic conditions and medical/school availability. We do not need 400+ homes or a supermarket in West Parley. The whole character and balance of the village would be destroyed.			912
509611	Mrs M Toomer		<a href="#">CSO12767</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Re: Core Strategy Options (7 Bmth airport) growth of industrial est. (7.9=1900 new jobs) FWP3/4/5 could provide a local workforce near to its location.			912
509658	Ms Carole McLean		<a href="#">CSO12777</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
509754	Mr Brian Smith		<a href="#">CSO12786</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP3/4/5 is under flight path or close enough to cause concern. FwP4/5 have already been previously under discussion and turned down due to traffic concerns.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
509776	Ms Jane Foulger		<a href="#">CSO12795</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
509784	Mr Nathan Winfield		<a href="#">CSO12817</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		10 years resident in Parley near Parley Cross-year on year increase in volume of traffic. Gridlock between 7.30am and 9.00 am and 4.30pm -6pm. Roads can clearly not support existing level of traffic. Proposed improvements will make little difference. On-going expansion of Bmth airport and associated business park. Significant increase in HGV traffic. Development will spoil the look and feel of the area. Insufficient schooling, doctors etc... Clearly whoever makes this decision should come and live with us for a while. It will make their decision easy!			912
509795	Mr R Ridley		<a href="#">CSO12824</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
509811	Mrs J Waugh		<a href="#">CSO12840</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Parley crossroads are busiest in Dorset so 835 extra houses with 3 cars each would be impossible. This is not even considering the extra strain Drs, medical services, schools etc. I have objections to building on Green Belt land which preserves the charm of West Parley and keeps us separate from Kinson and Bournemouth.			912
509818	Mr and Mrs A Cooper		<a href="#">CSO12866</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Ratio of affordable housing extremely high Parley Cross completely gridlocked every day, proposal would introduce			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							approx a further 300-400 vehicles on an already congested area. FWP1 would encroach on the existing green area which would gradually join Ferndown to Longham The number of approx housing per site would indicate small, terraced properties.			
509829	Mr Andrew Taylor		<a href="#">CSO12851</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We live in Parley Close and we have a hard job crossing the road now, it will be impossible if these places are built.			912
360097	Mr John DOWLING		<a href="#">CSO14499</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
361035	Mrs H.L O'SULLIVAN		<a href="#">CSO13018</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The proposed development around Parley Cross would completely dominate the current development. The proposed shopping centre would be a new suburb not West Parley at all. Other developments-ie some flat on the corner of New Rd/Christchurch Rd were turned down due to the proximity of SSS! - How could this very much larger development be allowed?			912
509843	Mr and Mrs Brian and Dorothy Adams		<a href="#">CSO12870</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It appears that the West Parley area South and South West of Parley Cross is expected to take the entire share of any new development. Where is the contribution from our neighbours? This throws the greater burden of schools, medical and environmental issues on this small area. The map supplied looks like the introduction to an			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							episode of Dad's Army with development arrows pointing menacingly towards West Parley Crossroads. The perception is that West Parley is an easy option; you surely know and will be told from your survey that nobody wants an expansion of this magnitude, a quiet village to double in size? No Way! If this is an enforced situation with no true discussion then the process will become one of rearranging the deck chairs on the West Parley Titanic. It is surely necessary to study the layout again and examine where provision already exists and then look for available space. Not an easy task but the tail should not wag the dog in this way.			
509859	Mrs Sperling		<a href="#">CSO12893</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
509897	Mrs Rachel Harding		<a href="#">CSO12899</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We live on Christchurch Road, which is extremely busy and would not be able to cope with increased traffic. We moved out of town on purpose to a semi-rural area and do not want to see new housing developments. Developments should take place in towns that have the infrastructure to cope West Parley does not.			912
509934	Miss Nixon		<a href="#">CSO12947</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		There are already thousands of cars, lorries etc going through Parley Cross Christchurch etc! Its extremely dangerous crossing the roads and the immense volume of cars, inevitably			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							cause accidents! Putting these houses here will cause nothing but heart ache and problems to existing residence!			
509939	Mr and Mrs Barton		<a href="#">CSO12946</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Infrastructure will need to be in place before any major development takes place.			912
509977	A Cornwell		<a href="#">CSO12968</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Already too much congestion on Christchurch Rd and New Rd. The huge lorries that use Christchurch Rd is ridiculous going to industrial estate. This is supposed to be Ferndown and West Parley but I can't see any development plans for Ferndown.			912
510026	Ms Tracy Ryan		<a href="#">CSO12977</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
510055	R Elliott		<a href="#">CSO12993</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Church Lane is a narrow and historic lane and would suffer from development of 4 and 5. It is also a conservation area and would be ruined by 5.			912
510070	Mr David Humphrey		<a href="#">CSO13000</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		3, 4, 5 provides natural expansion. 4 and 5 will provide much needed retail area needed with expansion planned.			912
510084	Ms Elizabeth Morris		<a href="#">CSO13008</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510420	Mr Peter Stevens		<a href="#">CSO13157</a>	Non Preferred Option		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
510490	Ms Helen Banfield		<a href="#">CSO13244</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510532	W.W. Chant		<a href="#">CSO13288</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510623	Mr Douglas Priest		<a href="#">CSO13392</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510798	Mr F Sullivan		<a href="#">CSO13535</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
510844	Mr Michael Guilmany-Cush		<a href="#">CSO13579</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510873	Mr & Mrs B.R. Mayes		<a href="#">CSO13627</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510974	Mr Jim Cullumbine		<a href="#">CSO13655</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
510993	R.A. Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO13690</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511015	Mr Christopher White		<a href="#">CSO13743</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
511052	Mrs B.J Bailey		<a href="#">CSO13778</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Roads will be far too crowded with so many extra houses which will most likely have one or more at each property.			912
511066	Mr and Mrs D Legg		<a href="#">CSO13804</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We really need better roads in an already very busy area. We already have industrial saturation in Church Lane what with businesses operating to the far end and also the M.S centre generates a vast amount of traffic. We do not need all of this for comfortable living.			912
511076	Mr Ian Burden		<a href="#">CSO13824</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
511114	Mr Rob Gigg		<a href="#">CSO13842</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The area cannot support any more traffic. This area is very popular with horse riders who need to access the local bridleways; the levels of traffic already pose a significant danger. Use the out of town developments built for this purpose eg Verwood, not pile development on the towns.			912
511125	Mrs Christine Elliott		<a href="#">CSO13858</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
359971	Mr		<a href="#">CSO14736</a>	Non	Object		Will join us to Kinson (urban sprawl)			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Alan Pickering			Preferred Option FWP 5			Proposed supermarket is wanted. Green belt farmland wasted for money by London insurance company speculators. Roads are not adequate and directly under Hurn flight path No.			
361121	Mrs Elizabeth JONES		<a href="#">CSO14016</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
475495	Mrs Hilary Barnett		<a href="#">CSO14576</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Object as land gets water logged, congestion of traffic and no facilities.			912
511399	Mr & Mrs Michael and Diana Froud		<a href="#">CSO14063</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
511417	Mrs Marjorie Derrick		<a href="#">CSO14120</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I consider that all the extra traffic caused by the extra housing having at least one car per home will cause absolute chaos on New Road, just look at the queues now during peak times.			912
511430	A.D. May		<a href="#">CSO14195</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
511475	Mrs M Kinsey		<a href="#">CSO14218</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley will no longer be a village and will be completely ruined. The traffic is horrendous now and I dread to think what it will be like if we have cars from all the houses you suggest. I live on the corner of Parley Close and have a job getting on to New Road now. Also there are mostly elderly people			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							living round here and have a terrible time crossing the roads so it will be a disaster if houses are built round here. It is also supposed to be green belt and we will certainly lose all the birds and wildlife. I am against it all.			
511489	Mr H G Holden		<a href="#">CSO14178</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
511571	Colin Alborough		<a href="#">CSO14233</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		We are in desperate need of more affordable housing in the East Dorset area. There is also a shortage of employment opportunities in the area. The provision of more houses should help to push house prices down to give young people a change of getting on the property ladder.			912
511618	Mrs V Blunden		<a href="#">CSO14299</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
511621	Ms Mary A Combe		<a href="#">CSO14279</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
511692	Ms Ruth Blaug		<a href="#">CSO14416</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I			912
511711	Coombes		<a href="#">CSO14438</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
511872	Ms Debbie Boyes/Osborne		<a href="#">CSO14548</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
511881	Mr R Brookes		<a href="#">CSO14567</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Parley Cross traffic is already over loaded. Traffic to the airport should be via the Bournemouth spur road using a newly constructed road which the airport owners should make a very large contribution to the cost.			912
511896	Mr David Elrick		<a href="#">CSO14591</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Traffic volumes on Christchurch Road are already high. Reason we moved (young family) to this area was due to more open areas and green belt.			912
511905	Mrs S Lanham		<a href="#">CSO14611</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
511910	Mr O.K Izzard		<a href="#">CSO14622</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Infrastructure plans need to be very clearly prepared and defined well before any development is approved.			912
511916	Mr Craig Baker		<a href="#">CSO14633</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		These developments are not required as there is sufficient housing stock in East Dorset. Ferndown will become part of the Bournemouth conurbation if these developments go ahead. New Road cannot take any more traffic. FWP 3, 4 and 5 would be disastrous for the residents, environment and roads.			912
511926	Mr John Beesley		<a href="#">CSO14646</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		Infrastructure (mainly roads) is completely overstretched currently New Road/Christchurch			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			Road/Ringwood Road/Ham Lane/A31 Wimborne- Canford Bottom what on earth will add more housing and shops do to it. A radical road scheme is necessary eg widening of A31 from Ferndown to Poole into dual carriageway relieving Ringwood Road/New Road.			
511937	Mr R Pogson		<a href="#">CSO14683</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 3, 4 and 5 are almost directly beneath the flight path of Bournemouth airport, also it is unlikely that the existing infrastructure would cope with the increase in population. FWP 4 and 5 are also to close to Parley Common.			912
511953	Mr and Mrs Alan and Julie Ridout		<a href="#">CSO14691</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Transport improvements are required now before any house building takes place.			912
511964	Mrs Sue Cornell		<a href="#">CSO14706</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Church Lane can barely cope with the traffic at the moment, trying to get out of this lane in the mornings is awful. The volume of traffic and the chaos caused when there are road works brings the area to a standstill. I imagine all the developers will be building all their houses way before any improvement to the road structure is complete. Have you seen what happens when an accident on the spur road involves redirecting traffic this way?			912
511978	Mr Cummings		<a href="#">CSO14723</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
512007	Mr Neil Hayward		<a href="#">CSO14754</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have lived at West Parley for 8 years, anyone who proposes housing in this area must be living on another planet with traffic levels reaching saturation point along Parley Lane and New Road and the close proximity of Bournemouth airport, any development in this area would be an act of complete insanity.			912
512016	Mrs Sue Willcocks		<a href="#">CSO14776</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The transport infrastructure must be given priority and needs to be implemented before any development starts.			912
512027	Mr and Mrs B.D Young		<a href="#">CSO14793</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We think too many properties.			912
512034	Mr R.J Jerrett		<a href="#">CSO14804</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		This area needs more affordable houses and more jobs as most people in this area are not local and have moved from away, I cannot see how they can object. We need a new bridge at Longham also local road improvements before some of the work starts ie Parley Cross roundabout etc.			912
512069	Mr and Mrs Stephen Rideout		<a href="#">CSO14827</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Roads in these areas are at capacity, it takes us several minutes to safely get out of our drive onto Christchurch Road. 10 years ago this was not such a problem. Constant traffic and queuing does not add up to further development.			912
512083	Mrs D.C Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO14841</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		We are keen to see the green belt area protected and feel the developments in West Parley endanger this. The local			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			community of West Parley wish to retain this 'local feel'. Increase of traffic is another very negative aspect.			
512098	Mr and Mrs D and A Burch		<a href="#">CSO14861</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
512113	Mr Steve Kitson		<a href="#">CSO14878</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Roads, schools and general infrastructure will not support any large building of houses nor should the green belt between Longham and Ferndown be compromised to any large extent. Ringwood Road and Casterbridge Road are already becoming busier as traffic increases and use cut throughs.			912
512129	Mr J.R Chesshire		<a href="#">CSO14900</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
512134	Mrs Anthea Scott		<a href="#">CSO14920</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I believe that the green belt as slim as it is should be protected at all costs - I do not think the gap between East Dorset and Bournemouth would be closed and we would end up with their quota of houses destined for our green belt. Hurn airport is always referred to as Bournemouth International Airport although Adventure Wonderland on the opposite side of the road is advertised as being in Hurn. We do not want to be swallowed up.			912
512281	Graham Roberts		<a href="#">CSO15004</a>	Non Preferred Option		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
512315	Mr Roger J Heath		<a href="#">CSO15020</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The proposed developments around West Parley are untenable. The junction at Parley Cross is already over capacity and any attempt to improve the junction will only have a minimal effect. There is no room to widen New Road nor is there space for a relief road. Adding new homes and inviting even more cars to pour out of it. New Road at peak times will lead to a total log jam. The local area also lacks key infrastructure ie schools, doctors, dentists etc.			912
361124	Mrs M.E Brown		<a href="#">CSO16362</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
361196	Mr J.M BULLIVANT		<a href="#">CSO16280</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
476264	Mr David Reddaway		<a href="#">CSO15676</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Ferndown has room for expansion taking into account present services and road use.			912
511639	Paul Hockey		<a href="#">CSO16090</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
512325	Mr DM Morse-Boycott		<a href="#">CSO15039</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The traffic situation would become intolerable if the proposed houses were built in the West Parley area. West Parley would become an			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							overspill for Bournemouth and lose its village status.			
512326	Mr Ian Willis		<a href="#">CSO15062</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
512332	Mr John Neal		<a href="#">CSO15063</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
512344	Mr M Wyeth		<a href="#">CSO15083</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Totally oppose building on green belt. We already have unacceptable congestion levels on Christchurch Road and New Road, in addition to high levels of noise and air pollution. I voted at the last election for a party that would protect the green belt.			912
512351	Mr J.R Warnock		<a href="#">CSO15092</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Not enough transport facilities ie buses more pressure on Parley crossroads which is already very busy. This is green belt area and should not be built on as there are very few left. This site is under or close to the airport flight path ie noise and safety.			912
512363	Mr T Meads		<a href="#">CSO15121</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		It already takes up to 10 mins to get out of our drive with 25,000 movements per day. Now you want to add a further 3,000 cars per day and how would you like 45 neighbours. How will various services cope with all the extra?			912
512399	Mr and Mrs RD Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15134</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
512406	Mr and Mrs PE and JA Coward		<a href="#">CSO15140</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
512409	Mr and Mrs J Francis		<a href="#">CSO15145</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		500 homes in flight path!! Is this fair on affordable home buyers? The number is far too high for the area, involving transport problems and lack of facilities. "...expectation...some gain...local community...new open space..." what does this mean? We already have large open spaces.			912
512437	E Andrews		<a href="#">CSO15157</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I do not want to see loss of the fields.			912
512459	Sandra Davis		<a href="#">CSO15167</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Loss of green belt is my main concern. 700 homes in close approximation to traffic lights would be a nightmare for exiting the proposed estates. The airport will grow so will the traffic. We see queues to the lights at Parley of over a mile in all directions most mornings/evenings. The village will require all sorts of supporting facilities/infrastructure. The new cycle path, lights to airport is infrequently used. You have FWP1-5 but description w.sub area, eastern sub area and central sub area- not very clear at all.			912
512477	Mr DJ		<a href="#">CSO15174</a>	Non Preferred	Object		Cannot understand why PC9 is (not?) favourite for new homes. It has no			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Budden			Option FWP 5			existing residential border. Has much better trunk road access. Can benefit from money spent on forthcoming A31/B3073 roundabout improvements. Enjoys excellent bus services (No13 W and D every 30 mins to Wimborne, Ferndown and Bournemouth) Has local shops at Stapehill. To feed even more traffic direct onto A348 and B3073 is irresponsible. In any development it must be essential to maintain tree/shrub screen bordering A348 and B3073 to preserve rural nature, also only one single access road to/from each development.			
512677	Mr P R Field		<a href="#">CSO15226</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
512703	Rita Sweatland		<a href="#">CSO15238</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513015	Mr Adrian Roscoe		<a href="#">CSO15250</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I feel that this is just a money generating scheme for the council. There is no way the roads could cope with the extra traffic as you have highlighted yet made no suggestion as to how to deal with it. Other issues would be schools, parks, medical facilities, dentists etc.			912
513028	Mrs M Wilson		<a href="#">CSO15267</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513034	Mrs Cheryll Walters		<a href="#">CSO15278</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
513063	Mr I Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15300</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
513085	Mr and Mrs B Harris		<a href="#">CSO15306</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513091	Mr Paul Tompkins		<a href="#">CSO15311</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513126	Mr S.J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15332</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Look again at PC4 and PC9. West Parley is a mature village with few social pressures, having remained generally unchanged for many years. A recent survey of its 1600 households showed an overwhelming majority against this scheme and the pressures it will bring to transport, infrastructure. Local facilities and that the need to protect our green belt, heritage sites, nature and environment are paramount and take precedence over cash generation from building especially when other less sensitive areas for housing exist, but are not recommended because it appears they are not in the hands of rich developers and are therefore unable to fund other infrastructure projects. Transport road congestion is well above saturation			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							levels. Christchurch Road, West Parley already suffers long tailbacks at all peak times such that a simple developer funded gyratory system is not likely to be an area solution especially when asked to cope with rising levels generally and a further anticipated 1200 vehicles entering/exiting the system from the proposed development. The conurbation needs a major upgrade via Highways Agency approval funding not a local sticking plaster funded on the back of further congestion.			
513149	Mrs A.R.E Seaton		<a href="#">CSO15522</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Surely this area is congested enough.			912
513503	D Skinner		<a href="#">CSO15380</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513639	Mr and Mrs D J A Kirby		<a href="#">CSO15443</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513881	Mrs S Bagg		<a href="#">CSO15542</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		By ticking 'SUPPORT BOXES' I am not actively supporting the locations but, if we must have so much development, making the best of a bad job. We must not spoil the area by over development. More houses only bring more people to our already overcrowded area. Local houses for local people, yes, but how can that be ensured?			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
513897	Mrs Elizabeth Dawkins		<a href="#">CSO15559</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513900	Mr P Wall		<a href="#">CSO15587</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Unfortunately more development more movement. A comprehensive transport policy should be developed eg. Road improvement (A31), bus and trams, rail links (restored).			912
513923	Mrs M Fraser		<a href="#">CSO15594</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		In the early morning till around 9am and late afternoon till about 6.30pm all around West Parley to New Road roundabout and up to Ferndown the traffic is awful. How on earth will anyone manage with these extra houses? No amount of transport improvements will help this, when the A338 is closed it will be and already is horrendous. I am on the flight path; this does not bother me all that dreadful traffic does.			912
513943	Mrs P Reeves		<a href="#">CSO15609</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
513974	Mr A Moore		<a href="#">CSO15819</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514007	Ms Emily Allan		<a href="#">CSO15689</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514014	Mr		<a href="#">CSO15711</a>	Non	Object		Over the past 20 years the roads			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Colin Cable			Preferred Option FWP 5			between Canford Bottom across to the A338 spur road - A347 Ferndown to West Howe and New Road have become major traffic routes. Any additional building works or housing projects will bring life to a standstill in the area. None of your FWP areas are viable PC4 or PC9 would impact far less on the area, however any projects without major improvements to the road network will be a disaster. Ameyford (Police Station) to Broadmoor Coppice would be more practical. What Happens Next? If its anything like the Folkestone - Honiton coastal trunk road 50 years ago - NOTHING			
514042	Mr Tony Davison		<a href="#">CSO15749</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Having lived in West Parley for 16 years I have seen considerable change and increased traffic, even after road widening works at West Parley traffic lights. The roads are still congested, the increased traffic to and from the airport, the new quarry, the busier business parks that have several courier companies on that operate a whole fleet of vehicle, city link, 5years transport, voyager A to B, just the ones I know of, also the whole infrastructure around creating these increased homes is not here, shopping, schooling and recreation. West Parley was once a small village; we should be protecting areas like this not losing them to a mass of over development. I thought the lay planning act was to do much this; there are plenty of small infill sites that could help the housing situation with careful			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							planning at the end of the day. This is green belt land, if I wanted to build on this land there is no way you planners would agree. I have myself a small piece of land adjacent to my property this was severed as part of the garden some 17 years ago. I have spoken to planners on various occasions over the years as it is an eyesore, but I have always been told its green belt. The term for green belt means open space yet this plot has houses either side and a yard to the rear, therefore how can the rules differ from being sensible and allowing smaller infill plots of land that would not impact on the structure of Ferndown and West Parley over the years, then adopt a considerable development that certainly would.			
514049	Mrs E Hellier		<a href="#">CSO15748</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514086	Mr Ian Pearson		<a href="#">CSO15785</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514091	Mr RJ Potts		<a href="#">CSO15824</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514099	Mr and Mrs T C Blakeley		<a href="#">CSO15856</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
514111	Penelope Webiery		<a href="#">CSO15898</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
514127	Mr Geoff Voisey		<a href="#">CSO15914</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
514135	Mr and Mrs S Farr		<a href="#">CSO15924</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514136	Mrs J Crumb		<a href="#">CSO15957</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514156	Mr J Breeze		<a href="#">CSO15930</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I commute daily through West Parley. To add additional congestion from 900 or so homes onto Christchurch Rd/New Road + normal growth is pure folly. Only major network works will cure this.			912
514225	Mr Simon Dixon	Secretary West Moors Traders Association	<a href="#">CSO16003</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514246	Theresa Monahan & Jonathon Chaffey		<a href="#">CSO16001</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514274	Mr Showell		<a href="#">CSO16067</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Instead of new housing there should be a new strategy of providing more public open space. The lessons of the			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Edwardians/Victorians should be learnt. New public parks like Poole Park, Queens Park and Kings Park save an area from urban crowding. Perhaps all the land proposed for housing could be reallocated to public parks.			
514450	Mr and Mrs P Webb		<a href="#">CSO16177</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514467	Mrs Lesley Cripps		<a href="#">CSO16203</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514482	C E T Gilbert		<a href="#">CSO16236</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
359856	Mrs B Breeze		<a href="#">CSO16905</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		West Parley Green Belt should remain protected. The democratic wishes of the overwhelming number of West Parley residents should be adhered to; they do not want this development, for many reasons. Environmental, transport / road congestion / infrastructure etc.			912
360683	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Residents Association	<a href="#">CSO16929</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The Broadway Malyan Masterplan report on which the Core Strategy is based contains a very shallow analysis of West Parley. It sees W.P. as being "two parts" and as being "essentially a suburb of Ferndown". This hasty misreading of W.P. lies at the heart of all the subsequent treatment of the			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>specific sites.</p> <p>W.P. is physically and constitutionally a distinct entity, not part of Ferndown. If one has no time and just drives down from Ferndown on the A347, then one passes from Ferndown to W.P, with no obvious change. if one walks around the outside of W.P., it becomes clear that is a semi-rural community, surrounded to the South, East and West by fields, farming, stables, horse paddocks, heathland, the river Stour. Only to the North is it joined to Ferndown.</p> <p>W.P. is constitutionally separate from Ferndown-being a Parish with its own borders, parish council, school, village hall, churches, pubs, recreation ground-all the constituents of a village. W.P. has a distinct and much older history. Ferndown is a very recent dormitory town from Bournemouth.</p> <p>W.P is far older than either, going back from the Domesday Book to a Saxon church and an Iron Age hill fort. This contributes to a distinct and powerful sense of identity in W.P., confirmed only very recently in the Oct 2010 Parish Plan Survey, where no less than 87% of respondents said that W.P.'s particular character and identity was very important to them.</p> <p>In the 2008 Issues and Options consultation based on the R.S.S. plans, Ferndown and W.P. were treated separately. Taking them together now makes the houses proposed for W.P. seem quite a small number when compared to the 8000+ houses in Ferndown/W.P. Taking W.P.</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>by itself would have emphasised what a large and unsustainable addition the proposed 310 houses of FWP3/FWP4 are on top of W.P.'s existing 1600. Taking them together also blurs the democratic validity of the responses from the public. Ferndown has only 130 houses planned, on its extreme SS corner. Most of the public in Ferndown are quite unconscious of these plans. Thus the overall number of objections from Ferndown/W.P. combined may seem quite small, whereas the number of objections from W.P. alone would seem overwhelming. The greatest danger to W.P. from the proposed plans is the loss of its identity-from a sudden and unsustainable large addition to its housing stock, and from the separation from Bournemouth becoming a small strip of land around the river Stour. The prime purpose of the green belt was to exactly prevent this type of urban sprawl, and it originally envisaged far greater separations than would be left. BM page 51 underlines this point, identifying this gap as a "Key Edge".</p> <p>Neither the proposed 3000 sq. metre food store nor the proposed formal park has been discussed in any way with the community. They have emerged out of the blue. This is an example of very recent top down planning that is specifically contrary to Government policy, and will be eminently challengeable once the Localism Bill becomes law. Although FWP4 seems to be just</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>outside the published noise contours of the airport, the law and regulations are still developing, and it is believed that the chosen area may lie inside the noise zone that the world health organisation deems harmful to young children.</p> <p>If FWP4 goes ahead, then when the economy improves and house building picks up it is regarded as a certainty that housing will expand to the areas and levels in FWP5. This will raise definite contour concerns as well as coalescence issues along the "key edge" between West Parley and Bournemouth. It will constitute an unsustainable and insensitive overload to West Parley's present 1600 houses and to the character and identity of the present community.</p> <p>The traffic impact on the already overloaded Parley Cross Junction will be large and unsustainable. It is agreed that FWP4 development could not begin before this infrastructure problem is solved. Since no tested and costed plans for this are in existence, or have been discussed with the local community, FWP4 is not considered a responsible option to put before the public now.</p> <p>Finally, the West Parley Parish Plan (now in course of preparation under the auspices of the Parish Council) has completed and analysed the returns from its all house survey, compiled with the assistance of E.D.D.C. Just fewer than 1000 responses were received, giving it a very high degree of statistical significance. 80% of</p>			



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							respondents, asked to choose between differing housing numbers from 0 to 500+ as being appropriate for West Parley, chose up to 100 houses as being the right level for the village. This is significant now, and will be even more so when the Localism Bill becomes law.			
361138	Mr Rod WHITHAM		<a href="#">CSO16889</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I object to any development especially commercial development at these locations as it would seriously affect the Green Belt which separates villages in this part of East Dorset. Therefore support the team's non-preference for these options. Does West Parley really need a 3,000sq.m supermarket? There are more than enough supermarkets in the Ferndown area to serve the whole of Dorset. Two last paragraphs from my letter of 29th April 2008.</p> <p>To return to my original point, I do not believe that this level of development is necessary, justified, sustainable, or desirable for this part of East Dorset and I feel EDDC is being railroaded into accepting the strategy simply in order to satisfy Government broad housing targets irrespective of their relevance to the locality. I have felt for some years now that East Dorset is continually being sacrificed in order to preserve what may be seen by some as more open countryside further waste in the county and which has greater protection from conservation laws and the National Trust. Wimborne, Colehill, West Parley, and Corfe Mullen are still separately</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							identifiable villages surrounded by agricultural land; they are not part of the big conurbations such as Poole and Bournemouth and they should be respected as being different and treated differently. I understand the difficulty EDDC might well have with these strategy documents and I'm sure they will do their best for the area. However I would like to see the council standing up and really challenging the basic premises of this plan and propose much less development in the area based on real local need and not a notional level set essentially by Central Government.			
514649	Mrs June Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16317</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514752	Claire Richardson & Jamie Shirley		<a href="#">CSO16393</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514812	Mr C Sawyer		<a href="#">CSO16429</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
514912	Mrs Mary Carsbury		<a href="#">CSO16485</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Seeking the opinion of the general public on planning issues is all very commendable - but setting aside all political persuasion my feeling is that this is no more than a Public Relations exercise because in the end the final decisions are always to the advantage of the developers whoever they may			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							be because of money, greed and looks good on paper. My general view is that density levels for new homes should be kept down; East Dorset has become so over developed that are its now just one big urban sprawl. In fact it has lost so much of all the natural character and charm that it used to enjoy it is hard to know where the so called green belt begins and ends.			
514913	Mr and Mrs M Clark		<a href="#">CSO16465</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514939	D Porter		<a href="#">CSO16509</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The more land you concrete over the more you have to because you just increase demand. Infill best option.			912
514959	Mr and Mrs R Fisher		<a href="#">CSO16538</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514993	Dr Peter J Hardwick		<a href="#">CSO16572</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The area is already over-developed and congested - further development on the scales proposed would lead to deterioration of the environment and a reduction in the quality of life. I am particularly dismayed by continued threats to the Green Belt, especially as this Government pledged to protect it. I do not believe that such massive development is necessary or beneficial to the vast majority of inhabitants. Pressure on our area should be reduced by Government tackling such			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							issues as population growth through immigration control and regeneration of brownfield sites in Midlands and North. There is a need for limited affordable and social housing locally, but not more executive homes. It is wrong to build new homes while second homes and investment properties continue to exist.			
515033	Mr T Crump		<a href="#">CSO16610</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
515287	Mr L Jackson		<a href="#">CSO16684</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		The A31 trunk road from Ferndown bypass should be widened to form a dual carriageway all the way through to Bere Regis.			912
515367	Mr & Mrs J Pottinger		<a href="#">CSO16806</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Please save the Green Belt			912
515868	Mr F Weller		<a href="#">CSO16861</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Keep off Green Belt			912
516011	Mr Ray Goodchild		<a href="#">CSO16910</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		As residents we all welcome the opportunity to contribute to "feedback" on future housing plans in our local area, especially as it impacts upon all of us. Although I am not old enough to remember the second world war, I am reminded of the old cliché, "don't you know there's a war on"! I am connected myself with the			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>building trade, in particular "development" as well as being a shareholder in both Barratts and Taylor Wimpey.</p> <p>Developers are pretty much at a standstill, and there is unlikely to be much of a change in the foreseeable future. We are entering a period of austerity, and as long as prospective homeowners are unable to get mortgages, then the building trade is not going to invest, and there is little money available for "social housing".</p> <p>How are you expecting to devise a long term plan for local development when so much, which is out of "councils" control, is probably going to change in the next five years, which will influence building decisions?</p> <p>I think you are premature in seeking our opinions at this stage.</p>			
359461	Mrs Nicola BRUNT	Conservation Officer Dorset Wildlife Trust	<a href="#">CSO17498</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		We would object to this option should it come forward due to the concerns over the impact of such a large population living so close to the heathlands.			912
359477	Ms Natasha Mackenzie	Clerk Ellingham, Harbridge and Ibsley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17721</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object	General Comment	<p>The proposed additional housing and employment areas will therefore only increase the traffic problems at Ringwood and thus on the roads in our Parish, especially on the B3081, A338 and Linwood Road if the A31 is blocked. The junction at Bakers Hanging will also become even more saturated.</p> <p>The Parish Council agree that these developments should only go ahead if road improvements at Bakers Hanging and the junction of the A338 and A31</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							are carried out.			
359553	Mr Richard Heaslip	Chairman West Parley Parish Council	<a href="#">CSO17937</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The Parish Council strongly opposes this non-preferred option, and will resist any large scale housing south-east of Parley Cross.			912
359891	Mrs Susanne Parkin		<a href="#">CSO18350</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
360302	Mrs Hilary Chittenden	Chairperson Environment TAG (East Dorset)	<a href="#">CSO18182</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The HRA has recommended withdrawing this site from the list of options. ETAG supports this.			912
361026	Mr Steve Hellier	Network Planning Manager Highways Agency	<a href="#">CSO17749</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Options FWP1, FWP2, FWP3, FWP4 and FWP5 are all located south of Ferndown, away from the A31 which is north of the town. However, despite being well served by regular bus services, Ferndown suffers from congestion around the A31 which is of concern to the Agency. The Agency supports the development of the evidence base to inform any strategic growth retained in the Ferndown employment area, given its strategic scale and close proximity to the highly constrained Canford Bottom-Merley section of the A31. This will continue to apply and the Agency will have a particular interest in potential improvements at Canford Bottom triggered by further employment development. We are also aware of the area travel plan for the Ferndown industrial estate, the expansion of which will be			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							essential to assist with the suppression of demand for further movements in the area generally, and specifically on the A31			
517986	Mr B W Lockwood		<a href="#">CSO17272</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Although it has been recognised that significant improvements to roads will be required, the infrastructure in Ferndown and Parley would in no way support any further development unless a by-pass was constructed around all town boundaries. The schools in the area cannot accommodate the catchment area at the present time nor can the police and fire services. The cost to the highways would be increased beyond any benefit.			912
518000	L Brebner		<a href="#">CSO17278</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		All developments bring increased traffic; Ferndown is already gridlocked 80% of the day due to inaction by the Council and its refusal to divert traffic away from Longham Bridge. Any development of land in Ferndown / W. Parley will only add to the existing problem which is already growing.			912
518027	Mr J Talbot		<a href="#">CSO17298</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The lungs of the whole area are at Parley. Whoever heard of a large estate being built under the immediate flight path of an airport - (on the increase). The infrastructure at the present time is unable to cope, the schools, the roads, the hospitals; everything in fact, so new dwellings is a joke. It is congested enough already. As for affordable housing, where are the affordable people going to find any			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							kind of work with the extreme unemployment already in existence.			
521315	Janet & Kevin Healy Paul Timberlake		<a href="#">CSO18024</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>SUMMARY: FWP4 LAND TO THE EAST OF CROSS ROADS (100)</p> <p>We consider this to be a poor site and on balance must OBJECT to any development. We would like to reaffirm our objection to FWP5</p> <p>We consider the loss of a large green expanse and the reduction in this open area between North Bournemouth and East Dorset to be detrimental to our District. The visual impact will be severe.</p> <p>We do not think it is in such a sustainable location as the Longham sites as it is more likely that children will be taken to school by private transport and this will increase the congestion problems at Parley Cross and other adjacent areas. The site has a potential of 200+ cars counting 1 ½ cars per household. This is likely to be a low estimate of additional cars on the road.</p> <p>Why the convenience store? Parley used to have a good collection of shops but these have been taken over by tiling outlets, sheds, conservatories etc. There is no guarantee the same would not happen to a new food store. Extending the out of town shopping area will just encourage more car trips.</p> <p>Loss of agricultural land grade 2.</p> <p>PURPOSES OF THE GREENBELT PPG2 (as applicable to this site)</p> <p>To check the unrestricted sprawl of large built up areas.</p> <p>Building on this site will reduce the</p>			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>open green gap between North Bournemouth and West Parley.                      To prevent neighbouring towns from merging into one another.                      It is this open expanse of fields which has sharply marked the division between the urban Bournemouth and the rural East Dorset District.                      To preserve the setting and special character of historic towns.                      This is not strictly correct when applied to Parley, but as already mentioned, it is the 'gateway' to the more rural part of Dorset.</p> <p>VISUAL IMPACT ON BOTH THE NATURAL AND HISTORIC LANDSCAPE</p> <p>It is the openness of this area that is so important to save. Within it lies the old West Parley that is a conservation area; the houses would be creeping ever nearer. Above Parley on the other side of New Road is the hill on which the ancient monument of Dudsbury is sited. The rural appearance gives these historic sites a traditional setting. The loss of the open views and open space would truly make East Dorset a part of the main conurbation.</p> <p>SUSTAINABLE LOCATION PPS1</p> <p>Location                      Primary Schools                      Middle and Upper                      Daily shopping                      Post Office                      Doctors                      Parley Cross East                      2.2km – Parley 1st                      3.3 – Fd 1st                      3.0km</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>3.5km 0.3km 0.3km 2.2km to Glenmoor Rd EMPLOYMENT Bournemouth Airport Ferndown and Uddens Industrial Estates Ferndown itself Poole or Bournemouth Commuting Figures for Ferndown. Source: 2001 Census Internal Commuters ... 3365 In Commuters .....7035 Out Commuters .....4893 Total commuters (in and out)..... 11928 According to the Core Strategy, 85% of all Ferndown and West Parley commute by car either as a driver or passenger. This would only be a sustainable site if the main employment centres can be accessed without the use of the private motor car. There is already a high level of commuting in this area. Cycle tracks exist from West Parley to Bournemouth Airport. One is planned to North Bournemouth. Cycle routes also exist up to Ferndown. For anyone working on Ferndown Industrial Estate, the cycle track down Ham Lane ends at the Hampreston Cross Roads, this would need extending. Cyclists would have to be directed down on the Old Ham lane for Wimborne and for the Industrial estate cycle track would have to take them from Fox Lane, across Ham Lane and into the blocked exist from the old Fox Lane onto</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Wimborne Road West. PUBLIC TRANSPORT Number 13 between Bournemouth and Wimborne. ½ hourly services. Journey time: 25 minutes (from Parley Cross)</p> <p>NEAREST CENTRE WITH FULL RANGE OF SERVICES Ferndown or Wimborne are the closest centres. The bus stop at Parley Cross is quite close and runs every 30 minutes.</p> <p>PROVISION OF MULTI-FUNCTIONAL GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE AND OPEN SPACES: (Natural England's Green Infrastructure Guidance NE176 &amp; PPG17 Planning for Open Spaces GI on this site would not help with sustainable access to schools as on the other sites at Longham, though it is better for employment. There appears to be a large area of landscaped open space planned, this would be very important for recreation as the proposed site is in a very bad position in relation to busy traffic and potential air pollution. More GI would be required to link up with Dudsbury and the Stour Valley Way for fresh air. We feel that in this location preserving the openness would be best as that is what we are used to seeing.</p> <p>ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS: Our comments on environmental aspects of the Core Strategy Options document are included in the Response of the Environmental TAG, East Dorset Community Partnership, which we fully endorse.</p> <p>ECOSYSTEM DAMAGE: disturbance</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>to flora and fauna                      This land has been farmed fairly recently and is currently used for growing grass as a crop. There are no grazing animals on this part of the land. A survey would need to be done to see what exists and may be harmed                      TRANQUILLITY: a sense of place.                      It is the visual tranquillity of this large green site after red brick of the urban areas of Bournemouth and Ferndown that makes it so valuable. There is no sound of silence due to the proximity of the traffic and Bournemouth Airport.                      LIGHT POLLUTION                      Please see the ETAG Response and the comments by Bob Mizon.                      DRAINAGE PPS25 (causing increase in river flooding or surface water problems)                      This site is a large flat area that forms the river terrace. We doubt there would be much risk of flooding on this site but SUDS must be used to make sure there is no increase in surface water run-off as the river Stour is not far away and speedier run-off may increase flooding downstream.                      PROXIMITY TO HEATHLAND AND AVAILABILITY OF SANGS FOR MITIGATION                      This area is close to Parley Common, Parley SSSI and Ferndown Common so SANGS will be required. A SANGS has been allocated to the east and south of the site. The one to the south must be close to the flood plain which is very active during the winter months. This would reduce the available access.</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>PROVISION OF ADDITIONAL INFRASTRUCTURE (schools etc)                      No primary school is to be provided which does reduce the sustainability of this site. However, there will be retail outlets including a large store. It would be a shame to put the Tesco Express out of service just as it has opened. Parley used to have a row of very good shops for local people but now it is tiles and bathrooms etc. Will new retail development be any different? We cannot see the objective behind office accommodation.</p> <p>IMPACT ON TRANSPORT INFRASTRUCTURE                      The roads are at capacity. Modifications to Canford Bottom roundabout and Parley Cross roads will hardly keep the traffic running. We have too many cars on the road. No amount of GI or public transport will solve this basic problem. We do not need more roads destroying more ecosystems we need alternate systems that work. Schools close by housing and suitable employment sites that are accessible without using a car. Safe, well lit all weather cycle and pedestrian racks are required. East Dorset District Council has a target in the Transport Plan to introduce buses to take workers directly to industrial estates. This is an excellent idea. However, one such bus already exists, the number 29 from Bournemouth to Ferndown Industrial site. There are two early morning buses from Bournemouth, one leaving the estate at lunch time and two</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							leaving in the evening. This service started in April 2010, without subsidies. The Yellow bus company confirmed that they do carry some regular passengers to and from the Industrial Estate. We suspect the buses are not that well used, one major problem influencing their use is the vast areas of free parking available on Ferndown Industrial Estate. It may be that the 'stick and carrot' needs to be introduced to get people out of their cars and into a bus. If less parking existed there would be more room for new business. We noticed a large new factory down Brook Road had a vast new car park, when parking is free and easy no-one will catch a bus. Perhaps spaces should only be allocated if there are no other means of reasonable travel.			
359261	Mr Doug Cramond	DC Planning Ltd	<a href="#">CSO18245</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Introduction</p> <p>It has been recognised for many years by the LPA and its consultants that the land in the south east quadrant of Parley Crossroads would provide the opportunity for a sustainable new neighbourhood bringing forward many benefits for the immediate and wider area.</p> <p>A critical mass in terms of the delivery of much needed housing and other benefits is required to achieve planning objectives and development viability.</p> <p>By failing to endorse FWP5 as a Preferred Option the LPA will not achieve its strategic objectives under principal Vision headings, not only for this immediate locality but across a</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>wide stretch of the Plan area. Sadly and unreasonably, the two reasons cited in the CS for not following the FWP5 approach are completely flawed.</p> <p>There is no need to have concerns over the impact from about 400 dwellings and associated commercial buildings on the Parley Common SPA – mitigation is an entirely achievable proposition. Similarly, concerns over either traffic additions to the Parley Crossroads or the environmental result of new works here on any new community are unfounded. The reverse is actually the case; endorsement of the development is a pre-requisite to achieving both capacity and environmental improvements at this junction and its environs.</p> <p>A True Core Strategy</p> <p>As our representations to FWP4 set out –</p> <p>The Core Strategy should be just that – looking at critical core issues for the District and coming up with an achievable and sustainable strategy to address them. The document needs to be coming forward with a deliverable and visionary way ahead for the next 20 years. PPS12 makes this absolutely clear.</p> <p>Put simply and more succinctly than the CS, the Vision, albeit with a local slant placed on it, might be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• provide sufficient good quality homes to meet needs;</li> <li>• protect local character along with areas of environmental and ecological quality;</li> </ul>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• create enough jobs generally and expand the employment potential at the airport in particular;</li> <li>• minimise the need to travel and make it easier when people have to get around the District; and</li> <li>• provide appropriate services and recreational opportunities.</li> </ul> <p>All of these things have to come together in a credible CS document and the effect of one decision or land-use annotation has to be looked at within the context of the whole jigsaw. As PPS12 puts it</p> <p>“The vision should be informed by an analysis of the area and its constituent parts and the key issues and challenges facing them”. “[Strategic objectives] should expand the vision into the key specific issues for the area which need to be addressed, and how that will be achieved within the timescale of the Core Strategy”.</p> <p>The LPAs point out in Key Issue Paper 01 that:</p> <p>“..whilst Core Strategies should be visionary, they must also be effective, and so the vision and objectives must create a spatial strategy which is deliverable.”</p> <p>Progression of a scheme along the lines of FWP5 can achieve this. It would bring forward a major part of the (i) employment, (ii) transport, (iii) residential and (iv) nature conservation strategy.</p> <p>As the CS Vision thoughtfully puts it “the environment can be used to sustain growth of the local economy and the welfare of local communities,</p>			



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>rather than being used as a reason to turn our backs on growth which can be achieved sustainably".</p> <p>A key component of national advice is the approach to Green Belt. Any development proposed on this designation has to be assessed against the 5 purposes of GB set out in PPG2, material considerations and whether very special circumstances exist. The evidence base gives rise to no concerns on these points. We agree that the housing and other needs outweighs the protectionist stance on the GB and that in any event the 5 purposes of GB would not be contravened by a well-planned version of FWP5. We agree that it is important to keep the old settlement (and Conservation Area) of Parley Cross to the south east separate from any growth of West Parley, and Bournemouth too – our development strategy (and FWP5) would do this.</p> <p>The SPA</p> <p>The LUC and Broadway Malvan material relating to the potential impacts from some 400 dwellings and other uses here is superficial and flawed. There is concern expressed over a hotel use which on many occasions has been allowed within 400m of a SPA and appears to have been the starting point for worries. There is an arbitrary acceptance of 100 dwellings and rejection of 400. There has been no recognition or testing of mitigation which could come forward and no thought as to how existing residents of West Parley might</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>be attracted away from the SPA. Fortunately Wyatt Homes, with the help of ecological practice, EPR, and the good offices of Natural England have explored this. The EPR 'Proposed Layout' (attached) is the outcome. Enclosed EPR text explains the position. It sets out how this mitigates for the site in SPA terms and brings the diversionary open space for the nearby existing population as well as offering benefits for walkers on the Stour Valley Way. It underlines the provision which can be made for a single SANGS area of over 16 ha. The opening paragraph in the Ferndown / West Parley chapter of the CS asks, as one of two questions, "How can we improve the provision of open space to encourage residents away from heathlands and increase access to the countryside?" Furthermore a key response from the Local Focus Group in 2008 was the call for green infrastructure in and beyond new development. This is precisely the respondent's plan. There need be no SPA related concerns with the respondent's (modified) FWP5 strategy and proposals.</p> <p>Traffic and Transport The Broadway Malyan / WSP work on problems and solutions at Parley Crossroads, and the DCC investigations, provide no evidence that 100 homes would be technically and environmentally complementary to junction and other highway works and that 400 homes would not. The reason</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>for objecting to the larger scheme has no sound technical or environmental basis; it is arbitrary.</p> <p>The respondent's transport consultants, PFA, have concluded that a 'by-pass' for part of the Parley Crossroads traffic, through and serving development on this quadrant, would be one positive way forward. Rightly few parties would be in favour of a gyratory as per an earlier PBA solution. Works, however, must be done at this junction. Para 10.76 of the CS notes that all FWP proposals will require them. Progression of development at Bournemouth Airport is absolutely fundamental to the CS area's economy (see e.g. CS paras 7.1/4, 7.12, 7.29 &amp; 7.31/32). It needs works done at Parley Crossroads as a pre-requisite as made clear in CS explanatory text and the 'BA' options.</p> <p>The Airport Infrastructure Delivery Plan sets out the junction improvement as a key plank but fails to say how it can be achieved – it is simply not possible on highway land.</p> <p>Local opinion is clearly in favour of 'doing something' at Parley Crossroads. Over 90% of those who expressed view on this matter at the CS Issues and Options stage supported improvements to this junction.</p> <p>DCC supports the logic and approach of PFA to some 400 dwellings on the respondent's land. It sees this as positively helping to deliver a technical and environmental solution so important for a great extent of the Plan</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>area.</p> <p>The County recognises that an allocation of 100 houses (FWP4) would bring nothing to the CS and would only prevent much needed residential, employment and recreational provision through non-implementation.</p> <p>There is no transport reason to object to the concept of FWP5, on the contrary transport enhancement is a reason to support comprehensive development along these lines.</p> <p>Other Matters</p> <p>The strategic case for an FWP5 approach has been made – this site is a large central piece in an overall visionary, and achievable, jigsaw. Green Belt, nature conservation, local environmental enhancement and transport gains have been touched on above. Its sustainability credentials cannot be challenged with its accessibility generally and relative proximity to EDDC’s largest employment area (Ferndown EI) and the sub regions largest planned area for job generation - the airport.</p> <p>Adding to the merits of the FWP5 (modified) approach it is worth also highlighting that there are clear advantages of allocating a larger site over a number of smaller ones. This is through comprehensive delivery, provision of facilities and infrastructure, creation of a true mixed community and meaningful supply of affordable and varied market homes. 2,444 households were on the EDDC Housing Register in Oct 2009. Not one</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>affordable dwelling was added to EDDC stock last year. We note that the CS includes small sites whilst strangely acknowledging (10.50) that they should not normally appear in such a document.</p> <p>Efficient and effective use of land should be made to accord with local and national planning policies. Development will be kept clear of airport related noise and safety zones. There is no other site around the periphery of Ferndown and West Parley which can offer this scale of development or bring anything like the direct benefits. Full advantage needs to be taken of it.</p> <p>At a local level the scheme can deliver facilities which have been called for in local consultation (e.g. CS Issues and Options responses) – allotments, playground, teen facilities and a health centre. Shopping will greatly improve locally, adding to self-containment and lessening the need to travel. Concern is expressed in the evidence base Profile Information over poor shopping facilities at West Parley and professional studies have shown more is needed.</p> <p>Through this site West Parley will become a more balanced community with a range of ages, house types, social strata and skills. Locally public transport, already recognised as good, will improve further in long term viability, service frequency and speed through avoiding congestion.</p> <p>Significant wide landscape areas will be aligned where the site lies closest</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>to, or abuts, existing dwellings. New parkland and walking routes will be available to all. Much of the substantial 'New Homes Bonus' can be spent at the behest of local people.</p> <p>Finally, it is worth underlining that the development interest over the whole area is held by the long established Wyatt Homes. This is a locally-based award-winning regional house builder of substance. It is committed to timely delivery of high quality architecturally designed, locally distinctive, development using south east Dorset labour and suppliers.</p> <p>Conclusion The PPS12 (and S.20 (5) (b) of the Act) tests of soundness are unequivocal. "To be 'sound' a core strategy should be JUSTIFIED, EFFECTIVE and consistent with NATIONAL POLICY". PPS12 goes on to explain that:</p> <p>i) justification stems from a robust / credible evidence base and the development plan having the most appropriate development strategy. ii) Effectiveness is as a result of the plan being deliverable, flexible and able to be monitored.</p> <p>Failure to incorporate an FWP5 approach in the CS would run contrary to the tests of soundness on a site specific basis. Furthermore, critically, if the Council continues to persevere with not coming forward with this policy the intended strategy of housing delivery elsewhere, job generation, transport enhancement and environmental protection across a</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>large part of the Plan area would also completely unwind in terms of soundness. A major part of the CS would fail.</p> <p>For all the reasons above, FWP5, with an amended development diagram reflecting EPR Proposed Layout drawing, should become a firm policy in the Submission CS. We look forward to working with the LPA over coming months to achieve a satisfactory wording for this policy and to ensure a clear delivery strategy for this lynchpin new sustainable neighbourhood.</p>			
359482	Ms Helen POWELL	Conservation Officer Natural England, Dorset and Somerset Team	<a href="#">CSO18753</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>This option provides for a large number of houses within close proximity to the European sites at Parley Common to the north. We have concerns about whether adequate mitigation could be provided in such close proximity to the designated sites here, especially when the land potentially available for SANG (under option FWP4) would be significantly reduced by this larger development option.</p>			912
359571	Mr Renny Henderson	Conservation Officer Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	<a href="#">CSO18710</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>We agree with the findings of the HRA in connection with the proposed housing sites within these areas. Consequently, until such time as assessment of the potential impacts of the options on European sites is determined we object to the following policies:</p>			912
360379	Mr Andrew MURRAY	Planning Advisor Manchester	<a href="#">CSO18486</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		<p>No acknowledgment or recognition is given to the airport noise contours and PPG 24 restrictions that apply. The</p>	Add appropriate noise mitigation measures to the assessment of		912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
		Airport		FWP 5			bulk of the site lies within the 57db(A) and 63db(A) contours and as such falls within the B' noise exposure category for dwellings as set out in Annex 1 to PPG 24.	this option.		
523281	Mr W F Hughes	Smiths Gore	<a href="#">CSO18272</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		<p>We confirm we act on behalf of the owners of part of the land covered by FWP4 and FWP5. We confirm that their land is immediately available for development.</p> <p>We confirm the land is well positioned for a sustainable development given its proximity to the airport and the current and proposed future employment land, facilities and public transport.</p> <p>We confirm the land will assist in meeting the Affordable Housing in the District.</p> <p>Our clients believe that the site's development is essential to bring a solution to the traffic problems at the Parley Crossroads and is able to offer extensive new parkland.</p> <p>My clients selected developer is local to the site and has a proven track record of delivery.</p> <p>We believe FWP4 on its own is of insufficient size to be viable.</p> <p>We confirm our clients support of FWP5</p>			912
523300	Mr Trevor Abbott		<a href="#">CSO18296</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
523366	Mr Raymond Silverthorne		<a href="#">CSO18348</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		The FWP5 option is simply too large scale and would generate local opposition from the neighbourhood.			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			It is vital that any new development is supported by sufficient public transport, medical facilities and community buildings. Public recreational areas need to be provided for Sports/Walking. These could be provided on the FWP5 site and nearby Chapelgate facility.			
359875	Dr Lesley HASKINS		<a href="#">CSO19297</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The urban fringe of south-east Dorset supports a range of acid and neutral grasslands which seem to have escaped improvement to some degree or another by virtue of being marginal to main stream agriculture. Many of these grasslands are grazed by horses, tending to mask their floristic composition, and making recognition of their value, without the opportunity for a properly timed and prepared survey, an inevitably random affair While some have been recognised as SSSIs, or SNCIs others are certainly yet to be formally identified and recognised by such proper survey. Yet they represent a most important and rapidly diminishing biodiversity resource which must be properly identified and protected. There is little or no reference to this resource in the Core Strategy and it is most worrying that no proper attempt at assessment was made of preferred sites prior to its publication. Consequently the number of preferred options in the Core Strategy which impact upon important grasslands is simply not known, and it will be essential that all proposed sites be assessed most carefully in respect of this resource before decision			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>making progresses any further. The intrinsic biodiversity interest of the grassland sites (and their associated features - hedges, trees etc.) must be properly assessed during the coming year and be accorded proper weight in the judgement of these options. However it is certain that by rejecting some areas of search the Core Strategy has successfully steered away from areas where grasslands of interest would most likely to have been substantially threatened. This is welcomed and supported.</p> <p>This site seems fairly certain to be of low intrinsic wildlife value - but has the disadvantage of being closer to Parley Common. Assessing the overall impact of FW3 and FW5 should weigh up both the intrinsic wildlife value and indirect impact on Parley Common of each site.</p>			
359280	Mr Andrew ROBINSON	Partner Symonds & Sampson	<a href="#">CSO19148</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Symonds &amp; Sampson can confirm as agent on behalf of the owners (the Rossiter family) of land covered by FWP4 and FWP5 that the land is available for development.</li> <li>2. Symonds &amp; Sampson confirm that in their view the land is well positioned for sustainable development given its proximity to the airport and the current proposed future employment land, facilities and public transport.</li> <li>3. Symonds &amp; Sampson confirm in their view that the land has the ability to assist in meeting the demand for affordable housing in the district.</li> <li>4. Symonds &amp; Sampson believe that the site's development is essential to</li> </ol>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							bring a solution to the Parley Crossroads problem and we further believe that its development can offer extensive new parkland. 5. The developer chosen by the land owners to take an Option over the land is local to the site and has the ability to deliver it. Wyatt Homes have an excellent local track record. 6. Symonds & Sampson believe, on behalf of the Rossiter family, that site FWP4 is insufficient to be viable but if the land in their ownership, which is essentially FWP5, is added to FWP4 a site can be provided which is suitable for long term development whilst at the same time providing a variety of facilities to the residents of West Parley. This email, therefore, incorporates the responses by the Rossiter family in relation to the Core Strategy.			
527750	Mr Colin MacNee		<a href="#">CSO18922</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
527818	Mr Nigel Lester	Synergy Housing Association	<a href="#">CSO18968</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Having looked at the proposals for each of the geographical areas and the proposed sites within those areas we can see no reason to disagree with the Local Authorities assessment and findings in each case, and would be very keen to become involved in the provision of affordable housing on any of these sites.			912
527849	Miss Kate	Transport Planning	<a href="#">CSO19046</a>	Non Preferred	Support		The higher levels of development contained in the current non-preferred			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Tunks	Officer Dorset County Council		Option FWP 5			<p>option FWP 5 are supported. Development in this location is sustainable within the SE Dorset context as it is within the existing urban area and there is opportunity to use alternative modes to access employment, education, shop and other services provided locally and within Poole and Bournemouth. Whilst additional development in this location will generate more traffic, a pre-requisite to this development is the reduction in congestion in the vicinity of Parley Cross. Development of a large enough scale here can help improve transport conditions at the crossroads. If only a small level of development occurs then very little benefit can be achieved for the existing or new residents as transport improvements will not be financially viable.</p> <p>Current peak hour traffic congestion problems at Parley Cross are acknowledged and various junction improvements have been investigated. It is recognised that the longstanding proposal for a gyratory in that location might provide a solution in highway engineering terms but it is unlikely to provide an attractive, permeable urban design solution.</p> <p>Development on both sides of Parley Cross should contribute towards provision of a solution to reduce traffic flows and therefore congestion at the existing crossroads because traffic arising from both developments will further worsen conditions there. Wording in the Core Strategy should</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							reflect this pre-requisite. Ideally the developers of the land to the east and west of the cross roads would work together to create a joint solution which would remove a certain level of traffic from the existing Parley crossroads. DCC Transport Planning Officers have met with consultants representing developers of both sites and have suggested this course of action. A potential solution could be the construction of new streets through each of the developments which would both serve the development itself and remove west – south, south – west, east – south and south – east movements from the crossroads. Parley Cross could then benefit from a reduction in vehicular traffic, provision of better facilities for cycling, walking, public transport and become a more vibrant centre for the community with additional shops and services.			
527863	A Barratt		<a href="#">CSO19016</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
527897	Mr Roy Crossland		<a href="#">CSO19085</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Existing infrastructure does not support CURRENT housing stock .FWP5 land is under approach flight path for Bournemouth Airport, this would be a very dangerous and noisy place to live.			912
527908	Mrs J Coakes		<a href="#">CSO19107</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
533834	Mr Tim Harris		<a href="#">CSO19208</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
534808	M Ward		<a href="#">CSO19418</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Greenbelt land should be sacrosanct because once lost it will be lost forever. New homes are needed but brownfield sites should be fully used (and by brownfield I would exclude garden grab). Developers who make profit from a local area should be made to contribute much more to local provisions (not just transport) i.e. leisure, school and community centres.			912
534820	Paul Batten		<a href="#">CSO19435</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
534833	Frederick Wall		<a href="#">CSO19468</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
534837	Mrs P Martin		<a href="#">CSO19499</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Horses need grazing land. No infrastructure in place for the total number of homes planned. I do not go out between 8.00 and 9.30 am and 3.00pm and 6.30pm because of the traffic on the roads now.			912
534875	Brian Lane		<a href="#">CSO19542</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
534877	Mr Roger		<a href="#">CSO19546</a>	Non Preferred	Object		To build on these parcels of land will decimate the Village feel of the locality			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Owen			Option FWP 5			and make the area an urban sprawl similar to outer London boroughs. There is not enough industry of any sort in the area to support additional personnel and will cause social problems. There will be increased demands on medical facilities. More school places will be required and there are no sensible provisions planned for additional education requirements. Some of these proposals will affect the flood plain especially those areas near the River Stour. If these areas are developed by building there will be flooding in the locality. The comment that these developments will benefit local residents and take pressure away from the heathlands is a complete "Red Herring" and will be of no benefit to the existing local residents. The fact is that it will be a detriment to the current local residents who will be living in an urban sprawl with hardly any definition between our existing area and Bournemouth It will lower the value of a lot of the existing properties with no recompense to the current owners.			
534883	K L Ward		<a href="#">CSO19571</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The open areas of FWP 3, 4 & 5 should be maintained in their current state. Much more attention should be given to building houses on brown field sites - for instance the site of the Dormy Hotel.			912
534891	Mr Alan R Roberts		<a href="#">CSO19577</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
360977	Mr Nick Solomon		<a href="#">CSO20723</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
490854	D MUTTON		<a href="#">CSO20053</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507361	Mr Kenneth Archer		<a href="#">CSO20340</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
507737	Mrs S Philpot		<a href="#">CSO20308</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
511383	Mrs Jean Archer		<a href="#">CSO20388</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
534914	Mrs P Froud		<a href="#">CSO19670</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535063	Ms Karen Morris		<a href="#">CSO19610</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		See letter to Chris Chope M.P. forwarded to Cllr Flower for ALL Objections and concerns			912
535066	Mr Louis Kling		<a href="#">CSO19615</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		"Options" FWP1 - FWP5: I object to any development on Health and Safety grounds before pedestrian crossings at PARLEY CROSS have been made safe. They are highly dangerous NOW without the addition of 840 houses and			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							more from other areas, most of which with multiple car ownerships. We need a pedestrian underpass or at least a pedestrian walk bridge so people can walk from one side of the four-fold road crossings to the other with a reasonable level of safety. Even without the house development taking place, we do need those crossing facilities due to the general heavy increase in traffic.			
535070	Mr Alan Reade		<a href="#">CSO19630</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535094	MS Tracey Tucker		<a href="#">CSO19659</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am very concerned about increased housing in W Parley; the traffic is horrendous around here so much that at weekends and bank holidays I try not to go out and to travel into work at the wrong time is just a nightmare. Additional traffic is just not needed. With regards the industrial development at Blunts Farm, there is no much empty office and factory space on Ferndown Ind estate, why is more needed at Uddens? I keep my horse in Uddens Drive and entrance to the ind estate is so dangerous with the size of the lorries coming into uddens drive both for drivers and horse riders. I am surprised there has not been a serious accident or death there. The A31 does not need any additional traffic, any proposed ind development should be subject to access being made through Ferndown ind estate and not uddens drive			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535109	Mr Stephen Warner		<a href="#">CSO19687</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
535112	Mr Jack Tindall		<a href="#">CSO19709</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535116	Mrs Susan Warner		<a href="#">CSO19708</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535167	Lynda Lake		<a href="#">CSO19762</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535209	Mr P Webster		<a href="#">CSO19796</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Please liaise with NFDC and NPA, as the additional traffic generated by the extra housing and employment zones will increase the traffic problems at the already congested bottleneck at Ringwood and cause yet more problems for the people who live in New Forest hamlets such as Linwood, Brook and Burley as motorists try to find alternative routes. Can the New Forest take any more pressure from additional numbers of visitors? Has Dorset got enough sand and gravel in the land bank to meet the needs of the developers? Can you force Tarmac to start mining gravel by the Spur Road near Hurn? What will happen to the pollution levels close to the trunk roads eg at Ringwood, especially particulate pollution? Can Bournemouth Water			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							meet the increased demands for water?			
535349	P Thomas		<a href="#">CSO19829</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Each of the proposed developments around Parley Cross would inevitably have a strong community interest with Bournemouth and Poole. The only access to these towns would be over the river Stour at Longham, New Road or Christchurch. Thus there would be a requirement for new bridges, and, in the case of New Road, a widening of the existing residential roads. This would also apply to some extent to New Road between Parley Cross and Ferndown. It should also be noted that the area between Parley Cross and the river Stour is prone to both dense mists and flooding at various times of the year.			912
535368	Mr Andrew Evans		<a href="#">CSO19978</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I think that this whole core strategy consultation needs a full review in light of the proposed changes being brought in under the new government. I particularly object to all the proposed Verwood development as the infrastructure is not in place to support the current population let alone adding even further to it by building a further 400 homes. Any EDDC councillor who has the affront to say that the infrastructure is in place to support this development does not know what they are talking about and obviously do not live in the town.			912
535370	Deborah Dalglish		<a href="#">CSO19865</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		Keep West Parley GREEN!! There should be no building on GREEN BELT land!!			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
535387	Mr Brian Cox		<a href="#">CSO19954</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535393	Jeremy Berg		<a href="#">CSO19905</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		ROADS, ROADS, ROADS, BEFORE YOU BUILD ANYWHERE & DESTROY EVERYONES WAY OF LIFE FOREVER, BUILD ROADS & BY PASSES.			912
535421	Mr Roland Andrews		<a href="#">CSO20616</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535457	Mr Matthew Newman		<a href="#">CSO19969</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535500	David VeEVERS		<a href="#">CSO20015</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535504	Mr Michael Beer		<a href="#">CSO20078</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535509	Mrs S Durant		<a href="#">CSO20122</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535517	Roy Rich		<a href="#">CSO20095</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object					912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
535547	Mr M Folland		<a href="#">CSO20194</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535550	Mrs D Mogg		<a href="#">CSO20177</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535567	Ms Judy McMath		<a href="#">CSO20273</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
535574	Mr and Mrs Ralph Williams		<a href="#">CSO20254</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Our Comments relate to FWP1, 2, 3, and 5 also TA1 Transport Issues. We strongly object to any further development In West Parley as it is totally Unsustainable. All five housing options will add to the major congestion that already completely bring the area to a standstill most weekday mornings and evenings from the A348 at Longham all of the B3073 through Parley traffic lights past the Airport to the Blackwater junction on the A338 and beyond. In the other direction crossing Parley traffic lights on New Road the A347 the traffic again stands form the junction at the Porsche Garage to the Ensburry Park roundabout junction with the A341 and beyond with standing traffic in both directions. This traffic currently adds major pollution to the local environment that's with-out the impact of the Airport which adds also			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							considerable noise particularly at night. These five sites will add a considerable amount of traffic which no contribution from developers will improve the site the introduction of families is not a reason to allow any of these sites to be developed. Please advise that you have received this email and read. Both planner and local councillors need to be more sensible in the approach to new housing in the Ferndown area it needs far more consideration and is now in conflict with government planning policy. To put people on to green field site as an easy option, Do the job properly; work on other sites that are sustainable.			
535591	A Walker		<a href="#">CSO20293</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535610	Mr Stewart Bullen		<a href="#">CSO20362</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535618	Mrs Angela Robinson		<a href="#">CSO20341</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP 5: This is completely inappropriate, see comments FWP 4. The introduction of business premises at the end of Church Lane is already causing disruption to local traffic.			912
535670	Dave Allen		<a href="#">CSO20412</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535678	Andrew		<a href="#">CSO20440</a>	Non		No				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Bryant			Preferred Option FWP 5		Opinion				
535688	Susan Hobbs		<a href="#">CSO20530</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535701	Mr Andy Skeats		<a href="#">CSO20487</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535815	Mrs C M Davies		<a href="#">CSO20557</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Parley Cross is already a very busy junction and has been considerably enlarged once. Hundreds of new homes would exacerbate the traffic issues, which do not occur only during the rush hour, and any plans to increase the road network further would lead to the village being cut in two and many of us living beside a major road system. West Parley is a community in existence from ancient times, with its own character, and does not want to be an add-on for Bournemouth's overflow - or even Ferndown's, come to that. I agree that there is a need for some affordable housing but large 'rabbit-warren' estates do nothing to maintain or improve the character of an area. Small developments, say in the dozens, where a sense of community can be engendered are far preferable. The number of new residents must be such that the infrastructure of the village - roads, drainage (we have had problems in the past with flooding)			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							schools, medical services, etc - can cope. Green belt land was designated for a purpose. That purpose is more important than ever in these times when people's lives are so pressured. Research shows that those who live in areas where trees and green spaces abound are able to deal better with the stresses of life. Urban sprawl leads to 'rats in a trap' mentality and loss of a sense of community where people have no care for each other or the area in which they live.			
535836	Peter Parsons		<a href="#">CSO20584</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support					912
360768	Cllr. Mr David Packer	Colehill West Ward East Dorset District Council	<a href="#">CSO21089</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
535865	Darren Charles Bryson		<a href="#">CSO20648</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535875	John Kitchenside		<a href="#">CSO20678</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
535907	Mr Christopher Baxendale		<a href="#">CSO20728</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535935	Mr Peter J Medler		<a href="#">CSO20841</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>The majority of residents in this area relocated because of the permanent despoiling of their places of birth by elected local and national 'representatives' who would not listen or care about the needs of the people that voted for them. Do you want to be remembered as the people who finally ruined this area or the people who saved it? Do not forget that people still visit this area as tourists and we welcome them and accept their contribution to the local economy. They will stop coming if the developments continue. How many tourists visit the outer London sprawl? Can I quote p227 from the Core Strategy Options paper - para 11.28 The Government's key housing policy is to ensure that everyone has the opportunity of living in a decent home, which they can afford, in a community where they want to live? This is an impossible dream that can never and will never, be fulfilled. If the developments did go ahead what would be the effect of another 5000 cars on our local roads be? If Morrisons developments go ahead where are the customers going to park? The parking within Verwood is just about adequate at the moment.</p>			912
535940	Mrs Linda Medler		<a href="#">CSO20779</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Any additional building of houses will need to have a better road structure than exist at the moment to cater for all the extra traffic this will bring. I thought we were trying to bring about a greener environment to Dorset county?</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
535954	Mary Ford		<a href="#">CSO20798</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			912
535965	Harry Ford		<a href="#">CSO20805</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I am concerned about the effect more housing will have on the existing road system particularly around Parley traffic lights and on New Road and Christchurch Road. There is inadequate medical and school provision for an increased population. One of the reasons for moving to West Parley 3 years ago was the green belt environment.			912
535972	Mr Ronald Cherrett		<a href="#">CSO20818</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I feel there is no infrastructure to support this development which would be better suited to another area. I have worked hard to live in a highly thought off area. This development would totally change the character of the area for the worse in my opinion which I feel is unfair on the current residents who enjoy the high standard of housing and the green belt areas. This development could bring down the whole value of the area particularly with the high percentage of low cost housing which is totally out of keeping with the current style of housing. I strongly oppose this large scale transformation of the area.			912
535986	Mrs		<a href="#">CSO20835</a>	Non	Object		In my opinion this is over development			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Angela Cherrett			Preferred Option FWP 5			<p>on every site, particularly as 50% of the housing could be low cost housing which is entirely out of keeping with the rest of Parley/Ferndown. Parley is known for its village style with large amounts of green belt the development of FWP3 FWP4 and FWP5 would totally wipe out a large area of the green belt and totally change the unique character of West Parley which I and many, if not all Parley residents, find unacceptable. The scale of development of FWP1 and FWP2 is also out of keeping with the rest of the Parley and Ferndown are which prides it's self on its high standard of housing. If these developments were to go ahead it would totally change the character of the area. The building of any housing on the green belt fields is totally unacceptable in my opinion. The percentage of low cost housing is also totally unacceptable in my opinion. I feel any building on FWP1 and FWP2 should be in keeping with other neighbouring housing. The e numbers here are far too high, as is the percentage of low cost housing. Plus you are opening up the potential to then link these two developments by building on the green belt which currently separates them meaning more loss of green fields. Another reason for my objection is that there are not enough school places to support this housing. I live in Parley as I like the friendly community feel and the view of both the housing and the fields as I walk and drive around. I often walk on or close to the green belt</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							areas being considered for development and hate the thought of what it would do to the whole look and feel of the area should the planned development go ahead adding such a large percentage of housing to the area. I object in the strongest terms to all the planning proposals for Parley and Ferndown.			
536014	Mrs Dawn Tindall		<a href="#">CSO20874</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536046	L Appleton		<a href="#">CSO20897</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536050	Mr Andrew Collin		<a href="#">CSO20899</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		It is important to have a policy of continuous improvement for housing and employment for the area. Many are concerned for our environment, sensitive and empathic development will take care of this, but to do nothing will benefit no one in the longer term. A mixture of traditional and affordable homes is desirable, backed by a development in industrial and business land and premises availability. It is important to maintain and improve all areas of housing and commercial opportunity to invest in the future of our area for all parts of the community, the young. The aspiring as well as the established and retired members. Establishing the right mix is essential for a sustainable future in our community.			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536096	Katie Lacey		<a href="#">CSO20946</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536129	Mr Peter Houghton		<a href="#">CSO20971</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536169	Mr Tony Trevis-Bell		<a href="#">CSO21024</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536267	Trish Wheeler		<a href="#">CSO21162</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536271	Mrs D Holt		<a href="#">CSO21202</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		No development should be supported within Green belt areas at all. Definitely not within the West parley area as the flats already built within this and the Ferndown area have far outweighed normal development in this semi-rural area which is now seeing a complete change of atmosphere. This area is a retirement area in particular and would be ruined by the over development changing its character to one of a feeling of living on an estate, and smaller housing and cheaper housing would mean that it suddenly became a family area, which it is not geared up for at all. In summer the area is congested with passing through traffic from holiday makers and it would make it an absolute nightmare to live here with another pile of bricks b being			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							developed within the boundaries of the new road area. When we moved here 8 years ago, many of the houses within the area of new road were large plots with one house built within it. These have now been demolished and up to 14 flats have taken their place without any addition to facilities or betterment to local roads surrounding all these new builds. The population therefore must have increased significantly within the short time that we have lived here. This would be a change too far!!!			
536282	Mrs Doreen Kingaby		<a href="#">CSO21191</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536324	Paul Sumner		<a href="#">CSO21286</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Wimborne has the land and facilities to support more houses. The other areas do not. Especially Verwood, as it has been built upon to the point where it is second only to Dorchester, in terms of population. Verwood should be left alone and other areas play catch up. Verwood has no vibrant town centre no realistic extra employment opportunities. No infrastructure, no buses after 6pm or police for that matter. One nhs dentist with a waiting list as long as your arm. Same with the meagre doctors services. None of the houses are actually needed, only for the council to get social housing built. Not the attractive affordable homes it seems to like to label them as. Building on green belt land is supposed to be for exceptional circumstances, there are no homeless in verwood. Do no			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							destroy green belt land just because of a loophole. There is no need for social housing in verwood, therefore no exceptional circumstances exist. I have looked at the proposed sights and they will all destroy habitat for local wildlife, VWM4 will also see ssi sites read beds ancient hedge rows etc severely disrupted. Did you know that there is a flood plane? What about the scientific report on the river crane and fishing lakes down there? Common sense please.			
536341	Adrian Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21315</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536346	Mr Ben Richards		<a href="#">CSO21366</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536349	Mrs C Bowyer		<a href="#">CSO21381</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536449	Dave Isaacs		<a href="#">CSO21453</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536543	Mrs Samantha Fysh		<a href="#">CSO21527</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		NO MORE HOUSES IN VERWOOD. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!!!!			912
536572	Mr		<a href="#">CSO21587</a>	Non	Support		I strongly object to the proposal to			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Roger Fysh			Preferred Option FWP 5			increase the housing in and around Verwood. Verwood has been extensively developed over the years and there are inadequate services to support the extra cars and people. Also having seen the housing density of the Ebblake housing estate it is clear that agreeing to more housing will only create 'no go' areas in the very near future. I also note that in and around Wimborne there are huge areas of open land, which could easily be developed, especially between Ferndown and Wimborne which also has excellent road networks in place as it could immediately open onto the A31			
536576	Mrs Valerie Green		<a href="#">CSO21593</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
361024	Mr & Mrs Kenny PEARCE		<a href="#">CSO22100</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Infra-structure is overcrowded and traffic congestion is a serious issue around Parley already. Invest in road improvements, a medical centre at Parley, cycle routes that are actually complete and unified and that go somewhere useful. Build houses on the wasted brown belt land isolated areas that naturally are available rather than on Green Belt Boundary land and surrounding countryside. EDDC should try and preserve small communities rather than greedily grabbing land for houses that are not needed - people can't sell the ones they have at the moment. Parley People have already said overwhelmingly no to this so why			912



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							waste more council money on this debate?			
475508	Mr and Mrs Marshall		<a href="#">CSO21810</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>We live in West Parley and the transport infrastructure (especially along Hurn Road, Christchurch Road, Ringwood Road and New Road) is already struggling to support the volume of daily traffic. There are always hold-ups along Hurn Road (by the airport), which will become more frequent once the traffic lights are installed at the junction into the airport (being done at present). Longham Bridge and the bends through Longham along Ringwood Road is a notoriously dangerous stretch of road, which is not helped by the ever increasing amount of heavy lorries which use it as a 'short cut' to Poole Ferry Terminal instead of using the Wimborne by-pass because that is also not up to the task, being single carriageway and having both Merley &amp; Canford Bottom roundabouts unable to cope with the sheer volume of traffic coming onto them. New Road (which is the main route in &amp; out of Bournemouth for local people) also struggles with traffic, especially during the rush hour periods. When absurd? We do not need developers trying to 'sweeten the pill' with new facilities at West Parley, which are not needed or wanted. I would much rather see the present shopping facilities at Parley Cross and the Children's park by the Memorial Hall improved for the benefit of the local community. Small offices are not required - there are lots of such</p>			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							offices / industrial units in Ferndown which remain empty. We believe the impact of any development would detrimentally change the character of the village of West Parley and therefore do not support the proposed developments.			
482494	Mrs Yvette Jones		<a href="#">CSO21880</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Large scale developments damage the environment for everyone, and it is for ever. The open green spaces are valued by so many who have paid a premium to live in a semi-rural area. Desecration of this is an assault to the residents' wellbeing; an unwarranted invasion causing a wholesale change of character to what is home to thousands of people who chose a neighbourhood because of its history of modest gradual development. Not convinced there is a housing shortage. Keep 'social' housing in more 'affordable' areas. What is there to aspire to and work towards otherwise? Don't patronise us re. 'Housing for local people' - occupants become 'local' by living in a 'location'. Small developments can be assimilated to the character of an area without destroying people's enjoyment of their homes. They can be developed with consideration, gradually and as appropriate. They do not have to cause illness through acute distress of residents just to line the developers' pockets and gratify councillors.			912
498211	Mrs J AUCKLAND		<a href="#">CSO21732</a>	Non Preferred Option		No Opinion				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5						
498402	Mrs T Hughes		<a href="#">CSO22238</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		Re: Employment Land Options Whilst employment is obviously needed in the area, before building new sites please take a look around the existing industrial estates and see just how many units are empty. For example on Cobham Road on the Ferndown Ind Estate there are currently lots of 'To Let' boards. The traffic problems of the A31 need to be addressed before numerous new homes/businesses are built, throughout the summer routes through Ringwood/Ferndown/Wimborne become totally gridlocked. Whilst we can hope and encourage local people to use buses or cycle to work or for shopping, many of the cars coming into and through the area are driven by holidaymakers. With more people taking their holidays in the UK this problem is likely to get worse.			912
508735	Mr Peter Barham		<a href="#">CSO22271</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
514507	Mr and Mrs C Macy		<a href="#">CSO22766</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536606	K A Morris		<a href="#">CSO21617</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The greenbelt should be protected to retain the character and boundaries of these communities separate from Bournemouth. The traffic situation is already poor in these areas especially			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							at Parley Cross and the shops add to the chaos so additional shops are not wanted or needed. There are plenty of food shops already in the area. This area is desirable for those looking for a semi-rural situation and many younger people would find it isolating with no community facilities. There is plenty of brown belt or smaller development areas that could be used. It seems the aim of this council is to turn all our villages into extensions of the larger conurbations. We should be working to protect these areas of historical interest and beauty not destroy them. Also, Parley Crossroads is already a dominating part of west parley it should not become more so and traffic further traffic should be discouraged not encouraged. Building here is a very poor option and should be avoided at all costs.			
536614	Mrs S Morris		<a href="#">CSO21629</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The infrastructure is not suitable. These areas are not extensions of Bournemouth and should not become so. If we the residents have made our views clear about this before why are we doing it all again. The traffic in the area is appalling and whilst we understand the need for some housing development the actual figures of need should be verified and published before any further steps are taken. The greenbelt should be maintained.			912
536628	Mr C.A Wills		<a href="#">CSO21654</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		FWP5:- Ambiguous wording (?)Does ticking 'agreed' box indicate 'agree with Council' or 'agree with development'? I've assumed 'agree with Council'			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
536629	D Morris		<a href="#">CSO21636</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		I find these proposals for the Ferndown and West Parley area short sighted. The area is not suitable for this level of development and does not have the infrastructure to support it let alone the impact to the character of these places and their historical value. This exercise seems purely based on achieving funds with disregard to the impact on the residents that actually live here.			912
536699	Ms Kathryn D'Arcy		<a href="#">CSO21786</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Support		Ensure that all existing unoccupied homes are utilised. Look at infill in a positive way- Ensure that all infrastructure REALLY supports proposed development Do not build homes if the occupants are unable to find work locally. This leads to juvenile problems and a group of unemployed within the community. There is not enough employment in Wimborne for the number of homes proposed.			912
536702	Mr Mark Hirst		<a href="#">CSO21765</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536767	Mr John Sharp		<a href="#">CSO21832</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I have no faith that the 'significant improvements' to the road infrastructure would be implemented. Nor do I believe that they would cope with the existing and increasing traffic flow at this seriously overused junction. In any event, the bottleneck in the flow through the junction is the inadequate roundabout at Hurn. There are no plans to resolve that, so any other changes will not work. Consequently, increased housing will only exacerbate			912

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the existing dreadful situation.			
536771	B Lusher		<a href="#">CSO21847</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>I object to any development that expands Wimborne and surrounding villages now and at any time in the future. Why is Wimborne such a beautiful town, could it be the Minster, old buildings, steeped in history? Probably all of these and many other attributes. Take a good look at that stunning photograph 'Wimborne Minster and Stour In Winter' by Roger Holman, fields and the town centre, all in one picture. This view of the landscape, identified as a scene of merit by the artistic eye of the photographer, often passes the layman by, until pointed out so graphically. This photograph, like others, is a measure of what we could lose. I wonder if there were other equally stunning scenes in the hills northeast of Wimborne, Colehill, now completely covered in houses. Can you imagine the equivalent photograph of that time, the town, with a backdrop of fields and trees rising above horizon? Too late, that moment has passed. The town, in close proximity to countryside, is ye has realised this and is making an effort to preserve old Singapore. We, on the other hand, seem to be quite happy to knock down our countryside in the name of development. If we really want to preserve Wimborne for generations to come, so they can see the town, be part of its unique character, situated amongst, close at hand countryside, then we must make a conscious decision now to preserve</p>			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							the green belt and green areas in the town forever, before Wimborne eventually becomes a quaint little roundabout that travellers come across on the way from somewhere to somewhere.			
536790	David Steadman		<a href="#">CSO21900</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536808	Ms Yvette Allen		<a href="#">CSO22012</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536812	R H Barker		<a href="#">CSO21989</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536830	Mrs Janet Sutcliffe		<a href="#">CSO22039</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		I feel that each community must decide for itself what is appropriate...hence my focus on Ferndown and West Parley. Whilst I'm fully in favour of building new homes at affordable prices, this should not mean that they are badly designed, use cheap materials or are crammed together. I prefer the smaller developments that promote a feeling of neighbourhood, and adjoin existing communities without being too intrusive. The biggest problem for any development is undoubtedly the "traffic". Public transport in this area is very poor. Everybody (88%) owns and uses at least one vehicle, the traffic is often at a standstill in this area already... there			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							are certain times of the day when access to the village is very restricted indeed. Car parking is also an issue, many local shops and businesses must lose custom as a result. It's for these reasons that I feel any property developer (of multiple dwellings) should contribute to any changes that need to be made to the infrastructure, whether that's roads, car parks, shops, or any other community facilities.			
536848	Ola Steadman		<a href="#">CSO22140</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
536850	Mr Michael Hird		<a href="#">CSO22150</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536860	Mrs Sparks		<a href="#">CSO22188</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536866	Emma Huns		<a href="#">CSO22216</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
536932	Paul Bason		<a href="#">CSO22306</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
537003	Peter Goodwin		<a href="#">CSO22366</a>	Non Preferred Option	Object		FWP1 At peak times there are often queues up to a mile long at the Parley cross roads, with the addition of the			912



**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
				FWP 5			<p>retail units and the extra housing then this will become even worse. In addition this would severely detract from the existing Village atmosphere of West Parley and remove for ever its uniqueness within the urban sprawl of Ferndown and Kinson In section FWP3 at the moment during wet weather there is excessive water running down Longfield Drive having drained of the field above, if houses were built on this then the absorption of the water in this area would be less which could result in more severe run of and ingress into the gardens of Longfield Drive. The plan states that for FWP3 if these houses were built the access to these would be the north end of Christchurch road. It is important that under no circumstances should there be a link from Christchurch Road through the new estate to Longfield Drive as this would become a rat run at peak times to miss the Parley Junction. Before when the Ridgeway was a through rd even though un made up and full of pot holes it was used by many as a short cut during peak times. In Area FWP5 this area provides a natural break from the Northbourne area and West Parley and is an important divide to keep the identity of West parley, in addition it is doubtful if the road links and facilities could support the 1200 to 2000 extra people this would bring into the Area. If for some reason any of these plans do manage to get approved it is of utmost importance that the area is developed tastefully and that the developers are responsible for upgrading all transport</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							links and providing all amenities and green space necessary for the number of families that move into the area. Finally all these areas were dedicated green belt for a reason have these reasons now changed, have the need for homes Offices and retail units now become more important than preserving the uniqueness of this area.			
537014	Master Kieran Morris		<a href="#">CSO22377</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The proposal to build on the greenbelt in this area has been poorly considered. I am only 17 years old but have lived in Parley all my life. Although I understand the need for some new housing I am amazed that you even feel this area at all suitable. There are very poor facilities for families and transport including public transport is poor. The traffic has got worse over the years and I have been knocked of my bike on more than one occasion. All of these comments are from my own experiences living here for 17 years! Although I am young I actually appreciate the green areas and the semi-rural aspect of this village. It is highly unlikely I would chose to live here when I am starting out as I would like somewhere more urban with facilities and access to employment etc but when I am older and have a family of my own it would be nice to know that areas such as this will be available to consider relocating to as my own Mum did with my sister and I 20 years ago. If you keep turning all these areas into urban extensions there will be nothing left for my children and their families in the future. I have			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							actually spoken up personally about these issues over the last 4 years at public meetings as I don't feel that you are listening to the residents who actually live here. I am extremely interested in Government and Politics and I have to say that I am so disappointed that the people making these proposals claim to be representing us!			
537050	Diane Fletcher		<a href="#">CSO22412</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
537075	Mrs Elaine Holt		<a href="#">CSO22446</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
537106	C Hebditch		<a href="#">CSO22477</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The more homes we build the more roads we need. The homes and roads get filled up then we're back to square one. When will it ever end? The notion expressed in some of the planning, about trying to get people out of their cars, off the roads, and into public transport, is a joke. For example, building on the Cuthbury allotments in Wimborne means that those who have one of the new allotments will have to get their cars out to drive to them, whereas at present there are many people in Wimborne who can walk to their allotments. Most of the areas designated as fit for development will mean even more chaos on our local roads because public transport is not going to improve to the state where			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							those of us who are fortunate to have a job to go to will all be able to get to work on time using a bus. The size of the proposed developments, in my opinion, is too big and will put undue pressure on local services for which we do not at present have funding to improve. I suppose though that these new homes will bring some new council tax payers to the area and therefore, maybe, help with the budget deficit ... until we have to build the new roads....			
537362	Peter Constable		<a href="#">CSO22535</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		The larger residential building proposals are completely out of character for the area and will consume large tracts of green belt. The infrastructure to support development on a large scale is simply not in place. The smaller developments appear to be a better fit e.g Cuthbury, Leigh Park, Stone Lane.			912
537435	Ms Pauline Burton		<a href="#">CSO22563</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
537529	Mrs C White		<a href="#">CSO22590</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object					912
537571	Nick and Marion Leatherdale		<a href="#">CSO22642</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5		No Opinion				912
538111	Mr		<a href="#">CSO22698</a>	Non		No				912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Graham Austin			Preferred Option FWP 5		Opinion				
538118	Mrs Christine Cullen		<a href="#">CSO22703</a>	Non Preferred Option FWP 5	Object		<p>Any more building in Dorset will have a detrimental effect on the infrastructure on the area. Schools, doctors, hospitals and employment, not to mention the amount of extra traffic - which is already high with passing through vehicles also. Building of affordable housing is used to make people think it will be for local people - but no doubt it will be for inner city or immigrants.</p> <p>No industry is providing extra jobs There are already enough retirement properties here! Despite all the building which has already taken place in this and surrounding areas we still have high Council Tax. There are plenty of brown sites in towns and cities where houses could be built, not on green areas.</p> <p>Hopefully our comments will be listened to as the traffic problem and over development of green space is becoming worse each year and as a minority resident actually born in Ferndown can only see the beauty of Dorset as a whole being ruined by over population and traffic. Especially as the properties that are built are beyond our youngsters pocket, and builders only consider the people retiring to the area moving here from London with considerably more money that locals are capable of earning.</p>			912
538210	Mr and Mrs		<a href="#">CSO22720</a>	Non	Support		Approve of provision of affordable			912

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Peter Griffiths			Preferred Option FWP 5			housing, however, agreement with Housing Association must be watertight so housing stock for rent remains constant and stock can never be sold. These houses will be near to facilities, schools, shops, transport, and work and will alleviate pressure on rural communities with no facilities to provide affordable housing in the Green Belt.			
490823	Ferndown Town Council Paul Falconbridge	Deputy Town Clerk Ferndown Town Council	<a href="#">CSO1902</a>	Preferred Option FWP 6	Support		The commercial centre of Ferndown is in dire need of fresh outlook as it is currently dated, poorly laid out and not using the assets that it has to encourage commercial vitality to all shopping areas in the town centre. Redrawing the boundary as described should go some way towards a future regeneration of the area as described in the proposal FWP8.			926
361050	Dr Alan MARSHALL		<a href="#">CSO67</a>	Preferred Option FWP 8	Support		As a 'vision' it is difficult not to support this, but I am a little concerned about the possibility of achieving it, given the current character of the town centre and the demographics of the town. As you have noted elsewhere, there is a distinct lack of chain/national presence in the town, and a total absence of, for example, clothing, sports, and books. Instead we have lots of estate agents and hairdressers and several florists. The mix does not attract shoppers.			933
490823	Ferndown Town Council Paul Falconbridge	Deputy Town Clerk Ferndown Town Council	<a href="#">CSO1903</a>	Preferred Option FWP 8	Support	General Comment	A new vision for the Ferndown Town Centre is urgently required and Ferndown Town Council would be very pleased to see any achievable changes to the structure of the area			933

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>included in the Core Strategy. Such options should not just pander to businesses and residents calls for improvements but should form a vital part of the strategy for the area.</p> <p>Additional comments by letter:</p> <p>The Town Council has read the Report prepared by Halcrow Ltd and Richard Henshaw from your Council has given a presentation of its conclusions to the Town Council's Environment Committee.</p> <p>We welcome the fact that the Review confirms that the centre of Ferndown is in need of updating and refurbishment and the redevelopment of some public buildings could be the trigger to start a comprehensive redevelopment to create a more coherent centre for Ferndown.</p> <p>The Town Council is keen to see that any improvements to the centre are complemented by improvements elsewhere so that the Town becomes a more identifiable and pleasant place to live in.</p> <p>We are trying to get the speed limits on the main roads reduced to cut the noise and emissions from vehicles and we are working with the Chamber of commerce to make Ferndown a more attractive place for visitors and residents. We have also been working on a number of creative ideas to improve the look of the Town.</p> <p>The Town Council would like to be involved along with the whole community to work with the District Council on long term changes to the town centre. Whilst such long term</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							changes will take some time to achieve, it would be useful to put together some ideas for a 'Ferndown Vision' so that all agencies have an overall coherent strategy for Ferndown and future developments can fit within it.			
361022	Mr Gerry CLARKE		<a href="#">CSO17667</a>	Preferred Option FWP 8	Support	General Comment	<p>Someone has suggested a radical remodelling of Penny's Walk, which involves covering it in and creating more shops. Suggest there could be an open air area with public art and sculpture that reflects our local history and traditions. Featuring maybe traditional crafts, horticulture, market gardening etc. We are a country town and do not want to create a totally enclosed city space. The area could be used for Farmer's Markets, craft fairs and outdoor performance area projects – music, poetry and drama.</p> <p>The remodelling of Victoria Road which involves creating an underground car park with shops and flats above. Perhaps with a 30 min max car parking space in front of shops for quick pickups.</p> <p>Convert the Firs Station into an Arts and Crafts Centre – good for a retired population with time, talent and enthusiasm.</p> <p>Possibly pedestrianise Victoria Road, although this may create traffic circulation problems.</p> <p>Provide a crossing from the precinct to Victoria Square.</p> <p>Provide a crossing at Penny's Hill junction.</p> <p>Fix the underground services problem</p>			933



Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>in Victoria Road so that more cars can park outside shops. People go where the car parking is close by, especially the old.</p> <p>Decide whether we do want a shopping centre or services only type town. The footfall does not seem high enough for unique shopping...most of the unique shops have been there a long time (and established clientele?) New shops provide a service (or do not stay long).</p> <p>Put a car park in Victoria Road.</p> <p>Need a decent coffee shop.</p> <p>Plan a circular bus route around all the shopping areas in the town, eg Halfords to Turbary, to West Parley to Haskins to Centre to Wimborne Road and Industrial Estate, and the leisure centre and town hall. Or a land train between the main shopping areas and car parks which would assist the elderly.</p> <p>Wider pavements for mobility.</p> <p>Walking and cycle routes for easy access to all shopping/leisure areas.</p> <p>Retail shopping idea good, assuming retailers would be prepared to invest in serving our predominantly elderly population. Also feel we need to develop ideas that engage our local community. Eg. Arts and crafts centre, healthy exercise facilities and sheltered meeting places for young and old etc. Might be worth creating mobility scooter user friendly facilities in future bearing in mind our local population profile.</p>			
361026	Mr	Network	<a href="#">CSO17750</a>	Preferred	Support		The Agency supports the proposal for			933

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
	Steve Hellier	Planning Manager Highways Agency		Option FWP 8			Ferndown to continue as a key town centre in the District because it is well served by public transport. Enhancements to local services and facilities should encourage self-containment; however, the Agency will require further information regarding large scale retail development due to potential impacts on the SRN.			
361332	Mrs Christine SHADE	Ferndown Chamber of Trade	<a href="#">CSO17666</a>	Preferred Option FWP 8	Support	General Comment	<p>The Core Strategy for Ferndown - response by the Ferndown Chamber</p> <p>We recognise that there are fundamental problems with the design and past planning of Ferndown.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• The main car park is in the wrong place and exacerbates the “drone” pedestrian traffic between Tesco’s and its car park. Therefore siting a car park on the east of Victoria Road will go a long way to bringing pedestrians back to the main centre and therefore along Penny’s Walk.</li> <li>• The Day Centre offers no advantage to the traders of Ferndown and is taking up retail space.</li> </ul> <p>The Chamber wishes to make the following suggestions: -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• A radical remodelling of Penny’s Walk which involves covering it in and creating more shops.</li> </ul> <p>It has been identified that the Barrington and Tesco’s bring a considerable amount of footfall to the town but these shoppers don’t necessarily stay.</p> <p>The Day Centre isn’t essential for the town centre; the library doesn’t have to be on the ground floor so the opportunity for re-modelling exists.</p>			933

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>Ideas for a “piazza” or open area with cafe style seating or something that will attract shoppers and visitors into Penny’s Walk including covering it in are plentiful.</p> <p>One suggestion is to create a community project that pulls people together with classes and activities for all ages that interact with shoppers and visitors alike.</p> <p>Development of this side of Penny’s walk should include smaller and affordable units to attract unique and “boutique” businesses.</p> <p>Creating a totally “concrete” area should be avoided.</p> <p>“Open Air” activities should be allowed within Penny’s Walk.</p> <p>A public area including a stage for “open air” events.</p> <p>Suggest there could be an open air area with public art and sculpture that reflects our local history and traditions. Featuring maybe traditional crafts, horticulture, market gardening etc. We are a country town and do not want to create a totally enclosed city space.</p> <p>The area could be used for Farmer’s Markets, craft fairs and outdoor performance area projects – music, poetry and drama.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• It is recognised that parking is missing from the East side of Victoria Road.</li> </ul> <p>A remodelling of Victoria Road which involves creating an underground car park with shops and flats above is another radical idea but entirely feasible.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Fix the underground services</li> </ul>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>problem in Victoria Rd. Ferndown used to have parking on both sides of the road. This would go some way to solving the parking problem.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pedestrianise Victoria Road. Although this would create a traffic problem for Church Road and Woodside Road.</li> <li>• Improve the crossing from the Precinct to Victoria "Square".</li> <li>• Put a crossing at Penny's Hill Junction.</li> <li>• Better control of the type of businesses in Ferndown.</li> </ul> <p>It is recognised that currently there is little control on the type of business currently allowed to operate but this must change to allow diversity. It is the general opinion that there are too many charity shops, Estate Agents and Building Societies although we do understand that they may reflect the current need however they do not attract business.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Plan a circular bus route around all the shopping areas in the town eg Halfords to Turbary, to West Parley to Haskins to Centre to Wimborne Road and Industrial estate, and the leisure centre and town hall. Or a land train between the main shopping areas and car parks which would assist the elderly.</li> <li>• The proposed Ham Lane housing development area has been questioned. It is felt that this is a prime area for an attraction of some sort - why would we split up the area for housing?</li> </ul>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>• The Fire Station could be moved “out of town” and still be central to the area. The building would make an ideal “Arts and Crafts” centre.</p> <p>Further comments by members by Ferndown Chamber of Trade (Oakleigh Computer Services Ltd) The remodelling of Victoria Road which involves creating an underground car park with shops and flats above. Perhaps with a 30 min max car parking space in front of shops for quick pickups.</p> <p>Convert the Fire Station into an Arts and Crafts Centre – good for a retired population with time, talent and enthusiasm.</p> <p>.</p> <p>Decide whether we do want a shopping centre or services only type town. The footfall does not seem high enough for unique shopping....most of the unique shops have been there a long time (and established clientele?) New shops provide a service (or do not stay long).</p> <p>Need a decent coffee shop.</p> <p>Wider pavements for mobility.</p> <p>Walking and cycle routes for easy access to all shopping/leisure areas.</p> <p>Retail shopping idea good, assuming retailers would be prepared to invest in serving our predominantly elderly population. Also feel we need to develop ideas that engage our local community. Eg. Arts and crafts centre, healthy exercise facilities and sheltered meeting places for young and old etc. Might be worth creating mobility scooter user friendly facilities</p>			

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							<p>in future bearing in mind our local population profile.</p> <p>The volume of traffic through Ferndown via Ringwood Road is considerable. So Ringwood Road would be most people's first impression of the town. However, the tatty and general run down appearance of Ringwood Road is not likely to encourage passing trade to visit Ferndown. We also meant that we feel Ringwood Road needs a complete overhaul / new look. New street lighting, new signage and yes we suggested some additional smaller things that would help, because if money is to be spent on Ferndown we're assuming the finishing touches will be included as well.</p> <p>Along the stretch between the traffic lights at Tesco's entrance and the main Penny's Hill traffic lights where the shops, businesses and restaurants are wrought iron planters down the wide pavements would help. Replace the rusty, shabby signage. Replace the round concrete planters with a more attractive option. Remove the hording next to Tesco's garage and tidy up the derelict land behind. More attractive street lighting. This stretch must be improved so much so that it makes people want to stop and see what the shops, businesses &amp; restaurants in Ferndown have to offer. Because this stretch of Ferndown doesn't look good, people are not stopping. It is critical that Ringwood Road is improved. Maybe along the whole stretch where the wide pavements are, a new</p>			

Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							structure could be built. Posts and semi covered canopy to create an undercover walkway. It could be covered in climbing vines and flowers or hanging baskets or planters etc. By planters we actually meant a large striking wrought iron frame work with planters all the way along the entire stretch of Ringwood Roads wide pavement area. Stop the HGV's driving through Ferndown.			
523366	Mr Raymond Silverthorne		<a href="#">CSO18356</a>	Preferred Option FWP 8	Support		<p>Ferndown Town Centre This area provides an excellent foundation upon which to build. The Penny's Walk area needs to be overhauled with removal of screening planters and increased lighting and CCTV to discourage anti-social behaviour.</p> <p>The council needs to attract a more vibrant niche shopping experience supported by two or three Anchor stores (Tesco plus two more) which draw shoppers on a regular basis. It would be beneficial if a small number of units could be taken by restaurants which in addition to providing daytime refreshments also offered Evening meals, this would stimulate the evening economy of the Town.</p> <p>Regular special events which are supported by the Council, such as specialist markets or street shows all generate interest and repeat visits.</p> <p>The shops need to be supported by regular public transport and car parks which carry a minimal parking charge and are well signposted from the main</p>			933

**Core Strategy Options for Consideration October 2010 Consultation Responses**

Contact Person ID	Contact Full Name	Contact Organisation Details	ID	Number	Support/Object	Additional Response Type	Reasons for Objections	Suggested Amendments	Officer Response	Order
							Victoria Road. The Council should also ensure that the whole Centre is accessible to all.			