

Responses to Issues CC1 to CC9: ' Our sustainable future - addressing climate change'

Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy consultation: Issues + Options March 2008

In March 2008 Christchurch and East Dorset councils consulted on the joint Core Strategy Issues and Options document. The questions asked under the above theme were:

Key Issue CC1

How should our sustainable construction and energy efficiency policies apply to new development?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Require residential developments to exceed current building control standards for sustainable construction and energy efficiency, even if this results in higher development costs
- B. Require non-residential developments to exceed current building control standards for sustainable construction and energy efficiency, even if this results in higher development costs
- C. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	87	36	8	n/a
B	83	37	7	n/a
C				26

Key Issue CC2

What proportion of their energy requirements should new developments be required to provide from on-site renewable energy sources and if so how?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Require new residential developments over a threshold size, to provide more than 10% of their energy requirements from on-site renewables
- B. Require new non-residential developments, over a threshold size, to provide more than 10% of their energy requirements from on-site renewables
- C. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	72	37	18	n/a
B	70	37	18	n/a
C				29

Key Issue CC3

For residential developments, over what threshold should policies require a proportion of energy to be provided through on-site renewables?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. 10 dwellings or more
- B. 5 dwellings or more
- C. No threshold (i.e 1 dwelling)
- D. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	25	n/a
B	17	n/a
C	51	n/a
D		16

Key Issue CC4

For non residential developments, over what threshold should policies require a proportion of energy to be provided through on-site renewables?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. 0.5ha (5000sq metres/1.2 acres)
- B. 0.25ha (2500sq metres/0.6 acres)
- C. 0.1ha (1000sq metres/0.25 acres)
- D. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	26		n/a
B	17	1	n/a
C	32		n/a
D			30

Key Issue CC5

Are there types of renewable energy development which would be inappropriate in parts of Christchurch and East Dorset? Please specify the location in the space provided.

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Solar power (e.g. solar thermal water heating and photo voltaic electrical power)
- B. Wind turbines (small residential scale)
- C. Wind turbines (large scale wind farms)
- D. Biomass (energy organic material such as wood and other agricultural waste)
- E. Hydro power (water)
- F. Ground source heat pumps (which transfer heat from the ground into heating for buildings)
- G. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	22		n/a
B	36		n/a
C	58	2	n/a
D	21		n/a
E	31	1	n/a
F	26		n/a
G			39

Key Issue CC6

Should we continue to permit new development in areas of flood risk?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Yes, if flood risk assessments prove flood risk will not increase as a result
- B. Yes, but only if housing or commercial needs cannot be met elsewhere
- C. No, direct all new development away from areas of flood risk
- D. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	32	82	6	n/a
B	16	87	6	n/a
C	106	21	10	n/a
D				25

Key Issue CC7

To reduce damage to buildings and risk to life, should we require new developments in the flood plain to incorporate flood resistant and/or resilient measures into their design, on the basis that flood prevention measures will provide protection?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. Yes, require this of all developments in areas of flood risk
- B. Yes, but only for specific identified locations or development types
- C. No, do not require this
- D. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	50	11	4	n/a
B	15	21	6	n/a
C	11	23	5	n/a
D				44

Key Issue CC8

How should we consider development in areas at risk from coastal erosion?

The options offered in the consultation were:

- A. We should resist any new development in coastal areas at risk of erosion
- B. We should resist development in coastal areas where it would not be viable to resist coastal erosion
- C. Development should be allowed in coastal areas at risk from erosion provided it contributes to the cost of safeguarding works
- D. Risk of coastal erosion should not be used to resist new development in coastal areas
- E. Other

Summary of responses received for each option:

Option	Agree	Disagree	No Opinion	Suggestions For Other Issues
A	79	12	10	n/a
B	64	7	8	n/a
C	15	45	11	n/a
D	6	52	9	n/a
				11

Responses to Issues CC1 to CC9: 'Our sustainable future - addressing climate change'

Christchurch and East Dorset Core Strategy consultation: Issues + Options March 21

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	CC1	Contact ID:	XED1342	Surname:	CANTLIE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-10975
Option:	A	Organisation:	Christchurch Conservation Trust				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC1	Contact ID:	XED1432	Surname:	CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-03371
Option:	A	Organisation:	Environment TAG (East Dorset)				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:	<p>Climate change is arguably the most important issue ever to face mankind and this environment. Our approach must include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Maximum protection of the natural environment including soil structure, hydrology (including the impact of raised ground water levels and surface runoff), wildlife features and practical acknowledgement of the vital role of the natural environment as a carbon sink;• Management of our biodiversity on a landscape scale;• The highest possible standards of sustainability including location of development (residential and commercial) in relation to the services and infrastructure that are required to support it;• Construction materials and their transport to development sites;• Construction methods;• Use of off-site prefabrication where this reduces the total energy budget of the development as a whole. <p>Locally agreed higher standards for sustainable construction and energy efficiency should be introduced. Para. 7.2.17 of RSS EIP report suggests a Regional standard should be introduced. This will need to be considered when the SoS' s proposed modifications are published.</p>						

Issue:	CC1	Contact ID:	XED2410	Surname:	CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-21029
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC1	Contact ID:	XED1833	Surname:	COCKAIN	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-01953
Option:	A	Organisation:					
Response:	Disagree						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05052
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10100
Option: A Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2402 Surname: FINNEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16565
Option: A Organisation: Holt Parish Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0038 Surname: FOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14418
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2392 Surname: FRANKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11353
Option: A Organisation: Tynham Housing Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07702
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06396
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17412
Option: B Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18227
Option: B Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2611 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29582
Option: B Organisation: Colehill Parish Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33462
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20092
Option: B Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2743 Surname: CROMBIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35705
Option: B Organisation: Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19960
Option: B Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11824
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2337 Surname: JACKSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08590
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32129
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04095
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25646
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2066 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25351
Option: B Organisation: Burton Parish Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28230
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED1180 Surname: RAYNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28574
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2715 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32665
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2718 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32937
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0224 Surname: SHAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35776
Option: B Organisation: Hurn Parish Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08022
Option: B Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22536
Option: B Organisation: Environment Agency
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31561
Option: B Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03174
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22133
Option: C
Response:
General comments: Waste of resources - issue is the existing stock

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2297 Surname: HOSKINSON Unique
Option: C Organisation: Canford Estate (represented by Savills) Response Ref: CSIO-03222

Response: Current building control standards are likely to be subject to change in order to implement the Code for Sustainable Homes and the Code for Sustainable Buildings. Requirements for sustainable construction should be in line with the targets set out in the emerging Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West. A flexible approach is needed to ensure that development is viable and deliverable and that the required level of new housing for the District can be achieved.

General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique
Option: C Organisation: Response Ref: CSIO-30221

Response:

General comments: Whilst this is ideal and one could not disagree in principle it does nothing for the production of low cost housing.

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response Ref: CSIO-04096

Response: Intelligent siting of developments maximises the benefit and natural resources.

General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2654 Surname: JASPER Unique
Option: C Organisation: Waitrose (represented by Barton Willmore) Response Ref: CSIO-27132

Response:

General comments: Sustainability targets are being addressed at the national level through building regulations and PPS1 and should not therefore be duplicated in planning controls.

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2612 Surname: JEFFERIES Unique
Option: C Organisation: D C C Response Ref: CSIO-29913

Response:

General comments: look attractive

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC1 **Contact ID:** XED2325 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-07484
Option: C **Organisation:** Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou

Response: Policy G of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 set out the minimum construction standards that new residential and non-residential developments would need to meet over the period to 2026. In making recommendations on the appropriateness of this policy to the Government in January 2008, the Examination Panel considered that there was merit in the RSS having a single regime on construction standards that applies across the region as a whole, rather than a fragmentary approach with each individual local planning authority setting its own and potentially different standards. Whilst the Government has yet to publish proposed modifications to this policy, as the adopted RSS will form part of the development plan for the East Dorset and Christchurch area, there is no reason for the Core Strategy to include a separate policy on energy efficiency and construction standards. However, should the Councils decide to do so, we consider it important that the policy fully accords with Policy G of the adopted RSS.

General comments:

Issue: CC1 **Contact ID:** XED2261 **Surname:** MULLINER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19002
Option: C **Organisation:** Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)

Response:

General comments: Policy G of the draft regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 set out the minimum construction standards that new residential and non-residential developments would need to meet over the period to 2026. In making recommendations on the appropriateness of this policy to the Government in January 2008, the examination panel considered that there was merit in the RSS having a single regime on construction standards that applies across the region as a whole, rather than a fragmentary approach with each individual local planning authority setting its own and potentially different standards. Whilst the government has yet to publish proposed modifications to this policy, as the adopted RSS will form part of the development plan for East Dorset and Christchurch area, there is no reason for the Core Strategy to include a separate policy on energy efficiency and construction standards. However, should the councils decide to do so, we consider it important that the policy fully accords with Policy G of the adopted RSS.

Issue: CC1 **Contact ID:** XED0018 **Surname:** NOTTAGE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-12203
Option: C **Organisation:** Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Build "leak proof" building?
New building methods and materials

General comments:

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23045
Option: C Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments: Sustainable construction
Gleeson Strategic Land welcome initiatives to implement sustainable development approaches. The Government has resolved that there should be changes in heat insulation, sound proofing, level access and so on to new housing. In our view the most appropriate mechanism is through a review of the Building Regulations rather than through locally derived building standards.

PPS1 states at paragraph 30 :

“Planning policies should not replicate, cut across, or detrimentally affect matters within the scope of other legislative requirements, such as those set out in Building Regulations for energy efficiency.”

It is important to note that new homes and buildings achieve far higher standards of thermal efficiency than they did just a few years ago and that the major problems of heat inefficiency are in the existing stock

The Government has made commitments through the Kyoto protocol to reduce carbon emissions. In its approach to reviewing the Building Regulations it is engaged in testing new methods of construction and (with the BRE) has entered into dialogue with the housebuilding and construction industry. The Regulations are being reviewed based on construction methods and techniques which have been researched and tested in accordance with established techniques, methods and protocols. This has resulted in substantially higher standards of energy conservation. That is the wholly appropriate approach.

While the objectives to increase standards of thermal efficiency and the environmental performance of new buildings, not just housing, is to be welcomed this should be part of changes to national regulations. Not least this will ensure that all crafts, suppliers, developers, training providers, building materials manufacturers are applying a common standard and thereby achieve economies of scale of provision which also has environmental implications in terms of the most efficient use of resources.

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17793
Option: C Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Desi

Response:

General comments: We are aware of no evidence to support any Local Policy which should be different from National Policy or guidelines. Furthermore, National Policy or guidelines may well be revised during the life of any relevant DPD document. For both reasons it would be unsound to incorporate any Local Policy on this subject other than to require developments to follow current, national policies and guidelines.

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED1633 Surname: STEPHENS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25007
Option: C Organisation: Stanpit & Mudeford Residents Association

Response:

General comments: Ensure waste is minimised.

Issue: CC1	Contact ID: XED2182	Surname: TERRY	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22669
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	There is no justification to exceed national policy/regulations		

Issue: CC1	Contact ID: XED2184	Surname: THOMPSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19325
Option: C	Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)		
Response:			
General comments:	Policy G of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 set out the minimum construction standards that new residential and non residential would need to meet over the period to 2026. In making recommendations on the appropriateness of this policy to the government in January 2008, the examination panel considered that there was merit in the RSS having a single regime on construction standards that applies across the region as a whole, rather than a fragmentary approach with each individual local planning authority setting its own and potentially different standards Whilst the government has yet to publish proposed modifications to this policy, as the adopted RSS will form part of the development plan for the East Dorset and Christchurch area, there is no reason for the Core Strategy to include a separate policy on energy efficiency and construction standards. However, should the councils decide to do so, we consider it important that the policy fully accords with the Policy G of the adopted RSS		

Issue: CC1	Contact ID: XED2230	Surname: WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08708
Option: C	Organisation: Barratt Homes		
Response:	Industry is working towards code 6 carbon neutral		
General comments:			

Issue: CC1 **Contact ID:** XED2305 **Surname:** FARROW **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03365
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** De Vere Hotels (represented by Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners)

Response: De Vere considers that the Code for Sustainable Homes and the accompanying guidance within 'Building a Greener Future: policy statement' provides an adequate national framework for improving the sustainability of new homes. Building a Greener Future confirms the Government's intention for all new homes to be zero carbon by 2016, with a major progressive tightening of the energy efficiency building regulations in the interim. In terms of the relationship between local planning policy and national building regulations, 'Building a Greener Future policy statement' states:

"We think that national standards for reducing carbon emissions from homes should be set through building regulation, supported by the planning system. We do not believe that local authorities should each set separate building standards... Nor do we think each local authority should set its own ad hoc timetable through the planning system to reach zero carbon emissions for new homes... [Such an approach] ... would also jeopardise our parallel commitment to increase the level of house buildings and deliver the affordable homes the country needs" (para. 3.42). Given this national framework, De Vere does not consider that this Core Strategy should stipulate more onerous standards than the current standards for energy efficiency contained in the national building regulations. To do so is clearly contrary to the Government's policy approach and may jeopardise the Government's objectives of increasing the rate of house building.

General comments:

Issue: CC1 **Contact ID:** XED2309 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03507
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response: Policy G of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 set out the minimum construction standards that new residential and non-residential developments would need to meet over the period to 2026. In making recommendations on the appropriateness of this policy to the Government in January 2008, the Examination Panel considered that there was merit in the RSS having a single regime on construction standards that applies across the region as a whole, rather than a fragmentary approach with each individual local planning authority setting its own and potentially different standards. Whilst the Government has yet to publish proposed modifications to this policy, as the adopted RSS will form part of the development plan for the East Dorset and Christchurch area, there is no reason for the Core Strategy to include a separate policy on energy efficiency and construction standards. However, should the Councils decide to do so, we consider it important that the policy fully accords with Policy G of the adopted RSS.

General comments:

Issue: CC1 **Contact ID:** XED2405 **Surname:** MOLNAR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-17692
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: Policy G of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 set out the minimum construction standards that new residential and non-residential developments would need to meet over the period to 2026. In making recommendations on the appropriateness of this policy to the Government in June 200, the Examination Panel considered that there was merit in the RSS having a single regime on construction standards that applies across the region as a whole, rather than a fragmentary approach with each individual local planning authority setting its own and potentially different standards. Whilst the Government has yet to publish proposed modifications to this policy, as the adopted RSS will form part of the development plan for the East Dorset and Christchurch area, there is no reason for the Core Strategy to include a separate policy on energy efficiency and construction standards. However, should the Councils decide to do so, we consider it important that the policy fully accords with Policy G of the adopted RSS

Issue: CC1 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22537
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment Agency

Response:

General comments: General Comments - We strongly recommend that all development includes sustainable design and construction measures, which comply with the Code for Sustainable Homes (residential) or BREEAM (industrial).
With regards to the Code for Sustainable Homes we recommend all developments aspire to achieve more than the requirements stated within the Regional Spatial Strategy (i.e. the highest number of stars possible). The Code includes sections on energy and water efficiency.
For industrial developments we would recommend aiming for 'Excellent' BREEAM standard.
A sustainable building uses minimal natural resources and renewables during construction, efficient use of energy is achieved during subsequent use. This reduces greenhouse gas emissions and helps to limit and adapt to climate change. It should be emphasised that running costs of the building can be significantly reduced.
Water efficiency is also part of constructing sustainable development for the future, we therefore strongly recommend water efficiency measures be incorporated into all future development. It would assist in conserving natural water resources and offer some contingency during times of water shortage.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22134
Option: A Organisation: Ringwood Town Council

Response: Disagree

General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED3035 Surname: ABBOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37219
Option: A Organisation: Home Builders Federation

Response: Disagree

General comments: The HBF object to the requirement for new residential development to provide 10% of their own energy requirements from on site renewables. PPS1 - climate change, which states that renewable energy should only be introduced on a site specific or development area basis for sustainable buildings, rather than being a widespread requirement in new development.
The planning policy for climate change clearly states that proposals for renewable energy should be evidence based. Furthermore it states in paragraph 32 where proposing any local requirement for sustainable buildings planning authorities should focus on development areas or site specific opportunities. The HBF therefore object to any district wide policy on renewable energy specifically for housing as this is contrary to national policy. The council should therefore focus on site specific and local development area based policies where it can justify its approach through robust evidence if it wishes to apply such targets.
The HBF consider that the Core Strategy should not seek to impose strict environmental restraints on development that accelerates the industry's commitment to achieving zero carbon homes by 2016 and risk the delivery of much needed new homes. This is the commitment given in the Policy Statement Building a Greener Future (2007). The HBF is willing to work with the council on behalf of the industry in seeking to address this particular issue without risk to housing delivery.
The council however should also not place a higher priority on renewable energy than the priority of delivering new homes. It is essential that in not seeking to compromise the delivery of new housing within the borough, any proposals for renewable energy are proved to be sound under examination and viable in accordance with the contents of paragraph 26 of the Planning Policy for Climate Change. They should also be evidence based in accordance with paragraph 26 of the Planning Policy Statement; Planning and Climate Change.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13682
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03701
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26551
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23166
Option: A Organisation: Traves James
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23557
Option: A Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0030 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13420
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15691
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05353
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10621
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09100
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15206
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2557 Surname: HAVELOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23507
Option: A Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17150
Option: A Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELLICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34974
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01067
Option: A Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04384
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13099
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02790
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20897
Option: A Organisation: National Trust Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15967
Option: A Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27218
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23046
Option: A Organisation: White Young Green
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23890
Option: A Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22601
Option: A Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16263
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12839
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31052
Option: A Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08023
Option: A Organisation: Tanner & Tilley Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31562
Option: A Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03175
Option: A Organisation: Highclife Residents Association Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: [REDACTED] Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22135
Option: B Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26744
Option: B Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Agree
General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07704
Option: B Organisation: [REDACTED]
Response: Agree
General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06398
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: No Opinion
General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17414
Option: B Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments: [REDACTED]

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01068
Option: B Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14004
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29226
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27771
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27552
Option: B Organisation: West Moors Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31053
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08024
Option: B Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31563
Option: B Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03176
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22138
Option: C
Response:
General comments: A misuse of resources in most cases and driven more by fad than practicality or economic logic.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19081
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: All new developments of any size should provide at least 50% of their energy requirements from on-site renewables.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06365
Option: C Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Let the Government revise the building regulations
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06260
Option: C Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response:
General comments: Because issues of energy use are a matter of technical implementation rather than planning, it is our view that these matters are best addressed at Building Regulation stage, unless the provision of equipment has a visual impact.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08323
Option: C Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: This should not be dealt with in a piecemeal way. Planning applications are too complex and costly
General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01958
Option: C Organisation:
Response: 10% plus would disadvantage further the lazy provision of affordable homes. Encouragement MUST be given to new homes to utilise SUN and WIND where possible.
General comments:

Issue: CC2	Contact ID: XED2139	Surname: EVANS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19247
Option: C	Organisation: Hall & Woodhouse represented by Southern Planning Practice		
Response:			
General comments:	Consideration needs to be given to the appropriate threshold, as imposing greater burdens on smaller sites will impede development coming forward and meeting other key objectives		

Issue: CC2	Contact ID: XED2557	Surname: HAVELOCK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23509
Option: C	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	Urban extensions such as Corfe Mullen will be more likely to generate on site energy in particular where slopes are south/west facing therefore enabling enhanced opportunities to maximise use of sunlight and solar power in the design of dwellings and site layout		

Issue: CC2	Contact ID: XED2396	Surname: HODGES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14005
Option: C	Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association		
Response:	Need to avoid costly politically correct clutter and ineffective and expensive solutions to energy supply		
General comments:			

Issue: CC2	Contact ID: XED2297	Surname: HOSKINSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03223
Option: C	Organisation: Canford Estate (represented by Savills)		
Response:	Whilst measures to promote on-site renewable energy for residential and non-residential developments are supported, there are difficulties in the supply, cost, feasibility and efficacy of certain renewable energy technologies. There will be occasions where off-site provision is more beneficial in terms of carbon reduction and cost effectiveness. There will also be occasions where it will be more cost effective and environmentally beneficial to invest in energy efficiency improvements rather than on-site renewable energy. A flexible approach is therefore needed to encourage on-site renewable energy generation technologies where it is technically and economically feasible and environmentally beneficial, whilst ensuring that development remains viable and that the delivery of new housing and employment development is secured in line with emerging RSS targets.		
General comments:			

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30222
Option: C Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Not presently practical as the payback time is too long, most could not afford it and would require large scale grants from local authorities

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04099
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: No wind energy

General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2654 Surname: JASPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27136
Option: C Organisation: Waitrose (represented by Barton Willmore)

Response:

General comments: Any policy relating to sustainability, including energy generation, should be sufficiently flexible so that it can reflect changing circumstances with regard to changing legislation and emerging technologies. Therefore it is not considered appropriate for the Core Strategy to contain a policy which specifically requires the provision of on-site renewable energy. Renewable energy policies should be continued as an SPD.
Any requirement to provide on-site renewables should be considered on a site-by-site basis as it is not always appropriate or practical to provide on-site renewable energy. PPS1 provides the national guidance. LPA policy should not exceed national guidance. The PPS does not require on-site provision.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19608
Option: C Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response:

General comments: The extent of renewable energies and sustainable construction should be set by central government to ensure consistency of approach and that the viability of development is taken into account

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14669
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Not wind energy

General comments:

Issue: CC2 **Contact ID:** XED1529 **Surname:** MELLETT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-15970
Option: C **Organisation:** Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)

Response: [Empty box]

General comments: The amount of on-site renewables provided in non-residential development should depend upon site constraints, the viability of on-site renewables considered against the financial contribution to off-site provision. Site specific details may also justify a lower level of on-site renewable energy provision (e.g. the funding of transport infrastructure improvements) In certain circumstances the requirement for more than 10% renewable energy production on-site could compromise the deliverability of a scheme and effect other community benefits which may be delivered by the development. Consideration should also be had to the appropriateness of each site to provide on-site renewable energy as certain energy sources require certain conditions in order to be effective.

Issue: CC2 **Contact ID:** XED2325 **Surname:** MILLER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-07485
Option: C **Organisation:** Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou

Response: The percentage of on-site renewable energy that will need to be provided within future residential and non-residential developments will be specified in the Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS). Although the Examination Panel has made recommendations to Government on the wording of RSS Policy RES, this recommendation does not fully reflect national planning policy set out in PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004). Paragraph 8 of PPS22 states that:
"Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design" (our emphasis).
It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of a site's development as identified in PPS22, as well as the RSS targets.

General comments: [Empty box]

Issue: CC2 **Contact ID:** XED2261 **Surname:** MULLINER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-19005
Option: C **Organisation:** Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)

Response: [Empty box]

General comments: The percentage of on-site renewable energy that will need to be provided within the future residential and non-residential developments will be specified within the Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS). Although the examination panel has made recommendations to government on the wording of RSS Policy RE5, this recommendation does not fully reflect national planning policy as set out in PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004) Paragraph 8 of PPS22 states that "Local Planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location and design.
It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of a sites development as identified in PPS22 as well as the RSS targets

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23048
Option: C Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments: It seems to us that the ideal approach towards the provision of renewable energy is to have in place a strategy which seeks to maximise the efficiency gain of say one or possibly several installations rather than to seek contributions from individual development and indeed potentially individual houses. This seems more rational and efficient.

PPS22 is clear that:

Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies:

- (i) should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design;
- (ii) should not be framed in such a way as to place an undue burden on developers, for example, by specifying that all energy to be used in a development should come from on-site renewable generation.

The emphasis is on renewable energy developments.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22603
Option: C Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: To achieve renewable energy targets it will be appropriate to allocate larger greenfield sites which lend themselves to on site generation. For example land at Leigh Lane, Colehill is well situated on a gradual southerly aspect therefore enabling enhanced opportunities to maximise use of sunlight and solar power in the design of dwellings and site layout.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED1601 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24291
Option: C Organisation: Seaward Properties Limited (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: To achieve renewable energy targets it will be appropriate to allocate greenfield sites which lend themselves to on site generation, in particular where a southerly aspect exists.

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED1314	Surname:	PALMER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24146
Option:	C	Organisation:	Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)				
Response:							
General comments:	The allocation of greenfield sites will give greater opportunities for on site energy production enabling enhanced opportunities to maximise use of sunlight and solar power in the design of dwellings and site layout.						

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED1609	Surname:	PALMER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-23892
Option:	C	Organisation:	Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)				
Response:							
General comments:	In order to achieve renewable energy targets it will be appropriate to allocate commercial development on greenfield sites as these lend themselves to on site generation.						

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED1514	Surname:	PALMER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-24215
Option:	C	Organisation:	Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)				
Response:							
General comments:	To achieve renewable energy targets it will be appropriate to allocate larger greenfield sites which lend themselves to on site generation. For example land at Holmwood Park, Ferndown is well situated on a gradual southerly aspect therefore enabling enhanced opportunities to maximise use of sunlight and solar power in the design of dwellings and site layout.						

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED0027	Surname:	PETRIE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-10465
Option:	C	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	Not more than 10% or prices will rise even more for property, less than 10% would be preferable						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED1633	Surname:	STEPHENS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25010
Option:	C	Organisation:	Stanpit & Mudeford Residents Association				
Response:							
General comments:	Ensure waste is minimised						

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED2182	Surname:	TERRY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-22672
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:							
General comments:	The link as suggested in reg/nat guidelines is to CO2 not just energy requirements						

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED2184	Surname:	THOMPSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-19331
Option:	C	Organisation:	Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)				
Response:							
General comments:	The percentage of on site renewable energy that will need to be provided within future residential and non residential developments will be specified in the Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) Although the examination panel has made recommendations to government on the wording of RSS policy RE5, this recommendation does not fully reflect national planning policy set out in PPS22: Renewable Energy (september 2004) Paragraph 8 of PPS22 states that: Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location and design. It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on site renewable energy reflects the viability of a sites development as identified in PPS22 as well as the RSS targets						

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED2230	Surname:	WEBSTER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-08710
Option:	C	Organisation:	Barratt Homes				
Response:	If it can be achieved all units should provide 10% renewable						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC2	Contact ID:	XED2296	Surname:	WRIGHT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-03177
Option:	C	Organisation:	Highcliffe Residents Association				
Response:	Christchurch has an opportunity to lead on this. Phase targets could aim to reach higher than national average						
General comments:							

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2305 Surname: FARROW
Option: GENERAL Organisation: De Vere Hotels (represented by Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners)

Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03366

Response: De Vere accepts that investing in 'on-site renewable energy sources' is likely to assist in reducing carbon emissions from new development, but considers that by only allowing carbon reductions through this narrow band of technologies places restrictions on developers choosing the most cost-effective and appropriate technologies for achieving carbon emission reductions for any given site's context. De Vere considers that such a focus is contrary to the advice within PPS: Planning and Climate Change that sets out the Government's approach reducing new development's impact on climate change, paragraph 26 (i) of which states: ... [planning authorities should] set out a target percentage of the energy to be used in new development to come from decentralised and renewable or lowcarbon sources where it is viable. The target should avoid prescription on technologies and be flexible in how carbon savings from local energy supplies are to be secured".

De Vere considers that the above 'key issue' should be expanded to also include scope for the inclusion of low carbon energy sources rather than purely focussing on on-site renewable energy sources, in accordance with the above requirement.

In addition, the above guidance with the PPS on Climate Change emphasises that the percentage requirement should only be imposed 'where it is viable'. As such, De Vere believes that it should be explicitly stated in the policy approach that the percentage requirements should be applied flexibly, subject to the viability of proposal. It is considered that such an approach allows for individual circumstances and constraints facing a site to be recognised, enabling the highest proportion of on-site energy generation to be secured, whilst at the same time ensuring that the proposal remains economically viable.

General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2309 Surname: MILLER
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03508

Response: The percentage of on-site renewable energy that will need to be provided within future residential and non-residential developments will be specified in the Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS). Although the Examination Panel has made recommendations to Government on the wording of RSS Policy RES, this recommendation does not fully reflect national planning policy set out in PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004). Paragraph 8 of PPS22 states that:

"Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design" (our emphasis). It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of a site's development as identified in PPS22, as well as the RSS targets.

General comments:

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2405 Surname: MOLNAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17693
Option: GENERAL Organisation: For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: The percentage of on-site renewable energy that will need to be provided within future residential and non-residential developments will be specified in the Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS). Although the Examination Panel has made recommendations to Government on the wording of RSS Policy RE5, this recommendation does not fully reflect national planning policy set out in PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004). Paragraph 8 of PPS22 states that: "Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design" it is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of a sites development as identified in PPS22, as well as the RSS targets.

Issue: CC2 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17794
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Desi)

Response:

General comments: We are aware of no evidence to support any Local Policy which should be different from National Policy or guidelines. Furthermore, National Policy or guidelines may well be revised during the life of any relevant DPD document. For both reasons it would be unsound to incorporate any Local Policy on this subject other than to require developments to follow current, national policies and guidelines.

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18230
Option: A Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2611 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29585
Option: A Organisation: Colehill Parish Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19963
Option: C Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust

Response:

General comments: Unless this cannot be achieved for some good reason and a specific off-site alternative contribuiton can be made

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11827
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00021
Option: C Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06581
Option: C Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03703
Option: C Organisation: Highclife Residents Association

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2392 Surname: FRANKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11357
Option: C Organisation: Twynham Housing Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0030 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13422
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15693
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15208
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34976
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED2259	Surname:	MAWBEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-02792
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED0042	Surname:	MAWBEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-13101
Option:	C	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED0296	Surname:	MEADOWS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20899
Option:	C	Organisation:	National Trust				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED2261	Surname:	MULLINER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-19006
Option:	C	Organisation:	Terence O'Rourke (representing Bloor Homes)				
Response:							
General comments:	<p>The glossary of the draft Regional Spatial Study for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 defines "larger scale developments" as comprising</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">> Residential development proposals of ten units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more> non residential development comprising the development of 1000 sq m of floor space, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger <p>Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22 Renewable Energy (September 2004) states that</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">> Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design. <p>It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site energy reflects the viability of development, as indicated in PPS22 as well as the site thresholds defined in the RSS</p>						

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED2260	Surname:	SOLOMON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-06107
Option:	C	Organisation:					
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED0036	Surname:	SPITTLE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-12519
Option:	C	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED1633	Surname:	STEPHENS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-25011
Option:	C	Organisation:	Stanpit & Mudeford Residents Association				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC3	Contact ID:	XED2184	Surname:	THOMPSON	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-19334
Option:	C	Organisation:	Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)				
Response:							
General comments:	<p>The glossary of the RSS published in April 2006 defines larger scale developments as comprising residential development proposals of ten units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more</p> <p>Non residential development comprising the development of 1000 sq m of floorspace, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger</p> <p>Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22 : Renewable energy (September 2004) states that</p> <p>Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location and design</p> <p>It is therefore important that any policy within the core Strategy on the provision of on site renewable energy reflects the viability of development, as indicated in PPS22 as well as the site thresholds defined in the RSS</p>						

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08324
Option: D Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)

Response: This should not be a requirement

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00370
Option: D Organisation:

Response: No on site renewables

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17152
Option: D Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)

Response:

General comments: Each development should be assessed on its merits

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14006
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: NIL

General comments: Solar energy to be encouraged but not enforced
Govt funds to be available

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27553
Option: D Organisation: West Moors Parish Council

Response:

General comments: I am not convinced of Global Warming.
Labour is supporting polluting energy plants all over the world

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2297 Surname: HOSKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03225
Option: D Organisation: Canford Estate (represented by Savills)

Response: Renewable energy provision should be sought on all new residential developments rather than using an arbitrary threshold for site size, however the policy should provide for a flexible approach that allows the circumstances of each development to be taken into consideration.

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30223
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Presently not practical due to cost and pay back time.

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2654 Surname: JASPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27140
Option: D Organisation: Waitrose (represented by Barton Willmore)

Response:

General comments: See response to CC2. There should be no requirement for "on-site" renewables

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19609
Option: D Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response:

General comments: As above, this issue is better resolved through central government owing to the potential constraints of achieving imposed targets and depending on the circumstances of each site, including viability of development

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04386
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Nil Govt assist funds energy saving should be encouraged

General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15971
Option: D Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response:
General comments: The threshold should be a minimum of 50 dwellings if it is to be economically viable

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07486
Option: D Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou)
Response: The glossary of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 defines 'larger scale developments' as comprising:
• residential development proposals often units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more
• non-residential development comprising the development of 1000 square metres of floorspace, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger.
Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004) states that:
"Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design" (our emphasis).
It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability.
General comments:

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17795
Option: D Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Desi)
Response:
General comments: We are aware of no evidence to support any Local Policy which should be different from National Policy or guidelines. Furthermore, National Policy or guidelines may well be revised during the life of any relevant DPD document. For both reasons it would be unsound to incorporate any Local Policy on this subject other than to require developments to follow current, national policies and guidelines.

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22673
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: The threshold should be much greater than 10. At least 50

Issue: CC3	Contact ID: XED1432	Surname: CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03375
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)		
Response:	Thresholds for residential developments should not be set. Given the requirement for all new homes to be "zero carbon" by 2016, that target should be phased in within the LDF . Waiting for 8 years is a luxury we cannot afford. Investment in R&D for new technology to reduce carbon emissions is essential and must be driven by stringent standards.		
General comments:			

Issue: CC3	Contact ID: XED2328	Surname: JENKINS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06767
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Dorset County Council		
Response:	Invalid response - all options ticked		
General comments:			

Issue: CC3	Contact ID: XED2309	Surname: MILLER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03509
Option: GENERAL	Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)		
Response:	The glossary of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 defines 'larger scale developments' as comprising: <ul style="list-style-type: none">• residential development proposals of ten units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more• non-residential development comprising the development of 1000 square metres of floorspace, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger. Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004) states that: "Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design" (our emphasis). It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of development, as indicated in PPS22, as well as the site thresholds defined in the RSS.		
General comments:			

Issue: CC3 Contact ID: XED2405 Surname: MOLNAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17694
Option: GENERAL Organisation: For Persimmon & Banner Homes (represented by Terence O'Rourke)

Response:

General comments: The glossary of the draft RSS published in April 2006 defines "larger scale developments" as comprising: residential development proposals of ten units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more non-residential development comprising the development of 1000 square metres of floorspace, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger. Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004) states that:

"Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design"

It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of a sites development as identified in PPS22, as well as the site thresholds defined in the RSS.

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18231
Option: A Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2611 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29586
Option: A Organisation: Colehill Parish Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0976 Surname: BANTOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01326
Option: A Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33466
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05687
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05057
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05356
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10624
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22674
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34805
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31565
Option: A Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26746
Option: B Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0273 Surname: BRADBURY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29818
Option: B Organisation: Vale of Allen Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28997
Option: C Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED1633 Surname: STEPHENS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25012
Option: C Organisation: Stanpit & Mundeford Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08857
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2322 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06043
Option: C Organisation: Urban Heaths Partnership
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16895
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19083
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: All developments should be included

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08325
Option: D Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: This should not be a requirement
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06366
Option: D Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: This should not be a requirement under planning
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00371
Option: D Organisation:
Response: No on site renewables
General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21034
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: No lower limit

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03376
Option: D Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: There should be no lower limit for non-residential development.

General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19964
Option: D Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust

Response:

General comments: Unless this cannot be achieved for some good reason and a specific off-site alternative contribuiton can be made

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0030 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13423
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: No threshold

General comments:

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15694
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response:

General comments: No threshold

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15209
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: No threshold

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17153
Option: D Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response:
General comments: No opinion

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14007
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Nil
General comments: Besides solar use tidal and wave energy

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30224
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: see CC2 & CC3

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED2066 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25355
Option: D Organisation: Burton Parish Council
Response:
General comments: no threshold

Issue: CC4 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25651
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: no threshold

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED1161	Surname:	MATHER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-04387
Option:	D	Organisation:					
Response:	Nil solar energy encourage						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED0042	Surname:	MAWBEY	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-13102
Option:	D	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	no threshold						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED1529	Surname:	MELLETT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-15972
Option:	D	Organisation:	Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)				
Response:							
General comments:	The requirement for on-site renewables should be assessed on a site-by-site basis. The circumstances of individual sites will also render certain types of renewable energy inappropriate, especially when considering on-site renewable energy provision when it was first developed.						

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED2325	Surname:	MILLER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-07487
Option:	D	Organisation:	Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou				
Response:	<p>The glossary of the draft Regional Spatial Strategy for the South West (RSS) published in April 2006 defines 'larger scale developments' as comprising:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• residential development proposals of ten units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more• non-residential development comprising the development of 1000 square metres of floorspace, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger. <p>Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22: Renewable Energy (September 2004) states that:</p> <p>"Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on-site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on-site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location, and design" (our emphasis).</p> <p>It is therefore important that any policy within the Core Strategy on the provision of on-site renewable energy reflects the viability of development, as indicated in PPS22, as well as the site thresholds defined in the RSS.</p>						
General comments:							

Issue: CC4	Contact ID: XED0018	Surname: NOTTAGE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12208
Option: D	Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council		
Response: CHP domestic town			
General comments:			

Issue: CC4	Contact ID: XED0036	Surname: SPITTLE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12520
Option: D	Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council		
Response: no threshold			
General comments:			

Issue: CC4	Contact ID: XED2184	Surname: THOMPSON	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19342
Option: D	Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)		
Response:			
General comments:			
<p>The glossary of the RSS published in April 2006 defines larger scale developments as comprising residential development proposals of ten units or more, or the development of houses on sites of 0.5 hectares or more</p> <p>Non residential development comprising the development of 1000 sq m of floorspace, or the development of sites of 1 hectare or larger</p> <p>Whilst it may be desirable to identify a threshold for smaller scale developments, paragraph 8 of PPS22 : Renewable energy (September 2004) states that</p> <p>Local planning authorities may include policies in local development documents that require a percentage of the energy to be used in new residential, commercial or industrial developments to come from on site renewable energy developments. Such policies should ensure that requirement to generate on site renewable energy is only applied to developments where the installation of renewable energy generation equipment is viable given the type of development proposed, its location and design</p> <p>It is therefore important that any policy within the core Strategy on the provision of on site renewable energy reflects the viability of development, as indicated in PPS22 as well as the site thresholds defined in the RSS</p>			

Issue: CC4	Contact ID: XED2394	Surname: WILKES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12842
Option: D	Organisation:		
Response: No threshold			
General comments:			

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED2296	Surname:	WRIGHT	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-03179
Option:	D	Organisation:	Highcliffe Residents Association				
Response:	All (of the above)						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED2328	Surname:	JENKINS	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-06768
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Dorset County Council				
Response:	Invalid response - all options ticked						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC4	Contact ID:	XED2248	Surname:	PATRICK	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-17796
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Desi				
Response:							
General comments:	We are aware of no evidence to support any Local Policy which should be different from National Policy or guidelines. Furthermore, National Policy or guidelines may well be revised during the life of any relevant DPD document. For both reasons it would be unsound to incorporate any Local Policy on this subject other than to require developments to follow current, national policies and guidelines.						

Issue:	CC5	Contact ID:	XED1945	Surname:	EDE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-13686
Option:	A	Organisation:	Highcliffe Residents Association				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC5	Contact ID:	XED0038	Surname:	FOX	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-14423
Option:	A	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	Agree						
General comments:							

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04388
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13103
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12209
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28235
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Christchurch & Wimborne town centres

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28013
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03180
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26747
Option: B Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2611 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29587
Option: B Organisation: Colehill Parish Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18232
Option: B Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning

Response:

General comments: Areas of sensitive or high landscape value

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02533
Option: B Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32134
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34228
Option: B Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02794
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20901
Option: B Organisation: National Trust

Response:

General comments: Listed buildings, Conservation areas

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34504
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12210
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27220
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28236
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments: Christchurch & Wimborne town centres

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0224 Surname: SHAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35781
Option: B Organisation: Hurn Parish Council

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2607 Surname: SHRUBB Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28014
Option: B Organisation:

Response: Agree

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06114
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Not appropriate in all areas
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28998
Option: B Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22676
Option: B Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Should not be a requirement

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23358
Option: B Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response: Agree
General comments: in intrusive frontage positions

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0113 Surname: TONG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01624
Option: B Organisation: Working in Partnership
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17850
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00373
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10983
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21035
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01961
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Inappropriate unless within sea.

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0023 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15388
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: These would be completely inappropriate

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34229
Option: C Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14930
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07760
Option: C Organisation: William Lester Architects
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18550
Option: C Organisation: Natural England
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12211
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27221
Option: C Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16267
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: residential areas
Areas of SSSI
areas of outstanding natural beauty

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0027 Surname: PETRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10467
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28237
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: Hengistbury Head

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1633 Surname: STEPHENS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25013
Option: C Organisation: Stanpit & Mudeford Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2229 Surname: SYLVESTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00902
Option: C Organisation: Dorset Agenda 21
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22677
Option: C Organisation:
Response:
General comments: No where environmentally destructive

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2323 Surname: THOMAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06108
Option: C Organisation: IBEX
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23359
Option: C Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response: Yes
General comments: not in or visible to conservation areas

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34807
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04720
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31056
Option: C Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31566
Option: C Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07707
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23561
Option: D Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response:
General comments: this one is inappropriate

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10628
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05359
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14009
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06770
Option: D Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14931
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0023 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15389
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: While in principle these might be acceptable they need to be used with great care because of the pollution - smells noise etc - if used close to housing areas

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18551
Option: D Organisation: Natural England
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2391 Surname: LUCAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11269
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04389
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12212
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0027 Surname: PETRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10468
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments: C & S near to housing / schools

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2718 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32942
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0224 Surname: SHAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35783
Option: D Organisation: Hurn Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29000
Option: D Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22678
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Should be no requirement

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23360
Option: D Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response: Agree
General comments: not in town centres

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32454
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07708
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26905
Option: E Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0038 Surname: FOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14424
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2392 Surname: FRANKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11360
Option: E Organisation: Twynham Housing Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0030 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13424
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments: wave or tide

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15696
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10629
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04103
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25653
Option: E Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2612 Surname: JEFFERIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29919
Option: E Organisation: D C C
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06771
Option: E Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18552
Option: E Organisation: Natural England
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29001
Option: E Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2314 Surname: STOKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03827
Option: E Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22679
Option: E Organisation:
Response:
General comments: no where they can be seen

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23361
Option: E Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors
Response: Agree
General comments: by agreement on positioning

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0290 Surname: TIMBERLAKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17659
Option: E Organisation: Campaign to Protect Rural England (East Dorset and Christchurch Group)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22680
Option: F Organisation: Response:
General comments: no where they can be seen

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1892 Surname: THORNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23362
Option: F Organisation: Thornes Chartered Surveyors Response:
General comments: no problems on its visibility but may be problems on space around buildings particularly listed properties

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32455
Option: F Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04721
Option: F Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12845
Option: F Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22149

Response:

General comments: See previous comments - such schemes should only be considered where good technical and economic cases can be supported

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED3035 Surname: ABBOTT Organisation: Home Builders Federation Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37221

Response: Zero carbon homes by 2016 will be achieved through incorporating a range of energy efficient measures into new homes and renewable energy technology from both on site and off site sources. The council should therefore not give favour to a particular type of renewable energy source over another.

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Organisation: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07710

Response: Wave action at Christchurch area using the tidal action of the sea

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Organisation: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19085

Response:

General comments: Many small clusters of wind turbines (6 or less) should be considered as per Denmark

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0299 Surname: BLOOMFIELD Organisation: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23382

Response:

General comments: In all cases regard must be had to environmental impacts on designated habitats and protected species. Wind farms in particular can raise significant issues for birds

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20419
Option: G Organisation: Dorset County Council

Response:

General comments: Subject to landscape and environmental impacts

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08326
Option: G Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)

Response: All need to be subject to planning. All have a visual impact

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01963
Option: G Organisation:

Response: Incineration plants

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26907
Option: G Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Christchurch (Mudford)

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36058
Option: G Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Mudford

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01840
Option: G Organisation:
Response: North Wimborne/Colehill
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19966
Option: G Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust
Response:
General comments: Any of the above may be inappropriate in very specific locations - eg where construction would harm important sites for biodiversity, these should be avoided and alternative sites found - this may mean moving a development only a few metres away to avoid important features

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11832
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: urban areas
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07191
Option: G Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: B & C not appropriate E unlikely Photo voltaic and heat pumps most likely to be used
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00024
Option: G Organisation:
Response: Anywhere in Xch or ED, they are an eyesore and their efficiency is poor
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2327 Surname: DODSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06583
Option: G Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Solar panels and wind turbines in conservation areas
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13689
Option: G Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: B - noise and damage to local environment
G - tidal is the obvious one
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23168
Option: G Organisation: Traves James
Response:
General comments: Considered on a case by case basis

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0038 Surname: FOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14426
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: plus tide & wave power CHP?
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0017 Surname: GRIFFITHS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15698
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: Wave & tide power
Combined heat & power

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15210
Option: G Organisation:
Response:
General comments: None of these forms of renewable energy can yet be deemed inappropriate out of hand. While truly large scale wind farms may be inappropriate, it is possible that modest schemes may be found to be acceptable after full environmental assessment including effects on wildlife, particularly bats and birds, from the turbines and all support works.

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14011
Option: G Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: wave and tidal power where feasible near coasts like Christchurch
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04105
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Tide power , domestic CHP
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25656
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: wave & tide

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2066 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25359
Option: G Organisation: Burton Parish Council
Response:
General comments: Tide & wave

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2654 Surname: JASPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27142
Option: G Organisation: Waitrose (represented by Barton Willmore)
Response:
General comments: See response to CC2. Within conservation areas it may be inappropriate to visually provide renewable energy apparatus

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0023 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15390
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response:
General comments: Tidal/wave power would be very appropriate

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14932
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: NB Biomass can cause great problems when sited near homes
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18553
Option: G Organisation: Natural England

Response:

General comments: There are some types of renewable energy development that can adversely impact on areas protected for their landscape or nature conservation interest. Scale, position and form are important, as well as any associated infrastructure such as construction and service roads, buildings and transmission lines. It is thus rather complex to provide advice on what types would be inappropriate where in the Core Strategy area.

Large scale wind farms and, depending on positioning, even single turbines, will be inappropriate where they affect the interest of a designated nature conservation site or the landscape character of an AONB. The effect may be on a protected area outside the Strategy area e.g. in Hampshire. Thus, in relation to the natural environment, large scale wind farms will be least appropriate in the AONBs, the area of the Dorset heathlands and Christchurch Harbour and the Avon Valley. The positioning of turbines may also be inappropriate in relation to other nature conservation sites, protected species and areas of local landscape importance.

Biomass generation is a very diverse field with different forms involving different impacts (both positive and negative) on the natural environment. Some biomass energy types could assist landscape character and nature conservation, for example by bringing historically cropped broadleaved woodland back into appropriate management, expanding these woods and using waste wood from conifer forestry on the heathland forests. Agricultural biomass crops raise issues on agricultural crop changes potentially affecting landscape character, farmland birds (a government target to reverse decline) and sustaining agricultural systems necessary for the maintenance of protected nature conservation sites. Across all types the energy generation raises issues of emissions from combustion, especially nitrogen, buildings and infrastructure. In general large scale biomass cropping will be least appropriate on the open chalk in the north east part of East Dorset if it replaces cereal cropping (due to impact on farmland birds) and emissions are a significant issue close to the Dorset heathlands.

Hydro-power can harm the nature conservation interest of watercourses through impoundment and as a barrier to aquatic species movement. Scale and design type are important. In general the opportunity to provide hydro power and meet statutory requirements on protection of the environment will be most difficult on the designated river SSSIs (Moors and Avon).

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19611
Option: G Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response:

General comments: Greater consideration should be given to producing energy from waste which can be undertaken in rural areas where disturbance to residents is minimal

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14675
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Wave & tide power
C.H.P.

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02796
Option: G Organisation:

Response: Urban areas would have their character affected adversely by a proliferation of wind turbines.

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15973
Option: G Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)

Response:

General comments: The appropriateness of different types of renewable energy should be assessed on a site by site basis

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07488
Option: G Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou

Response: All are potentially viable, subject to local and site specific issues

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12215
Option: G Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: High insulation material for building CHP domestic

General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27223
Option: G Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response:

General comments: practically everywhere in East Dorset is inappropriate for B C & E

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17797
Option: G Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Desi)
Response:
General comments: We are aware of no evidence to support any Local Policy which should be different from National Policy or guidelines. Furthermore, National Policy or guidelines may well be revised during the life of any relevant DPD document. For both reasons it would be unsound to incorporate any Local Policy on this subject other than to require developments to follow current, national policies and guidelines.

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08712
Option: G Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: depends on site size and design to provide best solution
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03183
Option: G Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Larger scale wind turbines may be acceptable in East Dorset however not appropriate in urban Christchurch
General comments:

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED0957 Surname: BISSEX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34034
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: climate change is so important an issue it overrides residents views about views although more use should be made of smaller wind turbines

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03377
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)
Response: On shore wind turbines should only be considered in industrial locations where there is no impact on pedestrians, cyclists, horse riders, birds, bats, radar and where the power that they harness can be used on site or fed back into the National Grid without the high energy and raw material cost of further large pylons which blight our landscape.
Tidal power should be considered where it will have no adverse impact on sensitive river estuary/marine habitats.
General comments:

Issue: CC5 **Contact ID:** XED2305 **Surname:** FARROW **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-03367
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** De Vere Hotels (represented by Nathaniel Lichfield & Partners)

Response: As set out above, De Vere considers that focussing on specific renewable energy technologies is contrary to the requirement within paragraph 26 (i) of PPS: Planning and Climate Change to avoid being overly prescriptive on what decentralised and renewable or low-carbon energy sources are appropriate. As such, De Vere considers that it would be contrary to Government requirements to include a policy in the Core Strategy on the appropriateness or otherwise of certain technologies. This should be left to the individual developer to ascertain what technologies are most appropriate for each site's context and at the time of development. It is considered inappropriate to include such a prescriptive policy in the Core Strategy when technological development is advancing at such a rapid pace that such a policy is likely to become quickly out-of-date. Such an approach is clearly supported in paragraph 30 of PPS Planning and Climate Change where it states:
"... planning policies should support innovation and investment in sustainable buildings and should not, unless there are exceptional reasons, deter novel or cutting-edge developments"

General comments:

Issue: CC5 **Contact ID:** XED0464 **Surname:** HELICAR **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-34978
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response:

General comments: anything other than nuclear is OK with me as long as there are no dangerous burning outputs/contaminations.
Wind turbines are beautiful, domestic ones need to be more efficient - they don't produce enough energy. If they did I'd have three

Issue: CC5 **Contact ID:** XED2025 **Surname:** HOLDEN **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-29229
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** East Dorset District Council

Response:

General comments: Appropriateness will vary from site to site, all of the above will be inappropriate at varying locations and all will be appropriate at others. This is a nonsense question

Issue: CC5 **Contact ID:** XED2691 **Surname:** SMITH **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31028
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council

Response:

General comments: Nothing would be inappropriate that has been listed

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08859
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Protected landscapes

Issue: CC5 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22540
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment Agency
Response:
General comments: General Comments - Whilst renewable energy development is not necessary inappropriate when considering the environmental constraints for development, the following should be considered:
• Flood Zones – Planning Policy Statement 25 (PPS25) requires the Sequential Test to be demonstrated for proposals other than those that meet the description in footnote 7 of the PPS and Change of Use. The sequential test applies to all stages of planning, its aim is to steer all new development to areas at lowest probability of flooding.
• Various consents/licensing will be required for some of the proposed renewable energy options.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22150
Option: A Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26749
Option: A Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06400
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0957 Surname: BISSEX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34035
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20420
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2594 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24583
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02535
Option: A Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2332 Surname: BRADFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07577
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED1342	Surname: CANTLIE	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10984
Option: A	Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust		
Response: Disagree			
General comments:			

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED1432	Surname: CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03378
Option: A	Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)		
Response: Disagree			
General comments:			
<p>It is assumed that the effectiveness of flood defence schemes will be quantified in the Strategic Flood Risk Assessments (SFRA). Further efforts must be made to reduce the risk of flood to people and buildings.</p> <p>Development in areas of flood will add to the problems. We must make space for water in our soils and river catchments. The policies on sustainable urban drainage are fine as far as they go but limits should be set for hard landscaping including new roads, loss of water from roofs/gutters (grey water), run-off due to soil degradation (eg heavy vehicles compacting soil in agriculture and forestry operations). The principles of SuDS should be applied to all new roads, pavements and public and private car parks. New approaches are likely to be needed to deal with flash floods resulting from increasing bouts of exceptionally heavy rainfall.</p> <p>The Environment TAG would welcome feedback from the SFRAs as these studies should also inform nature conservation strategies in the Avon/Stour catchments. If the Councils are minded to permit any flood plain development, flood resistant/resilient measures must be incorporated to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • protect life, • safeguard property and contents, • minimise cost of recovery/repair (including energy costs), and • ensure that property can be insured now and in the future. 			

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED2410	Surname: CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21036
Option: A	Organisation:		
Response: Disagree			
General comments:			

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2524 Surname: CLAYTON-PAINE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24432
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26908
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments: can an assessment PROVE anything

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2315 Surname: COOLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04013
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36059
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0946 Surname: COSSINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01841
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11833
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07192
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0275 Surname: DAYMOND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09366
Option: A Organisation: Verwood Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05689
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00025
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2597 Surname: DORNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24682
Option: A Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13690
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03705
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1082 Surname: EDWARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10035
Option: A Organisation: West Parley Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2647 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26458
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17154
Option: A Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34979
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01072
Option: A Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14012
Option: A Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29231
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35414
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2256 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02385
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2338 Surname: LAWSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08643
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19612
Option: A Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14676
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30688
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04391
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13107
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02797
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20903
Option: A Organisation: National Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07489
Option: A Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2309 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03510
Option: A Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2257 Surname: MORGAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02455
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21393
Option: A Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12216
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27224
Option: A Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23049
Option: A Organisation: White Young Green
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16268
Option: A Organisation:
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2577 Surname: PAYNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24346
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2226 Surname: PIENAAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00630
Option: A Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hants Water
Response: Agree
General comments: Use innovative building techniques to reduce risk to properties ie living areas of houses above a determined height

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28238
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1180 Surname: RAYNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28580
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0866 Surname: RILEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00282
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2715 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32675
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2718 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32944
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08713
Option: A Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: Agree
General comments: Do not allocate land known to flood

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04722
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12846
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08027
Option: A Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31567
Option: A Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26750
Option: B Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06401
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17418
Option: B Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18235
Option: B Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2611 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29590
Option: B Organisation: Colehill Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2332 Surname: BRADFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07578
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2603 Surname: BROOKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24832
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26301
Option: B Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2600 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24748
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1342 Surname: CANTLIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10985
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Conservation Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36060
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33203
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33470
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20096
Option: B Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2743 Surname: CROMBIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35709
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11834
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07193
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0275 Surname: DAYMOND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09367
Option: B Organisation: Verwood Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05690
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00026
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2597 Surname: DORNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24683
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03706
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1082 Surname: EDWARDS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10036
Option: B Organisation: West Parley Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0911 Surname: ELCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26554
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23170
Option: B Organisation: Traves James Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15212
Option: B Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17155
Option: B Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34980
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01073
Option: B Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14013
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29232
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27777
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27557
Option: B Organisation: West Moors Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30227
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32137
Option: B Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2256 Surname: LAMBERT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02386
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19613
Option: B Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14677
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30689
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04392
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07490
Option: B Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2257 Surname: MORGAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02456
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21396
Option: B Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12217
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2034 Surname: PACKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27225
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23050
Option: B Organisation: White Young Green
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1498 Surname: PARKE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09426
Option: B Organisation: Ken Parke Planning Consultants
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16269
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2577 Surname: PAYNE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24348
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2593 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24509
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2663 Surname: PROWSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28239
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1180 Surname: RAYNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28581
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0866 Surname: RILEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00283
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2715 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32676
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2718 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32945
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12847
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08028
Option: B Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31568
Option: B Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26751
Option: C Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07711
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2377 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09834
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06402
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2345 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09592
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17419
Option: C Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18236
Option: C Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33204
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20097
Option: C Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2743 Surname: CROMBIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35710
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11835
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2347 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09602
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23171
Option: C Organisation: Traves James
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23564
Option: C Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05061
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10110
Option: C Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2402 Surname: FINNEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16575
Option: C Organisation: Holt Parish Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0036 Surname: SPITTLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12526
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0280 Surname: STARMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29005
Option: C Organisation: West Parley Parish Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2367 Surname: STEER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09751
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1633 Surname: STEPHENS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25016
Option: C Organisation: Stanpit & Mudeford Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2314 Surname: STOKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03828
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique
Option: Organisation: Response Ref:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34808
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12848
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2693 Surname: WILLETTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31057
Option: C Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08029
Option: C Organisation: Tanner & Tilley Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2702 Surname: WOOLF Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31569
Option: C Organisation: Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond PI Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03184
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22151
Option: D
Response:
General comments: Tend towards minimal development in such areas

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED3035 Surname: ABBOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37222
Option: D Organisation: Home Builders Federation
Response: The HBF consider that a Flood Risk Assessment should be undertaken prior to considering any policy which guides development in relation to the flood plain. PPS25 sets out national policy in relation to flooding and therefore the HBF would question the need for any policy relating to development on the floodplain as it would fail to add value and would be duplicating national guidance.
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0957 Surname: BISSEX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34038
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: no building in floodplains

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0299 Surname: BLOOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23383
Option: D Organisation: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
Response:
General comments: development must not only demonstrate flood risk will not increase in the short term, but also in the longer term. There must be a strong presumption against development in areas of flood risk or in areas which exacerbate the risks elsewhere. Opportunities for positive flood management measures should also be taken, such as the restoration of naturally functioning flood plains

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED2060	Surname: EVANS	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23172
Option: D	Organisation: Traves James		
Response:			
General comments:	Interesting design solutions can be sought to overcome concerns of flood risk		

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED2557	Surname: HAVELOCK	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23510
Option: D	Organisation:		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>Should flood risk be considered as of paramount importance then the Core Strategy/Site Allocation DPD should identify greenfield development sites beyond the floodplains. In addition the Core Strategy should, as is stated in the text, have regard for the likelihood of increased flood risk in the future, therefore the identification of greenfield urban extension sites at Cuthbury Allotments and West Parley should be appraised against the likelihood that they will be increasingly vulnerable to flooding as both are in low lying areas adjacent to the river Stour.</p> <p>The statement in the paragraph "However because available developable land in Christchurch and East Dorset is so scarce, we must consider whether it is appropriate to build on land currently at risk of flooding" does not appear to be taking account of the potential of greenfield land at Corfe Mullen beyond the floodplains to deliver the housing requirements of the RSS</p>		

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED2396	Surname: HODGES	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14015
Option: D	Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association		
Response: flood risk will increase			
General comments:			

Issue: CC6	Contact ID: XED2654	Surname: JASPER	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27144
Option: D	Organisation: Waitrose (represented by Barton Willmore)		
Response:			
General comments:	<p>Flooding needs to be considered on a site by site basis. The completion of a Strategic Flood Risk Assessment is important for informing decisions on the broad allocation of land for development. However, this should not preclude more detailed flood risk assessments being undertaken in relation to a specific site to demonstrate the individual characteristics of the site in relation to flooding. Similarly, where the risk of flooding is identified on a site the SFRA should not prevent mitigation being incorporated to overcome the risk of flooding.</p> <p>A balanced judgement weighing the relative merits of seeking to avoid development in the floodplain and the local characteristics of the site needs to be made and policy should allow for this.</p>		

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19615
Option: D Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response:

General comments: Whilst flood risk is a material planning consideration, it is one of many material considerations and should be balanced accordingly

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12219
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: no flood plain development

General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23052
Option: D Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments: In relation to flood risk, PPS 25 is clear that the Local Authority should undertake a sequential test before allocating sites for development and on the basis of information obtained through the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment process.

However the Core Strategy identifies a number of potential options for development around Christchurch and Wimborne and respondents are invited to comment on these. There are flooding issues in and around Wimborne and therefore comments are invited on the basis of incomplete information. The majority of potential respondents cannot make informed responses in the absence of an SFRA.

The reason for this comment is that PPS25 has initiated a very rigorous regime of site selection such that even if a particular direction of growth is to be preferred but is in a higher risk area then the Environment Agency may raise significant objections.

PPS25 is an important material consideration. The PPS provides for the application of the Exception test, where there may be valid reasons for development type which is not entirely compatible with the level of flood risk at a particular site. However the scale of development proposed at the urban extensions is such that a lower risk area should be selected.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1601 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24292
Option: D Organisation: Seaward Properties Limited (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: The first priority should be to allocate sites outside of areas at risk from flooding. The statement in the paragraph 'However because available developable land in Christchurch and East Dorset is so scarce, we must consider whether it is appropriate to build on land currently at risk of flooding' does not appear to be taking account of the potential of greenfield sites beyond the floodplains to deliver the housing requirements of the RSS.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1514 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24216
Option: D Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: The statement in the paragraph 'However because available developable land in Christchurch and East Dorset is so scarce, we must consider whether it is appropriate to build on land currently at risk of flooding' does not appear to be taking account of the potential of greenfield sites beyond the floodplains to deliver the housing requirements of the RSS. By allowing for such development there will, apart from within the present defended flood plain in the urban areas, be no requirement to build in floodplains. Should flood risk be considered as of paramount importance then the Core Strategy/Site Allocation DPD should identify greenfield development sites beyond the floodplains. In addition the Core Strategy should, as is stated in the text, have regard for the likelihood of increased flood risk into the future, therefore the identification of greenfield urban extension sites at Cuthbury Allotments and West Parley should be appraised against the likelihood that they will be increasingly vulnerable to flooding as both are in low lying areas adjacent to the River Stour. Other greenfield sites such as Holmwood Park, South Ferndown will not have these issues to contest with.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1314 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24147
Option: D Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Within Christchurch Borough a green field development site exists outside the flood risk area at Marsh Lane. The statement in the paragraph 'However because available developable land in Christchurch and East Dorset is so scarce, we must consider whether it is appropriate to build on land currently at risk of flooding' does not appear to be taking account of the potential of greenfield sites beyond the floodplains to deliver the housing requirements of the RSS.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23893
Option: D Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: The text appears to be suggesting that land available for development is 'scarce' beyond floodplains. As drafted the wording is not taking account of the potential of greenfield sites beyond the floodplains to deliver the employment requirements of the RSS. By allowing for such development there will, apart from within the present defended flood plain in the urban areas, be no requirement to build in floodplains. Should flood risk be considered as of paramount importance then the Core Strategy/Site Allocation DPD should identify greenfield development sites beyond the floodplains.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22604
Option: D Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: The statement in the paragraph "However because available developable land in Christchurch and East Dorset is so scarce, we must consider whether it is appropriate to build on land currently at risk of flooding" does not appear to be taking account of the potential of greenfield sites beyond the floodplains to deliver the housing requirements of the RSS. By allowing for such development there will, apart from within the present defended floodplain in the urban areas, be no requirement to build in floodplains. Should flood risk be considered of paramount importance then the Core Strategy/Site Allocation DPD should identify greenfield development sites beyond the floodplains. In addition the Core Strategy should, as is stated in the text, have regard for the likelihood of increased flood risk into the future, therefore the identification of greenfield urban extension sites at Cuthbury Allotments and West Parley should be appraised against the likelihood that they will be increasingly vulnerable to flooding as both are in low lying areas adjacent to the River Stour. Other greenfield sites such as Leigh Lane, Colehill will not have these issues to contend with

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2248 Surname: PATRICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17798
Option: D Organisation: Harry J Palmer & Perry Family Trust (represented by Pro Vision Planning and Design)

Response:

General comments: None of the potential strategic urban extensions or non-strategic urban extensions are in areas of flood risk as identified on the Environment Agency flood maps. There is no evidence that the residential or commercial development needs of East Dorset, as identified (so far) by the RSS process, nor any likely community needs, would require land in areas of flood risk to be developed for buildings. Virtually all previously developed land in built up areas are out of the floodplain (or in the case of Sturminster Marshall and central Wimborne) protected.

It is accepted the situation is more complex in Christchurch, Burton and Somerford, on which Harry J Palmer Holdings Ltd and the Perry Family Trust have no views.

Logic suggests that a sound policy would state that no development should take place in the areas of flood risk except:

- i. POS adjacent to built development (outside the floodplain).
- ii. PDL where the need for redevelopment outweighs the situation in the floodplain and an FRA demonstrates clearly that there would be no increase in the level of risk
- iii. Agricultural, horticultural, minerals or utilities development which cannot be located elsewhere.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2593 Surname: PRICE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24511
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Agree but with improved flood defence infrastructure

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22684
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Should be allowed if mitigation measures can be provided. If it is an area which will be protected anyway ie existing dev then it should be allowed

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2339 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08860
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Yes but only if needs cannot be met elsewhere AND flood risk will not increase as a result

General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2316 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04090
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No, because it's a really bad idea because it is going to flood!

General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2379 Surname: ALLSOPP Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09842
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes, as long as flood defences are built

General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2266 Surname: ANON 1 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03099
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: No definitely not. That would be irresponsible. Utilise existing empty properties and relax planning restrictions. Encourage local schools to sell areas of playing fields that are not used by them.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2275 Surname: ANON 10 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03164
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: No. Not only is the new housing put at flood risk but the loss of land to soak up water puts nearby homes at risk that weren't before

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2268 Surname: ANON 3 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03109
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Absolutely not. Look at all the problems last year country wide. Insurance for these properties is impossible to get without heavy penalties.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2269 Surname: ANON 4 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03117
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Definitely not

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2270 Surname: ANON 5 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03125
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: No! Flood plains allow for natural drainage. Concrete does not.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2271 Surname: ANON 6 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03133
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Yes - provided on stilts to allow passage of water underneath buildings if floods.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2272 Surname: ANON 7 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03141
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: No! That's totally unacceptable & householders wouldn't be able to get insurance anyway.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2273 Surname: ANON 8 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03148
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: No! Too many people here already

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2274 Surname: ANON 9 Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03156
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Less of 2 evils - no choice until flood plain runs out as alternative is town cramming of greenfield sites - if on f. plain then design to minimise flood risk & inventive approach to housing design needed ... easy then.

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2358 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09680
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Most definitely not, building on flood plains moves the problem and there are natural flood plains for a reason
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2361 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09703
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No, as the cost if it floods to all is too much and the distress it would cause is also demonstrated by recent events - why risk it?
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2317 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04379
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: How dare you think of building on flood risk areas. Where will these people find an insurance company prepared to sell them an insurance to cover homes buildt on flood risk areas (I guess NON)
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2386 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09893
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: It would be foolish in the long term
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2382 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09866
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: You ARE joking of course!
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2381 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09858
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No No No
Continue to permit - you should be ashamed if you have been permitting it.
Irresponsible planning.
Simply building up (literally) future problems
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2355 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09655
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Only with full risk assessment & protection, including downstream
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2399 Surname: BOLD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15378
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Planning is still passing plans for infill in West Christchurch but when existing properties try to get a cheaper house insurance we are turned down as we are in a flood plain area - madness

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2349 Surname: BULLEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09617
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Who dreamt this one up!
Of course not.
Do you fancy paying £200,000 to £300,000 for a house only rto find it gets flooded.
Come on, get some common sense.
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2372 Surname: BURGESS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09788
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No, would you buy a house which insurers would not insure due to flood risk
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2307 Surname: BURR (OBE) Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03369
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: I think that would be unwise [re continuing to permit development in the flood plain]
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2384 Surname: BURSLEM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09879
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Again this relates to building flats which are surrounded with parking spaces. It's not rocket science to realise that you need green space for water to be absorbed
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2385 Surname: DENT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09887
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Not under any circumstances
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2352 Surname: DUQUEMIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09640
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: let developers and buyers/users take the risk but protect greenfield sites
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2360 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09696
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No, unless designed specifically to not be damaged in flooding
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2359 Surname: HOWELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09688
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: yes, but design on the assumption it will flood
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2376 Surname: INSCOE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09827
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes provided the foundations are right & the houses take note of what is needed. loof at Bray in Berkshire
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2373 Surname: MAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09796
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: NO! water meadows exist to embrace flood water so are culverts and weirs
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2364 Surname: MUSGRAVE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09727
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: It must be possible to design houses raised above the flood water level & design roads to match. Houses on stilts may sound crazy - but why not find a suitable design?
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2357 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09672
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No, with the current concern about climate change this does not make any sense
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2363 Surname: PENN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09719
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No. These areas are a safety value in times of flood and our best means of flood defence without expensive structures, also they are a source for wild life & beauty which is a feature of Christchurch
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2369 Surname: STANTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09766
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No, insurance companies will not insure these. Will the council accept full responsibility for all damage and replacement costs?
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2375 Surname: WAKEFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09819
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2374 Surname: WARREN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09811
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2370 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09773
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22542
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment Agency
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2258 Surname: WOODWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09803
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC6 Contact ID: XED2356 Surname: WYATT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09664
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Definitely NOT
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22152
Option: A Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26752
Option: A Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17420
Option: A Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18237
Option: A Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2309 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03513
Option: A Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07492
Option: A Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21400
Option: A Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12220
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2401 Surname: PARKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16271
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7	Contact ID: XED0156	Surname: BODELL	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20424
Option: B	Organisation: Dorset County Council		
Response: Disagree			
General comments:			

Issue: CC7	Contact ID: XED1947	Surname: BROWN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26304
Option: B	Organisation: Sibbett Gregory		
Response: Agree			
General comments:			

Issue: CC7	Contact ID: XED1432	Surname: CHITTENDEN	Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03381
Option: B	Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)		
Response: Agree			
General comments:	<p>Measures to ensure no further risk of flood must be incorporated in all new development. Detailed assessment of the impact of the flood prevention measures for any development should include assessment of impact on land and settlements downstream.</p> <p>Steep hillside development is likely to cause flooding problems that cannot be resolved by SuDS because of the rate of run-off. It is very doubtful if we are going to be able to get enough water underground. On more level ground, large swales will be needed alongside roads and the Environment Agency (SE Region) is recommending that at critical times roads should be able to take water and act as drainage channels to protect houses. It is not only houses on or close to flood plains that are at risk. There is a risk that existing town centre drains will be unable to cope with run-off from construction on steeper hillsides and the surrounding areas. Raised river levels and breaching of flood plains come later when the water has drained into them: this is when areas downstream are at risk.</p> <p>Protection of critical infrastructure from flooding must be incorporated into plans for the District and indeed the whole of South East Dorset ie power supplies, emergency services, water and sewage treatment works.</p>		

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26912
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20100
Option: B Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills) Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11837
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05693
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13693
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03709
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2060 Surname: EVANS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23174
Option: B Organisation: Traves James
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0206 Surname: FALCONBRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23566
Option: B Organisation: Ferndown Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05063
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2128 Surname: FINLAY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10112
Option: B Organisation: Holt Parish Plan Action Committee
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04395
Option: B Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15978
Option: B Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2309 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03514
Option: B Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07493
Option: B Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21402
Option: B Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19348
Option: B Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16901
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2230 Surname: WEBSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08714
Option: B Organisation: Barratt Homes
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04725
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08031
Option: B Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08330
Option: C Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26305
Option: C Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1864 Surname: COLLARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26913
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20101
Option: C Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11838
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2319 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05367
Option: C Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10636
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17159
Option: C Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14018
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2612 Surname: JEFFERIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29923
Option: C Organisation: D C C Response: Agree
General comments: n/a in view of previous answer

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19618
Option: C Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30693
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04396
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07494
Option: C Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2309 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03515
Option: C Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19349
Option: C Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16902
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04726
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08032
Option: C Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22154
Option: D
Response:
General comments: Only for high value/critical facilities

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19087
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: No development in flood plains

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02538
Option: D Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green
Response: new development should not be built where those new houses are built or where new buildings could create flood risk elsewhere
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17853
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: From my response to the key issue CC6 this question does not apply

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2719 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33205
Option: D Organisation:
Response:
General comments: in flood areas there should be no developments

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0180 Surname: DAVENPORT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19969
Option: D Organisation: Dorset Wildlife Trust
Response:
General comments: With the proviso that this should not be an excuse to locate development where it would otherwise not be allowed due to flood risk. It is equally important to instigate a programme of installing features which increase resilience into buildings which are unfortunately already located in the flood plain

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07196
Option: D Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Flood prevention just moves the flooding elsewhere
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05695
Option: D Organisation:
Response: There should be no development in flood areas as defined by the Environment Agency
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1225 Surname: FENNING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05065
Option: D Organisation:
Response: No developments in areas of flood risk
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0038 Surname: FOX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14430
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: discourage development on flood plain
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0030 Surname: GEARY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13429
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No development on flood plains
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27559
Option: D Organisation: West Moors Parish Council

Response:

General comments: do not allow development in flood plains

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2297 Surname: HOSKINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03228
Option: D Organisation: Canford Estate (represented by Savills)

Response: The measures incorporated should reflect the level and nature of the flood risk as determined through a flood risk assessment.

General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30229
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: no development at all in areas of flood risk i.e floodplains

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04109
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: No development in flood plain

General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2066 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25363
Option: D Organisation: Burton Parish Council

Response:

General comments: no development in flood plain

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25660
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response:

General comments: no development in flood plain

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2654 Surname: JASPER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27146
Option: D Organisation: Waitrose (represented by Barton Willmore)

Response:

General comments: See response to CC6

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0023 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15394
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response:

General comments: There should be no development in areas of flood danger

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14936
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: No development in flood plain - enough problems already

General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14679
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: No development on flood plain

General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02800
Option: D Organisation:

Response: Not applicable following CC6

General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20906
Option: D Organisation: National Trust

Response:

General comments: See answer to CC6 - no new development in flood plain. Existing development should be adapted to be more resilient

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15979
Option: D Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)

Response:

General comments: This is a matter for building regulations rather than planning

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1866 Surname: NEWMAN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33734
Option: D Organisation:

Response:

General comments: Don't build in the floodplain. These are there for a good reason and vital for wildlife.
You'll just get trouble eg run-off

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0018 Surname: NOTTAGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12221
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: "new developments in the flood plain" - has this already been decided!

General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2088 Surname: PACKHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23053
Option: D Organisation: White Young Green

Response:

General comments: In relation to flood risk, PPS 25 is clear that the Local Authority should undertake a sequential test before allocating sites for development and on the basis of information obtained through the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment process.

However the Core Strategy identifies a number of potential options for development around Christchurch and Wimborne and respondents are invited to comment on these. There are flooding issues in and around Wimborne and therefore comments are invited on the basis of incomplete information. The majority of potential respondents cannot make informed responses in the absence of an SFRA.

The reason for this comment is that PPS25 has initiated a very rigorous regime of site selection such that even if a particular direction of growth is to be preferred but is in a higher risk area then the Environment Agency may raise significant objections.

PPS25 is an important material consideration. The PPS provides for the application of the Exception test, where there may be valid reasons for development type which is not entirely compatible with the level of flood risk at a particular site. However the scale of development proposed at the urban extensions is such that a lower risk area should be selected.

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23894
Option: D Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Would be more sustainable to identify development sites which are not at risk from flooding, either now or due to future flooding probability, and incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) into the development. Without the release of greenfield sites for employment purposes it is very unlikely that SUDS can be incorporated due to the land take required

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1601 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24293
Option: D Organisation: Seaward Properties Limited (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: It is more sustainable to identify development sites which are not at risk from flooding, either now or due to future flooding probability, and incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) into the development. Without the release of greenfield sites it is very unlikely that SUDS can be incorporated due to the land take required.

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2554 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22605
Option: D Organisation: Capital Developments (Southern) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)
Response:
General comments: Would be more sustainable to identify development sites which are not at risk from flooding, either now or due to future flooding probability, and incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Sytems (SUDS) into the development. Without the release of greenfield sites it is very unlikely that SUDS can be incorporated due to the land take required.

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1314 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24150
Option: D Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)
Response:
General comments: Would be more sustainable to identify development sites which are not at risk from flooding, either now or due to future flooding probability, and incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) into the development. Without the release of greenfield sites it is very unlikely that SUDS can be incorporated due to the land take required.

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1514 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24217
Option: D Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)
Response:
General comments: Would be more sustainable to identify development sites which are not at risk from flooding, either now or due to future flooding probability, and incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) into the development. Without the release of greenfield sites it is very unlikely that SUDS can be incorporated due to the land take required.

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0027 Surname: PETRIE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10470
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: no development shopuld be allowed in flood plains
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18709
Option: D Organisation:
Response: In continuation of response CC6 if there were no development on flood plain there need not be any further measures
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0036 Surname: SPITTLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12527
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: no development on flood plains
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2229 Surname: SYLVESTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00904
Option: D Organisation: Dorset Agenda 21
Response: See CC6
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12849
Option: D Organisation:
Response: no developments in flood plain
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2296 Surname: WRIGHT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03185
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: n/a given answer to CC6
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36062
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: invalid response - more than one option selected
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2720 Surname: COSTER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-33472
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: no new developments should be allowed in flood risk areas

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2557 Surname: HAVELOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23511
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: Would be more sustainable to identify development sites which are not at risk from flooding, either now or due to future flooding probability, and incorporate Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems (SUDS) into the development. Without the release of greenfield sites it is very unlikely that SUDS can be incorporated due to the land take required

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34982
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: I don't want new developments in flood risk areas

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29234
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response:
General comments: don't build on floodplain

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32139
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response:
General comments: from response to CC6 this question should not arise

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06776
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Invalid response - all options ticked
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34233
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group
Response: Invalid response - more than one option selected
General comments:

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2721 Surname: MICHAEL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34508
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: no development in flood plain

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED1180 Surname: RAYNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28583
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: No development in flood risk area

Issue: CC7 Contact ID: XED2718 Surname: ROBINSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32947
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response:
General comments: see CC6C and CC8A

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname:

Option: Organisation: Unique Response Ref:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17423
Option: A Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18240
Option: A Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2666 Surname: BALDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28790
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0976 Surname: BANTOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01332
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1228 Surname: BARNES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19088
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0957 Surname: BISSEX Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34040
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0299 Surname: BLOOMFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23385
Option: A Organisation: Royal Society for the Protection of Birds Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0156 Surname: BODELL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20426
Option: A Organisation: Dorset County Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1014 Surname: BOURTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02539
Option: A Organisation: Keep Wimborne Green Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0273 Surname: BRADBURY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29822
Option: A Organisation: Vale of Allen Parish Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2332 Surname: BRADFORD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07580
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1176 Surname: BROOKS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17854
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2324 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08331
Option: A Organisation: A&R Developments (represented by Sibbett Gregory)
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1947 Surname: BROWN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26306
Option: A Organisation: Sibbett Gregory
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1731 Surname: BURRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00376
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04397
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02801
Option: A Organisation: Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13111
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20907
Option: A Organisation: National Trust Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15980
Option: A Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16903
Option: A Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2710 Surname: WALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32460
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34810
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04727
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12850
Option: A Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17161
Option: B Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34984
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01077
Option: B Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group)
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14021
Option: B Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29237
Option: B Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0023 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15396
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2335 Surname: KENYON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07764
Option: B Organisation: William Lester Architects
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35416
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19620
Option: B Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14681
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30695
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04398
Option: B Organisation:
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13112
Option: B Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20908
Option: B Organisation: National Trust
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15981
Option: B Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07199
Option: C Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2320 Surname: DENNIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-05698
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00030
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13697
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2313 Surname: EDINBOROUGH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03713
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0464 Surname: HELLICAR Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34985
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1576 Surname: HEMSLEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01078
Option: C Organisation: Ramblers Association (East Dorset Group) Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14022
Option: C Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29239
Option: C Organisation: East Dorset District Council Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27782
Option: C Organisation: East Dorset District Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27562
Option: C Organisation: West Moors Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30232
Option: C Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2606 Surname: HOWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26001
Option: C Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32142
Option: C Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25663
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30696
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04399
Option: C Organisation: Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13113
Option: C Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20909
Option: C Organisation: National Trust Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15982
Option: C Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners) Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22159
Option: D Organisation: Ringwood Town Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2284 Surname: ADAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26757
Option: D Organisation: Nature Watch Corfe Mullen
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2326 Surname: ANONYMOUS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06406
Option: D Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17426
Option: D Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18243
Option: D Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27563
Option: D Organisation: West Moors Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30233
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2606 Surname: HOWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26002
Option: D Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32143
Option: D Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04113
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13114
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0296 Surname: MEADOWS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20910
Option: D Organisation: National Trust
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1529 Surname: MELLETT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15983
Option: D Organisation: Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2309 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03519
Option: D Organisation: Stone Park Estate (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1318 Surname: MORTON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21409
Option: D Organisation: Bournemouth Sports Club Limited
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18713
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Invalid response - ticked agree & disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22690
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2323 Surname: THOMAS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06120
Option: D Organisation: IBEX
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2184 Surname: THOMPSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19353
Option: D Organisation: Sheiling Trust (represented by Terence O'Rourke)
Response: No Opinion
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2322 Surname: TIDBALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06048
Option: D Organisation: Urban Heaths Partnership
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0113 Surname: TONG Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01629
Option: D Organisation: Working in Partnership
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0024 Surname: VICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-16906
Option: D Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04730
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12853
Option: D Organisation:
Response: Disagree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2006 Surname: WILLIAMS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08036
Option: D Organisation: Tanner & Tilley
Response: Agree
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: [redacted] Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22160
Option: E Organisation: Ringwood Town Council

Response: [redacted]

General comments: Coastal defences are expensive and contribution from development is not likely to cover the capital and revenue costs

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07201
Option: E Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: Must be owners risk

General comments: [redacted]

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14024
Option: E Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: erosion in unavoidable in long term

General comments: [redacted]

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29241
Option: E Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: [redacted]

General comments: combine answers B & C

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2325 Surname: MILLER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07495
Option: E Organisation: Owners of land south west of Three Legged Cross (represented by Terence O'Rou

Response: Not applicable to East Dorset

General comments: [redacted]

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1314 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24152
Option: E Organisation: Bournemouth & West Hampshire Water Plc (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Major development sites should be allocated away from coastal areas at risk from erosion.

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1514 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24218
Option: E Organisation: Libra Homes (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Major development sites should be allocated away from coastal areas at risk from erosion.

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1601 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-24294
Option: E Organisation: Seaward Properties Limited (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Major development sites should be allocated away from coastal areas at risk from erosion.

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED1609 Surname: PALMER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-23895
Option: E Organisation: Site Developments (Ferndown) Ltd (represented by Goadsby)

Response:

General comments: Major development sites should be allocated away from coastal areas at risk from erosion.

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2182 Surname: TERRY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22691
Option: E Organisation:

Response:

General comments: If the area will be protected anyway new dev should not be charged again

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2691 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31031
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council

Response:

General comments: Coastal erosion defence costs should be met nationally ie government funded

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED0036 Surname: SPITTLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12528
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council

Response: Invalid response - all options ticked

General comments:

Issue: CC8 Contact ID: XED2552 Surname: WOODCOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22545
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment Agency

Response:

General comments: We would refer you to your own Coastal Erosion experts. However, we wish to highlight that coastal erosion is not just from sea but can also be affected by groundwater.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0250 Surname: Organisation: Ringwood Town Council Unique Response Ref: CSIO-22164
Option: GENERAL

Response: No

General comments: Impact of more violent and unpredictable weather - flooding and storm damage

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED3035 Surname: ABBOTT Unique Response Ref: CSIO-37223
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Home Builders Federation

Response: No- The HBF is greatly concerned about the council's approach to climate change in relation to the costs of advancing the delivering the Code for Sustainable Homes. PPS1 climate change clearly identifies the link between this and the delivery of housing, paragraph 23 stating that 'In setting out in a development plan document their policy for a significant proportion of the energy supply of substantial new development to be gained on-site and renewably and/or from a decentralised, renewable or low-carbon, energy supply, planning authorities should
- have regard to the overall costs of bringing sites to the market and the desirability of avoiding any adverse effect on the development needs of communities.
- ensure the proposed approach is consistent with securing the expected supply and pace of housing development shown in the housing trajectory required by PPS3

General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2333 Surname: ALDRIDGE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07714
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: No
General comments: More use made of rainwater, tank under back lawns with solar pump & filter. Used for loo flushing, garden, car washing etc. rain fed via guttering

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2404 Surname: ATFIELD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17427
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Persia Homes Ltd (represented by Goadsby Ltd) Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2443 Surname: BAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18244
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Linden Homes (Southern) Ltd represented by Lennon Planning Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2666 Surname: BALDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28791
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0976 Surname: BANTOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01333
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED2887	Surname:	BEST	Unique	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	South West Regional Assembly			Response Ref:	CSIO-18699
Response:	No						
General comments:	I would like to draw your attention to Development Policy G of the draft RSS, which was amended due to the findings of additional technical studies commissioned by the South West Regional Assembly. Amended Development Policy G of the draft RSS requires that developers, local authorities, regional agencies and others must ensure that their strategies, plans and programmes achieve best practice in sustainable construction. Developments comprising 10 or more dwellings will be expected to provide, as a minimum, sufficient on-site renewable energy to reduce CO2 emissions from energy use by users of the buildings constructed on site by the equivalent of 20% of regulated emissions.						

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED0957	Surname:	BISSEX	Unique	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:				Response Ref:	CSIO-34041
Response:	No						
General comments:	consider options to reduce risk on existing developments on flood plains						

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED0156	Surname:	BODELL	Unique	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Dorset County Council			Response Ref:	CSIO-20430
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED1731	Surname:	BURRIDGE	Unique	
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:				Response Ref:	CSIO-00377
Response:	No						
General comments:	Housing and factory. Density and relative disposition.						

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1432 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-03383
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Environment TAG (East Dorset)

Response: No

General comments: The impact of transport emissions cannot be ignored. In Christchurch and East Dorset road transport accounted for 33% of carbon emissions in 2005 (figs from DCC (2008) Developing Energy Efficiency Strategy for Dorset - Discussion Paper). This does not include the contribution of aircraft emissions nor the impact of increased traffic that will be generated as a result of RSS imposed growth of the sub-Region.

All efforts must be made to reduce transport emissions to a minimum through policies that

- Reduce the need to travel through home working, discouraging the "school run"
- Re-introducing wartime type campaigns such as, "Is your journey necessary?"
- Encourage car sharing
- Locating new build houses close to essential services and larger centres of employment
- Addressing the problem caused by the more affluent choosing to live in outlying areas and driving long distances to work.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2410 Surname: CHITTENDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21044
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No

General comments: Transport impact on CO2 emissions

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1833 Surname: COCKAIN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-01968
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No

General comments: Maintaining water flows in rivers, millstream and harbour.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2744 Surname: COPPING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-36064
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2461 Surname: CRADDICK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-20106
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Beagle Aerospace (represented by Savills)
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0031 Surname: DAVIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-11843
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2330 Surname: DAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-07202
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: No
General comments: If area is at risk of flood how are potential buyers notified

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1130 Surname: DIXON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-00032
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1945 Surname: EDE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13699
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association
Response: No
General comments: should consider properties which have drains not designed for the area

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:

Option: Organisation:

Response:

General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0033 Surname: HALL Unique Response Ref: CSIO-10641
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: depends on local environment

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1197 Surname: HANHAM Unique Response Ref: CSIO-09108
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0902 Surname: HASKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15216
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No
General comments: Traffic and aircraft emissions are some of the chief causes of global warming and should be considered in this respect. The incompatibility of the airport development, generating as it does both traffic and aircraft emissions, with addressing climate change, should be highlighted here,

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0987 Surname: HEDGER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-17164
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Mr M Hedger (represented by Goadsby Ltd)
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2442 Surname: HELLIER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18108
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highways Agency

Response: No

General comments: The Agency supports recognition by the Council within the opening text that there is a need to ensure that development is located within sustainable locations, which are also well served by public transport, cycling and walking facilities. However, there are no bespoke issues and options raised within Theme 1 relating to the promotion of sustainable modes of transport or reducing reliance on the private car.

Given that transport is responsible for 14% of global emissions the Agency would wish to see this factor incorporated into the climate change theme more specifically. In the present format Theme 1 is highly focused on land use, whereas the Agency would wish to see a greater focus on spatial planning in such an important LDF document. Although Theme 7 addresses transport specifically, the clear relationship with climate change calls for some recognition of the overlap between themes. The Agency considers the promotion of sustainable modes of transport to be a key issue when addressing climate change, and therefore feels that such an inclusion would be justified within the suggested issues and options.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0179 Surname: HINDSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-21924
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset Strategic Partnership

Response: No

General comments: There is no mention of the priority given to addressing climate change in the Dorset Community Strategy.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2396 Surname: HODGES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14025
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Highcliffe Residents Association

Response: No

General comments: Concentrated run off from heavy rain due to roads, roofs, drives etc into water courses e.g. Chew, Bure Mude

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2025 Surname: HOLDEN Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29242
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council

Response: No

General comments: Transport
food supply
use of agricultural land
waste management
forestry

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2026 Surname: HOLLAND Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27784
Option: GENERAL Organisation: East Dorset District Council
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2106 Surname: HOLMES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-27564
Option: GENERAL Organisation: West Moors Parish Council
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2613 Surname: HOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30234
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No
General comments: insurers no longer willing to provide insurance for households in flood risk areas

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2606 Surname: HOWARD Unique Response Ref: CSIO-26003
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Corfe Mullen P C
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2337 Surname: JACKSON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-08598
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0267 Surname: JACOBS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-32144
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council
Response: No
General comments: Insurers are no longer willing to provide cover for households in flood risk areas

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0135 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25665
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: wave & tidal

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2066 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-25368
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Burton Parish Council
Response: No
General comments: wave & tide

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0020 Surname: JAMIESON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04114
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: Tide power, Wave power, river flow, coastal erosion, managed retreat, sea defences

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2612 Surname: JEFFERIES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-29928
Option: GENERAL Organisation: D C C
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2328 Surname: JENKINS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-06778
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Dorset County Council
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0026 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14941
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: not considered tidal power and small scale CHB

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2127 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34235
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Alderholt Parish Plan Steering Group
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0023 Surname: JONES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-15399
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: Whether man made climate change is in fact happening or whether too many people have been hoaxed. NB this does NOT mean that we should not take precautions against climate change caused by e.g. solar warming which could lead to flooding etc, but it does mean that we should be a lot less concerned about our "carbon footprint"

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0201 Surname: KITE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18559
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Natural England

Response: No

General comments: The theme should also include water efficiency, managing run-off and 'greening development' and carbon footprinting.

Water use by households is increasing and the natural water environment is pressurised in some parts of the supply area e.g. the Avon and Piddle. While water company Water Resource Plans are likely to report that water resources are adequate to meet demand in the near future, increased demand management will help to avoid a need for deleterious levels of water removal from the natural environment. The water companies can do much to bear down on water use and demand (e.g. pipeline leakage reduction, metering and tariffs). This is not, however, a sole issue for water companies. The planning process should also play a role through building design (e.g. integral rainwater storage/reuse with perhaps the commercial sector taking a lead) and efficiency requirements on fittings.

Climate change models predict wetter winters and more frequent intense rainfall events. This will increase the vulnerability of urban areas to flooding, particularly where sewers and constrained watercourses/floodplains are unable to cope with the volume of runoff. The planning system needs to take a proactive roll in minimising the impermeable surfacing of land, increasing the permeability of the urban land area, and providing space for water in new and re-development. This can have a number of other benefits such as ameliorating pulses of poor quality urban drainage to watercourses and providing urban greenspace with multi-functional benefits to the urban population.

Climate change models also predict warmer summers and an increased frequency of heatwaves. Densely built areas are particularly vulnerable owing to the ability of buildings to absorb solar radiation during the day and radiate heat by night thereby increasing temperature levels - the so called heat island effect. In this plan area the effect is likely to be moderated by proximity to the sea, and vegetation shading and vegetation on buildings can also play a moderating role. The planning system could usefully drive up the use of vegetation in urban development, not just for landscaping but also for climate change amelioration, by setting requirements for summer tree canopy cover (e.g. of paved areas such as car parks and pedestrianised areas) and use of vegetation on larger buildings and structures (e.g. green walls and roofs).

On carbon footprinting in relation to urban development, see our comments on Issue SS5 below.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2609 Surname: LAKER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35417
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2460 Surname: LOFTHOUSE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19623
Option: GENERAL Organisation: The Dampney Trust (represented by Savills)

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0040 Surname: LOFTS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-14684
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: Tidal wave etc.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2614 Surname: MADDOCK Unique Response Ref: CSIO-30698
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1161 Surname: MATHER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04401
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: No
General comments: Rain water & runoff

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0042 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-13115
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council
Response: No
General comments: tidal & wave

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2259 Surname: MAWBEY Unique Response Ref: CSIO-02802
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED0296 **Surname:** MEADOWS **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-20911
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** National Trust

Response: No

General comments: There is a key issue in relation to relocation of existing development at risk of either coastal flooding or erosion. The draft RSS provides for local authorities to plan areas for relocation of threatened development4

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED1529 **Surname:** MELLETT **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-15984
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Morley Fund Management (represented by Nathaniel Litchfield & Partners)

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED2721 **Surname:** MICHAEL **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-34510
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: No

General comments:

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED0018 **Surname:** NOTTAGE **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-12226
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Christchurch Borough Council

Response: No

General comments: nod existing proerrties in vulnerable areas
grant aid for protective measures

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED2401 **Surname:** PARKER **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-16278
Option: GENERAL **Organisation:**

Response: Yes

General comments:

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED0027	Surname:	PETRIE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-10472
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Christchurch Borough Council				
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED2226	Surname:	PIENAAR	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-00633
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	Bournemouth & West Hants Water				
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED0259	Surname:	POTTER	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-20705
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:	South West of England Regional Development Agency				
Response:	No						
General comments:	<p>Theme 1 of the Issues and Options paper seeks to address issues of climate change and a sustainable future for Christchurch and East Dorset. The Regional Economic Strategy (RES) Delivery Framework identifies a priority to build on existing strengths to develop the South West as the leading region for sustainable development. The Agency therefore supports aspirations within the Core Strategy to encourage the use of renewable and low carbon energy production in new developments. Alongside the wider environmental benefits of this approach, the Agency considers that there is also the potential for significant economic opportunities, for example through support for the environmental technologies sector. The UK renewable energy sector is expected to grow in worth from its current value of £7 billion today to an expected £100 billion by 2020. With a positive framework to support the area's existing specialisation in this industry, South East Dorset can help to ensure that it benefits from this growth.</p> <p>In the same vein, the South West RDA would expect good practice sustainability measures to be adopted in new development that meet the requirements of Future Foundations and the RES Delivery Framework as set out above. The Agency would therefore welcome an approach in the Core Strategy that promotes sustainable construction techniques for new developments. We would suggest use of the 'Sustainability Checklist', an online tool that has been developed by Future Foundations and the Building Research Establishment (BRE) and is available at: http://www.checklistsouthwest.co.uk/. Devised specifically to guide the design of new developments by making sense of current policy, the Checklist highlights best practice, complementing Ecohomes and the new Code for Sustainable Homes. This will need to be informed by an understanding of development viability issues and as such should allow for some flexibility. In particular, any policy should be reviewed regularly in order to be capable of keeping pace with technological, legislative and financial viability changes which could occur more rapidly than envisaged in current thinking.</p>						

Issue:	CC9	Contact ID:	XED2663	Surname:	PROWSE	Unique Response Ref:	CSIO-28248
Option:	GENERAL	Organisation:					
Response:	Yes						
General comments:							

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED1180 Surname: RAYNER Unique Response Ref: CSIO-28588
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0224 Surname: SHAW Unique Response Ref: CSIO-35793
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Hurn Parish Council Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2691 Surname: SMITH Unique Response Ref: CSIO-31032
Option: GENERAL Organisation: St Leonards & St Ives Parish Council Response: No
General comments: Government support ie financial regarding flood/coastal erosion defence - Gov run insurance scheme etc

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2260 Surname: SOLOMON Unique Response Ref: CSIO-18714
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0036 Surname: SPITTLE Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12530
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Christchurch Borough Council Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: Contact ID: Surname: Unique Response Ref:
Option: Organisation:
Response:
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2726 Surname: WALLIS Unique Response Ref: CSIO-34811
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2318 Surname: WEST Unique Response Ref: CSIO-04731
Option: GENERAL Organisation:
Response: Yes
General comments:

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED0211 Surname: WILDING Unique Response Ref: CSIO-19943
Option: GENERAL Organisation: Government Office for the South West

Response: No

General comments: p11 The Code for Sustainable Homes came into force in spring '08 and you should reflect this in your options consideration accordingly, If you decide it appropriate for your districts to deliver over and above the national standard (if at all) you will need to consider what evidence you have to justify such an approach, Please ensure that the option you eventually decide on is compliant with the Code being mandatory and doesn't just duplicate the code as it is not necessarily needed to have anything additional in your Core Strategy.

p.11. The Chancellor announced in his 2008 bUdget that from 2019 all commercial buildings will have to be carbon neutral and all public sector buildings from 2018. A Code for measuring this is to be developed and introduced in the next couple of years. Consultation on the definition of carbon neutrality has been announced for the summer 2008.

P.12 For the whole of your theme one and in particular with regards to renewable energy it is relevant for you to refer to the supplement to PPS1: Planning and Climate Change. This was published in Dec 07 and should be reflected accordingly in developing your CS. One of the key principles that this contains (para 20 last bullet) is that planning authorities should 'expect a proportion of the energy supply of new development to be secured from decentralised and renewable or low carbon energy sources', i.e, the contribution to be made needs to be set at the local level. The consideration of the appropriate level of renewable energy that can be required needs to be seen in the wider context of opportunities and overall demands on a development as it is dependant upon the overall viability of a scheme. It is therefore particularly important to check in particular that the renewable energy strategy is compatible with the community infrastructure levy and the affordable housing strategy proposals. (Affordable housing is currently proposed not to come under the community infrastructure levy),

p. 13 Flood Risk: It is good that you have highlighted this as a particular issue. Given the importance of this issue generally, this will need to be a critical consideration for your CS, the sooner you can therefore build in the emerging findings from the SFRA into your developing spatial strategy the better. You will need to set out how this has shaped the wider spatial strategy rather than simply being a 'free-standing module' which can be plugged in or plugged out without any consequences on the strategy.

Currently it is not yet discernable what spatial approach to flooding you are proposing, Some of the options that you have identified would seem overly simplified and some therefore imply 'false options' - i.e. options which are not really options because they would be contrary to legislation/national policy, It is important that you set out in your CS an appropriate policy response for the differently affected areas. Clarity is required on evidence from SFRA and working with the Environment Agency to ensure that flood defences are sufficient/strategies in place to provide sufficient flood defences that will protect new development for its life-time Further consideration also needs to be given to planning for the adaptation to climate change (i.e. adapting development (existing & new) to the level of change that is likely to happen because it can no longer be prevented). Your question CC7 is a good start in this direction and as part of this you should also consider the adequacy of the drainage system. Liaison with the water company/ies is in this respect crucial.

If you could achieve agreement on your strategy to deal with flooding with the Environment Agency (i.e. obtain their endorsement) this would substantially enhance the credibility of the CS.

Issue: CC9 Contact ID: XED2394 Surname: WILKES Unique Response Ref: CSIO-12854
Option: GENERAL Organisation:

Response: No

General comments: Tidal. Wave

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED2552 **Surname:** WOODCOCK **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-22548

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Environment Agency

Response: No

General comments: No - The allocation of Community Infrastructure Levy funding should prioritise the management of flood risk constraints if any development is to be allowed in flood risk areas

Issue: CC9 **Contact ID:** XED2702 **Surname:** WOOLF **Unique Response Ref:** CSIO-31573

Option: GENERAL **Organisation:** Taylor Wimpey UK Ltd / Bodorgan Properties CI Ltd represented by Woolf Bond Pl

Response: Yes

General comments:
