MSDCC - 70

Mineral Sites Plan – Suggested Main Modifications

Text proposed for addition is shown like this.

Text proposed for removal is shown like this.

Chapter 1 - Introduction

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------------------------|---|--|
| MM35 | Chapter 1 - 'Introduction' | This Plan has been prepared in compliance with the requirements of Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. It provides an opportunity for stakeholders and communities to comment on the soundness and legal compliance of the document prior to its submission to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government for independent examination. The Pre-Submission Draft document follows extensive consultation carried out in accordance with Regulation 18 of the above regulations, and brings together the findings of consultation exercises and evidence gathering that has been underway since 2008. Work undertaken so far The following work has been undertaken as part of the preparation of the MSP: • The Mineral Sites Allocation Document (MSAD) was published in 2008, setting out the range of site | Text originally included in the Pre- Submission Consultation version of the Plan has been removed, as it is not appropriate for a final version of the Plan. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | nominations (site options) received in response to a 'call for sites' issued in 2006/7. | |
| | | Work on the MSAD was then put on hold in order to focus resources on the Minerals Strategy document. Work on the MSAD (renamed the Mineral Sites Plan) resumed in Summer 2012. Information previously received was reviewed and a second call for sites 'refresh' exercise was undertaken in August 2012 in order to update the list of sites to be considered as potential options for allocation. | |
| | | • The Mineral Sites Plan Consultation Document 2013-2014 was published for consultation from December 2013 to February 2014. | |
| | | A final call for sites was issued in April 2014, to ensure that as many site options as possible were put forward for consideration. | |
| | | • In Summer 2015, the Draft Mineral Sites Plan was published for consultation. This draft version of the MSP set out the MPA's preferred options for sites. It also included proposals for an aggregates Area of Search, the Puddletown Road Policy Area and safeguarding of existing minerals sites. Supporting documents, including a Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Appraisal, were also prepared and consulted on. | |
| | | • The most recent consultation, the Draft MSP Update 2016, was undertaken between May and July 2016. This was both an update of some aspects of the MSP and consultation on additional site options. Again a Draft Sustainability Appraisal and Habitat Regulations Appraisal were prepared and consulted on. | |
| | | The outcomes of these consultations together with the responses to the calls for sites have informed the final list | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | of allocated sites and other proposals in this Pre- | |
| | | Submission Draft MSP document. | |
| | | Further information in relation to the above stages is available in | |
| | | the Duty to Co-operate Statement, the Draft MSP Consultation | |
| | | Statement and the Site Identification and Appraisal Statement | |
| | | which accompany this document. | |
| | | Supporting Documents | |
| | | A number of supporting documents provide the evidence base, | |
| | | assessments and methodology behind the Pre-Submission Draft | |
| | | MSP, including those below. These are available to download | |
| | | from: https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/mineral-sites | |
| | | Sustainability Appraisal | |
| | | The production of a Sustainability Appraisal (SA) report is | |
| | | mandatory under Section 39(2) of the Planning and Compulsory | |
| | | Purchase Act 2004. The purpose of an SA is to promote | |
| | | sustainable development through the integration of social, | |
| | | environmental and economic considerations into the preparation | |
| | | of planning policy documents. It also fulfils the requirements of | |
| | | the EU Strategic Environmental Assessment Directive. This Pre- | |
| | | Submission Draft MSP document has been subject to iterative site | |
| | | assessment and/or sustainability appraisal since the 2008 version | |
| | | of the document, including the current document. | |
| | | Habitats Regulations Assessment | |
| | | Appropriate Assessment/Habitats Regulations Assessment of | |
| | | land use plans is required under the European Communities | |
| | | (1992) Council Directive 92/43/EEC (the 'Habitats Directive'). | |
| | | Habitats Regulations Assessment provides for the protection of | |
| | | European Sites/Natura 2000 sites, These comprise Special Areas | |
| | | of Conservation (SACs), candidate SACs (cSACs), Special | |
| | | Protection Areas (SPAs) and pSPAs (Potential SPAs) and Ramsar | |
| | | sites (sites designated under the RAMSAR convention on | |
| | | wetlands of international importance, 1971). areas which are of | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | exceptional importance in respect of rare, endangered or | |
| | | vulnerable natural habitats and species within the European | |
| | | Community. The 2015 and 2016 Draft documents, along with the | |
| | | current Pre-Submission Draft, have undergone Habitats | |
| | | Regulations Assessment screening, under the Conservation of | |
| | | Habitats and Species Regulations 2017. | |
| | | Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) | |
| | | The National Planning Policy Framework states that when | |
| | | preparing development plans local planning authorities should | |
| | | adopt a sequential, risk based approach to the location of new | |
| | | development to avoid possible flood risk. A Sequential Test | |
| | | should be applied to steer new development to areas with the | |
| | | lowest probability of flooding. A Dorset SFRA was prepared in | |
| | | 2010, and has been updated to support the current Pre- | |
| | | Submission Draft MSP. | |
| | | Duty to Co-operate Statement | |
| | | Under Section 33A of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act | |
| | | 2004, as inserted by Section 110 of the Localism Act 2011, the | |
| | | Council is required to formally co-operate with other local | |
| | | planning authorities and bodies prescribed in regulation 4(1) of | |
| | | The Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) | |
| | | Regulations 2012. This is to maximise the effectiveness of the | |
| | | preparation of the Local Plan and supporting activities so far as it | |
| | | relates to strategic matters. The Council and others are required | |
| | | to engage constructively, actively and on an ongoing basis. The | |
| | | Duty to Cooperate Statement describes how cooperation has | |
| | | been undertaken. | |
| | | Sites and Areas Report | |
| | | This Pre-Submission Draft MSP document is supported by a Site | |
| | | Identification and Appraisal document, including site selection | |
| | | and assessment methodology and site assessment pro-formas | |
| | | used for assessing the sites. It considers those sites proposed for | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | allocation and those sites which have been discounted, together with the justification for the decisions taken. | |
| | | How to comment on the Final Draft Mineral Sites Plan | |
| | | This document is the Pre-Submission Draft of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Mineral Sites Plan. This is the last formal opportunity to respond before the Plan, along with any representations received, is submitted to the Secretary of State for Communities and Local Government. | |
| | | It is published in accordance with Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012. Representations are invited on this Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan document between 1st December 2017 and 5:00 pm on 31st January 2018 with respect to the following matters: | |
| | | 1. Has the document been prepared in accordance with the Duty to Co-operate requirements? | |
| | | 2. <i>Is the document legally compliant?</i> | |
| | | 3. <i>Is the document sound, that is:</i> | |
| | | a. <i>has it been positively prepared?</i> | |
| | | b. <i>is it justified?</i> | |
| | | c. <i>is it effective?</i> | |
| | | d. <i>is it consistent with national policy?</i> | |
| | | We encourage you to view and respond to the Plan online. To view the Plan and comment on it please go to: www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/mineral-sites | |
| | | Alternatively you can email us at: mwdf@dorsetcc.gov.uk | |
| | | If you do not have access to a computer you can complete a paper response form and send it to: | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--|--------|
| | | Environment and Economy County Council, County Ha Dorchester, DT1-1XJ | * | |
| | | Hard copies of this document are available County Council (County Hall, Dorchesta Council (Town Hall Annexe); and the Barbaration forms will also locations. | er); Bournemouth Borough Porough of Poole (Civic | |
| | | Consultation period: 1st December 2018 | er 2017 to 31st January | |
| | | Representations must be received by 5 2018. | , | |
| | | No late submissions will | be accepted. | |
| | | What happens next? Subject to the outcomes of this stage, Sites Plan is expected to progress accobelow. Following submission to the Segiven will be dependent on the Planning therefore these below can only be an in | rding to the timetable cretary of State, the dates ng Inspectorate and | |
| | | Key Stages | When | |
| | | Publication of Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan | December 2017 - January 2018 | |
| | | Mineral Sites Plan Submission to the Secretary of State | March 2018 | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | | Reason |
|------------|-------------|---|--|--------|
| | | Mineral Sites Examination - Hearings | June 2018 | |
| | | Mineral Sites Adoption | December 2018 | |
| | | Once the Pre-Submission Draft Mineral representations have been submitted, a will be appointed to examine whether to required legal and soundness tests inclusively operate and procedural requirements. In public hearings, begins upon the Plan's consider the issues raised. The content hearings will be set by the Inspector. Following the end of the examination procedured finds the Plan to be sound and legally of Dorset and Poole Councils can proceed Sites Plan. It will then form part of the splan for the area. | In Independent Inspector the plan meets the tuding the duty to co- The Examination, including submission and will and timing of the trocess, if the Inspector compliant, Bournemouth, to adopt the Mineral | |

Chapter 2 - Context and Structure

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

Chapter 3 - Existing and Proposed Mineral Sites

3.1 - Sand and Gravel

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|---|---|
| MM-SG.1 | Section 3.1 'Allocated Sites' | These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan. Where allocations proposed for development are in the vicinity of other allocations and/or of currently permitted sites, the developer will need to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the mineral planning authority that cumulative impacts can be addressed and satisfactorily mitigated. | To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way. |
| MM-SG.2 | Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel | 'Any proposal for the development of any of these allocations must address the development considerations set out for each site in Appendix and work towards achieving public benefits within the restoration vision, as well as addressing any other matters relevant to the development of each proposed allocation, and demonstrating that any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority.' | For clarification of the proposed approach to restoration. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|---|---|
| MM-SG.3 | Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel | 'Proposals for the development of these allocations will only be considered where it has been demonstrated that possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise from their development would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites either alone or in combination with other plans or projects; implementation of the full range of mitigation measures as identified through Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening and listed under the Development Guidelines in Appendix A of this Plan will be a key element in meeting these requirements. | To make a better link between the Habitats Regulations Assessment Screening Report (HRA) and plan policy, as some of the detail about the nature of the mitigation is within the HRA rather than the development guidelines. This will ensure that the requirement for specific mitigation is enshrined within Policy rather than only being within supporting documents; the change will allow the Plan itself to meet the relevant tests in the Habitats Regulations/Directive. |
| MM-SG.4 | Policy MS-1 | that any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority. Proposals for the development of these allocations must be able to demonstrate that any cumulative impacts associated with their development and operation are capable of mitigation to a level acceptable to the Mineral Planning Authority. | To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way. |
| MM-SG.5 | Paragraph 3.5 | 3.5 The deliverability of the annual aggregate supply must be taken into consideration, and may require identification of more than simply an absolute figure that could meet demand over the plan period. New sites to meet the shortfall are should be located within the Aggregate Resource Blocks designated by Policy AS1 of the Minerals Strategy. | For clarification. |
| MM-SG.6 | Policy MS-1 | 3. The following new sites and extensions to existing sites are allocated to contribute to the adequate and steady supply of sand and gravel, provided that the applicant can in each case | For clarification |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|---|--------|
| | | demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan: | |
| | | a. Great Plantation, Puddletown Road, Bere Regis - approximately up to 2,000,000 tonnes of primarily Poole Formation sand (AS-06 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 7–B and Figure 10) | |
| | | b. Hurn Court Farm Quarry Extension, Hurn - approximately 600,000 tonnes (AS-09 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 9) | |
| | | c. Philliol's Farm, Hyde - approximately up to 1,500,000 tonnes of primarily River Terrace aggregate (AS-12 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 4 B and Figure 12) | |
| | | d. Roeshot Quarry Extension, Christchurch - approximately up to 3,500,000 tonnes of primarily River Terrace aggregate (AS-13 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 10 C and Figure 13) | |
| | | e. Tatchell's Quarry Extension, Wareham - approximately up to 330,000 tonnes of primarily sand (AS-15 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 6 B and Figure 14) | |
| | | f. Woodsford Quarry Extension, Woodsford - approximately up to 2,100,000 tonnes of primarily River Terrace aggregate (AS-19 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 1 A and Figure 15) | |
| | | g. Station Road, Moreton - approximately <u>up to</u> 3,100,000 tonnes (AS-25 - see | |
| | | Submission Policies Map - Inset <u>3 A and Figure 16</u>) | |
| | | h. Hurst Farm, Moreton - approximately up to 3,300,000 tonnes (AS-26 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 2 A and Figure 17) | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|--|---|
| MM-SG.7 | New paragraph 3.6 | 3.5 The deliverability of the annual aggregate supply must be taken into consideration, and may require identification of more than simply an absolute figure that could meet demand over the plan period. New sites to meet the shortfall should be located within the Aggregate Resource Blocks designated by Policy AS1 of the Minerals Strategy. 3.6 Poole Formation sand has a high silica content and is used for non-aggregate uses, although not for uses requiring a high level of purity (e.g. animal bedding). In most cases the non-aggregate use is relatively small-scale, and Poole Formation sand is primarily used for aggregate uses. None of the sites producing Poole Formation sand are proposed primarily for silica sand/ non-aggregate use, but if there is demand a proportion of the output may be used for these purposes. | For clarification regarding use of Poole Formation sand. |
| MM-SG.8 | Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel | Great Plantation, Puddletown Road, <u>East Stoke</u> <u>Bere Regis</u> - approximately 2,000,000 tonnes (AS-06 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 7) | Correction and clarification. |
| MM-SG.9 | New paragraph 3.9 | Information will be provided showing the split between Poole Formation and River Terrace provision for the allocations proposed through Policy MS-1 | For clarification. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|--|---|
| MM-AOS.1 | Policy MS-2 Sand and Gravel Area of Search | Policy MS-2: Sand and Gravel Area of Search An Area of Search, as shown in Figure 2 and on the Policies Map, is designated with the intention of facilitating the development of sand and gravel sites and maintaining appropriate levels of supply. Proposals for the development of unallocated sites from within the Area of Search will be permitted if: i. there is a demonstrable shortfall in the supply of sand and gravel, or ii. the development of an unallocated site offers net environmental benefits that would justify its development, or iii. the development of an unallocated site is for the prior extraction of aggregate in advance of strategically important non-mineral development, and iv. in the case of i. and ii. above, a. they would not delay or otherwise prejudice the development of allocated site(s) which have the potential to produce the same specific type of aggregate mineral and which would serve the same geographic market, and b. they would not add unacceptable cumulative impacts to | Amending the numbering to avoid confusion |
| | | the development of allocated or permitted sites. Applications for the development of non-allocated sites within the designated Area of Search must demonstrate that: | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | | v. — the proposals are in accordance with the development plan, and vi. ii. they have considered and addressed all relevant development considerations; and vii. iii. any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority. | |
| MM-AOS.2 | Aggregates Area of Search New paragraph 3.19 to be added. | 3.18 Sites within the AOS can only be developed if it is demonstrated that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan. 3.19 Any unallocated site proposed for development through Policy MS-2 which is within any Aerodrome Safeguarding Area as defined on the Policies Map will be required to undergo an Aviation Impact Assessment in consultation with the relevant airport. | For clarification and aviation safety. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|---|--|
| MM-AOS.3 | Policy MS-2 Addition of text regarding aviation impact assessment | Sites will only be considered where it has been demonstrated that possible effects (including those related to hydrology, displacement of recreation, species, proximity, land management and restoration) that might arise from their development would not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites either alone or in combination with other plans or projects. Sites proposed for development which lie within an Aerodrome Safeguarding Area as defined on the Policies Map must undergo Aviation Impact Assessment in consultation with the relevant airport. | For clarification and aviation safety. |
| MM-AOS.4 | Policy MS-2 Addition of text to paragraph i. | Policy MS-2: Sand and Gravel Area of Search An Area of Search, as shown in Figure 2 and on the Policies Map, is designated with the intention of facilitating the development of sand and gravel sites and maintaining appropriate levels of supply. Proposals for the development of unallocated sites from within the Area of Search will be permitted if: i. there is a demonstrable shortfall in the supply of sand and gravel, particularly if a site proposal contributes to meeting a shortfall in Poole Formation or River Terrace aggregate identified through the Local Aggregates Assessment, or | For clarification and to seek to meet specific and identified needs. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|---|---|
| MM-AOS.5 | Paragraph 3.13 Addition of text | 3.13 Such a shortfall could result, for example, from one of the allocated sites proving to be undeliverable, or significantly increased sales for several consecutive years leading to a shortfall in provision within the lifetime of the Plan. The MPA will need to be satisfied that there are no permitted sand and gravel reserves capable of being worked but not currently being worked in the vicinity of a site proposed through Policy MS-2, that could be used to meet the identified shortfall. | The text has been moved into the policy itself. |
| MM-AOS.6 | Policy MS-2 - Area of Search Addition of text | iv. in the case of i. and ii. above, a. they would not delay or otherwise prejudice the development of allocated site(s) which have the potential to produce the same specific type of aggregate mineral and which would serve the same geographic market, and b. there are no permitted sand and gravel reserves capable of providing primarily or exclusively the same type of aggregate of which there is a shortfall and capable of being worked but not currently being worked in the vicinity of an unallocated site proposed for development, and c. they would not add unacceptable cumulative impacts to the development of allocated or permitted sites. | For clarification |

3.2 Crushed Rock

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|--|--|
| Mod Number | Para/Policy Draft Mineral Sites Plan - Section 3.2 'Crushed Rock' Paragraph 3.26 | Future provision 3.25 Swanworth Quarry in Purbeck supplies crushed rock to south-eastern Dorset, Bournemouth and Poole. It is an important source of crushed rock, supplying approximately half of the Dorset annual total. It provides an alternative source of crushed rock to the Portland quarries, or Mendip quarries. In terms of reducing distances to be travelled, it is considered to offer a more sustainable source of construction aggregate for the Poole and Bournemouth markets. 3.26 It is also questionable whether the Portland suppliers would | Reason For clarification an correction. |
| | | 3.26 It is also questionable whether the Portland suppliers would have the capacity or desire to double their output to maintain supply, should Swanworth cease production - as is due to happen by 2024. | |

3.3 Recycled Aggregate

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

3.4 Ball Clay

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

3.5 Purbeck Stone

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---------------------------------|---|--|
| MM-PKS.1 | Policy MS-6 and supporting text | Policy MS-6 below sets out the new allocations, to assist in maintaining the supply of stone. Proposals to develop these allocations should demonstrate that there will be no adverse effects on the integrity of European and Ramsar sites. These effects are fully discussed in Policy DM5 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 and the supporting text of that policy, which should be read in conjunction with this Plan. A number of the existing Purbeck Stone sites, including service yards, lie in close proximity to one another. There is a potential for cumulative impacts with the development of the allocations identified through this Plan. Most of the allocations are extensions of existing sites, reducing the potential for cumulative impacts as they are developed. However, the issue of cumulative impacts must be carefully considered as part of the detailed assessment associated with a planning application for any of these allocations, and appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | To acknowledge the potential for cumulative impacts associated with the development of Purbeck Stone allocations, and to ensure that these are carefully considered and appropriately mitigated. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|---|---|
| | | Policy MS-6: Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone | |
| | | Any proposals for the development of these allocations must address the development guidelines set out for each site in Appendix A, with particular emphasis on landscape and visual impacts on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty, as well as any other matters relevant to the development of the allocations, and demonstrate that any adverse impacts, including cumulative impacts, will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority. | |
| | | Current Sites At the end of 2016, the following Purbeck Stone quarries were active: | |
| | | i. Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers | |
| | | ii. <u>Downs Quarry Extension, Worth Matravers</u> | |
| MM-PKS.2 | Policy MS-6, section 3.5 of the Draft Mineral Sites Plan | iii. South Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers | Amendments to Draft Mineral Sites Plan to reflect removal of PK15Downs Quarry |
| | Along with supporting text | Policy MS-6: Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone | Extension as a proposed allocation, following grant of permission. |
| | | An adequate and steady supply of Purbeck Stone will be maintained through a combination of the following: | |
| | | The continued provision of stone from the remaining permitted reserves at the following sites: | |
| | | a. Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers | |
| | | b. <u>Downs Quarry Extension, Worth Matravers</u> | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | c. South Downs Quarry, Worth Matravers | |
| | | d. Quarry 4, Acton, Langton Matravers | |
| | | e. Landers and Fratton Quarry, Worth Matravers | |
| | | f. Belle Vue Quarry, Swanage | |
| | | g. Southard Quarry, Swanage | |
| | | h. St. Aldhelm's Quarry, Worth Matravers | |
| | | i. California Quarry, Swanage | |
| | | j. Blacklands Quarry, Langton Matravers | |
| | | k. Keates Quarry, Langton Matravers | |
| | | l. Homefield 1, Langton Matravers | |
| | | m. Homefield 2, Langton Matravers | |
| | | 2. The provision of stone from the following allocations of new sites and extensions to existing sites, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan: | |
| | | a. Blacklands Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-02 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 16) | |
| | | b. Southard Quarry, Swanage (PK-10 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 18) | |
| | | c. <i>Downs Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-15</i> - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 12) | |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|---|-------------------------------|
| | | d. Home Field, Acton (PK-17 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 15) e | |
| | | e | |
| MM-PKS.3 | Amendment to paragraph 3.55 | 3.55 The Minerals Strategy through Policy PK-1 Provision of Purbeck Stone commits to the provision of at least 20,000 tonnes per annum of saleable Purbeck Stone (excluding Burr and Purbeck Marble), from a range of sources, including: | For clarification. |
| MM-PKS.4 | Amendments to the Development Guidelines of all Purbeck Stone proposed allocations | All proposed Purbeck Stone allocations to include reference in the Development Guidelines to including conservation interest limestone grassland as part of restoration, and to make reference to the clustering; | |
| | | All proposed of Purbeck Stone sites to include reference in the Development Guidelines to the clustering of Purbeck Stone sites and the potential for cumulative impacts. | For clarification/information |
| | | All Purbeck Stone sites to include reference to considering providing bat roosts as part of restoration strategy | |
| | | Detail to be added to each proposed allocation. | |

3.6 Other Building Stone

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|---|------------------|
| | Policy MS-7 | Policy MS-7: Sites for the provision of other building stone (excluding Portland and Purbeck stone) | |
| | | The following extensions to existing sites are allocated, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan, to contribute to the supply of building stone: | |
| MM-OBS.1 | | i. Marnhull Quarry, Marnhull <u>(producing Todber Freestone)</u> (BS-02 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 21) | For information. |
| | | ii. Frogden Quarry, Oborne (producing Inferior Oolite) (BS-04 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 20) | |
| | | iii. Whithill Quarry, Lillington (producing Forest Marble) (BS-05 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 19) | |
| | Policy MS-7 | Policy MS-7: Sites for the provision of other building stone (excluding Portland and Purbeck stone) | |
| MM-OBS.2 | | The following extensions to existing sites are allocated, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan, to contribute to the supply of building stone: | |
| | | The following extensions to existing sites are allocated to contribute to the supply of building stone, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan. | |

Chapter 4 - Puddletown Road Area Policy

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|
| MM-PRA.1 | Supporting text to Policy MS-8 | Puddletown Road Area - Background and Context The Puddletown Road and surrounding areas comprise primarily a ridge of free-draining, acidic sands and gravels, capable of supporting heathland and acid grassland. Lowland heathland and acid grassland are important both nationally and internationally, and remaining heathland is often protected both for its rarity as a habitat and for the species it supports. However the geology that supports the heathland is also in demand for extraction and use as construction aggregate, and the Puddletown Road area contains a concentration of existing and former mineral workings. Potential exists in this area for future mineral workings. This quarrying, both past and future, provides an opportunity to carry out landscape scale management and restoration. | For clarification/explanation |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------------------------------|
| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change Policy MS-8: Puddletown Road Area Policy Within the Puddletown Road Area as shown on the Policies Map and in Figure 8, the Mineral Planning Authority will work with operators, landowners, Natural England and the Local Nature Partnership to secure a consistent and coordinated approach to the development, working and restoration of land permitted for mineral development. This consistent and coordinated approach will: 1. create a coherent and resilient ecological network, with primary emphasis on restoration of heathland and acid grassland; | Reason |
| MM-PRA.2 | Policy MS-8 | support the management objectives of the Heath/Forest Mosaic Landscape Type; avoid or minimise adverse transport, environmental or amenity impacts arising from mineral workings; maximise opportunities for biodiversity gains, including through effective and timely restoration of lowland heath and associated habitats and linking restored sites with areas of nature conservation interest; secure cost-effective and long-term aftercare and management; meet environmental and compatible recreational objectives in the area. | For clarification/explanation. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------|
| Mod Number | Рага/Рошсу | 7. provide landowners/developers with the opportunity to cooperate over the detailed design and implementation of restoration and /or future development proposals Development, restoration, management or other activities will only be undertaken where it can be demonstrated that any possible effects that might result will not adversely affect the integrity of European and Ramsar sites, either alone or in combination with other plans or projects. | Reason |
| | | combandation water other plans or projects. | |

Chapter 5 - Safeguarding

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|--|--|
| MM-SFG.1 | Section 5 - Safeguarding Paragraph 5.9, vi. and x. | To avoid the need for consultation on minor development that is unlikely to constrain future working or on development that has already been the subject of consultation, the following development within the consultation areas around mineral sites is exempt from the need for consultation with the Mineral Planning Authority: | To clarify development requiring or not requiring consultation with the Mineral Planning Authority |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|---|--|
| | | i. development in accordance with an allocation in an adopted Local Plan; | |
| | | applications for reserved matters unless consultation has specifically been requested in response to the relevant outline application; | |
| | | iii. applications for the discharge of conditions; | |
| | | iv. development within a settlement boundary defined in an adopted Local Plan; | |
| | | v. householder development (i.e. the extension or other alteration of a dwelling that does not result in any increase in the number of dwellings); | |
| | | vi. applications for change of use <u>or prior approval</u> other than to Classes C1, C2, C2a, C3, C4 or D1; | |
| | | vii. applications for advertisement consent, listed building consent, works to trees or certificates of lawfulness; | |
| | | viii. prior notifications for forestry, agriculture or demolition; | |
| | | ix. the construction or alteration of an access or a fence or other boundary; and | |
| | | x. applications for temporary permission of up to five years, other than to Classes C1, C2, C2a, C3, C4 or D1. | |
| | | Preventing land use conflict | |
| MM-SFG.2 | Chapter 5 - section entitled 'Preventing Land Use Conflict' | Policy SG3 of the Minerals Strategy safeguards existing mineral sites, including related infrastructure. However, this was a generic approach to site and infrastructure safeguarding and did not identify the specific sites to be safeguarded, not did it establish a consultation area around each site to protect against encroachment from non-minerals uses. Such encroachment of incompatible activities around minerals developments can lead to conflicts, potentially imposing constraints and reducing the viability of future mineral operations. Establishing consultation | To clarify the brick clay resources safeguarded through the Plan |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | areas between minerals developments (including both permitted, and allocated but not yet permitted, sites) and incompatible (non-mineral) activities can prevent encroachment and reduce the potential for land use conflict and adverse impacts. | |
| | | The mineral sites (including sites allocated through this Plan) and infrastructure safeguarded under Policy SG3 of the Minerals Strategy are listed and mapped in Appendix B, and illustrated in Figure 9 below. This list is only accurate at the time the Plan is adopted. It will be updated regularly through monitoring of the Minerals Strategy and Mineral Sites Plan. The Safeguarding Map is available to view online via Dorset Explorer (www.dorsetforyou.com/mineral-sites). | |
| | | The Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 notes that the only brick clay resource to be safeguarded is the Wealden Clay resource around the existing Swanage Brickworks. Specifically, a limited area west of the brickworks is safeguarded to ensure the availability of future supplies (see paragraph 14.5 and Figure 28 of the Minerals Strategy 2014). However, this area does not yet have planning permission, neither is it formally proposed for allocation through the Mineral Sites Plan. Swanage Brickworks as an existing minerals site is | |
| | | safeguarded and included in Appendix B. For the avoidance of doubt, the site safeguarded through the Mineral Sites Plan is taken to include this area to the west of the brickworks which has been specifically identified and safeguarded through the Minerals Strategy 2014. | |

Chapter 6 - Implementation and Monitoring

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|--|---|
| MM-IM.1 | Section 6 - Implementation and Monitoring Monitoring tables | Monitoring tables to be amended to provide indications of the action required if monitoring trigger is met. | For clarification. |
| MM-IM.2 | Section 6 - Implementation and Monitoring Table 3 - Policy MS-2 Area of Search | Sand and gravel landbank falls below 7 years without triggering any development of non-allocated site(s) in the Area of Search Non-allocated sites shown to prejudice the development of allocated sites or cause unacceptable cumulative impacts The number of non-minerals developments delayed or prevented as a result of Policy MS-2. Unallocated sand and gravel site permitted outside Area of Search without strong justification | To identify whether non-mineral development, specifically housing, is being delayed by the implementation of Policy MS-2. |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|--|---------------------------|
| MM-IM.3 | Section 6 - Implementation and Monitoring Paragraph 6.12 | Amend paragraph to state that reviews of local plans will take place every 5 years | Correction/clarification. |

Submission Policies Map and Inset Maps

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| MM-PM.1 | Submission Policies Map | Add Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty, World Heritage Site, SPAs, SACs and RAMSAR sites. | For clarification and to inform users of the Plan. |
| MM-PM.2 | Submission Policies Map | Rationalisation of maps include in the Plan, including removal of Inset Maps 1 to 21 and presenting the allocated site buffers on the maps accompanying each site allocation in Appendix 1: Site Allocations. Making additional changes to the maps to reflect re-numbering. Making changes to remove the mapping of those sites that have been permitted or withdrawn. | For clarification/correction. |

Appendix A: Site Allocations

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|--|---|---|
| MM-AA.1 | Appendix 1: Site Allocations - New section 'Aerodrome Safeguarding' | Relationship to the Minerals Strategy 2014 As already mentioned, the Mineral Sites Plan delivers, and is an integral part of, the Minerals Strategy 2014. The two documents should be read together, and the policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014, particularly development management, safeguarding and restoration policies, will be applied to the proposals (particularly the site allocations) of the Mineral Sites Plan. Aerodrome Safeguarding The Minerals Strategy 2014 coves the issue of Airfield Safeguarding (pp.199-200, including policy DM9). For clarification, should an aviation impact assessment be required for any site, it will include consideration of the following four criteria: Wildlife Strike Risk: Mineral extraction and restoration plans may create habitats that will encourage species of wildlife to the site which could have a direct impact on aircraft safety at airfields, including at Bournemouth Airport. A wildlife strike risk assessment and mitigation plan will be required in such cases. Air Traffic Control (ATC): All lighting required for the development or working of a site should be assessed to ensure that there is no impact on sightlines from ATC or aircraft operating from or in the vicinity of airfields, including Bournemouth Airport. Air Traffic Engineering: If mineral development or working requires the use of radio communication, when radios are operating | For clarification and amplification of the relevant sections of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 (MSDCC- 54) |

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--|--------|
| | | in close proximity to an airfield the operator should provide the airfield with details as required to ensure no interference with critical equipment or communication frequencies. | |
| | | Obstacle Limitation Surfaces: Within 15km of an airfield there are a series of protected surfaces that should be kept clear of any upstanding non-frangible obstacles to ensure the safe operation of aircraft. This not only includes permanent structures but also temporary structures and tall plant such as cranes and excavators. All equipment and structures of this type should be assessed, and advised to the airfield to ensure such surfaces remain clear of obstacles. | |

AS-06 Great Plantation

| MM-AS06.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06 Great Plantation. Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'. | Initial assessments have concluded that effects on species, proximity and displacement of recreation in particular may be significant. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels in order for any development to take place. Discussions have focused on the need to provide a Heathland Support Area in the vicinity of Great Plantation to further protect designated heathlands from potential displacement of recreation. Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include: • Creation of an off-site heathland support area to mitigate displaced recreation • Design of a network of walks/paths around the remainder of the site, to ensure walkers are directed away from areas adjacent to the European site Phasing of works | To comply with the Habitats Directive and ensure protection of the biodiversity on and around the site. |
|-----------|--|---|---|
|-----------|--|---|---|

| MM-AS06.2 | Policy MS-1 Production of Sand and Gravel | Restoration of worked areas of the extraction to high quality heathland/grassland habitat, to take place as soon as a phase is finished Enhancement of areas under the control of the developer to create additional habitat for Annex 1 and Annex 2 species. Great Plantation, Puddletown Road, East Stoke Bere Regis - approximately 2,000,000 tonnes (AS-06 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 7) | Correction and clarification. |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| MM-AS06.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS06 Great Plantation. Development Guidelines - section titled 'Historic/Cultural Environment'. | Historic/Cultural Environment There are heritage assets, including scheduled monuments, close to and in the vicinity of site. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site. A primary consideration of the setting assessment will be the archaeological and topographic relationship of the monuments to the historic landscape / landform and their inter-visibility with each other and with the surrounding area. | To ensure appropriate protection of the historic environment. |

AS-09 Hurn Court Farm

This site is now permitted and no longer forms part of the plan process. It is removed through proposed modification MM-AS09.1

| | | AS-09: Hurn Court Farm Quarry, Hurn, Christchurch | |
|-----------|----------------------|--|------------------|
| | | Site location: Hurn Court Farm Quarry, West Parley | |
| | | Grid reference: SZ 115 971 | |
| | | District/Borough: Christchurch Borough Council | |
| | | Parish: Hurn CP | |
| | | Site area (approximate): 14.2 ha | |
| | | | |
| | | Estimated mineral resource: Approximately 600,000 tonnes | |
| | | Existing land use/cover: Agriculture | |
| | | Proposed development: Extraction of sand and gravel, as an extension and continuation | |
| | | of the existing Hurn Court Farm Quarry to the south east of this site. | |
| | Appendix A: Site | Development Guidelines: | |
| MM-AS09.1 | Allocations | Natural Environment | For information. |
| | AS09 Hurn Court Farm | Full ecological assessment will be required, with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | |
| | | Historic/Cultural Environment | |
| | | There is a Grade 2 Listed Building adjacent to the site. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Full assessment of possible impacts is required, with adequate and appropriate screening to be in place prior to working. | |
| | | Hydrology/Flood Risk | |
| | | The site falls entirely within Flood Zone 1 but is in close proximity to Flood Zones 2 & 3 and | |
| | | the floodplain of the Main River Stour, along the southern boundary. There is some minor risk of surface water flooding during severe rainfall events (1:100/1000yr). | |

A site specific strategy of surface water management that does not increase rates of runoff or generate off site worsening to adjacent properties and businesses is required, along with a hydrological/hydrogeological assessment that identifies any required mitigation. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment for all work phases, including restoration, is also required.

Transport/Access

112

Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan 2017

Parley Lane and other roads in the vicinity have high traffic levels. A Transport Assessment will be required, to assess possible impacts and identify appropriate mitigation.

The site is adjacent to Bournemouth Airport, and must be developed and restored in accordance with best practice to prevent bird strike risk.

Opportunities to increase informal recreation/public open space in the Stour Valley and to create links to existing public rights of way to be included in restoration.

Landscape/Visual

A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented in order to minimise impacts on surroundings, including possible cumulative impacts with restoration of original site. Existing hedgerows around site to be maintained and enhanced, and the height of storage heaps kept to an appropriate level to avoid visual impacts.

Other

Development of this extension should not lead to any intensification in working over existing operation, and should not be worked simultaneously with the existing operation.

Impacts on local amenity, including adjacent properties and businesses, to be assessed and appropriately mitigated.

| Restoration Vision | |
|--|--|
| The site falls within the River Terrace Landscape Type, and the vision | |
| is for "restoration mainly to agricultural use but with significant | |
| space restored for informal public open space linked to | |
| footpath/cycle networks and to existing and future built | |
| development. Retained features like hedges, woodland and | |
| characteristic shelterbelts should be enhanced and linked with new | |
| similar native planting. Undisturbed margins along watercourses | |
| and/or rights of way to act as key wildlife/recreation corridors | |
| linking existing and new habitats/planting | |

AS12 - Philliol's Farm

| MM-AS12.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS12 Philliol's Farm. Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'. | Development at AS-12 Philliol's Farm may have significant effects on displacement of recreation and species in particular. Development proposals should either mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels. Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include: • Routing the haul road through existing forestry plantation, avoiding existing walking routes and habitat used by Annex 1 birds • Creating a haul road entrance which is separate from the existing ride entrance. | To ensure protection of the biodiversity on and around the site and to minimise/mitigate recreational displacement effects. |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| MM-AS12.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS12 Philliol's Farm – Development Guidelines section titled | Restoration Vision Although the allocation is with the Forest/Heath Mosaic Landscape Type this is a broad landscape description and the site itself is more directly associated with the river valleys. A wetland restoration with the wetlands hydrologically linked to the Bere Stream and/or the | To provide a more appropriate approach to site restoration. |

'Transport/Access', first paragraph.

River Piddle would be more appropriate and deliver better public benefits.

Recent work has shown that a reduction of some 1000 tonnes of nitrogen annually to Poole Harbour is required but only about half of this is likely to be achieved through agricultural measures and most of these will not begin to reduce nitrogen for upwards of 30 years (because of nitrogen already in the chalk aquifer). Wetland restorations at Woodsford, Hurst Farm and Philliol's Farm, with the wetlands hydrologically linked to the nearby rivers, together could reduce nitrogen inputs to Poole Harbour by some 150 tonnes annually which in this context is highly significant. Other benefits would include flood alleviation and biodiversity gain as well as recreational opportunities.

Post mineral working, the creation of multi-functional green infrastructure links across and along the valley will be important. This could include grazing pasture and/or a wetland restoration scheme with recreational opportunities, which would contribute to flood alleviation, contribute towards overall reduction in Phosphate, Nitrogen and sediment load in the lower reaches of the River Piddle and Poole Harbour and create habitat for the conservation of protected species such as otter and water vole as well as many species of wetland bird.

This site lies within the Forest/Heath Mosaic Landscape Type. The landscape is typically a flat to undulating landform where there is a need to have a multi-functional and interconnected approach to restoration to provide Green Infrastructure, including recreational, landscape, biodiversity and amenity benefits. This must be a long-term restructuring of parts of the landscape currently affected by existing and future mineral extraction and landfill.

All recreational activities need to divert pressure from sensitive heathland habitats. The restoration to a heathland and semi natural grassland/scrub mosaic is the key objective to link with existing heathland sites to create a large and continuous habitat managed by extensive grazing. The heathland is the key habitat in this mosaic. Protecting and managing blocks of conifer plantations, especially

| | | where they act as screens/buffers to urban/military uses, is also important. Their gradual thinning to reduce the proportion of conifers and reduce their 'hard' edges is a key part of their management. | |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| MM-AS12.3 | Add new section to Development Guidelines Cumulative Impacts | Cumulative Impacts To minimise cumulative impacts of quarry traffic on the C7 Wareham to A35 road, the Philliol's Farm site will not begin extraction operations while both the Tatchell's and Trigon Hill mineral operations are still working unless it can be clearly demonstrated that the local road network has the necessary capacity for the traffic loading that would be generated by all three sites working simultaneously. | To minimise cumulative traffic impacts on the local roads and road users. |
| MM-AS12.4 | Add to Development Guidelines section: Other Issues to take into Consideration | Opportunities to improve access to informal recreation/public open space and to create links to existing public rights of way to be included in restoration. This site, along with AS15 Tatchell's and BC04 Trigon Hill Extension, together form a cluster and are all accessed via the C7 Wareham to A35 road. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site. | For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts. |
| MM-AS12.5 | Add to Development Guidelines section: Other Issues to take into Consideration | There is to be no processing of material on site. All mineral extracted, and any inert waste that may be used in restoration, must be processed off-site. | To minimise impacts on amenity and the environment. |

AS13 - Roeshot

| MM-AS13.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot Development Guidelines New section titled 'New Forest National Park' | Given the proximity of the site to the New Forest National Park, an additional section within the Development Guidelines is proposed, specifically addressing New Forest National Park issues and impacts: **Potential impacts on the New Forest National Park and its setting should also be considered.** **New Forest National Park** **Assessment work carried out in preparation for the development of the Dorset part of the Roeshot site should, wherever relevant, take into consideration the close proximity of the New Forest National Park and the potential for impacts on the national park and its setting.** Of particular relevance are assessment of landscape and visual impacts, including the special landscape quality of the National Park; biodiversity and impacts on nationally and internationally designated sites within the National Park; and traffic and transport impacts within and around the National Park. | To provide clarification on the scope of the various assessments in relation to the New Forest National Park. |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| MM-AS13.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot. Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'. | Full assessment of ecological impacts, particularly direct and indirect impacts on the Southern Damselfly and its habitat will be required with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. As this species is a Qualifying Feature of the Dorset Heaths and Studland Dunes SAC, and the Dorset Heaths SAC, development proposals must either mitigate effects or reduce them to non-significant levels. Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include: • Creation of a buffer strip along both banks of the river Mude • Improvements to existing southern damselfly habitat within or adjacent to the allocated site | To ensure protection of the biodiversity and the proper management of the water environment on and around the site |

| | | Careful management of water resources to ensure natural flow levels and water quality are maintained in the river Mude Phasing of works alongside the part of the site within Hampshire and allocated in the Hampshire Minerals and Waste Plan, to ensure only one side of the river is affected at any time. | |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| MM-AS13.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot. Proposed Development: | Proposed development: Extraction of sand and gravel. Adjacent land in Hampshire is proposed for minerals development and subject to permission being granted for the adjacent land, it is expected that this site will be worked as an extension of the Hampshire site. Although the Dorset side of the Roeshot site may be worked before the Hampshire side is completed, there is to be no simultaneous extraction from the Dorset/Hampshire sides, to minimise cumulative impacts and impacts due to intensification. | For clarification, and to avoid impacts due to intensification at the site. |
| MM-AS13.4 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS13 Roeshot. Other Issues to take into Consideration. | Other issues to take into consideration Impacts on rights of way in the vicinity of the site For hydrological and biodiversity reasons, an undeveloped buffer along the Mude is required Use of part of the site as a SANG for the housing to be built south of the railway Airport safeguarding issues - this site lies within the Bournemouth Airport Aerodrome Safeguarding Area and will require an Aviation Impact Assessment, in consultation with Bournemouth Airport. | For clarification and aviation safety. |

AS15 - Tatchell's

| MM-AS15.1 Gu | dd to Development Juidelines section: Other | A footpath runs in the road to the north of the site. This is an opportunity, post restoration, to provide a safer route for the footpath, running south of the hedge and out of the road. This site, along with AS12 Philliol's Farm and BC04 Trigon Hill Extension, together form a cluster and are all accessed via the C7 Wareham to A35 road. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting | For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts. |
|---------------------|---|---|---|
|---------------------|---|---|---|

AS19 - Woodsford Quarry Extension

| MM-AS19.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension – Development Guidelines section titled 'Hydrology/Flood Risk' | This site is partly within Flood Zones 2 and 3, and is adjacent to the River Frome, a Main River. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment will be required, identifying any required mitigation. This will include assessment of potential impacts on fisheries in the Frome. | To protect fisheries on the Frome. |
|-----------|--|---|---|
| MM-AS19.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | The potential for cumulative impacts with other mineral or non-mineral development other mineral working in this area (particularly AS25 Station Road, AS26 Hurst Farm and the already permitted Woodsford Quarry) particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration. The following requirements are set out in order to minimise cumulative impacts, particularly between AS19 and AS26: | To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way. |

| | | Although both sites AS25 and AS26 are allocated for development, AS25 will be worked before AS26. This will allow AS19 to be worked while AS25 is being worked. There will be no processing of mineral on AS25. The eastern area of AS19 and the western area of AS26 will not be worked simultaneously. The AS19 extension area will be worked before the eastern part of Woodsford Quarry, i.e. before the area east of the D21324 Higher Woodsford Road. When AS19 is worked, the northern and eastern parts of the site will be worked before the southern/western areas to ensure that by the time work begins on AS26, then even if AS19 is not finished, the adjacent parts of the two sites would not be worked simultaneously. When working begins on AS26 it will start at the eastern end of the site and progress westward. | |
|-----------|---|--|---|
| MM-AS19.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | This site, along with AS25 Station Road and AS26 Hurst Farm, together form a cluster of sites in close proximity. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site. | For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts. |
| MM-AS19.4 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Transport/Access' | Transport/Access Mineral from the extension should continue to be processed at the existing plant site, with no intensification of production or simultaneous working of the current site and extension. Mineral will be transported from the point of extraction to the processing site via internal routes within the quarry. No external roads will be used for transport to the processing site. | For clarification and to minimise transport impacts. |

| | | Access to the site will be via the existing access. A Transport Assessment will be required, to assess possible impacts and identify appropriate mitigation. | |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| MM-AS19.5 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Other The potential for cumulative impacts with other mineral working in this area (particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration. The site is crossed by a National Grid high voltage electricity transmission line. Any development of this site must take this into consideration, in consultation with the National Grid. | For safety and protection of the electricity infrastructure. |

AS25 - Station Road

| MM-AS25.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | The potential for cumulative impacts with other development other mineral working in this area (particularly AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension, AS26 Hurst Farm particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration. The following requirements are set out in order to minimise cumulative impacts: Although both sites AS25 and AS26 are allocated for development, AS25 will be worked before AS26. There will be no processing of mineral on AS25. | To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way. |
|-----------|--|--|---|
|-----------|--|--|---|

| MM-AS25.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road – Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Other The Station Road site will not be worked simultaneously with the nearby Hurst Farm site (AS26) allocated in this Plan. During development of this site a safe pedestrian access facilitating non-car access between Moreton Station and Moreton village over land of the Moreton Estate will be provided, and will remain after development is complete and the site is restored. | To facilitate safe and non-car access between the village and Moreton Station - particularly when the AS25 site is operational. |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| MM-AS25.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road – Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | This site, along with AS25 Station Road and AS26 Hurst Farm, together form a cluster of sites in close proximity. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site. | For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts. |
| MM-AS25.4 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS25 Station Road Development Guidelines section titled 'Hydrology/Flood Risk' | Hydrology/Flood Risk The site is entirely within Flood Zone 1. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment identifying potential risks to the water environment along with any required mitigation, will be required. A detailed Flood Risk Assessment for all work phases, including restoration, is also required. There is a water course that flows eastward towards the Frome, through Moreton village, from the vicinity of the site. Development of this site must ensure that the flow of this water course is not affected in any way. | To maintain the flow of this water course and not affect the properties it passes through. |

AS26 - Hurst Farm

| MM-AS26.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | The potential for cumulative impacts with other mineral or non-mineral development. other mineral working in this area (particularly AS25 Station Road, AS26 Hurst Farm and the already permitted Woodsford Quarry) particularly the Hurst Farm site, AS26), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration. The following requirements are set out in order to minimise cumulative impacts, particularly between AS19 and AS26: Although both sites AS25 and AS26 are allocated for development, AS25 will be worked before AS26. This will allow AS19 to be worked while AS25 is being worked. There will be no processing of mineral on AS25. The eastern area of AS19 and the western area of AS26 will not be worked simultaneously. The AS19 extension area will be worked before the eastern part of Woodsford Quarry, i.e. before the area east of the D21324 Higher Woodsford Road. When AS19 is worked, the northern and eastern parts of the site will be worked before the southern/western areas to ensure that by the time work begins on AS26, then even if AS19 is not finished, the adjacent parts of the two sites would not be worked simultaneously. When working begins on AS26 it will start at the eastern end of the site and progress westward. | To address the issue of cumulative impacts in a more comprehensive way. |
|-----------|--|--|---|
|-----------|--|--|---|

| MM-AS26.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | This site, along with AS19 Woodsford Quarry Extension and AS25 Station Road, together form a cluster of sites in close proximity. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site. | For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts. |
|-----------|---|---|--|
| MM-AS26.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm Development Guidelines section titled 'Restoration Vision' | Restoration Vision The site is within the Valley Pasture Landscape Type of the Frome Valley, a predominantly flat landform creating a multi-functional landscape where recreation and amenity are just as important as agriculture, enhanced nature conservation value and flood water management. Post mineral working, the creation of multi-functional green infrastructure links across and along the valley, linking to adjacent centres of population, will be important. This could include grazing pasture and/or a large scale wetland restoration scheme with significant recreational opportunities, which would contribute to flood alleviation, make significant reductions contribute towards overall reduction in Phosphate, Nitrogen and sediment load in the lower reaches of the River Frome and Poole Harbour and create habitat for the conservation of protected species such as otter and water vole as well as many species of wetland bird. | For clarification regarding potential benefits. |
| MM-AS26.4 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – AS26 Hurst Farm Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Other The Hurst Farm site will not be worked simultaneously with the nearby Station Road site (AS25) allocated in this Plan. The potential for cumulative impacts with other mineral working in this area (particularly the Woodsford Extension site, AS19), and existing/proposed housing development, must be taken into consideration. | For clarification and to ensure the safety of the electrical infrastructure. |

| The land is good quality agricultural land and protection and appropriate management of soils is required to enable the land to retain its longer term capability. | |
|--|--|
| The site is crossed by a National Grid high voltage electricity transmission line. Any development of this site must take this into consideration, in consultation with the National Grid. | |

BC04 - Trigon

| | T | T | |
|-----------|--|---|--|
| MM-BC04.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – BC04 Trigon Hill Extension. Development Guidelines - section titled 'Natural Environment'. | Habitats Regulations Appraisal screening indicates that development at BC-04 Trigon Hill Extension may have significant effects on species in particular. Development proposals must mitigate these effects or reduce them to non-significant levels. Specific mitigation measures identified through Habitats Regulations Screening and required as part of the development of this site include: • Creation of a buffer between the allocated site and the adjacent European sites • Phased working to enable restoration of high quality heathland/acid grassland habitat immediately each extraction phase | To ensure protection of the biodiversity on and around the site. |

| MM-BC04.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – BC04 Trigon Hill Extension. Add new Development Guidelines section: Cumulative impacts | Cumulative impacts This site, along with AS12 Philliol's Farm and AS15 Tatchell's, together form a cluster and are all accessed via the C7 Wareham to A35 road. The potential for cumulative impacts resulting from the development and working of these sites, together with opportunities for minimising any such cumulative impacts, must be taken into consideration in any decision-making affecting this site. | For clarification and to minimise cumulative impacts. |
|-----------|---|---|---|
| MM-BC04.3 | New paragraph 3.51 and amendment to Figure 5 | 3.50 However, the Minerals Strategy 2014 contains a suite of policies to assess planning applications as they come forward and these, together with existing and allocated sites, are expected to provide the flexibility to allow ball clay to be delivered throughout the plan period. If the industry is unable to come forward with sustainable sites then there may be a need to review the Plan and the level of provision being planned for. The supply of ball clay will be monitored to ensure that provision is maintained. In support of this approach, the following site extension at Trigon Hill is allocated. 3.51 This allocation is within one of the Areas of Less Environmental Sensitivity for ball clay as identified in the Minerals Strategy 2014. Figure 5 to be amended to show the proposed BC04 allocation, within the Area of Less Environmental Sensitivity and the overall ball clay consultation area/Area of Search | For clarification |
| MM-BC04.4 | Amendments to Development Guidelines | Historic/Cultural Environment The number of prehistoric barrows in the area in particular indicates that the site has archaeological importance. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site. | For clarification |

| Development p to non-significa | roposals must mitigate any impacts or reduce them int levels. | |
|---|---|--|
| Landscape/Vis | ual | |
| impacting on la accommodate t Landscape and | f this site would open up the wider site to view, nd to the south/south east. Landscape capacity to the proposed use with mitigation is medium. A Visual Impact assessment will be required, with tified and implemented to minimise impacts. | |
| Development p to non-significa | roposals must mitigate any impacts or reduce them int levels. | |

PK16 - Swanworth Quarry

| MM-PK16.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension – Development Guidelines section titled 'Landscape/Visual' | Development of this quarry extension will result in significant visual impacts on designated and undesignated landscapes, particularly the Dorset AONB and Heritage Coast. A detailed Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with mitigation identified and implemented in order to minimise impacts. <i>This will include creation of a tunnel over the access to the extension area.</i> | Proposal for a tunnel has been withdrawn by the promoters |
|-----------|---|--|---|
| MM-PK16.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension – Development Guidelines section titled 'Transport/Access' | Transport/Access A Transport Assessment will be required, to assess possible impacts and identify appropriate mitigation. Although no traffic intensification will result from development of this extension, cumulative impacts are a key issue to be addressed. The extension will only be accessed through the existing quarry; there will be no access from the B3069 to the north. | For clarification purposes. |

| MM-PK16.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Restoration Vision' | Restoration Vision The landforms must tie in with surrounding areas however there may be scope for small-scale geological exposures to be left as part of the restoration, particularly where they can be seen from public rights of way. The site is proposed for restoration to the current agricultural (grazing) use at current ground level, including Nature conservation after use, integrating limestone pasture of conservation interest (e.g. species-rich limestone pasture) semi-natural grasslands, is a key element part of this vision. In addition, some areas should be left to naturally revegetate. The protection, retention and enhancement of historic field patterns is important and linking in with adjacent limestone grasslands where possible is also a key objective to create large scale grazing units within the network of small fields. A key part of this will be Where appropriate, native hedgerow and copse retention/protection and/or planting and the conservation and enhancement of existing local limestone stonewalls should be considered. The appropriate reuse/restoration of any site buildings, in particular which contribute to the agricultural after use and help conserve character, needs to be considered. Opportunities to contribute to and link/extend with existing rights of way networks need to be explored. | To clarify and correct details of the restoration vision. |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| MM-PK16.4 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Historic/Cultural Environment' | Historic/Cultural Environment There are designated and undesignated heritage assets on and around the site, including barrows and historic field systems. There is a high potential for below-ground archaeology. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations, and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be required as part of the development of the site. | For clarification and to minimise impacts on the historic environment. |

| | | To minimise impacts on the historic environment, working and restoring the site will include the following: no working in sightline between the two barrows access to extension lowered below eyeline when viewed from either barrow, or between barrows exclusion of working in the barrow field itself leading to a buffer of >150m from extraction area restoration to current ground levels | |
|-----------|---|--|---|
| MM-PK16.5 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Assessment of possible impacts on surrounding sensitive receptors (residences, settlements) is required, with full mitigation identified. The potential for cumulative impacts exists, particularly landscape/visual, as the extension site will be worked while the original site will be partly but not fully restored. All possible mitigation measures should be implemented in order for impacts to be reduced to an acceptable minimum. All impacts on the bridleway south and east of site to be assessed, with mitigation identified and implemented. Amenity impacts, particularly due to blasting, to be assessed and all relevant mitigation identified and implemented. | For clarification and to seek to address the issue of cumulative impacts. |
| MM-PK16.6 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Restoration Vision' | Restoration Vision The allocation lies within an open and generally flat to undulating landform where grazing of limestone pasture is the preferred end use. Conservation of the strong character of the area is a key objective as is the need to protect and manage the positive landscape attributes. The landforms must tie in with surrounding areas however there may be scope for small-scale geological exposures to be left as part of the restoration, particularly where they can be seen from public rights of way. Given the high sensitivity of this site, the MPA will require the timely restoration and aftercare of the site to the proposed after-use - | To ensure the need for prompt restoration |

| | | restoration to agriculture at original ground level - in a phased manner at the earliest opportunity. | |
|-----------|--|--|----------------------------------|
| MM-PK16.7 | Appendix A: Site Allocations PK16 Swanworth Quarry Extension Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | The MPA consider that the proposed development, even with 'full mitigation', may result in residual adverse landscape and visual impacts on the AONB. Policy DM4 of the Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole Minerals Strategy 2014 requires that where adverse impacts cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, compensatory environmental enhancements will be made to offset the residual landscape and visual impacts. At the planning application stage, detailed EIA will be carried out. This will identify whether there will be impacts that cannot be appropriately mitigated, and at this stage the MPA will determine what compensatory environmental enhancements will be required. | For clarification of this issue. |
| MM-PK16.8 | Policy MS-3 | An extension to Swanworth Quarry in Purbeck (PK16 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 11) is allocated to contribute to the adequate and steady supply of crushed rock. Any proposal for the development of this allocation must address the development considerations guidelines set out for the site in Appendix A, with particular emphasis on landscape and visual impacts on the Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty as well as any other matters relevant to the development of the allocation, and demonstrate that any adverse impacts will be mitigated to the satisfaction of the Mineral Planning Authority. Should the proposed development result in adverse landscape and visual impacts that cannot be avoided or adequately mitigated, | |

| compensatory environmental enhancements will be required to offset the residual landscape and visual impacts. | |
|---|--|
| | |

PK15 - Downs Quarry Extension

| | | PK-15: Downs Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers | |
|-----------|--|--|---|
| | | Site location: Approximately 1.5km north-east of Worth Matravers village, and adjacent to the existing Downs Quarry. | |
| | | Grid reference: SY 981 791 | |
| | | District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | |
| | | Parish: Worth Matravers CP | |
| | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK15 Downs Quarry Extension – all reference to site allocation | Site area (approximate): 0.67 ha | Now permitted, so removed as a proposal for allocation. |
| | | Estimated mineral resource: 17,000 - 22,000 tonnes | |
| MM-PK15.1 | | Existing land use/cover: Pasture. | |
| | | Proposed development: Extraction of Purbeck Stone | |
| | | - | |
| | | Development Guidelines | |
| | | Natural Environment | |
| | | Full assessment of all ecological impacts will be required, particularly ensuring that there will be no impacts on Greater Horseshoe Bats, with appropriate mitigation identified and implemented. | |
| | | Historic/Cultural Environment | |

Archaeological evaluation of this site has been undertaken already, with effectively negative results. The need for further archaeological assessment and evaluation will be reviewed at the planning application stage.

Hydrology/Flood Risk

The site falls entirely within Flood Zone 1 and is not shown to be at any risk of surface water flooding by relevant mapping. Given the prevailing geology and fall in ground levels, it is likely that surface water would be managed via infiltration. A site specific strategy for surface water management will be required. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment identifying potential risks to the water environment and any required mitigation will be required.

Transport/Access

This allocation would be a follow-on from existing working and should not result in any intensification in output. A Transport Assessment would be required, identifying possible impacts and appropriate mitigation.

Landscape/Visual

There may be an issue of cumulative landscape and visual impacts, particularly on local residences - this must be taken into consideration, and restoration of other quarries in the vicinity of this allocation will reduce cumulative impacts. A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, with mitigation identified and implemented to minimise impacts.

Restoration Vision

This allocation is part of the Corfe Valley, a broad sweeping clay valley with a patchwork of rough pastures and dense hedgerows, set along the Corfe River. Management of the restored land should include low impact grazing and conservation of permanent pastures; encouraging maintenance and restoration of boundaries, particularly dense hedgerows and banks along the valley floors and stonewalls towards the higher ground; encouraging grazing on the chalk and

| | limestone ridges to reduce scrub encroachment on important | |
|--|--|--|
| | grasslands. | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

PK17 Home Field

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PK18 - Quarry 4 Extension

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|--------|--------|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

PK19 - Broadmead

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|---|--|---|
| MM-PK19.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead – Development Guidelines section titled 'Natural Environment' | Natural Environment There is a Site of Nature Conservation Importance adjacent to (north-west of) the site. This SNCI must be appropriately protected from any impacts of Purbeck stone development in the area allocated as PK19 Broadmead. Greater Horseshoe Bat has been recorded from the area immediately adjacent to this site. Full assessment of all ecological impacts related to the development of this site or any part of it will be required. | To ensure appropriate protection of the Site of Nature Conservation Interest. |
| MM-PK19.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead – Development Guidelines section titled 'Other' | Other Opportunities for leaving quarry faces for geological conservation and education to be considered. There are existing water mains and other water-related infrastructure to the south of the site. These will be retained and must be protected from Purbeck Stone development-related impacts. | To ensure the protection of this water-related infrastructure. |

| ſ | 1od Number | d Number Para/Policy Change | | Reason |
|---|------------|--|---|--------------------|
| М | M-PK19.3 | Appendix A: Site Allocations – PK19 Broadmead – Site Allocation Heading | PK-19: Broadmead Field, <u>Gallows Gore, Worth Matravers</u> <u>Parish</u> <u>Langton Matravers</u> | For clarification. |

RA01 - White's Pit

| MM-RA01.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations RA01 White's Pit. Airport Safeguarding | Airport Safeguarding This site lies within the Bournemouth Airport Aerodrome Safeguarding Area and for any future planning applications will require an Aviation Impact Assessment, in consultation with Bournemouth Airport. | For clarification and aviation safety. |
|-----------|--|--|--|
| MM-RA01.2 | Appendix A: Site Allocations RA01 White's Pit. Other | Surface Water There are surface drains in the vicinity of this proposed allocation. | For clarification. |

PK02 - Blacklands Extension

| MM-PK02.1 | Appendix A: Site Allocations Amendments to Blacklands proposals | The original PK02 Blacklands Extension will be amended a part of the proposed extension area has recently received planning permission. When a revised submission for the reduced remaining area is received it will be included under this proposed modification number. | For clarification |
|-----------|--|--|-------------------|
|-----------|--|--|-------------------|

PK21 - Gallows Gore - now withdrawn.

| | | PK-21: Gallows Gore, Harman's Cross | |
|-----------|---|--|--------------------|
| | | Site location: Gallows Gore, approximately 1.2km west of Langton Matravers village. | |
| | | Grid reference: SY 985 790 | |
| | | District/Borough: Purbeck District Council | |
| | Appendix A: Site Allocations Gallows Gore | Parish: Langton Matravers | |
| MM-PK21.1 | | Site area (approximate): 5.2 ha | For clarification. |
| | | Estimated mineral resource: approximately 30,000 tonnes | |
| | | Existing land use/cover: Agriculture/grazing. | |
| | | Proposed development: Extraction of Purbeck Stone. | |
| | | Development Guidelines | |
| | | Natural Environment | |

Full assessment of all ecological impacts related to the development of this site or any part

of it will be required.

The small area of rough grassland to the south-east of the site has potential to support

uncommon UK priority BAP butterfly species and could provide habitat for protected bat

species, and will be appropriately protected during any quarrying activity.

Historic/Cultural Environment

There is high potential for below-ground archaeology, including industrial archaeological

evidence of early quarrying. Heritage and archaeology matters are important considerations,

and the significance of any affected heritage assets and their setting must be understood to

ensure their significance is safeguarded. Archaeological assessment and evaluation will be

required as part of the development of the site.

Hydrology/Flood Risk

The site falls entirely within Flood Zone 1 and is not shown to be at any risk of surface water

flooding by relevant mapping. Given the prevailing geology and fall in ground levels, it is

likely that surface water would be managed via infiltration. A site specific strategy for surface

water management will be required. A hydrological/hydrogeological assessment identifying

potential risks to the water environment and any required mitigation will be required.

Transport/Access

Access is a key issue for this allocation.

163

Pre-Submission Draft Mineral Sites Plan 2017

Access northwards along Haycrafts Lane is not acceptable, not is it acceptable to use

Haycrafts Lane to access the B3069. Access over the field to the south of the site, to access

the B3069, could be acceptable provided the existing residential access track was not used

or affected. The use of short journey distances along Haycrafts Lane could also be possible,

subject to assessment and mitigation.

All access proposals would require a full Transport Assessment, considering how access

could be satisfactorily achieved, what the potential impacts could be and identifying appropriate

mitigation.

Landscape/Visual

Development of this allocation is likely to produce adverse effects, including cumulative

impacts, on the natural beauty of the AONB, principally due to the exposed location. There

will be some scope for mitigation through design and operation, such as a phased approach

to extraction and restoration and restricting stockpiling and buildings.

There may be an issue of cumulative landscape and visual impacts, particularly on local

residences - this must be taken into consideration, and restoration of other quarries in the

vicinity of this allocation will reduce cumulative impacts.

A Landscape and Visual Impact assessment will be required, to identify mitigation to minimise

impacts to a satisfactory level.

Other

Impacts on local amenity is particularly relevant to this allocation, given the number of

residences around the site, and must be fully assessed and all necessary mitigation identified

and implemented.

The site boundary as shown does not at this stage include any buffers for mitigation purposes.

This issue will be fully addressed at the planning application stage, with appropriate buffering

established and implemented.

There are Wessex Water reservoirs adjacent to the site boundary. Potential impacts on

these must be fully assessed and all necessary mitigation identified and implemented prior

to any development on this site.

Restoration Vision

This allocation is part of the Corfe Valley, a broad sweeping clay valley with a patchwork of

rough pastures and dense hedgerows, set along the Corfe River. Management of the

| | | restored land should include low impact grazing and conservation of permanent pastures; encouraging maintenance and restoration of boundaries, particularly dense hedgerows and banks along the valley floors and stonewalls towards the higher ground; encouraging grazing on the chalk and limestone ridges to reduce scrub encroachment on important grasslands. | |
|-----------|-------------|---|-------------------|
| | Policy MS-6 | 2. The provision of stone from the following allocations of new sites and extensions to existing sites, provided that the applicant can in each case demonstrate that the proposal is in accordance with the development plan: a. Blacklands Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-02 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 16) | |
| | | b. Southard Quarry, Swanage (PK-10 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 18) | |
| MM-PK21.2 | | c. Downs Quarry Extension, Langton Matravers (PK-15 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 12) | For clarification |
| | | d. Home Field, Acton (PK-17 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 15) | |
| | | e. Quarry 4 Extension, Acton (PK-18 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 17) | |
| | | f. Broadmead Field, Langton Matravers (PK-19 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 14) | |
| | | g. Gallows Gore, Harman's Cross (PK-21 - see Submission Policies Map - Inset 13) | |

Appendix B: Safeguarded Minerals Sites and Infrastructure

| Mod Number | Para/Policy | Change | Reason |
|------------|-------------|---|--------------------|
| MM-AB.1 | Policy MS-9 | Policy MS-9: Preventing Land-Use Conflict The mineral sites and associated infrastructure that support the supply of minerals in Bournemouth, Dorset and Poole, as listed and illustrated in Appendix B of this Plan, are safeguarded against development that could unnecessarily sterilise the sites and infrastructure, or prejudice or jeopardise their use, by creating incompatible land uses nearby. This list of safeguarded | For clarification. |
| | | sites will be updated regularly through monitoring of the Minerals Strategy and the Mineral Sites Plan. | |

Appendix C: Policies Replaced

| Mod Number | er Para/Policy Change | | Reason |
|------------|---|---|------------------------------------|
| MM-AC.1 | New Appendix C: Policies Replaced | Add text currently set out in MSPSD 10 'Policies replaced - Mineral Sites Plan - December 2017' and also set out below. | For clarification and as required. |

Appendix C: Policies Replaced

Background

The Minerals Strategy 2014 replaced most of the policies of the Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999. The waste policies had already been replaced by the 2006 Waste Plan.

<u>Five policies of the Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 remained extant, and will be replaced by the Mineral Sites Plan on adoption.</u> These policies are set out below, with an indication of which policies will replace them.

| Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 Policy 6 - Relating to Applications Outside the Preferred Areas Policy MS1 Production of sand and Gravel; Policy MS2 Sand and Gravel Area of Search; Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Policy Area; Policy MS4 Site for the Production of Recycled aggregate; Policy MS5 Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of other building stone Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Area Policy Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of other building stone Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Area Policy | <u>als</u> |
|---|------------|

| Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 | Policy 15 - Preferred Areas for Sand and Gravel | Identifies the detailed criteria which must be satisfied before an application in the Preferred Areas will be permitted. | Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014; Policy MS1 Production of sand and Gravel; Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Policy Area |
|---|--|---|---|
| Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 | Policy 16 - Applications for the winning and working of gravel outside Preferred Areas | Outlines the special circumstances where planning permission outside the Preferred Areas identified in Policy 15 will be granted | Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014; Policy MS1 Production of sand and Gravel; Policy MS8 Puddletown Road Policy Area |
| Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 | Policy 30 - Presumption in favour of extraction in Preferred Areas | Sets out presumption in favour of applications within the two preferred areas at Acton and Swanage | Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014; Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone |
| Dorset Minerals and Waste Local Plan – Adopted 12 April 1999 | Policy 35 - Presumption in favour of applications within Preferred Areas | States the presumption in favour of applications within the four preferred areas for ball clay, provided they satisfy certain criteria. Relevant to any planning application for the extraction of ball clay at Trigon | Development management and restoration policies of the Minerals Strategy 2014; Policy MS6 Sites for the provision of Purbeck Stone |