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Summary

This report is an assessment of Purbeck’s Core Strategy (pre-submission draft, dated 13th October 2010), in accordance with the Habitats Regulations.

Purbeck District supports one of the most special and heavily protected environments in the country. Even in the context of SW England it is exceptional. Some 21% of the area of the District is of international importance for nature conservation, including the whole of the Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC. The largest part by district of the Dorset Heath SAC/Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar site and similarly the largest part of Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar site, and the majority of the Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC fall within the District.

The aim of this assessment is to identify any areas where there are likely significant effects to the Natura 2000 sites and assess these in detail. A full check for likely significant effects at pre-submission draft stage is set out and we consider the following issues in detail within the appropriate assessment part of this report:

- Impacts of new housing and recreational pressure on the Dorset Heaths (the Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA, Dorset Heathlands Ramsar).
- Increased recreational pressure on Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar from shore-based and water based activities likely to increase as a result of new housing.
- Increased recreational pressure to coastal sites as a result of enhanced transport links and housing (Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC, St Alban’s to Durlston Head SAC).
- Increased recreational pressure to the New Forest (New Forest SPA/SAC/Ramsar) as a result of increased population and enhanced transport links within Purbeck.
- Water issues, including abstraction and water quality, affecting Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar and Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA, Dorset Heathlands Ramsar).
- Fragmentation and pressure on heathland sites (Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar) as a result of employment allocation (Holton Heath).
- Air quality issues as a result of increased traffic (Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar and Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar).

Our assessment of the development proposals has shown that, without mitigation measures, adverse effects would be likely as a result of the Core Strategy alone, either as single elements or as a combination of elements within the plan for each of these issues (see Table 1). However, mitigation measures, which would eliminate these effects, are largely feasible (in some cases some further work is required to check that the mitigation can be implemented and in such cases a precautionary approach with regard to policies and allocations is recommended). If included in the submitted Core Strategy, as recommended, these avoidance measures would eliminate the likelihood of significant effects. However, uncertainties still remain as some significant elements of the measures proposed are complex and may be difficult to achieve, yet the conclusions of this assessment rely on the timely delivery of those measures.

It is therefore concluded that the HRA is not finally completed until a final check of the progression of those measures is undertaken, in order to gain greater certainty regarding their effective implementation. This precautionary approach remains compliant with the Habitats Regulations, and
ensures that the plan is not given effect until it has been ascertained with the required level of certainty that mitigation will be delivered.

The final check of the Core Strategy and completion of the HRA should be undertaken to support the submission of the Core Strategy for Examination. This final part of the assessment should gain greater certainty with regard to the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures summarised below.

There are obligations on Member States to avoid deterioration of habitats and significant disturbance of species on European sites. It seems clear that some measures under the European Directives that place this duty on Member States will be needed to tackle existing pressures and problems, including deterioration of water quality and habitat deterioration and disturbance on the designated sites.
Table 1: Summary table highlighting issues and Natura 2000 sites for which adverse effects on integrity are identified within the assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Relevant policies</th>
<th>Natura 2000 sites within and around Purbeck District</th>
<th>Mitigation / Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dorset Heaths¹</td>
<td>Poole Harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAC</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPA</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramsar</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased recreational pressure²</td>
<td>HS, TA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water abstraction</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water quality</td>
<td>HS</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmentation</td>
<td>ELS, TA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air quality</td>
<td>HS, ELS, TA</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Increased recreational pressure from development across the District with the potential for an adverse effect on heathland, Poole Harbour and coastal sites. / Mitigation through access management and SANGS provision still needs finalising.

Strategic management of water supplies potentially resolves issue in long term. Additional assurances and information required.

Mitigation includes development of sewage treatment works. Further information needed on sewer capacity.

Employment land at Holton Heath and Winfrith has potential to impact nearby heaths. Detailed assessment required of each site to ensure level of development can go ahead.

Development in Swanage will have particular impacts for traffic (Stoborough Heath and Corfe Common SSSIs).

---

¹ By Dorset Heaths we mean the Dorset Heaths SAC, The Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, the Dorset Heathlands SPA and the Dorset Heathlands Ramsar

² We include other urban issues with recreational pressure, especially for the heaths (see assessment text for further information)
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1 Introduction

Overview of process to date

1.1 In order to ensure that the Core Strategy is compliant with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, Purbeck District Council appointed Footprint Ecology & David Tyldesley and Associates to carry out an assessment of the strategy’s implications for European wildlife sites, i.e. a Habitats Regulations Assessment of the plan.

1.2 Our work on this Habitats Regulations Assessment to date has been iterative and this version is updated from previous HRA drafts, produced to coincide with earlier iterations of the emerging core strategy. Our work has involved evidence gathering, site visits, continued discussions between the consultants and the Council, along with informal communication with Natural England.

1.3 This current assessment document is based on a draft version of the pre-submission core strategy provided by Purbeck District Council to the consultant team on 13th October 2010, and will be revised again to produce a final HRA to support the Core Strategy at submission for Examination.

Background to the Habitats Regulations Assessment

1.4 The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, normally referred to as the ‘Habitats Regulations,’ transpose the requirements of the European Habitats Directive 1992 into UK law. The EC Habitats Directive and UK Habitats Regulations afford protection to plants, animals and habitats that are rare or vulnerable in a European context.

1.5 Earlier European legislation, known as the Birds Directive 1979, protects rare and vulnerable birds and their habitats and includes the requirement for all Member States to classify ‘Special Protection Areas’ (SPA) for birds. This involves each State identifying the most suitable areas of land, water and sea for the protection of rare and vulnerable species listed in the Directive, and areas which are important for migratory species, such as large assemblages of waterfowl. In 2009 an updated Birds Directive was adopted by the European Parliament, which now replaces the original 1979 Directive and incorporates all past modifications. The new Directive is now referenced in the Habitats Regulations 2010.

1.6 The Habitats Directive increased the protection afforded to plants, habitats and animals other than birds, through stricter protection of species and by the creation of ‘Special Areas of Conservation’ (SAC). This required each State, working in bio-geographical regions, to designate the best areas for habitats and

---


species listed in annexes to the Directive. Article 6(1) and (2) of the Habitats Directive impose duties on Member States to establish ecological conservation management measures for these areas, to avoid deterioration of their natural habitats and the habitats of species, and to avoid significant disturbance of the species in the areas.

1.7 Importantly, by virtue of Article 7 of the Habitats Directive, the procedures relating to the protection of SAC equally apply to SPA. Article 7 of the Habitats Directive supersedes the previous requirements of the first sentence of Article 4(4) of the Birds Directive.

1.8 It should be noted that SPAs and SACs include European Marine Sites, which are designated sites below Highest Astronomical Tide. In addition, European Offshore Marine Sites (EOMS) are also part of the suite of internationally protected sites. Although outside the direct jurisdiction of local planning authorities, there is the potential for indirect effects upon European Offshore Marine Sites as a result of plans or projects under local planning authority control.

1.9 The UK is also a contracting party to the Ramsar Convention. This is a global convention to protect wetlands of international importance, especially those wetlands utilised as waterfowl habitat. In order to ensure compliance with the requirements of the Convention, the UK Government expects all competent authorities to treat listed Ramsar sites as if they are part of the suite of designated European sites, as a matter of policy. Most Ramsar sites are also a SPA or SAC, but the Ramsar features and boundary lines may vary from those for which the site is designated as a SPA or SAC. Collectively proposed and classified SPA, SAC and EOMS are referred to in this assessment as European sites. Article 6(3) and (4) of the Habitats Directive, and Regulations 61 and 102 of the Habitats Regulations, impose duties on all public bodies to follow strict regulatory procedures in order to protect the European sites from the effects of plans or projects.

1.10 Until recently, the assessment of the potential effects of a spatial or land use plan upon European sites was not considered a requirement of the Habitats Directive. A judgment of the European Court of Justice required the UK to extend the requirements of Article 6(3) and (4) of the Directive to include the

---

6 Convention on wetlands of international importance especially as waterfowl habitat, Ramsar, Iran, 2/2/71 as amended by the Paris protocol of 3/12/92 and the Regina amendments adopted at the extraordinary conference of contracting parties at Regina, Saskatchewan, Canada 28/5 – 3/6/87, most commonly referred to as the ‘Ramsar Convention.’


8 ECJ case C-6/04, Commission of the European Communities v United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, 20th October 2005.
assessment of the potential effects of spatial and land use plans on European sites. The Habitats Regulations have been amended accordingly⁹.

Outline of the Habitats Regulations Assessment process

1.11 The Habitats Regulations Assessment procedure is outlined in Figure 1 below, which illustrates the method of assessment in accordance with Regulation 85B. The site(s) affected could be in or outside the relevant plan area. Depending on the outcome of the Habitats Regulations Assessment, the LPA may need to amend the plan to eliminate or reduce potentially damaging effects on the European site. If adverse effects on the integrity of sites cannot be ruled out, the plan can only be adopted in accordance with Regulation 103, where there are no alternative solutions that would have a lesser effect and there are imperative reasons of overriding public interest sufficient to justify adopting the plan despite its effects on the European site(s).

---

⁹ The addition of Part IVA (Regulations 85A-85E) to the Habitats Regulations in 2007, under the title “Appropriate Assessments for Land Use Plans in England and Wales”. 
Figure 1: Methodological steps for conducting an HRA
1.12 The Government is likely to expect that a plan will only need to proceed by way of these later tests in the most exceptional circumstances because a LPA should, where necessary, adapt the plan as a result of the Habitats Regulations Assessment, to ensure that it will not adversely affect the integrity of any European site. The considerations of Regulation 103 are not applicable in this case.

1.13 It will be seen that the key stages are evidence gathering, checking for the likelihood of significant effects, and then the ‘Appropriate Assessment’ of elements of the plan where a likelihood of significant effects cannot be ruled out, followed by the introduction of mitigation measures, the final consultation and recording the assessment upon completion.

1.14 This Habitats Regulations Assessment has taken account of published guidance and good practice:


2 European Sites Potentially Affected by the Core Strategy

2.1 European sites both inside and outside of the District are considered because impacts such as water abstraction, waste water discharge and increased recreation could have effects well beyond the District boundary, and it is necessary to identify all functional links between with Purbeck District and the different European sites. Work in Dorset and Hampshire (Liley, Sharp, & Clarke 2008; Sharp, Lowen, & Liley 2008) has shown that coastal sites or large tracts of semi-natural habitat (such as the New Forest) will attract a relatively high proportion of residents from up to 20km away from the site, so we have used a 20km radius as our initial area of search, at least in terms of recreational use. This buffer is shown in Map 1 and all European Sites that fall entirely or in part within it are summarised in Table 2.

Table 2: European Sites in and around Purbeck District, entirely or partly within 20km of the District Boundary.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAC</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>Ramsar</th>
<th>Within Purbeck District Boundary</th>
<th>Within 20km of Purbeck District Boundary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorset Heaths, Dorset heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes</td>
<td>Dorset Heaths</td>
<td>Dorset Heathlands</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poole Harbour</td>
<td>Poole Harbour</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Albans Head to Durlston Head</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesil and the Fleet</td>
<td>Chesil Beach and the Fleet</td>
<td>Chesil Beach and the Fleet</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crookhill Brick Pit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerne and Sydling Downs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holnest</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooksmoor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontmell and Melbury Downs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Avon</td>
<td>Avon Valley</td>
<td>Avon Valley</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The New Forest</td>
<td>New Forest</td>
<td>New Forest</td>
<td></td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

©Crown Copyright. All rights reserved. Contractor Licence number 100046223.
MAP 1: European Sites within 20km radius of Purbeck District
MAP 2: European Sites (selection of component SSSIs labelled)
2.2 The Purbeck District lies in an area of considerable importance for nature conservation. Eight European sites are located completely or partially within the District (see Map 1 and Map 2 for designations and site names) with a further 13 at least partially within 20km of the District boundary. The range of sites, habitats and designations is complex. Some of the European Sites are composite sites comprising a large number of component SSSIs over a wide area (such as the Dorset Heathlands SPA), others such as the St Alban’s Head to Durlston Head SAC comprise a single area. The largest designated area extends to 8,169ha while the smallest is just 4.7ha. For some sites SPA, SAC and Ramsar designations all apply, while other sites or parts of sites are simply covered by one designation.

2.3 Of the 21 designated sites, seven reach beyond the 20km buffer but are largely contained within it. The majority of the New Forest designated sites fall outside the 20km buffer while Holnest and Fontmell and Melbury Downs SACs straddle the boundary.

2.4 Each European site is further described below. Sites with overlapping designations are grouped together to avoid repetition. Appendix 1 summarises the reasons for the designation of each European site.

Dorset Heaths

Ecology and conservation objectives

2.5 The Dorset Heaths SAC covers a complex of heathland sites centred around Poole Harbour. It encompasses 5,730 ha of heathland reaching from Warmwell in the west to Cranborne in the north east and Hengistbury Head in the southeast and includes all or part of 37 SSSIs. Virtually all of the SAC falls within Purbeck District and the 20km buffer. The SPA and Ramsar designations largely cover the same area as the SAC but also include the Dorset Heaths (Wareham and Purbeck) and Studland Dunes SAC, and cover 8169ha and 6730ha respectively.

2.6 Within the Dorset Heaths European sites a full range of heathland communities is represented with transitions from dry heaths to wet lowland heathland and mires; all habitats restricted to the Atlantic Fringe of Europe and among the best of their type in the UK. There are also transitions to coastal wetlands and floodplain fen habitats, plus woodland, grassland, and pools. The whole complex has an outstanding fauna in a European context, covering many different taxa. Many species have a specialist ecology, strongly associated with, or restricted to, heathland. The site lies in one of the most biologically-rich wetland areas of lowland Britain, being continuous with three other European sites: Poole Harbour, Avon Valley and The New Forest.

2.7 The heaths lie on infertile soils derived from the sands and clays of the Bagshot Beds and include shallow peat in wetter areas. Wet heath and mires support a diverse range of rare species including include Dorset heath *Erica ciliaris*, brown beak-sedge *Rynchospora fusca*, marsh gentian *Gentiana pneumonanthe*, marsh clubmoss *Lycopodiella inundata*, great sundew *Drosera anglica* and bog orchid *Hammarbya paludosa* and the moss *Sphagnum pulchrum*. They are a stronghold for invertebrates, particularly dragonflies, damselflies, butterflies and...
spiders, including Southern damselfly *Coenagrion mercuriale*. Within the UK, some of these invertebrates are restricted to the Dorset heaths.

2.8 The dry heath occurs on very infertile soils and is not very diverse botanically, but locally some nationally scarce plants occur, such as mossy stonecrop *Crassula tiliae* and yellow centaury *Cicendia filiformis*. In places, where heather *Calluna vulgaris* occurs in mature stands, lichens of the genus *Cladonia* are very abundant. The dry heaths support populations of European importance of several species, including rare butterflies (e.g. silver-studded blue *Plebejus argus*), grasshoppers and spiders. Among birds, the dry heath is very important for woodlark *Lullula arborea*, European nightjar *Caprimulgus europaeus*, Dartford warbler *Sylvia undata* and some migrants such as hen harrier *Circus cyaneus* and merlin *Falco columbarius* (see Table 3). All six species of native British reptiles, including the Annex IV species sand lizard *Lacerta agilis* and smooth snake *Coronella austriaca*, occur within the Dorset Heaths.

### Table 3 Estimated populations of species listed in Annex I of the Habitats Directive (79/409/EEC) used for SPA designation (from counts undertaken in 1991/2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Annex I species</th>
<th>Estimated population</th>
<th>% GB total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dartford warbler <em>Sylvia undata</em></td>
<td>418-606 pairs</td>
<td>26.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nightjar <em>Caprimulgus europaeus</em></td>
<td>436+ pairs</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Woodlark <em>Lullula arborea</em></td>
<td>41-56 pairs</td>
<td>6.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hen harrier <em>Circus cyaneus</em></td>
<td>20, wintering</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Merlin <em>Falco columbarius</em></td>
<td>15, wintering</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.9 The Dorset heaths represent some of the biggest and finest remaining areas of lowland heathland in the UK. However, the area of heathland has been reduced and fragmented, with about 86% lost since the mid-18th century to agriculture, forestry and urban development. In recent years these land use changes have been almost halted through changes in national and local policy, but the scale of previous fragmentation and development has left a number of adverse pressures, including those relating to recreational use of heaths. The decline in use for traditional agriculture has resulted in a successional trend to scrub and woodland together with invasion by conifer and introduced scrub species, especially Rhododendron. Financial support schemes and management initiatives which aid the removal of scrub and encourage the re-establishment of traditional management in the form of extensive grazing now cover much of the heath area.

2.10 The heaths have been the focus of many detailed, long term, ecological studies, mainly led by the ITE/CEH research station, which for many years was located locally at Furzebrook, in Purbeck. Of particular note are the successive heathland surveys that have documented the changes in extent, fragmentation and area of different heathland habitats within Dorset (Webb 1990; Rose et al. 2000).
2.11 The conservation objectives for the sites are complex as they are developed separately for each SSSI. In general terms they are to maintain the designated habitats and species (see Table 13 for European designations) in favourable condition (subject to natural change), including restoration of habitats where necessary. Those for the SPA are to maintain, in favourable condition, the habitats for the populations of Annex 1 bird species (nightjar, woodlark, Dartford warbler, hen harrier and merlin) of European importance, with particular reference to their lowland heathland habitat. Sites are considered to be in favourable condition when they are being managed in a way which maintains or enhances their nature conservation value.

Recreational and other pressures

2.12 Recreational use of the heaths has become a key issue in recent years. There has been a number of visitor studies addressing visitor behaviour, access patterns and use of lowland heathland sites (Atlantic Consultants 2003, 2005; Clarke et al. 2006; Liley, Jackson, & Underhill-Day 2006; Dolman, Lake, & Bertoneclej 2008; UE Associates 2009; Clarke, Sharp, & Liley 2010; Tourism South East Research Services & Geoff Broom Associates 2005; Underhill-Day & Liley 2006). These studies have been targeted at sites of nature conservation importance and in some cases the visitor data subsequently used to explore nature conservation impacts (Clarke et al., 2008, Liley et al., 2006a, Sharp et al., 2008). The study by Clarke et al. 2006 focused on the Dorset Heaths SPA.

2.13 These visitor studies typically show high levels of recreational use, involving a wide range of different activities, with dog walking typically the most common reason for visiting. In the Dorset Heaths SPA study 80% of visitors were dog walkers, and other reasons for visiting included walking, jogging, cycling and horse riding (Table 4).

Table 4: Percentage of visitors undertaking different activities on the Dorset Heaths SPA. Data are from 632 interview carried out at 20 different access points in a SPA wide visitor survey conducted in 2004 (Clarke et al. 2006).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of activity</th>
<th>Percentage of visitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dog walking</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jogging</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horse riding</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.14 ‘Urban effects’ such as fly tipping, increased fire incidence, use of heaths for motor biking and off-road vehicles etc. tend to be particularly focused around the conurbation of Poole and Bournemouth.
2.15 The majority of the heaths are mapped as open access land under the Countryside and Rights of Way (CRoW) Act, 2001 and have a long history of access by local people.

Dorset Heaths (Wareham and Purbeck) and Studland Dunes SAC

2.16 This SAC encompasses most of the remaining area of heathland within the eastern half of the Isle of Purbeck (south of the river Frome, plus Morden Bog and part of Sandford Heath) with the addition of the beach and dunes at Studland. It covers a further 2,222ha. (Note that the Dorset Heathlands Ramsar site covers this SAC, while the Dorset Heathlands SPA also covers this SAC with the exclusion of the Studland lakes, which are included within the Poole Harbour SPA).

Ecology and conservation

2.17 For a general description of the heathland within this SAC, please refer to the Dorset Heaths above. Note that the greatest concentration of Dorset heather in the UK is found within this SAC. The Dorset Heaths contain small pockets of wet woodland within valley mires but most of these appear to be of recent origin. However, at Morden Bog a bog woodland stand is of ancient origin, as shown by its pollen record and old maps. The woodland is dominated by downy birch *Betula pubescens* with a ground flora consisting of greater tussock sedge *Carex paniculata* and purple moor-grass *Molinia caerulea*. There is a rich epiphytic lichen assemblage, again indicating the persistence of this area of bog woodland.

2.18 The heaths around Wareham and to the west of the Dorset Heaths tend to have a more rural feel. Many of the sites are particularly large and are well known visitor sites, attracting both local people and tourists. There are tourist facilities such as visitor centres at both Arne (RSPB) and Studland (National Trust).

2.19 Studland dunes form part of the South Haven Peninsula, a complex spit feature located on the south side of the entrance to Poole Harbour comprising a series of shallow lakes and acidic dunes. The surface features have developed partly on the site of a former shallow sea since the seventeenth century (Diver, 1933), although some dune ridges may have been present at least as far back as the Domesday Book (May, 2003). The structure and function of the dunes are well-represented with dune-building processes still active. The site supports a number of vegetation communities which are rare in this part of the UK (partly owing to intensive recreational use of the coast) and comprises the only large dune heath site in the south and south-west of Britain.

2.20 The coastal elements of the Studland heath and dune site comprise “embryonic shifting dunes” with sand couch *Elytrigia juncea* and lyme grass *Leymus arenarius* as the key pioneer species. In some seasons good populations of sea rocket *Cakile maritima* and saltwort *Salsola kali* also occur in this restricted community, along the toe of the fore dunes. This embryonic dune feature is rare in southern England, due in part to recreational pressures. It is continuous with and grades into “shifting dunes along the foreshore”, with marram grass *Ammophila arenaria* as the dominant species, along with other local dune flora.
At Studland, these classic fore-dune communities grade into “Atlantic decalcified dune heath” which is a priority feature and confined in south and south-west UK to the Studland site. This community is dominated by heather *Calluna vulgaris* with sand sedge *Carex arenaria* and bell heather *Erica cinerea* and occupies a series of dune ridges, which have developed over a period of several hundred years and represent successive eastwards growth of the dunes. The occurrence in this community of rare and protected reptiles eg sand lizard *Lacerta agilis* is especially noted for this SAC. The Studland dunes and heath are the most important single locality for this species in UK. There is no dune grassland at this site, with the fore-dunes merging directly into dune heath and then other heath communities and this has a direct relevance to vulnerability of the SAC to impacts from human pressure. The dry open heath is an important habitat for rare reptiles such as sand lizard. At the western margin of the dune ridges the dry dune heath grades into wet heath in which cross-leaved heath is prominent, while at the northern end it grades into the southern heathland types of inland Dorset.

### 2.21

Acidic humid dune slack communities with a high water table lie in the parallel hollows between the dune ridges. In these slacks, acidic fen and reedbeds have developed with some areas of scrub. The dune slacks are linked to an area of open fresh water known as the Little Sea on the western edge of the dune ridges. This is of recent origin (<500 years old), formed as a large body of seawater became landlocked by the growing sand dunes. This water is now fresh and is replenished by acidic, nutrient-poor water draining off the adjacent heathland, which then flows through the dune slacks and into the sea. The submerged vegetation is characterised by alternate water-milfoil *Myriophyllum alterniflorum*, shoreweed *Littorella uniflora* and spring quillwort *Isoetes echinospora*, together with bladderwort *Utricularia australis* and less frequently six-stamened waterwort *Elatine hexandra*.

### 2.22

Little Sea also supports an internationally important assemblage of wintering wildfowl, including Gadwall, *Anas strepera*, Goldeneye *Bucephala clangula*, Pochard *Aythya merina*, Scaup, *Aythya marila*. Numbers have declined significantly since designation under the Poole Harbour SPA.

### 2.23

Conservation objectives for the site include maintaining the designated habitats and species in favourable condition (see Appendix 1), including restoration where necessary and subject to natural change.

### Recreation and other pressures

### 2.24

Studland dunes and beach are used extensively by tourists and also by students studying the ecology and coastal geomorphology of the site. Three car parks totalling over a thousand car park spaces are provided by the National Trust, with Ferry Road used extensively for further parking. Part of the beach is a designated nudist beach. Overall this easily accessed, sandy, and relatively sheltered site receives over a million visitors per year (Dickinson 2006). Holiday visitors tend to be concentrated around the cafes and car parks at Middle and Knoll beaches and the car park at Shell Bay. The one access route through the dunes and slacks from ferry Road also has a concentration of visitors where it
reaches the beach, midway along the dunes. The site is popular with dog walkers throughout the year. Just offshore, the sea is used extensively by pleasure craft including jet skis. In the past, visitor pressures have caused serious damage to the dunes, especially in the Knoll Beach car park area. In recent years several areas have been ‘restored’ for conservation purposes, however, visitor pressure is seen in the absence of embryo dunes in the southern area of the bay and incipient blowout development in the foredune ridge. At the extreme southern end of the bay, near Knoll Beach car park, the frontal dunes have experienced significant erosion in recent decades (Pye, Saye, & Blott 2007).

2.25 Studland Dunes are vulnerable to sea-level rise related to climate change. Erosion at the Knoll and Middle Beaches began to threaten infrastructure in the early 1990’s. Research commissioned by the National Trust from Bournemouth University in 1996 showed that the annual rate of erosion had significantly increased in recent years. The main causes of erosion were identified as an increase in easterly winds, an increase in stormy weather and a rise in sea level. Other factors included reduced local sediment supply to the system due to cliff protection measures at the southern end of the Bay, and interruption of littoral drift by the construction of groynes.

2.26 The National Trust’s long-term policy for the dune system is to permit natural processes to operate. At the present time, beach erosion is not a sufficiently widespread problem to require large-scale relocation of visitor facilities or other infrastructure, but this may be required in the future. It is unlikely that the frontal dunes in this area will roll back and maintain their present size, especially in the face of sea level rise. Ultimately washover and breakthrough is likely on a timescale of 50 to 100 years.

Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar

Ecology and conservation

2.27 Poole Harbour is a bar-built estuary of nearly 4,000ha occupying a shallow depression towards the south-western extremity of the Hampshire Basin which has flooded over the last 5,000 years as a result of rising sea levels. The unusual micro-tidal regime means that a significant body of water is retained throughout the tidal cycle and the Harbour therefore exhibits many of the characteristics of a lagoon. There are extensive intertidal mud-flats and, away from the north shore that has become urbanised through the growth of Poole, there are fringes of saltmarsh and reedbed. Parts of the Harbour, especially along the western and southern shores, adjoin the Dorset Heathlands SPA. Where the two areas meet, there are rare transitions from saltmarsh and reedbed to valley mire and heath habitats. The Harbour is separated from Poole Bay by the Studland Dunes (part of the Dorset Heaths [Purbeck and Wareham] and Studland Dunes SAC) and the SPA includes Littlesea, a large oligotrophic dune-slack lake of importance for wintering wildfowl.

2.28 As a whole, the Harbour supports important numbers of waterbirds in winter and is also an important breeding site for terns and gulls, whilst significant numbers of little egret *Egretta garzetta* and aquatic warbler *Acrocephalus*
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*paludicola* occur on passage (see Table 5). Several river valleys converge on the Harbour, notably the Frome and the Piddle, and these support grazing marshes that contribute to the importance of the area for wintering waterbirds.

2.29 There is a considerable amount of data on the birds of Poole Harbour. Work funded by BP Ltd. and conducted by the RSPB in the mid 1980s provides useful context (Collins 1985, 1986). The Harbour is surveyed annually as part of the national Wetland Bird Survey (WeBS). These data have been collated and analysed to provide comparative assessments of the important bird species within Poole Harbour (Pickess & Underhill-day 2002; Pickess 2007). Dedicated surveys of roost sites within the Harbour were conducted by Morrison (2004), and detailed mapping and surveying of the invertebrates that are the main prey of the key bird species was conducted by CEH (Thomas et al. 2004), the latter work to provide a baseline against which future verification of favourable condition could be established. A condition assessment of the Harbour was conducted by Footprint Ecology in 2006 (Underhill-Day 2006).

2.30 The bird data is summarised in Underhill-Day (2006), which shows that populations of those bird species for which the harbour was designated as an SPA are mostly stable or increasing. Breeding numbers of common terns and Mediterranean gulls have been rising and the wintering populations of black-tailed godwits and avocets have also been increasing. Shelduck numbers have declined but at a lower rate than those nationally. The size of the overall assemblage of wintering waterfowl has declined, but wader numbers excluding lapwings (which have fallen considerably) have risen and wildfowl numbers show no clear trend. Some wader roosts are threatened by saltmarsh erosion and disturbance from people and boats. The fact that overall wader numbers are rising can mask impacts that prevent numbers increasing to their full potential within the protected site.

2.31 The site includes examples of natural habitat types of European interest (see Table 2, Table 13) and the transitions from saltmarsh through to peatland mires are of exceptional conservation importance as few such examples remain in Britain. The site supports nationally important plant species including Viper’s grass *Scorzonera humilis*, sharp-leaved pondweed *Potamogeton acutifolius*, bulbous foxtail *Alopecurus bulbosus*, narrow-leaved water-dropwort *Oenanthe silaifolia*, mousetail *Myosurus minimus*, shrubby seablite *Sueda vera*, spring quillwort *Isoetes echinospora* and six-stamened waterwort *Elatine hexandra*, and the marine flowering plants narrow-leaved eelgrass *Zostera angustifolia* and dwarf eelgrass *Zostera noltei*. There are also at least three British Red data book invertebrate species.

2.32 The conservation objectives for Poole Harbour include the maintenance in favourable condition of the shallow inshore waters, intertidal sediment communities, saltmarsh and reedbed for the internationally important populations of regularly occurring Annex I and migratory bird species and the internationally important assemblage of waterfowl.
Table 5 Rare or vulnerable species and regularly occurring migratory species in Poole Harbour listed in Annex I (Article 4.1, Article 4.2) of the Habitats Directive (79/409/EEC). For full details of the relevance to the SPA / Ramsar designations, see Appendix 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Proportion of population</th>
<th>Count details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>During the breeding season:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Common Tern <em>Sternula hirundo</em></td>
<td>155 pairs</td>
<td>at least 1.3% of the breeding population in Great Britain</td>
<td>5 year mean 1993-1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediterranean Gull <em>Larus melanocephalus</em></td>
<td>5 pairs</td>
<td>at least 50.0% of the breeding population in Great Britain</td>
<td>5 year mean 1993-1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On passage:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aquatic Warbler <em>Acrocephalus paludicola</em></td>
<td>11 individuals</td>
<td>at least 16.4% of the population in Great Britain</td>
<td>Count as at 1997</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Egret <em>Egretta garzetta</em></td>
<td>107 individuals</td>
<td>representing at least 13.4% of the population in Great Britain</td>
<td>Count as at 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Over winter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Avocet <em>Recurvirostra avosetta</em></td>
<td>459 individuals</td>
<td>at least 36.1% of the wintering population in Great Britain</td>
<td>5 year peak mean 1992/3-1996/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little Egret <em>Egretta garzetta</em></td>
<td>83 individuals</td>
<td>at least 16.6% of the wintering population in Great Britain</td>
<td>Count as at 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Migratory species over winter:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black-tailed Godwit <em>Limosia limosa islandica</em></td>
<td>1,576 individuals</td>
<td>At least 2.3% of the wintering Iceland-breeding population</td>
<td>5 year peak mean 1992/3-1996/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelduck <em>Tadorna tadorna</em></td>
<td>3,569 individuals</td>
<td>1.2% of the wintering Northwestern Europe population</td>
<td>4 year peak mean 1993/4-1996/</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overwintering waterfowl:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recreation and other pressures

2.33 There are a number of marinas and boat havens along the northern shore of the Harbour, and some 2000 swinging moorings within the site. Poole Harbour is heavily used for water sports recreation, mainly boating but water skiing, jet skiing, wind surfing, canoeing and angling also occur, particularly during the summer. Most of the north shore of the site is urbanised and there is a caravan site adjacent to the Harbour at Rockley Sands. The site is not heavily used for bathing and beach recreation, although there are numerous accesses for bird watching along the northern shore. Public access on the quiet southern shore is largely limited to Studland National Nature Reserve and a controlled access at Arne RSPB Reserve. There is also easy public access along the River Frome at Wareham allowing good viewing of birds on the grazing marsh.

2.34 At a few places along the shoreline where there is good access the site is well used for bait-digging and angling. The eastern part of the site is a bass nursery area. All wildfowling on the intertidal areas is under the control of the Dorset Wildfowling Association. Private estates also shoot on their own land i.e. on saltmarsh above high water but much of the shoreline above MHW is controlled by conservation organisations.

2.35 Poole Harbour has been impacted by the growth of a conurbation along its north shore, together with associated infrastructure, and by development of a commercial port, marinas and moorings. In recent years, further encroachment by development has been almost halted by changes in national and local policy. Most of Poole Harbour falls under the authority of Poole Harbour Commissioners and management policies have been in place since 1987. Recreation pressures on the site are being addressed by an Aquatic Management Plan which has directed certain uses to areas where impacts on nature conservation are thought to be minimal. The plan is supported by a steering group of almost wholly statutory bodies, and consists of Borough of Poole, Dorset County Council, Natural England, Environment Agency, Poole Harbour Commissioners, Purbeck District Council, Southern Sea Fisheries District Committee and Wessex Water Services Ltd. A 'Navigate with nature' project, funded by the Department of the Environment, promoted best practice amongst Harbour users to reduce water pollution and disturbance to wildlife. Dredging to provide navigation may impact on intertidal habitat and will be addressed through national policy requirements on sustainable coastal management. There is recent evidence that Manilla clam is becoming naturalised within the Harbour following small scale commercial introduction and any potential problems will be examined by the steering group. Die back of common cord-grass *Spartina anglica* is also affecting the site and has been monitored by the Centre for Ecology and Hydrology.

2.36 Footprint Ecology produced a monitoring strategy for Poole Harbour in 2009 (Liley & Underhill-Day 2009), which sets out the monitoring measures needed to address increases in access and quantify potential impacts to the SPA.

2.37 Several sewage treatment plants discharge into the Harbour and the effect of these on water quality is monitored by the Environment Agency. Wytch Farm oilfield has facilities within the site; their maintenance and any risks from oil
spills are dealt with according to agreed method statements and oil spill contingency plans. Recent studies have addressed the effects of bait digging in the Harbour which has local impacts. Most of the wildfowling is regulated by a management plan that identifies non shooting areas. The impact of drainage on grazing marshes is being addressed through Water level Management Plans.

**St Albans to Durlston Head SAC**

**Ecology and conservation**

2.38 St Albans Head to Durlston Head SAC comprises a single unit of cliffed coastline stretching some 10km between St Albans Head and Durlston, plus associated patches of calcareous grassland just inland. The SAC is located entirely within Purbeck District. It also falls within the Dorset Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and some parts are within the Dorset and East Devon Coast World Heritage Site.

2.39 The cliffs are formed of hard limestones and support the Annex 1 habitat “vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic regions” for which they are one of the best localities in the UK. They are also designated for semi-natural dry grasslands and scrub on calcareous substrates, supporting species that are rare in the UK, such as wild cabbage *Brassica oleracea* var. *oleracea* and the UK’s largest population of early spider-orchid *Ophrys sphegodes*.

2.40 Together with Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC, this SAC also supports important long-standing populations of the endemic early gentian *Gentianella anglica* numbering several thousands of plants in floristically-rich calcareous grassland. St Alban’s Head to Durlston SAC also supports a wintering population of greater horseshoe bat *Rhinolophus ferrumequinum*.

2.41 Conservation objectives for the site are focussed around maintaining a suitable sward. The condition of the site varies between favourable and unfavourable declining, attaining and maintaining appropriate grazing levels being a key issue. This is especially challenging on these coastal limestone grasslands as the turf is dominated by tor grass *Brachypodium pinnatum* which is not preferentially grazed by some stock.

**Recreation and other pressures**

2.42 The South West Coast Path runs through the site, and is well used. Much of the area is also popular with climbers (see Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC). In addition to boat tours along the coast from Swanage, many independent leisure craft use this stretch of coast, however it is not possible to land. Visitor pressure is focused at Durlston Country Park where there are car parks and a café, and to a lesser extent at St Alban’s Head and Winspit, where there are car parks about one km inland and local amenities at Worth Matravers.
Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC

Ecology and conservation

2.43 Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs, together with St Albans Head to Durlston Head (see above), forms a discontinuous unit of clifffed coastline and associated calcareous grassland stretching some 40km. The Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC (1447.5ha) includes the clifffed coast of the Portland peninsula, a stretch of coastline from just north-east of Weymouth to St Alban’s Head, from Durlston to Peveril Point south of Swanage, and Ballard Down and Cliff. Most of the SAC is located within Purbeck District, with the section west of Ringstead within 20km of the district. Some parts of the SAC also fall within the Dorset and East Devon Coast World Heritage Site.

2.44 The great range of rock types has given rise to a varied coastline of vertical cliffs, undercliffs and landslips which support an outstanding array of local and maritime species. Some of the cliffs are formed of hard limestones, with chalk at the eastern end and central section, interspersed with slumped sections of soft cliff of sand and clays. The cliffs support “vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic regions” for which it is also one of the best localities in the UK. The Portland peninsula, extending eight kilometres south of the mainland, demonstrates very clearly the contrast between the exposed western and southern coasts, with sheer rock faces and sparse maritime vegetation, and the sheltered eastern side, with sloping cliffs supporting scrub communities, where wood spurge *Euphorbia amygdaloides* grows in grassland.

2.45 Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrub on calcareous substrates, among the best examples in the country, occur at this site in both inland and coastal situations on both chalk and Jurassic limestone. The site contains extensive species-rich examples of tor grass *Brachypodium pinnatum* grassland in the southern part of its UK range. Smaller areas of the typical chalk grassland type sheep’s fescue *Festuca ovina* – meadow oat-grass *Avenula pratensis* grassland occur on shallow soils on steeper slopes. Transitions from calcareous grassland to both chalk heath and acid grassland are also present. The site has well-developed terricolous (ground dwelling) and saxicolous (rock dwelling) lichen and bryophyte communities associated with open turf, chalk rock and pebbles, and flinty soils. Among the many scarce and localised plants and animals of the chalk and limestone are the largest national populations of two rare species – early spider orchid and Lulworth skipper butterfly *Thymelicus acteon*.

2.46 The site also supports annual vegetation of drift lines, here associated with the intermittent occurrence of shingle beaches beneath the cliffs which are subject to periodic displacement or overtopping by high tides and storms. The distinctive vegetation, which may form only sparse cover, is therefore ephemeral and composed of annual or short-lived perennial species such sea mayweed *Matricaria maritima*, oraches *Atriplex* species, and sea beet *Beta vulgaris* ssp. *maritima*. Level or gently-sloping, high-level mobile beaches, with limited human disturbance, support the best examples of this vegetation.
2.47 Together with St Albans Head to Durlston Head, Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC, supports important long-standing populations of early gentian numbering several thousands of plants in floristically-rich calcareous grassland.

2.48 Conservation objectives for the site include those for the separate SSSI, and are in general terms to maintain the designated habitats and species (see Table 13) in favourable condition. The main forces active here are natural processes, so that erosion/deposition and cliff slumping occur widely (in the absence of any significant coast protection works) and grazing, where the same difficulties arise with tor grass turf as in the St Alban’s to Durlston SAC.

Recreation and other pressures

2.49 The south-west coast path runs the length of this site. Over 1 million people walk some of the South West Coast Path between Poole and Lyme Regis each year. Visitor numbers for individual locations are not generally available, although it is estimated that 500,000 people visit Lulworth Cove annually. Erosion is a key concern in some areas, particularly where it is not clear who should shoulder the burden of repair and maintenance costs.

2.50 The hard limestone cliffs of Purbeck and Portland are also increasingly popular for climbing. Season and route restrictions are in place in Purbeck to help avoid conflict with nesting birds – the scheme appears to be accepted by the majority of climbers, and is monitored through an annual climbing forum. Portland is experiencing rapid growth in sports climbing, following national publication of new climbing guides: over 300 routes with fixed bolts have been put in place in the last few years, and this is set to increase further. Issues include impacts on cliff vegetation (particularly the nationally important Portland sea-lavender *Limonium recurvum*).

2.51 Hang-gliding has been a localised minority activity on the coast for over 10 years, and has been joined recently by paragliding (gliding using a parachute). The main clubs use sites in Portland, Kimmeridge, St Aldhelm’s and Ballard Down when weather conditions are suitable. Erosion at launch sites and disturbance to cliff-nesting birds is a concern on Portland, and a zoning scheme has been agreed with the main association who use the Island.

Chesil Beach and the Fleet SAC, SPA, Ramsar

Ecology and conservation

2.52 The SAC includes Chesil beach, the Fleet and the shore of Portland Harbour, and extends to some 1630 hectares. Portland Harbour and all but the north-westernmost tip of the Fleet fall within 20km of Purbeck District, although Chesil Beach extends several kilometres beyond this area. The Chesil Beach and the Fleet Ramsar site covers a smaller areas than the SAC (748ha), including Chesil Bank, but not the entire beach.

2.53 Chesil Beach is a large (28 km-long), relatively undisturbed shingle bar, one of the three major shingle structures in the UK. It encloses the Fleet, by far the

---
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largest saline lagoon in the country. The salinity gradient, peculiar hydrographic regime and varied substrates, together with associated reedbed and intertidal habitats and the relative lack of pollution in comparison to most other lagoons, have resulted in the Fleet being extraordinarily rich in wildlife. It contains outstanding communities of aquatic plants and invertebrates, and supports large numbers of wintering waterbirds, including Dark-bellied Brent Goose *Branta bernicla bernicla*. In spring and summer, Chesil Bank is an important breeding site for Little Terns *Sterna albifrons* which feed in the shallow waters of the lagoon, as well as adjacent waters outside the SPA. The plant life includes 150 species of algae and the lagoon is best known for the most extensive mixed populations of eelgrass *Zostera* and tasselweeds *Ruppia* in Britain including two species of eelgrass and three species of tasselweed, one of which is the rare spiral tasselweed *R. cirrhosa*. The Fleet also supports distinct and highly unusual mollusc associations and other notable invertebrates. It is an important breeding area for fish and is a bass nursery. In all, 23 species of fish have been recorded.

2.54 On the landward, more stable side of Chesil Bank, large, internationally and nationally important populations of shingle plants occur (perennial vegetation of stony banks), mostly relatively undisturbed by human activities. Much of the shingle bar is subject to wash-over and percolation in storm conditions and is therefore sparsely vegetated. It supports the most extensive occurrences of the nationally scarce sea-kale *Crambe maritima* and sea pea *Lathyrus japonicus* in the UK, together with other grassland and lichen-rich shingle plant communities typical of more stable conditions, especially towards the eastern end of the site.

2.55 An almost continuous belt of shrubby sea-blite and sea-purslane *Atriplex portulacoides* ([Mediterranean and thermo-Atlantic halophilous scrubs *Sarcocornetea fruticosi*]) forms a clear zone between the Fleet and the shingle vegetation of Chesil Bank. It appears to exist in a dynamic equilibrium with annual vegetation of drift lines dominated by sea beet and oraches which replaces the scrub in areas subject to disturbance, and is in turn displaced by the scrub after disturbance ceases.

2.56 Conservation objectives for the site include the maintenance of designated habitats and species.

Recreation and other pressures

2.57 The Fleet and much of Chesil bank are privately owned and managed as a nature reserve. Part of Chesil is Crown Common land. Whilst the majority of the site is largely inaccessible to casual visitors, the south western part of the site known variously as Ferrybridge or Ham beach is subject to considerable visitor recreational pressure, and receives an estimated 100,000-150,000 visitors per annum\(^1\). The purpose of visits to the area is wide ranging from local people dog walking, to those engaged in specialist sports or activities. The Coast Path runs alongside the inland boundary of part of the site, which is used year round for walking. Large numbers of migrating birds pass through the area in spring and autumn, attracting bird watchers. The beach is used for swimming and

sunbathing in the summer. There are moorings within part of the site and windsurfing, kite surfing and sailing occur adjacent to the site year round (including international competitions). The height restriction of Ferrybridge itself mean that only canoes and other small craft can use the Fleet, and numbers are monitored. Diving occurs both within and adjacent to the site - all year but mainly April to October. Bait-digging is carried out by recreational fisherman on the intertidal mudflats of the Fleet. Angling is popular off Chesil Beach, which hosts national competitions and litter and discarded and lost tackle remains an issue.

2.58 The adjacent Portland Harbour through which much of the water exchange for the Fleet takes place is the site of a new commercial port, following departure of MOD several years ago. Routine or accidental discharges arising from activities within the Harbour could affect water quality in the Fleet and there is potential for recreational uses to ‘spill over’ into the Fleet. The land use of the Fleet hinterland is largely intensive agriculture and agricultural run-off is a potential source of eutrophication within the Fleet itself. There are also small domestic sewage discharges into the Fleet. There is a shellfish farm within the Fleet which cultivates oysters and cleanses mussels and other species. Introduction of non-native species remains a potential concern. Japanese seaweed is cut on an annual basis. The site is close to one of the world's busiest shipping lanes and consequently there is a risk of accidental oil pollution. Contingency plans exist for dealing with oil spills.

Crookhill Brick Pit SAC

2.59 Crookhill Brickpit is a disused brickpit near Weymouth which has important geological features (exposure of Lower and Middle Oxford Clay). The site contains several ponds that support great crested newts *Triturus cristatus*, including one pond which has been recorded to have one of the highest counts of the species in Dorset. The site also contains a variety of other habitats used by the great crested newt, including grassland, scrub and quarry spoil. The newer ponds were created as part of a mitigation project for the construction of a waste transfer station. A public footpath between the village of Chickerell and the Fleet runs through the north of the site.

Cerne & Sydling Downs SAC

2.60 This 370ha site on the west Dorset chalk consists of a large area of semi-natural dry grassland. Dry valley slopes with a variety of aspects support extensive examples of CG2 sheeps festuce *Festuca ovina* – meadow oat grass *Avenula pratensis* grassland in the south-west of its UK range. A particular feature of this site is the presence of the devil’s bit scabious *Succisa pratensis* – ox-eye daisy *Leucanthemum vulgare* sub-community, especially on south- and west-facing slopes. This type of calcareous grassland is almost entirely restricted to parts of Wiltshire and Dorset.

2.61 Cerne & Sydling Downs is also designated for the presence of the marsh fritillary butterfly *Euphydryas aurinia*, an Annex 2 species. The larger sub-populations regularly expand into other nearby areas in favourable years. These calcareous
downland colonies complement the wet grassland habitats of the other Dorset strongholds for the butterfly.

2.62 Cerne & Sydling Downs is a composite site comprising a number of steep downland scarps around Cerne Abbas, including Sydling Valley Downs, Black Hill Down; Court Farm, Sydling, Giant Hill and Hog Cliff. Conservation objectives are developed separately for each of the component SSSIs and in general seek to maintain the designated habitats and species in favourable condition, subject to natural change (see Appendix 1). Condition of the site is largely favourable, with some areas unfavourable, generally due to locally unsatisfactory grazing regime and scrub control.

Recreation and other pressures

2.63 Public rights of way run through the sites, but visitor pressure is focussed around the Cerne Abbas giant, a 55m high figure into the chalk of the hillside which attracts a large number of visitors. However the giant is best viewed from the opposite side of the valley where a car park is provided. Some areas of the site are designated as open access land under the CRoW Act (2000). There is also a paragliding site within the SAC just east of Sydling St Nicholas, although it is used infrequently (N. Bourn, pers. comm.).

Holnest SAC

2.64 This 55ha site is designated solely for great crested newts Triturus cristatus. The site encompasses around 20 ponds set in a matrix of terrestrial habitats, comprising areas of semi-improved grassland, scrub, associated semi-natural habitats and woodland bounded by fences and hedgerows. The ponds exhibit a range of sizes, profiles and origins, and include some recently-created ornamental ponds as well as traditional farm ponds. A large population of great crested newts is present, with over 200 individuals having been recorded at one pond in spring 2003. The woodland areas provide ideal hibernation habitat. The site is located 20km north-west of Purbeck District.

Rooksmoor SAC

2.65 Rooksmoor SAC includes two separate SSSIs: Rooksmoor, and Lydlinch Common and Stock Wood. The SAC designation applies to all of Rooksmoor SSSI and the units at Lydlinch that include the common rather than Stock Wood. The reason for the international designation is the presence of Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (Molinion caeruleae) and also for the presence of the marsh fritillary for which this is considered to be one of the best areas in the United Kingdom.

2.66 There is a problem with achieving sustainable grassland management on both parts of the site. Traditional extensive light grazing with cattle is difficult on Lydlinch Common because it is bisected by two very busy roads and is registered common land. Grazing has now been reinstated after lengthy consultations, extensive scrub clearance and fencing, which runs alongside the roads and splits the common into a series of compartments. At Rooksmoor, the land is isolated from the rest of the farm holding which is now an intensive dairy unit.
2.67 At Lydlinch, the SSSI condition assessment records the grassland units as currently unfavourable recovering, due a large amount of recent scrub clearance. The condition of Rooksmoor SSSI is considered to be unfavourable (no change), due to undergrazing and tree/scrub management.

2.68 Public rights of way run throughout parts of both sites. Lydlinch also has open access as a registered common under the 2001 CRoW Act. Use of the sites is likely to be mainly local. The site is located to the north-west of Purbeck District, close to the 20km boundary.

**Fontmell and Melbury Downs SAC**

2.69 This site is designated for its calcareous grassland and the presence of large populations of early gentian *Gentianella anglica*, numbering many thousands of plants. The SAC covers 261ha, while the SSSI is slightly larger, covering 287.9 ha. The site is part owned by the National Trust and part managed as a nature reserve by the Dorset Wildlife Trust. The grassland shows wide variety related to variation in soil type, aspect and grazing pressure.

2.70 SSSI condition assessment information for the site indicates that c.70% of the site is in favourable condition, 20% unfavourable recovering and 10% unfavourable declining. The reasons for unfavourable declining condition relate to past management (part of the site was ploughed) and inappropriate grazing levels.

2.71 The site is located to the north-west of Purbeck District, close to the 20km boundary. A public right of way crosses the site which is designated as open access land under the CRoW Act (2000).

**River Avon/Avon Valley**

2.72 The Avon is a large, lowland river system running through chalk and clay, with transitions between the two. It displays wide fluctuations in water level and parts of the valley are regularly flooded in winter. The Avon valley has a greater range of habitats and a more diverse flora and fauna than any other chalk river in Britain. The valley includes one of the largest expanses of unimproved floodplain grassland in Britain, including extensive areas managed as hay meadow. The SAC covers almost 500ha in Wiltshire, Hampshire and Dorset, and includes the tributary rivers Wylye and Bourne. The SPA and Ramsar site encompass 20km of the lower reaches of the River Avon and its floodplain between Bickton and Christchurch. The section of the River Avon/Avon Valley between Blashford and Christchurch is located within 20km of Purbeck District.

2.73 The River Avon is particularly important for its water-crowfoot species, which may modify water flow, promote fine sediment deposition, and provide shelter and food for fish and invertebrate animals. Five such species occur within this habitat, but stream water-crowfoot *Ranunculus penicillatus* ssp. *pseudofluitans* and river water-crowfoot *R. fluitans* are the main dominants, with *R. peltatus* dominant in some winterbourne reaches. The Avon has an excellent mosaic of aquatic habitats, which include extensive areas of gravel, sand and silt essential for spawning and growth of juvenile fry. The site is also important for Desmoulin’s whorled snail *Vertigo moulinesiana* in addition to several fish species.
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(see Table 13). There has been limited modification of the river course by comparison with many other southern lowland rivers in England.

2.74 The extensive floodplain grasslands support wintering Bewick’s Swans Cygnus columbianus bewickii in numbers of European importance (though this winter flock has declined markedly in recent years), and Blashford Lakes Gravel Pits within the SPA are particularly important for wintering Gadwall Anas strepera.

2.75 The Avon valley is used for informal walking and birdwatching, and access by people and dogs both on and off public rights of way is a significant cause of disturbance in some areas. Coarse-fish and game-fish angling and wildfowling and game shooting and associated activities also take place, but the extent and intensity are unknown although thought to be considerable. Localised sailing and watersports are carried out at Blashford Lakes. Most land is private with no public access apart from a very few public footpaths.

2.76 There are issues arising from the decline in traditional pastoral agriculture and lack of maintenance of ditch network. Management of water levels driven partly by agriculture but also urban flood risk management continues to have adverse effect on habitats and the impacts of the cessation of weed cutting on the farming practices in the valley are yet to be determined. High levels of silt in the river continue to degrade its interest, especially aquatic species but also contribute to silting-up ditches and deterioration of grasslands after flood events. The invasive introduced species Crassula helmsii is an increasing problem in Blashford Lakes following restoration of the gravel pits.

The New Forest

2.77 The New Forest is a composite site covering some 29,626ha, almost entirely within Hampshire but with 1% in Wiltshire. The Ramsar and SPA sites cover a similar area. The vast majority of the site lies beyond 20km of Purbeck District, but about 285ha lies within this boundary near Bransgore.

2.78 It comprises a complex mosaic of habitats overlying mainly nutrient-poor soils over plateau gravels. The major components are the extensive wet and dry heaths with their rich valley mires and associated wet and dry grasslands, the ancient pasture woodlands and inclosure woodlands, the network of clean rivers and streams, and frequent permanent and temporary ponds.

2.79 The area supports a diverse assemblage of wetland plants and animals including (at the time of designation at least) 29 nationally important plant species, including small fleabane Pulicaria vulgaris, slender cottongrass Eriophorum gracile and pennyroyal Mentha pulegium. Invertebrates include two species with internationally important populations (southern damselfly Coenagrion mercuriale and stag beetle Lucanus cervus). 180 other species of invertebrate range from butterflies such as the high brown fritillary Argynnis adippe to ground bugs such as Nysius helveticus, freshwater invertebrates such as the tadpole shrimp Triops cancriformis and insects such as the New Forest cicada Cicadetta montana, the latter two species only known from the New Forest in the UK. Other important species occurring include great crested newt and two fish, brook lamprey and bullhead. The area supports important populations of
breeding birds, including nightjar, woodlark and Dartford warbler. Breeding 
honey buzzard *Pernis apivorus* and wintering hen harriers are also notable.

2.80 The site is subject to recreational pressure including informal walking, horse-
riding, cycling, birdwatching and shooting, and steps are being taken to deal 
with recreational pressures. A recent decline in waders (which are not included 
in the SPA designation) such as redshank, lapwing, curlew and snipe may in part 
be due to the effects of walkers and particularly those with dogs, as well as low 
water levels affecting the wetland habitats. Most of the valley mires in the 
Forest have been damaged in the past by drainage which has caused drying out 
of the peat layers. Prevention of further erosion has already been tackled on 
some sites but a more extensive programme of infilling drainage ditches is 
currently being discussed with the landowners and commoners. The work to 
restore valley mires systems is expected to influence these bird populations in 
time.
Regional Level Assessments- Habitats Regulations Assessment of the South West Regional Spatial Strategy

3.1 A comprehensive Habitats Regulations Assessment (HRA) of the South West RSS was undertaken in February 2007 and a further HRA was published in July 2008, assessing the proposed changes to the RSS. Regional Spatial Strategies have now been abolished by the current coalition government and they no longer provide a regional overview of the direction of spatial planning in a region for local planning authorities to take forward into their local level planning documents. However, the evidence base and assessment documents for each RSS remain, and these will continue to provide a valuable steer to the development of local level spatial plans, which includes the regional HRA work.

3.2 In this section we summarise the relevant findings of these HRAs which are then used as context for the assessment of Purbeck’s Core Strategy. We highlight that the Core Strategy document addressed in this report does not include the proposed Western Sector development of 2,750 dwellings. This is due to Purbeck District Council’s outstanding representations of objection relating to the western sector. Further details are given in the core strategy document and in White (White et al., 2008). With the abolition of the RSS the Western Sector development will not be taken forward. This HRA has therefore not included this possible allocation.

3.3 The regional HRA of the RSS proposed changes summarises various sites and issues relevant to Purbeck where it is uncertain whether adverse effects on integrity will occur. These are summarised in Table 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Issue(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorset Heaths SPA, Dorset Heathlands SAC and Ramsar</td>
<td>Water abstraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC</td>
<td>Water abstraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar</td>
<td>Air quality</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 The following issues (relevant to Purbeck) are addressed in detail within the regional level HRAs:
- Water abstraction;
- Water quality;
- Tourism, recreation and other urban effects;
- Air quality;
- Coastal squeeze;
Physical habitat loss and damage.

These details of the regional HRAs relevant to Purbeck are discussed below.

Water Abstraction

3.5 For the Dorset Heaths SPA, Dorset Heathlands SAC and Ramsar, and the Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC, the HRA of the proposed changes to the RSS (2008) indicates that correspondence from the Environment Agency has identified Public Water Supply (PWS) abstractions on or near the sites that could be considered to be hydrologically connected. The PWS abstractions have been determined as not having a significant effect on the interest features of the sites and have therefore been affirmed or are in the process of being affirmed through the Environment Agency’s Review of Consents process. The Environment Agency’s judgements were based on the situation at the time, and did not take into account the proposed changes and increased housing allocations. Uncertainty therefore remains as to whether adverse effects on integrity will occur as a result of the proposed changes.

3.6 The HRA of the original RSS (2007) states that, for the Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heathlands (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar, and the Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar, it was not possible to conclude that no adverse effects on integrity will occur. The assessment goes on to suggest that sufficient safeguards are available with both lower level plan making and the other regulatory mechanisms such as Catchment Area Management Plans coordinated by the Environment Agency. The assessment advises that further detailed assessment should be undertaken at the local or catchment level to ensure strong safeguards are in place.

Water Quality

3.7 Similar conclusions are drawn by the HRA (2007) with regard to water quality. The assessment states that it was not possible to conclude that no adverse effects would occur with regard to both Dorset Heathlands and Poole Harbour. In the HRA, Poole Harbour is specifically cited following correspondence with Natural England identifying particular issues with nutrient enrichment at this SPA/Ramsar; however, the HRA does then not list Poole Harbour among the sites for which any adverse effect (or uncertainty regarding adverse effect) remains.

Tourism, Recreation and Urban Effects

3.8 The HRA (2007) recommended changes to the policy wording of the RSS to make it clear that local plans must address any potentially adverse effects as a result of increased tourism, recreation and urbanisation. The HRA suggested a number of mitigation measures that could be taken forward at a local level, including the provision of alternative recreation spaces and the development of management plans for sensitive sites.

3.9 For the Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar, and the Dorset Heaths (Wareham and Purbeck) and Studland Dunes SAC, the HRA (2007)
identified a greater chance of an adverse effect. As mitigation, it proposes a joint Development Plan Document to guide local authorities in the achievement of development requirements whilst ensuring that the integrity of the Dorset heathland sites is not adversely affected. The DPD will include mitigation measures in the form of (i) the provision of alternative recreational greenspace, (ii) a focus on the use of land adjacent to development sites to accommodate local recreational needs, and (iii) consideration of the most appropriate management (including access management) of sensitive heathland sites to reduce disturbance and harm. These measures are also necessary to mitigate for the effects of direct habitats loss through trampling.

3.10 In order to allow development to proceed while the joint DPD is completed, an interim planning framework (IPF) has been established. This has used developer contributions, collected across the south-east Dorset sub-region, to fund a programme of warden ing, education programmes, alternative sites, access management measures.

3.11 Following advice from Natural England, the HRA of the proposed changes to the RSS (2008) identified the following sites as being vulnerable to recreational pressure:

- Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC
- Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar
- Dorset Heaths SAC,
- Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar
- Dorset Heaths and Studland Dunes SAC
- The New Forest SAC

3.12 The HRA recommended additional wording within the RSS as follows:

“The relevant authorities will need to work jointly and with Natural England to secure and implement appropriate and deliverable measures to avoid or mitigate adverse effects from recreation at these sites, such as the use of Section 106 funding to secure provision of alternative greenspace or improve habitat management. Providing new areas of appropriate greenspace (as set out in Policy GI1) is likely to mitigate potential adverse effects in many locations. However, management of activities and access on these sites may also be required. If following investigation of mitigation options by local authorities and partners, provision of appropriate greenspace and/or management measures is not possible, restrictions may need to be put in place on the type, scale and/or location of development (e.g. through implementation of ‘no development’ buffer zones) within LDDs in proximity to these sites. “

3.13 Following the adoption of this text the HRA and the development of the joint DPD in relation to the various Dorset heathland sites, the HRA then concluded that there would be no adverse effects from disturbance effects in relation to recreation. This conclusion is based on the assumption that mitigation measures are implemented successfully, an assumption that the HRA recognises will need to be thoroughly tested, regularly monitored and reviewed. Should the mitigation measures be shown not to be successful, the HRA states that
development proposals and the mitigation measures will need to be reviewed to ensure that no adverse effect on site integrity occurs.

**Air Quality**

3.14 The HRA of the proposed changes to the RSS (2008) identifies the following sites where nitrogen deposition is already exceeding critical loads:

- Dorset Heaths SAC and Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar (A31, A35, A350)
- Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) & Studland Dunes SAC (A31, A35, A351, A350)
- The New Forest SAC, SPA and Ramsar (M27, A31 A36, and A35 and Bournemouth Airport)

3.15 In addition, the assessment also recognised uncertainty with relation to Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar as to whether the critical load was currently being exceeded.

3.16 The HRA therefore recommends that the RSS amend policy wording to specifically include reference to the need to avoid/reduce the impacts of air quality on European sites, explaining that local development documents must take this requirement into account in plans and the determination of projects.

**Coastal Squeeze**

3.17 Following a response from the Environment Agency, coastal squeeze is recognised within the HRA of the proposed changes (2008) to the RSS. Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar is identified as a site where flood defences could restrict natural coastal retreat, leading to habitat loss. The HRA proposes additional wording ensuring that coastal squeeze is fully considered in lower tier plans.

**Physical Habitat Loss and Damage**

3.18 For the Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heath (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, and Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar, the RSS addresses the potential for physical loss and damage under the proposed DPD mitigation measure. In response to the recommendation in the HRA of the Draft RSS, the Proposed Changes to the RSS contains specific reference within Policy ENV1 to the need for protection of the Dorset heathland sites listed above. In addition, Policy HMA7 also includes reference to the vulnerability and need for particular protection of these Dorset heathland sites. The HRA of the proposed changes to the RSS considers that this should ensure that adverse effects of physical damage from development are avoided or mitigated for all the Dorset heathland sites.
Delivery of the RSS HRA expectations in the Assessment of the Purbeck Core Strategy

DPD

3.19 This Core Strategy HRA takes full account of the conclusions of the HRA of the RSS, as published for consultation. It carries out lower tier assessments where these were relied upon by the RSS HRA, as far as possible. However, this HRA is not limited to the assessments recommended by the RSS HRA. Rather, this HRA is undertaken independently and it screened the entire DPD for all potential effects, irrespective of whether they were identified by the RSS HRA. This HRA includes assessment of potential effects not covered in the RSS HRA and notably addresses the potential effects on water quality in Poole Harbour (see 3.7 above).

3.20 This HRA of the Core Strategy, excludes any provision for, and therefore any assessment of, the proposed modification to increase housing provision in the Western Sector development by an additional 2,750 dwellings. As noted above, this allocation was within the former RSS will not be pursued further in the local plan making process as the RSS is no longer in place to require its inclusion in the Purbeck Core Strategy.
4 Check for Likely Significant Effects

4.1 The assessment of Purbeck’s Core Strategy, in accordance with the Habitats Regulations, should influence the development of the pre-submission version of the Core Strategy in terms of ensuring that any elements of the plan where there is a likelihood of a significant effect on any European site are assessed, and where necessary appropriate amendments are applied or alternative options pursued.

4.2 The initial screening stage to determine whether an ‘Appropriate Assessment’ (see Figure 1) is necessary and comprises a full check for likely significant effects. This is set out within Appendix 2, providing a policy-option-by-policy-option check for the likelihood of significant effects.

4.3 Following the screening for Likely Significant Effects we identify the following issues for which further assessment is needed:

- Impacts of new housing and recreational pressure on the Dorset Heaths (the Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA, Dorset Heathlands Ramsar).
- Increased recreational pressure on Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar from shore-based and water-based activities likely to increase as a result of new housing.
- Increased recreational pressure on coastal sites as a result of enhanced transport links and housing (Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC and St Alban’s to Durlston Head SAC).
- Increased recreational pressure to the New Forest (New Forest SPA/SAC/Ramsar) as a result of increased population and enhanced transport links within Purbeck.
- Water Issues, including abstraction and water quality, affecting Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar and Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar).
- Fragmentation and pressure on heathland sites (Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA/Ramsar) as a result of employment allocation.
- Air quality issues as a result of increased traffic (Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths [Purbeck & Wareham] and Studland Dunes SAC and Poole Harbour SPA/Ramsar).

4.4 In Section 2 we identified all Natura 2000 sites that occur in and around Purbeck. Those sites that are not listed in the bullet points above are not considered in the following appropriate assessment as the screening stage did not identify any functional links between the sites and the core strategy. For these sites, mostly located well outside the District boundary, there are no foreseeable ways in which issues identified in the regional HRA and listed in Section 3 may be relevant.
5 Impacts of new housing and increased recreational access on the Dorset Heaths

5.1 New housing can result in an increase in the pressure on heathland sites through a wide range of impacts including: increased access, increased incidence of deliberate and accidental fires, litter, predation from people and pets, eutrophication and dumping/fly tipping. Attention was formally drawn to these issues in a report on the Dorset heaths to the Council of Europe in 1998 (De Molinaar 1998), which prompted the UK Government to commission a study of heathland fires in the county (Kirby & Tantram 1999). Various authors have since reviewed and summarised the various impacts (Haskins 2000; Underhill-Day 2005; Liley, Clarke, Underhill-Day, et al. 2006); we provide a summary in Table 7 and further discussion below. We view these urban effects as potentially operating synergistically to influence the conservation interest of sites surrounded by high densities of housing.
Table 7: Summary of key negative impacts (besides disturbance to birds) of development close to European heathland sites. Table is adapted from Liley et al. (2006b)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Description and Impact</th>
<th>Examples of species / species group affected</th>
<th>Key references</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fragmentation</td>
<td>Loss of supporting habitats</td>
<td>Nectar feeding invertebrates; nightjar, woodlark</td>
<td>Alexander &amp; Cresswell (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lack of connectivity between sites preventing movement / genetic exchange between sites</td>
<td>Invertebrates, plants, reptiles, birds and mammals</td>
<td>Webb (Webb 1989); Webb &amp; Vermaat (1990); Webb (1990); Webb &amp; Thomas (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Smaller site size increases edge effects from non-heathland species</td>
<td>Invertebrates and plants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predation and increased</td>
<td>Access by pet cats, some of which feed on the heath</td>
<td>Birds, invertebrates, reptiles and amphibians</td>
<td>Woods et al. (2003); Sims et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mortalities</td>
<td>Higher densities of mammalian predators such as foxes present on more urban heaths</td>
<td>Birds, reptiles, mammals.</td>
<td>Taylor (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increase in crows and magpies on sites with greater human activity</td>
<td>Birds, invertebrates, reptiles and amphibians</td>
<td>Marzluff &amp; Neatherlin (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roads</td>
<td>Road kills from traffic</td>
<td>Birds, invertebrates, reptiles and amphibians</td>
<td>Erritzoe (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Increased levels of noise and light pollution</td>
<td>Birds, invertebrates</td>
<td>Reijnen et al. (1995)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Roads are barriers to species mobility</td>
<td>Invertebrates</td>
<td>Mader et al. (1990)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pollution / Hydrology</td>
<td>Ground and surface water pollution from roads and hard surfaces, spills and dumping.</td>
<td>Vegetation communities, macroinvertebrates in watercourses</td>
<td>Armitage et al. (1994)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air pollution from industrial uses, fires and vehicles</td>
<td>Vegetation communities</td>
<td>Bobbink et al. (1998); Angold (1997); Bignal et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trampling</td>
<td>Soil compaction</td>
<td>Plant communities and species. Invertebrates</td>
<td>Lowen et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soil erosion from walkers, cyclists and horse riders</td>
<td>Plant communities and species, some invertebrates benefit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Damage to breeding and wintering sites</td>
<td>Invertebrates and reptiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Creation of extensive path network increases spatial disturbance</td>
<td>Birds, reptiles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vandalism</td>
<td>Damage to signs, fences, gates</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eutrophication</td>
<td>Enrichment of soils from dog excrement</td>
<td>Plant communities and species, invertebrates</td>
<td>Bonner &amp; Agnew (1983); Taylor et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dumping of household and garden rubbish</td>
<td>Plant communities and species, invertebrates</td>
<td>Liley (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Enrichment along road corridors, effects of dust, salt, run-off</td>
<td>Plant communities and species, invertebrates</td>
<td>Angold (1997)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fires</td>
<td>High fire incidence on urban heaths</td>
<td>Birds, invertebrates, reptiles and</td>
<td>Kirby &amp; Tantrum (1999)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Effect: Direct mortality of fauna. Temporary removal of breeding and foraging habitat

- Examples of species / species group affected: Amphibians

### Effect: Long term vegetation change from repeated fires

- Examples of species / species group affected: Vegetation communities

### Key references

- Bullock & Webb (1994)

### Restrictions on management

- Stock grazing: gates left open, dogs chasing/injuring animals, inappropriate feeding or theft of stock
- Objections to management e.g. tree clearance
- Increased costs of wardening

### Negative public perception

- Disregard of access and activity restrictions, hence trampling, dog fouling, fire lighting, illegal motorcycling etc.
- Examples of species / species group affected: Vegetation communities, birds, invertebrates, reptiles and amphibians

### Key references

- Woods (2002)
Disturbance to Annex I birds

5.2 There is a strong evidence-base showing impacts of new housing and recreational access on the three Annex I breeding bird species associated with lowland heathland. This material has been rigorously tested at various public inquiries and underpins much of the recent policy and planning initiatives such as the Thames Basin Heaths Interim Strategic Delivery Plan now embedded in the South East Plan (SE RSS) and the Dorset Interim Planning Framework.

Nightjars *Caprimulgus europaeus*

5.3 Several studies have demonstrated clear links between human disturbance and both density and breeding success in European nightjars (Murison 2002; Liley & Clarke 2003; Liley, Clarke, Mallord, et al. 2006; Langston, Liley, et al. 2007; Clarke, Liley, & Sharp 2008). Modelling using data from the last national survey (in 2004) suggests that the nightjar population on the combined Dorset Heaths and Thames Basin Heaths SPAs would be 14% higher were there no nearby housing or visitor pressure (Clarke et al. 2008). On the Thames Basin Heaths (where visitor pressure is higher than Dorset), nightjars demonstrate a general preference for areas away from access points and site edges. There is a clear trend for nightjar density to decline with increasing visitor pressure, with nightjars appearing to avoid highly disturbed areas within sites. This decline is gradual, and there is not a clear cut-off point at which a marked change in nightjar density occurs. The trend is similar but less clear on the Dorset Heaths (Liley, Clarke, Mallord, et al. 2006). However, on the Dorset Heaths a negative correlation was shown for urban development or people density and nightjar density, regardless of the size of heathland studied (Liley & Clarke 2003); urban development density could be considered a rough proxy for recreational access levels.

5.4 Studies on 10 Dorset heaths revealed that nightjars had significantly higher breeding success at sites with no public access than those with open access. Nests had a greater chance of failure on open access sites with more surrounding urban development and increasing proximity to a greater density of footpaths (Murison 2002). Nightjar nests that failed were significantly closer to paths (45 m compared to 150 m for successful nests) and tended to be closer to the main access points. Nightjar territories had fewer paths within 100 m than did random points. No significant differences in levels of path usage and nest failure were detected. Incubating nightjars sit tight unless disturbed; in 2,000 hours of camera observations of eight nests, nightjars never left the nest unattended during the day unless disturbed (Langston, Liley, et al. 2007).

5.5 Humans and dogs flush nightjars from their nest, the flushing rate being positively associated with height of the vegetation around the nest (presumably because nightjars cannot see the cause of the disturbance); and negatively correlated with the extent of nest cover (Murison 2002; Langston, Drewitt, & Liley 2007; Langston, Wotton, et al. 2007). Flushing during daylight leaves nightjar eggs or chicks vulnerable to predation, the proximate cause of nest failure (Murison 2002). Use of remote cameras fixed on nests documented a single instance of predation: The predator was a carrion crow *Corvus corone*. 
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As most nightjar breeding failures happen during incubation (Murison, 2002, Woodfield and Langston, 2004), a single dog running off-path into the heather could disturb large areas of nightjar breeding habitat. Disturbance may be of greater significance during breeding seasons that, for other reasons (e.g. weather), are less favourable.

Woodlark *Lullula arborea*

Across 16 sites in southern England, including the Dorset Heaths, woodlark population density was found to be significantly lower at sites with higher disturbance levels (Mallord et al. 2006, 2007). This supported previous findings that density of woodlark territories is significantly reduced on sites with open access compared to those with restricted access (Liley & Clarke 2002). This pattern was thought to be due to birds not nesting (but nevertheless still foraging) in the most heavily visited areas.

At sites with recreational access, woodlarks were found to be less likely to colonise suitable habitat in areas with greater disturbance; eight disturbance events per hour reduced the probability of colonisation to below 50%. However, the lower woodlark density at more highly disturbed sites resulted in greater breeding success, in terms of more fledged chicks per pair, i.e. high disturbance levels produced a strong density-dependent increase in reproductive output (Mallord et al. 2006, 2007).

A model has been developed to predict the consequences for the woodlark population of a range of visitor access levels (Mallord et al., 2006). Recreational disturbance is thought to be having a major adverse effect on woodlark populations in Dorset already. Any further population impact is likely to depend on the spatial distribution of visitors as well as overall numbers. Under current access arrangements, a doubling of visitor numbers is predicted to reduce population size by 15%. If visitor levels doubled and visitors spread equally across sites, a 40% population decline is predicted (Mallord et al. 2006, 2007). If disturbance at 16 heathland sites were to be removed, it is predicted that the breeding population of woodlarks would increase by 13–48% (Mallord 2005).

Dartford warbler *Sylvia undata*

Initial analysis based on data from the Dorset heaths suggested no statistically significant difference in the number of Dartford warbler territories on sites with open access compared to those with restricted access (Liley & Clarke 2002). Subsequent studies, however, have refined this view. Clear impacts on breeding ecology have been demonstrated: Disturbance at territories was higher where these were located close to car parks (Murison 2007). Dartford warblers are particularly susceptible to disturbance when nest-building, halting or even abandoning activities when interrupted (Murison 2007; Murison et al. 2007). The nearer the centre of the warbler territory is to an access point (e.g. car park), the later the first brood is likely to be raised. Disturbance appears to delay hatching dates and so prevent chick growth from coinciding with periods
of optimal invertebrate prey density, and also to interrupt adult foraging and chick feeding (Murison, 2007, Murison et al., 2007). Dog-walkers accounted for 60–72% of all disturbance events, with dogs off-lead and off-path likely to have the greatest adverse impact on Dartford warbler breeding productivity (Murison, 2007, Murison et al., 2007). Moreover, for such a short-lived species in which there is also low over-winter survival of young birds, increased disturbance could limit population recovery by reducing annual breeding productivity and hence the numbers of potential recruits to new areas (Langston, Liley, et al. 2007).

5.11 Research in Dorset on Dartford warblers shows that disturbance impacts may vary to different extents in different habitats (Murison, 2007, Murison et al., 2007). Dartford warblers occupy territories dominated by heather Calluna vulgaris, heather territories with significant areas of European gorse Ulex europaeus and territories containing western gorse Ulex gallii. However, only in the first habitat type did Murison find that disturbance had a significant impact on breeding productivity, delaying breeding by up to six weeks which, in turn significantly reduced the number of broods raised and the average number of chicks raised per pair. In heather territories, an average of 13–16 people passing through per hour each day delayed pairs sufficiently to prevent them raising multiple broods; most heather territories fell below this threshold. The lower impact of disturbance in territories with gorse may be due to this impenetrable habitat offering some protection from disturbance, as it is known to provide from harsh weather and predators. Dogs were seen to move up to 45 m off-path in heather, but never into gorse-dominated vegetation (Murison, 2007, Murison et al., 2007).

Trampling and disturbance to other species

5.12 Bare ground and early successional habitats are a very important for a suite of plants, invertebrates and reptiles on heaths (Byfield & Pearman 1996; Lake & Underhill-Day 1999; Moulton & Corbett 1999; Key 2000; Kirby 2001). On the Dorset Heaths it is bare ground habitats, rather than heather-dominated ones, that support the most rare species (Key, 2000) and of the 90 Biodiversity Action Plan species associated with lowland heathland, 39% depend on bare ground and early successional habitats (Alonso pers. comm.). Many plants are only associated with such habitats (e.g. tiny annuals such as slender centaury Cicendia filiformis, which occurs alongside the B3075 and is associated with wetter hollows, including vehicle ruts and hoof prints (Lake, Bullock, & Hartley 2001).

5.13 Some kind of physical disturbance is usually required to create these bare ground habitats, and hence a certain level of physical disturbance can be beneficial. Localised erosion, the creation of new routes and ground disturbance may all contribute to the maintenance of habitat diversity within sites. However, the level of disturbance required is difficult to define and is likely to vary between sites (Lake et al., 2001). There are likely to be optimum levels of use that maintain the bare ground habitats but do not continually disturb the substrate. Unfortunately such levels of use have never been
quantified, nor is it known whether sporadic use is likely to be better at maintaining bare ground habitats than low level, continuous use.

5.14 Heavy use of sandy tracks, particularly by horses or mountain bikes, causes the sand to be loose and continually disturbed, rendering the habitat of low value to many invertebrates (Symes & Day 2003) and sand lizards that need to lay their eggs in bare sand. Species which burrow into flat surfaces (i.e. the centres of paths) are likely to be particularly vulnerable, as loose sand may not support their burrows and the churning may make it impossible for them to relocate their burrows once dug. The friable nature of heathland soils makes them particularly vulnerable to these impacts.

5.15 Path surfacing to divert people along particular routes or contain access problems such as erosion can often be detrimental to invertebrates (S. Miles pers. comm.) and sand lizards. Surfacing with gravel, hoggin, chips or similar material can entomb invertebrates within their burrows and can render the path useless in the future as the invertebrates can no longer burrow through the capping.

Dog fouling

5.16 A number of reviews have addressed the impacts of dog fouling (Taylor et al. 2005, 2006). Dogs will typically defecate within 10 minutes of a walk starting, and as a consequence most deposition tends to occur within 400m of a site entrance (Taylor et al., 2005) though this is not invariably the case. Similarly, dogs will typically urinate at the start of a walk, but they will also urinate at frequent intervals during the walk too. The total volume deposited on sites may be surprisingly large. At Burnham Beeches NNR over one year, Barnard (Barnard 2003) estimated the total amounts of urine as 30,000 litres and 60 tonnes of faeces from dogs. Limited information on the chemical composition of dog faeces indicates that they are particularly rich in nitrogen (see work cited in Taylor et al., 2006).

5.17 Nutrient levels in soil are important factors determining plant species composition and on heathland sites the typical effect will be equivalent to applying a high level of fertilizer, resulting in a reduction in species richness and the presence of species typically associated with more improved habitats. A lush green strip is often evident alongside paths as nutrient enrichment can also lead to more vigorous growth and flowering (Taylor et al., 2006).

5.18 The interface between heather and open bare ground is important for many species, especially invertebrates. The rich grassy strips alongside paths result in a direct loss of an important micro-habitat and the effect is therefore often disproportionate to the amount of land affected.

Fire risk to heathland

5.19 The main source of information on fire on the Dorset heaths was a report commissioned by DETR (Kirby and Tantrum, 1999) which analysed 3333 separate fires and evaluated these in relation to built up areas. This report noted that of the 26 lowland heathland SSSIs in Dorset with the highest number of fires, 1990-1998, 70% were located in or adjacent to urban areas, including the top nine.
Similar clustering around the urban fringe was noted by Liley based on earlier work by Hall on Yateley Common, one of the Thames Basin Heaths in Surrey (Liley, 2004). In a later study, Murison found that there was a strong causative relationship between measures of human recreational disturbance and the incidence of wildfires on heaths (Murison, 2007). Kirby & Tantrum also noted that fires were more likely to occur at weekends than weekdays, during school holidays than term time, and during the afternoon and early evening than at other times of day (at times when children have been let out of school but working parents may not have arrived home) (Kirby and Tantrum, 1999). They reported that there was a widespread belief amongst professional heathland managers that most fires were deliberate and that children were often responsible.

5.20 Heathland fires can kill mature heather plants, and, where it is hot enough to penetrate the top layers of the soil, can damage seed banks (Hobbs & Gimingham 1987). On organic soils the soil itself can be damaged by fire delaying the re-establishment of vegetation, sometimes for many years, and causing soil erosion (Legg, Maltby, & Proctor 1992).

5.21 The effects of wild fires on invertebrates is variable, with invertebrates with restricted niches, e.g. on old heather the most susceptible to uncontrolled burning (Bell, Wheater, & Cullen 2001). Old heather stands are also valuable for reptiles, in Dorset particularly rare sand lizards and smooth snakes, and wild fires not only kill many reptiles and leave survivors vulnerable to increased predation, but it can take between 5-25 years before the vegetation has recovered sufficiently to allow re-colonisation (Nature Conservancy Council 1983; Braithwaite 1995). No studies have been carried out on nightjars and woodlarks, but it has been found that on a number of wild fire sites on urban heaths in Dorset, after a year 20% of territories remained unoccupied (Murison, 2007).

Other urban effects

5.22 There is a range of other urban effects on heathland ecosystems including pollution, vandalism, fly tipping, littering, introduction of alien plants and animals, trampling and predation.

5.23 There is considerable evidence from the records of a number of heathland managers of a range of undesirable activities by members of the public including use of vehicles off paths and tracks, dumping of chemicals, setting fire to abandoned vehicles, collecting wildlife and indirect effects of barbecues and camping (De Molinaar 1998; Haskins 2000; Munns 2001; Underhill-Day 2005).

5.24 No systematic studies have been attempted on the introduction of alien plants and animals to heathland, but one study recorded over 40 non-native plants and another the introduction of alien plants and fish into heathland ponds (Liley, 2004, Munns, 2001).

5.25 A number of studies have estimated the number of cats in Britain and these suggest a figure of about 8 million domestic cats and over 800,000 feral cats (Harris et al. 1995). An analysis of the Target Group Index survey of 25,000
adults from across GB in 2000, suggested that 13% of British households own one cat and 10% own two or more cats (Saul 2000). Although cats differ widely in the amount of hunting they do and the distances they will travel to hunt, studies have shown that some cats will travel at least a kilometre from home; that they hunt both during the day and at night; and that they catch a wide range of mammals, birds and reptiles (Barratt 1995, 1997; Woods et al. 2003; van Heezik 2010; van Heezik et al. 2010). Cats have been seen on most of the urban heaths in Dorset (Urban Heath Life Project pers comm.), and in 2004, Murison (2007) recorded that out of a marked population of young Dartford warblers, 16% had been predated by cats within 2-4 weeks of leaving the nest. No similar studies have been carried out on woodlarks or nightjars both of which are ground nesting, however for woodlark at least there is evidence that cats can predate nests (Dolman 2010).

5.26 Heathlands can be damaged by trampling, with heather dominated heath and communities with a high cover of lichens and mosses, and bog communities all being particularly susceptible (Harrison 1981; Anderson & Radford 1992). Wet and humid heathland are damaged by trampling with summer trampling generally being more harmful than winter trampling and repeated tramples causing more damage than single events (Gallet & Roze 2001, 2002; Gallet, Lemauviel, & Roze 2004). These results show that most damage to heathland plant communities is likely to occur in summer when visitor numbers are greatest and that new paths can be rapidly created from desire lines by walkers on heathland.

Predictions of increases in visitor rates

5.27 The level of access on sites is likely to be linked to disturbance to Annex I birds, dog fouling and a range of other impacts. It is therefore useful to estimate how visitor rates might change with new housing. Both within the Purbeck District and within a short travel distance of the District boundary there are numerous opportunities for access to the countryside for recreation, much lying within the Dorset Heaths.

5.28 The scale and spatial distribution of the proposed level of development (2400 houses) within the strategy is:

- North west Purbeck: c.110 houses, with a focus on Bere Regis (settlement extension for 50 houses); other locations include Briantspuddle, Affpuddle and Bloxworth.
- South west Purbeck: c.355 houses, with a focus on Wool and also Bovington, Winfrith Newburgh and West Lulworth.
- Central Purbeck: c.455 dwellings with a focus on Wareham, Sandford and Stoborough. A settlement extension for 200 dwellings in Wareham (on the west of the town).
- North east Purbeck: c.580 dwellings, focused on Upton and Lytchett Minster. Settlement extension (70 dwellings) at Policeman’s Lane, Upton and at Huntick Road, Lytchett Matravers (50 dwellings).
South east Purbeck: 900 dwellings, with a focus on Swanage (also Corfe Castle, Church Knowle, Harman’s Cross, Kingston, Kimmeridge, Studland and Worth Matravers).

5.29 We have plotted the drivetime isochrones from heath access points within Purbeck District. This map (Map 3) essentially divides the district into zones reflecting areas which are within 5 minutes drive of a heath access point, 10 minutes drive, 15 minutes etc. This highlights any locations where drive time means that it is difficult to access the heaths (for example due to the road infrastructure or geography). Map 3 shows that all of Purbeck District is within 25 minutes (or less) drive of an access point onto a heath. All new development within the strategy (i.e. 2400 houses) is within 15 minutes drive of a heath.
MAP 3: Drive times to heathland access points, with parking, within Purbeck District
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5.30 Any new housing typically results in a re-distribution of where people live and an increase in population. Taking an average occupancy rate of 2.36\textsuperscript{12} people per dwelling, 2,400 new houses will result in an increase of some 5,664 additional residents to the area. The core strategy states that Purbeck District has a population of around 45,000 - the increase therefore equates to c.13% increase.

5.31 Approximately 20% of households in the UK have at least one dog (Mintel International Group Ltd. 2006), and therefore, with 2,400 houses, approximately 480 households could be expected to have at least one dog.

5.32 A household survey of Dorset residents, conducted in 2008, estimated that each household in south-east Dorset tends to make 166 recreational visits to the countryside, parks or other outdoor space per year (Liley et al. 2008). Of these visits, 23% were to heathland sites. Applying these figures to the 2400 new houses proposed for the District suggests there would be 398,400 additional visits, per annum, to outdoor space, and 91,632 of these would be to heaths. As the household survey included households within the conurbation (for example central Bournemouth), it might be expected that these figures will be under-estimates given that there is so much heathland and other attractive sites to visit within Purbeck.

5.33 Visitor rates resulting from new housing can also be predicted using the visitor models developed specifically for local authorities in Dorset in 2006 (Liley, Clarke, Underhill-Day, et al. 2006), applied to postcode data showing the distribution of houses. As part of our work on the Preferred Options draft of the HRA we predicted how access levels would change as a result of the proposed level of development. We used the “C5” model, which predicts the number of people visiting each access point onto heathland patches. These patches are areas of heath and adjacent land that have open access and are, at least in part, included within the Natura 2000 network. Each patch is essentially a discrete area with open access and where it is possible to walk from one end to the other. Where patches are split by busy roads or similar we have split them. Some patches contain more than one SSSI and sometimes the same SSSI is split into different patches.

5.34 For each heathland patch access point we predicted visitor numbers according to the number of houses surrounding the access point and, for access points where there are parking facilities, the number of car-park spaces. The equations used have been derived using actual visitor data from a sample of sites.

5.35 The predictions provide a general guide, indicating typical access levels and based on actual data. They should be interpreted with consideration of site-based factors and the local area, as for example the predictions will be an underestimate where the access point is to a particularly attractive location (for example one with a beach or viewpoint), where the parking is particularly easy or good, where road access or travel time is easy and where access is encouraged. Conversely, locations where parking is perhaps particularly

\textsuperscript{12} The national average occupancy rate, derived from the 2001 census, see Office of National Statistics data: http://www.statistics.gov.uk/StatBase/
difficult, or where the site is not particularly attractive (near a main road, landfill or similar) may be overestimates. The equations use postcode data, and therefore mobile homes, campsites etc. will not be included; where these are present, the site may receive more visitors than estimated. It is important to highlight that the predictions are also based on housing levels within and to a maximum distance of 10km. High profile sites that attract day visitors and tourists (for example Studland) will receive more visitors than predicted as they draw visitors from well beyond a 10km radius.

5.36 Travel distance is important context as sites like Arne are at the end of a peninsula: The Arne heaths are therefore geographically close to housing at Upton, for example but the travel distance is considerable.

5.37 The estimates are summarised in Table 8 which gives the predicted current number of visitors (per 16 hours in August) and the predictions generated for the preferred option, which is broadly similar to that now set out in the core strategy pre-submission draft and summarised in Map 4. Table 8 lists the sites in order of the number of new visitors to each site that would be expected. Only those sites that are predicted to see any change in visitor numbers are listed, the shading indicates those with a change of at least 5% (and that are predicted to receive at least 5 visitors per 16 hours).

5.38 The predictions (when considered in context with the sites themselves) suggest that:

- Studland will see the largest change in visitor numbers, but the percentage change is relatively low due to the huge numbers of visitors this site already receive. At sites such as Studland, local people are likely to develop access patterns that avoid the tourist hotspots and this may well mean increased recreational pressure away from the beach car-parks, for example at Godlingston or at Greenlands. Car-parks along the Peninsula are managed by the National Trust and there is a charge for non-members. There are numerous small lay-bys and roadside parking where there is no charge or restrictions on use.

- Wareham Forest will see a marked increase, mainly due to the growth at Wareham, but also from Bere Regis, Lytchett Matravers, Upton and Sandford. Wareham Forest covers a very large area, much of which is not included within the European designations; although a large number of Annex I birds are present throughout. It is easily accessed from Lytchett Matravers, Wareham, Bere Regis and Sandford. Due to the large size of the site and the widely promoted cycle trails etc., visitor data has shown that the site draws people from a wide area, and the model may underpredict use.

- The heaths to the east of Wareham, towards Poole Harbour are all predicted to see an increase in visitor numbers, with Hartland/Stoborough (which includes Sunnyside) and Stoborough RSPB particularly affected. These sites are all close to Wareham. Arne (Arne, Coombe, Grip and Shipstal are considered as a single patch) is predicted to see a relatively small increase but the model predictions are unlikely to be particularly
accurate for Arne. This is because the site is at the end of a peninsula and there are parking charges (to non RSPB members). There is access to the Harbour shoreline and many people visit to access the shore; hence access here is atypical compared to other heaths. Much of Arne is open access and in the long term it is possible that the extent of open access may increase (parts of the RSPB reserve that are heath were not included in the original mapping of open countryside). There are particular access issues with this site as the shoreline will attract people but holds important wader roosts.

- The block of heathland that encompasses Winfrith, Tadnoll and Knighton heaths is predicted to see a relatively small increase in recreational use. The exact level of use of these sites will depend on the precise location of housing at Wool and the predictions may underestimate use. Winfrith Heath is easily accessed by car from the west of Wool and the number of alternative options for residents in Wool is fairly limited in comparison with other parts of Purbeck. Development outside Purbeck, such as at Crossways and at Dorchester may also impact this area.

- Blackhill (Bere Regis) is just to the south-west of Bere Regis village and is predicted to see an increase in access levels.

Visitor rates may also increase due to Policy TA allowing an increase in tourist accommodation and attractions within settlement boundaries. It is not possible to predict what effect these might have on visitor numbers to the heaths. In general, most heathland sites are unlikely to attract tourists, however, within Purbeck, Arne, Hartland, Wareham Forest and Godlingston will all potentially attract some tourists.
Table 8: Predictions of changes in visitor rates for different heathland blocks. Predictions derived using “C5” model (see text above for details). Shading indicates increases in visitor rates of at least 5 people and at least 5%. Red shading indicates an increase of at least 10%, yellow an increase of at least 5%. Sites are ranked such that the sites with highest actual increase (in numbers of visits) are at the top. Only blocks that will see any change in visitor rates are listed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>No. Access Points</th>
<th>No. CP Spaces</th>
<th>Predicted visitor numbers (per 16 hours)</th>
<th>Current use</th>
<th>Increase in access levels as a result of development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Studland and Rempstone</td>
<td>1502</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1732</td>
<td>917</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wareham Forest</td>
<td>1808</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>292</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upton Heath and Beacon Hill</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>2035</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lychett adjacent to sewage station</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
<td>16.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ham Common</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>900</td>
<td></td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoborough (RSPB)</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>167</td>
<td></td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoborough and Hartland</td>
<td>461</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>178</td>
<td></td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black Hill, Bere Regis</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lychett</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>137</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hethfelton Plantation</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tadnoll, Winfrith and Knighton</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sandford Heath</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>112</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wor Gret Heath</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moreton Plantation and Bryants Puddle Heath</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>108</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne: Combe, Grip and Shipstal</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher Hyde and Gallows Hill</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Combe Heath</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blue Pool</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>edge of Lulworth Ranges, nr East holme firing range</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Habitat Regulations Assessment of Purbeck’s Core Strategy:
Pre-submission October 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Area (ha)</th>
<th>No. Access Points</th>
<th>No. CP Spaces</th>
<th>Predicted visitor numbers (per 16 hours)</th>
<th>Increase in access levels as a result of development</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canford Heath</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>2822</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grange Heath</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nr Dorey’s Farm, edge of Lulworth Ranges</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfe Hills Golf Course</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>595</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mount Pleasant</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>453</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfe Hills School</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>467</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoke Heath</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scotland</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dunyeats</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne: Bank Gate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne: Arne Heath</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourne Valley</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3983</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne: Crichton’s Heath</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arne: Bank Gate Cottages, north of triangle</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbary Common</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5263</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bourne Valley / Talbot Heath</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3190</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alder Hills</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>504</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Warmwell</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mitigation

5.40 It is clear that, in the absence of mitigation, there will be an adverse effect on the integrity of the relevant Dorset heathland sites as a result of increased development. A strategic approach to mitigation has been established for the south-east Dorset sub-region through the IPF and the emerging heathland DPD, and this is set out as policy within the Core Strategy (Policy DH). The IPF and the Heathland DPD are important mitigation measures that must be taken into account when assessing the effects of the Core Strategy.

5.41 Mitigation measures will need to be specifically tailored to the development locations. Mitigation measures should ensure no net increase in visitor pressure on the heathland sites and ensure that access onto the heaths is responsible and impacts are minimised. A package of measures will be necessary, including measures on-site to control or deter access and measures off-site to draw people to less sensitive locations. We outline measures below, and these will need to be set out with commitments to timely implementation within Heathland DPD and within other plans prior to development commencing. Some of the measures may be difficult to secure and potentially beyond the control of Purbeck District Council. At this stage it is necessary to be sure that mitigation is possible, will be implemented and, on the basis of objective information can be reasonably relied upon to prevent any adverse affect on the integrity of the different heathland sites.

Works on sites

5.42 A long term vision for the management of the heaths to the east of Wareham (Arne, Stoborough NNR, Hartland NNR) is for extensive grazing and effective links between the sites. It may also be possible in the long term to link these through Rempstone to Godlingston and Studland. Within the general area and particularly within the Arne/Hartland/Stoborough block it is important to ensure that increases in traffic and access do not inhibit the implementation of future management, in particular the ability to install cattle grids, fell conifer blocks and change fencing locations.

5.43 Many of the roadsides are currently used for informal parking, resulting in diffuse visitor pressure which is difficult to manage. Existing fencing is preventing this in some locations (such as along the Arne road), but should the distribution of fencing change then visitor numbers to different areas may also change. The Arne RSPB car-park is currently often full and it is not known how visitors respond when the car-park is full. There is therefore some uncertainty about how visitor levels may change in the future and where exactly the pressures may occur. There are solutions that include:

- The use of signs, bollards, banks or ditches alongside the roads (such as the Slepe road that runs across Hartland) to deter roadside parking and remove all diffuse parking.
- Speed restrictions (e.g. 20 mph) and other traffic calming measures along the Arne road through to Nutcrack Lane to increase travel time and
potentially reduce visitor numbers. These measures will require liaison with the Highways Authorities, and may be difficult to secure.

- Enhanced parking at locations such as the Sunnyside car park at Stoborough NNR. Here the car-park is not clearly signposted from the road and is hidden from view and appears underused. It provides access onto fields that are adjacent but outside the boundary of the European sites.

- Enhanced on-site wardening presence at key locations to control off-road parking, use of barbeques, enforce dogs on leads policies etc.

- Provision of additional visitor parking (i.e. a new car-park) at a location set back from the heaths, so that access can be focused away from sensitive locations. It may be difficult to secure such a location, but possibilities may exist on National Trust land.

5.44 Measures such as the control of roadside parking and on-site wardening presence may result in local opposition and would change the general character of the area. Careful instigation is therefore required. In order to get the level of visitor mitigation measures right a review of access across Arne, Stoborough, Hartland and potentially including Rempstone and Studland should be conducted, prior to any large scale additional development in Wareham. Such a review would involve interviews with visitors and should address where people park and how they use the area. The review should consider changes in management that may happen in the future (such as conifer removal and creation of more extensive grazing), tourist and day-trip use as well as visits from local residents and should also predict visitor use as a result of new development. Appropriate targeted measures should then be possible.

5.45 Ferry Road (between the Studland Ferry and Studland village) is another key location where access is diffuse and difficult to control. The Road is owned and managed by the Bournemouth-Swanage Ferry company, and therefore management of roadside parking is not easy to control. The original Purbeck Transportation Study (Buro Happold 2004) recognised problems associated with parking along this road, and suggested banning parking along the roadside. The SPIT (South Purbeck Integrated Transport Project) project looked in detail at issues on Ferry Rd and, through the Purbeck Heritage Committee, eventually managed to ban overnight parking on Ferry Rd. This however took a number of years. Preventing day-time parking along this road would solve most of the problems from disturbance, fires etc within the area and would be effective mitigation.

5.46 Currently parking occurs all along the road, providing access to the Poole Harbour shoreline, to the heath and to the beach. Such locations are likely to be popular with Swanage residents, as the roadside parking allows people to avoid charges at the National Trust car-parks and also provides access to some areas away from the tourist hotspots. Mitigation measures here should therefore ideally reduce diffuse visitor pressure by limiting roadside parking (e.g. through the application of parking restrictions and policing), which should ensure that access levels can be managed and impacts controlled. Should it not be possible
to control parking along Ferry Road then it will be necessary to implement other measures in this area, these would potentially involve the possibility of providing free or reduced price parking to Swanage residents at the National Trust car park, as this is already in place for residents of Studland; increased wardening; and on-site access management (such as ensuring dogs on leads etc.).

5.47 At Winfrith Heath additional access is predicted as a result of development in Wool. Liaison with the Dorset Wildlife Trust (who manage the heath) is necessary and potentially there will be a need for bollards, ditches or banks along the roads crossing the heath to ensure parking is limited to the south-east corner of the site (the main parking location) rather than diffuse parking along the roadsides.

Alternative sites

5.48 The concept of alternative sites to deter people away from the heaths (Suitable Alternative Natural Greenspace – SANGs) is logical but as yet largely untested. Both in the Thames Basin area (Surrey, Berks, N Hants) and in SE Dorset, where the SAC/SPA heathland is in close proximity to high numbers of existing and proposed housing, there will be a heavy reliance on SANGs being provided and effective. Using a number of visitor surveys in both areas to determine the reasons for visiting outdoor sites, and the characteristics of the existing used locations, guidelines for SANGs in Thames Basin Heaths area have been produced (Liley et al, 2009. Research Report for Natural England, unpublished). Whilst these specifically address the local situation in the Thames Basin, in particular the nature of the heathland sites currently under heavy pressure, many of the principles are generic and the requirements for SANGs provision should be widely applicable.

5.49 SANGs may be created from existing open space available to local authorities, but at present with no or limited public access; from sites with some access but where visit levels could be increased; and from newly established open space. In all cases the SANGs need to be a long-term provision if they are to genuinely offer mitigation for internationally designated sites and satisfy the requirements of the Habitats Regulations.

5.50 If SANGs are to draw visitors away from the designated sites they have to be of sufficient size, character and quality. The characteristics of the heaths (large attractive sites with views) and spatial distribution of development in Purbeck (scattered across a number of settlements), indicate that SANGS provisions need to be delivered by a small number of well located, large sites, each sufficient to ‘compete’ with the heaths. The core strategy may not be able to cite particular candidate SANGs owing to the possibility of ransom demands for private land, however there is a need, on the basis of reasonable evidence, to make sure that sufficient appropriate land will be likely to be available.

5.51 There is clear evidence that people preferentially visit heaths compared to other types of (non-coastal) habitat (Clarke et al. 2006; Clarke, Sharp, & Liley 2008; Liley et al. 2008) which suggests that new sites must be carefully tailored and
designed to attract people that otherwise would visit the heaths. The following broad locations will be ideal for SANGS provision:

- In the north of the district, between Lytchett Matravers and Bere Regis or to the north of Bere Regis, suitably located to provide opportunities for dog walking and other recreational activities for residents of Lytchett and Bere Regis.

- Near Wareham, to the west or north-west of the town, drawing new residents away from sensitive locations within Wareham Forest and Hartland/Stoborough/Arne. Given the attractiveness and scale of Wareham Forest and the heaths to the east of Wareham this will need to be sufficiently large and attractive site to be at all effective. There may be potential to enhance Binnegar Common and some of the areas within the Piddle Valley, but further work is necessary to assess the potential of these sites, particularly in comparison to Wareham Forest and Hartland. Any SANGs provision in these areas will need to be on land not vulnerable to flooding (which would limit its appeal to dog walkers and others).

- To the north of Swanage. Here a SANG would attract people who would otherwise visit Godlingston, Rempstone and Studland.

5.52 Alternative access sites must be ready and available when new housing is first occupied so that patterns of visitor use to sensitive sites do not become established. The core strategy does identify substantial landholding around Bere Regis, and states that there is no obvious site to provide a suitable SANG for growth to the west of Wareham. Similarly for Swanage there is no specific detail relating to SANGS provision. For North east Purbeck the strategy identifies Upton Woods and a second site, Upton Farm as SANGs. Measures are already in place to secure and deliver these.

Promoting responsible access, education and awareness

5.53 The Urban Heaths Partnership funded through the IPF is already providing on-site wardening, awareness and education programmes that include many Purbeck sites. Such measures are important, and in particular should:

- Promote locations, for different activities, where there are no nature conservation implications

- Promote responsible access (such as only cycling on bridleways, dogs on leads, no litter, no barbeques) through education programmes, promotional material, on site presence etc.

- Provide clear information as to why the heaths are important and the need for conservation management

- Provide face-to-face contact with local residents and visitors

5.54 Key sites where such measures will be needed are:

- Arne & Stoborough RSPB reserves
Conclusions and Deliverability of Mitigation

5.55 The research and analysis undertaken in preparation for the Appropriate Assessment above indicates that if the development and tourist elements of the Core Strategy are implemented without mitigation measures, the Council would not be able to ascertain that there would not be an adverse effect upon the integrity of the Dorset Heaths SAC, the Dorset Heathlands SAC/SPA/Ramsar and the Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland dunes SAC.

5.56 It is therefore necessary to determine whether mitigation measures are deliverable and feasible. The Heathland DPD is yet to be finalised, but it is accepted in principle by Natural England that measures such as on-site access management, off-site work such as education and awareness raising and the provision of alternative sites can, as a package, be established to mitigate impacts from development beyond 400m from the boundary of the European sites. The Heathland DPD will set out these measures in detail and at a site specific level. At this stage it is therefore necessary to ascertain that there is the principle of mitigation specifically targeted to the spatial distribution of housing in the Core Strategy is feasible and implementable.

5.57 We highlight the following as requiring further work:

- SANGs provision around Wareham, Swanage and Wool
- Potential for access management measures on heathland blocks around Wareham
- Potential to control parking at Ferry Road

5.58 Wareham is of particular concern as the town is essentially surrounded by European sites. Map 5 shows Wareham in context with the heaths and surrounding European sites. The only options for SANGS provision are to the west of the town, where constraints to effective green space provision include the railway, Wareham by-pass, active gravel workings, low lying land prone to flooding and an existing SSSI at Wareham Common. There is perhaps potential for alternative site provision at Binnegar (South Heath on Map 5), or possibly either side of the Wareham by-pass utilising the current underpass and land adjacent to Worgret Road. We estimate that around 30-40ha would be necessary in order to provide a self-contained site that is large enough to attract dog-walkers and other users, and adequately mitigate for the housing numbers proposed. The provision and selection of such a site would have to be done in
conjunction with on-site work on the heaths to the east of Wareham, at Stoborough, Hartland and Arne, as set out above.

5.59 We recognise that measures relating to controlling parking at Ferry Road may be currently impractical to achieve. This would therefore place a greater mitigation emphasis on SANGs provision between Swanage and Studland, ideally on the outside of Swanage town. Such provision, in order to provide a viable alternative to Studland/Godlingston will again need to be large, provide a range of attractive routes in open countryside/semi-natural habitat and have good access from Swanage.

5.60 Assuming such measures can be put in place through the Heathland DPD, then the avoidance measures would remove the likelihood of significant effects. With these large and attractive alternative recreation sites in place, it is further concluded that the potential recreational effects would be eliminated and, there could be no contribution to combined effects. The HRA of the Core Strategy will, in these circumstances, be able to record no likelihood of a significant effect alone or in combination with other plans or projects.

5.61 The provision of large and attractive alternative sites is essential to enable the proposed quantum of residential development to proceed without risking adversely affecting the designated sites through increased recreational pressure. It is therefore advised that the following three paragraphs of text is added to the Core Strategy at Policy DH:

5.62 “The HRA of this Core Strategy has identified a number of specific measures that are required to ensure that the Dorset Heathland sites are not adversely affected by development. Some of the proposed measures rely upon expansive alternative greenspaces coming forward with development proposals. The principle of these large scale natural greenspaces has been determined from the potential open space that could fulfil SANGS requirements. However, the timely delivery of these alternative greenspaces cannot be relied upon at this stage with the level of certainty required to enable the Council to determine that they would avoid the likelihood of a significant effect on the heathland sites. The Core Strategy will therefore need to be subject to a final ‘appropriate assessment’ under the provisions of regulation 102 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, before it is adopted, so that before the plan is given effect in terms of the Regulations, the reliance on the mitigation to be delivered by the Heathland DPD and other measures such as co-ordinated and multi-partner approaches to on site management of the heaths can be examined in more detail with up-to-date information. The timing of the release of housing allocations will be linked to the delivery of necessary mitigation measures.

5.63 In addition, reliance is placed upon a co-ordinated and multi-partner approach to on site management of the heaths to mitigate for recreational pressure. Again the certainty of such an approach cannot be ascertained until work towards a multi-partner approach to on-site management commences.

5.64 Further DPDs and development proposals must therefore have full regard to the recommendations of the HRA, and housing allocations will only be taken
forward where the necessary mitigation measures can be fully met and implemented.”
6  Recreational Access to Poole Harbour

6.1 While heathland sites provide the majority of nearby access to tracts of semi-natural habitat, other sites in the vicinity include the shores of Poole Harbour. We assume that additional housing will result in an increase in the number of people living close to Poole Harbour. As a result there is likely to be an increase in recreational activities in and around the harbour. These are summarised in Table 9.

Table 9: Principal types of access within the Harbour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Access</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shore based activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dog walking</td>
<td>Dogs loose on mud or chasing birds are particular issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fishing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collecting shellfish,</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bait digging</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shore-based commercial activity</td>
<td>Marina staff, building work, laying out/repairing moorings etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wildfounding</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other shore-based activities</td>
<td>Encompassing a range of activities such as birdwatching, walking, jogging, mending boats, kite flying, kids playing etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-based activities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kite surfing</td>
<td>Separated from other types of activity as unique and birds could respond differently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small non-motorised craft</td>
<td>Windsurfers, dinghies, canoes/kayaks - able to use shallow water areas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large sailing craft</td>
<td>Tend to stick to main channels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOD/RNLI</td>
<td>Fast boats, some testing of equipment, hovercraft etc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pump scoop dredging</td>
<td>Shellfish dredgers stay in one area, often for several hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial craft</td>
<td>Freight, channel ferries, fishing boats etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jet skis and small motor boats</td>
<td>Fast recreational craft – can use shallow water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredging</td>
<td>Specific craft associated with channel deepening etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourist boats/tour boats</td>
<td>Boats providing tours of the harbour, trips to Brownsea etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.2 The Harbour is designated as an SPA for breeding passage and wintering birds (see Section 0) and significant effects relating to disturbance from shore-based and water-based activities are likely. In this section we consider these issues in more detail.

Access along the shoreline

6.3 The Harbour shoreline is used for a variety of land-based recreational activities. The shoreline paths are popular with families, dog walkers, cyclists, joggers, walkers and fishermen. There is currently little information on levels of recreational use of the Harbour frontage, but (particularly around Poole) these areas are very well used. Areas of shoreline with public access are shown in Map 5. The only studies looking specifically at Poole Harbour and access/disturbance involve work on bait diggers (Dyrynda & Lewis 1994;
Morrison 2006), work at Studland (Liley, Pickess, & Underhill-Day 2006) and a study comparing night time and diurnal use of the northern shore by birds during the winter (Liley et al. 2009).

6.4 The southern shore is rural in character and access opportunities directly to the shore within Purbeck District are limited. However visitor levels are relatively high at key sites. At Arne there is an RSPB car-park and bridleway and the Shipstal area, which has sandy beaches and fine views is popular with walkers, families, birdwatchers and others. Similarly at Studland the Poole Harbour shoreline is sandy in places and is used by walkers, dog walkers, fishermen and others. At Studland the area of heath adjacent to the shore is open access land and therefore there is limited potential to limit or redirect access. At both locations there are important bird roosts and feeding areas (Collins, 1985, Liley, 2007, Liley and Underhill-Day, 2007, Liley et al., 2007, Morrison, 2004, Thomas et al., 2004, Underhill-Day, 2006). There is also foot access to the shoreline at Swineham Point near Wareham via a circular route that encompasses Bestwall. Here the route is set back from the mud flats although there is an informal path across the saltmarsh. Access levels here are probably quite low.

6.5 Outside Purbeck District boundary, but potentially still used by Purbeck residents, is Upton Country Park, a large site containing a mix of habitats, including mature woodland and gardens. The shoreline here is quite wooded and there is a bird hide over-looking Holes Bay. A path runs along the shoreline around Holes Bay and another from Lilliput round to Sandbanks. There are parks at Hamworthy (Ham Park) and in the centre of Poole (Poole Park, Baiter and Whitecliff). Ham Park is relatively close to Upton and contains beach huts and a children’s play area in addition to an expanse of mown grass. A tarmac path runs along the shore. Recent visitor survey data from the park (Footprint Ecology, unpublished data) shows that residents from Upton visit the site: 180 visitors were interviewed over five days. Eleven of these visitors were residents of Upton (within Purbeck District) and one was from Swanage, suggesting that around seven percent of visitors were from Purbeck District.
MAP 6: Shoreline public access and locations of wader roosts around Poole Harbour

- Red dots: Roost locations (from Morrison 2004)
- Blue line: Poole Harbour shoreline with public access
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Water-based activities

6.6 Levels of existing recreational boating activity are high (see Underhill-Day, 2006 for counts). There are currently c.2,500 swinging moorings and c.2,300 pontoon and marina berths within the Harbour. There are seven marinas with dry storage for c.2,000 craft. With approximately eight yacht clubs (combined membership c.7,500 members), some 5,000 yachts visit the harbour each year (Underhill-Day, 2006). There are two public slipways. Other waterborne activities include windsurfing, kite-surfing, water-skiing, jet-skiing, motor-boating, canoeing and wildfowling.

6.7 There are designated zones for water-skiing and personal watercraft within the Harbour, both of which require permits. An area off Whitley Lake is set aside for windsurfers but they are not confined to this. The area to the south of the harbour is designated as a quiet area, although this is only enforced in relation to activities taking place outside permitted areas or violations of the speed limits. Generally speed limits are 10 knots, but with six knots in some enclosed parts of the Harbour.

6.8 The number of wet moorings provided by pontoons and marinas has increased in recent years, but the policy of the Harbour Commissioners has been to reduce swinging mooring numbers as other wet berths become available. This policy has resulted in a small reduction in wet berths in the Harbour since 1994 (Underhill-Day, 2006). Based on a questionnaire survey, Southgate (2006) estimated the popularity of various waterborne activities within the Harbour. The mean figures of daily use suggest some increase in harbour usage from 1994, and although the number of wet berths has declined slightly, there is an increasing trend in the number of visiting boats being launched from the public slipway at Baiter (Underhill-Day, 2006).

6.9 During the summer months many of the farms along the southern shore host camps for large groups such as cadets and scouts. Cleaval Point in particular is well used. Such groups are unlikely to change in light of the measures in the core strategy, but add to the general pressure from access, especially as these groups access the water (for canoeing, windsurfing, swimming etc.) from the southern shore, which is the least disturbed.

6.10 Water-based recreation also spreads out from Poole Harbour into Poole Bay, particularly in the area just outside the harbour mouth, around Shell Bay and Studland. These areas are also very important for birds and many species move freely between the harbour and this part of the bay. Water-based activities in these areas could therefore also have an impact.

Impacts of Disturbance

6.11 There are existing concerns about recreational disturbance to the northern shoreline and its impacts upon the SPA (Underhill-Day, 2006). There is the potential for disturbance to result in birds avoiding using certain feeding areas and birds being repeatedly flushed, resulting in increased energy expenditure. Such effects can have consequences at the population level (Stillman et al. 2001, 2007; Stillman & Goss-Custard 2002; West et al. 2002). Water-based and shore-
based activities can result in similar impacts and compound the issues. It is likely that the main effect of boating in the Harbour on the SPA interest features is to cause disturbance to birds on the adjoining flats, saltmarshes, shingle and other habitats, particularly during the winter months (see 6.14). The boats can provide access to parts of the Harbour shoreline that are otherwise inaccessible.

6.12 An audit of wader roost sites around the Harbour documents the principal wader roost locations, species present and principal threats (Morrison, 2004). The roost locations for the entire harbour are summarised in Map 5. It can be seen that many are away from areas with shoreline access and therefore recreational disturbance to these is likely to be mainly from boat traffic and people landing. Morrison expresses concern that some of the other roosts are also disturbed by boat traffic.

6.13 The main tern colonies are on protected areas on Brownsea Island, but the gull colony in Wareham Channel is easily accessible to small boats and landing is possible. There is no information on landings here.

6.14 It is during the winter that disturbance is likely to have the greatest effect as there are more birds present within the harbour and the weather conditions can mean additional stress (Clark et al. 1993). Although boat traffic is likely to be reduced in winter (but note there is no available data on boat use in the most important areas of the Harbour on the south shore throughout the year), other activities increase. Wildfowling is a winter activity, legally permissible from September 1st to February 20th on foreshore below mean high water, while the licensed clam fishery runs from October to January. Some fishermen collect cockles in winter both from dredging and hand raking, and bait digging takes place all the year round. While individually each of these activities may engender acceptable levels of disturbance under normal tidal and weather conditions when birds can move to undisturbed areas to feed, taken in combination they could have a profound effect, particularly during hard weather.

6.15 Disturbance at particular times of the tidal cycle or in freezing weather could be particularly hard on avocet and grey plover which have a limited distribution linked to the patchy occurrence of their main prey species. Birds such as oystercatcher and curlew may also be vulnerable as in some places the abundance of their prey species is too low to meet their winter energy requirements. There are no data on the in-combination disturbance from various activities for the Harbour, or on the likely effects. In the medium to longer term, milder winters could encourage greater year-round recreational activities with consequent disturbance both alone and in-combination with other activities. Decreases in the abundance of a number of wintering species in the Harbour have recently been recorded, but these may reflect changes in distribution due to factors elsewhere. These changes may mask effects of changing distribution and intensities of activities within the Harbour about which little is known. Moreover, any effects may take some time to influence population trends, and further time may elapse before causes can be identified.
Mitigation

6.16 Measures relating to shore-line and water-based activities should be implemented in partnership with other bodies, including Poole Borough Council. Some measures will be necessary outside the District.

6.17 The following measures would reduce the levels and impacts of shore-line access:

- Control of parking along Ferry Road (see Section 5.45 – 5.46 on mitigation for heaths)
- Measures (such as wardening and signs) to help ensure dogs are kept on leads along the shoreline around Jerry’s Point
- Working with the RSPB to minimise disturbance impacts to the area around French’s Farm, Lytchett. This would include screening/planting along the road to Lytchett Sewage Treatment works and other measures in this area to minimise disturbance to Lytchett Bay and surrounding fields and pools.
- Working with the National Trust to instigate sensitive management of access along the Harbour shoreline at Studland, for example developing a way-marked route set back from the shoreline. This will of course potentially increase access on the heath.
- Provision of viewpoints over the Harbour at Swineham whilst ensuring access across the saltmarsh and onto the shore is limited.
- Measures (such as signs, information and wardening) to help prevent dogs from roaming on the mudflats and salt marsh at Upton County Park, Holes Bay, Ham Common, and Poole Park.

6.18 Along the northern shoreline, footpaths should be relocated back from the shore at the most sensitive locations, such as at Baiter. Screening should be used to separate walkers from the shore and provide quiet feeding areas at Baiter and in parts along the shoreline path round to Sandbanks and around Holes Bay. Dog walking zones and a ‘dogs on leads’ policy for selected sensitive path lengths where dog walking is still allowed should be implemented. Sensitive locations should be identified as part of a monitoring package (see Section 6.25).

6.19 The following measures should reduce the impacts of water-based activities:

- Close-working with landowners along the southern shore to allow measures to be put in place to promote responsible access, working with the different groups camping and using the southern shore for canoeing etc. Leaflets and other suitable promotional material should be developed with codes of conduct and to direct people away from key areas for birds.
- Reviews of the measures in the Aquatic Management Plan and further implementation of zoning as necessary.
• Landing/launching of small craft (windsurfers, canoes etc.) should be limited to specific locations only. Where the nature or location of activities is outside the jurisdiction of Purbeck’s Local Development Framework or other Council functions, the Council should continue to work with relevant partners to improve regulation of such activities, including the police.

6.20 The increases in access to the harbour will be gradual and there remains uncertainty about the levels of use and the exact impacts. A programme of monitoring, recording water-based and shore-based activities should therefore put in place. A suitable programme of monitoring is set out for the Harbour by Liley and Underhill-Day (2009).

Conclusions

6.21 The Core Strategy includes housing allocations at Wareham and Swanage. New residents in both towns are likely to visit the Harbour shore to walk and to participate in water-based activities within the Harbour. It is currently not possible to predict the extent to which this will happen, as there is no existing visitor data available. However, given the attractiveness of the sites, their proximity and the lack of alternatives, it would seem likely that recreational pressure on Poole Harbour will increase as a consequence of new homes in Purbeck. Considered alone, the impacts of this potential increase may not be significant; however, in combination with increases in housing in other adjacent areas, the risk of significant effects cannot be ruled out, on the basis of objective information.

6.22 The key issue is the potential for a gradual increase in all the water-based and shore-based activities identified to interact and in synergy reduce the ability of the site to maintain its internationally important bird populations.

6.23 Climate change increases the uncertainty surrounding the extent and nature of the impacts, as this may change the distribution of the birds and the species present within Poole Harbour (Norris, Atkinson, & Gill 2004; Rehfisch et al. 2005), the distribution and availability of soft sediments (birds may be pushed closer to the shoreline by coastal squeeze) and the timing and levels of recreational access.

6.24 The implementation of the mitigation measures set out in Section 017 should reduce the levels and impacts of access. In order to be effective these should be instigated strategically, in a similar fashion to the mitigation measures for the heaths. It is therefore concluded that, in order to have certainty that the potential in-combination effects of increased access and recreational activity, a precautionary approach is adopted and the Council contributes to an implementation plan to ensure the delivery of mitigation measures. Such a plan may form part of the Purbeck LDF, or it may need to be multi-lateral; either way there should be specific reference to it within the Core Strategy. As was the case with the heathland issues, the timely delivery of the coastal site mitigation measures cannot be relied upon, at this stage, with the level of certainty required for the Council to determine that they would avoid the likelihood of a significant effect on the heathland sites. The Core Strategy will therefore need
to be subject to a final ‘appropriate assessment’ under the provisions of Regulation 102 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010, before it is adopted. In this way, before the plan is given effect in terms of the Regulations, the reliance on the mitigation to be delivered by these measures can be examined in more detail with up-to-date information. The timing of the release of housing allocations will be linked to the delivery of the necessary mitigation measures.

6.25 It is also important to understand in more detail how disturbance currently affects Poole Harbour, and the links between housing and access around the Harbour. Monitoring of use is therefore necessary to help to inform the mitigation and ensure that it is effective and successful.
7 Increased recreational pressure to coastal sites

Introduction
7.1 The Purbeck Core Strategy will have implications for the European designated coastal sites west from Durlston and the coastal elements of the Studland site (impacts on the heathland component of the Studland site are discussed in Section 5 and on Poole Harbour in Section 1). Other coastal sites, such as Chesil and the Fleet, we consider too far from Purbeck to be affected by an increase in housing within Purbeck District. The availability of coastal sites within the District will mean that most access remains within or very close to Purbeck.

7.2 Map 6 shows the location of car-parks providing access to coastal sites and drivetime isochrones relating to these car-parks. As with the heaths it can be seen that all of the District is within a short drive of the coast.

Impacts
7.3 The coastal cliff and grassland SACs likely to be impacted by proposals in the Core Strategy are Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs and St Alban’s Head to Durlston Head. These are considered here together with the coastal dunes of the Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC. Impacts are mainly from trampling and possibly erosion caused by walking or cycling, and the addition of nutrients. In the latter case, atmospheric deposition from traffic emissions is covered in Section 11, but eutrophication from dog faeces is also a real if localised threat, especially to habitats that are necessarily naturally low in nutrients, such as limestone grassland and dune heath.

Effects of trampling
7.4 Most of the SAC habitats are highly vulnerable to the impacts from the passage of walkers or cyclists. Those that are narrow or linear, such as vegetated sea cliffs, and the embryonic and fore-dune communities are of very limited extent and in some cases only a few metres in width. These plant communities are fragile and already under high environmental stress, from among other factors, drought, thin soils and natural sub-aerial erosion.

7.5 Because of their location generally on steep slopes or dangerous cliff edges, the vegetated sea cliff features, though highly susceptible to such wear, are by their position relatively safe. However, rock climbing is popular on the cliffs of Purbeck and Portland. Although it is reasonably controlled, especially at Durlston, in order to avoid conflicts with wildlife, rock climbing does have the potential to cause serious damage locally. There are some localities where the sea cliff plant community is adjacent to or even on the inland side of the coast path, such as in the vicinity of the lighthouse at Durlston, but here there is a well-established and rock-hardened path that for the most part directs and confines walkers to the route. However it is apparent there is a need to close off or divert sections where the path is less defined, and where erosion is a real threat, e.g. the steep slope down to the Tilly Whim ledge.
7.6 The embryonic and fore-dune communities at Studland are also vulnerable to trampling damage. The sparse vegetation of the upper strand line, with scattered fleshy plants like sea rocket, is especially susceptible to foot traffic and beach users, including the increasing amount of vehicle patrols. In addition to this zone being the often-preferred location for sunbathing, the daily passage of ATVs for litter collection and beach patrols several times a day during the summer makes this very localised and scarce vegetation highly susceptible to damage. A single traverse of two ATVs travelling side by side along the upper strand line at the toe of the dunes was observed to flatten and crush several sea rocket plants (J. White pers. obs). Earlier in the season, the establishment of seedlings of these annual plants would be equally vulnerable to such damage. Moreover, the gradual trend of sea level rise is exerting a “squeeze” on this vegetation community.

7.7 The shifting (fore) dunes, though appearing tough with apparently resilient grasses like marram, are also very vulnerable to trampling damage. Much of the published research on the effects of trampling on dunes relates to fixed or stable dune grassland but it seems that the earlier stages in the succession – the fore and shifting dunes – are disproportionately adversely affected, with even small levels of trampling having a marked effect (Coombes, 2007). The review by Bonte and Hoffman (2005), records that restricting recreation had a positive impact on species diversity within such dune vegetation in every case reported.

7.8 The dune heath, with marram, sand sedge and heathers is also vulnerable to trampling. Here the dwarf shrubs can be damaged and broken; and the diverse lichen and bryophyte turf is also easily dislodged and fragmented. The Studland dunes support a significant proportion of the UK’s sand lizard population and their feeding and sheltering habitat is vulnerable to damage as is the bare sand used for egg laying. Sand which is trampled and loosened by excessive foot traffic is unsuitable and any eggs laid here are more likely to be lost. Sand lizards are mentioned as a component of this SAC.

7.9 The dry calcareous grasslands of the SACs in close proximity to Swanage comprise the chalk grassland of the Purbeck ridge from Studland Bay to Ballard down; and the extensive limestone grasslands west from Durlston, including the small separate fragment (30 ha) immediately south of the town at Townsend. The chalk and limestone downland is open to public access and the long distance coastal path around the SW peninsula passes through the SAC, at the cliff top; and there are also many other footpaths, some linking back to Swanage. There is thus heavy and sustained walking pressure, especially along the coast path, but also across the downland slopes. At Ballard this is especially obvious along the ridge top and in the vicinity of Old Harry rocks; and at Durlston the slopes on either side of the lighthouse and the ridge top westwards to Belle Vue are well trodden. Townsend (a Dorset Wildlife Trust reserve) has the closest proximity to housing and the several footpaths attract daily walkers with dogs while the hummocks and slopes can be a draw for mountain bikers.

7.10 Many studies on the effects of trampling, by feet, cycles and vehicles, and on the impacts of soil enrichment including from dog fouling are cited in the literature.
A useful and recent compendium of this varied research is given in the English Nature / Natural England commissioned reports relating to the implementation of CRoW (Penny Anderson Associates 2001; Lowen et al. 2008). Findings from a variety of experiments and research, and in various localities, support the view that low productivity turf (e.g. calcareous grassland) is more prone to trampling and enrichment damage than more productive grassland and that recovery from such damage is slower. Even quite modest pressure can result in changes in plant composition, reduction in biodiversity, reduction in soil invertebrates, and in soil compaction. Even where diversity appears to be maintained, there can be a shift to more resilient and generalised species rather than the characteristic species of calcareous grassland. There is some evidence however that already grazed sites are less prone to dramatic change since to some extent the grassland communities have already adapted to the effects of grazing animals, which are comparable in some respects.

**Effects of nutrient input**

7.11 Low nutrient sites, typical of many semi-natural habitats including chalk and limestone grassland, are especially susceptible to the addition of fertiliser, whether from atmospheric deposition (mainly nitrogen) or dog faeces and urine (phosphorus and nitrogen). There are many studies and reports of the observed effects of dog fouling on vegetation and also on the volume of faeces and urine deposited (see Section 0).

7.12 The number of dogs in UK is estimated to be some 2.1 – 2.3 million; and there may be 1 dog for every 2 to 4 walkers (25-50%) on sites close to residential areas. In some cases, the ratio of dogs to people was more than 1 dog per walker on average. A study in a semi-rural community in Cheshire (Westgarth et al., 2008) found that 24% of households own at least one dog and that the average rate was 1.3 dogs per household. Similar levels are found in Dorset with 19% of a random sample of houses within south-east Dorset responding that they owned at least one dog (Liley et al. 2008). For Purbeck alone, rates were even higher, with 29.3% of households owning one or more dogs.

7.13 It is obvious from any casual observation of the car parks at Studland and Durlston and the paths leading into Townsend, that these locations are already regularly used for dog walking. Dog walking is a daily discipline and in many cases may mean one or more walks with the dog every day. Thus the impact of dog walkers is comparatively much more frequent than for other walking. This effect is thus likely to be much more linked to the impacts from housing, with a decidedly local focus.

7.14 The 2008 SE Dorset Household Survey (Liley et al. 2008) found that the most frequently visited type of outdoor site by a considerable margin was the coast with 46% of respondents (from Purbeck, Poole, Bournemouth, East Dorset and Christchurch) saying they had visited within the last week (The next most frequently visited type of site was “parks” with 30% visiting within the last week). The proportion of those owning a dog and visiting any outdoor site within the last year was higher than for non-dog owning households (96% cf 89%); and the proportion of dog owners that said they had visited a particular
kind of site was higher for all types of site, though the difference for the coast, though the most visited, was less marked than for other outdoor sites.

7.15 Both Studland and Durlston (and the more extensive coast from this access point) are very heavily visited sites, with many people coming to the beach in the case of Studland; or the various historic and natural features at Durlston. In both cases the long distance coast path passes through the site, though at Studland most passing walkers will use the foreshore or to a lesser extent the N/S track through the heathland. Relatively few passing walkers would use the foredunes as a through route, whereas beach users may target this zone preferentially.

7.16 Given the high numbers of visitors to Studland beach and the coast path sections north and south of Swanage, including Durlston, it is difficult to see that the Core Strategy proposals solely in relation to recreation would have any detectable additional impact. The housing proposals however may present a different outcome.

7.17 Swanage has a population of 10,040. Using this figure, the increases proposed in south-east Purbeck (mainly focused at Swanage) of 900 dwellings will result in an increase in population size of around 20% (assuming an occupancy of 2.36 per household). Whilst it is not possible to determine, without specific visitor surveys, how many of the current dog owning population of Swanage use either or both of the Studland or Durlston/Townsend SACs for daily dog walking, it is obvious that many do.

7.18 Using the Cheshire ratios of dog ownership per household (Westgarth et al. 2008) as a guide, the number of dogs in Swanage at present may be in the order of 1320 and the proportional increases under the Core Strategy growth scenarios could result in an extra 280 dogs respectively. The same analysis using the conservative SE Dorset 19% figure from Liley et al., would give the current number of households with dogs in Swanage as c.800 and 900 new houses could result in a further 171 households with dogs. Even if only some of these dogs are taken for their daily exercise to Studland or Durlston, that is a marked increase in the number of dogs exercised on sites that are sensitive to trampling and/or nutrient addition.

7.19 Both Studland beach (but not the dunes) and Swanage beach have restrictions on the use of the foreshore for dog walking during the summer months and it is at this time that the heath and dunes at Studland and the grasslands at Durlston and Townsend may be additionally impacted, at least for longer walks.

7.20 Durlston receives in the order of 250,000 people visiting each year, with peaks at holiday times – Easter and the summer holidays. It is likely that a significant number of such visitors are passing through, along the coast path or maybe just visiting the more tourist-based features such as the Globe. But some 120,000 visits annually are made to the Visitor Centre which suggests a higher degree of commitment and interest that may be part of a wider exploration of the site. Regular daily visits, for dog walking for instance, are most unlikely to be via the Visitor Centre and so would not be captured in this total. A survey in 2000 revealed that of the sample interviewed, 32% were day visitors and thus of
relatively local origin (Durlston Management Plan, 2005-10). This Plan highlights the conflicts inherent in managing a popular visitor destination on the coast with the outstanding biodiversity and sensitivity of its habitats (“Increased visitor numbers and recreational activity at Durlston must be reconciled with the protection of Durlston’s special qualities and the conservation of its key habitats, landscapes and biodiversity”). This suggests that there is already experience and awareness of adverse pressures, ahead of any new impacts arising from the Core Strategy proposals.

7.21 The impacts of additional pressures on the dune and calcareous grassland SACs arising purely from recreational increase in the Core Strategy proposals may be slight or undetectable, in the context of considerable existing pressure. The potential for adverse impacts on these habitats as a result of the housing figures cannot be ruled out however, especially those from the effects of additional frequent and even daily dog walking. This particular impact is likely to be greater on the grassland sites with relatively easy access such as Durlston. Trampling and especially increased enrichment from dog fouling on essentially low-nutrient habitat are the main impacts.

Mitigation

7.22 Specific targeted monitoring of the condition of limestone grassland, including the presence of indicators of decline in quality due to trampling and/or enrichment will be required, and this should focus on vulnerable locations such as steep slopes or desire lines leading out from main access points. Though the main additional impacts from trampling and increased nutrient input are felt to be on the coastal grassland SACs, they cannot be ruled out as impacts on the Studland dune communities. A similar suite of mitigation measures should apply here to set in place monitoring to provide an early warning of adverse impacts occurring and to deal with the impact before it adversely affects the ecological integrity of the habitat.

7.23 The features to be monitored need to be agreed with Natural England and to be sufficiently sensitive that early warning of adverse effect can be recognised. If signs of deterioration start to appear then actions will need to follow to contain or divert such pressure before long-term damage is sustained. This could include amongst other initiatives:

- path diversions, accompanied by on-site interpretation and rationale to explain the reasons
- further patrolling by rangers/wardens, again with explanation for actions
- enforcement of the need to pick up dog mess, accompanied perhaps by the provision of sacrificial areas not within the SAC where such a policy need not apply
- the provision of alternative sites (SANGs) to attract some pressures away from the SACs

7.24 The concept of alternative sites is logical but as yet largely untested. See Section 5.48 for further discussion about SANGs. In order to draw people away from
coastal sites the alternatives will need to be large and attractive. Assuming a provision of a minimum of 8ha per 1000 new population, the Pre-submission Core Strategy growth option relating to Swanage would require SANGs specifically for this element of the development proposals of at least 15ha, 11.2ha and 19ha respectively. A variety of sizes and types of site may be more effective than provision of a single location.

7.25 It would be unrealistic to attempt to provide SANGs that offered a genuine replacement for the extensive coastal cliff and limestone grasslands at Durlston, or the Studland dunes and beach, but there will be some more routine or very frequent activities for which the special features, landscapes and habitats of those locations are not essential. Thus one or more convenient and suitable dog walking areas, with easy access and parking (free), and where additionally it was not a requirement to pick up mess and where dogs could be let off the lead, could be expected to draw some elements of the current and future pressures away from the SACs. The objective would be to reduce overall impact on the SACs so that no net increase follows the Core Strategy proposals.

7.26 Such alternative sites will also need to be monitored, by use of visitor surveys, counts of numbers visiting and purpose of visit, to test to what degree the SANGs are successful; and correspondingly the SACs need to be monitored to check that adequate diversions to SANGs are functioning with the necessary avoidance of any increase in pressure on the SACs.

7.27 The necessary mitigation measures will be additional to any other provisions for other green space or community benefits. Further work is needed to identify to potential SANGs, determine how mitigation measures will be funded and how the counteracting measures will be implemented. It may be possible to link any measures – such as SANGs – to ensure they deliver mitigation for both heathland and coastal impacts.

7.28 If this mitigation is adopted and put in place ahead of or at least at the same time as new development, the potential for adverse impacts in relation to the coastal SACs arising from the new housing proposals in the Core Strategy should be avoided. The monitoring programme therefore needs to be designed and ready to be in place as development comes forward.

Conclusions
7.29 The research and analysis undertaken above indicates that the new housing and potential for new tourist accommodation within the Core Strategy, if implemented without mitigation measures, could result in an adverse effect upon the integrity of the Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, the Isle of Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC and the St Alban’s Head to Durlston Head SAC. It is development in Swanage that is likely to have the greatest impact.

7.30 The impacts from increased housing are potentially ‘diluted’ in that the coastal sites are heavily visited by tourists, and receive many more visitors than, for example, the heaths. Given that some of the impacts (such as trampling and
eutrophication) are similar for coastal habitats and heathland ones, the impact of new housing on coastal sites is potentially less than that on the heaths.

7.31 This assessment indicates that, without mitigation measures, adverse effects would be likely as a result of the Core Strategy alone, either as single elements or as a combination of elements within the plan. However, mitigation measures, which would eliminate these effects, are feasible and can be built into the Core Strategy before submission. If included in the submitted Core Strategy, as recommended, these avoidance measures would eliminate the likelihood of significant effects alone. Because there would be no effects on the sites, there could be no contribution to combined effects. The HRA of the Core Strategy will, in these circumstances, be able to record no likelihood of a significant effect alone or in combination with other plans or projects.
8 Increased recreational pressure to the New Forest

8.1 Southern England’s extensive motorway and trunk road system puts more than 15 million people within 90 minutes drivetime of the New Forest. Good rail links further improve ease of accessibility. This, coupled with the unique mosaic of extensive, high quality habitats, is probably the main reason for the appeal of the New Forest as a tourist destination.

8.2 Various studies highlight the high levels of recreational use currently taking place within the New Forest (University of Portsmouth 1996; Tourism South East Research Services & Geoff Broom Associates 2005; Gallagher, Graham, & Colas 2007). Total visitor volumes within the New Forest are estimated at over 13 million visitor days (Tourism South East Research Services and Geoff Broom Associates, 2005). This total includes holidaymakers staying within the National Park (12% of visitor days), day trips from home by New Forest residents (14% of visitor days) and people living outside the Park and visiting for the day from home (64% of day visits).

8.3 Visitor data from the New Forest is drawn largely from the work conducted as part of the PROGRESS Project (Tourism South East Research Services and Geoff Broom Associates, 2005). The New Forest appears to be the third most frequently visited National Park in England. However, the large size of the New Forest means that visitor densities are equivalent to those experienced on the Dorset Heaths. Visitor distribution within the New Forest may be largely governed by the distribution of car parks since this is the primary mode of transport for visitors. The New Forest has a far larger catchment area than the Dorset Heaths and, accordingly, attracts a far higher proportion of tourists (40%). Dorset forms the second largest visitor origin, contributing 29% of the ‘other day-visitor’ total. Of the visitors from Dorset to New Forest, Purbeck residents accounted for just two percent (i.e. of the people interviewed within the PROGRESS work that gave exact postcodes and were resident in Dorset two percent came from Purbeck). Most of the visitors from Dorset were from Bournemouth and Poole.

8.4 In contrast to the Dorset Heaths, where there appears little seasonal variation in visitor numbers, the New Forest exhibits a clear peak during summer. This peak is due in large part due to the arrival of holidaymakers. Visitor pressure is thus greatest during the most ecologically vulnerable period of the year (i.e. during the vertebrate and invertebrate breeding season). New Forest visitors spend more time and travel further on site than visitors to the Dorset Heaths. Dog-walking is a far less and walking a far more important activity in the New Forest than in the Dorset Heaths. Local day visitors to the New Forest are more likely to walk dogs than non-locals, but the proportion is still comparatively low.

8.5 It has been estimated that housing development as outlined in the southeast and southwest Regional Spatial Strategies within 50km of the New Forest National Park may result in an additional 1.05 million visits to the National Park per year (Sharp et al., 2008). However, 73 % of these additional visits will be made by visitors living within 20 km. As the crow flies, Purbeck District is approximately 18 - 40 km away from the New Forest National Park, however, by
road, the district is considerably further. Visitors are attracted to the New Forest due to its scenery, tranquillity, suitability for outdoor activities, and wildlife, characteristics that are similar to other greenspaces within and closer to Purbeck than the New Forest.

8.6 Impacts from recreation within the National Park are similar in many ways to those already described above for the Dorset Heaths (see Section 5). Access can result in disturbance to Annex I breeding birds, trampling, and eutrophication. Impacts of access to the New Forest are discussed in more detail in (Sharp et al., 2008).

8.7 Considering the distance to the New Forest and the availability of high quality greenspace within and close to Purbeck, it is unlikely that the proposed housing developments and tourism policies within the Purbeck District's Core Strategy alone will result in significant increases to the number of visitors to the New Forest National Park. However, the in-combination effects of the housing development and tourism policies within the core strategies of all other districts surrounding and close to the New Forest National Park may result in significant increases in the number of visits made to the Park, especially where new development is within 20km of the National Park (Sharp et al. 2008). Such an increase is likely to have an adverse effect on the integrity of the New Forest SPA and SAC. Development within Upton does fall within the 20km radius and it is therefore not possible to conclude, in the absence of mitigating measures, no adverse effect on the integrity of the New Forest European sites, in combination with all other developments in other Districts proceeding without mitigation.

**Mitigation**

8.8 The in-combination effects identified can only be resolved through a strategic approach, either through the Interim Planning Framework (IPF) and subsequent DPD, or through some extended partnership that includes the New Forest National Park. Purbeck’s contribution to such a strategic approach is relatively minor compared to other adjacent districts as the existing visitor data does suggest a relatively small proportion of visits originating from Purbeck. The implementation of any mitigation is potentially complex as one of the fundamental purposes of the National Park is to promote opportunities for understanding and enjoying its opportunities. The National Park therefore has both a duty to encourage and facilitate access as well as to manage access to ensure the protection of the Park. Much of the potential mitigation measures (such as codes of conduct, wardening, interpretation etc.) are already in place.

8.9 Visitors are attracted to the New Forest, rather than alternative areas, by dint of its scenery, peace and quiet, ease of accessibility, suitability for walking, and wildlife. As such the site has a wide appeal and draws people from a wide area. These factors need to be taken into consideration when identifying potential mitigation measures.

8.10 Potential mitigation measures include:

8.11 Green infrastructure and alternative sites. These may be successful in attracting some visitors (such as regular dog-walkers living close or within the National
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Park) but are unlikely to attract someone from Purbeck. The alternative sites may well reduce total visitor numbers and therefore work as part of a package of measures to ensure a no net increase in visitor pressure.

8.12 Promotion of rail transport and measures to reduce car-use when visiting the National Park, thereby ensuring access is focused through main ‘gateways’ and reducing impacts from cars (pollution, parking on verges etc.).

8.13 Access management within the New Forest as part of a long-term, carefully planned programme to ensure promotion, the spatial distribution of access infrastructure, visitor welcome and contact with visitors is such that the interest features of the European designations are protected and enhanced.

Conclusions

8.14 The New Forest National Park is a nationally promoted visitor attraction that draws day visitors and holiday makers from a wide radius. Visitor levels to the National Park are already high and additional development, especially within a 20km radius, is likely to result in increased visitor pressure. Part of Purbeck District just falls within such a radius. Mitigation measures, implemented strategically in conjunction with other local authorities, will eliminate any of the impacts. Mitigation measures are likely to be taken forward by the authorities in and immediately surrounding the National Park, and at the time that these are developed, the nature of any contribution necessary from the Purbeck District will become apparent.
9 Water Issues

Introduction

9.1 Water issues relating to European Sites in or near Purbeck District are abstraction and discharge. Abstraction can potentially draw water away from the European Protected Sites, resulting in impacts to wetland habitats and species. Discharge can result in nutrient inputs and therefore affect water quality and have impacts on vegetation communities.

Water Abstraction

9.2 Water throughout the Purbeck District Council area is supplied by Wessex Water (WW), which also supplies parts of Somerset, Wiltshire and Avon across four water Resource Zones (RZ). These zones are defined as “the largest possible zone in which all resources, including external transfers, can be shared and hence the zone in which all customers experience the same risk of supply failure from a resource shortfall”. Purbeck falls within the south RZ stretching from the Avon Valley in the east to Lyme Regis in the west and to the north and west of Blandford Forum. The following European Sites (with wetland interest features) are therefore potentially affected: the Dorset Heaths SAC, The Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland Dunes SAC, the Dorset Heathlands SPA, the Dorset Heathlands Ramsar, Avon Valley SAC, Avon Valley SPA/Ramsar.

9.3 WW have estimated the future household demand for water in each resource zone by examining each use of water by households and forecasting future use based on changing attitudes and behaviours and expected changes to domestic equipment; by allowing for projected increases in population and from a forecast of the increase in the use of meters. They have also made an estimate of the future changes in non-household demand. These estimates have been converted into forecasts of demand in a dry year and for the peak week in a dry year when demand would be expected to be at its highest (Wessex Water 2008a).

9.4 The company has then adjusted these figures to allow for the promotion of water efficiency measures to customers, a reduction in leakage and the effect of climate change on demand, to forecast the total demand for water until 2035.

9.5 Currently some 75% of supply comes from groundwater sources, of which two thirds comes from groundwater sources which are limited in a drought, and with four sources identified where abstraction is believed to have a significant effect on groundwater levels (none in Purbeck). The company has also calculated surface water yields, and allowed for the import of water from adjoining suppliers. Calculations have then been made on the effect of climate change on supply using three scenarios, dry, mid and wet (in the south zone wetter winters are expected to slightly increase future average and peak groundwater yields).

9.6 These figures have then been adjusted to allow for outage (a temporary reduction in the achievable output from water treatment works due to unforeseen circumstances i.e. a breakdown in equipment, or a foreseen event
such as maintenance) and headroom, an allowance for the uncertainties of demand and supply.

9.7 The balance between demand and supply has then been calculated as:

\[
\text{Balance} = \text{Yields} - \text{Outage} - \text{Target headroom} - \text{Demand + imports} - \text{Exports}.
\]

Without low flow reductions (see below), the southern resource zone has a surplus of resources to meet foreseeable demand.

9.8 In the early 1990s there were concerns about the effects of abstraction during low flows on three rivers, including the River Piddle (on the upper reaches outside Purbeck). WW proposed a major project to address these concerns but this was not approved by the water regulator OFWAT on the grounds of cost. An agreement between WW, EA, OFWAT and NE was then put in place to minimise the use of water sources which affected the three rivers, and formalised in a statement of Intent in 2002 which was renewed in 2007 for a further three years. However, these measures have not been confirmed as a long term solution, water might still need to be extracted up to typical current abstraction rates in dry years, when the streams would be most vulnerable, and further investigations and monitoring are necessary.

9.9 For the current Abstraction Management Plan (AMP5) period, 2005-2010, another fourteen low flow sites have been identified as at risk from abstraction. Tadnoll Brook discharging into the River Frome in Purbeck is one of these, as a result of abstraction at Empool. Tadnoll Brook is provided with water added from groundwater sources to maintain acceptable flows (stream support) as a condition of the groundwater abstraction licence, and at times this constitutes the entire flow of the stream.

9.10 WW embarked on an appraisal to identify options that could help to meet a growth in peak demand across the region and allowing for a potential reduction in licenced abstraction to address low flows. They began by listing an unconstrained list of options and undertaking an assessment of, and consultation on, each one. The schemes were then ranked according to their social, environmental, economic and carbon costs and categorised into those that reduced demand for water; those that increased average and peak water availability, those that catered for peak demands only and those that were only applicable with low flow licence reductions. The optimal schemes identified were all in the East and north RZs and none affect the south RZ directly. Although no figures have yet been agreed, a deficit of 20Ml/d\(^{13}\) has been identified in the north resource zone and 12Ml/d in the east resource zone by 2035. These are due to a growth in demand in the north and sustainability reductions in both zones likely to be imposed by EA as a result of the low flows studies (Wessex Water, 2008a).

9.11 WW have opted for a strategy that integrates their water supplies such that customers will be able to receive their water from more than one source. This would provide more security of supply, allow the movement of water around the region in the event that some supplies became unsuitable (for example due to

\[^{13}\text{ML/d} = \text{million litres per day}\]
high levels of nitrate), give greater robustness of supply against the effects of climate change and improve the connections between areas in deficit and those in surplus. However this also means that supply shortages in one area could impact on other areas as water is moved around the region to ease the deficits. They anticipate that the measures they take will remove the supply/demand balance deficits in the north and east resource zones until 2034. However, the achievement of this will also depend on the construction of additional pipelines, pumping stations and reservoirs, increasing the proportion of metered customers, continuing to reduce wastage from leaks and continued wise use of water by customers.

9.12 Groundwater quality is an important issue for the Company with elevated levels of nitrates found in some locations, coming largely from agricultural sources. In many areas groundwater quality is getting worse, and between 2010 and 2015 it is expected that abstracted raw water will exceed the drinking water standard for nitrate at eight water supply sources. Where the nitrate level exceeds this standard of 11.3mg/l the law requires that the water is not put into the public supply. Using a modelling approach, based on historic rates of nitrate fertiliser application, variations in groundwater levels and intense groundwater recharge events, the company has extrapolated nitrate levels into the future for sources at risk, including four in Purbeck. This has shown that for most sources, nitrate levels will continue to rise for a few years and then decline. If nitrate concentrations exceed permitted levels, the company can close the source of supply or install treatment processes to remove nitrates as has been done recently for two sources near Salisbury.

9.13 Abstraction, whether from reservoirs, rivers or groundwater sources has to be licenced by the Environment Agency (EA), and these licences set a limit to the amount of water that can be abstracted from any given source per annum or per day in order to protect the environment. In some places there is stream support, in others, the level of abstraction from groundwater sources can depress river flows.

9.14 The Environment Agency is required to produce Catchment Management Strategies for river catchments setting out the availability of water and their strategy for managing this resource now and in the future. The Frome, Piddle and Purbeck Catchment Abstraction Management Strategy (CAMS) covers an area of approximately 900km² and takes in the whole of the Purbeck District Council area as well as the headwaters of the Frome and Piddle to the west (Environment Agency 2005). There are 381 abstraction licences within the CAMS and 80% of the water abstracted is used for public water supply.

9.15 Approximately 90% of the CAMS area has been designated a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone (NVZ) due to high or rising levels of nitrates and action programme measures must therefore be implemented in the CAMS area to reduce nitrate pollution. Both surface and groundwaters have enhanced levels of nitrates mostly due to the historic application of fertilisers. If public water supply sources are polluted by nitrates, alternative sources may have to be used that effectively reduce the available supplies.
9.16 The CAMS has assessed the availability of supplies used for consumptive purposes in terms of availability status, as described in Table 10.

### Table 10: Definitions of water availability status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicative resource availability status</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water available</td>
<td>Water likely to be available at all flows including low flows. Restrictions may apply</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No water available</td>
<td>No water available for further licensing at low flows, although water may be available at higher flows with appropriate restrictions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-licensed</td>
<td>Current actual abstraction is resulting in no water available at low flows. If existing licences were used to their full allocation they would have the potential to cause unacceptable environmental impact at low flows. Water may be available at high flows with appropriate restrictions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Over-abstracted</td>
<td>Existing abstraction is causing unacceptable environmental impact at low flows. Water may still be available at high flows with appropriate restrictions.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.17 The CAMS area has been subdivided into smaller Water Resource management Units for the purposes of assessment and the relevant management units are:

9.18 Unit 1 Frome (groundwater and surface water). This unit includes the whole River Frome catchment including the river and its tributaries together with the Lower Frome Groundwater Management Unit (GWMU No 9). The surface water unit includes a substantial area of Purbeck DC but excludes the catchments of the rivers Piddle, Corfe and Sherford and those parts of the Frome subject to tidal influence. WW provides stream support to the Tadnoll Brook and the South Winterborne. The River Frome has been assessed as “water available”. The lower Frome groundwater unit which supplies the Tadnoll Brook and the River Frome are currently believed to be abstracted up to sustainable levels and have been assessed as “no water available”. The major consumptive use of both sources is for public water supply.

9.19 Unit 2 River Piddle (surface and groundwater). This unit includes the entire River Piddle and its tributaries down as far as the tidal limit at Wareham, and the chalk aquifer underlying the upper Piddle and Bere stream. WW supplies steam support for the Piddle and Devils Brook. Sustainable levels of licensed abstraction (most of which by volume is for public water supply) have been reached from this unit and are on the boundary of over licensed. The CAMS states that further unconstrained abstractions would give cause for concern and that the unit has been classified as “no water available”.

9.20 Unit 3 River Sherford (surface water). This unit covers the River Sherford and its tributaries down to the tidal limit together with GWMU 10. The underlying confined chalk aquifer has not been assessed. There are no abstractions for public water supply. The river has been assessed as “water available”.
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9.21 Unit 4 River Corfe (surface water). The unit covers the river Corfe and its tributaries. The underlying confined chalk aquifer has not been assessed. There is one large public water supply licence which is not currently utilised, but the CAMS has assessed the unit as reaching sustainable levels of licensed abstraction and as “no water available”.

9.22 GWM Unit 11 Sherford (groundwater). Most of this groundwater catchment lies in the North Winterborne catchment. There is no abstraction for public water supply. The CAMS has assessed this unit as “water available”.

9.23 The CAMS indicates that most groundwater abstractions do not have restrictive conditions during the summer months due to the difficulty of assessing the relationship between the abstraction and the nearest stretch of river. There are concerns about the depletion of chalk springs due to chalk public water supply abstraction. The normal renewal period for abstraction licenses in the Frome, Piddle and Purbeck CAMS is twelve years with any new licences having a common end date of 31st March 2017 although shorter time periods can be imposed. Since the CAMS was published there have been two published reviews and no changes have been made in the water availability status of either surface or groundwater management units (Environment Agency 2006, 2007).

9.24 WW have opted for a strategy over the next 25 years of developing a more integrated water supply grid. This involves a network of pipelines to supplement the existing system which inter alia, connects Poole, Wareham and Dorchester. Modelling by WW has identified a number of additional network connections or improvements to the existing pipeline system to allow for increased flows or to allow water to flow in the opposite direction. In Purbeck this will require an additional pipeline connection between Ringwood and Wareham.

9.25 The proposed scheme will connect all major areas so that deficits in one area can be accommodated from surpluses elsewhere by moving water around. Such deficits may arise from water shortages in some years, the need to maintain flows in streams and rivers by reducing abstractions and stream support and the possibility of cutting supplies from sources with high nitrate levels or high pathogen levels (Wessex Water 2008b).

9.26 For Purbeck, this means that in terms of water supply, all areas will be on the extended network of water mains. It will, however, take WW some time to put a fully operative network in place and there could therefore be some short term issues of supply if further development places additional burdens on surface or groundwater sources which have no water available during dry years or at dry peaks, and if some local sources are closed due to high nitrate levels. The various Dorset Heath sites are therefore potentially at risk.

9.27 The Environment Agency’s abstraction licensing system and Review of Consents should serve to protect the European sites from the negative effects of over-abstraction. However there are concerns that abstraction could be affecting chalkwater springs and the links between extraction and river flows are uncertain. There is no assessment in the CAMS of the effects of water abstraction on wetland sites such as the fens and mires associated with the Dorset Heaths SAC/SPA/Ramsar Sites. A decline in the area of wet habitats,
peatland and wet heath on the Dorset heaths has been noted (Rose et al. 2000), but no cause has been ascribed to this.

9.28 The CAMS does not cover river discharges into the Poole Harbour SPA/RAMSAR site, and therefore it does not assess the effects of reduced flows or increases in nitrates in the rivers feeding the Harbour.

9.29 Although in the longer term it will be possible to address these issues by using alternative sources of supply, through the enhanced network proposed by WW, in the short term, Purbeck District Council will need to be satisfied that that WW can guarantee a supply of water of sufficient quantity and quality will be available to meet the needs of new housing and industrial/commercial development without detriment to the European sites, before publishing their final development proposals in the core strategy.

9.30 Whilst it cannot be relied upon as a measure to counteract potential effects upon European sites, it would be sensible for Purbeck District Council to consider the encouragement or imposition of water saving measures in all new developments as a matter of course together with possible retro-fitting of existing housing stock where development proposals are submitted. A wider suite of positive measures to improve water efficiency will hopefully contribute towards resolving the current issues and therefore result in an improved baseline once impacts upon European sites arising from increased water demand are reassessed.

Sewage discharges

9.31 A total of approximately 17.1Ml/d of treated effluent discharges into the Frome, Piddle and Purbeck CAMS area, with 98% of all discharges from Sewage Treatment Works (STWs) operated by WW. The largest of these STWs are at Dorchester, Wool and Blackheath.

9.32 There are ten STWs entering the River Frome and operated by WW, with the largest at Dorchester. A scheme to install phosphate reduction equipment in the Dorchester, Wool and Bradford Peverell Waste Water Treatment Works which all discharge into the Frome, has been approved.

9.33 On the River Piddle there are three STWs operated by WW with the largest at Milborne St Andrew. On the Sherford there is one STW at Blackheath, although this also takes piped sewage from Bere Regis in the Piddle catchment for treatment and discharge. The volume of discharge from the STW is a major constituent of the Sherford river flow below Blackheath.

9.34 The single STW below Corfe Village on the Corfe River contributes a major proportion of the river flow below the STW.

9.35 Altogether then, there are twenty-one STWs discharging into rivers which flow into Poole Harbour or discharging directly into the Harbour.

9.36 Targets for river water quality objectives are set for all rivers by EA on the basis of a River Ecosystem (RE) Classification Scheme. Over 97% of the Frome, Piddle and Corfe catchments reached level RE1 (very good quality suitable for all fish species) or RE2 (good quality suitable for all fish species) in 2000-2003. However
the status of the rivers under a General Quality Assessment is less favourable with elevated phosphate levels in the Rivers Corfe and Frome and some of their tributaries and elevated nitrate levels in the Piddle, its major tributaries and the Tadnoll Brook.

9.37 In 2001 Poole Harbour was designated as both a Sensitive Area (Eutrophic) under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive and a Polluted Water (Eutrophic) under the Nitrates Directive. Where a water body has been identified as sensitive on the grounds that it has been found to be eutrophic, more stringent tertiary treatment of sewage discharges is required from sewage works serving populations of more than 10,000. Such additional treatment involves reducing levels of nitrogen and/or phosphorus in discharges to reach standards set in the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive, within seven years of the identification of the sensitive areas (DEFRA 2009).

9.38 Raised nitrate levels in Poole Harbour have recently indicated hyper-nutrification with the highest levels of nutrient concentrations entering the Harbour from the Frome, Sherford and Piddle and with highest inorganic nitrogen in the Frome (Murdoch & Randall 2001; Langston 2003; Underhill-Day 2006). The highest levels of nutrients recorded in the Harbour were in Holes Bay and the Wareham Channel (Murdoch & Randall, 2001).

9.39 The river catchments draining into the Harbour have been defined as Nitrate Vulnerable Zones. The annual load of inorganic nitrogen to the Harbour is estimated to be 2,457 tonnes, 78% of which is delivered via freshwater inputs including the Rivers Frome, Piddle, Sherford and Corfe.

9.40 A further 20% of the annual nitrogen load was, until 2008, contributed by Poole STW, with most of the remaining 2% from Wareham and Lytchett Minster STWs. Poole STW has been required to reduce nutrient discharges under the Urban Waste Water Treatment Directive, and this is expected to reduce the dissolved available inorganic nitrogen (DAIN) loading from this source by 59%. With this reduction, calculation of nitrogen budgets suggest that the percentage inputs from the Rivers will be: Frome 55%, Piddle 24%, Sherford 6% and Corfe River 3%. Discharges from the Poole STW will be 10% and other STW discharging directly into the Harbour remains at 2%.

9.41 Of those STWs discharging into the rivers, it is estimated that Dorchester STW accounts for most of the 6% of the nitrate load in the Frome (i.e. 3% of the nitrogen load in Poole Harbour), and that discharges to the Piddle account for some 2% of the nitrogen load in the river. Most of the nitrogen entering the Harbour from these rivers comes from non-point agricultural sources.

9.42 One effect of higher nutrient levels in the Harbour is the growth of macro-algal mats (mostly Ulva and Enteromorpha sp.) covering the intertidal flats. These can cause changes in invertebrate species richness and abundance which could impact on the SPA waterfowl populations of the area (Jones 2003; Pinn & Jones 2005).

9.43 In a recent survey, seven of 80 mudflat sites surveyed in Poole Harbour had over 70% cover of macro-algal growth (Herbert et al. 2010). These algal mats could impact the SPA interest by affecting the benthic invertebrates which are food for
the passage and wintering birds feeding in the Harbour. The proposed new housing in the Core Plan is located in Wool and surrounding settlements (c.355), Bere Regis and surrounding settlements (c.110), Wareham and surrounding settlements (c.455), Upton/Lytchett Matravers/Lytchett Minster (c.580) and Swanage/Corfe (c.900). Apart from Swanage, where the STW discharge is direct to the sea, all these settlements discharge through STWs either direct to the Harbour (Wareham and Lytchett Minster near Upton) or indirectly via the Frome (Wool STW), the Sherford (Blackheath STW) or the Corfe River Corfe STW.

9.44 The contribution of each of these STWs to the nutrient loading in the Harbour is shown in Table 11.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STW</th>
<th>Direct /Indirect</th>
<th>% Annual Load to Harbour</th>
<th>% Summer Load to Harbour</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corfe Castle</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackheath</td>
<td>Indirect</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lytchett Minster</td>
<td>Direct</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.45 The Environment Agency has concluded (Jonas et al 2009) that discharges from the STWs at Wareham, Lytchett Minster, Blackheath and Wool are significant and that it cannot be concluded that they are not having an adverse effect on the Poole Harbour SPA in combination. In each case, additional loads from new development will add to the potential for an adverse effect in combination.

9.46 All the proposed development, with the exception of Swanage, will discharge their wastewater into STWs that either discharge into rivers entering Poole Harbour or directly into the Harbour itself.

9.47 It is not clear at present what population size would be served by each of the main STWs, as STWs serve a range of scattered settlements as well as the main town, and in some cases sewage is pumped considerable distances from other settlements (e.g. Bere Regis to Blackheath). However, WW have advised that the treatment works at the locations in Table 12 will be subject to additional flow from new development during the proposed plan period. The table also lists receiving water courses for each STW.

9.48

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment Works</th>
<th>Receiving effluent discharge</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Swanage</td>
<td>Swanage Bay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wareham</td>
<td>River Frome (Dorset) - then Poole Harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blackheath</td>
<td>River Sherford - then Poole Harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wool</td>
<td>River Frome (Dorset) - then Poole Harbour</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corfe Castle</td>
<td>River Corfe (Poole Harbour)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The STWs at Wareham and Blackheath will require planned improvement works to provide adequate capacity to serve new developments. These capacity improvements may be delivered as either phased improvements to match the rate of development or a single scheme with a suitable design horizon.

The remaining STWs have sufficient capacity to serve proposed developments within the plan period 2026. This assumes that no consent reviews will be required during the plan period.

Asset planning is reviewed on a periodic basis to assist funding requirements through the 5 year business plan agreed with regulator OFWAT. Cumulative windfall growth within the catchment is also accounted for in this process. Ongoing maintenance works for mechanical/electrical plant will be required when asset replacement is necessary.

WW are planning several investigations relating to existing phosphorus discharges from STW effluent and nitrogen discharges into Poole Harbour. Both of these investigations will advise on the influence of the discharges upon the level of eutrophication relative to other sources and predicting the impacts of future development.

Both investigations will involve the Environment Agency and are due to commence during April 2010 and report in March 2012 subject to funding agreement with OFWAT.

Any increase in settlement size in Wareham, Wool, Bere Regis and Upton will therefore increase discharges into Poole Harbour and could add to the nutrient load which is already unacceptably high. Sewer discharges also enter the Harbour from STWs in adjoining authorities at Dorchester in West Dorset and at Poole. EA has also found that it is not possible to conclude that the STW at Dorchester will not have an adverse effect on Poole Harbour in combination and that Poole STW will not have an adverse effect both alone and in combination on the Harbour, and therefore Purbeck District Council has to rely on EA to take a holistic view of the issues and solutions.

It is unclear at present whether the existing network of sewers is adequate to accommodate additional dwellings. It is known that sewer bursts in the area are a problem (WWs environmental programme contains 68 actions to reduce the volume of sewage that overflows from sewers during periods of heavy rainfall), but it is not known where these are or whether such overflows threaten any European designated sites. However it is reasonable to assume that any sewer bursts within the river catchments will find their way into the catchment drainage system and eventually into the main rivers and down the Poole Harbour, howbeit perhaps much filtered and diluted. Purbeck District Council will need to be satisfied that WW can demonstrate that any additional development will not result in increased risk of bursts in overloaded sewers.
9.56 There are no known water quality issues in relation to urban run-off in the District (Environment Agency 2005), and it is not considered that any of the heathland mires or Poole Harbour is at risk from natural flooding events.

**Mitigation**

9.57 Purbeck District Council will need to be satisfied before publishing their final core strategy that WW can demonstrate (and that EA will consent) that:

- A supply of water of sufficient quantity and quality will be available to meet the needs of new housing and industrial/commercial development without detriment to the European sites prior to the occupation of each phase of all new developments,
- No new developments should be sited in areas which put at risk the quality or quantity of surface or groundwater sources
- That the sewer capacity is sufficient or will be made so, to accommodate the increased effluent resulting from all new developments without risk of bursts
- That measures will be put in place at Wareham and Blackheath STWs to increase capacities so that the STWs have sufficient additional capacity to accommodate new development before occupation of the new developments which they will serve. That any other shortfalls in STW capacities identified by consent reviews will be addressed prior to the occupation of any new developments they will serve
- That additional STW discharges arising from new developments will not have an adverse effect on the Poole Harbour RAMSAR/SPA European site through increases in the total inputs of nutrients. This could be achieved through the installation of nutrient stripping at one or more STWs or by measures to reduce nutrient inputs to the Harbour from other point or diffuse sources such that the effect of new developments was nutrient neutral.
- That a timetable of actions is agreed with EA and WW to ensure that the necessary actions are taken with regard to STW capacities and discharges and sewer capacities to avoid any adverse effect on the designated features of the Poole Harbour RAMSAR/SPA site as a result of new development.

**Conclusions**

9.58 At this current point in time therefore, it cannot be concluded that adverse effects upon European designated heathland sites arising from water abstraction can be prevented.

9.59 However, in relation to housing growth within Purbeck District, guarantees from WW in the short term and the implementation of an extended water supply network in the medium term by WW should allow the proposed new development to proceed with regard to water abstraction.
Given the current eutrophic nature of Poole Harbour, recent reports suggest STW discharges could already be having an adverse effect on the integrity of the Poole harbour RAMSAR/SPA site. There are also uncertainties in relation to existing trends in nitrate concentrations in the Harbour, the effects of further nutrient discharges from STWs and as a result of sewer bursts on the Poole Harbour SPA/RAMSAR site and the extent and timing of the measures needed to address these. It is not possible to conclude that the wastewater discharges from the proposed developments will not have an adverse effect on the Poole Harbour European site. However, if the mitigation measures (outlined above are put in place prior to occupation this should allow new development to proceed without an adverse effect on the Poole Harbour SPA/RAMSAR site.

The timetable of steps to rectify current and predicted impacts identified in the list of mitigation measures above should inform the phasing of new development, particularly housing. By establishing this timetable with the EA and WW prior to the submission of the Core Strategy for Examination, a clearer picture of the necessary phasing may be gained and incorporated into the Core Strategy accordingly.
10 Fragmentation and pressure on heathland sites as a result of employment allocation.

10.1 There is abundant literature accumulated over decades on the effects of habitat fragmentation, with the majority citing negative effects on biodiversity. This includes work on the Dorset Heaths (Webb 1989; Webb & Vermaat 1990). In general, development as proposed in the Core Strategy is sufficiently far from or peripheral to internationally protected sites to be unlikely to contribute to fragmentation of the sites, but there is one area of particular concern - Holton and Sandford Heaths - which could be affected by employment land allocations. In addition the Winfrith Technology Park, near Wool, is adjacent to part of the Dorset Heaths.

10.2 The Core Strategy (Policy ELS) sets out employment land allocation of eight hectares at Holton Heath, four hectares at Admiralty Park and 20 hectares at Dorset Green, near Wool.

10.3 The proposed employment land allocations at Holton lie between the Holton Heath and Sandford Heath parts of the SSSI/SAC/SPA. The smaller fragment of heathland at Blackhill, also within this international designation, is itself between the two larger heath blocks and could be very much encircled by the land uses associated with the employment allocation.

10.4 The Inspector’s Report of the Inquiry into the NE Purbeck Local Plan in 2002 raised some very relevant issues in relation to development at Holton. In particular the Inspector was concerned about the direct loss or compromising of further land of nature conservation interest (SNCI and pSNCI); and by the effects of development causing further fragmentation of heathland habitat with the consequence of isolating and making more vulnerable, populations of certain key heathland species. These include sand lizards that are a particular SAC feature in Dorset and the Annex 1 bird species that are the Dorset Heaths SPA core interest feature.

10.5 The above issues were highlighted in the context of the proposed development for a substantial amount of residential development then before the Inspector. However, many of the concerns apply also to employment uses. There would probably not be the additional impacts caused by the keeping of pets, or from recreational access, and fire. However the separation and fragmentation of habitat and species, the loss of ability to restore heathland on land currently covered by trees, either as additional habitat or for the provision of links, and the risk to habitats of conservation importance such as acid grassland are all just as relevant to the employment uses now proposed in the Core Strategy.

10.6 Land adjacent to heaths is often important to sustaining the nature conservation interest of the heaths. For example radio-tracking of nightjars breeding on the heaths has shown that they will fly up to 8km from the heaths to feed at night (Alexander & Cresswell 1990; Cresswell 1996). The nightjars have been shown to use a range of habitats such as grassland, orchards and wet meadow. Any loss of such supporting habitats (potentially rich in invertebrates) outside the heaths could therefore affect the feeding behaviour of nightjar and could result in or contribute to an adverse effect on the ecological integrity of the nightjar.
population. The Core Strategy also proposes (para 8.10.5) that employment land is provided with recreational space for walking and cycling. This is a worthy intention and is probably intended to ensure that sufficient land is allocated to allow for the built infrastructure plus additional green space. In the context of Holton however, it could perversely reduce the area available as habitat linkage, or cause a reduction in the quality of existing habitat if this is used for recreation, making the effect of the employment use more similar to the generally more damaging impacts that are associated with residential use.

10.7 The precise distribution of buildings, infrastructure and green space is not clear at this stage. Although it may be possible to accommodate all of these and not adversely affect the Dorset Heaths SAC/ Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar sites, this is not certain. Therefore it is not possible to rule out that the European sites could be negatively impacted by the employment land proposal.

Mitigation

10.8 It may be possible to mitigate the effects of employment use at Holton. A full ecological survey carried out before any land is allocated for development or green space will be necessary to inform a nature conservation master plan, whereby land with the most important potential for habitat restoration and linkage provision is safeguarded and managed, along with all areas with existing high nature conservation interest and particularly that supporting European site interest features. It may be that the total 12ha of land for employment use can be found, without adverse impacts being likely, or the allocation area may need to be amended in the light of the detailed site assessment.

10.9 The costs of these mitigation provisions need to be part of the development brief for the Holton employment land, given its highly sensitive and vulnerable location, in the same way that they would apply for residential use.

10.10 The most obvious risk of habitat fragmentation is at Holton. There is also a potential threat from the employment land proposals at Winfrith. Although there is more space available, and the distribution of designated sites is concentrated towards the western side of Winfrith, employment provision here could adversely affect the internationally designated heathland. A precautionary approach would require that a similar ecological survey and conservation master plan is drawn up here to avoid any risk of fragmentation.

Conclusions

10.11 The Core Strategy should therefore highlight the need for ecological assessment work at both Holton Heath and Winfrith, which inform the masterplans and development briefs for both areas. These plans or briefs will themselves act as mitigation measures for protecting the European sites. It is therefore recommended that the Core Strategy includes policy wording along the following lines:

10.12 “The nature, scale and location of employment allocations at Holton Heath and Winfrith will be determined following ecological assessment work, which will feed in to subsequent master plans and development briefs. These subsequent plans and briefs will ensure that the nature, scale and location of employment
allocations at Holton Heath and Winfrith will be such as to enable the Council to ascertain that there will not be an adverse effect on the integrity of any European site.”
11  Air quality

11.1 Local Authorities are required under Part IV of the 1995 Environment Act to review air quality in their areas, and to periodically report to DEFRA whether they have met the standards set by the Air Quality Regulations. Where the standards are not being, or are not likely to be met, the LA is required to set up an Air Quality Management Area (AQMA) with an action plan to take steps to reduce the levels of the offending pollutants.

11.2 Most AQMAs are in urbanised areas where pollutant levels are highest from industry and traffic.

11.3 Purbeck District Council carried out a screening exercise in 2003 to check on the levels of benzene, 1,3 butadiene, carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO2), PM10 (fine particles), lead and sulphur dioxide (SO2) (Purbeck District Council 2003). This study found that none of the pollutants measured were at concentrations which exceeded the nationally set air quality objectives and that in no case were these objectives likely to be exceeded in the future.

11.4 Purbeck District Council has since carried out an annual assessment and has found no factors likely to change the original assessment and that the levels of NO2 (which is the only pollutant regularly measured in the District) are still below the set levels (Purbeck District Council, 2008).

11.5 There are two national objectives for NO2: an hourly mean of 200ug/m³ not to be exceeded more than 18 times pa, and an annual mean of 40ug/ m³ or less by 31st December 2005. In Purbeck, the Council have installed monitoring points in 10 locations to represent a range of situations, mostly close to roads, and including Wareham, Swanage, Upton, Bere Regis and Wool. These showed that for the period 2003-2007, average levels for Wareham, Bere Regis, Wool and parts of Swanage (Queens Road and Gilbert Road) was below 20ug/m³, at the railway station Swanage it was between 20-30ug/m³, and at Sandford Road, Sandford and Blandford Road, Upton, the levels are at about 30ug/m³.

11.6 However, the standards set by the Air Quality Regulations relate to human health and are not directly relevant to critical levels of deposition on heathland. Further, the Air Quality Standards are expressed in terms of the ratio of pollutant to a cubic metre of air, whereas the standards for habitats such as heathland are expressed in terms of kilograms deposited per hectare per annum.

11.7 Purbeck District contains a substantial proportion of the internationally important Dorset Heaths SAC/Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar sites. Heathlands are acid, low nutrient systems with poor buffering capability against inputs of nutrients (mostly airborne nitrogen) or increases in acidity (mostly a side effect of nitrogen or from airborne sulphur).

11.8 Airborne nitrogen (mostly as ammonia and nitrous oxides) from burning fossil fuels by industry, traffic, shipping and agriculture, now poses one of the greatest threats to heathland in Europe. Heathland systems are generally poor in nutrients and many of the plant species can only survive and compete successfully on soils with low nitrogen availability (Bobbink & Heil 1993).
Nitrogen compounds also increase acidification in soils. The addition of nitrogen in rain or dust particles, results in an increase in the nitrogen in the vegetation, litter and upper soil layers, and this builds up over time.

11.9 In one study on a lowland heath in southern England, about 18% of the nitrogen build-up was in the vegetation, 14% in the litter and 60% in the top 10cm of soil (Power pers. comm.). Heather growth can initially benefit from inputs of nitrogen, but where the heather is then removed, for example by fire, then grasses gain a competitive advantage both from the higher nutrient levels and from the increase in light, and this triggers a conversion from heather to grass dominated communities.

11.10 Where there is no sudden event such as fire, the enhanced nutrient levels in the heather plants can speed up the growth cycle so that aging occurs more rapidly, the plants become more vulnerable to cold weather effects or drought and higher nutrient levels can encourage more frequent attacks by insects, particularly heather beetle (Lee & Caporn 1998; Power et al. 1998; Carroll et al. 1999; Kristensen & McCarty 1999; Lee et al. 2000). All these factors can lead to a weakening of the heather, and, as the light penetration increases through weakened or dead heather canopies and levels of nitrogen in the soil from a build-up of airborne nitrogen deposition increase, both these factors encourage the growth of grasses.

11.11 A range of grass species can be involved in this process, and one of these, purple moor-grass (henceforth referred to as *Molinia*) has displaced heathland vegetation on many heaths across North-west Europe. On wet heaths, *Molinia* can oust the typical heathland dwarf shrubs under high nitrogen conditions (Berendse & Aerts 1984; Aerts & Berendse 1988; Uren et al. 1997; Milligan et al. 2004).

11.12 A widely adopted international standard for setting acceptable levels of air pollutants is the use of critical loads and levels defined as: “quantitative estimate of exposure to one or more pollutants below which significant harmful effects on specified sensitive elements of the environment do not occur according to present knowledge” (Nilsson & Grennfelt 1988). Critical levels identify acceptable levels of pollutants in the atmosphere while critical loads identify acceptable deposition levels on different habitats.

11.13 In a review of the evidence, Bobbink et al. (2002) concluded that the critical load for nitrogen in dry heathland should be 10-20 kg/ ha/ yr and in wet heathland it should be 10-25 kg/ ha/ yr with the lower end of this range applied to sites with low intensity management.

11.14 A number of studies have shown a decline in heather and an increase in the dominance of *Molinia* on a range of heaths, mires and moors in Norway, Denmark, Holland, Belgium and the UK (Chambers, Mauquoy, & Todd 1999). In the UK, there is also evidence of grass invasion of some dry heaths. These

---

changes can involve a variety of heathland grasses including *Molinia*, bristle bent grass *Agrostis curtissii*, sheep’s fescue *Festuca ovina* and wavy hair grass *Deschampsia flexuosa* (Marrs 1993; Todd et al. 2000).

11.15 In the Dorset Heath area, the level of nitrogen deposition has been estimated as 13.2 kg/ha/yr which is slightly above the minimum critical load for both dry and wet heath but well below the maximum for both. The relevant figure for the Dorset Heaths SAC is 9.1 kg/ha/yr which is below the minimum critical load. The level of acid deposition in the Dorset Heaths area is 1.12 keq/ha/yr which is well above the critical load level of 0.1keq/ha/yr, with the relevant figure for the Dorset Heaths SAC at 1.2 keq/ha/yr. The very acid heaths of Dorset give little buffering protection from this increased acidity.

11.16 The deposition of nitrogen has fallen in Europe since 1990, and levels are expected to fall further (NEGTAP, 2001) although world levels are predicted to go on rising for some time. However, past depositions remain in the vegetation, litter and upper soil layers and modelling suggests that it may take between 20 and 50 years to restore heather dominance in some grass heaths depending on the intensity of management (Terry et al. 2004).

11.17 The results for Purbeck suggest that the current levels of nitrogen are not directly damaging to the European heathland sites, but that the situation requires further continued monitoring. It is not known what has led to the high levels of acid deposition. This normally takes the form of sulphur dioxide but the only source of SO$_2$ which has given cause for concern was the Swanage railway, and measurement taken at Swanage where the trains waited at the station and SO$_2$ levels might have been expected to be high, found that these did not breach air quality objectives.

11.18 The Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West 2006-2026 proposed that measures be put in place to reduce traffic by all local planning authorities, and at a district level there are a limited range of measures LPAs can pursue. Whilst it cannot be relied upon as a measure to counteract potential effects upon European sites, Purbeck District Council could encourage (as part of, or in addition to Policy IAT):

- Park and ride systems.
- Bus priority routes.
- Pedestrian and cycle routes to local shops and services
- Employment opportunities as part of local developments
- Green transport plans for companies.
- Encourage use of ‘greener’ vehicles.
- Information and education activities.

---

15 A keq is a kilo equivalent of inputs of 14 kg/ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ of nitrogen or 16kg/ha$^{-1}$ yr$^{-1}$ of sulphur
16 See [http://www.apis.ac.uk/](http://www.apis.ac.uk/)
- Vehicle emission testing.
- Encourage more home/remote working initiatives.
- Encourage companies to reduce their environmental impact.
- Reduce the council’s own environmental impact.
- Low emission zones for use with greener vehicles.
- Encouraging people to use more public transport.
- Improvements to public transport.
- Considering air quality impacts from major developments.

11.19 Research has shown that effects on heathland vegetation from local traffic can extend up to 200m from the road edge (Angold, 1997). With respect to the core strategy the following in particular may have consequences for air quality:

- Increased levels of housing, leading to increased traffic along the B3075, the A351, the A352, Ferry Road (Studland).
- Employment land allocations resulting in increased traffic. The great majority of the employment land allocations are some distance from housing allocations – for example Holton Heath and Dorset Green Technology Park are some distance from Wool, Wareham and, in particular, Swanage. There would therefore potentially be an increased threat to the international sites between e.g. Swanage and Holton, from the effects of increased traffic, caused by vehicles used for driving to work. The heathland sites likely to be impacted are Stoborough Heath and Corfe Common. The southern part of Morden Bog is also vulnerable, as is Sandford Heath, since they lie between Wareham and Holton.
- Emissions from employment sites themselves (at Winfrith and Holton Heath).

Mitigation
11.20 Traffic emissions are difficult to address and the focus of development at Swanage will result in increased traffic close to heathland sites. The Core Strategy already contains measures relating to reducing car-use. These could be further strengthened by reference to avoiding deterioration of European site habitats in the text supporting policies ATS and IAT.

11.21 To ensure no adverse effect it will be necessary to ensure no net decrease in air quality occurs on the European Sites as a result of the measures within the Core Strategy. The Purbeck Transportation Strategy will be formalised as a Supplementary Planning Document, and this will also provide an opportunity to include further measures to mitigate for direct effects on the European site from adjoining road traffic.

11.22 The Purbeck Transportation Strategy does propose various measures that reduce car use in the District, such as the rail link between Holton and Swanage (changing at Wareham) and the promotion of cycle routes and cycle facilities for
tourists and residents. It is not possible to ascertain whether these will be sufficient to ensure no adverse effect on integrity of the European Sites.

11.23 Proposed development which directly results or is likely to directly result in an increase in traffic on roads crossing European heathland sites should not be promoted unless appropriate mitigation measures are proposed. The Core Strategy should make reference to the need for development proposals to demonstrate that no net increase in traffic on roads within 200m of a European site will occur as a direct result of the development.

11.24 The master plans for the Winfrith and Holton Heath employment areas should identify the requirement for development within 200m of any part of the European site to be of a type that will not contribute any further nitrogen deposition. Atmospheric inputs from further afield can only be mitigated at a national level through the policies in the national air quality plans and strategies.

Conclusions
11.25 The general level of nitrogen deposition in Dorset is just below or exceeds the minimum critical load for dry heath and wet heath but is well below the maximum. The level of acid deposition exceeds critical levels but the causes are unknown. The main local source of nitrogen is from traffic and additional development (both housing and employment) in the areas close to the heathland SACs will generate additional traffic. Taking a precautionary approach it is anticipated that further residential development in the area may increase direct effects on the heathland from emissions. It is therefore not possible to conclude that the increased traffic levels both regionally and locally will not have a significant adverse effect on the integrity of the Dorset Heaths SACs. Further information on traffic levels and emissions is required before it is possible to be confident that mitigation measures will be sufficient to remove the adverse effect. We understand that detailed proposals for key transport infrastructure identified in the Purbeck Transportation Plan will be provided in due course through the Site Specific Allocations DPD. Given that the proposed housing developments are phased over a 15 year time span, interim estimates (based on housing numbers and assumptions about average trip rates) should suffice until further information is available.
12 **Summary of mitigation measures**

12.1 This assessment of the development proposals has shown that, without mitigation measures, adverse effects would be likely as a result of the Core Strategy alone, either as single elements or as a combination of elements within the plan. Throughout the report a number of different mitigation measures have been proposed and for convenience we summarise them here. This summary should not be relied upon as the full suite of recommendations, and each chapter will need to be referred to for the measures required to ensure that the Core Strategy will not have an adverse effect upon European sites. The suite of measures must be in place and agreed with Natural England and other stakeholders before development can proceed.

12.2 There are also obligations on Member States to avoid deterioration of habitats and significant disturbance of species on European sites. It seems clear that some measures under the European Directives will be needed to tackle existing pressures and problems, including deterioration of water quality and habitat deterioration and disturbance on the designated sites.

12.3 At this stage in the assessment of the emerging Core Strategy, a comprehensive suite of mitigation measures have been proposed that should be taken forward to ensure that the plan can be adopted in accordance with the requirements of the Habitats Regulations. However, uncertainties still remain as some significant elements of the measures proposed are complex and may be difficult to achieve, yet the conclusions of this assessment rely on the timely delivery of those measures.

12.4 It is therefore concluded that the HRA is not finally completed until a final check of the progression of those measures is undertaken, in order to gain greater certainty regarding their effective implementation. This precautionary approach remains compliant with the Habitats Regulations, and ensures that the plan is not given effect until it has been ascertained with the required level of certainty that mitigation will be delivered.

12.5 The final check of the Core Strategy and completion of the HRA should be undertaken to support the submission of the Core Strategy for Examination. This final part of the assessment should gain greater certainty with regard to the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures summarised below.

**Section 5: Dorset Heaths**

12.6 Mitigation measures should ensure no net increase in visitor pressure on the adjacent heathland sites and ensure that access onto the heaths is responsible and impacts are minimised. Measures need to specifically be tailored to the development locations and include:

- Measures to ensure that the long-term vision of extensive grazing on connected heathland sites is not affected by increased traffic and access pressure.
- Measures to manage roadside, off-road and diffuse car parking at relevant sites.
A review of access across key heaths to inform targeting of measures.

Provision of carefully designed SANGS to draw visitors away from heaths. Careful assessment of SANG sites will be necessary to ensure their effectiveness.

Promotion of responsible access.

The delivery of the IPF and the preparation, assessment, adoption and delivery of the Heathlands DPD.

**Section 1: Recreational Access to Poole Harbour**

12.7 Measures will need to be implemented in partnership with other bodies and to potentially include:

- The preparation, assessment, adoption and delivery of an implementation plan to ensure the delivery of measures to protect Poole harbour including, but not limited to, the following specific measures
- Management of parking e.g. Ferry Road
- Provision of signs, wardening, a viewpoint, relocation and screening of shoreline footpaths in recommended locations
- Working with the RSPB to minimise disturbance impacts to the area around French's Farm, Lytchett. This would include screening/planting along the road to Lytchett Sewage Treatment works and other measures in this area to minimise disturbance to Lytchett Bay and surrounding fields and pools.
- Measures to reduce the impact of water-based activities including a review of the Aquatic Management Plan and limits on small craft to specific locations
- A programme of monitoring, recording water-based and shore-based activities is required to help inform the mitigation. Such monitoring is set out in Liley & Underhill-Day (2009).

**Section 7: Increased recreational pressure to coastal sites**

12.8 Mitigation measures will need to include long-term management and monitoring, including:

12.9 Monitoring of condition of limestone grassland as agreed with Natural England. Early warning of adverse effects must inform further measures to include among other initiatives:

- Path diversions
- Patrolling by rangers/wardens
- Enforcement of need to pick up dog mess
- Provision of SANGs and monitoring to assess how effective they are in reducing pressure on coastal SACs
Section 8: Increased recreation pressure to the New Forest

12.10 Mitigation measures will need to be implemented strategically in conjunction with other local authorities, but may be likely to include:
  • Provisions of green infrastructure and alternative sites
  • Promotion of rail transport and measures to reduce car-use when visiting the National Park
  • Access management within the New Forest as part of a long-term, carefully planned programme interest features of the European designations are protected and enhanced.

Section 9: Water Issues

12.11 Assurances from the Environment Agency in the short term and the implementation of an extended water supply network in the medium term by Wessex Water should allow phased development to proceed, but further information from EA and WW is urgently needed, particularly to formulate a timetable of actions that will inform the phasing of development. Assurance is still required from EA and WW that there is:
  • Sufficient water quality and quantity for all new developments
  • Sufficient sewer capacity without risk of burst for all new developments
  • That measures will be put in place at Wareham and Blackheath SWTs to increase capacities
  • That additional discharge levels will not have a significant adverse effect on the nutrient status of the Poole Harbour European site

12.12 In addition:
  • No new developments should be sited in areas which put at risk the quality or quantity of surface or groundwater sources.

Section 10: Fragmentation and pressure on heathland sites as a result of employment allocation

12.13 It may be that the required area of land for employment use can be found without adverse impacts, or the allocation area may need to be amended in the light of a detailed site assessment. Detailed site assessments for Holton Heath and Winfrith Technology Park are therefore required before specific allocations are made in further DPDs and must include:
  • Ecological assessment work to inform master plans and development briefs which will ensure that the nature, scale and location of employment allocations at Holton Heath and Winfrith do not have an adverse effect on the integrity of the heathland sites. Proposals should seek to provide restoration and habitat linkages wherever possible
  • Mitigation proposals that are site specific and can be included within the development proposals once they come forward.
Section 11: Air quality

12.14 It will be necessary to ensure no net decrease in air quality as a result of increases in traffic close to heathland sites. The formalisation of a transport infrastructure for Purbeck as a Supplementary Planning Document should provide an opportunity to mitigate for direct effects on the European site from adjoining road traffic. Further references to project level assessment for developments potentially directly contributing to increased traffic, or potentially directly adding to nitrogen deposition are required within the Core Strategy.
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## Appendix 1

### Table 13 Reasons for designation of European sites within Purbeck District and 20km of the District boundary. + indicates a primary reason for designation as SAC, * indicate a priority SAC feature.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Reason for designation : SAC</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>Ramsar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heathlands SPA and Ramsar</td>
<td>Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <em>Erica tetralix</em>, temperate Atlantic wet heaths with <em>Erica ciliaris</em> and <em>Erica tetralix</em>, European dry heaths*, depressions on peat substrates of the <em>Rhynchosporion</em>, Molinia meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils, Calcareous fens with <em>Cladium mariscus</em> and species of the <em>Caricion davallianae</em>, Alkaline fens, Old acidophilous oak woods with <em>Quercus robur</em> on sandy plains Southern damselfly*, great crested newt.</td>
<td>Breeding nightjar, Dartford warbler, woodlark. Wintering hen harrier, merlin (see Table 3).</td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 1: Contains particularly good examples of (i) northern Atlantic wet heaths with cross-leaved heath <em>Erica tetralix</em> and (ii) acid mire with <em>Rhynchosporion</em>, largest example in Britain of southern Atlantic wet heaths with Dorset heath <em>Erica ciliaris</em> and cross-leaved heath <em>Erica tetralix</em>. Ramsar criterion 2: Supports 1 nationally rare and 13 nationally scarce wetland plant species, and at least 28 nationally rare wetland invertebrate species. Ramsar criterion 3: high species richness and ecological diversity of wetland habitat types and transitions; lies in one of the most biologically-rich wetland areas of lowland Britain. See above</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorset Heaths (Purbeck and Wareham) and Studland dunes SAC</td>
<td>Embryonic shifting dunes*, shifting dunes along the shoreline with <em>Ammophila arenaria</em> (&quot;white dunes&quot;)<em>, Atlantic decalcified fixed dunes</em>, humid dunes slacks*, oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (Littorelletalia uniflorae)*, Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <em>Erica tetralix</em>, temperate Atlantic wet heaths with <em>Erica ciliaris</em> and <em>Erica tetralix</em>,</td>
<td>See above.</td>
<td>Little Sea and Eastern Lake located within this SAC fall within Poole Harbour SPA.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Habitat Regulations Assessment of Purbeck’s Core Strategy: Pre-submission October 2010**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Reason for designation</th>
<th>SAC</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>Ramsar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poole Harbour SPA and Ramsar</td>
<td>European dry heaths, depressions on peat substrates of the <em>Rhynchosporion</em>, bog woodland*, <em>Molinia</em> meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils, Calcareous fens with <em>Cladium mariscus</em> and species of the <em>Caricion davallianae</em>, Alkaline fens, Old acidophilous oak woods with <em>Quercus robur</em> on sandy plains. Southern damselfly*; great crested newt.</td>
<td>Breeding common tern, and Mediterranean gull. Passage aquatic warbler and little egret. Wintering avocet, little egret. Internationally important wintering populations of Icelandic population of black-tailed godwit and the North-western European population of wintering shelduck. A wetland of international importance by regularly supporting at least 20,000 waterfowl.</td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 1: best and largest example of a bar-built estuary with lagoonal characteristics in Britain. Ramsar criterion 2: 2 species of nationally rare plant, 1 nationally rare alga, at least 3 British Red data book invertebrate species. Ramsar criterion 3: Mediterranean and thermo Atlantic halophilous scrubs, dominated by shrubby seablite <em>Suaeda vera</em>; calcareous fens with great fen sedge <em>Cladium mariscus</em>; transitions from saltmarsh through to peatland mires. Nationally important populations of breeding waterfowl including common tern, and Mediterranean gull, and of wintering, avocet. Ramsar criterion 5: internationally important assemblages of waterfowl. Ramsar criterion 6: Internationally important populations of common shelduck, black-tailed godwit.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>St Alban’s Head to Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site</td>
<td>Reason for designation:</td>
<td>SPA</td>
<td>Ramsar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durlston SAC</td>
<td>coasts, Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies: on calcareous substrates</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 2: Supports 15 specialist lagoonal species – more than any other UK site – and five nationally scarce wetland plants, ten nationally scarce wetland animals. Chesil Bank is one of the most important UK sites for shingle habitats and species.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(Festuco-Brometalia) (important orchid sites)*. Early gentian <em>Gentianella anglica</em>, Greater horseshoe bat <em>Rhinolophus ferrumequinum</em></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 3: The site is the largest barrier-built saline lagoon in the UK, and has the greatest diversity of habitats and of biota.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portland to Studland Cliffs SAC</td>
<td>Vegetated sea cliffs of the Atlantic and Baltic coasts*, Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies: on calcareous substrates (Festuco-Brometalia*, annual vegetation of drift lines. Early gentian <em>Gentianella anglica</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 4: important for a number of species at a critical stage in their life cycle including post-larval and juvenile bass <em>Dicentrarchus</em></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chesil Beach and The Fleet</td>
<td>Coastal lagoons*, Annual vegetation of drift lines, perennial vegetation of stony banks*, Mediterranean and thermo-Atlantic halophilous scrubs (<em>Sarcocornetea fruticosi</em>), Atlantic salt meadows (<em>Glauco-Puccinellietalia maritimae</em>). Internationally important overwintering population of dark-bellied Brent goose (Western Siberia/Western Europe) 1.1% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1991/92-1995/96)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 1: outstanding example of rare lagoon habitat, largest of its kind in the UK. The site also supports rare saltmarsh habitats.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Site

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Reason for designation:</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>Ramsar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crookhill Brick Pit</td>
<td>Great crested newt <em>Triturus cristatus</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 6: 2 species/populations occurring at levels of international importance. Ramsar criterion 8: nursery for bass <em>Dicentrarchus labrax</em>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerne and Sydling Downs</td>
<td>Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies: on calcareous substrates (<em>Festuco-Brometalia</em>)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marsh fritillary butterfly <em>Euphydryas (Eurodryas, Hypodryas) aurinia</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Holnest</td>
<td>Great crested newt <em>Triturus cristatus</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rooksmoor</td>
<td><em>Molinia</em> meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (<em>Molinion caeruleae</em>)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Marsh fritillary butterfly <em>Euphydryas (Eurodryas, Hypodryas) aurinia</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fontmell and Melbury Downs</td>
<td>Semi-natural dry grasslands and scrubland facies: on calcareous substrates (<em>Festuco-Brometalia</em>)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Early gentian <em>Gentianella anglica</em></td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>River Avon/Avon Valley</td>
<td>Water courses of plain to montane levels with the <em>Ranunculion fluitantis</em> and <em>Callitricho-Batrachion</em> vegetation</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Ramsar criterion 1: The site shows a greater range of habitats than any other chalk river in Britain, including fen, mire, lowland wet grassland and small areas of woodland. Ramsar criterion 2: The site supports a diverse assemblage of wetland flora and fauna including several nationally-rare species. Ramsar criterion 6: Internationally important populations of wintering Gadwall, <em>Anas strepera strepera</em>, 537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desmoulins’s whorl snail <em>Vertigo moulinsiana</em>, Sea lamprey <em>Petromyzon marinus</em>, Brook lamprey <em>Lampetra planeri</em>, Atlantic salmon <em>Salmo salar</em>, Bullhead <em>Cottus gobi</em>.</td>
<td>Internationally important over-wintering populations of Bewick’s Swan (135 individuals, at least 1.9% of the wintering population in Great Britain [5 year peak mean 1991/2 - 1995/6]) Overwintering populations of European importance of Gadwall (135 individuals representing at least 1.9% of the wintering population in Great Britain [5 year peak mean 1991/2 - 1995/6])</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*Ramsar* criterion 6: 2 species/populations occurring at levels of international importance. *Ramsar* criterion 8: nursery for bass *Dicentrarchus labrax*.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Reason for designation: SAC</th>
<th>SPA</th>
<th>Ramsar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The New Forest</td>
<td>Oligotrophic waters containing very few minerals of sandy plains (<em>Littorelletalia uniflorae</em>)<em>, Oligotrophic to mesotrophic standing waters with vegetation of the <em>Littorelletalia uniflorae</em> and/or of the <em>Isoëto-Nanojuncetea</em>, Northern Atlantic wet heaths with <em>Erica tetralix</em></em>, European dry heaths*, <em>Molinia</em> meadows on calcareous, peaty or clayey-silt-laden soils (<em>Molinion caeruleae</em>)<em>, Depressions on peat substrates of the <em>Rynchosporion</em>, Atlantic acidophilous beech forests with <em>ilex</em> and sometimes also <em>Taxus</em> in the shrub layer (<em>Quercion roboripetraeae</em> or <em>Ilici-Fagenion</em>), <em>Asperula-Fagetum</em> beech forests</em>, Old acidophilous oak woods with <em>Quercus robur</em> on sandy plains*, Bog woodland*, Alluvial forests with <em>Alnus glutinosa</em> and <em>Fraxinus excelsior</em> (<em>Alno-Padion, Alnion incanae, Salicion albae</em>)<em>, Transition mires, quaking bogs and Alkaline fens. Southern damselfly <em>Coenagrion mercuriale</em></em>, Stag beetle <em>Lucanus cervus</em>*, Great crested newt <em>Triturus cristatus</em>.</td>
<td>Breeding Dartford warbler, nightjar, woodlark, honey buzzard, wood warbler <em>Phylloscopus sibilatrix</em>, hobby. Wintering hen harrier</td>
<td>individuals, average of 3.1% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-2002/3)* Ramsar Criterion 1: Valley mires and wet heaths of outstanding scientific interest. The largest concentration of intact valley mires of their type in GB. Ramsar Criterion 2: Supports a diverse assemblage of wetland plants and animals. Ramsar Criterion 3: Mire habitats of high ecological quality and diversity. Invertebrate fauna important due to the concentration of rare and scarce wetland species. Whole site complex is essential to the genetic and ecological diversity of southern England.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Screening of the Core Strategy (PreSubmission draft dated October 13th 2010) for the likelihood of significant effects

Colours: no colour indicates no likely significant effect ("LSE"); pale red indicates where there is a likely significant effect; highlighted text in yellow indicates potential amendments to text.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan section/policy reference</th>
<th>Summary of relevant section/policy content</th>
<th>Likely Significant Effect</th>
<th>Explanation of assessment made</th>
<th>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overarching Vision</td>
<td>Explains that the Vision is guided by the Purbeck Community Plan, reflecting its Priority Areas. Sets out an overall vision, with environment (specifically mentioning internationally important habitats) the focus of one paragraph</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>The Vision does not include any location or quantum of development for the District and is strategic.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spatial Objectives</td>
<td>The 9 spatial objectives set out social, economic and environmental objectives to improve the sustainability of the District.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>Objectives are overarching and very strategic in nature. The objectives do not promote development, or particular development locations.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy LD: General Location of Development</td>
<td>The policy sets out the locations for development, following the settlement hierarchy pattern. The key towns (Swanage, Upton and Wareham), along with a number of key service villages will be the focus of development.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>The effects of development in towns with close proximity to European sites cannot be ruled out without further consideration. Effects arising from close proximity include water resources and quality, pollution, direct land take, disturbance, erosion, recreational pressure and urbanisation effects.</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be assessed within AA, and this will also include consideration of the focus of development in the main towns and service villages, taking into account proximity to European sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy HS: Housing Supply</td>
<td>Sets out the quantum of new housing for the District for the plan period, a total of 2,400 houses</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for effects upon all European sites as a result of the quantum of new housing for the district, in terms of water resources and quality, pollution, direct land take, disturbance, erosion, recreational pressure and urbanisation effects.</td>
<td>Impact of the quantum of housing proposed to be assessed within AA. Last paragraph of the policy ensures that levels of development cannot go beyond 2,400 without further assessment.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy ELS: Employment Land Supply</td>
<td>Policy lists key locations for employment land, totalling some 34.8ha.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Possible locations for employment land are close to European sites, which could increase recreational pressure, fragmentation etc..</td>
<td>Impact of employment land supply needs to be assessed within AA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy RFS: Retail</td>
<td>Sets out retail floor space allocations</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>This type of development is unlikely to result in</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan section/policy reference</td>
<td>Summary of relevant section/policy content</td>
<td>Likely Significant Effect</td>
<td>Explanation of assessment made</td>
<td>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Floor Space Supply</td>
<td>in square metres, for towns within the District.</td>
<td></td>
<td>effects upon European sites.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision for North West Purbeck</td>
<td>Describes the vision for Bere Regis and surrounding settlements</td>
<td>LSE Particularly Dorset Heaths SAC and SPA.</td>
<td>Potential for additional development at Bere Regis to add to effects on adjacent heaths, Blackhill is the closest heathland site and lies just outside the village.</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be assessed within AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy NW: North West Purbeck</td>
<td>Sets out housing and employment provision, including 110 dwellings over the plan period at Bere Regis.</td>
<td>LSE Particularly Dorset Heaths SAC and SPA.</td>
<td>Potential for additional development at Bere Regis to add to effects on adjacent heaths, Blackhill is the closest heathland site and lies just outside the village.</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be assessed within AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision for South West Purbeck</td>
<td>Describes the vision for Wool, Bovington Winfrith Newburgh and West Lulworth. Winfrith Technology Centre is also promoted.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increased urbanisation and disturbance, particularly to adjacent heaths (Winfrith Heath, Hethfelton, Bovington Ranges). Employment land at Winfrith Technology Centre may have impacts (fragmentation, disturbance etc.) on adjacent heathland sites.</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan. Employment land provision at Winfrith Technology Centre needs to be assessed with AA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy SW: South West Purbeck</td>
<td>Sets out housing and employment provision including (over the plan period) 355 new dwellings. Focus on Wool and Bovington.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increased urbanisation and disturbance, particularly to adjacent heaths (Winfrith Heath, Hethfelton, Bovington Ranges). Employment land at Winfrith Technology Centre may have impacts (fragmentation, disturbance etc.) on adjacent heathland sites.</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan. Employment land provision at Winfrith Technology Centre needs to be assessed with AA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision for Central Purbeck</td>
<td>Describes the vision for Wareham, North Wareham and Sandford.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increase urbanisation and disturbance to the heaths. Also potential for</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan. Employment land provision at Winfrith Technology Centre needs to be assessed with AA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan section/policy reference</td>
<td>Summary of relevant section/policy content</td>
<td>Likely Significant Effect</td>
<td>Explanation of assessment made</td>
<td>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>recreational disturbance issues to Poole Harbour. Also water quality with respect to Poole Harbour. Potential LSE from fragmentation as a result of increased employment land provision at Holton Heath</td>
<td>housing figures and locations proposed within the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy CEN: Central Purbeck</td>
<td>Sets out development and employment land provision in central Purbeck, with a focus on Wareham, Sandford and Stoborough.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increase urbanisation and disturbance to the heaths. Also potential for recreational disturbance issues to Poole Harbour. Also water quality with respect to Poole Harbour. Potential LSE from fragmentation as a result of increased employment land provision at Holton Heath</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan. Policy text includes references to green space provision and restriction on new development within 400m of heaths. Text outside policy box (Delivery) cross references to the heathland DPD and highlights the main issues relating to European sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision for North East Purbeck</td>
<td>Describes the vision for Upton Lytchett Matravers and Lytchett Minster.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increase urbanisation and disturbance.</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be assessed within AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy NE – North East Purbeck</td>
<td>Sets out levels of development within the north-east part of the District, with around 580 dwellings.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential impacts to heathland sites and also to Poole Harbour (Lytchett Bay being close to Policeman’s Lane).</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vision for South East Purbeck</td>
<td>Describes the vision for Swanage, Corfe Castle and Langton, supporting a focus of development at Swanage.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increase urbanisation and disturbance</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan. Promotion of Swanage needs to be considered in relation to visitor pressure on coastal sites.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Plan section/policy reference
### Summary of relevant section/policy content
### Likely Significant Effect
### Explanation of assessment made
### Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan section/policy reference</th>
<th>Summary of relevant section/policy content</th>
<th>Likely Significant Effect</th>
<th>Explanation of assessment made</th>
<th>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy SE South East Purbeck</strong></td>
<td>Sets out levels of development for around 900 houses in Swanage, Corfe Castle and Langton Matravers.</td>
<td>LSE</td>
<td>Potential for additional development to add to effects to sites in terms of increase urbanisation and disturbance</td>
<td>Impact of increased development generally across the district to be considered in AA as part of overall housing figures and locations proposed within the plan. Promotion of Swanage needs to be considered in relation to visitor pressure on coastal sites.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy CO: Countryside</strong></td>
<td>Describes the criteria by which development in the countryside will be assessed, including the re-use or replacement of buildings.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>The policy itself will not lead to development, rather it describes criteria by which development in the countryside will be judged. The policy is qualitative, and does not include locations or a quantum of development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy AHT: Affordable Housing Tenure</strong></td>
<td>Sets out the mix of affordable housing types within the district.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>The type of affordable housing will not influence effects upon European sites.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy AH: Affordable Housing</strong></td>
<td>Describes the proposals for affordable housing.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>The policy is overarching, setting out requirements for affordable housing to ensure mixed and sustainable communities. It does not refer to locations or types of development</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy RES: Rural Exception Sites</strong></td>
<td>Sets out exceptions to rural housing policy.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A qualitative policy, which does not include locations or a quantum of development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy GT: Site Criteria for Gypsies, Travellers and Travelling Show People</strong></td>
<td>Sets out criteria for considering gypsy, traveller and travelling show people sites.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A qualitative policy, which does not include locations or a quantum of development. The policy includes wording that protects the natural environment from harm.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy BIO: Biodiversity and Geodiversity</strong></td>
<td>Policy protects the natural heritage of the District</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A wholly positive policy protecting the natural environment.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy DH: Dorset Heathlands and International Designations</strong></td>
<td>Sets in policy the agreed interim planning framework, developed by a partnership of Dorset authorities, to protect the Dorset Heathlands from the impact of development.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A wholly positive policy protecting European sites.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan section/policy reference</td>
<td>Summary of relevant section/policy content</td>
<td>Likely Significant Effect</td>
<td>Explanation of assessment made</td>
<td>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy RP: Retail Provision</td>
<td>Explains the hierarchy of retail provision within the District, focusing on the importance of town centres.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>The location for this type of development is unlikely to result in effects upon European sites, and by focusing upon existing retail centres, the development is drawn away from European sites.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy CF: Community Facilities and Services</td>
<td>Sets out criteria for considering community facilities and services.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>There is a focus upon the provision of facilities within towns and villages, following sustainable principles for the proximity of facilities. This therefore means that development is drawn away from European sites.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy GI: Green Infrastructure, Recreation and Sport Facilities</td>
<td>Sets out objectives for green infrastructure, sports and recreation facilities</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>Green infrastructure provision is an important consideration in reducing visitor pressure to European Sites. While this is not mentioned within this policy, Policy DH ensures that green infrastructure – as necessary to avoid adverse effects – is tailored towards relevant European sites.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy FR: Flood Risk</td>
<td>Ensures the impact of flooding will be managed by locating development and determining planning applications in accordance with Purbeck’s Strategic Flood Risk Assessment.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A general policy that does not support any development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy CE: Coastal Erosion</td>
<td>Policy creates a buffer zone to protect coastal cliffs from erosion.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A general policy protecting coastal cliffs from erosion with a protection buffer. A positive protection policy without any reference to development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy SD: Sustainable Design</td>
<td>Promotes sustainable design and construction in built development</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A qualitative and environmentally positive policy, which does not promote development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy REN: Renewable Energy</td>
<td>Promotes renewable energy development.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>Whilst promoting a development type, the policy does not refer to any locations or quantum of development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy LHH:</td>
<td>Policy protects the landscape and</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A qualitative and environmentally positive policy,</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plan section/policy reference</td>
<td>Summary of relevant section/policy content</td>
<td>Likely Significant Effect</td>
<td>Explanation of assessment made</td>
<td>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landscape, Historic Environment and Heritage</td>
<td>built heritage</td>
<td>which does not promote development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy E: Employment</td>
<td>Sets out general criteria for employment development, adhering to sustainability principles. Includes a focus on town and village centres and a link to Policy CO.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A general policy that does not refer to any quantum of employment development. Promotes urban centres, which generally detracts from locations in the vicinity of European sites.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy TA: Tourism Accommodation and Attraction</td>
<td>Sets out criteria for tourism related development in the District.</td>
<td>Cannot rule out no LSE</td>
<td>There is a possibility that the tourism related development could be in close proximity to, or bring greater recreational pressure to sensitive sites.</td>
<td>Tourist pressure – particularly on the coastal sites, Poole Harbour and some heathland sites could have impacts, through for example increased disturbance, increased fire risk (on the heaths), etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy MOD: Military Needs</td>
<td>Sets out requirement for some development at Bovington and Lulworth campes</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>Both Bovington and Lulworth camps are closely tied to heathland (and for Lulworth, coastal) European sites. Military training takes place on SAC/SPA sites. However LSE avoided by wording that development, for MOD operational purposes, takes into account the requirement to protect internationally protected habitats.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy CZ: Consultation Zones</td>
<td>Sets out requirement for particular types of development – Ball Clay, Hazardous Installations, Pipelines and Sewage Works – to be referred to relevant body</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A general policy that does not support any development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy IAT: Improving Accessibility and Transport</td>
<td>Promotes sustainable travel.</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A general and environmentally positive policy promoting sustainable travel. The policy does not in itself promote development.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy ATS: Implementing an Appropriate Transport Strategy for Purbeck</td>
<td>Promotes the production and implementation of the Purbeck Transportation Strategy</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>The revised transportation strategy does not include options for additional road infrastructure and in general includes some positive environmental policies. It is the increased traffic associated with development rather than the transport strategy that has air quality etc impacts</td>
<td>No further action required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary of Relevant Section/Policy Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Plan section/policy reference</th>
<th>Summary of relevant section/policy content</th>
<th>Likely Significant Effect</th>
<th>Explanation of assessment made</th>
<th>Counteracting measures, or proceed to AA (Appropriate Assessment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Policy DEV: Development Contributions</strong></td>
<td>Describes where contributions will be sought from development</td>
<td>No LSE</td>
<td>A general policy that does not support any development. Policy includes contributions for heathland mitigation in accordance with Policy DH.</td>
<td>No further action required.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>