### Survey Monkey comments received

The number of responses received was very low for this consultation. Feedback gathered from the community consultation was that the group had already asked these questions and the majority were in favour, so they did not bother to answer this consultation. From those responses received, the summary data to the yes/no questions are saved separately. The text in italics and bold below is additional comments received with the group's initial thoughts recorded below each comment in non-italics:

<u>Primary and Secondary Shopping Frontage and the Town Centre</u>

# A cafe overlooking the river would be nice. Improved toilet facilities in more places as integral to any development.

The group are aware of the constraints involved in development within a flood zone. Public Conveniences are expensive to maintain and run and the town are lucky to still have some open that is run by the Town Council. Some of the adjoining parish councils also contribute to these facilities. With business rates being charged on public conveniences it is unlikely that more public conveniences will be created, but the group will bear this in mind going forward.

Primary frontages should stretch from the west and east town entrance proposed development to ensure a continuous shopping experience from either end of the town. Especially as these 'secondary' shopping frontages are actually closer to the major car parking spaces / proposed visitor centre.

The group are aware that there are a number of dwellings in East Street and does not consider that this lends itself to forming part of a Primary Shopping Frontage.

Sleek & Chic, Harrocks & Webb the jewellers (are these primary retail premises?) Are you including Barnock walk as a primary shopping area? I would class this as secondary.

The group recognises that there are a number of alleyways that form part of the Blandford forum shopping experience and seeks advice from rCOH Ltd how to recognise this in the plan.

Town centre could extend further up Whitecliff Mill and Salisbury Streets, and primary shopping frontages could include more of East Street. (It also seems rude to exclude the Georgian Passage, which with a bit more care an attention would be more of an attraction!)

The group are aware that there are a number of dwellings in East Street and further up Whitecliff Mill and Salisbury Street and does not consider



that this lends itself to forming part of a Primary Shopping Frontage. The group recognises that there are a number of alleyways that form part of the Blandford forum shopping experience and seeks advice from rCOH Ltd how to recognise this in the plan.

# Too much secondary up Salisbury Road

The group does not consider this is the case.

I feel that there are too many small shops in Blandford which businesses can support. Many were converted from dwellings. Perhaps they should be returned to that use.

The group does not consider that this should be the case.

I suspect that there are too many small retail premises in the town center that can be filled profitably. Perhaps they might be returned to residential use.

The group does not consider that this should be the case.

#### Should include more of east street.

The group are aware that there are a number of dwellings in East Street and does not consider that this lends itself to forming part of a Primary Shopping Frontage.

East street should be classed as primary shop frontage, there is parking outside and it is the main throughfare into town for many. If the residential parts are expanding surely the number of primary shop frontages should increase to hopefully encourage larger more varied shops.

The group are aware that there are a number of dwellings in East Street and does not consider that this lends itself to forming part of a Primary Shopping Frontage.

#### **Traffic Flow Comments**

The Group would save these comments for the improvement of traffic flow within the town centre.

#### Local Green Spaces

The area listed as Bryanston Village Green is privately owned and therefore I assume cannot be made available for public use, however in recent weeks one resident has fenced off an area of land impacting on the 'green' which had remained undeveloped since Bryanston was a working estate. As a resident on this green I would very much like to see some level of protection given to this 'green space' to prevent



further inappropriate development or change of use. Although we are in a conservation area the protection this offers doesn't appear adequate to prevent this beautiful, historic area of land being adapted, fenced off and developed and it could still, should the various landowners see fit, be paved and used for parking. As residents I feel we have a duty of care to preserve this important part of Bryanston's history and feel it is very important that a further level of protection is put in place as soon as possible.

The group recognise that further work is required for this space.

# Bryanston village green should be an important open space as it defines the character of the village.

The group recognise that further work is required for this space.

# Land adjacent to Dorchester Hill

The group recognises that this is not possible as it is a strategic site for housing in the Local Plan.

Yes land adjacent to Dorchester Hill to protect sensitive wildlife species The group recognises that this is not possible as it is a strategic site for housing in the Local Plan.

#### **Crown meadows**

This site is included in the list and further investigation work is being done.

# 16 Badbury heights needs a play area for children on the green by alner road cobham road junction

Persimmon Homes and the Town Council are in negotiation to transfer the land to enable the Town Council to install play areas.

### Langton Meadows

This site is included in the list and further investigation work is being done.

#### Do not support Bryanston Village Green

The group recognise that further work is required for this space.

#### No Bryanston Village Green

The group recognise that further work is required for this space.

#### Green space rear of Orchard Street, within Wessex Court.

This site is not included in the list and will be included so that further work can identify whether it qualifies as a local green space.



#### Larksmead

This site is included in the list and further investigation work is being done.

Green areas around main areas - mortain, medbourne, jubilee way type area - not sure if these already fall under another listing!

These areas fall within Damory Estate amenity areas

Not Bryanston village Green - no need to formalise, already protected under Conservation Area Policies.

The group recognise that further work is required for this space.

Bryanston Village Green is owned by 4/5 separate parties - I understand that the planning guidance advises they should all be consulted individually at an early stage for their views on this matter. To my knowledge (as one of the landowners) this has not happened. It is a small area only utilised by the adjacent houseowners and not used by the local community. Historically it has not been a village green but rather an access area for the old forge, post office and other houses in the terrace. I object to this proposed designation as inappropriate. The group recognise that further work is required for this space.

Design principles for Blandford Forum

A higher level of protection for privately owned 'green space' over and above what is offered by being in a Conservation area.

The group seek advice from rCOH Ltd regarding this.

**Need more info for a constructive view on this attached**Noted

Urgent re-instatement of shopfronts to better reflect the character of the buildings themselves. There are far too many poor quality "modern" facias etc that detract from the visual appearance of the town centre.

The group is aware that there is an active group pursuing this in Blandford Forum.

Please include the use of plastic signs, excess street furniture
The group consider that existing policies deals with this matter.

bring the town up to date with well known shops and stop chargeing so much rent and rates full shops will make the town more lively and welcoming!!!

Noted



Whilst the town centre is Georgian architecture the residential wards to north and east would benefit from modern design embracing renewable energy and new technology to attract more diversity to the town and crucially more business and jobs

Noted

There should also be drawn up a Local Heritage List, given that much of that which should be preserved and protected is not 'Listed' and Historic England is loath to list anything not at risk of demolition, but recommends a Local Heritage List give protection. We keep hearing in planning officer reports of 'undesignated heritage assets' which I think are so described as and when an application is made, but they should be properly identified.

Noted

All new developments should be imaginative and be sympathetic to the surrounding area. Any housing developments in a village should match existing housing.

Noted

Owners of unsightly 1960's buildings such as the library, Job Center and Iceland should be encouraged to redevelop into buildings of a stile more appropriate to the town.

Noted

Get rid of a boards on the pavement even the town councils a board is to big and have two

Noted

Some of the shop frontages are painted in appalling colours. Also parking is a major problem.

Noted

Residents and businesses shouldn't be unreasonably expected to incur additional costs to further the councils aims to make Blandford a working museum.

Noted

But just to add that development shouldn't get stuck if it's just about a chimney or other similar small thing.

Noted

Design in Blandford St Mary



Blandford St Mary's most important characteristic is that it is a village and this proposal will double its size. I don't agree with any new development here.

Noted

I don't agree with any development of Blandford St Mary. Its most important characteristic is that it is a village and the proposals will double its size and it will become part of an urban sprawl and no longer retain its village character. It don't agree with the building of houses on its green spaces.

Noted

Only the town centre needs preserving. The houses off jubilee and Holland way are similar and unsightly, the new estates are boring. More diversity and technology is needed

Noted

A long term plan with respect to traffic. Eventually the land around Fairmile and to the east of the A354 will be developed. There might be a plan to return the Old Dorchester Hill into a thoroughfare to intersect with the A354 and provide a bus route to serve all the new developments.

Noted

This a very long survey, too much to read!
Noted

<u>Design in Bryanston</u>

A higher level of protection for privately owned Green Space over and above that offered by being in a Conservation area.

The group seek advice from rCOH Ltd regarding this.

## Community Facilities

Ownership of Bryanston Club is unclear and there is concern within the village over what might happen to the club when the current managers retire. I would very much welcome some protection over this important village facility to protect it from inappropriate development in the future.

Noted

#### The trailway

Included in Local Green Space



#### **Allotments**

Noted

#### Protection of URC hall

Noted

Archbishop Wake School - the hall is available for hire and has/is used by a religious group for weekly meetings. Adult Education Centre / Childrens Centre

Noted

they all need updateing blandford should be ashamed of there sports facilities as everywhere else is modern and clean blandfords rec is in the dark ages and a embarresment to the town Noted

We need a purpose build larger GPs surgery with enough parking to accommodate the new developments thou hour the town Noted

## Rugby club?

Larksmead pavilion, the rugby club's base is listed

#### allotments

Noted

The library (doesn't have to be provided by DCC, and in many places they no longer are) To which should be added other 'public' services/facilities such as the Day Centre (Heddington Drive). The Royal British Legion Opportunity (Williams) Hall and other halls in regular public use (URC, Methodist, Parish Centre etc) Note: extension only where it is feasible in planning terms, but not excluding relocation The owner of the Opportunity Hall has been contacted.

Green at the top of the village not used. Make a scout hut or sports pavilion.

The group do not know where this is.

**Bicycle pump track, theatre, cinema,** Noted.

The Public Library The Museums.

Noted.



# Larksmead Preschool within nddc grounds

The group is aware it cannot protect a specific service provider but can allocate land uses.

Bryanston Estate Club could be enhanced to create a more attractive environment.

Noted.

