

For office use only

Batch number: _____

Received: _____

Representor ID # _____

Ack: _____

Representation # _____



North Dorset Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL)

Draft Charging Schedule Consultation

17 June to 29 July 2016

Response Form

A Draft Charging Schedule has been developed by the Council. This sets out the proposed charging rates for North Dorset and comments are now invited on the Draft Charging Schedule. The consultation period starts on 17 June 2016 and ends at 4.00pm on 29 July 2016. The consultation document and all supporting documentation can be viewed on the Council's web page below:

<https://www.dorsetforyou.com/northdorsetcil>

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy, North Dorset District Council, Nordon, Salisbury Road, Blandford Forum, Dorset DT11 7LL

Deadline: 4.00pm on 29 July 2016. Representations received after this time will not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted**. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our web site, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be sent to the Inspector and available for inspection.

Personal Details (if applicable)*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr.	
First Name	Michael	
Last Name	Hopper	
Job Title(<i>where relevant</i>)	Chairman	
Organisation (<i>where relevant</i>)	[REDACTED]	
Address	[REDACTED], [REDACTED]	
Postcode	[REDACTED]	
Tel. No.	[REDACTED]	
Email Address	[REDACTED]	

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name and Organisation boxes to the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Part B – Representations

Please set out your representations(s) in the comments box following these questions and clearly indicate the question number on which you are commenting.

1. **Do you have any views on the viability report prepared for the Council by Peter Brett Associates and its update?**
No
Yes

2. **Do you agree that the CIL rates proposed (£ per square metre) strike an appropriate balance between the desirability of funding infrastructure through CIL and associated economic viability?**
No
Yes

3. **Do you have any views on the content of the Council's Draft Regulation 123 list?**
No
Yes

4. **Do you believe that the Council's proposed Instalments Policy achieves the best spread of payments?**
No
Yes

5. **Do you wish to speak at any Hearing which might be arranged as part of the Examination of the Draft Charging Schedule?**
No
Yes

If you have comments on any other aspects of the consultation please set them out on the following page.

Your Comments

Please provide alternative evidence or reasoning to support your view(s) where possible.

1. The draft charging schedule as proposed clearly favours the market towns to the detriment of the rural areas. Whilst understanding there is a much smaller need for housing development in the rural area. The amount set does not match the main development areas and there is a clear bias.
2. The retail development charge outside the town centres again shows a bias towards the towns. The rural community is already severely disadvantaged, with cuts to public transport and unlike town dwellers are obliged to pay for parking. Local towns people have more public transport links and can walk to the retail outlets.
3. Town centres within the main development areas have areas rated at zero for retail development, whilst all areas outside of these are charged at £70 (subject to size etc.). It would be more equitable to have the central areas of the larger villages given the same opportunity of having a nil charge area to encourage retail within that area. This could have the benefit of reducing our carbon footprint and the number of road trips. The issue of the need to build the infrastructure to cater for these developments is the responsibility of any developer and should not be used to discourage the needs of the rural community.
4. The proposed areas of zero charging for the villagers should be agreed with the relevant Town and Parish Councils. It is clearly understood that retail development in the villages will be low, but again it should be encouraged. The current charging proposals do nothing for the rural community.
5. These proposals, along with others made by the County Council are further isolating the rural communities and will continue to allow them to wither.
6. Where the charges are appropriate, I note and agree with DCC's view that the charges are somewhat low.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Signature:  _____

Date: 21 July 2016

If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.

When completed send to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk