5) The Children's Opinion

- 5.1 2 sets of children took part in the survey, year 6 at St Nicholas Primary School and members of the Youth Club. Several common themes appeared from their answers not just within their group, but across the different ages.
- 5.2 Most of the children regularly used the footpaths, not just to get to school, but to get around the village 'to avoid the main roads'.
- 5.3 Walking and cycling are the favourite means of getting around locally with lifts by car being used to get to the towns usually for entertainment, e.g. cinema, leisure centre, swimming etc. The cross country cycle circuit at Okeford Hill seems a popular destination.
- 5.4 The recreation ground is the most popular place to play with the younger group, while the older group go further a-field with Hambledon Hill featured.
- 5.5 Most enlightening was what they liked about the village. A very large majority, particularly among the older children, said that it is a quiet place. 'It's a quiet and peaceful village that's not too busy. Isn't too busy. Lots of places to play. Not busy, quiet. -Peaceful and pretty landscapes. Small and friendly. Lots of lanes'. Other quotes were 'It's historical. Its views. The views from Hambledon Hill (*Fig. 29*).
- 5.6 Another popular feature of the village is the shop which is regularly used to purchase sweets, drinks, toys and magazines.
- 5.7 'Hambledon Hill' (*Figs. 1 & 2*) was virtually the exclusive answer to your favourite view, the only exception being 'the fields'.



Fig. 29 View from Hambledon Hill.



Fig. 30 The Surgery.

- 5.8 There were differing and varied answers to least favourite view although the Doctor's Surgery (*Fig 30*) featured in a number of replies. Whether this is due to it being a modern building in a Conservation Area or the connotations of what it represents is unclear.
- 5.9 In the younger the church featured prominently as the favourite building but not at all in the older group.
- 5.10 It is not surprising that the provision of more play and sport facilities, particularly a skate park, was in a large majority when the groups were asked what would make the village better for younger people. This request for extra amenities is also to be found in the Parish Plan and is being followed up by the Parish Council in consultation with the Youth Club.
- 5.11 What came most clearly from the survey is that the younger generation really appreciated the village and village life and there was no desire for greater urbanisation and the so-called advantages that it is presumed to bring for children. Their answers closely mirrored those of the adult population particularly on the environment.

6) Mechanism of Production of the Village Design Statement

- 6.1 One of the major recommendations voiced in the Child Okeford Parish Plan is that 'there is no reason why the community's view shouldn't be of paramount importance when North Dorset District Council and Dorset County Council are making planning decisions'.
- 6.2 Since the Parish Plan cannot be adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document it was decided at a special Open Parish Meeting, held on 30 September 2005 to discuss the Parish Plan, that the Parish Council should undertake a Village Design Statement. Accordingly a Village Design Statement Team was elected to carry out this task.
- 6.3 The Village Design Statement Team met formally 6 times in addition to many informal discussions and working sessions. It also consulted the North Dorset District Council Conservation and Design Department and Mr Jeff Bishop of BDOR, the originator of the format of Village Design Statements.
- 6.4 The Team studied the Countryside Commission Village Design Advisory Booklet and looked at many completed Village Design Statements from other villages.
- 6.5 As part of the Parish Plan preparation a questionnaire was circulated to every household to ascertain, among other topics, their opinion on the future size of the village, its growth and the type and style of any future houses. 70% of those questionnaires were returned. The results of this survey, where appropriate to the Village Design Statement, were used in the preparation of this document. These results closely mirror those found

in Child Okeford Local Housing Needs Survey Summary of Findings October 2000 carried out by the North Dorset Rural Housing Enabler.

- 6.6 A survey of the youth and younger children was also carried out to ascertain their views of the village.
- 6.7 The final draft of the Village Design Statement was first submitted to North Dorset District Council in January 2007. It was reviewed by the Planning Policy Committee on 17 April 2007. It was submitted to Cabinet on 24 May 2007 and put out for formal consultation on 1 June 2007. At this time it was posted on the Child Okeford Village web site. Hard copies were also put in the village shops and public houses. Announcements in the Parish Magazine and on the village notice boards were used to bring attention to the draft and comments were invited.
- 6.8 After consultation a final draft addressing the responses was prepared and the completed document was adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document by North Dorset District Council on 30 November 2007.
- 6.9 The timescale and event details are contained in Supplement 1.

7) Infrastructure Appraisal.

- 7.1 Child Okeford has grown and been developed since the end of the Second World War to double its pre-war size reaching 520 dwellings to date. It has changed from a largely self-supporting community with the major part of employment being local to a mainly dormitory and retirement village. 42% of the population are retired and 44% are in employment of which a third work in the village, half of those are self employed. Despite this it is a fairly thriving village. There is a worry that the number of children has dropped to 15% and that many incomers are retired people. Nevertheless the primary school is oversubscribed and the recently completed Care and Learning Centre providing year round childcare was close to being fully booked before it opened.
- 7.2 The vast majority of building ground within the Village Settlement Boundary has been developed and the population is close to the maximum size that the infrastructure can sustain.
- 7.3 The education facilities are at capacity, as is the Surgery. Despite the Care and Learning Centre meeting the need for small adult education and cultural groups both the Village Hall and the Community Centre are not large enough and the facilities need extending. The Village Hall is fully booked most days of the week and there is enough local custom to support both the village store and the post office with nearly half the population using them on a weekly basis.

- 7.4 Even the Parish Council was unable to identify a plot of land for a new cemetery in response to a request from the church as there is no remaining space in the church yard.
- 7.5 The two public houses are well supported by local and visiting trade, although a lack of parking causes some problem.
- 7.6 From these facts and figures it seems clear that any major expansion in the village would need a concomitant increase in infrastructure. This would result in much greater urbanisation and loss of the essential rural character, which is the main reason why the majority of the residents live in the village.
- 7.7 There is no likelihood of a major employer setting up in the village and the most likely growth in employment will be in people working from home. It therefore follows that to sustain the village in its present and viable form any infill or replacement development or extension to existing dwellings should take account of not only the important character of the village but should be contained to affordable housing and the possibility of self-employment opportunities.
- 7.8 All new housing, limited as it may be, should be energy saving and ecofriendly, something that can be achieved while adhering to a style that reflects the character of the village within the guidelines described below.

8) Guidelines on Setting and Structure for Future Building and Development

- 8.1 This Village Design Statement is supplementary to the adopted North Dorset District-Wide Local Plan and, as such, all of the policies contained within the Local Plan, where relevant, will be used to determine all applications in Child Okeford which require planning permission.
- 8.2 One of the main objectives of the Local Plan is to concentrate development within Settlement Boundaries as identified in the Local Plan's Proposals Maps. Policy 1.6 of the Local Plan states that in areas defined outside of the Settlement Boundaries most forms of residential and commercial development for general needs will not be permitted. In doing so, this policy aims to control development in the countryside in order to protect the countryside itself as well as to promote more sustainable forms of development and remains relevant in the determination of all planning applications, including those in Child Okeford.
- 8.3 Additionally other Local Plan policies will also be relevant in the determination of planning applications. For example, Policy 1.37 of the Local Plan 'Other Landscape Features of Nature Conservation Importance' identifies that regard will be had to protect and enhance the continuity and integrity of landscape features including existing wetlands and ponds, woodlands, trees and other major natural features. Therefore, when

reading the supplementary policies below, it should be noted that there are other policies which will also be taken into account in the determination of planning applications in Child Okeford.

8.4 The Child Okeford Village Design Statement policies below will be material considerations in the determination of proposals requiring planning permission being supplementary to the adopted North Dorset District-Wide Local Plan 2003. For Permitted Development proposals these policies will act as guidelines.

Landscape and Open Spaces

CO1: The visual character of the surrounding countryside and the relationship between it and the village is a vital and integral part of the settlement's character. Any new development should reflect the value of the rural setting and buildings. Landscaping should be designed to reduce the visual impact of development when viewed from the surrounding area as well as from within the village. Development should be confined within the Settlement Boundaries as defined on the Proposals Maps and Policy 1.6 of the adopted Local Plan.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policies: 1.1, 1.6, and 1.8

CO2: The footpath network, including those paths shown in Map 3, forms a vital and safe means of communication for residents and provides evidence of the historic layout of the village and its former activities. They should be retained and managed to maintain their historic importance and ensure continued access through the village and surrounding countryside.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policies: 5.4 and 5.8

- CO3: Significant trees abound along the approach roads to the centre of the village and are a very important part of the visual amenity of the village and wider landscape. Works which are likely to have a detrimental impact on important trees will be resisted. All development proposals should consider the contribution that trees can make to the landscape setting of a development. The likely impact on trees resulting from a proposed development should be considered at the very start of the development process. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policies: 1.40 and 1.41
- CO4: A village survey identified a particular emphasis on protecting traditional hedgerows and trees, with 83% of residents wishing "to see native species planted when new planting takes place". Wherever possible native hedgerows and trees should be retained and the opportunity taken to plant additional hedges and trees as part of a development proposal where they form a characteristic feature of the locality.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policies: 1.40 and 1.41

CO5: New hedgerows should consist of native species such as holly, hawthorn, dogwood and hazel and new trees should be of species such as oak, yew, ash and lime in order to respect and maintain the traditional rural character of the village whilst having regard to future crown and root spread and the relationship with existing and proposed buildings.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policies: 1.40 and 1.41

- CO6: Due regard should be had to the retention and management as well as the creation of new habitats for wildlife in line with the Dorset Biodiversity Strategy (2003), Dorset's Biodiversity Action Plan. Further guidance can be obtained from Dorset Wildlife Trust's guidance, or the North Dorset Ranger Service. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy 1.38
- CO7: Important public views, for example those out of the village to Hambledon Hill and the open countryside as illustrated in Map 4 should be protected.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy 1.8(v)

Settlement Pattern

- CO8: The different development periods of the village are shown on Map 5. Periods of construction have created specific character areas and new development within those areas should have regard to the underlying characteristics of the area. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)
- CO9: Other than where there is an open plan character, hedges, fences and walls form the characteristic boundaries between private and public spaces. New boundaries and changes to existing boundaries should be in keeping with the locality and be of high quality. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

Development – Design and Materials

CO10: The underlying characteristics, as revealed by the house survey, indicate the use of brick (some painted), brick and flint, natural stone, painted render and weatherboarding for buildings prior to the expansion of the village during the second half of the 20th century. Any new development should be in keeping with and be of sufficient design quality to preserve and enhance the character and appearance of its locality.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

CO11:The choice of appropriate materials used in new developments is key to maintaining the character of the village. Choice of materials should be in keeping with the locality and be of high quality. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv) CO12:New dwellings should safeguard the spatial characteristics of the locality, be of a size appropriate to their plot and the character of the surrounding area. Following the findings of the Housing Survey, smaller properties will be encouraged in appropriate locations, in order to achieve a more balanced mix of housing types within the village.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policies: 1.8 (ii) and (iv) and 2.10

CO13:Garages and other ancillary buildings should be visually subservient and reflect the characteristics, including materials of the host dwelling. Wherever possible, ancillary buildings and structures should be located so as to safeguard the spatial characteristics of the locality.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

- CO14:Where off-street parking is required, the parking area should be located to minimise its visual impact. Where off-street parking is necessary, landscaping (both hard and soft) should be incorporated to lessen the visual impact of the proposal when viewed from the public realm. Due diligence should always be given to the impact on the road system within the village and to the issues of road and pedestrian safety in all planning applications. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)
- CO15:Private paths and driveways should be finished in traditional materials such as shingle, setts, and hoggin. Impermeable black or coloured tarmacadam concrete should be avoided except in those parts of the village where this treatment is an original part of the design.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

- CO16:Flat roofs are not an underlying design characteristic of the village and therefore should not be considered in any new development unless they form part of an informed and integrated design solution. Where this is proposed, it should be of a high design quality. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)
- CO17:Power, telephone and other services should be below ground wherever possible. External security lighting should be placed in such a manner not to inconvenience neighbours. Aerial, satellite dishes and any other structure or alterations e.g. photovoltaics/wind turbines should be placed out of sight or as unobtrusively as possible.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

CO18:Windows and doors should be of a type and appearance commensurate with the period of construction. The House Survey identified the use of traditional materials for window frames and doors and small window panes in a large proportion of buildings. Original doors and windows should be retained where possible. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

CO19: The village contains buildings with a wide variety of architectural detailing reflecting the various periods of construction and the 'status' of the building. This evidence of architectural history contributes to the character and appearance of the village. Proposals for new development should use appropriate detailing to reinforce the character of the area as identified in the house survey. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)



Figs. 31 & 32 Differing Styles Finishes and Materials in the Conservation Area



Fig.33 Differing Styles in the in the Conservation Area



Fig.34 Sympathetic Extension in the Conservation Area





Figs. 35 & 36 In Character New Developments at Shephards Close and St Nicholas Court

CO20:A 'mix-and-match' approach to design should be avoided in new proposals, in order to maintain traditional styles of the built environment. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

CO21:Roofs should be appropriate to the surrounding area. Clay tiles, natural slate or traditional Dorset thatch should be part of development proposals where this would be in keeping with the surrounding locality.

Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

CO22: Design, type and colour of guttering and down pipes should be appropriate to the character of the locality. Specifically supplementary to Local Plan Policy: 1.8(iv)

9) Summary

- 9.1 It has been illustrated that Child Okeford is a rural parish with a strong history in agriculture. The essential nature and character of the village is to be found in the Conservation Areas that front on to the four through routes in the village. This is where the village sprung up and gradually developed for nearly nine hundred years. Only that length of time can truly establish the heart, soul and character of a community. Although the ravages and demands of modern times are trying to destroy that character, with a little forethought, restraint and proper planning these two opposites can live together in harmony.
- 9.2 No one wants to stop progress, but respect for the past is an essential ingredient of successful progress, as is the recognition of other people's views and desires. There is no 'one size fits all' solution to advancement, aspirations and problems. People choose to live in a community such as

Child Okeford for what it represents. They contribute to society, pay their taxes and their views deserve respect. If they wanted to live in an urban or suburban environment they would move to one or not come to Child Okeford. Therefore there cannot be any moral or ethical justification to allow the desecration of an Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty by unrestricted and uncontrolled development for the benefit of the developers against the wellbeing and wishes of the residents.

- 9.3 Fortunately most of the older buildings that represent the character of the village have been retained in the Conservation Areas and beyond along the four roads entering the village. Even in these areas incongruous modern urban style houses have been built that are at odds with the surrounding dwellings.
- 9.4 In the last seventy years development behind the original village to the natural boundaries has swallowed up agricultural land. This development has not been well managed, in the main badly designed and has added nothing to the original character of the village. The development has been uncontrolled and has resulted in the infrastructure of the village being stretched to the limit. There are only small pockets of infill or replacement of existing buildings left for any potential development. These must be handled extremely carefully. The need for sheltered and affordable housing and the glut of unwanted and unnecessary large detached suburban boxes needs to be recognised by the planners. Anything further buildings in the village must be designed to match their surroundings and the vital village character.
- 9.5 This Village Design Statement takes forward the sentiments contained within the Child Okeford and Hanford Parish Plan and aims to influence the way in which change, as a result of planning permissions, can be managed in order to maintain the distinctive and intrinsic qualities of the Parish. Its whole purpose is to create and maintain a community that can sustain modern life while preserving the historic and rural character of the village.

10) Recommendations

- 10.1 The Village Settlement Boundary as it is presently defined should not be altered to include any more Greenfield sites and valuable agricultural land.
- 10.2 Planning Officers, Architects, Designers and Developers need to gain a full understanding of the context of any development proposal, should visit the site to study the character of the immediate surroundings and of the village as a whole and produce a design that draws on this character. The design should reflect this character in the house being proposed. The height, depth, bulk, roofline and site should be in scale and should not stand out or overwhelm its neighbours. This is particularly important in the Conservation Areas.

- 10.3 Starter homes, sheltered housing and small housing should be given priority in the few opportunities remaining for further development in the village.
- 10.4 All proposed developments should take account of the Recommendations and the Guidelines CO1-CO22 in this Village Design Statement and the opinions expressed by the Parish Council.
- 10.5 All planning applications should contain details of construction; proposed materials to be used; the relationship of size and ridge heights with neighbouring properties: architectural details; boundaries and landscaping design.
- 10.6 Sightlines, skylines and important village views must be respected. Materials for new building or extension to existing buildings in the Conservation Areas must be in keeping with existing or surrounding materials e.g. brick; brick and flint; natural stone or rendered and colourwashed with clay tile; natural slate or thatched roofs and must reflect the essential rural character of the village. The use of UPVC replacement windows and doors should be avoided.
- 10.7 Materials for new buildings and extensions 'within the Village Settlement Boundary' should be in keeping with the area and add to the surroundings and character of the village. Concrete buildings, flat roofs, metal doors and temporary structures are unacceptable.
- 10.8 The rural nature of the roads with grass verges, hedges and trees must be respected. Street lighting, urbanisation of roads with inappropriate traffic control measures and signage should be avoided without compromising pedestrian safety.
- 10.9 The footpath network must not be compromised.
- 10.10 The boundaries of the Conservation Areas should be reviewed to ensure that all areas important to the character of the village are included. The listing of older properties should be reviewed. Significant trees should be protected with Tree Preservation Orders.
- 10.11 The development of existing properties for self-employment opportunities should be encouraged. New housing development that could provide self-employment should receive more sympathetic consideration.

11) References and Acknowledgements

The Maps are reproduced from Ordnance Survey material with the permission of Ordnance Survey on behalf of the Controller of H.M.S.O. Crown Copyright. Unauthorised reproduction infringes Crown Copyright and may lead to prosecution or civil proceedings. Reproduction is under Contractor Licence for use of Ordnance Survey Data issued by North Dorset District Council as Sub-licensor LA 078778. Village Design Advisory Booklet issued by the Country Commission. Child Okeford Local Housing Needs Survey Summary of Findings October 2000 Rachel Kalis North Dorset Rural Housing Enabler. The Child Okeford and Hanford Parish Plan 2004. CHILD OKEFORD A Dorset Village, edited by Kate St. George 1999. Kelly's Dorsetshire Listings for Child Okeford 1865, 1895 and 1935. North Dorset District Council. Mr J Bishop of BDOR. Photographs by John Salwey. Cartography by Steffan Dutch, North Dorset District Council Thanks to:

The residents of Child Okeford.

The pupils of St Nicholas Primary School.

The members of the Child Okeford Youth Club.

The members of the Child Okeford Women's Institute.

Child Okeford Parish Council.

Planning Policy Department North Dorset District Council

The Child Okeford Village Design Statement Team: Ronnie Daniels, Derek Duke, Carrie Lewis, John Salwey, Angie Schwier, Frank Spencer, John Wootton

Supplement 1

Record of Consultation

18.05.03-31.05.03	Comprehensive survey forms distributed to all dwellings in the Parishes of Child Okeford and Hanford, including land owners and businesses. The survey forms contained questions on the future size of the village, the amount of development and the type and style of housing.	
14.06.03-27.06.03	Survey forms were collected. 73% of households completed the questionnaires.	
20.07.04-14.07.04	Parish Plan distributed to all dwellings in the village.	
07.01.05	Child Okeford Parish Council discusses the Draft Action Plan for the implementation of the Parish Plan.	
31.01.05	Child Okeford Parish Council meets with Mr. W Batty-Smith Chair of North Dorset District Council's Development Control Committee and Mr. N Fagan North Dorset Council's Development Control Officer to discuss planning control policies. The Parish Council are advised that Parish Plans are not acceptable as Supplementary Planning Documents and that the village should undertake a Village Design Statement.	
14.02.05	Action Plan adopted by the Child Okeford Parish Council and published.	
20.05.05	Kevin Morris North Dorset District Council Planning Policy Manager, Conservation and Design, gave a talk at the Annual Meeting of the Child Okeford Parish Council on the 'Requirements for Preparing a Village Design Statement'.	
06.06.05	The Child Okeford Parish Council resolves to undertake the production of a Village Design Statement to be co-ordinated by Councillor Derek Duke.	
04.07.05-25.09.05	Collection of guidance documentation e.g. Countryside Commission Village Design Statement Advisory Booklet, 6 completed Village Design Statements and advice from the Central Government website.	

30.09.05	Open Village Meeting to discuss the implementation of the Parish Plan and the desirability and format of a Village Design Statement. It was agreed by unanimous vote to do a Village Design Statement and a team, with powers to co-opt, was elected.	
02.11.05	Members of the Village Design Statement Team meet with Kevin Morris at North Dorset District Council to discuss the format of the Village Design Statement and what to include.	
24.11.05	Village Design Statement Team meets to discuss the draft structure of the Village Design Statement, budget, financing and distribution of tasks.	
03.01.06	1 st Draft Structure published and circulated for comment.	
18.01.06	Village Design Statement Team meets to discuss the Draft Structure and Plan of Action to gather information and give talks to village groups to get help.	
30.01.06	2 nd Draft Structure produced and circulated for comment.	
02.02.06	Draft Structure of the Village Design Statement sent to Kevin Morris at North Dorset District Council for comment.	
12.02.06	Final Draft Structure produced.	
15.02.06	Village Design Statement Team meets to review the Draft Structure and to ascertain what surveys, questionnaires, maps, photographs and documents will be required.	
20.02.06-20.03.06	Members of the Village Design Statement Team talk to various groups in the village to explain the Village Design Statement and to get help. The Women's Institute agree to help with the Building Survey.	
01.04.06-01.05.06	4.06-01.05.06 A comprehensive survey of 513 dwellings in the village was carried out to ascertain the type of house, style, boundary, age, building materials and architectural features.	
05.04.06	Consultation with Mr. J Bishop of BDOR, the originator of the format of Village Design Statements for the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, on the structure and content of the Village Design Statement.	
19.04.06	Village Design Statement Team meets to discuss the progress of the various sections and the status of the surveys.	
04.06.06	2 nd Draft Village Design Statement produced.	

06.06.06	Village Design Statement Team meets to review 2 nd Draft and what further documentation is needed. It was decided to survey the opinions of the younger generation.	
07.06.06-01.07.06	Survey of the views of the younger generation undertaken.	
02.07.06-01.10.06	Surveys completed and analysed, photographs taken, sections completed, consultations with the village elders on history and factual content. Informal discussions and meetings between Team members.	
20.11.06	1 st Final Draft of the words of the Village Design Statement published and circulated for comment.	
23.11.06	Village Design Statement Team meets to review 1 st Final Draft and discuss the timetable proposed by North Dorset District Council for completion and submission.	
25.11.06-15.12.06	Sub group of the Team meet to choose and insert photographs.	
22.12.06-12.01.07	Several versions of the 1 st Final Draft produced and circulated for comment.	
08.01.07	1 st Final Draft of the Village Design Statement adopted by the Child Okeford Parish Council subject to minor amendments and North Dorset District Council's comments.	
10.01.07	1 st Final draft sent to North Dorset District Council for comment.	
18.01.07	Meeting with Kevin Morris and Adam Neil at North Dorset District Council to discuss 1 st Final Draft and comments and amendments.	
01.02.07	Meeting at North Dorset District Council to complete the cartography. 2 nd Final Draft of the Village Design Statement Incorporating North Dorset District Council's comments and amendments submitted.	
22.03.07	Amended Guidelines received from Planning Policy team North Dorset District Council and included in 2 nd Final Draft. Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Draft Consultation Statement completed by North District Council.	
23.04.07	2 nd Final Draft of the Village Design Statement, draft Sustainability Statement and draft Consultation Statement reviewed by North Dorset District Council Policy Panel.	

08.06.07-20.07.07	2 nd Final Draft of the Village Design Statement, Draft Sustainability Statement and Draft Consultation Statement published for consultation by North Dorset District Council. A letter informing recipients of the consultation period and where a copy of the documents could be accessed was sent to all Parish and Town Councils in North Dorset, those Councils adjoining North Dorset's boundary and specific consultees on the North Dorset District Council's database including agents and developers, housing associations and 'other' interest groupies. Copies of the documents were sent to the Government Office for the South West and the County Council. An advertisement was placed in the Blackmore Vale magazine. The Consultation Draft of the Village Design Statement with a response form was placed on the North Dorset District Council's website and the Consultation Draft on the Child Okeford Parish Council's website. Copies were placed in the District libraries and in the shops and public houses in Child Okeford for public scrutiny.	
21.07.07-19.09.07	Responses to the consultation (20) were considered by the North Dorset District Council Planning Policy team and the Village Design Statement Team and an agreed. A Post Consultation Draft of the Village Design Statement with the changes incorporated was produced.	
29.10.07	Post Consultation Draft of the Village Design Statement and supporting documents were agreed by the North Dorset District Council Cabinet.	
30.11.07	The Post Consultation Draft of the Village Design Statement and supporting documents were adopted as a Supplementary Planning Document by the North Dorset District Council.	

Supplement 2

Architectural Features

Street	House Name	Architectural Feature noted in Survey
Coach Road	Elm House	Patterned tiles on roof
The Common	Spring Cottage	Overhanging upper story, column supported
The Common	Holdway House	Converted hipped roof barn and three cottages. Stone and brickwork
The Cross	Cross Cottage	Canopy
The Cross	Cross House	Flat roof wooden pillar
The Cross	Midsummer House	Timber tiled roof open
The Cross	Wulfruna Cottage	Open tiled roof wooden pillars
Duck Street	Hambledon Lodge	Semi-circular enclosed porch
Duck Street	The Coach House	Prominent gabling weather boarded
Duck Street	Beckhams	Brick detailing front elevation
Duck Street	Hamdon House	Brick and flint banding front elevation
Duck Street	The Corner House	Wooden window shutters to road facing windows
Gold Hill	Hensley House	Hanging tiles to extension
Gold Hill	Little Meadow	Wood faced gabling
Gold Hill	1-9 The Bower	Brick and flint banding
Gold Hill	Hawthorn Cottage	Hanging tiles to extension
Gold Hill	Viking House	Roof ridging and finals pillar, enclosed front entrance
	1-2 Ridgeway	
Gold Hill	Cottages	Cross hatch diamond roof tiles
Haywards		
Lane	Monks Yard	Mixture of wall materials and thatched cottages
Haywards		
Lane	Marycourt	Fine stone porch
Haywards Lane	Child Okeford House	Imposing frontage, fine porch, hexagonal part with mouldings, decorated chimney pots
Haywards	1-3 Shephards	
Lane	Close	Brick detailing on corners
High Street	Kalbarri	Exposed beams, roof ridging and finials
High Street	Old Orchard	Exposed beams, roof ridging and finials
High Street	The Olde House	Overhanging roof, exposed beams, leaded windows
High Street	Monks Cottage	Exposed beams
High Street	Little Thatch	Overhanging roof, brick and flint banding
High Street	Yew Tree Cottage	Roof ridging and finials
High Street	The Old School	Brick detailing
	The Old School	
High Street	House	Roof ridging and finials
High Street	Rossiters	Leaded windows
High Street	Rosemary House	Hanging tiles over dormer

The Hollow	Ibbispen House	Dovecot on side wall
The Hollow	Malabar House	High brick built Tudor style windows
The Hollow	Chapel House	Support brick pillars, moulded gabling under roof arch
The Hollow	Long Close	Pseudo gothic columns on porch, old wooden barn
The Hollow	Crate	Feature semi -circular porch
	Brookside	•
The Hollow	DIOOKSIDE	Prominent gabling
	Demael Dit	Set back windows, porch with painted brick round
The Hollow	Damsel Pit	columns, open slate roof
The Lieller	Cottage Old	he are all the data all the se
The Hollow	Garage	Ironwork window shutters
Jacobs Ladder	Brock House	Dormer windows, prominent gabling
		Prominent gables, stained weatherboard upper
Jacobs Ladder		elevations
Millbrook		Hanging tiles to front elevation (3-5,7-13,15,17,18,21-
Close	17 Houses	24)
Millbrook		
Close	No. 19	Brick detailing
Ridgeway		
Lane	Gold Hill Farm	Opened tiled roof, low brick sides
Ridgeway		
Lane	1-3 Stansway Court	Canopy
Ridgeway		
Lane	Ash Grove	Open tiled roof, wood pillars
Shaftesbury		
Road	Yew Hedge House	Hanging tiles
Shaftesbury		
Road	Seville House	Hanging tiles
Shaftesbury	Hambledon	
Road	Cottage	Hanging tiles
Shaftesbury		
Road	Hambledon Barn	Hipped roof
Shaftesbury		Leaded front porch, classical style curved house corner
Road	Monks	to accommodate cart wheels
Station Road	Maplecroft	Decorative roof ridging
	The Old Union	
Station Road	Arms	Pub sign remains
Upper Street		<u> </u>
	Bartley House	Trapezoid roof finials
Upper Street	Bartley House Green Cottage	Slated porch with wood trellis sides

