

Consultation Statement for the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Waste Plan

1 Introduction

- 1.1 The Waste Plan will provide the policy framework for determining planning applications for waste management facilities in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole. It will set out the vision, objectives and spatial strategy for waste and will allocate specific sites to address identified waste management needs.
- 1.2 Preparation of the Waste Plan began in 2012 with a period of evidence gathering and ongoing stakeholder involvement, leading up to the publication of the Waste Plan Issues Paper in December 2013. Drawing on the responses made during this consultation and further work the Draft Waste Plan was published for consultation in July 2015.
- 1.3 Following on from this stage an additional consultation on Additional and Emerging Waste Plan Allocations took place during June/July 2016. Further focused consultation on three site options in Blandford and Purbeck took place in February 2017.
- 1.4 Consultation on the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan took place between 1 December 2017 and 31 January 2018.
- 1.5 This report sets out details of consultation undertaken at all key stages in the preparation of the Waste Plan.

2 Initial evidence gathering

District and Borough Councils

- 2.1 In February 2013, an initial email was sent to Dorset district and borough councils. The email informed the authorities that the WPA was embarking on a review of the Waste Plan. Input was sought at this early stage on various issues including existing site allocations, opportunities for new waste facilities and opportunities for waste management in new non waste developments.
- 2.2 Responses were received and follow up meetings were arranged as appropriate.

Waste Industry

- 2.3 The initial period of evidence gathering, during 2012/13 included a comprehensive review of existing waste facilities in the plan area. As part of this process, contact was made with all the existing operators of waste facilities in the plan area. Letters/emails were sent informing waste companies about the Waste Plan review. A series of specific questions were asked to gather data on Dorset's facilities, capacity issues and opportunities. Information was also sought on cross boundary movements, emerging technologies and development constraints.

- 2.4 A programme of data monitoring was initiated and meetings and site visits were held, wherever possible, to gain an up to date understanding of current waste operations.

Waste Management Authorities

- 2.5 Three waste management authorities are covered by the Waste Plan: Dorset Waste Partnership, Bournemouth Borough Council and Borough of Poole. Initial meetings to establish anticipated requirements and consider current and emerging waste management strategies were held and followed up with on-going dialogue throughout the preparation of the Waste Plan.

Internal evidence gathering

- 2.6 Officers sought input from development management colleagues on an initial review of policies and site allocations in the adopted Waste Local Plan and had ongoing discussions with internal specialists, including landscape and ecology officers throughout the preparation of the Waste Plan.
- 2.7 Further detailed discussions took place with development management colleagues and the county solicitor prior to publication of the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan. A full review of the final policy wording was undertaken and a number of updates and amendments were made. Changes were generally made to improve the clarification of text and policies and ensure they were enforceable.

3 Initial Notification

- 3.1 In July 2013, the Waste Planning Authority (WPA) notified stakeholders of the subject of the plan and invited them to comment on what the plan ought to contain, including what issues should be addressed through the preparation of the plan. This was in accordance with Regulation 18 (1) of the Town & Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. This information, as well as an introduction to the work on the Waste Plan and a summary of emerging issues, was included in the 'Planning for minerals and waste' newsletter, issued by email where possible, or otherwise by post, to all consultees held on the WPA's database.
- 3.2 As part of this initial public engagement an article was published in Bournemouth Borough Council's magazine, BH Life (Autumn Edition) and information, including the newsletter, was also placed online at www.dorsetforyou.com/mwdf, www.boroughofpoole.com and www.bournemouth.gov.uk
- 3.3 A small number of comments were received following this engagement. One comment referred very specifically to the design of new waste management facilities. Another responded was keen to ensure that we plan for a good spatial spread of waste facilities across the County to allow for localised facilities and reduced vehicle movements associated by waste. A further representation highlighted concerns related to one of the allocations in 2006 adopted Waste Plan.
- 3.4 The issues raised were considered when drafting the Waste Plan Issues Paper and further iterations of the Waste Plan.

4 Consultation on the Waste Plan Issues Paper

- 4.1 Drawing on the evidence gathered to date, consultation on the Waste Plan Issues Paper took place from 19 December 2013 to 13 February 2014, for an 8 week period. A consultation on the Mineral Sites Plan also took place over the same period. The two documents were consulted on jointly using the same consultation methods, explained below.

Pre-Publication

- 4.2 The Bournemouth, Dorset & Poole Minerals & Waste Policy Joint Advisory Committee approved consultation on the Waste Plan and Mineral Sites Plan on 6 December 2013.
- 4.3 Prior to publication, information was placed on www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan informing people that the Joint Advisory Committee would consider the plan on 6 December and that the consultation would begin, subject to committee approval, from the 19 December.
- 4.4 A briefing note was sent out to all Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole council members on 20 November, informing members of the forthcoming consultation and consultation arrangements.
- 4.5 On 21 November 2013, advanced notice of the forthcoming consultation was sent to all Parish Councils in Dorset, as well as relevant residents' associations in Bournemouth and Poole. Those with an email address were sent an email and those without an email address were posted a letter. The email/letter advised Parish Councils of the dates of the consultation, the subject of the two consultation documents and the date of the Joint Advisory Committee. It also advised of provisional dates for exhibitions planned as part of the consultation and asked Parish Councils to assist in publicising the consultation by including articles in their newsletters and/or on their websites. A number of responses were received and articles sent where requested.
- 4.6 This was followed up with an email on 5 December to those parishes that had not responded but that had a nominated site contained within the Mineral Sites Plan within their Parish to advise them as such and offer information for their newsletter/website.

Consultation Period

- 4.7 Notifications
Information on the consultation was placed on www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan. The Waste Plan Issues Paper was published on our consultation portal on 19 December 2013. This was a full online version of the document which people could comment on online. A direct link to the consultation portal was included on the above webpage, as well as a pdf of the Waste Plan Issues Paper and a response form. Information was also placed on Bournemouth Borough Council and Borough of Poole's websites.
- 4.8 Notifications informing people of the consultation were sent during the week commencing 16 December, those with an email address receiving email notification on 18 December. A newsletter summarising both the Waste Plan

Issues Paper and Mineral Sites Plan was attached. A breakdown of consultees is shown in Table 1.

- 4.9 All those with an email address received also received an automated email notification from the consultation portal on 19 December 2013.
- 4.10 A poster was produced and sent to all Parish Councils with a request that they display this on their parish noticeboards. Posters were also sent to all libraries in Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole, and to district and borough councils in Dorset. In addition, posters were placed in public locations including in shop windows/supermarket noticeboards in areas particularly affected by either the Mineral Sites Plan or Waste Plan Issues Paper.
- 4.11 An email was sent to all Parish Councils on 27 January 2014 to remind them to respond to the consultation.

Table 1 – Overview of consultees

Consultation Group	Examples of consultees in group	Documents sent
Specific Consultation Bodies	Environment Agency, English Heritage, Natural England, Highways Agency; utility companies	Letter, leaflet
Adjoining authorities	Adjoining County & District councils; adjoining Parish Councils	Leaflet (by post or email)
Parish & Town Councils within Dorset	Full list available on request	Letter, poster, leaflet, response form
Dorset District Councils	Christchurch, East Dorset, North Dorset, West Dorset, Weymouth & Portland and Purbeck.	Letter, 4x Waste Plan Issues Paper (to be circulated to Chief Executive, Head of Planning, planning department and one to go on deposit), poster, leaflets, response forms.
Poole & Bournemouth Councils		Letter, 25x Waste Plan Issues Paper, response forms, leaflets, posters.
General Consultation Bodies	Voluntary bodies; Bodies representing the interests of different racial, ethnic, national, religious or disabled persons; Bodies representing the interests of persons carrying out business.	Leaflet (by post or email)
Other Consultees	Environmental groups, consultants, landowners, transport groups, community groups, businesses, housing associations, education	Leaflet (by post or email)

	groups	
Minerals and Waste Industry		Letter, leaflet (by post or email)
MP/MEP	All Dorset, Poole and Bournemouth MPs and MEPs	Letter (from Director for Environment), leaflet.
Internal consultees	Full list available on request.	Email providing website link
Poole Contacts	List available on request – provided by the Borough of Poole	Leaflet (by email)
Poole Residents Associations	List available on request – not included within Dorset SCI	Leaflet
Libraries	All libraries within Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole	Memo, leaflets, response forms and poster
Other interested people	Residents who previously registered an interest, people who made comments on the MSAD Discussion Paper.	Leaflet (by post or email)

* A breakdown of the organisations included within each subdivision can be found in the Dorset Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) unless otherwise stated.

4.12 Exhibitions

A series of exhibitions were arranged across the county, as detailed in Table 2. Details of the exhibitions were made available on the councils' websites and were included in consultee notifications, on posters and in press releases.

Table 2 – Schedule of Exhibitions

Location	Date/Time
Burton Green United Reformed Church Hall, Christchurch	Wednesday 8 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Tesco, Portland	Thursday 9 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Wareham Corn Exchange	Monday 13 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Dorchester Library	Tuesday 14 Jan, 12pm-4pm
Crossways Village Hall	Wednesday 15 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Verwood Memorial Hall	Thursday 16 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Hurn Bridge Sports Club	Tuesday 21 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Langton Matravers Village Hall	Wednesday 22 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Sherborne Digby Memorial Hall	Thursday 23 Jan, 9am-11.15am
Poole Civic Centre Committee Suite	Monday 27 Jan, 2:30pm-6:30pm
Muscliff Community Centre	Wednesday 29 Jan, 3pm-7pm
Shaftesbury Town Hall, Council Chamber	Thursday 30 Jan, 10am-12noon

4.13 In addition to the scheduled exhibitions, officers attended and gave presentations at the following meetings at the request of the organisers:

- Presentation at Langton Matravers Village Hall following exhibition – 22/01/14
- East Dorset Environment Theme Action Group, Wimborne – 05/02/14
- Sandford Parish Council Meeting – 10/02/14

4.14 Press

A series of paper advertisements were placed in the following local newspapers at the beginning of the consultation period, on 19 December 2013:

- Bridport News
- Western Gazette
- Dorset Echo
- Bournemouth Daily Echo

4.15 A press release was distributed to the local media on 20 December 2013. It was also tweeted on 2 January 2014 and appeared under the News section of www.dorsetforyou.com

4.16 An additional press release focussed on the eastern part of the county (including Purbeck and Bournemouth) was distributed to relevant media on 10 January 2014.

4.17 Table 3 lists the known press coverage received as a result of the two press releases.

Table 3 – Press Coverage

Media	Date
Blackmore Vale Magazine	19.12.13
Dorset Echo	30.12.13
Daily Echo	01.01.14
View from Dorchester	03.01.14
Western Gazette	05.01.14
BBC Radio Solent (Interview)	06.01.14
Dorset Echo	09.01.14
Blandford People (online)	09.01.14
Weymouth People (online)	09.01.14
Daily Echo	01.02.14
Christchurch People (online)	
BBC Radio Solent (Interview)	06.02.14
Salisbury Journal	10.01.14
BBC News	13.02.14

4.18 Digital Media

From 2 January 2014, the minerals and waste consultations were shown as 'Featured Content' on the homepage of www.dorsetforyou.com. The minerals and waste consultation was also featured on the consultation tracker on www.dorsetforyou.com for the duration of the consultation period. A link to the consultation tracker appeared as the main feature on the dorsetforyou homepage at intervals during January and February.

4.19 A number of posts using the councils' Facebook and Twitter accounts were also made to help to publicise the consultation, as summarised in Table 4. Dorset County Council's Facebook page at the time had 1,776 'likes'. Dorset County Council's Twitter feed had 9,276 followers, Bournemouth Borough Council's Twitter feed had 9,118 followers and Borough of Poole's Twitter feed had 5,615 followers.

Table 4 – Social Media

Media	Date	Subject
Twitter (DCC)	02.01.14	Have your say - minerals
Twitter (BBC)	02.01.14	Have your say - minerals
Facebook (DCC)	06.01.14	General information on minerals and waste consultation
Facebook (No to Purple Haze)	06.01.14	General information on consultation, plus Verwood exhibition and Purple Haze site
Twitter (DCC)	09.01.14	Portland exhibition
Twitter (DCC)	13.01.14	Wareham exhibition
Twitter (DCC)	15.01.14	Crossways exhibition
Twitter (DCC)	21.01.14	Hurn exhibition
Twitter (DCC)	22.01.14	Langton Matravers exhibition
Twitter (BoP)	24.01.14	Poole exhibition
Facebook (BoP)	24.01.14	General information on minerals and waste consultation
Facebook (BBC)	24.01.14	General information on minerals and waste consultation
Twitter (BBC)	27.01.14	Muscliff exhibition
Facebook	03.02.14	Response to post on Sculpture by the Lakes page

Responses to the Waste Plan Issues Paper

4.20 Consultation on the Waste Plan Issues Paper drew responses from fifty individuals and/or organisations. In summary, some of the main issues raised and how these have been addressed are listed in table 5. A full schedule of comments made to the consultation, along with an officer response to each comment was published on our website.
<https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/article/419382/Preparing-the-new-Waste-Plan>
 The responses helped inform the preparation of the Draft Waste Plan.

Table 5 – Summary of comments made to the Waste Plan Issues Paper

Issue raised	How issue has been addressed?
Various comments were made with regard to the vision and objectives set out in the Plan.	The vision and objectives have evolved as a direct result of comments made throughout all stages of consultation and plan preparation.
The Plan should further address cross boundary issues and movement of waste	This issue was addressed in the Draft Waste Plan and a summary is retained in the Pre-Submission Waste Plan. In addition a background paper on cross boundary movements has been prepared to support the preparation of the Waste Plan.
Concerns about the length of the Plan period	The Plan period has been amended to ensure that it allows for the preferred 15

	year time horizon.
Growth in waste arisings for all waste streams	Further work and focused consultation has been undertaken in response to issues raised to ensure an appropriate level of growth is planned for.
Various suggestions were made relating to the level of which the Plan aims for self-sufficiency.	The Waste Plan has taken this forward through the identification of site allocations and supportive criteria based policies to move towards this aim.
Several respondents raised the issue of maximising reduction and reuse of waste.	WPA responses agreed with this as these aspects of waste management are at the top of the waste hierarchy. However, the Plan also should acknowledge that these involve changes in consumer and manufacturing behaviours which are outside the scope of the Waste Plan. Where possible this issue has been raised and supported through the Waste Plan. Such as through the encouragement of re-use areas within new HRC's.
Updates on existing sites/capacity and waste activities from waste companies.	The Waste Plan and background papers have been updated to provide up to date information of current waste operations in the County.
A series of suggested options for specific sites and general areas for new waste facilities were suggested.	All options suggested have been explored. Further details can be found in Background Paper 2: Site Selection, which accompanies the Waste Plan. Shortlisted site options were included in the Draft Waste Plan (2015).

Sustainability Appraisal

- 4.21 An updated Waste & Minerals Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report was prepared in 2013. In accordance with Article 5 of the SEA Directive, a five week consultation period was held with the statutory environmental consultees, as well as the Local Nature Partnership and the Local Economic Partnership, from 28 October to 2 December 2013.
- 4.22 Responses to the consultation were received from Natural England, English Heritage and the Environment Agency.
- 4.23 The Sustainability Appraisal Scoping Report was updated and re-consulted on in February/March 2015. Responses were received from the Environment Agency, Dorset Wildlife Trust and English Heritage. As a result, a number of minor changes were made to the scoping report and topic papers. The latest version of the report and topic papers can be downloaded from the council's website.

Engagement with the Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership (DLEP)

- 4.24 The Waste Planning Authority has a responsibility to engage with the DLEP during the preparation of planning policy documents. On 27th November 2014

a report was presented to the DLEP. The report considered the positive contribution that waste planning makes to Dorset's economy and updated members of the Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership (DLEP) on the preparation of the Waste Plan (and other Planning Policy documents). The report also sought a view from DLEP on how it might wish to be engaged during plan preparation and beyond.

- 4.25 In response, the DLEP board requested to receive regular updates at key stages of plan preparation. Further engagement with the DLEP is explained in the sections below.

5 January 2015 – Focused Consultation on Safeguarding and Waste Projections

- 5.1 In January 2015, a focused consultation was sent out to selected stakeholders. Consultation focused on two discreet areas of the Waste Plan; Safeguarding and Waste Projections.
- 5.2 Engagement was sought specifically from the waste industry and adjoining waste planning authorities on the proposed waste growth. Response's fed into the Draft Waste Plan (July 2015).
- 5.3 Engagement on issues of safeguarding was particularly sought from district and borough councils within Dorset. As a result, meetings were arranged to discuss issues of safeguarding with East Dorset, Christchurch and Purbeck District Councils.

6 Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan

- 6.1 Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan took place from 15 July to 23 September 2015, for a 10 week period. A consultation on the Mineral Sites Plan also took place over the same period. The two documents were consulted on jointly using similar consultation methods, explained below.

Pre-Consultation

- 6.2 A Minerals and Waste Planning Members Seminar was held on 12 May 2015. All Members of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole were invited to attend this event to discuss the development of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan and Draft Waste Plan, prior to public consultation in summer 2015.
- 6.3 Dorset County Council Cabinet considered the recommendation of the Environment Overview Committee on 8 July for the purposes of public consultation of the Waste Plan and Mineral Sites Plan. The report was also considered by the relevant committees of Bournemouth and Poole.
- 6.4 On 4 June 2015, advanced notice of the forthcoming consultation was sent to all Parish/Town Councils in Dorset. Those with an email address were sent an email and those without an email address were posted a letter. The email/letter advised Parish Councils of the dates of the consultation and a summary of the two consultation documents. It also advised of the exhibitions planned as part of the consultation and asked Parish Councils to assist in publicising the

consultation by including articles in their newsletters and/or on their websites. A number of responses were received and articles sent where requested.

- 6.5 This was followed up with an email on 22 June to those parishes that had not responded but that had mineral proposals and/or waste site options within their Parish to advise them as such and offer information for their newsletter/website.
- 6.6 On 8 July, an article about the forthcoming consultation was included in the county council's newsletter for Members.
- 6.7 Working with Dorset County Council Communications team, a Communications Plan was prepared with the following objectives;
 - To encourage public to respond to the plans
 - To reduce misunderstandings amongst respondents
 - Representations to be received for all sites

Consultation Period

- 6.8 Notifications
Information relating to the consultation was placed on www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan. The Draft Waste Plan was published on our consultation portal prior to the start of the consultation. This was a full online version of the document which people could comment on online. A direct link to the consultation portal was included on the above webpage, as well as a pdf of the Draft Waste and a response form. Information was also placed on Bournemouth Borough Council and Borough of Poole's websites, with links to the dorsetforyou.com website.
- 6.9 Notifications informing people of the consultation were sent during the week commencing 13 July, with those with an email address receiving email notification on 15 July. A leaflet summarising both the Draft Waste Plan and Mineral Sites Plan was attached.
- 6.10 All those with an email address also received an automated email notification of the opening of the consultation portal.
- 6.11 A poster was produced and sent to all Parish Councils with a request that they display this on their parish noticeboards. Posters were also sent to all libraries in Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole, and to district and borough councils in Dorset. In addition, posters were placed in public locations including in shop windows/supermarket noticeboards in areas where exhibitions were planned.
- 6.12 Copies of the consultation documents were sent to the Dorset District and Borough Councils including one copy to be made available for the public to view. Copies of the consultation documents were also made available at the planning offices of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Councils.
- 6.13 Libraries throughout Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole were also send copies of the Minerals and Waste leaflet and posters.

6.14 Exhibitions

A series of exhibitions were arranged across the county, as detailed in Table 6. Details of the exhibitions were made available on the councils' websites and were included in consultee notifications, on posters and in press releases.

Table 6 – Schedule of Exhibitions

Date	Exhibition
Wed 29 July	Brownsword Hall, Dorchester 3 - 7 pm
Thurs 30 July	Swans Yard, Shaftesbury 10am-2pm
Fri 31 July	Wimborne Market 9 – 1pm
Tue 4 Aug	Langton Matravers village hall 3-7pm
Thur 6 Aug	Burton village hall 3-7pm
Mon 10 Aug	Blandford Corn Exchange 3-7pm
Tue 11 Aug	Portland Tesco 3-7pm
Wed 12 Aug	Bearwood Community Centre 3-7pm
Thur 13 Aug	Hurn Bridge Sports Club 3-7pm
Tue 18 Aug	Kinson Hub, Bournemouth 3 – 6.30pm
Tue 1 Sept	Dorchester Library 3 -6.30pm
Wed 2 Sept	Ferndown Tesco 3 – 7pm
Thur 3 Sept	Moreton Village Hall 3-7pm
Mon 7 Sept	Orchard Park Garden Centre, Gillingham 1-5:30pm
Wed 9 Sept	Wareham Corn Exchange 3-7pm

6.15 In addition to the scheduled exhibitions, officers attended and gave presentations at the following meetings at the request of the organisers:

- Dorset Association of Town and Parish Council Meeting – Sherborne & Weymouth
- East Dorset Environmental Partnership Meeting – Colehill
- Winfrith Parish Council
- Dorchester Town Council

6.16 Your Dorset

An article was published in Your Dorset including an explanation of the consultation and a list of exhibitions. This was distributed to all households in Dorset during the week commencing 15th July 2015.

6.17 No edition of Poole News was published at an appropriate time for this consultation. Instead, details of the consultation were included within the Borough of Poole's general Planning email bulletin which was sent out on 11 August 2015.

6.18 Press

A press release was distributed to the local media on at the start of the consultation and a reminder was sent towards to end of the consultation period.

6.19 Table 7 lists the known press coverage received as a result of the press releases. This list only includes those articles related to the Waste Plan, there were a number of additional articles that related directly to mineral sites.

Table 7 – Press Coverage

Media	Date	Subject
Dorsetforyou.com Dorset Newsroom	20th July 2015	Have your say on future of plans for minerals and waste
Dorset Echo	16th July 2015	Council invites views on future of Dorset's minerals and waste
Western Gazette	17 th July 2015	Dorset residents views sought on waste services Western Gazette
Dorset Echo	20th July 2015	Have your say on future of plans for minerals and waste
View From Online - News from West Dorset, East Devon & South Somerset	22nd July 2015	DORCHESTER: Poundbury option for recycling centre
Bournemouth Daily Echo	28 July 2015	Have your say on where new waste facilities could be located
Bournemouth Daily Echo	8th August 2015	Meetings on waste and quarrying plans in Dorset
Meridian - ITV News	8th August 2015	Public to be asked about Dorset's needs for next 16 years
Dorset Echo	26 August 2015	Last chance to have your say on future of plans for minerals and waste
Dorset Echo	27 August 2015	Last chance for residents to talk to planners about the possible relocation of the Dorchester Household Recycling Centre.

6.20 Digital Media

Details of the consultation were shown as 'Featured Content' on the homepage of www.dorsetforyou.com. The minerals and waste consultation was also featured on the consultation tracker on www.dorsetforyou.com for the duration of the consultation period. A link to the consultation tracker appeared as the main feature on the dorsetforyou homepage at intervals during the consultation.

6.21 During the consultation 3 paid Facebook adverts were undertaken. In addition, a further 8 Facebook posts and 15 tweets were undertaken mainly to coincide with the planning exhibitions.

6.22 The following outputs were achieved:

- Facebook adverts – 12,277 people had the opportunity to see it
- Facebook posts – 5,200 total reach
- Twitter posts –206,500 total reach

- Recycle for Dorset e-newsletter sent to 36,345 – 34% opens and 10% clicks – 2,382 clicks for the Waste Plan page

As a result, the following Website visits were recorded;

- 249 clicks from social media
- 683 clicks from Facebook adverts
- 3,704 clicks from e-newsletter).
- Total of 1,059,576 page views

Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership

6.23 A report was presented to the DLEP on the 28 July 2015. The report summarised the progress being made on the Waste Plan and the proposals contained in the consultation Draft Waste Plan. The Waste Planning Authority invited comments from the DLEP on the Draft Waste Plan policies and/or site options.

6.24 No responses or comments were made by Board members following this report.

Sustainability Appraisal

6.25 Sustainability appraisal (SA) was undertaken on the waste strategy, policies, vision, objectives and waste site options. Summaries of the appraisal were included in the consultation document. The full version of the sustainability appraisal was available throughout the consultation on the county councils website. Comments on the SA were invited. A summary of comments received to the SA at all stages is contained within the SA report.

Background Papers

6.26 The following background papers were also available throughout the consultation;

- Detailed site assessments for all waste site options contained in the Draft Waste Plan
- Background Paper 1 – Waste Arising's and Projections
- Background Paper 2 – Waste Plan Site Selection
- Background Paper 3 – Cross Boundary Movements
- Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report

Responses to the Draft Waste Plan Consultation

6.27 Approximately 900 responses were received from nearly 200 people and organisations. Generally, consultees agreed with the levels of waste growth forecast and were supportive of the proposed policies/supporting text, subject to minor changes and updates. A summary of the main issues raised is included in table 8.

- 6.28 Two detailed reports have also been prepared and are available on our website <https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/article/419382/Preparing-the-new-Waste-Plan>. The first report summarises the key issues that were raised to each of the site options. The second lists all responses received to the text and policies of the Plan. Both reports include an officer response to the issues raised.
- 6.29 Where appropriate, responses were followed up and used to inform the preparation of the final Plan.

Table 8 – Summary of comments made to the Draft Waste Plan

Issue raised	How issue has been addressed?
Waste Plan end date	The plan end date has subsequently been extend to ensure a 15 year Plan period.
The need to incorporate the findings of the Eastern Dorset Strategic Housing Market Assessment (SHMA) into growth projections for waste.	This work was completed in October 2015 and its findings have been incorporated into the Waste Plan.
Consideration of additional sites	Additional sites were fully considered and as a result an additional consultation on alternative sites was undertaken.
The importance of on-going dialogue with district and borough councils, parish and town councils and other stakeholders including the Environment Agency, Natural England, Highways England and site promoters/landowners.	The WPA is committed to engagement with stakeholders. Further consultation both formal and informal has been undertaken throughout the process of preparation of the Waste Plan.
Development of criteria/mitigation recommendations for allocated sites	For all site allocations, a series of 'development criteria' have been drafted and included in the Waste Plan. These are not intended to be an exhaustive list but highlight some of the key issues that should be addressed in any planning application.
Detailed comments were made in relation to the specific options set out.	All the issues raised have been considered. In some cases, specific studies/assessment has been undertaken to fully understand and address issues. Where necessary concerns have been addressed through amendments to site boundaries and 'development considerations'. In some cases, sites have been discounted as a result of issues raised.

7 Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan Update – Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Sites (2016)

7.1 Consultation on the Draft Waste Plan – Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Sites took place from 26 May to 21 July 2016, for an 8-week period. A consultation on the Mineral Sites Plan also took place over the same period. The two documents were consulted on jointly using similar consultation methods, explained below.

Pre-Consultation

7.2 The Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Joint Advisory Committee met on the 13 May 2016. The committee authorised officers to carry out public consultation on the ‘Draft Waste Plan Update –Additional and Emerging Preferred Waste Site Allocations’.

7.3 Working with the authority’s Communications team, a bespoke Communications Plan was prepared for the consultation. The plan had the following objectives;

- To inform and encourage public to respond to the plans
- To gain understanding amongst respondents
- Promote waste reduction, increase recycling rates, manage waste effectively and push encourage facilities to push waste up the waste hierarchy
- Ensure good management of the natural environment in Dorset

7.4 During April 2016, advanced notice of the forthcoming consultation was sent to all Parish/Town Councils in Dorset. Those with an email address were sent an email and those without an email address were posted a letter. The email/letter advised Parish Councils of the provisional dates of the consultation and a summary of the two consultation documents. It also asked Parish Councils to assist in publicising the consultation by including articles in their newsletters and/or on their websites. A number of responses were received and articles sent where requested.

Consultation Period

7.5 Notifications

Information relating to the consultation was placed on www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan and via the authority’s consultation tracker. Appropriate links were included on the websites of Bournemouth and Poole.

7.6 The Draft Waste Plan Update was published on our consultation portal prior to the start of the consultation. This was a full online version of the document which people could comment on online. A direct link to the consultation portal was included on the above webpage, as well as a pdf of the Draft Waste Plan and supporting information.

7.7 Notifications informing people of the consultation were sent during the week commencing 23 May 2016, with those with an email address receiving email notification on 26 May.

- 7.8 All those with an email address also received an automatic email notification of the opening of the consultation portal.
- 7.9 Copies of the consultation documents were sent to the Dorset District and Borough Councils including one copy to be made available for the public to view. Copies of the consultation documents were also made available at the planning offices of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Councils.
- 7.10 Libraries throughout Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole were informed of the consultation and asked to refer the public to our website if requiring information about the Waste Plan consultation. On the 8 June, a member of the public made a request that the consultation document and response forms be made available in Ferndown library. This request was met immediately.

Neighbour Notification

- 7.11 All properties both residential and commercial, situated within 250m of the sites contained in the consultation document, were written to at the start of the consultation. Details of where they could find out more information about proposals was provided.

Site Notices

- 7.12 Site notices were displayed in appropriate locations for all sites contained in the consultation document. A Plan of the proposal and details of where to find out more information was included.

Meetings/Presentations

- 7.13 No exhibitions were arranged for this consultation. However, officers attended and gave presentations at the East Dorset Environmental Partnership Meeting, Wimborne at the request of the organisation.

Press Coverage/Media

- 7.14 Your Dorset
The timing of the publication of 'Your Dorset' did not align with the consultation. However, an article was included within the spring 2016 edition which thanked stakeholders for their views on waste sites made during the 2015 consultation period. The article summarised the number of comments received, the key issues that arose and explained that there would be a further opportunity to comment on the Plan later this year with a link to our website for further details.
- 7.15 Press
A press release was distributed to the local media to tie in with the start of the consultation, during early July and at the end of the consultation. There were two Dorset newsroom articles on www.dorsetforyou.com
- 7.16 Table 9 lists the known press coverage received following the press releases. This list only includes those articles related to the Waste Plan.
- 7.17 In addition, officers undertook two radio interviews during the consultation period. No details on coverage of these recordings is known.

Table 9 – Press Coverage

Media	Date	Subject
Dorset Echo	26 May 2016	Consultation on potential mineral and waste sites in Dorset
Mags4Dorset	1 June 2016	Have your say on draft Mineral and Waste strategy
Newsonplace.com	3 June 2016	Consultation starts on draft Mineral and Waste strategy (Dorset County Council)
Blackmore Vale	6 June 2016	Dorset recycling facilities - changes proposed for Blandford, Gillingham and Dorchester
Swanage Advertiser	6 June 2016	
Blackmore Vale	7 June 2016	Uddens and Cannon Hill Woodlands under threat - people urged to give their
Dorset Echo	14 June 2016	Public asked for view on plans for new waste facilities in Ferndown, Poole and Christchurch
Bournemouth Daily Echo	21 June 2016	Incinerator and 300ft chimney plans “complete madness”, say residents
Bournemouth Daily Echo	8 July 2016	Is council about to build a waste incinerator at Tower Park?
Dorset Echo	8 July 2016	Sites earmarked for new waste facilities
LetsRecycle.com	12 July 2016	Dorset proposes five sites in capacity warning

7.18 Digital Media

The minerals and waste consultation was featured on the consultation tracker on www.dorsetforyou.com for the duration of the consultation period.

7.19 During the consultation period posts were made on the council's Facebook page and Twitter feed. Additionally, five paid Facebook adverts were taken out targeted at different geographic areas. Table 10 lists the outputs that were achieved.

Table 10: Social Media Outcomes

Media	Details	Outcome
Dorsetforyou Facebook page posts	9 posts during consultation period	26 clicks 8,700 reach
Dorset Councils Twitter	17 posts during consultation period	91 clicks 21,800 reach 18 retweets/shares 3 comments on posts
Facebook Ad Campaigns	Wimborne ad East Dorset ad Blandford ad Dorchester ad Shaftesbury ad	777 clicks – 17, 304 reach 752 clicks – 14,152 reach 1,159 clicks – 9,180 reach 1,198 clicks – 11,319 reach 0

Consultation Follow Up

7.20 Member Update – September 2016

In September 2016, an autumn update summarising the outcomes of the 2016 summer consultation was sent to all Dorset County Council, Bournemouth Borough Council and Borough of Poole Councillors. The email was also forwarded to planning colleagues of the district/borough councils for them to send onto their local Members if they felt it appropriate.

7.21 Press

Following the consultation, a press release was issued providing a brief summary of the outcomes of the consultation and link to the website where a summary of outcomes for each site was available. Table 11 summarises the known press coverage as a result.

Table11 – Press Coverage

Media	Date	Subject/Headline
Bournemouth Daily Echo	23 September 2016	Hundreds have their say on mineral site and waste centre plans
View News	27 September 2016	New site proposals for recycling plants
Your Dorset	Autumn 2016	Article thanking people and summarising

		outcomes
--	--	----------

Sustainability Appraisal

7.22 Sustainability appraisal was undertaken on the waste site options. The full version of the sustainability appraisal was available throughout the consultation on the county council's website.

Engagement with the Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership

7.23 A report was presented to the DLEP on 28 July 2016. DLEP board members were asked to note the progress being made on the Waste Plan. The Waste Planning Authority also invited any comments that the DLEP may wish to make on the Waste Plan consultation document. Although this meeting fell outside the formal consultation period, board members were assured that a response would be accepted up till the end of August 2016.

7.24 In response, the LEP raised concerns to one of the sites contained in the Draft Waste Plan. As a result, officers of the Minerals and Waste Planning Authority met with the LEP to discuss issues of concern.

7.25 Following this meeting a further paper was presented to the LEP board on 27 Sept 2016. The minutes of this meeting expresses support for the paper and the Board confirmed that nothing further needed to happen.

Responses to the 2016 Draft Waste Plan consultation

7.26 Over 900 comments were received during the consultation from approximately 480 individuals and organisations. Generally, consultees were supportive of the extended Plan period and the updated levels of growth in waste arisings presented.

7.27 Given some of the concerns in relation to the development of a strategic treatment facility for managing residual waste generated by the three authorities the WPA arranged a visit to energy from waste facility to enable stakeholders to find out more.

7.28 Two reports have been prepared that listed all the comments made to the 2016 Draft Waste Plan Update. The first report contains a summary of the key issues that were raised for each site option. The second report contains all the comments related to text and policies of the Plan. A summary of the main issues raised through the consultation is contained in Table 12.

<https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/article/419382/Preparing-the-new-Waste-Plan>

An officer response is provided to all issues raised.

7.29 The responses and issues raised were followed up as necessary and used to inform the preparation of the final Plan.

Table 12 – Summary of comments made to the Draft Waste Plan 2016

Site option/proposed facility and issues raised	How issue has been addressed?
---	-------------------------------

<p>WP01 Ferndown Area of Search</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residual waste treatment • Bulky waste treatment • Household recycling centre (HRC) • Waste vehicle depot <p>There was concern about the development of all proposed facilities within the entire area of search due to the proximity of sensitive receptors, impact on quality of life and the impact of additional traffic locally.</p> <p>The greatest concern was to the use of the Green Belt land situated SW of Blunts Farm. Stakeholders questioned the need for Green Belt development. It was clear that this is a well-used recreational space and that development would place pressure on nearby sensitive heathlands.</p> <p>There remains an objection to any waste development at Blunts Farm from the landowner, the Forestry Commission who believe that this land would be better suited to employment uses and that a waste facility may deter investment. The district council agree that Blunts Farm is required to deliver Core Strategy employment land requirements and that the proposals for waste facilities will prejudice the councils' ability to deliver projected requirements for employment land.</p> <p>Greatest concern was raised to the development of a residual treatment facility and the potential of this type of facility to generate harmful emissions. The need for a HRC was accepted by some stakeholders however others considered that the HRC should remain at Brook Road.</p> <p>A number of alternative sites were suggested. These were investigated further and details can be found in Background Paper 2: Site Selection.</p>	<p>As a result of some of the concerns raised through the consultation this site was discounted and not included in the Final Waste Plan.</p>
<p>WP02 Woolsbridge Industrial Estate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residual waste treatment • Bulky waste treatment <p>There was concern about the development of this site for both proposed uses.</p> <p>The greatest concern was the impact of additional traffic and the impact on the quality of life of</p>	<p>As a result of some of the concerns raised through the consultation the proposed uses have been limited to transfer/treatment of bulky waste. The site allocation has also been reduced in area and development considerations included in the final Waste Plan. These measures should reduce the</p>

<p>sensitive receptors particularly from a treatment facility.</p> <p>Impact on environmental designations was also raised with regards to development of a residual waste treatment facility, particularly situated on the southern parcel of land.</p> <p>The district council have indicated that this site is needed to address employment land requirements for the South East Dorset area and the proposals for waste facilities will prejudice the councils' ability to deliver projected requirements for employment land.</p> <p>In addition to individual representations, a petition including 93 signatures was received objecting to this site. This petition will be reported to the appropriate committee.</p>	<p>scale of waste operations to acceptable levels.</p>
<p>WP03 Manning's Heath Area of Search</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residual waste treatment • Bulky waste treatment <p>Concerns were raised to the development of a waste facility, in particular a residual waste treatment facility with associated stack, on this site.</p> <p>Of greatest concern was the impact on quality of life of sensitive receptors including residents and those working and visiting this industrial estate.</p> <p>The impact of traffic associated with waste development was also a major issue.</p> <p>Part of this site already has planning permission for the development of a material recycling facility.</p> <p>Additional, SUEZ (landowner of part of the site) raised concerns that, as presented, the site allocation would only allow for the development of a large 210,000tpa residual waste facility and would not allow for a smaller facility.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<p>As a result of some of the concerns raised through the consultation the proposed uses have been limited to RDF/SRF and development considerations have been included in the final Waste Plan. These measures should reduce the scale of waste operations to acceptable levels.</p>
<p>WP04 Site Control Centre</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Intensification of existing uses including extension to site boundary <p>The main issue raised was the cumulative impact of intensification of this existing facility alongside other development in the vicinity. In particular in terms of</p>	<p>Further consideration of the issue of vehicle movements has been undertaken. However, the WPA is of the view that any additional movements would be acceptable. The HRA has also been undertaken and concluded that there would not be significant effects on European Sites from</p>

<p>the additional vehicles generated.</p> <p>The impact on nearby designated heathlands waste also of concern.</p>	<p>the proposals.</p>
<p>WP05 Eco-Sustainable Solutions</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residual waste treatment within existing site boundary • Bulky waste recycling/recovery <p>Traffic and access were key concerns related to the proposed intensification and additional uses proposed. These issues relate to this site and the cumulative impact with additional vehicles associated with gravel extraction, the development of the aviation park, residential developments etc.</p> <p>Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership, Manchester Airport Group and other stakeholders have concerns that the proposed waste site could have detrimental impact on Dorset's growth potential through the attractiveness of the Aviation Park.</p> <p>Stack height, aviation safety and impact on nearby heathland were also raised in relation to the development of this site for the management of residual waste.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	<p>Further consideration of the issues raised has been ongoing and the WPA is of the view that issues will be capable of mitigation through a detailed planning application.</p>
<p>WP06 Land south of Sunrise Business Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste Management Centre • Waste Vehicle depot <p>This site's location within the Cranborne Chase AONB generated some concern, further consideration will be needed to ensure that the design, layout and landscaping can appropriately mitigate impacts.</p> <p>Another key issue raised related to access and the cumulative impact of additional traffic associated with waste development and other developments in the vicinity. Some stakeholders were concerned that a new access off the roundabout would give rise to congestion and others felt that access should not be through the exiting industrial estate due to parking difficulties.</p> <p>A number of alternative sites were suggested. These will be investigated further. This included an objection from the landowner of the existing site (at</p>	<p>As a result of consultation, the alternative sites suggested have been assessed and subject to additional focused consultation. However, the WPA has reached the conclusion that this site is the most appropriate site to address the need for a WMC. The site allocation has also been reduced in area and development considerations included in the final Waste Plan. These measures should reduce the scale of waste operations to acceptable levels.</p>

<p>Holland Way) questioning the need for a new facility.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 	
<p>WP07 Brickfields Business Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Household recycling centre (HRC) <p>Very few issues were raised to the development of a new HRC in this location.</p> <p>A number of supporting representations were received suggesting that this is a sustainable location.</p> <p>Further consideration will need to be given to whether it is possible to reduce the site to a more specific site allocation.</p> <p>One alternative site was suggested. This has been investigated further but discounted.</p>	<p>The WPA has considered further the possibility of allocating a more specific site for a HRC. Unfortunately, this has not been possible as master planning for the site is still at an early stage. The site has been reduced marginally to remove FZ2 and 3 from the allocation.</p>
<p>WP08 Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works</p> <p>Very few comments were received to the expansion of the sewage works.</p> <p>There was some concern that the extension would bring the facility closer to existing properties which could give rise to odour.</p>	<p>Further consideration has been given to the issues raised and the WPA is confident that these issues can be addressed through mitigation.</p>
<p>WP09 Maiden Newton Sewage Treatment Works</p> <p>Support was shown by one stakeholder to the inclusion of an area of landscape mitigation.</p>	<p>The WPA is confident that this site is appropriate for allocation.</p>
<p>WP10 Land at Stinsford Hill</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Household recycling centre (HRC) • Waste Transfer Station • Waste vehicle depot • Waste Management Centre <p>Key issues that arose to the development of waste facilities in this location related to the traffic/access and the impact on the landscape from developing this green field site. There are concerns that any development here would break the strong development boundary between the urban area of Dorchester and the countryside.</p> <p>Limited support was shown to this site given its position away from residential areas.</p>	<p>As a result of some of the concerns raised through the consultation this site was discounted and not included in the Final Waste Plan.</p>

<p>This site was considered based on the potential for future larger scale, non-waste, development in this area. However, this reasoning may be premature as the review of the West Dorset Plan is at an early stage.</p> <p>A number of alternative sites were suggested. These will be investigated further; however most were sites that have already been discounted.</p>	
<p>WP11 Loudsmill</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Household recycling centre (HRC) • <p>The key issues that arose to the improvement of the existing access was the congested access through a residential area and the impact on quality of life for sensitive receptors near the site and situated along the access road.</p> <p>If this site is to be taken forward further investigation will be undertaken to see if it is possible to identify a more specific site for the development of a modern, split level HRC.</p>	<p>Further investigation has been undertaken and the WPA has been able to identify a more specific site for allocation in the final Plan. The location of the site should enable mitigation of some of the issues raised with regards to traffic and access to the site.</p>
<p>WP12 Old Radio Station</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transfer Station • Waste vehicle depot <p>Very few comments were received to the development of a transfer station and waste vehicle depot on this site.</p> <p>Issues that will need to be considered further included any impacts on the flow of traffic on the A35 and appropriate landscape mitigation to minimise impacts on Maiden Castle and the AONB to an acceptable level.</p> <p>The development of this facility relies on Dorset passenger transport re-locating. This issue will need further investigation to ensure the site is deliverable.</p>	<p>Further consideration has been given to the issues raised and the WPA is confident that these issues can be addressed through mitigation and the site is deliverable in principle.</p>
<p>WP13 Charminster Depot and Farm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste vehicle depot <p>There was some concern about the development of a waste depot in this location.</p> <p>Key issues that arose were the impact on quality of life for sensitive receptors nearby, particularly with</p>	<p>As a result of some of the concerns raised through the consultation and other factors this site was discounted and not included in the Final Waste Plan.</p>

<p>regards to the additional vehicles generated by the proposal. Cumulative impacts were also raised with regards to the development of a waste facility and residential developments.</p>	
<p>WP14 Land at Bourne Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Green waste composting <p>Very few comments were received to development of a green waste composting facility.</p> <p>Issues that were raised included traffic and access and the need for a traffic routing agreement to minimise impacts on nearby rural roads and villages.</p> <p>The site is also situated in close proximity to the AONB and careful consideration will be necessary to reduce impacts.</p>	<p>Further consideration has been given to the issues raised and the WPA is confident that these issues can be addressed through mitigation. See 'development considerations' contained within the final Plan for details.</p>
<p>WP15 Land at Blackhill Road. Holton Heath</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste vehicle depot • Waste Transfer Station <p>Very few comments were received to proposed development here.</p> <p>Some concern related to the impact of additional traffic and the impacts of development on ecological designations and grass verges in the immediate vicinity.</p>	<p>Further consideration has been given to the issues raised and the WPA is confident that these issues can be addressed through mitigation. See 'development considerations' contained within the final Plan for details.</p>
<p>WP16 Swanworth Quarry</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Inert waste facility <p>Concerns raised to the importation of an increased tonnage of inert waste to Swanworth Quarry related to increased vehicles, associated impacts and impacts on the AONB/Jurassic Coast.</p> <p>There was also some confusion between this proposal and the quarry extension proposed through the Mineral Sites Plan.</p>	<p>Planning permission has been granted so this site has been withdrawn from the process of allocation.</p>

8 Consultation on Waste Site Options in Blandford and Purbeck (February 2017)

- 8.1 Three additional site options were put forward following consultation on the Draft Waste Plan Update (2016). A focussed consultation on Waste Site Options in Blandford and Purbeck was undertaken from 23 February to 5 April 2017.

Pre-Consultation

- 8.2 On 9 February, an email was sent to all County Councillors (and Bournemouth and Poole) providing an update on the preparation of the Waste Plan (and Minerals Plan) and informing them of the proposed consultation on additional sites. Details were provided of the three sites.
- 8.3 The Bournemouth, Dorset & Poole Minerals & Waste Joint Advisory Committee met and agreed the consultation on 23 February 2017.

Consultation Period

- 8.4 Notifications
Information relating to the consultation was placed on www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan. The consultation document was published on our consultation portal at the start of the consultation. This was a full online version of the document which people could comment on online. A direct link to the consultation portal was included on the above webpage, as well as a pdf of the consultation document and a response form.
- 8.5 Notifications informing people of the consultation were sent between 23-27 February 2017, with those with an email address receiving email notification on 23 February. A leaflet explaining the consultation on additional sites and providing an update on the preparation of the Waste Plan and Mineral Sites Plan was attached.
- 8.6 All those with an email address also received an automatic email notification of the opening of the consultation portal.
- 8.7 Paper copies of the consultation document, leaflets and response forms were sent to North Dorset District Council and Purbeck District Council to be placed on deposit. They were also sent to Blandford and Wareham libraries for display and to the three parish councils where the sites are located. Paper copies were sent to other parish councils on request. A breakdown of consultees is shown in Table 13.

Table 13 – Overview of consultees

Consultation Group	Examples of consultees in group	Documents sent
Specific Consultation Bodies Other Consultees Minerals & Waste Industry	Environment Agency, English Heritage, Natural England, Highways Agency; utility companies; environmental groups;	Letter, leaflet (by email or post)

	adjoining parish councils	
Relevant Parish Councils	Pimperne Parish Council Langton Long Parish Council East Stoke Parish Council	Consultation document, letter, leaflets, response forms
Other Parish & Town Councils within Dorset	Full list available on request	Letter, leaflet (by email or post)
Relevant District Councils	North Dorset District Council Purbeck District Council	Consultation document, letter, leaflets, response forms
Other Dorset District Councils, adjoining councils	Christchurch, East Dorset, North Dorset, West Dorset, Weymouth & Portland and Purbeck.	Letter, leaflet (by email or post)
Other interested people and general consultation bodies	Residents who previously registered an interest/commented on previous documents. Community groups.	Leaflet (by post or email)
MP/MEP	All Dorset, Poole and Bournemouth MPs and MEPs	Letter, leaflet (by email)
Internal consultees	Full list available on request.	Email providing website link
Relevant libraries	Blandford Library Wareham Library	Memo, leaflets, response forms, consultation document
Neighbour notification	Properties within 250m of sites; Ministry of Defence Archbishop Wakefield School, Blandford	Letter with map of site, leaflet

Neighbour Notification

8.8 All properties both residential and commercial, situated within 250m of the sites contained in the consultation document, were written to at the start of the consultation. A map of the relevant site and details of where they could find out more information about proposals was provided.

Site Notices

8.9 Site notices were displayed in appropriate locations for all sites contained in the consultation document. A Plan of the proposal and details of where to find out more information was included.

Press Coverage/Media

8.10 Press

A press release was distributed to the local media on 24 February 2017 and appeared under the News section of www.dorsetforyou.com. A press release was also issued towards the end of the consultation period.

8.11 Table 14 lists the known press coverage received following the press releases.

Table 14 – Press Coverage

Media	Date	Subject/Headline
Bournemouth Daily Echo	25 February 2017	Three sites suggested for possible waste and recycling facilities
Swanage & Wareham Voice	25 February 2017	Three sites suggested for possible waste and recycling facilities
Charlton Marshall PC newsletter	March 2017	Not another consultation?
Blandford Focus	April 2017	Waste sites identified for waste depot relocation

8.12 Digital Media

From 16 March 2017 to the end of the consultation period, the waste site options consultation was shown as 'Featured Content' on the homepage of www.dorsetforyou.com. It was also featured on the consultation tracker on www.dorsetforyou.com for the duration of the consultation period.

8.13 Two paid Facebook campaigns were undertaken focusing on the two specific geographical areas. The Wareham advert resulted in 1,063 clicks and a total reach of 15,908. The Blandford advert resulted in 343 clicks and a reach of 6,823. An additional 8 Facebook posts and 6 tweets were undertaken during the consultation,

Sustainability Appraisal

8.14 Sustainability appraisal was undertaken on the three additional site options. Summaries of the appraisal were included in the consultation document. The full version of the sustainability appraisal was available throughout the consultation on the county council's website.

Responses to the Focused Consultation (2017)

8.15 74 people and organisations commented during the consultation. A report of the issues raised and officers response has been prepared and can be found on our website. <https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/article/419382/Preparing-the-new-Waste-Plan>

8.16 As expected a wide range of issues were raised to the sites. Table 15 provides a summary of the main issues that arose and how the concerns were addressed.

Table 15 – Summary of comments made to the Focused Consultation (2017)

Site option/proposed facility and issues raised	How issue has been addressed?
<p>WP17 Land East of Sunrise Business Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste Management Centre <p>Issues raised included the impact of traffic and access, impact on the AONB and proximity of sensitive receptors including food and retail business of Sunrise Business Park.</p> <p>A series of supporting representations were also received. These suggested that the site would provide scope for growth, is away from residential properties and provides good access options.</p>	<p>As a result of some of the concerns raised through the consultation and other factors this site was discounted and not included in the final Waste Plan.</p>
<p>WP18 Langton Lodge Farm</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste Management Centre <p>Issues raised included traffic, congestion and access, pedestrian safety and impact on sensitive receptors nearby. The presence of a major water main and groundwater issues were also raised through the consultation.</p> <p>A series of supporting representation acknowledging the need for a new waste management centre and that this site would have least impact on the AONB.</p>	<p>Further work was undertaken to consider the deliverability of this site. However, because of some of the concerns raised related to amenity from increased traffic travelling through a residential area, particularly past the entrance to a school, the a risk of contamination to the public water supply from development and concern that the viability of the site will be impacted due to the cost of diverting the strategic water main this site was discounted and not included in the final Waste Plan.</p>
<p>WP19 Binnegar Environmental Park</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Waste Treatment Facility <p>Issues raised included impacts from emissions on ecology, traffic and visual impacts and impacts on the public right of waste and pollution of water courses. There was also concern that the sites location was too far from waste arisings.</p> <p>A series of supporting representations acknowledged the possibility this site might offer in reducing vehicle movements and the good access that this site offers. The benefits that this site has in being away from built up areas and residential properties was also mentioned.</p>	<p>Further consideration has been given to the issues raised and the WPA is confident that these issues can be addressed through mitigation. See 'development considerations' contained within the final Plan for details.</p>

9 Consultation on the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan

- 9.1 Consultation on the Pre -Submission Draft Waste took place between 1 December 2017 and 31 January 2018. A consultation on the Mineral Sites Plan also took place over the same period. The two documents were consulted on jointly using similar consultation methods, explained below.

Pre-Consultation

- 9.2 Working with the county council's communications team, a bespoke Communications Plan was prepared for the consultation. The plan had the following objectives:
- To inform the public of the publication of the revised plans
 - To gain understanding amongst respondents
 - Promote waste reduction, increase recycling rates, manage waste effectively and push encourage facilities to push waste up the waste hierarchy
 - Ensure good management of the natural environment in Dorset
- 9.3 The Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan was presented to Dorset's Economic Growth Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 16 October 2017. This was followed by Dorset County Council Cabinet on 18 October 2017. All the recommendations were agreed.
- 9.4 Bournemouth Borough Councils Planning Policy Steering Group agreed all the recommendations on the 3 November 2017. This was followed by Bournemouth Cabinet on Wednesday 8 November 2017. All the recommendations were agreed.
- 9.5 The Waste Plan was presented to the Poole Place Overview and Scrutiny Committee on 7 November 2017. Again, all the recommendations were agreed.
- 9.6 On the 10 November 2017, an email was sent to County Councillors to advise them of the upcoming consultations and provisional consultation dates. A similar email was also sent to the district and borough council's planning departments with a request for the email to be forwarded onto their Councillors. Briefings were offered and as a result one was arranged with Purbeck District Council.
- 9.7 At the beginning of November 2017, advanced notice of the forthcoming consultation was sent to all Parish/Town Councils in Dorset. Those with an email address were sent an email and those without an email address received a letter. The email/letter advised Parish Councils of the provisional dates of the consultation and a summary of the two consultation documents. It also asked Parish Councils to assist in publicising the consultation by including articles in their newsletters and/or on their websites. A number of responses were received and articles sent where requested.

Consultation Period

- 9.8 Notifications
Information relating to the consultation was placed on www.dorsetforyou.com/waste-plan and via the authority's consultation tracker.

Appropriate links were included on the websites of Bournemouth and Poole councils.

- 9.9 The Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan was published on our consultation portal at the start of the consultation. This was a full online version of the document which people could comment on online. A direct link to the consultation portal was included on the above webpage, as well as a pdf of the Waste Plan, submission documents and other supporting documents.
- 9.10 Notifications informing people of the consultation were sent in the post during the week commencing 27 November 2017. Those with an email address received an email at the start of the consultation. Copies of the Statement of Representations Procedure were also sent or attached to the email.
- 9.11 All those with an email address also received an automatic email notification on the opening of the consultation portal.
- 9.12 Copies of the consultation document and other submission documents were made available at the planning offices of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Councils. A copy of the consultation document was sent to the Dorset district and borough Councils to be made available for the public to view.
- 9.13 Libraries throughout Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole were informed of the consultation and asked to refer the public to our website if requiring information about the Waste Plan consultation. They were also sent a copy of the Statement of Representations Procedure.

Neighbour Notification

- 9.14 All properties, both residential and commercial, situated within 250m of the proposed site allocations were written to at the start of the consultation. Details and a map of the relevant site allocation were included in the letter. Furthermore, details of how to find out more information about proposals and how to comment were provided. A copy of the Statement of Representations Procedure was also included with the notification letters.

Site Notices

- 9.15 Site notices were displayed in appropriate locations for all proposed allocated sites. A plan of the proposal and details of where to find out more information was included.

Meetings/Presentations

- 9.16 Officers attended and gave presentations at the request of the following organisations:
- Purbeck District Council – 23/11/17
 - East Dorset Environmental Partnership Meeting, Wimborne – 13/12/17

Press/Media

- 9.17 Your Dorset

An article was included in the autumn edition of 'Your Dorset', the county council's newspaper, and circulated to properties throughout Dorset during mid November. The article alerted readers to the publication of the Waste Plan, subject to the approval of the three authorities. Given the timings of the publication it wasn't possible to provide detail on the content of the Waste Plan. However, contact details and a web address were provided for readers to find out more.

9.18 Press

A press release was distributed to the local media on 01/12/17, at the start of the consultation. An additional press release was distributed on 24/01/17, at the start of the final week of the consultation period.

Table 16 – Press Coverage

Media	Date	Subject
Dorset Echo	06/12/17	Have your say on county-wide minerals and waste plans
Buzz (Bournemouth University publication)	06/12/17	Dorset council's mineral sites plan raises concerns amongst local residents
Bournemouth Daily Echo	06/12/2017	Have your say on county-wide mineral and waste plans
BBC News Online	15/01/18	TE Lawrence grave site under threat
Blackmore Vale Magazine		Minerals and waste plans
Stour and Avon Magazine		Minerals and waste plans
BBC Solent	26/01/18	Radio interview with planning team leader
Wessex FM	26/01/18	Radio interview with planning team leader
Wessex FM online	31/01/18	Campaigners protest over quarrying plans

9.19 Digital Media

The minerals and waste consultation was featured on the consultation tracker on www.dorsetforyou.com for the duration of the consultation period.

9.20 News and information on the waste and minerals plans, along with links to the website, were sent out through the three councils e-newsletters, as set out in Table 17.

Table 17: E-newsletter distribution

Media	Details	Outcome
Bournemouth BC News & Information (e-newsletter)	E-newsletter sent to 6244 on 30/11/17	TBC
Poole e-news Planning &	E-newsletter sent to 3,932	TBC

Regeneration	on 12/12/17	
Recycle for Dorset news	Dorset Waste Partnership e-newsletter sent to 44,150 on 19/12/17	145 clicks
Poole e-news Planning & Regeneration	E-newsletter sent to 3,958 on 26/01/18	TBC

9.21 During the consultation period organic posts were placed on the three councils' Facebook page and Twitter feed at regular intervals. Additionally, paid Facebook adverts were undertaken. A summary is shown in Tables 18 and 19.

Table 18: Social Media Organic Posts

Dorset County Council – Facebook and Twitter					
Total posts	Total clicks	Total reach	Total retweets / shares	Total likes	Total comments
125	944	284,210	60	40	3

Bournemouth Borough Council - Facebook		
Reactions	Likes, comments & shares	Post clicks
3,381	14	72

Table 19: Facebook advertising

Ad name	People taking action	Post reactions	Post shares	Link clicks	Page likes
Waste	44	2	1	42	1
Minerals	70	14		55	1
Total	114	16	1	97	2

Sustainability Appraisal

9.22 Sustainability appraisal was undertaken on the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan. The full version of the Sustainability Appraisal Report and sustainability matrices were available throughout the consultation on the county council's website. As a Submission Document, a hard copy was also available at the offices of Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole councils.

Engagement with the Dorset Local Enterprise Partnership (DLEP)

9.23 A report was presented to the DLEP on 23 November 2017. DLEP board members were asked to note the progress being made on the Waste Plan and the anticipated submission of the Plan to the Secretary of State. The Waste Planning Authority also invited any comments that the DLEP may wish to make on the Waste Plan consultation document during the formal consultation period.

Responses to the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan

9.24 Representations made to the Pre-Submission Waste Plan will be passed onto the Inspector appointed to examine the Plan. The Waste Planning Authorities intends to fully consider representations made and, where appropriate, prepare a schedule of proposed main modifications. Minor updates to the Plan may also be made in response to representations. A summary of this issues raised during the consultation is attached as appendix A.

10 Further Information

For further information contact the Planning Policy team on 01305 228571 or 228585 mwdf@dorsetcc.gov.uk.

Appendix A

Summary of issues raised to the Pre-Submission Draft Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Waste Plan

Consultation on the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan took place between December 1 2017 and 31 January 2018. During the consultation a total of 410 comments were received from 246 contributing consultees.

The following issues have derived from the Waste Planning Authorities initial review of representations received.

The issues below concentrate on issues of concern rather than aspects of the Plan that have generated support. The issues have been divided into three sections:

- 1 Issues raised to the text and policies of the Waste Plan
- 2 Key Issues raised to site allocations
- 3 Waste Plan Omission Sites

1 Issued raised to text and policies of the Waste Plan

Chapter 3 – Guiding Principles	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that the Waste Plan does not include specific targets for recycling • Site allocations don't accord with the proximity principle
Policy 1 'Sustainable waste Management'	Concern raised that this policy does not refer to the Circular Economy
Chapter 4 – Vision and Objectives	There is little attempt to expand on Objective 5 sustainable transport modes, elsewhere within the Plan.
Chapter 5 – Spatial Strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The need for a replacement Household Recycling Centre in Wimborne should be addressed through an allocated site rather than through a criteria based policy. • Spatial Strategy sets out a need for the relocation of Wimborne HRC. However, this is to be achieved through a criteria based policy rather than a site allocation. This is not considered a sound approach, deliverability is uncertain.
Chapter 6 – Allocated Sites	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that the Plan is contrary to the NPPF and local policy in not taking account the need to meet the economic needs of the area on key employment sites of strategic significance through the allocation of waste sites. • To avoid conflict with the local plan policy, Policies 3 and 4 should include a criteria to ensure proposals are located within allocated or permitted employment land subject to compliance with adopted Local Plan policies. • Applications should also be in compliance with policies in the district and borough Local Plans which comprise the development plans for the Plan area. • Policies 3 and 4 should include clarification that HGVs associated with waste collection and transport would be restricted to primary routes. • Several sites have been put forward for allocation in Policy 3 of the Waste Plan in addition to or as alternatives to the allocated sites. See omission sites below for details.
Chapter 7 – Forecasts and the need for new facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The latest Local Economic Forecasting Model 2016/17 should be used to assess the rate of economic growth and built into the waste arising projections. • Capacity at the Site Control Centre, Canford Magna has been apportioned to recycling

	<p>whereas this capacity may be apportioned to residual waste recovery.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Further consideration may be needed to ensure existing facilities have been appropriately categorised with regards to streams of waste that could be managed.
Policy 5 ‘Facilities to enable the recycling of waste’ & Policy 6 ‘Recovery facilities’	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that there are no criteria protecting the local landscape within these policies • There are no criteria protecting the local landscape in Policy 5 or 6.
Policy 7 ‘Final disposal of non-hazardous waste’	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy 7 should include support for extensions of time frames for existing landfill planning permissions. • The Waste Plan should seek to husband capacity within the two mothballed landfill sites.
Policy 10 ‘Decommissioning and restoration of Winfrith Nuclear Licensed Site’	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • It has been suggested that on-site reuse/disposal of waste resulting from decommissioning should be extended to include not just inert, but all other waste originating from decommissioning. • Concern has been raised regarding the requirement that all development proposals should be supported by a masterplan and the provision of a community benefits package. • Concern has been raised regarding the requirement to retain the existing railway sidings and to explore the use of an alternative access route through Dorset Green Innovation Park.
Chapter 12 - Development Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that the Councils Duty Under Section 85 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 should be clarified. • National Nature Reserves, SSSIs and SNCIs should be given the same extra protection that are afforded to SACs and RAMSAR sites. • The following terms should be deleted or further explanation provided – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Possible SACs ○ Potential SPAs ○ ...areas which would meet the criteria needed to justify designation as an SPA.
Policy 12 ‘Transport and access’	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that it is not appropriate to leave an assessment of transport impact to the planning application stage. This work should be undertaken at the plan making stage to determine whether the allocation is effective, deliverable and therefore sound. • No reference to the Dorset Rural Roads Protocol or the sustainability of the rural character of the AONB roads or tranquillity.

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No mention of railways or port facilities. These could also be added to figure 10. • Concern that mitigation or compensation should be required for any impacts not just significant impacts on the transport network.
Policy 19 'Historic Environment'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy is inconsistent with the language and emphasis of national policy
Policy 23 'Restoration, aftercare and afteruse'	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The term 'have regard to' could be strengthened to avoid confusion/misunderstanding • Policy should apply to all sites
Chapter 13 - Safeguarding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Waste Plan should include recognition of the importance of freight flows by rail where the source or destination of the flow is itself already conveniently rail connected • Non-hazardous landfill sites should be safeguarded until surrender of their Environmental Permits.

2 Key Issues raised to site allocations

Inset 1 – Woolsbridge Industrial Estate	
1. Traffic and access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on Horton Road • Poor/Dangerous access to estate • Category 'C' road • Increased accidents • Pollution/emissions from traffic • Vibration from HGV's • Noise from traffic • Impact on road surface • Impact on access for emergency vehicles • Unsuitable new access proposed • Congestion on Ashely Heath roundabout • Should there be a weight limit on road • Site should only be accessed by HGV's from Azalea roundabout of A31 • Alternative access
2. Impact on sensitive receptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Residential properties • Other businesses on the Industrial Estate • Moors Valley County Park • Caravan Park • Three Legged Cross Pub
3. Air quality/emissions/contamination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on Moors River • SSSI • Moors Valley Country Park • Pollution resulting from the washing of waste/recyclates on site
4. Location of facility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Woolsbridge is situated on the edge of Dorset • Facility should be centrally located • Should be located on a site with better access • Cost of transporting waste across the county
5. Sustainability Appraisal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No SA undertaken to consider economic, environmental and social impacts of the proposal
6. Economic impacts	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Loss of employment land • Proposal would provide minimal employment to the area • Proposal not compatible with the Local Plan • Development of a waste facility would not provide high quality employment
7. Flood risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Area prone to flooding • EA recommend that FRA is done at allocation stage. This has not been done therefore deliverability of the site is uncertain.
8. Future expansion of waste facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern over intensification of waste facilities on the site including incineration in the future
9. Importation of waste	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Object to the importation of waste to Dorset to this facility
10. Infrastructure	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that the sewage treatment system isn't capable of coping with the large quantities of water that will be produced
11. Property devaluation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reduction in the value of properties locally following

	development
12. Contrary to the East Dorset & Christchurch Core Strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Policy VTSW6
13. Risk of fire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proximity to MOD Fuel Storage Facility
14. Site Assessment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Update to remove reference to Stack as treatment of waste not proposed
15. Contamination	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Risk of contamination following decommissioning
16. Environmental Permit	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Permit should be applied for prior to allocation
17. Allocated site boundary	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Allocation should also include the eastern parcel of allocated land and the existing Industrial estate
18. Range of allocated waste uses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site should be allocated for a wider range of uses including the management of residual waste

Inset 2 – Land south of Sunrise Business Park, Blandford	
1. Impact on Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is outside bypass • High point of the bypass • Structures should be set back from road • Impact of access on landscape • Potential for alternative access through business park to reduce impact • Provision should be made within the plan to ensure that harmful impacts on the AONB are satisfactorily mitigated.
2. Impact on ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retention of hedgerows where possible, otherwise appropriate mitigation and compensation measures should be put in place.
3. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is close to Source Protection Zone 1 • Aquifer is in a Nitrate Vulnerable Zone • Surface water protection zone • Protection from infiltration to aquifer is needed and sealed drainage required
4. Impact on existing businesses on business park	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Odour • vermin
5. Traffic and access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Need for robust transport evidence base to inform proposals.
6. Compatibility of proposal with aspirations for urban extension on adjoining land, including school on land to the east of the site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
7. New Lidl supermarket building (on opposite side of A350) not shown on the plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •

Inset 3 – Brickfields Business Park, Gillingham	
1. Deliverability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The landowner, Sigma Aldrich/Merck, does not support the proposed allocation/use of land for a HRC • Unknown nature of operations close to Sigma Aldrich facility and potential impact on current and future operations • Landowner considers this could sterilise part of the site for various users • Landowner unwilling to commit space for HRC until users are identified for rest of site • Cost and time implications of proposed development due to landowner objection
2. Traffic and access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Through traffic congestion through Le Neuburg Way to the north and Shaftesbury Road to the south. • Development should take into account routing of HGVs to/from the site • Suitability of junctions on the A303 • Cumulative traffic impacts in association with Gillingham southern extension and other developments in Shaftesbury
3. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • An adequate buffer should be provided to protect the River Stour and Lodden • Surface water draining to tributary of the River Stour upstream of Longham (a public water supply) • Sealed drainage required due to types of waste on site • Any existing contaminated land would require site investigation and risk assessment
4. Flood Risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Parts of the site in Flood Zone 2 and adjacent to Flood Zones 2 & 3
5. Impact on take up of employment land on the business park by other businesses	
6. Relocation of HRC	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acceptability of relocating the HRC from Shaftesbury to Gillingham
7. Impact on landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Landscape • Impact on historic Environment – setting of Grade II listed Madjeston Farmhouse
8. Impact on residential amenity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Noise • Dust • Odour
9. Concerns over neighbour notification	

Inset 4 – Land at Blackhill Road, Holton Heath Industrial Estate	
1. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A site investigation and risk assessment will be required for the site due to its location, if there is any below ground work (including foundations/hardstanding). • Sealed drainage required due to types of waste on site
2. Impact on airport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confirmation that all waste would be stored indoors and a monitoring programme to ensure the site's housekeeping is strictly managed to ensure no outdoor waste that would attract birds.
3. Impact on neighbouring businesses	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in traffic on the access road • Impact to on road parking by existing businesses • Dust, smell and vibration • Impact on air quality and therefore impact on neighbouring chemical laboratory
4. Management Plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Preparation of a comprehensive management plan should be required.

Inset 5 – Loudsmill, Dorchester	
1. Impact on ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • System of drains and water meadows flowing from alongside the site into the River Frome SSSI • Mitigation measures to ensure no adverse impacts on the River Frome should include substantial areas of wet woodland planting to buffer and protect the river from any pollution, all landscape and mitigation planting must be of native species.
2. Impact on the historic environment	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential for impact on Mount Pleasant henge (Scheduled Monument) and its setting • Further information on the degree and form of harm to the significance of the affected designated heritage asset required • Further information on whether it is possible to mitigate any adverse impact
3. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Located within Source Protection Zone 2; chalk aquifer of principal designation used for public water supply. • Likely that infiltration to the ground would not be acceptable and that the drainage system would need to be separated from the groundwater. • Site investigation and piling (if used) risk assessment will be needed due to historical possible contaminative uses.
4. Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Narrow access road with unsuitable surfacing
5. Impact on amenity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proximity to residential housing • Access through residential area • Proposed increase in residential properties in the area approaching the site which would be adversely affected by traffic flow to and from site • Likely higher demand for the HRC due to proposed residential development in and around Dorchester • Facility should be located outside of town so that traffic doesn't affect residential areas
6. Use of the site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Limited sites available for housing in the town • The development should not restrict further employment development at the wider site • The development should not restrict future expansion of the sewage works.
7. Alternative sites	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land at Stinsford Hill considered a more appropriate location for a HRC

Inset 6 – Old Radio Station, Dorchester	
1. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Located within Source Protection Zone 3; chalk aquifer used for public water supply. • Site investigation and piling (if used) risk assessment will be needed due to historical possible contaminative uses.
2. Impact on airport	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confirmation that all waste would be stored indoors and a monitoring programme to ensure the site's housekeeping is strictly managed to ensure no outdoor waste that would attract birds.
3. Deliverability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential for conflict if the bus station is sublet and becomes unavailable
4. Impact on neighbouring residential properties	
5. Impact on AONB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensuring development does not adversely impact on the Dorset Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty when compared to the existing situation, ensuring mitigation of additional effects and achieving enhancement opportunities.

Inset 7 – Eco Sustainable Solutions	
1. Aerodrome Safeguarding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stack height • Impact on radar performance
2. Bird Strike Issues	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage of waste
3. Impact on sensitive receptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Odour • Emissions • Air Quality • Ecology
4. Deliverability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sufficient capacity should be available elsewhere to allow for the potential that this site will not be able to come forward. • Site should be deleted in favour of the other three options which will adequately meet the Plan requirements.
5. Traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased traffic in an already congested area • Cumulative impact of increased vehicles from other development • Emissions
6. Impact on Green Belt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National Policy
7. Flood Risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Effects on flood risk mitigation measures required to develop the adjacent employment site • Fail the sequential test – all other residual waste allocations are within FZ1
8. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination
9. Impact on the strategic employment site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sensitive receptors • Blight
10. Contingency arrangements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
11. Extension to the red line boundary proposed to include an additional 1.04 hectares of land	
12. Site considered to have potential to manage up to 220,000tpa	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site only considered for 160,000tpa

Inset 8 – Land at Canford Magna, Poole	
1. Development of new houses on land south of Magna Road will bring sensitive receptors closer to the waste facility.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Air pollution • Odour
2. Traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in traffic from intensification of waste facility
3. Impact on ecology	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Mitigation required for any loss of wet habitat • Protection of the SSSI
4. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination
5. Green Belt	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proposal not consistent with National Planning Policy on Green Belt - impact on the openness of the green belt from the extension • Extension area is not included in the 'Major developed Site in the Green Belt' Policy SSA26. • The emerging Poole Local Plan does not propose that the site is allocated as a 'Major developed Site in the Green Belt'
6. Rights of way	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reference should be made to Footpath 125, the definitive line of which passes through the site. • Inset 8 – missing reference to bridleway 118
7. Bird Strike	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage of waste
8. Range of facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Plan should provide for the opportunity for the experimental pyrolysis and gasification plant on this site to be expanded.
9. Capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site allocation not adequate to address the shortfall in capacity. • The site has further scope to meet the Waste Management Needs of the Plan area.
10. Allocated Site Boundary/range of facilities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Land adjoining the site control centre should be allocated for the management of organic waste.

Inset 9 – Land at Mannings Heath Industrial Estate	
1. Size of site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that the site is too small and that it would not fulfil a strategic role
2. Range of facilities proposed	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No evidence to justify restricting future waste management processes to the preparation of RDF/SRF
3. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination
4. Bird Strike	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Storage of waste

Inset 10 – Binnegar Environment Park	
1. Location of site	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Some distance to the west of Bournemouth/Poole
2. Traffic	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in traffic – on the existing position • Capacity of the A351 between the Bakers Arms roundabout and Wareham. • Details of routes taken by HGVs needed • Noise from HGV movements/reversing beepers • The junction with the A352 is difficult to navigate for any vehicle coming from the west and the Purbeck roundabout at the junction of the A352. • The Purbeck District Council transportation may result in HGVs being routed via Bere Regis resulting in additional waste miles.
3. Deliverability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern has been raised over deliverability of this site given the development considerations
4. Visual Impact	
5. Limited opportunities to utilise heat and power	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Compared to other options
6.	
7. Impact on ecology	
8. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Contamination
9. Restoration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The site should be restored to heathland and no permanent waste facilities should be developed.
10. Impact on sensitive receptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Visual impact • Air pollution • Emissions • Odour • Litter/dust • Vermin
11. Impact on Scheduled Monument	
12. Fire Risk	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential for fire at the waste facility and impact as a result of fire
13. Contrary to the Puddletown Road Policy in the Mineral Sites Plan	

Inset 11 – Bourne Park, Piddlehinton	
1. Traffic and access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increase in vehicle numbers • HGV's should not be able to use rat-runs to access the site • Narrow roads and soft verges • Impact on properties from HGV movements • Impact on ancient bridge over the River Piddle • Traffic travelling to Bourne Park should use the A35 and B3143 • Concern raised about the carbon footprint from transporting garden waste from the west of the county
2. Capacity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Concern that this facility will have to deal with much greater levels of green waste than 6,500 tpa as proposed. Given the shortfall identified in the Plan is 37,000tpa
3. Consistency with the Piddle Valley Neighbourhood Plan	

Inset 12 – Gillingham Sewage Treatment Works	
1. Rights of way	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No evidence is provided of the need to extinguish any part of N64/51
2. Landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on AONB
3. Impact on sensitive receptors	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Odour
4. Planning permission	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A planning application is currently being determined for an extension to this facility. If planning permission is granted there will be no need to allocate this site in the Waste Plan.

Inset 13 – Maiden Newton Sewage Treatment Works	
1. Landscape	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development will require adequate landscape mitigation
2. Impact on water resources	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development will need to ensure impacts on the internationally protected Poole Harbour wildlife site are protected.
3. Traffic/Access	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Damage to access road

3 Waste Plan Omission Sites

Site Name	Proposed use	Is this site proposed as an alternative to an allocated site?
Site Control Centre, New Earth Solutions	Organic waste treatment plant	No – an additional proposed use on land adjoining Inset 8 of the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan
Land at The Dorset Innovation Park and land to the south	Flexible waste allocation – no specific waste proposals	Not specifically – but could provide an alternative site for a residual waste treatment facility
Land at Woolsbridge Industrial Estate (Inset 1 of the Pre-Submission Draft Waste Plan)	Management of residual waste Limitation of the Woolsbridge Estate to a local waste management plant is over-restrictive in terms of uses	Not specifically – but could provide an alternative site for a residual waste treatment facility
Land at Woolsbridge Industrial Estate – Eastern parcel of land	General waste management	No – proposed to provide additional flexibility to Inset 1 ‘Woolsbridge Industrial Estate’
Woolsbridge Industrial Estate – existing industrial estate	General waste management	No – proposed to provide additional flexibility to Inset 1 ‘Woolsbridge Industrial Estate’
Henbury	Expansion/intensification of existing activities including a variety of recovery and recycling activities and landfill space available on-site to take final residues.	No – an additional allocation