LOCAL GREEN SPACE - ASSESSMENT FORM

Snace	

COCAL GREEN SPACE - ASSESSMENT FORM Space Detail			
Code	Criteria		Space Details
Couc	- Circuita		Space Details
SD1	Name of the Space	N11	Land between Elbury View and Fontmell Brook
SD2	Space description		Uncultivated scrub land
SD3	Has a map of the space been provided?		Yes
SD4	Was the space proposed as a result of the		Consultation
	questionnaire or the consultation process?		
	Green Space Criteria		
Code	Criteria		Explanation/Policy prompt
1.1	Land is not subject of planning permission for		Site has no Planning Applications extant
1.1	development		Site has no rianning applications extant
1.2	Space is not allocated or proposed for		Site is not so proposed
	development in the Local or Neighbourhood		
	Plan		
1.3	The space is not an extensive tract of land and		Yes
	is local in character		
1.4	The space is in close proximity of the		Site is within easy walking distance of the village.
	community it serves		
1.5	The space is demonstrably special to the local		It borders onto the Fontmell Brook on the western edge of the
	community and holds particular local		village.
	significance		
Demo	nstrably special to a Community		
Code	Criteria		Explanation/Policy Prompt
Coue	Criteria		Explanation/Folicy Frompt
2.1	The proposed space is of particular local		Wild natural environment
	significance because of its beauty		
2.2	The proposed space is of particular local		
	historic significance		
2.3	The proposed space is of particular local		Potental value as an open space and a green corridor adjacent to
	significance because of its recreational value		Fontmell Brook.
2.4	The proposed space is of particular local		The area is a very quiet and peaceful place.
	significance because of its tranquility		
2.5	The proposed space is of particular local		It is a haven for wild plants, grasses, shrubs and small trees with
2.6	significance because of its richness of wildlife The proposed space is of particular local		plenty of wild life such as hedgehogs.
2.0	significance because of another reason not		
	covered by criteria 2.1 - 2.5		
	dorered by ement 2.12 2.15		
	Conclusion of Assessment		
Code	Criteria		Conclusion of Assessment
CA1	Have all the Local Green Space criteria (in Table		Yes.
	1) been met?		
	Why is the area considered to be demonstrably		Potential beneficial recreational use as part of footpath along the
	special to the local community?		brook.
CA2	Is the space suitable as a Level Creen Coper?		V
CA2	Is the space suitable as a Local Green Space?		Yes
CA3	Landowner Details		Spectrum Housing Group Ltd
0, 10			openium nousing croup and
CA4	Has the landowner been informed at an early		Yes
	stage about the proposal to designate any part		
	of their land?		
	Response?		Dear Sirs,
			Thank you for your letter outlining the intention of the
			Environmental Working Group to apply for the above legal title to
			be registered as a Local Green Space.
			As a Registered Provider of social housing, Sovereign Housing
			Association has to dispose of its assets at "best value". By
			designating this area as a Local Green Space, Sovereign is
			restricting its development potential and ultimately devaluing our
			asset. Sovereign therefore cannot agree to the designation of this
			land as a Local Green Space.
			We appreciate you making contact with us early on in this process.
			If you require further information, please do not hesitate to
			contact me.
			Kind regards, Jordan McAndrew
			Legal Officer (Asset and Liabilities), Sovereign
			Spectrum House, Grange Road, Christchurch, Dorset, BH23 4GE
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,