Responses received from:

- Robert Austin - Chair of Executive Committee - Highcliffe Residents' Association
- John Baker - Long term resident
- Jim Biggin - Jumpers \& St Catherines RA - General Secretary
- Derek Chaffey - Private Resident
- Derek Chaffey - Vice Chair - Stanpit \& Mudeford Residents' Association
- Andrew Dunne - Committee Member - Christchurch Citizens' Association
- Councillor D C Jones - Elected Borough Councillor - on behalf of Cllrs Abbott, Jones, Mrs Jones, Geary and Hall
- Peter Lucas - Interested Individual
- Tim Mayled - Parish Clerk - Burton Parish Council
- Nicola Shaw - Clerk to the Councii - Hurn Parish Council
- Simon Smith - Deputy Chair of Winkton Resents Association - Winkton Residents Association Committee
- Hilary Trevorah - Chief Executive - Dorset Association of Parish and Town Councils


## Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form (Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Robert Austin | Postal | Highcliffe Residents Association CIC, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Email Address: | Redacted - Personal Information | Address: |  |
| 254 Lymington Road |  |  |  |
| Highcliffe |  |  |  |
| Christchurch |  |  |  |
| BH23 5ET. |  |  |  |

Question 1
Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by
either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more
parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map
illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and
explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in
that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name,
whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should
have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should
apply.


## Response

## Yes

There is a feeling among many Highcliffe residents, evinced during many of the Members' Meetings of the Highcliffe Residents' Association, that Highcliffe Village receives relatively little consideration when borough-wide issues and resource allocations are considered by the Borough Council (and DCC). I believe that a local Parish Council would help to redress this situation.
I would propose, and my Executive Committee would support, the creation of a Parish comprising the current 6 electoral wards that make up Highcliffe and Walkford.

Highcliffe and Walkford have strong community identities, and I propose that the area should have a Parish Council, that it should have the style of Highcliffe Community Council, and that the normal electoral arrangements (elections in May 2019 and every 4 years thereafter) should apply.
Question 2
Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or
abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map
illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the
proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in
that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Response

If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for the proposal.

Question 3
Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed?
No

## Question 4

Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.

No abolished?
yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Response

This may become necessary when reviewed in the context of neighbouring submissions.
It has been discussed that a Neighbourhood council, comprising Highcliffe and Walkford and one or more adjacent areas (such as Friars Cliff) should be considered. I would want time to consult with local residents more widely before presenting a view on this idea, and I see that as part of the next consultation phase of this Review. I feel that the widely-felt identity of Highcliffe Village needs to be reflected in any decision taken. If there were a group Parish Council, it could (for instance) be called the Highcliffe, Walkford and Friars Cliff Neighbourhood Council and be subject to the normal electoral arrangements (as above). I am not advocating this at present, but it is under consideration with neighbouring RAs.

| Question 5 |
| :--- |
| Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 <br> should have a council? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the <br> proposal and explain how the proposal will: <br> (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in <br> that area; and <br> (b) be effective and convenient. <br> You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the <br> council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph <br> 14.3). |


| Question 6 | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed? | N/A |
| If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors <br> should be and the reasons for the proposal. |  |

## Question 7

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Response

The existing 6 electoral wards comprising Highcliffe Village should be retained, with their existing names.

The continuity from CBC arrangements will facilitate understanding and electoral involvement. On this basis the election of 2 Parish Councillors per ward would seem wholly appropriate and feasible.

Additional comments
Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

## Response

The very short timescale of the review has not permitted the level of consultation with residents that I would have wished. This proposal reflects my understanding of the feelings of many residents, as expressed over the years in the public meetings of the HRA. I would expect to conduct more specific public consultations at the next stage of the Review, permitting more authoritative reflection of residents' views at that time.

Expanding on Question 1. The Highcliffe ward WHA will include the Roeshot Hill development. This will be a large community, displaced from Highcliffe Village. Highcliffe Residents Association and hence a proposed Council will need to represent the views and needs of this community as it develops.

The Ward boundaries are shown as WHA, WHB, NHA, NHB, HCA and HCB on the Christchurch Borough Council Community Governance Review Consultation Parish Map Annex.

Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form
(Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | John Baker | Postal Address: | $\square$ Friars Road, Friars Cliff, Christchurch, Dorset. BH23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Email Address: | Redacted - Personal Information |  |  |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | - |  |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Long term resident |  |  |

## Question 1

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response

NO.
This review appears to be an attempt to subvert the Governments 'Future Dorset' review, seeking to create two Unitary Authorities in Dorset.
By their very nature unitary authorities seek to remove tiers of administration. It would be an exception for a unitary authority to have parishes and certainly not parish, or town councils.
Mindful of the imminence of the Secretary of State's determination, any review should clearly be undertaken by the new authority, should one be formed and cover the whole of its area, not seek to impose the view of a minority partner on a successor authority.
I shall send a copy of this response to the Secretary of State.
Question 2
Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or
abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map
illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the
proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in
that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

| Question 3 |
| :--- |
| Do you feei that the name of an existing parish should be changed? |
| If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your |
| reasons for the proposal. |

## See Q1 above.

## Response

See Q1 above.

## Response

Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.
Question 5
Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1
should have a council?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the
proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in
that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the
council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph
14.3).
Question 6
Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed?
If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors
should be and the reasons for the proposal.

Response
Definitely not. The Government's 'Future Dorset' review seeks to reduce costs of local administration, not impose additional financial burdens, which a lower tier council would obviously incur.

## Response

See Q1 above. should be and the reasons for the proposal.

## Question 7

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Response

See Q1 above.

Additional comments
Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

Response

## As Q1 above:

This review appears to be an attempt to subvert the Governments 'Future Dorset' review, seeking to create two Unitary Authorities in Dorset.

By their very nature unitary authorities seek to remove tiers of administration. It would be an exception for a unitary authority to have parishes and certainly not parish, or town councils.
Mindful of the imminence of the Secretary of State's determination, any review should clearly be undertaken by the new authority, should one be formed and cover the whole of its area, not seek to impose the view of a minority partner on a successor authority.
I shall send a copy of this response to the Secretary of State.

Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form (Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Jim Biggin | Postal | Hurn Road <br> Address: | Christchurch <br> EH23 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Email Address: | Redacted - Personal Information |  |  |  |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | Jumpers \& St Cats RA |  |  |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | General Secretary |  |  |  |

## Question 1

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response

NO - see comments at the end

| Question 2 |
| :--- |
| Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or |
| abolished? |
| If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map |
| illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the |
| proposal and explain how the proposal will:- |
| (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in |
| that area; and |
| (b) be effective and convenient. |

## Question 3

## NO

 abolished?If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Response

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed?
NO
If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for the proposal.
Question 4
Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another

## Response

 parish or other parishes?If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.

| Question 5 | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 <br> should have a council? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the <br> proposal and explain how the proposal will: <br> (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in <br> that area; and <br> (b) be effective and convenient. <br> You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the <br> council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph <br> 14.3 ). |  |
| Question 6 | NO |
| Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed? <br> If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors <br> should be and the reasons for the proposal. | NO (we assume you mean Parish Council) |

## Question 7

## Response

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.


| Christrumb council |  |  |  | 15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.33 FEER28049 Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form (Deadine: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018) |  |  |  |  |
| Hen | Derek Chatten | Postal | Mudeford Lane |  |
| Email Address: | Redacted - Personal Information | Address: | Mndegora christchurch |  |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | N/O |  | Dorsel- |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Private Resident |  | BH23 |  |

## Question 1 <br> Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by

 either:- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.


Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

Question 3

## Response

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed? If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your No
reasons for the proposal.

## Question 4

Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.


## Response



Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

## YES

a) Some Itistaric Boundanes
b) Protect Harbour + 5SSI

Gammon Reoradtion Fauliken + beach + Harbour
d) Plat Len Planning
e) Tramspal't Medical CHRISTCHURCH Tows Council

| Question 6 | Response |
| :--- | :---: |
| Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed? |  |
| If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors <br> should be and the reasons for the proposal. | No |

## Question 7

## Response

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.
e add any additional comments relevant to this Community nance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please e these are clearly marked.
(1)

Christchurch Town council
gncorronting exciting, ixard bounders as doseribo' in Covexion (1)

2
3
3 "Ban Iocqluefte ant trans cut t"
"
"Chirstchurch most"


NB, 9 MoI opposed
to forming one large amagramothon of the





Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form
(Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)


## Question 1

## Response

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

```
        Yes
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Town council including } \\
& \text { Mudeford Stanpit. Purewell., } \\
& \text { Grange and Town. Centre. }
\end{aligned}
\]
```

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Protect the harbour } \\
& \text { SSSI's', Parks }+ \text { Town Amenities } \\
& X\left(\frac{\text { See aditiunal comments }}{\text { and Map. }}\right) X
\end{aligned}
$$

apply.

## Question 2

Response
Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Question 3

## Response

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed?
If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your
reasons for the proposal.

## Question 4

Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response



Highelitte and Friarselift
common interest in beaches


Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

## Question 6

Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed?
If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be and the reasons for the proposal.

Question 7

## Response

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards? If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Response

a) Historic Boundaries will Town Centre
b) Protect Harbour and 55 s I
d) Protect Local Planning

$$
Y \mathbb{E}
$$



No

Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

SAMRA feels that 3 local
councils should be created.

1) Chorshurch

Town council
The Boundary - River Bare Brook and A 337 to the East.
A $35^{\circ}$ + Rollway to the North
River Stour and Roulnuy line to th Wat.
Comprising : -
Town Centre $A+B$
Purewall a Stanpit
Grange
mudeford.
"Town and Harbour
2) Highditte and Friars cliff whole of Highelifte and Fris cliff from Bare Brook
"Christchurch East and Beaches"
3) "Christchurch West" comprising:

St Catherine Hill Jumpers ont Pativeld

NB
We are NOT opposed to forming one large amalgamation



Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form
(Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Andrew Dunne | Postal | Solent Road <br> Address: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Email Address: | Redacted - Personal Information | Walkford <br> Christchurch <br> Dorset, BH23 |  |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | Christchurch Citizens' Asociation |  |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Committee Member |  |  |

## Question 1

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Question 2

## Response

Establishing an unparished area as a parish
Map attached (1)
Christchurch is the heart and hub of the borough. Leisure centre, bus links, shops, train station, The Priory, cinema, theatre, historic centre the beating heart of Christchurch.

Connected area with library, museums, community hall, splash park, schools and an outside entertainment area at The Quomps.
Name: Christchurch Town Council
There should be a democratically elected Council as per Q5 \& Q7

Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?

## Response

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain
how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

| Question 3 |
| :--- |
| Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed? |
| If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for |
| the proposal. |


| Question 4 |
| :--- |
| Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or |
| other parishes? |
| If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal |
| and explain how the proposal will: |
| (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that |
| area; and |
| (b) be effective and convenient. |
| You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, |
| whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements |
| should apply. |

## Response

## Response

No

## Question 5

Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Response

## Yes, democratically elected and as per Q7

a) No of councillors to reflect no of residents
b) No of councillors to reflect no of residents

Name: Christchurch Town Council

## You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

| Question 6 | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed? <br> If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be <br> and the reasons for the proposal. | N/A |
| Question 7 Response <br> Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating <br> the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how <br> the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and <br> convenient. Map attached (1) <br> You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and <br> the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward. The wards remain as they are. It will make election of councillors <br> easier and be fairly representative <br> Keep the ward names as they are now. One councillor per ward. |  |

Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

We consulted our members on Monday $5^{\text {th }}$ February and have their support.

We held a vote and it was unanimous.
We think it is very important to be represented by a parish/town council to provide local representatives and maintain local services and issues.

We feel it is most important to include the polling/wards of Mudeford and Mudeford Quay and surrounding areas into this submission due to the strong heritage ties this whole area of the Borough has with the rest of the Town. These areas are historically linked, as are Purewell and Stanpit.


Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form
(Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Name of person completing response: } & \text { Councillor D C Jones } & \text { Postal } \\ \text { Address: } & \text { Redacted - Personal Information }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}9 \text { Bure Homage Gardens } \\ \text { Email Address: }\end{array} \begin{array}{l}\text { Mudeford } \\ \text { Christchurch } \\ \text { Dorset }\end{array}\right\}$

## Question 1

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response

With the probable establishment of a Unitary Authority and the abolition of the present Borough Council, given the strong identity of Christchurch there is a need for an elected body effectively to represent the residents of the present Borough (saving the parishes of Hurn and Burton) and to enable residents to have convenient access to elected representatives. This would help mitigate the worst effects of the remoteness of decision making.

Insofar as a Town Council would be a Statutory Consultee in matters such as Planning and Planning Policy the opinions of the residents could be effectively made known to the new Authority. A Town Council would also act as a channel to enable to interests of Christchurch to be represented and defended in other matters.
An elected Council would also provide a much wider base of the continuation of the Mayoralty with, possibly, 20 Councillors to choose from and thus prevent the eventual decay of the institution.

It is suggested that
a) The Parish should have an elected Council, its first election being on the same day as the elections for the new Authorities or, if the
"Future Dorset" proposals are refused, the present Borough
b) Elections should be then on every fourth year
c) The elections should be by the present Wards each returning two Members, with the exception of the Jumpers Ward which should cover that part of the present Hurn and St Catherine's Ward which is not covered by the Hurn Parish Council,. This Ward should return three Councillors.
d) The style of the proposed Council should be "The Town Council of the Ancient Borough of Christchurch)

## Question 2

## Response

Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

| Question 3 | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for <br> the proposal. | No |
|  |  |


| Question 4. | Response |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or <br> other parishes? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal <br> and explain how the proposal will: <br> (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that <br> area; and <br> (b) be effective and convenient. <br> You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, <br> whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements <br> should apply. |  |

## Question 5

Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

## Response

## Yes (See above)

The election of a Council by wards will provide for the representation of the varying interests of the different communities on the present Borough

The existence of a Council, ti its own mandate, in Christchurch will be an effective counterweight to the centralising tendency of the proposed South East Dorset Unitary Authority

## Response

If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be and the reasons for the proposal.

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Yes.

The wards should be the same as those represented on the present Borough Council, which will be familiar to the voters and will (in all probability) relate to the electoral divisions for the proposed new Authority. The exception is the Jumpers Ward - see above
The names and representation should be:-
Jumpers and St Catherine's (3)
Portfield (2)
Town Centre (2)
Stanpit and Purewell (2)
Mudeford and Friars Cliff (3)
Grange (3) (Ward has grown since last redistribution)
West Highcliffe (3)
North Highcliffe and Walkford (2)
Highcliffe Coastal (2)

## Additional comments

Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

Christehurch Communlty Gavemance Roview - Initial Submistion Consultation Rasponse Form (Deadine: Completed responses must be recelved not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | peter lucas | Postal Address: | pandford carteng Bormporpint porcet BHF |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Emall Address: | Redacted - Personal Information |  |  |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | - |  |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Intleleth indmuanad |  |  |


| परोड्याow | Responss |
| :---: | :---: |
| Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either: <br> - establishing an unparished area as a parish, <br> - aggregating one or more unparished areas vith one or more parished areas, <br> - aggregating parts of existing parishes, <br> - amalgamating two or more parishes, or <br> - eaparating parts of a parish or parishes? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will: <br> (a) be reflective of the identifies and interests of the community in that area; and <br> (b) be effective and convenient. <br> You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an altimative style and what electoral arrangements should apply. | I think there is anced to establuth anew Parroh Styled $a_{2}$ chorlitamerh Toun council and max the boundaily acoromome abtentrel maf: This millhelp to maintain iotal dernity for chnolicturat is the LGR ozmrI. Hem undes the $e$ frofor ${ }^{\text {d }} 1$ nowld pmaina seperde parish lowt purion Gonge, amore cetral partolbetom, wowlde absinhet and besome partortherew council. |


| Quinstion E | Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map Illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:- <br> (a) be reflective of the identitities and interests of the community in that area; and <br> (b) be effective and converiant. | Purton brange parizh bhe divithed to allow for the new tive council. |


| Duestiorl3 | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your <br> reasons for the proposal. |  |


| 3 Casctin 4 | Response |
| :---: | :---: |
| Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes? <br> If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will: <br> (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and <br> (b) be effective and convenient. <br> You should also include suggeations for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply. | No |



Response
Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposes will:

Key - nave churstourch pome Lomentelection year 2019. This nullibe comonsestand repent be toni's course identity.
$\pm$ therese 24 councillors. 14.3).



## 

Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Govemance Review. Additional sheets may be supplled but please ensure these are clearly marked.


$$
\xlongequal{2} \text { Andistored }
$$

Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form
(Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Tim Mayued | Postal Address: | Burton Pamsi SANOY PLOT Buntan $\mathrm{BH}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NH}$ | conncil |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Email Address: | Burtare Dinssit - Altc. Eat uk |  |  |  |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | Buntol Pamsit carnul |  |  |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Pamsit clent |  |  |  |

## Question 1

## Response

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an altemative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

Question 2
Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

Response

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { NO ChANGE } \\
& I_{1}=\text { A Town council WAS TB RE } \\
& \text { CO.VSIDEMED OR ANYOTHSR EUANEES } \\
& \text { SuGGESTED Bunion Wound WISH } \\
& \text { To METAN ITS AMSU council }
\end{aligned}
$$

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed?
If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for the proposal.

| Question 4 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another |  |
| parish or other parishes? |  |
| If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the |  |
| proposal and explain how the proposal will: |  |
| (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in |  |
| that area; and |  |
| (b) be effective and convenient. |  |
| You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the |  |
| group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral |  |
| arrangements should apply. |  |

Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

## Question 6

Response
Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed?
If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be and the reasons for the proposal.

## Question 7

## Response

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form (Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Nicola Shaw | Postal | Moorside Road <br> Address: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Email Address: | hurnparishcouncil@talktalk. net |  | West Moors <br> Ferndown |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | Hurn Parish Council |  | Dorset |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Clerk to the Council | BH22 |  |

## Question 1

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response

This Review was only suggested by Christchurch Borough Council because if Local Government Reorganisation (LGR) goes ahead, then Christchurch Council will be abolished. At the time of responding to this consultation the outcome of LGR is not known. Whatever the outcome, Hurn Parish Council thinks that the Parish of Hurn should remain exactly as it is, with boundaries and electoral arrangements unchanged.
Question 2
Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or
abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map
illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the
proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in
that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Question 3

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed? If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for the proposal.

Response
We do not consider that the existing Parish of Hurn should be altered or abolished. If, as a result of this consultation, there were to be a submission for a Christchurch Town Council or any additional Parish, then Hurn Parish Council wishes to retain its independence and not be included within, or grouped with, any other existing or new Town or Parish Council.

## Response

No, we do not consider that the name of Hurn Parish Council should be changed.

## Question 4

Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response

We do not consider that Hurn Parish should be grouped with another Parish. This consultation is all about the most suitable way of representing local communities. The geographic boundaries of Hurn Parish are very clear - the River Stour to the west and the River Avon to the east. The northern boundaries are also clear - bordering another area - East Dorset, so cannot be changed. The southern boundary is also clear geographically at the main Blackwater Junction, and where the land rises into St. Catherine's Hill. There is a clear separation and distinction between the rural area of Hurn and the start of the urban area of St. Catherine's. The map provided with the consultation shows that definition.

| Question 5 | Response |
| :--- | :--- |
| Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 <br> should have a council? | We have not proposed a new Parish. |
| If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the |  |
| proposal and explain how the proposal will: |  |
| (a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in |  |
| that area; and |  |
| (b) be effective and convenient. |  |
| You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the |  |
| council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see |  |
| paragraph 14.3). |  |


| Question 6 | Response |
| :--- | :--- |

Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed?

If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be and the reasons for the proposal.

We believe that the present structure of Hurn Parish Council, with 6 Councillors, works well. This number gives effective representation to the rural Parish of Hurn, reflecting the size, population and boundaries of the Hurn Parish community.

## Response

We do not think that Hurn Parish should be divided into Wards.

## Additional comments

## Response

Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

Christchurch Community Governance Review - Initial Submission Consultation Response Form (Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Simon P Smith | Postal <br> Address: | Bocknampton, <br> Ehail Address: <br> Christchurch, <br> Dorset <br> BH23 7AL |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Organisation or Group (if applicable): | Winkton Residents Assoc. (WRA) <br> Committee |  |  |
| In what capacity are you responding? | Dep. Chair of WRA |  |  |

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

N/A
The area represented by the association (WRA) is already contained within Burton Parish and we wish this to continue.

Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## No comment.

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed?
If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for the proposal.

Yes.

We propose that "Burton Parish Council" be renamed to "Burton \& Winkton Parish Council" for the following reasons.

- This better reflects the area of the Parish; many people are not aware that Winkton/Holfleet/Bockhampton is included in the parish boundary meaning little regard is made of the rural areas.
- This aligns with the naming of the polling district - Burton and Winkton (BW)

Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and

## No comment.

(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.

Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed? If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be and the reasons for the proposal.

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Yes.

Burton Parish should be warded to better achieve representation of the different areas particularly the outlying rural area of Winkton/Holfleet/Bockhampton.
This should aid community cohesion by explicit representation of the more remote, oft forgotten, areas and be more democratic.

The following wards are proposed [ Name (councillors)/ approximate area]:
(a) Winkton (1)

Area north of Burton
(b) North Burton (3)

North of Footners Lane
(c) Mid-Burton (3)

South of Footners Lane \& North of Martins Lane
(d) South Burton (3)

South of Martins Lane

The correct balance of councillor's to electors to be achieved by refining the area; this submission is an initial guess at elector density.

| Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community <br> Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please <br> ensure these are clearly marked. | (a) Concerns have already been expressed by various bodies of <br> the possible impact on the consultation process outcomes and <br> indeed the validity of submissions made without knowing the <br> outcome of the SoS decision with regard to a unity authority. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Annex A - Parish Wards <br> Burton \& Winkton



Christchurch Community Governance Review - initial Submission Consultation Response Form
(Deadline: Completed responses must be received not later than 23 February 2018)

| Name of person completing response: | Hilary Trevorah | Postal | Address: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | daptc@dorsetcc.gov.uk |
| :--- | | Colliton Park |
| :--- |
| Email Address: |
| Oorchester |
| Dorset County Council |
| DT1 1XJ |

## Question 1

Do you consider there is a requirement to establish a new parish by either:

- establishing an unparished area as a parish,
- aggregating one or more unparished areas with one or more parished areas,
- aggregating parts of existing parishes,
- amalgamating two or more parishes, or
- separating parts of a parish or parishes?

If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how you feel the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed parish name, whether the parish should have a council, whether the parish should have an alternative style and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Response

Please see additional comments at the end of this form.Question 2

Do you feel that any existing parish area should be altered or abolished?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed boundary changes, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:-
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

## Question 3

## Response

Do you feel that the name of an existing parish should be changed?
If yes, please provide details of the proposal and explain your reasons for the proposal.

Question $4 \quad$ Response
Do you consider that any parish should be grouped with another parish or other parishes?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the group, whether the group should have a council and what electoral arrangements should apply.

## Question 5

Do you feel that a new parish proposed in response to Question 1 should have a council?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will:
(a) be reflective of the identities and interests of the community in that area; and
(b) be effective and convenient.

You should also include suggestions for a proposed name for the council and what electoral arrangements should apply (see paragraph 14.3).

Please see additional comments at the end of this form.

## Question 6 <br> Response

Should the number of councillors on an existing council be changed?

If yes, please indicate what the proposed number of councillors should be and the reasons for the proposal.

## Question 7

## Response

Do you consider the parish should be divided into parish wards?
If yes, please provide details of the proposals including a map illustrating the proposed ward boundary, the reasons for the proposal and explain how the proposal will make the election of councillors more practicable and convenient.
You should also include suggestions for proposed parish ward names and the number of councillors that should represent the electorate in each ward.

## Additional comments

## Response

Please add any additional comments relevant to this Community Governance Review. Additional sheets may be supplied but please ensure these are clearly marked.

Dorset Association of Parish and Town Councils is in favour of creating new parish councils in areas without them.

