

Richard Henshaw <RHenshaw@christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk>
To: 'Lisa Jackson' <lisa@jacksonplanning.com>, Simon Trueick <STrueick@christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk>
Cc: George Whalley <GWhalley@christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk>
RE: SANG and CIL

30 July 2013 16:00

1 Attachment, 59 bytes

Dear Lisa

I am afraid that Nick is a little ahead on this matter. We have discussed the principle of 'benefit in kind' CIL payments, but have not come to a conclusion about how this should best operate.

Regards

Richard Henshaw
Policy Planning Manager
Christchurch and East Dorset Councils
Tel: 01202 886201 ext 2371
Email: RHenshaw@christchurchandeastdorset.gov.uk
Web: www.dorsetforyou.com

From: Lisa Jackson [mailto:lisa@jacksonplanning.com]
Sent: 30 July 2013 13:52
To: Simon Trueick; Richard Henshaw
Cc: George Whalley
Subject: SANG and CIL

Dear Simon and Richard,

Following my meeting with Nick Squirrell I understand that within East Dorset there has been an agreement to a CIL reduction of 25% where SANG is provided.

I assume this reduction follows from the fact that SANG is a 'benefit in kind' and that otherwise CIL would be effectively charging twice?

Is this 'agreement' is documented ? Are there any calculations on how this percentage reduction is arrived at? If so can you point me to it as it is relevant to the Inspector's Matter and Issue 10 (3).

If it is not documented-is it agreed are you aware that it will it be referred to by other SANG promoters in SOCG or by yourselves in additional statements?

Would you expect this discount to apply to sites CN1 and CN2? Nick expressed doubts as the Meyrick Estate proposed to retain the land in SANG use.

Kind regards,
Lisa

Lisa Jackson
Jackson Planning Ltd
www.jacksonplanning.com