MSDCC - 84

Mineral Sites Plan – Schedule of Additional Modifications to the BCP Council and Dorset Council Mineral Sites Plan Pre-Submission Draft

The schedule sets out 'Additional Modifications' which do not affect the soundness of the Plan, but make changes such as corrections, clarifications and factual updates. The 'Main Modifications' are contained in a separate schedule (MSDCC - 83)

Additional modifications are written in red and italics and have the prefix 'AM'.'

Text proposed for addition is shown like this.

Text proposed for removal is shown like this.

Text in blue is informative, but will not be included in the final version of the Mineral Sites Plan.

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| AM1.1 | Chapter 1 'Introduction' Paragraph 1.4 | Delete bullet point b as follows: <i>To identify and designate an aggregates Area of Search, intended to</i> <i>provide greater flexibility in future aggregates provision.</i> | Delete reference to aggregates area of search |
| AM1.2 | Chapter 1 'Introduction' Paragraph 1.1 | Amend as follows: Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch, Poole Council and Borough</u> <u>Council,</u> Dorset <u>County-Council and the Borough of Poole</u> are responsible for minerals planning in their respective areas, and have jointly prepared the Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch, Poole and</u> Dorset <u>and Poole</u> Minerals Plan in two parts: a. The Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy was adopted on 6 May 2014. It sets out the key principles to guide the future winning and working of minerals up to 2028. It also sets out the development management policies against which planning applications for minerals development will be considered. b. The Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch ,Poole and</u> Dorset <u>and Poole</u> Mineral Sites Plan (this document) which includes specific proposals and policies intended to deliver the various strategies for the different mineral types and to maintain mineral production. It also includes other measures to facilitate and control minerals development and the management of land. | To reflect Local Government Reorganisation |
| AM1.3 | Chapter 1 'Introduction' Paragraph 1.2 | Amend as follows: The adopted 2014 Minerals Strategy document replaced the Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan (1999), with the exception of Policies 6, 15, 16, 30 and 35 of the 1999 Plan. These policies are saved until the second part of the Bournemouth, <u><i>Christchurch, Poole and</i></u> Dorset and Poole Minerals Plan, the Mineral Sites Plan (MSP), has been adopted. After that time these policies will also be superseded. | To reflect Local Government Reorganisation |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| AM1.4 | Chapter 1 'Introduction' Paragraph 1.4 | Amend as follows: The Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch, Poole and</u> Dorset-and Poole-Mineral Sites Plan has the following functions: | To reflect Local Government Reorganisation |
| AM1 | Chapter 1 - 'Introduction' Paragraphs 1.5 – 1.23 | Delete text as follows: This Plan has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. It provides an opportunity for stakeholders and communities to comment on the soundness and legal compliance of the document prior to its submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent examination. The Pre-Submission Draft document follows extensive consultation carried out in accordance with Regulation 18 of the above regulations, and brings together the findings of consultation exercises and evidence gathering that has been underway since 2008. Work undertaken so far The following work has been undertaken as part of the preparation of the MSP: The Mineral Sites Allocation Document (MSAD) was published in 2008, setting out the range of site nominations (site options) received in response to a 'call for sites' issued in 2006/7. Work on the MSAD was then put on hold in order to focus resources on the Mineral Sites Plan) resumed in Summer 2012. Information previously received was reviewed and a second call for sites 'issued in exponse to update the list of sites to be considered as potential options for allocation. The Mineral Sites Plan Consultation Document 2013-2014 was published for consultation from December 2013 to February 2014. | Text originally included in the Pre- Submission Consultation version of the Plan has been removed, as it is not appropriate for a final version of the Plan. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | • A final call for sites was issued in April 2014, to ensure that as many site options as possible were put forward for consideration. | |
| | | In Summer 2015, the Draft Mineral Sites Plan was published for consultation. This draft version of the MSP set out the MPA's preferred options for sites. It also included proposals for an aggregates Area of Search, the Puddletown Road Policy Area and safeguarding of existing minerals sites. Supporting documents, including a Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Appraisal, were also prepared and consulted on. | |
| | | The most recent consultation, the Draft MSP Update 2016, was undertaken between May and July 2016. This was both an update of some aspects of the MSP and consultation on additional site options. Again a Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Appraisal were prepared and consulted on. | |
| | | The outcomes of these consultations together with the responses to the calls for sites have informed the final list of allocated sites and other proposals in this Pre-Submission Draft MSP document. | |
| | | Further information in relation to the above stages is available in the Duty to Co-operate Statement, the Draft MSP Consultation Statement and the Site Identification and Appraisal Statement which accompany this document. | |
| | | Supporting Documents | |
| | | A number of supporting documents provide the evidence base, assessments and methodology behind the Pre-Submission Draft MSP, including those below. These are available to download from: https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/mineral-sites | |
| | | Sustainability Appraisal | |
| | | The production of a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report is mandatory under Section 39(2) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004. The purpose of an SA is to promote sustainable development through the integration of social, environmental and economic considerations into the preparation of planning policy documents. It also fulfils the requirements of | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive. This Pre- Submission Draft MSP document has been subject to iterative site assessment and/or sustainability appraisal since the 2008 version of the document, including the current document. | |
| | | Habitats Regulations Assessment | |
| | | Appropriate Assessment/Habitats Regulations Assessment of land use plans is required under the European Communities (1992) Council Directive 92/43/EEC (the 'Habitats Directive'). Habitats Regulations Assessment provides for the protection of European Sites/Natura 2000 sites, These comprise Special Areas of Conservation (SACs), candidate SACs (cSACs) , Special Protection Areas (SPAs) and pSPAs (Potential SPAs) and Ramsar sites (sites designated under the RAMSAR convention on wetlands of international importance, 1971). areas which are of exceptional importance in respect of rare, endangered or vulnerable natural habitats and species within the European Community. The 2015 and 2016 Draft documents, along with the current Pre-Submission Draft, have undergone Habitats Regulations Assessment screening, under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. | |
| | | Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) | |
| | | The National Planning Policy Framework states that when preparing development plans local planning authorities should adopt a sequential, risk based approach to the location of new development to avoid possible flood risk. A Sequential Test should be applied to steer new development to areas with the lowest probability of flooding. A Dorset SFRA was prepared in 2010, and has been updated to support the current Pre-Submission Draft MSP. | |
| | | Duty to Co-operate Statement | |
| | | Under Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004, as inserted by Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011, the Council is required to formally co-operate with other local planning authorities and bodies prescribed in regulation 4(1) of The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. This is to maximise the | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | effectiveness of the preparation of the Local Plan and supporting activities so far as it relates to strategic matters. The Council and others are required to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis. The Duty to Cooperate Statement describes how cooperation has been undertaken. | |
| | | Sites and Areas Report | |
| | | This Pre-Submission Draft MSP document is supported by a Site Identification and Appraisal document, including site selection and assessment methodology and site assessment pro-formas used for assessing the sites. It considers those sites proposed for allocation and those sites which have been discounted, together with the justification for the decisions taken. | |
| | | How to comment on the Final Draft Mineral Sites Plan | |
| | | This document is the Pre-Submission Draft of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan. This is the last formal opportunity to respond before the Plan, along with any representations received, is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. | |
| | | It is published in accordance with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Representations are invited on this Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan document between 1st December 2017 and 5:00 pm on 31st January 2018 with respect to the following matters: | |
| | | 1. Has the document been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate requirements? | |
| | | 2. Is the document legally compliant? | |
| | | 3. Is the document sound, that is: | |
| | | a. has it been positively prepared? | |
| | | b. is it justified? | |
| | | c. is it offective? | |
| | | d. is it consistent with national policy? | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|---|--------|
| | | We encourage you to view and respond to the Plan and comment on it please go to: www.d sites | | |
| | | Alternatively you can email us at: mwdf@dor | setcc.gov.uk | |
| | | If you do not have access to a computer you or response form and send it to: | an complete a paper | |
| | | Environment and Economy Direct County Hall, Colliton Park, Dorch | | |
| | | Hard copies of this document are available to Council (County Hall, Dorchester); Bournemo Hall Annexe); and the Borough of Poole (Civit forms will also be available at these locations. | uth Borough Council (Town | |
| | | Consultation period: 1st December 20 | 17 to 31st January 2018 | |
| | | Representations must be received by 5:00pm | on the 31st January 2018. | |
| | | No late submissions will be | accepted. | |
| | | What happens next? | | |
| | | Subject to the outcomes of this stage, preparative is expected to progress according to the timet, submission to the Secretary of State, the date the Planning Inspectorate and therefore these indication. | able below. Following s given will be dependent on | |
| | | Key Stages | When | |
| | | Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan | December 2017 - January 2018 | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|---|--------|
| | | Mineral Sites Plan Submission to the Secretary of State | March 2018 | |
| | | Mineral Sites Examination - Hearings | June 2018 | |
| | | Mineral Sites Adoption | December 2018 | |
| | | Once the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites have been submitted, an Independent Inspect examine whether the plan meets the required including the duty to co-operate and procedur Examination, including public hearings, begin and will consider the issues raised. The conte will be set by the Inspector. | tor will be appointed to Llegal and soundness tests ral requirements. The s upon the Plan's submission | |
| | | Following the end of the examination process to be sound and legally compliant, Bournemo Councils can proceed to adopt the Mineral Sit of the statutory development plan for the area | uth, Dorset and Poole tes Plan. It will then form part | |

Chapter 2 - Context and Structure

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| AM2 | Chapter 2 – various updates | Amend paragraphs as follows: '2.1 The Minerals Strategy 2014 and the Mineral Sites Plan <i>will</i> together comprise the Minerals Plan for Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch, Poole and</u> Dorset and Poole , providing the over-arching strategy for provision of minerals, and for the | Update to text and removing duplication within Plan. Also to reflect Local Government Reorganisation |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | safeguarding of the undeveloped mineral resource, site restoration, development management policies and identifying the sites and areas required. | |
| | | 2.2 The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 sets out the legislative framework for the preparation of Local Plans whilst European and National policies and strategies provide guidance on their content. The Minerals Plan must be consistent with European and National policies. <i>This Pre-Submission Draft</i> <u><i>The</i></u> Mineral Sites Plan <i>document</i> has been produced within the broad context of relevant Plans, Programmes and Directives which were also instrumental in shaping the Minerals Strategy 2014. | |
| | | Minerals Context | |
| | | 2.4 The Mineral Sites Plan <u>allocates proposes</u> sites for the extraction of sand and gravel, crushed rock, <u>ball clay</u> , Purbeck Stone and other building stone. It is considered that these allocations, in conjunction with current permitted reserves and the criteria-based <u>policies</u> approach to the provision of ball clay established in the Minerals Strategy, will maintain the provision of minerals during the Plan period. | |
| | | 2.7 Development of the allocations of the Mineral Sites Plan, and any other mineral developments in <u>the Plan area</u> Bournemouth, Dorset or Poole, are subject to all the relevant policies, particularly the development management, safeguarding and restoration policies, of the Minerals Strategy 2014, along with other local plans and relevant national policies. | |
| | | 2.9 The Minerals Strategy was adopted in 2014, and runs to 2028. <i>If</i> the <u>The</u> Mineral Sites Plan <u>covers a period of 15 years ending in 2034</u> . <i>is adopted in 2018, a 15 year plan period would end in 2033</i> . It is acknowledged that this is beyond the end of the life of the Minerals Strategy. However, it is expected that the Minerals Strategy will be reviewed before the end of its plan period, at which time the Mineral Planning Authority will have the option to integrate a review of mineral sites as well. | |
| | | Structure of the Plan | |
| | | 2.10 After the introductory sections, the MSP has eight nine five main sections | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | Allocations of sites for future development of - for sand and gravel and an unallocated sites policy for increased flexibility of supply | |
| | | b. <u>Crushed rock provision, including the allocation of land at Swanworth</u> <u>Quarry. crushed rock, ball clay, Purbeck Stone and other building stone</u> | |
| | | c. <u>Provision of sites for the production of recycled aggregates</u> | |
| | | Ball Clay provision, including the allocation of land at Trigon Hill | |
| | | d. <u>Allocation of sites for future development of Purbeck stone</u> | |
| | | e. <u>Allocation of sites for the provision of other building stone</u> | |
| | | Designation of an Aggregates Area of Search - for increased flexibility on aggregates supply | |
| | | f. Designation of Puddletown Road Policy Area - for improved management and restoration | |
| | | g. Safeguarding of mineral sites - developing the safeguarding approach set out in the Minerals Strategy 2014 | |
| | | h. Implementation and monitoring | |
| | | 2.11-In this Plan, <u>S</u> ite allocation policies are numbered MS-1 and MS-3 to MS- <u>76</u> . Policy MS-2 <u>deals with applications for unallocated sand and gravel</u> <u>sites</u> <u>designates a sand and gravel Area of Search</u> . Policy MS- <u>87</u> relates to the Puddletown Road Area Policy and Policy MS- <u>98</u> relates to safeguarding of mineral sites and infrastructure. Site allocations are set out by mineral type and, for each mineral type, comprise a policy allocating new sites or extensions to existing sites, along with a location plan indicating the locations of the allocated sites and where appropriate some supporting text. | |
| | | 2.12 Appendix <u>4</u> contains further information on each allocation, with a summary of key information <u>and development guidelines</u> <u>about for</u> the allocated site and its proposed development along with an inset map of the site. Appendix <u>2</u> <u>B</u> lists the existing minerals sites and facilities to be safeguarded through <u>policies</u> Policy MS- <u>98</u> <u>and MS-10</u> . | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | The Habitats Directive and The Habitat Regulations and Appropriate Assessment | |
| | | 2.17 The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 have been consolidated and the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (the Habitat Regulations 2017) come into effect on 30th November 2017. The <u>Conservation of</u> Habitat <u>and Species</u> Regulations <u>2017</u> guide the assessment of the potential effects of a development plan on one or more European sites, which include Special Protection Areas and Special Areas of Conservation. These have been combined under the Habitats Directive into the European sites network. It is also Government policy to afford Ramsar sites the same protection as European sites. | |
| | | 2.18 Plans such as the <i>Draft</i> -Mineral Sites Plan can only be taken forward if it is demonstrated that there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. In some cases <i>where an adverse effect is indicated</i> , plans may still be implemented <i>if an adverse effect is indicated</i> , if there are no alternatives to the proposed development and there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest as to why the development in question should go ahead. However previous rulings show that these cases are rare and in such cases compensation will be necessary to ensure the overall integrity of the site network. | |
| | | New paragraph_The Mineral Sites Plan underwent Habitats Regulations Screening at all key stages in its preparation. Text covering required mitigation has been included to ensure that it complies with the requirements of the Habitats Directive. | |
| | | 2.19 <u>The Mineral Sites Plan should also be read in conjunction with</u> Policy DM5 (Biodiversity and Geological Conservation) of the Minerals Strategy 2014. <u>Policy</u> <u>DM5</u> is intended to ensure that minerals development will comply with the requirements of the Habitats Directive. This Policy explains how impacts on hydrology, displacement of recreation, proximity, species and management may affect European and Ramsar sites. <u>This Policy should be read in conjunction with</u> <u>the Mineral Sites Plan these policies</u> . | |
| | | 2.20 Habitat Regulations Assessment comprises a number of stages. The first stage is the screening stage, which determines whether any options could have a | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | likely significant effect on a European or Ramsar site and therefore whether an Appropriate Assessment is required. The Draft Mineral Sites Plan has undergone screening, with the following results. | |
| | | 2.21-Four sites have been identified in the Habitat Regulations Assessment as having a risk of Likely Significant Effect on European and Ramsar sites. These are: Great Plantation (AS-06), Philliol's Farm (AS-12), Roeshot (AS-13) and Trigon Hill (BC-04). The findings of the Habitat Regulations Assessment regarding these sites are as follows: | |
| | | i. In the case of AS-06 Great Plantation, initial assessments have concluded that effects on species, proximity and displacement of recreation in particular may be significant. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place. | |
| | | ii. In the case of AS-12 Philliol's Farm, initial assessments have concluded that effects on displacement of recreation and species in particular may be significant. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place. | |
| | | iii. In the case of AS-13 Roeshot Quarry Extension, Christchurch, initial assessments have concluded that effects on species in particular may significant. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place. | |
| | | iv. In the case of BC-04 Trigon Hill extension, initial assessments have concluded that effects on species in particular may be significant. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place. | |
| | | 2.22 These views are reflected in Policies MS-1 and MS-5 of the Draft Mineral Sites Plan. Appropriate text has been added where required to ensure that the Draft Mineral Sites Plan complies with the requirements of the Habitats Directive.' | |

Chapter 3 - Existing and Proposed Mineral Sites

3.1 - Sand and Gravel (Page 18 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| АМЗ | Paragraph 3.4 | Amend paragraph as follows: 'This calculation of expected shortfall in aggregate supply has been updated <u>to include</u> <u>sales and reserves figures up to the end of 2017</u> , as shown below.' | Update |
| AM5 | Paragraph 3.5 (Page 19) | Delete paragraph: <i>'The deliverability of the annual aggregate supply must be taken into consideration and may require identification of more than simply an absolute figure that could meet demand over the plan period. New sites to meet the shortfall should be located within the Aggregate Resource Blocks designated by Policy AS1 of the Minerals Strategy.'</i> | Paragraph not necessary for final Plan. |
| AM6 | Chapter 3 'Current Sites' (Paragraphs 3.6-3.7) | Amend section titled 'Current Sites' as follows: 'Current Sites 3.6 At the end of <u>-2016 <u>2017</u>, the following sand and gravel sites had planning permission, with combined reserves (mineral in the ground with planning permission) of approximately 13.6 million tonnes fourteen sand and gravel sites had planning permission, with combined reserves (mineral in the ground with planning permission) of approximately 12.6 million tonnes - Binnegar Quarry - Dorey's Pit - Hines Pit - Hyde Pit - Hurn Court Farm - Masters' Quarry - Trigon Hill - Tatchells Quarry</u> | To refer to the Local Aggregates Assessment which provides the up to date annual situation. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| | | Chard Junction Quarry | |
| | | Warmwell Quarry | |
| | | Henbury Pit | |
| | | Avon Common | |
| | | Woodsford Quarry | |
| | | Moreten Pit | |
| | | 3.7 As long as reserves remain, it is expected that these sites will continue to be worked and contribute to meeting demand during the life of the Plan. As the reserves decline, the allocated sites are expected to be developed to meet demand. <u>The council's</u> <u>monitoring report and local aggregates assessment will provide an up to date list of sites</u> <u>and review the permitted reserves and landbank. Applicants should refer to the local</u> <u>aggregates assessment of need.</u> ' | |
| AM7 | Amendment to Figure 1 - Sand and gravel allocations | Show sand and gravel resource blocks, update to reflect site allocations (include AS27 Land at Horton Heath, remove Hurn Court Farm Extension and Philliol's Farm). | Update to reflect modifications to Policy MS-1. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | <complex-block></complex-block> | |
| | | Allocated site Superficial sand and gravel resource block | |
| | | Authority administrative boundary Bedrock resource block | |

3.2 Crushed Rock

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| AM8 | Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock' Paragraph 3.26 | Delete paragraph 3.26: <i>'It is also questionable whether the Portland suppliers would have the capacity or</i> <i>desire to double their output to maintain supply, should Swanworth cease</i> <i>production - as is due to happen by 2024.</i> ' | This statement was questioned by consultees and the MPA agreed to remove it. |
| AM9 | Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock' Paragraph 3.27 | Amend paragraph and footnote as follows: 'However, the <u>existing Swanworth</u> quarry and proposed extension are within the Dorset Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). The National Planning Policy Framework requires that mineral planning authorities refuse permission for major developments in Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, except in exceptional circumstances and where it can be demonstrated that such quarries are in the public interest' <i>Footnote:</i> 'National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph <u>116</u> <u>172</u> (<i>February</i> <u>2019, July 2018 March 2012, Ministry of Housing, Department for</u> Communities and Local Government)' | To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018. |
| AM10 | Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock' Paragraph 3.28 | Amend footnote as follows: 'National Planning Policy Framework, paragraph <u>144-205-(February 2019</u> July 2018 March 2012, Ministry of Housing, Department for Communities and Local Government).' | To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018. |

3.3 Recycled Aggregate (Page 32 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| AM11 | Policy MS-4: Site for the provision of recycled aggregate | Amend first paragraph of Policy MS-4 as follows: 'Land at White's Pit in Poole (RA-01 - <i>see Submission Policies Map</i> - <i>Inset 8 as identified on the Policies Map</i>) is suitable for aggregates recycling and will make a significant contribution to the steady supply of recycled aggregate.' Amend third paragraph of Policy MS-4 as follows: 'All relevant development <i>guidelines considerations</i> , including those set out in Appendix A, must be fully addressed and any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.' | Update Correction |
| AM11.1 | Chapter 3 Paragraph 3.36 | Amend as follows: Existing sites (both permanent and temporary), including mobile crushing facilities associated with construction work, and other sites that may come forward through the planning application process to be determined in accordance with the development management policies of the Minerals Strategy will all contribute to the provision of recycled aggregates in Bournemouth, <u><i>Christchurch, Poole and</i></u> Dorset- <i>and Poole</i> . | To reflect Local Government Reorganisation |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|
| AM12 | Amendment to paragraph 3.55 | Amend paragraph as follows; 'The Minerals Strategy <u>through Policy PK-1 Provision of Purbeck Stone</u> commits to the provision of at least 20,000 tonnes per annum of saleable Purbeck Stone (excluding Burr and Purbeck Marble), from a range of sources, including:' | For clarification. |
| AM13 | Paragraph 3.56 | Amend section as follows; 'Current Sites At the end of 2016 2017, <u>13 Purbeck Stone quarries had planning</u> <u>permission.</u> the following Purbeck Stone quarries were active: <i>i.</i> Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers <i>ii.</i> South Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers <i>iii.</i> Quarry 4, Acton, Langton Matravers <i>iv.</i> Landers and Fratton Quarry, Worth Matravers <i>v.</i> Belle Vue Quarry, Swanage <i>vi.</i> Southard Quarry, Swanage <i>vii.</i> St. Aldhelms Quarry, Worth Matravers <i>viii.</i> California Quarry, Swanage <i>ix.</i> Blacklands Quarry, Langton Matravers <i>x.</i> Keates Quarry, Langton Matravers <i>xi.</i> Homefield 1, Langton Matravers <i>xii.</i> Homefield 2, Langton Matravers' | Detail of site names not considered necessary for inclusion. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| AM14 | Other Building Stone – Current Sites Paragraph 3.65 | Amend paragraph 3.65 as follows: 'At the end of 2016-2017, there were eight active building stone quarriesthe following building stone quarries were active: <i>i.</i> Coombe Farm Quarry, Beaminster (Inferior Oolite) <i>ii.</i> Frogden Quarry, Castleton (Inferior Oolite) <i>iii.</i> Whithill Quarry, Castleton (Forest Marble) <i>iv.</i> Whiteways Lane Quarry, Marnhull (Todber Freestone) <i>v.</i> Redlands Quarry, Todber (Todber Freestone) <i>vi.</i> Oddens Quarry, Melbury Abbas (Melbury Sandstone) A new site, West Melbury Farm, Melbury Abbas, was approved in January 2017.' | To remove list of current permitted sites as this dates the Plan. Up to date information is provided through the monitoring report. For consistency with other parts of the Plan. |

Chapter 4 - Puddletown Road Area Policy

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|------------------------------|
| AM15 | Paragraph 4.1 | Add sentence to end of paragraph: 'Puddletown Road Area - Background and Context The Puddletown Road and surrounding areas comprise primarily a ridge of free-draining, acidic sands and gravels, capable of supporting heathland and acid grassland. Lowland heathland and acid grassland | For information/explanation. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|---|--|
| | | are important both nationally and internationally, and remaining heathland is often protected both for its rarity as a habitat and for the species it supports. However the geology that supports the heathland is also in demand for extraction and use as construction aggregate, and the Puddletown Road area contains a concentration of existing and former mineral workings. Potential exists in this area for future mineral workings. <u>This quarrying, both past and future, provides an opportunity</u> <u>to carry out landscape scale management and restoration.</u> ' | |
| AM16 | Paragraph 4.3 | Amend footnote as follows: 'Para. <u>170 d</u> -9, NPPF (DCLG: 2012 -MHCLG February 2019)' | To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---------------|--|--|
| AM17 | Paragraph 5.1 | Amend footnotes as follows: 'National Planning Policy Framework paragraph <u>203 (MHCLG February</u> <u>2019)</u> . DCLG: 2012.' 'National Planning Policy Framework paragraph <u>203,204 and 208</u> (MHCLG February 2019) <u>143, 3rd and 4th bullet points (DCLG: 2012)</u> ' National Planning Policy Framework - paragraph <u>204 (e) (MHCLG</u> <u>February 2019)</u> 143. DCLG: 2012 | To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, February 2019. |
| AM18 | Paragraph 5.3 | Amend as follows: 'The NPPF requires the following to be safeguarded safeguarding of existing, planned and potential sites for: existing, planned and potential sites for: existing, planned and potential rail heads rail links to quarries wharfage and associated storage handling and processing facilities for the bulk transport by rail, sea or inland waterways of minerals, including recycled, secondary and marine-dredged materials existing, planned and potential sites for concrete batching, the manufacture of coated materials, other concrete products and the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material.' the bulk transport, handling and processing of minerals the manufacture of concrete and concrete products the handling, processing and distribution of substitute, recycled and secondary aggregate material. | To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, February 2019. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| AM18.1 | Paragraph 5.4 | The Minerals Strategy 2014, through Policies SG1 and SG2, safeguards the undeveloped mineral resource. Policy SG2 of the Minerals Strategy 2014 require <u>d</u> s districts/boroughs in Dorset to consult the mineral planning authority on development proposals within the <u>designated</u> Mineral Safeguarding Area/Mineral Consultation Area meeting the following criteria: | To reflect changes following Local Government Reorganisation |
| AM18.2 | Text following paragraph 5.4 | When the Minerals Strategy was prepared and adopted, Bournemouth and Poole were unitary authorities. They were the determining authorities for both mineral and non-minerals development and as such were able to assess potential impacts of non-minerals development around mineral sites. The rest of Dorset operated as a two-tier planning authority system, comprising Dorset County Council and six Districts/Boroughs. The County Council was the Mineral Planning Authority and under Policy SG2 of the Minerals Strategy the Districts/Boroughs consulted the Mineral Planning Authority regarding mineral safeguarding matters. As of 1 April the former local authorities in this area have been replaced by the two new unitary authorities of Bournemouth Christchurch and Poole Council (BCP) and Dorset Council. Being unitary authorities, BCP and Dorset Council are the Local Planning Authorities, BCP and Dorset Council are the Local Planning Authorities for both mineral and non-mineral development. Each Council will therefore be able to assess potential impacts of non-mineral development within the designated Mineral Safeguarding Area/Mineral Consultation Area as part of determination of relevant planning applications. To minimise land-use conflict, Policy MS-8 9 establishes a 250 metre consultation area around each minerals site. Where proposals for development come forward within the consultation area, the Local Planning Authority (BCP or Dorset Council) will need to consider whether or not such proposals would be likely to constrain or prevent current or potential mineral activity on the permitted/allocated mineral site. The Local Planning Authority This requires local planning authorities within Dorset to consult Dorset Council (as the | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | Mineral Planning Authority) on development proposals within the consultation area which could affect the use of such sites and facilities, and possibly constrain mineral production or processing. The County Council may advise come to the view that development should not be permitted if it would constrain the effective operation of existing sites, or future use of land or associated infrastructure identified for mineral use. | |
| | | Bournemouth and Poole as unitary authorities are determining authorities for both mineral and non-minerals development and will be able to assess potential impacts of non-minerals development around mineral sites. | |
| | | <i>To avoid the need for consultation <u>Some minor forms of</u> development that is <u>are</u> unlikely to constrain future <u>mineral</u> working or <u>have already</u> <u>been allocated or permitted through a separate local plan.</u> <i>Consequently, in the interests of clarity, on development that has</i> <u>already been the subject of consultation proposals</u> the following <u>development</u> within the <u>mineral safeguarding area/mineral</u> consultation area<u>s</u> <u>which conform with the following criteria</u> <u>around mineral sites are</u> exempt from <u>Policy SG2 of the Minerals Strategy</u> the need for <u>consultation with the Mineral Planning Authority</u>:</i> | |
| | | Policy MS-9 <u>8</u> : Preventing Land-Use Conflict Consultation areas of 250 metres are designated around safeguarded mineral sites and infrastructure. <i>District and Borough Councils within</i> <i>Dorset will consult the mineral planning authority on</i> <u>The Local Planning</u> <u>Authority will consider</u> proposals for non-minerals development partly or wholly within these consultation areas <u>against the relevant safeguarding</u> <u>policies of the Minerals Strategy and/or the Mineral Sites Plan</u> | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| AM19 | Paragraph 6.2 | Amend as follows: i Allocation of specific mineral sites: Policies MS-1 (sand and gravel), MS-3 (crushed rock), MS-4 (recycled aggregate), <i>MS-5 (ball clay)</i>, MS- <u>56</u> (Purbeck stone) and MS-<u>67</u> (other building stone) all allocate specific sites for future development. <i>ii.</i> Allocation of an Aggregates Area of Search: Policy MS-2 allocates an aggregates area of search, with the potential for further aggregate supply if certain criteria are met. ii. <u>Unallocated Sites: Policy MS-2 sets out the conditions under which unallocated sand and gravel sites may be permitted, to provide greater flexibility of supply.</u> | Update |
| AM19.1 | Paragraph 6.3 | Amend as follows: It is expected that policy implementation will be achieved primarily through submission and determination of planning applications - primarily for minerals development but also for other types of development which could have an impact on minerals development and maintaining minerals supply. Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch, Poole</u> <u>and</u> Dorset <u>and Poole</u> Councils as mineral planning authorities will determine applications for mineral development, and will monitor the ongoing development and restoration of permitted sites. | To reflect Local Government Reorganisation |
| AM19.2 | Table 1 'Implementation Responsibilities' | Amend as follows: Mineral Planning Authority (Dorset County Council, Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch and Poole Council</u>, <u>Borough of Poole</u>) Determine planning applications for mineral <u>and non-mineral</u> development (and for non-mineral development, for <u>Bournemouth and Poole</u>) Local Planning Authorities | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|--|---|
| | | (Dorset's district/borough councils) Consult the <u>Mineral Planning Authority</u> Dorset County Council on emerging Local Plans and development within Mineral Consultation Areas | |
| | | Other Mineral Planning Authorities Cooperate with Dorset County <i>Council</i> in the development of Minerals/Local Plans and consideration of mineral planning applications with cross-boundary implications | |
| | | Environmental Health Officers (Dorset's district/borough councils) Local Liaison Groups Membership usually comprises the site operator, parish councils, county and district councillors and officers, and the Environment Agency | |
| AM20 | Paragraph 6.12 | Amend as follows: 6.12 The Plan has a nominal end-date of 203<i>34</i>, but <i>it is expected that it</i> will be <i>reviewed on a five yearly basis every five years</i> to reflect the changing national policy context, trends in mineral supply and demand, and the changes in the availability of sites and reserves. Prior to the five year review, however, ongoing monitoring of the Mineral Sites Plan may identify specific policies or elements of the Plan that are not being implemented, or for which implementation is having unforeseen outcomes. | Update to reflect revised Plan period. |
| AM20.1 | Paragraph 6.14 | Amend as follows: It is considered that the Mineral Sites Plan, when read in conjunction with the Minerals Strategy 2014, provides an appropriate and sustainable strategy for future mineral development in Bournemouth, <u>Christchurch, Poole and</u> Dorset <u>and Poole</u> . | To reflect Local Government Reorganisation |

| Delete reference to Area of Search, add reference to Unallocated Sites | |
|---|---|
| considered that the greatest risk of non-delivery of allocated sites Policy M | ate to reflect modifications to cy MS2 with removal of area of ch, as discussed at the ings. |

Submission Policies Map and Inset Maps (Page 76 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

NB – These have been categorised as additional modifications as they are either simple corrections/clarifications or the relevant main modification is to the wording of the associated section of the Plan.

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| AM26 | Appendix A: Site Allocations Figures 10 - 30 | Make changes to Figures 10-30 as follows: Show the 250m consultation area (in relation to Policy MS-9 Safeguarding) on each individual map accompanying each site allocation. Remove figure numbers Consequential change to Submission Policies Map - remove Inset Maps 1 to 21. | To reflect Policy MS-9 To avoid confusion as the maps already refer to the site allocation reference numbers. Rationalisation of Maps in the Plan. To remove duplication. The allocated sites are already shown on Insets A-D of the Submission Policies Map and in Appendix A: Site Allocations and do not need to be shown again. |
| AM27 | Appendix A: Site Allocations - Development Guidelines Paragraph 5 | Amend paragraph 5 as follows: 'Access to/from sites, particularly road access, is a key safety issue and can cause significant impacts on areas/residents/road users around a mineral site. The Mineral Planning Authority wish to draw attention to the Standard for construction logistics: Managing work related road risk (WRRR) Work Related Road Risk (WRRR)¹⁴ as an example of a good practice approach to reducing access related impacts of the development and use of a mineral site. 14 - Facilitated by Transport for London - see: https://tfl.gov.uk/info-for/deliveries-in-london/delivering-safely/work-related-road-risk for further | Updating of information. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | <i>information_https://www.tfl.gov.uk/info-for/freight/safety-and-the- environment/managing-risks-wrrr?intcmp=7787'</i> | |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| AM28 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06: Great Plantation. Site Location. | Amend Site Location as follows: 'Site location: Great Plantation, land to the south of Puddletown Road, <u>East</u> <u>Stoke</u> , Wareham.' | To provide the full location, as discussed at the hearings. |
| AM29 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06: Great Plantation. Development Guidelines – section titled 'Other' | Amend heading as follows: 'Other <u>issues to take into consideration'</u> | For clarification |
| AM29.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06: Great Plantation. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

AS-06 Great Plantation (Page 108 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

AS13 - Roeshot (Page 119 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---|
| | Appendix A: Site Allocations – | Remove reference to District/Borough: | In recognition of impending Local Government |
| AM29.2 | AS13: Roeshot Site Description | District/Borough: Christchurch Borough Council | Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

AS15 - Tatchell's (Page 122 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|--|
| AM30 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS15 Tatchell's Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Amend heading as follows: 'Other <u>issues to take into consideration'</u> | For clarification |
| AM30.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS15: Tatchell's Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

AS19 - Woodsford Quarry Extension

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|--|
| AM31 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19: Woodsford Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Amend heading as follows: 'Other <u>issues to take into consideration'</u> | For clarification |
| AM31.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19: Woodsford Quarry Extension. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: <i>District/Borough: West Dorset District Council</i> | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |
| AM31.2 | Submission Policies Map | AS19 Woodsford Site boundary to be corrected on Policies Map and Inset Map A | Correction - the Woodsford site boundary is correct on Figure 15 therefore this correction is to reflect this on the Policies Map and Inset Map. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| AM32 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25: Station Road Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Amend heading as follows: 'Other <u>issues to take into consideration'</u> | For clarification |
| AM33 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25: Station Road Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Delete point a: a. 'The Station Road site (AS25) will not be worked simultaneously with the nearby Hurst Farm site (AS26) allocated in this Plan. ' | To remove duplication |
| AM33.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25: Station Road . Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

AS25 - Station Road (Page 129 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| AM34 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm Development Guidelines section titled: 'Other' | Amend heading as follows: 'Other <u>issues to take into consideration'</u> | For clarification |
| AM35 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26: Hurst Farm | Delete point 1 from development guideline titled 'Other': <u>'The Hurst Farm site will not be worked simultaneously with the nearby</u> <u>Station Road site (AS25) allocated in this Plan.'</u> | To remove duplication. |
| AM35.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26: Hurst Farm . Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

AS26 - Hurst Farm (Page 133 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

PK16 - Swanworth Quarry (Page 137 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| AM36 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16: Swanworth Quarry Extension | 'Other <i>issues to take into consideration'</i> | For clarification |
| AM36.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK16: Swanworth Quarry . Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

RA01 - White's Pit (Page 140 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| | odification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|---|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| A | M37 | Appendix A: Site Allocations RA01 White's Pit. Development Guidelines | Amend first sentence as follows: 'This allocation is an existing aggregate recycling facility, operating under a temporary permission <u>to 1 August 2022</u> .' | To include reference to existing permission end date. |

| AM37.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – RA01: White's Pit. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: Administrative Area: Borough of Poole | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |
|--------|---|--|---|
|--------|---|--|---|

PK02 - Blacklands Quarry Extension (Page 145 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| AM37.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK02: Blacklands Quarry Extension. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

PK10 – Southard Quarry (Page 148 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------|
| AM38 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK10: Southard Development Guidelines | Rename section: 6. Other <u>Geodiversity</u> | For clarification |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| AM38.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK10: Southard Quarry. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: <i>District/Borough: Purbeck District Council</i> | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

PK17 Home Field (Page 154 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| АМ39 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK17: Home Field Development Guidelines | Rename section: - Other <u>Geodiversity</u> | For clarification |
| AM39.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK17: Home Field. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| AM39.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK18: Quarry 4 Extension. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: <i>District/Borough: Purbeck District Council</i> | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

PK19 – Broadmead (Page 160 of the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|------------------------------|
| AM40 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead Site Allocation Heading | Amend heading as follows: PK-19: Broadmead Field, <u>Gallows Gore, Worth Matravers Parish</u> Langton Matravers | To state the correct Parish. |
| AM41 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Amend heading as follows: 'Other <u>issues to take into consideration'</u> | For clarification |

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| AM41.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19: Broadmead. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: <i>District/Borough: Purbeck District Council</i> | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

BS02: Marnhull Quarry Extension, Marnhull (p. 166 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan Pre-Submission Draft)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|---|
| AM41.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – BS02: Marnhull Quarry. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: <i>District/Borough: North Dorset District</i> | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

BS04: Frogden Quarry, Oborne (p.169 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan Pre-Submission Draft)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| AM41.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – BS04: Frogden Quarry. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: <i>District/Borough: West Dorset District</i> | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

BS05: Whithill Quarry, Lillington (p.172 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan Pre-Submission Draft)

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|---|
| AM41.5 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – BS05: Whithill Quarry, Lillington. Site Description | Remove reference to District/Borough: District/Borough: West Dorset District | In recognition of impending Local Government Reorganisation in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, which makes this information irrelevant. |

Glossary

| Modification Reference Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|
| AM42 | Glossary: Definition of National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) | Amend definition of National Planning Policy Framework as follows: National planning policy guidance <u>sets out the government's planning</u> <u>policies for England and how these are expected to be applied. The revised</u> <u>Framework replaces the previous National Planning Policy Framework</u> <u>published</u> in enacted on 27 March 2012 to replace national guidance in the form of PPSs, MPSs, and MPGs.' | To reflect the publication of the revised National Planning Policy Framework, July 2018. |