

Site Name	Carrot Bank
Site Reference	MSAD BC01
Number of disagreeing comments	37
Number of observations	6
Number of agreeing comments	0

Site Description

This site is situated about 3km north-east of Tyneham, to the west of Creech Grange country house. It is suggested for the extraction of 705,000 tonnes of Ball Clay over a period of 6.4 years. This 23.4 hectare site proposed to be worked by Imerys Minerals Limited and is currently made up of woodland and parkland. Restoration is proposed to agriculture and for nature conservation.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
<p>1 Cultural Heritage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on Creech Grange Listed Building (Grade I) and its setting a nationally significant element of the historic environment • Impact on historic gardens/parkland (Grade II* listed) • Impact on Creech Arch (Grade II*) and its setting • Impact on restored chapel • Archaeology 	<p>Impacts on the nearby listed buildings and their setting are very important and will be considered in detail if this site is progressed.</p> <p>There may be other archaeological features present on this site. If this site were to be progressed there would be the need for Archaeological Assessment and evaluation.</p> <p>Scheduled Ancient Monuments near the site would need to be protected by leaving a suitable area un-worked around them.</p>
<p>2 Landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Views looking down on Creech Grange will be destroyed • Impact on historic vistas • Extraction would mean a complete change in landscape character 	<p>If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact, particularly due to the sites locations with the Dorset AONB and close proximity to Creech Grange.</p>

<p>3 Impact on tourism</p>	<p>Any adverse impact on tourism would need to be balanced with the need for the mineral.</p>
<p>4 Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on important lichens • Impact on Povington and Grange Heaths SSSI • Impact on protected habitats of bats, other animals and plants. • Significant effect on SPA • Possible bat habitat and other European Protected Species • Loss of ancient trees and mature hedgerows – nightjar habitat 	<p>Due to the importance of the lichens thought to be present on this site a detailed survey will be undertaken as a matter of priority.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required together with assessment under the Habitats Regulations of the impact on nearby Natura 2000 sites.</p> <p>Where practical the retention of existing ancient trees and hedgerows would be encouraged.</p>
<p>5 Noise</p>	<p>If this development were to be allocated and permitted, planning conditions would restrict noise to acceptable levels and hours of working. Mitigation could include screening bunds and use of appropriate equipment.</p>
<p>6 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grange road not suitable for HGV's • Accidents • Destruction of verges 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of the suitability of local roads and any increased risk of accidents.</p>
<p>7 Imerys should make contributions to road maintenance and transport infrastructure</p>	<p>If permission were granted contribution towards transport infrastructure would be sought from the developer towards the implementation of the Purbeck Transport Study.</p>
<p>8 Due to the importance of the area, what about underground extraction?</p>	<p>For health and safety reasons it is thought unlikely that underground mining would be appropriate</p>
<p>9 Consider use of the railway</p>	<p>The currently disused siding at Furzebrook may present limited opportunities for sustainable transportation of ball clay and this would be considered as part of the Transport Assessment.</p>
<p>10 Impact on AONB</p>	<p>The impact of mineral working in this area on the Dorset AONB needs to be carefully considered.</p>

<p>11 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Restoration to the original land use unlikely to be possible 	<p>Although some indication of possible restoration has been provided Imerys have indicated that they are happy to liaise with relevant officers and organisations to ensure the most appropriate restoration and afteruse is incorporated.</p> <p>If this site is to be progressed it will be necessary to determine the most appropriate restoration.</p>
<p>12 Is an occupied dwelling 'Oak Cottage' to be acquired and demolished by this development</p>	<p>The site promoter has confirmed that it is unlikely that 'Oak Cottage' would be directly affected by mineral working and would not need to be acquired if this development were to be progressed.</p>
<p>13 Water resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site forms part of two small streams that join and flow through Povington and Grange Heaths, development would mean disruption of the existing flow characteristics and water quality 	<p>If this site is to be progressed there will be a need for appropriate assessment under the Habitat Regulations.</p>
<p>14 Viability of tenant farmers farming business</p>	<p>Any adverse impact on farming businesses would need to be balanced against the need for the mineral. However much of this site is not in agricultural use.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purbeck District Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural England
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Garden History Society 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dorset Wildlife Trust
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defence Estates
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Royal Society for the Protection of Birds 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dotty Sixties
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dorset Gardens Trust 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> English Heritage
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lutton Farms 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Church Knowle Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Georgian Group 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Steeple Parish Meeting

Observations

Six comments were classified as "observations".

Observation	DCC Response
<p>1 It is likely that there are widespread amphibians and reptiles and a survey should be undertaken. It is also possible that the Great Crested Newt could also be present.</p>	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan an ecological assessment would be required. This survey should pick up the presence of important species of amphibians and reptiles and the need for further more specific survey work.</p>
<p>2 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased traffic on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston • May be an impact on the SRN along the A35 to the north 	<p>See comments on traffic above</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Herpetological Conservation Trust 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dorset AONB
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency 	

Petitions/Standard Responses

A petition was received, during the consultation period, against this site and development of Povington. The petition was signed by 100 individuals.

Site Name	Dorey's
Site Reference	MSAD BC02
Number of disagreeing comments	31
Number of observations	8
Number of agreeing comments	2

Site Description

This site is situated about 1km south west of Stoborough in Purbeck. It is suggested for the extraction of 600,000 tonnes of ball clay over a period of 12.5 years as an extension to the existing Dorey's pit. This 51.7 hectare site is owned and proposed to be worked by Imerys Minerals Limited and is currently partly forestry and partly agricultural land. Restoration is proposed to agriculture and for nature conservation.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
<p>1 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased congestion • Accidents • Holme Lane unsuitable for heavy traffic • Noise 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of the suitability of local roads and any increased risk of accidents.</p>
<p>2 Specific Impact on Grange Road</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Damage • Receding verges • Safety issues for cyclists, horse riders and pedestrians • Dust and dirt • Risk to undermining 	<p>See comments regarding traffic impacts above.</p> <p>It is accepted that receding verges along Grange Road is an issue which will need to be addressed by the mineral operator.</p> <p>The deposit of dirt and mud on the road should be addressed by the imposition and enforcement of planning conditions.</p> <p>No activity would be permitted that would risk the road being undermined.</p>

<p>3 Impact on landscape character</p>	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact, particularly due to the location of the site within the Dorset AONB.</p>
<p>4 Object to Imerys threat that ball clay industry in Dorset will become unviable without new sites.</p>	<p>Each site being suggested will be carefully assessed on its merits and will only be progressed if it is felt that it is a sustainable source of mineral to meet future needs. The suggestion that the ball clay industry will become unviable without new sites will be carefully considered when balancing the need for further clay extraction against environmental impacts.</p>
<p>5 Associated extraction of sand and gravel inappropriate</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Unacceptable level of expansion • Slower phased working should be considered 	<p>The associated extraction of sand and gravel is an issue that requires detailed assessment and evaluation to determine whether it is a sustainable option. There are both benefits and drawbacks from extraction associated sand at the same time as ball clay. See comments on site reference MSAD AS04</p>
<p>6 Impact on quality of life of local residents</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proximity of dwellings to proposed site is concerning • SA should take into account the proximity of residential properties more critically 	<p>An indication of the precise working area will be sought from the promoters of each site to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan. This would include appropriate mitigation measures such as buffer zones from nearby houses.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed further detailed sustainability appraisal will be undertaken.</p>
<p>7 Impact on tourist attractions</p>	<p>It is considered mineral working at this site would have only limited impact on tourism and tourist attractions.</p>
<p>8 Impact on public rights of way and bridleway</p>	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan impact on bridleways and footpaths would be considered including the opportunities and acceptability for their diversion.</p> <p>In particular, a bridleway running through the southern plantation would be affected and consideration should be given to retaining this as part of a southern screen.</p>
<p>9 Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • High water table 	<p>It is agreed that potential impacts on hydrology and biodiversity will need to be investigated in greater detail.</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Protected bog • Hydrological impacts on adjacent wetlands 	
<p>10 Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Badgers, bats and nightjars • Loss of woodland including many mature trees • Impact on trees and hedges • Stream used for sea trout • Impact on RAMSAR • Impact on heathlands designated as SSSI and RSPB nature reserves 	<p>If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required together with assessment under the Habitats Regulations of the impact on nearby Natura 2000 sites.</p> <p>There will be a need to investigate the significance of trees and hedges and the need to protect them</p>
<p>11 Properties on site should be retained</p>	<p>The site promoters have confirmed that New Hall Farm would need to be removed to access the underlying mineral. The property is owned by Imerys.</p>
<p>12 Loss of farmland and viability of farming activities</p>	<p>It is likely that some of this land would eventually be restored back to agricultural land therefore some of the loss will be temporary (albeit for many years). Any adverse impact on farming businesses would need to be balanced with the need for the mineral and opportunities for restoration. The combined impact if major sand extraction also takes place will also need to be considered.</p>
<p>13 Consider use of the railway</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Particularly for sand and gravel extracted 	<p>The currently disused siding at Furzebrook may present limited opportunities for sustainable transportation of ball clay and this would be considered as part of the Transport Assessment. Use of the railway for sand and gravel is addressed on the report of site reference MSAD AS04</p>
<p>14 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lakes not appropriate in this locality • There needs to be a management plan for the wider area of heathland restoration including linkages between Holme Heath and Grange Heath • Existing sites are not being restored to a satisfactory quality. 	<p>Although some indication of possible restoration has been provided Imerys have indicated that they are happy to liaise with relevant officers and organisations to ensure the most appropriate restoration and afteruse is incorporated.</p> <p>If this site is to be progressed it will be necessary to determine the most appropriate restoration.</p>

	The imposition and enforcement of planning conditions should be such as to ensure the quality of restoration. The existing Dorey's site is thought to be restored to a high quality.
15 Impact on AONB	Impact on the AONB will be an important consideration in the development of this site. If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact, particularly the cumulative impact of mineral working in this part of Dorset on views from the ridge. Ideally ball clay would be extracted from deposits that lie outside the AONB but this may not be technically feasible.
16 Impact on Scheduled Monuments • Impact of leaving monuments isolated by surrounding quarrying	An indication of the precise working area will be sought from the promoters of each site to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan. This would include the protection of Three Lords Barrow which is situated on the edge of the site. Consideration will also be given to the setting of the Barrow.
17 Concern that the site operations could be expanded to include landfill of waste in the future	No indication has been given that there is any intention to infill this site with waste. Landfill is highly unlikely to be appropriate in this locality particularly it is within the Dorset AONB.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

• Lovell and Barnes Ltd	• Purbeck District Council
• Wareham St Martin Parish Council	• Arne Parish Council
• Natural England	• Campaign to Protect Rural England
• Church Knowle Parish Council	• Steeple Parish Meeting
• East Holme Parish Council	• English Heritage

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
3 Given the national need for Ball Clay some extraction is necessary (provisos and issues of concern were also raised and are covered adequately above)	The suitability of the site will be assessed in detail.
4 Major advantages if the scheme restores heathland habitats and links fragmented heathland sites. Further habitat/species surveys would be beneficial.	If this site is to be progressed it will be necessary to determine the most appropriate restoration and this is likely to include heathland restoration.

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

• Dorset AONB	• The Herpetological Conservation Trust
---------------	-----------------------------------------

Observations

Eight comments were classified as “observations”. Specific comments not adequately covered above include;

Observation	DCC Response
1 Traffic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased traffic on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A35 to the north 	See comments relating to traffic above.
2 Dorey’s Farm SNCI is selected for its population of the nationally scarce narrow-leaved lungwort on the railway banks.	If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required.
3 A tip of the proposed extraction area encroaches into the east edge of the range danger area.	The prizes overlap of boundaries will need to be investigated and MOD consulted.

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

• Lower Winterborne parish Council	• Highways Agency
• Dorset Wildlife Trust	• Environment Agency

• Defence Estates	• Royal Society of the Protection of Birds
-------------------	--------------------------------------------

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Povington
Site Reference	MSAD BC03
Number of disagreeing comments	19
Number of observations	5
Number of agreeing comments	5

Site Description

This site is situated about 2.5km north of Tyneham in Purbeck. It is suggested for the extraction of 247,600 tonnes of ball clay over a period of 2.2 years as an eastern extension to the existing Povington pit. This 21.4 hectare site is owned and proposed to be worked by Imerys Minerals Limited and is currently agricultural land. Restoration is proposed to agriculture and for nature conservation.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
<p>1 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Grange Road not suitable for HGV's • Accidents • Destruction of verges • Noise and pollution from HGV's 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of the suitability of local roads and any increased risk of accidents.</p> <p>It is accepted that receding verges along Grange Road is an issue which will need to be addressed by the mineral operator.</p>
<p>2 Cultural Heritage</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on Creech Grange Listed Building (Grade I) and its setting a nationally significant element of the historic environment • Impact on historic gardens/parkland (Grade II* listed) • Archaeology 	<p>Impacts on the nearby listed buildings and their setting are very important and will be considered in detail if this site is progressed.</p> <p>HGV traffic from Povington would need to pass the Grade I listed Creech Grange and its registered park and gardens.</p>

	<p>There may be other archaeological features present on this site. If this site were to be progressed it is likely that archaeological assessment and evaluation would be required.</p>
<p>3 Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on important lichens (both within the site and bordering it) • Impact on wildlife including bats and other protected species • Impact on trees and mature hedgerows 	<p>Due to the importance of the lichens present on this site a detailed survey will be undertaken.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan an ecological assessment would be required together with assessment under the Habitats Regulations of the impact on nearby Natura 2000 sites.</p> <p>Where practical, the retention of existing ancient trees and hedgerows would be sought.</p>
<p>4 Landscape</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Destruction of historic vistas • Impact on long distance views and vistas 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact, particularly due to the location of the site within the Dorset AONB. It is agreed that the site is clearly visible from the ridge to the south.</p>
<p>5 Impact on AONB</p>	<p>Impact on the AONB will be an important consideration in the development of this site. If this site were to be, progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact, particularly the cumulative impact of mineral working in this part of Dorset on views from the ridge.</p> <p>Ideally ball clay would be extracted from deposits that lie outside the AONB but this may not be technically feasible.</p>
<p>6 Impact on World Heritage Coast</p>	<p>The impact of mineral working in this area on the World Heritage Site will be minimal. However there will be a visual impact on views from the Heritage Coast (which includes the ridge to the south).</p>
<p>7 Impact on Tourism</p>	<p>Any adverse impact on tourism would need to be assessed and balanced against the need for the mineral.</p>

<p>8 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Restoration to the original land use unlikely to be possible • The existing site should be restored before any development of a new extension. 	<p>Although some indication of possible restoration has been provided Imerys have indicated that they are happy to liaise with relevant officers and organisations to ensure the most appropriate restoration and afteruse is incorporated.</p> <p>If this site is to be progressed it will be necessary to determine the most appropriate restoration. It is considered that restoration to agriculture would be possible at this site but may not be considered most appropriate.</p> <p>If this development goes ahead it is likely that waste material extracted would be used to aid restoration of the existing site.</p>
<p>9 Unacceptable cumulative impact on extending existing operations</p>	<p>The cumulative impact of mineral working in this area would be taken into consideration in the various assessments that would be required if this site were to be progressed. This includes the landscape assessment and transport assessment.</p>
<p>10 Noise</p>	<p>If this development were to be allocated and permitted, planning conditions would restrict noise to acceptable levels and hours of working. Mitigation could include screening bunds and use of appropriate equipment.</p> <p>It should also be noted that at times there is noise from the nearby firing range.</p>
<p>11 Imerys should make contributions to road maintenance and transport infrastructure</p>	<p>If permission were granted contribution towards transport infrastructure would be sought from the developer towards the implementation of the Purbeck Transport Study.</p>
<p>12 The site is within the range danger area of Lulworth ranges and development would conflict with MOD's land use in that they are preventing the ability to fully exploit land for nationally important defence training.</p>	<p>If this site is to be progressed further discussion between the MPA, MOD and Imerys will be necessary. The site promoters are of the opinion that they would continue to work with the MOD to mutually agreeable hours for firing and Ball Clay extraction.</p>
<p>13 Majority of clay is exported not used locally or within the UK</p>	<p>It is agreed that the majority of clay extracted is currently exported for use overseas.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

• The Garden History Society	• Natural England
• Campaign to Protect Rural England	• Defence Estates
• Dotty Sixties	• Dorset Gardens Trust
• English Heritage	• Church Knowle Parish Council
• The Georgian Group	• Steeple Parish Meeting

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
<p>5 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities for restoration and recreation of species-rich grassland and associated habitats including parkland and suitable trees) should be sought. • Opportunities for linkages in accordance with Strategic Nature Areas should be considered. • Development of this site could aid the restoration of the existing operation 	<p>If this site is to be progressed it will be necessary to determine the most appropriate form of restoration and habitat.</p> <p>It is agreed that opportunities for linkages in accordance with Strategic Nature Areas will be considered.</p> <p>Waste material from the development of this site is likely to aid restoration of the existing site.</p>
<p>6 Reduce any impacts on reptile and amphibians prior to any development.</p>	<p>If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required. This survey should pick up the presence of important species of amphibians and reptiles and the need for further more specific survey work in order to reduce any adverse impacts.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

• Dorset Wildlife Trust	• Purbeck District Council
• Dorset AONB	• The Herpetological Conservation Trust

Observations

Five comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
1 Traffic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Increased traffic on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston • May be an impact on the SRN along the A35 to the north 	See comments on traffic above
2 Some concern over the degree of pressure on water resources from extraction affecting natural drainage patterns in the area	It is agreed that potential impacts on hydrology will need to be investigated in greater detail.
3 Site may contain in situ biodiversity interest including Annex 1 birds	If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan an ecological assessment would be required this should identify the presence of important species.

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

Petitions/Standard Responses

A petition was received, during the consultation period, against this site and development of Carrot Bank. The petition was signed by 100 individuals.

Site Name	Trigon Hill
Site Reference	MSAD BC04
Number of disagreeing comments	8
Number of observations	10
Number of agreeing comments	2

Site Description

This site is situated about 2.5km north west of Wareham. It is suggested for the extraction of 440,000 tonnes of Ball Clay over a period of 3.4 years as a north-western extension to the existing Trigon pit. This 27 hectare site is proposed to be worked by Imerys Minerals Limited and is currently agricultural land and forestry. Restoration is proposed to agriculture and nature conservation

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
1 Proximity to residential property <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Specifically north Trigon farm 	An indication of the precise working area will be sought from the promoters of each site to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan. This would include appropriate mitigation measures such as buffer zones from nearby houses.
2 Biodiversity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on SAC, RAMSAR, SNCI and SSSI Damage to wildlife and their habitats including badgers 	If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required together with assessment under the Habitats Regulations of the impact on nearby Natura 2000 sites.
3 Impact on Dorset Greenbelt	Government guidance is that mineral extraction need not conflict with the purposes of including land as Green Belts, which is to maintain openness. Mineral working can be acceptable provided that high environmental standards are maintained and that the site is well restored.
4 Traffic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Excessive traffic movements in addition to existing movements associated with landfill 	If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise Accidents Dirt on roads Impact on Wareham/Bere Regis road 	Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of any increased risk of accidents and cumulative impact of other developments.
5 Impact on AONB	Although this site does not lie within the Dorset AONB, the impact of mineral working is an important consideration as the site is likely to be visible from the AONB. There may be an issue of cumulative landscape and visual impact in relation to adjacent existing workings.
6 Water Resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on water flow 	Impacts on water resources will need to be assessed if this site is progressed.
7 Impact on tourism <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Economic impact 	It is considered mineral working at this site would have only limited impact on the tourism industry.
8 Impact on Scheduled Monuments	There is a Scheduled Monument located to the east of this site. Any impacts on its setting should be assessed.
9 Imerys should make contributions to road maintenance and transport infrastructure	If permission was granted, and there were to be additional lorry movements above present levels, contribution towards transport infrastructure should be sought from the developer towards the implementation of the Purbeck Transport Study.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

English Heritage

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
7 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should provide opportunity of linkages in accordance with Strategic Natural Areas 	Although some indication of possible restoration has been provided Imerys have indicated that they are happy to liaise with relevant officers and organisations to ensure the most appropriate restoration and after use is incorporated this is likely to include healthland restoration.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retention and enhancement of the dry heathland habitat and the retention and enhancement of the two designated sites. 	<p>If this site is to be progressed it will be necessary to determine the most appropriate restoration.</p>
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purbeck District Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The Herpetological Conservation Trust
----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Observations

Ten comments were classified as “observations”. Those comments not adequately covered above are;

Observation	DCC Response
<p>1 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Increased traffic on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A35 to the north 	<p>See comments on traffic above</p>
<p>2 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should establish a heathland link between the SNCI to the east and SSSI to the north west The present site should be restored to modern standards before any work begins on an extension. 	<p>See comments on restoration above</p> <p>If this development goes ahead it is likely that waste material extracted would be used to aid restoration of the existing site.</p>
<p>3 Reference should be made to the presence of economic deposits of sand and gravel</p>	<p>It is agreed that this site should also be considered for the extraction of sand and gravel in association with ball clay.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower Winterborne Parish Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highways Agency
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wareham St Martin Parish Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Arne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dorset Wildlife Trust

<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Campaign to Protect Rural England	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Environment Agency
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Royal Society for the Protection of Birds	

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Manor Farm Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD BS01
Number of disagreeing comments	17
Number of observations	10
Number of agreeing comments	0

Site Description

The site is located to the west of Melbury Abbas and 3.5km south east of Shaftesbury town centre. Melbury Hill lies to the south. It is suggested as a western extension to the existing Manor Farm Quarry for the extraction of 25,000 tonnes of Shaftesbury Green sandstone. Extraction would take place over 12.5 - 25 years. This 4 hectare site is currently used for agriculture. Restoration is proposed to return the land to agriculture at original ground levels using waste stone (approximately 40-50% of that extracted).

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
1 Impact on Landscape Character <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prominent location, visible from miles around The use of temporary soil banks would not mitigate against visual disturbance from high ground Removal of hedgerows 	<p>It is agreed that there is likely to be a negative impact on the landscape. If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact and mitigation measures.</p> <p>There may be the need to remove hedgerows if this site were to be developed. This needs to be clarified.</p>
2 Impact on AONB	<p>It is agreed that there would be negative landscape, visual and amenity impacts on the AONB. These negative impacts need to be balanced against the need for the mineral.</p>
3 Impact on Biodiversity <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Wildlife including glow-worms, butterflies and moths Impact on rare plan species including wild orchids 	<p>If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required.</p>
4 Loss of an important amenity space	<p>The site is located on agricultural land, however it is accepted that extraction at this site could result in negative impacts on the</p>

	amenity of the surrounding area including the well used paths in the area such as those on Melbury Hill.
5 Rights of Way <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on nearby footpath to Melbury Beacon 	Although the footpath to Melbury Beacon would be maintained, it is accepted that there would be an adverse impact on the amenity of the footpath.
6 Leaving an open face could be dangerous	It is proposed to restore the majority of the extraction area. A small stone face may be left in a depression at one end of the working strip to be used as the working face for the start of the next campaign. It is not considered that this will pose a significant danger.
7 Increased risk of flooding	If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan a strategic flood risk assessment would be undertaken. A more detailed Flood Risk Assessment would be required to support any planning application.
8 Traffic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Movements appear to be underestimated Traffic will have to travel east to the existing storage area Traffic will have to travel west again to the working area in West Melbury History of dangerous un-netted loads travelling at speed, littering roads with stone and rubble Already congested roads Damage to country lanes and verges Poor access on a blind bend of a narrow lane 	If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of any increased risk of accidents.
9 Proximity of residential properties <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on house prices Residents living nearby are mostly elderly and home during the working day 	<p>In itself, the impact of proposals on property values is not a land use planning matter. Rather the issue is whether the development would have unacceptable effects on amenities and existing use of land and buildings which should be protected in the public interest.</p> <p>If this development were to be allocated and permitted, planning conditions would restrict noise to acceptable levels and hours of working. Mitigation could include screening bunds and use of</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Noise Dust 	<p>appropriate equipment this should limit the impact on local residents.</p> <p>Dust concerns can usually be addressed through:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - appropriate design and layout of the site - the management of the site - use of appropriate equipment, and - the adoption of appropriate control and mitigation measures (which can include separation distances, landscaping, screening and spraying haul roads within the site).
<p>10 Is there a need to extend quarrying in this area? What reserve exists in the permitted area?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality and quantity of stone not yet adequately proven 	<p>The existing permission is due to expire, including restoration to grassland, by September 2018. Therefore it is likely that further reserves would be required before 2018.</p> <p>In order for this site to be progressed we would need to be sure that the rock resource exists in both quality and quantity</p>
<p>11 The quarry should not be made into a tourist attraction as suggested by the media.</p>	<p>We have no information to suggest that the quarry is to be made into a tourist attraction.</p>
<p>12 Impact on archaeological features</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Proximity to a National Trust property 	<p>If this site were to be progressed there would be the need for archaeological assessment and evaluation, which should include an assessment of the impact of quarrying on the setting of the Scheduled Monument 'Ditch on Melbury Down'.</p> <p>Any impact on National Trust properties will need to be considered if this site is progressed.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> CPRE North Dorset 	
---------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

None

Observations

Ten comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
1 Proposal would form a natural extension to the existing operation	This site is being proposed as an extension to the existing site.
2 Although the vehicle movements are minimal further evidence should be provided to demonstrate the scale of the impact.	Agreed, see response above regarding traffic.
3 Site situated within commuting range of seagulls , restoration should therefore use inert waste and there should be no importation of food wastes	It is not proposed to fill the site with food waste.
<p>4 Phased extraction at both the existing and any new extraction area would minimise impact on the AONB and keep the operation small scale.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Existing site should be fully worked and restored prior to bringing forward a new site. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. As it is proposed to work the site in campaigns the majority of the campaign area will be restored following extraction keeping the impact of extraction to a minimum.</p> <p>It is likely that the existing site would be fully worked prior to planning permission being granted for an extension. It is appropriate to bring this site forward at this stage for possible allocation in the MSAD because this document will guide planning decisions up until 2026.</p>
5 There is scope for hedgerow restoration within the restoration plan.	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought.
<p>6 Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The development overlies a Major Aquifer consequently this requires protection. Therefore consideration should be given to any possible impact on groundwater recharge flows and levels 	If this site were to be progressed consideration will be given to impacts on groundwater recharge flows and levels and any risk of contamination.

	and the risk of contamination of the ground and controlled waters should be minimised	
7	Landscape impacts are of concern and the loss of tranquillity.	If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact and mitigation measures.
8	Some support for the continued availability of local Shaftesbury Green Stone.	Comments are noted

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

• Highways Agency	• Bournemouth Airport
• Natural England	• Campaign to Protect Rural England
• Environment Agency	• Royal Society for the Protection of Birds
• Cranborne Chase & West Wiltshire Downs AONB	• Melbury Abbas & Cann Parish Council
• Shaftesbury Town Council	• The National Trust

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Marnhull Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD BS02
Number of disagreeing comments	0
Number of observations	6
Number of agreeing comments	0

Site Description

The site is located at the top of White Way Hill, 800m to the south east of the village of Marnhull in North Dorset. It is suggested as an extension to the existing quarry for the extraction of 635,000 tonnes of limestone. At the current rate of extraction this would give the site a life of 79 years. This five hectare site is currently used for agriculture. Following extraction, restoration would be to a lower level using the waste materials, overburden and subsoil from the site, with the topsoil also being returned.

Observations

Eight comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>1 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This site is located to the North of Dorset and would involve movements along the local network and the A30 which links to the A37 to the north and M5 to the west. Whilst the vehicle movements would be fairly minimal further evidence should be provided to demonstrate the scale of the impact. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>2 This site is well within commuting range of gulls. The proposed restoration would be to farmland and if this were to be achieved by the use of inert waste the site would be acceptable. However a landfill operation at this site accepting food waste could without robust mitigation measures cause an intractable gull flightline crossing the western approaches to the airport at less than 2km from touchdown.</p>	<p>It is not proposed to fill this site with food waste.</p>

3 A nature conservation assessment/ecological survey should be undertaken.	Agree, further assessments will be undertaken if the site is to be progressed.
4. Water <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The site is situated on a Minor Aquifer and in close proximity to Chirrick's Brook. Consequently this requires protection. Therefore consideration should be given to any possible impact on groundwater recharge flows and levels. 	If this site were to be progressed consideration will be given to impacts on groundwater recharge flows and levels.

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Bournemouth Airport
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environment Agency
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Royal Society for the Protection of Birds

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Bower's Mine
Site Reference	MSAD PD01
Number of disagreeing comments	5
Number of observations	6
Number of agreeing comments	7

Site Description

The site is located on Tophill, Portland, to the west of Weston Road. The majority of the site is below Weston playing field. The 7.25 hectare site is suggested as an extension to Bower's Mine for the underground mining of Portland dimension stone. Extraction of 60,000 tonnes over a period of eight years is proposed, with backfilling of mine waste and possible additional quarrying waste.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
1. Safety <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Risk to workers involved 	Although no unacceptable risk to workers is envisaged as a result of mining rather than quarrying, this is a health and safety matter rather than a planning matter.
2. Mining means a reduction in the quantity of valuable resource available	It is accepted that some dimension stone would remain unworked as supporting pillars within the mine. However, mining would enable dimension stone to be extracted closer to the site boundary than through open quarrying.
3. Need <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Portland should not be under an obligation to keep supplying stone in new areas Adequate and cheaper supplies of stone elsewhere 	Portland Stone is unique and there remains a need for its extraction as a traditional dimension stone. It is not accepted that there are adequate and cheaper supplies of stone elsewhere comparable to Portland dimension stone.
4. Noise <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current noise disturbance Frequently a constant, loud disturbing noise 	Any current noise heard is likely to be generated by open quarry operations rather than underground mining. However, if this

	development were to be allocated and permitted, planning conditions would restrict noise to acceptable levels and hours of working.
5. Disturbance to cemetery <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Distress to loved ones • Older grave stones are fragile • Already signs of more than natural subsidence 	The relationship between the proposed mine and the cemetery needs to be clarified.
6. Cultural Heritage <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential damage to St George's Church from vibrations • Potential adverse impact on St George's Church from ancillary activities e.g. lorry movements • Effect on setting of St George's Church • Potential damage to historic graveyard and listed graveyard wall • Damage to church has already occurred due to blasting in Bower's Quarry 	<p>Impacts on the nearby listed church, graveyard wall and headstones and their settings are important and any potential risk to these would need to be carefully considered if this site is progressed.</p> <p>DCC is not aware of any evidence to suggest that damage has been caused to the church and has not received any complaints alleging this. If this site were to be allocated and permitted, the mining technique likely to be employed would not involve blasting.</p>
7. Impact on residents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Proximity of Courtlands Estate • Disturbance to residents • Instability • Devaluation of properties 	<p>Conditions attached to any planning permission should ensure that there is no unacceptable level of disturbance.</p> <p>Subsequent stability of the ground would need to be ensured through backfilling</p> <p>It is considered unlikely that mining would affect property values.</p>
8. Archaeology <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Archaeological site located under most (if not all) of playing field 	Mining at least 13m below the playing field is unlikely to impact archaeology; however archaeological assessment and evaluation would be required to ensure that this would be the case.
9. Conflict with MoD operations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site within two miles of degaussing range – potential to adversely affect operations 	Liaison with the MoD and Albion Stone would be needed to ensure mining operations do not adversely affect the degaussing range.
10. Water resources <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact upon Wessex Water apparatus 	If necessary, appropriate protection would need to be in place to ensure no adverse impact on Wessex Water apparatus.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

• Defence Estates
• Wessex Water
• English Heritage

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
8 Principle of mining supported	Noted.
9 Part of the area already has planning permission for quarrying	The relationship between the proposed mine and existing planning permission for quarrying will need to be clarified in any future document.

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

• Albion Stone PLC	• Natural England
• Portland Town Council	• Campaign to Protect Rural England

Observations

Six comments were classified as “observations”. Points further to those above are detailed below.

Reason for observation	DCC Response
1. Impact on residents <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No buffer zone provision shown 	An indication of the precise working area will be sought from the promoters of each site to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan. This would include working distances from properties and buffer zones, although these may be less relevant for a proposal to mine.

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Vibration 	<p>Conditions attached to any planning permission for mining should ensure no unacceptable levels of vibration.</p>
<p>2. Economic Justification</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Loss of 70 jobs unlikely due to modern working methods and machinery already having reduced manpower requirements 	<p>The impact on jobs should the site not be permitted is a matter that would need to be further investigated as there is currently insufficient evidence to indicate one way or another.</p>
<p>3. Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> SSSI and SNCI overlap and adjoin site <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential impact on nature designations of backfilling 	<p>A detailed planning application should consider the nature and scale of any effects on the Isle of Portland SSSI designation covering both Inmosthay Quarries and Bowers Quarries (geological and wildlife interests).</p> <p>Whilst there is unlikely to be any effect on the surface interests, the northern part of Weston Playing Field is recognised as a Site of Nature Conservation Interest, which would need to be protected.</p> <p>Backfilling of the mine is unlikely to have any adverse impact on nature designations.</p>
<p>4. Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Access is of substandard construction and is causing damage to footway. This could be overcome through reconstruction of at least the first 10m of the access with a bound flexible asphaltic concrete. Extra traffic may be generated Potential impact on A31 	<p>Any damage being caused to the footway as a result of access appears to be a matter for investigation. Any recommended improvements to the access could be secured through a condition attached to any planning permission granted.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>

<p>5. Backfilling</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Potential subsidence • Potential impact on drainage 	<p>Details of methods to backfill the mine will need to demonstrate that there will be no subsidence or adverse impact on drainage.</p>
<p>6. Water (EA)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is within Flood Zone 1 • One or more ordinary watercourses flowing through or close to site • Consideration should be given to any possible impact on groundwater recharge flows and levels 	<p>It is noted that the Environment Agency has no objection in terms of flood risk or from a groundwater perspective.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the Plan a strategic flood risk assessment would be undertaken. A more detailed Flood Risk Assessment would be required to support any planning application.</p>
<p>7. Sustainability Appraisal</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The ‘very limited impacts’ need identifying • No reference to secondary, tertiary or cumulative impacts 	<p>The full Sustainability Appraisal report lists individual impacts.</p>
<p>8. Map of Site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should extend further south to show adjoining residential estate 	<p>It is agreed that map coverage should be extended to the south in any future documents.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Weymouth and Portland Borough Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RSPB

Petitions/Standard Responses

Further information required/Questions for the operator/landowner

Could site be worked without conflict with MoD operations?

Could site be worked without impact on water apparatus?

Reserves of Portland Stone from existing permissions

Further research on ethical considerations for cemetery? How far below a cemetery does consecrated ground go?
Which area is CofE and therefore consecrated and which area is municipal cemetery?

Buffer zones to protect housing

Could damage be caused to church and graves?

Has permission for an extension already been granted?
Archaeological survey

Has there been any noise complaint about Bowers Mine?
Has there been any damage to St George's church from blasting and lorries at Bowers?

How would company ensure stability during and after extraction?
Subsequent stability of the ground needs to be safeguarded. Advice can be found from PPG 14 Annex 2.

Detail on backfilling proposals – reassurance regarding subsidence and drainage

Site Name	Belle Vue Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD PK01
Number of disagreeing comments	1
Number of observations	7
Number of agreeing comments	1

Site Description

This site is situated approximately 1km to the south west of Swanage. It is suggested for the extraction of 1.5 million tonnes of Purbeck Limestone which would allow operations to continue for up to 750 years. This 15 hectare site lies on agricultural land, immediately north of the existing operational Belle Vue Quarry. It is proposed to extend the existing extraction operations at Belle Vue Quarry in a north and north-west direction. Restoration is proposed to agriculture and nature conservation purposes.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
<p>1 Site Area</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too large • Not of a traditional scale • Phasing information would be helpful 	<p>It is agreed that the site area shown is excessive. Discussions with the quarry operator will be needed to provide a more specific extension area for this quarry that would provide the operator with realistic reserves for the duration of the plan period. This would ensure that quarrying continues in a traditional scale.</p> <p>If this site is to be progressed phasing information would be requested.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purbeck District Council 	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
1 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be progressive 	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Opportunities for progressive restoration will be encouraged whenever practical.

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

Observations

Seven comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
1 As there are no hibernating bat colonies there are no hibernating bats affected by the development.	It is understood that the access to this quarry crosses through the Belle Vue Quarry SSSI which is known to be a critical hibernation site for bats. Further assessment will be required to ensure that vehicle movements would not adversely affect the ability of the mine shafts in the old quarry to support the bats.
2 Traffic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts, however these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements proposed. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.
3 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities for restoration and recreation of limestone grassland and associated habitats should be sought. A strategic plan for the restoration of stone workings in the area would be of benefit. 	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Appropriate restoration is likely to be to herb-rich semi-natural grassland, with some quarry features incorporated.

	It is agreed that a strategic plan for restoration of the stone quarries in the area would be helpful.
4 Operational life of 750 years inappropriate	It is agreed that an operational life of 750 years is inappropriate. See comments above regarding the site area.

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

• Haysom W J & Son	• Lower Winterborne Parish Council
• Highways Agency	• Dorset Wildlife Trust
• Natural England	• Environment Agency

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Further information required/Questions for the operator/landowner

Check access route and location of bats?? Haysoms don't agree with us (but then they wouldn't)

Site Name	Blacklands
Site Reference	MSAD PK02
Number of disagreeing comments	0
Number of observations	4
Number of agreeing comments	4

Site Description

This site is situated about 800m south west of Langton Matravers and approximately 300m to the south of the village of Acton. It is suggested for the extraction of 52,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 26 years. The 1.34 hectare site is currently agricultural land and adjoins the existing Blacklands Quarry to the south and west and Quarry No. 4 of Acton Field to the south east. The extracted stone would be processed and stockpiled in the existing Service Area. It is proposed to restore the site back to pasture for grazing by natural regeneration to low input grassland.

No respondents disagreed with the development of this site

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
<p>2 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should be progressive • A more holistic approach to restoration should be considered including the integration of nature conservation access and geological objectives 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Opportunities for progressive restoration will be encouraged whenever practical.</p> <p>It is agreed that a strategic plan for restoration of the stone quarries in the area would be helpful.</p>
<p>3 A logical extension of an existing quarry operation</p>	<p>It is agreed that this seems to be an appropriate extension to an existing quarry. However, further information and assessment will be required to ensure there are no unacceptable adverse impacts.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purbeck District Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dorset AONB
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The National Trust

Observations

Four comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>5 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston • May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. However these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements proposed. Also there is unlikely to be any additional movements on current levels.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>6 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should include nature conservation 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore the site back to pasture for grazing by natural regeneration to low input grassland. However restoration to herb-rich semi-natural grassland with quarrying features is likely to be encouraged. The restoration of the site should also be tied in with restoration proposals for the rest of the Acton Quarries.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	California Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD PK03
Number of disagreeing comments	2
Number of observations	4
Number of agreeing comments	2

Site Description

This site is situated about 1km south west of Swanage. It is suggested for the extraction 200,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 20 years. This 8 hectare site is currently agricultural land and borders the existing California Quarry to the north. It is proposed that overburden and waste arising from extraction would continue the restoration of previously quarried land. Restoration of the site would be to low grade pasture.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
2 Site Area <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Too large site area for a twenty year life • Proximity to a Natura 2000 site and Jurassic Coast, southern boundary should be pulled back 	The current site area would ensure reserves of stone for the duration of the plan period. Further assessments are required to assess the impact of future quarrying in this area on the Natura 2000 site and the Jurassic Coast. This may result in the southern boundary of the site being pulled back to reduce adverse impacts.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

• Purbeck District Council	• Natural England
----------------------------	-------------------

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
----------------------	--------------

<p>4 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be progressive 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Opportunities for progressive restoration will be encouraged whenever practical.</p> <p>The operator has suggested that restoration could include the maintenance of an open face within the existing quarry for scientific study.</p>
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> WYG Environment
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------

Observations

Seven comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>7 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. However, these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements proposed. Also there is unlikely to be any additional movements on current levels and the site has an established access onto the local highway network.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>8 Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Impact on California Farm SNCI and South Dorset Coast SSSI and SPA 	<p>If this site were to be progressed an ecological assessment would be required together with assessment under the Habitats Regulations of the impact the Natura 2000 site.</p>
<p>9 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities for restoration and recreation of limestone grassland and associated habitats should be sought. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore to low grade pasture. However it is likely that restoration to nature</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • A strategic plan for the restoration of stone workings in the area would be of benefit. 	<p>conservation would be more appropriate and would be encouraged.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dorset Wildlife Trust

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Downs Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD PK04
Number of disagreeing comments	1
Number of observations	5
Number of agreeing comments	3

Site Description

This site is situated located about 1.2km west of Langton Matravers, approximately 900m to the north west of the village of Acton and 230m north of Kingston Road. It is suggested for the extraction of 55,000 to 70,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of between 12 and 20 years. The 3.6 hectare site is currently agricultural land being promoted by D & P Lovell Quarries limited as a northern extension to the existing Downs quarry. Restoration is proposed to agriculture and woodland.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
1 Impact on existing Wessex Water apparatus and should not be permitted. Alternatively appropriate protection should be imposed.	Account would need to be taken of Wessex Water apparatus on the site should extraction take place.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

- Wessex Water

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
5 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Prior restoration of existing operations and reduction in services. 	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Where possible the restoration of

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Current use of the site for the storage of imported stone is a concern. • A more holistic approach to restoration should be considered including the integration of nature conservation access and geological objectives 	<p>existing operations would be encouraged prior to opening up new areas however this is not always feasible.</p> <p>We are aware that there is storage of imported stone at Downs quarry.</p> <p>It is agreed that a strategic plan for restoration of the stone quarries in the area would be helpful.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

- | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campaign to Protect Rural England |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Observations

Seven comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>10 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston • May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. However, these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements proposed. Also there is unlikely to be any additional movements on current levels.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>11 Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on Downshay Wood SNCI and Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland listed on Ancient Woodland Inventory. • Stand off from any veteran trees required 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan an ecological assessment would be required.</p> <p>Where practical the retention of existing ancient trees would be encouraged. Further detail on proposed working will be required this would include stand off from important trees.</p>

<p>12 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities for restoration and recreation of broadleaved woodland species-rich grassland and associated habitats should be sought. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore to pasture land for grazing by natural regeneration. However, it is likely that restoration to woodland would be more appropriate in order to tie in with the existing SNCI wood and would be encouraged. Herb-rich semi-natural grassland would be an alternative.</p>
<p>13 Plan shows an area defined in Blue close to Quarr Farm as an existing mineral site, is this correct?</p>	<p>It is incorrect to show this area as an existing site. This land was worked for a single campaign for the extraction of Purbeck Marble. Extraction has now ceased and this site should not appear on any future plans.</p>
<p>14 Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site is within an area classified as 'no water available' at low flows by the Catchment Abstraction Management Strategy (CAMS): River Corfe CAMS unit. This should be taken into account in the assessment of the site should any abstraction licences be required. 	<p>Agree, this should be taken into account if this site is progressed.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Haysom W J & Son 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dorset Wildlife Trust
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency 	

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name Land to the South of the
B3069
Site Reference MSAD PK07
Number of disagreeing comments 2
Number of observations 4
Number of agreeing comments 1

Site Description

This site is situated on land south of the B3069 and north of the unclassified Worth Matravers to Langton Matravers road. The 0.5 hectare site is owned by the National Trust and adjoins the existing Haysom’s Acton Quarry to the south east. It is suggested for the extraction of 20,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 10 years. It is proposed that the existing service area in Acton Field would be used to process the stone. During and after extraction the waste stone would be returned to the void space. It is proposed to restore the site to grazing use.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
3 Unacceptable visual impact unless there is a compelling need for the stone.	It is agreed that extraction from this site may have an adverse impact on the open and exposed character of the Purbeck Limestone Plateau. If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact.
4 Impact on existing Wessex Water apparatus and should not be permitted. Alternatively appropriate protection should be imposed.	Account would need to be taken of Wessex Water apparatus on the site should extraction take place.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wessex Water
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------

One respondent agreed with the development of this site

The following statutory bodies/organisations agreed with the development of this site;

- | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purbeck District Council |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|

Observations

Four comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>15 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston • May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts, however these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements. Precise vehicle movements need to be established.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a <u>Transport Assessment (TA)</u> undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>16 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Opportunities for nature conservation should be considered 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore the site back to pasture for grazing. However, it is likely that restoration to nature conservation would be encouraged.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

- | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council |
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural England | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency |

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Land North of Worth
Site Reference	Matravers Road
Number of disagreeing comments	MSAD PK06
Number of observations	1
Number of agreeing comments	4
	2

Site Description

This site is situated on land to the north of the unclassified Worth Matravers road and about 210m south west of the existing Haysom’s Acton Quarry. It is suggested for the extraction of 20,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 10 years. This 0.5 hectare site is owned by the National Trust and is currently agricultural land. It is proposed that the quarry would utilise the existing service area in Acton Field to process the stone. During and after extraction the waste stone would be returned to the void space. It is proposed to restore the land to a grazing use.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
5 Impact on existing Wessex Water apparatus and should not be permitted. Alternatively appropriate protection should be imposed.	Account would need to be taken of Wessex Water apparatus on the site should extraction take place.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

- Wessex Water

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
-----------------------------	---------------------

6 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be progressive 	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Opportunities for progressive restoration will be encouraged whenever practical.
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purbeck District Council
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Observations

Seven comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
17 Traffic <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts, however these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements. Precise vehicle movements need to be established.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
18 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities for nature conservation should be considered 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore the site back to pasture for grazing. It is likely that restoration to nature conservation would be encouraged.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environment Agency

Petitions/Standard Responses: None

Site Name	Quarr Farm
Site Reference	MSAD PK08
Number of disagreeing comments	2
Number of observations	5
Number of agreeing comments	1

Site Description

The site is situated about 750m to the north west of Langton Matravers. It is suggested for the extraction of 96,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 40 years. The 3.3 hectare site is currently agricultural land. It is proposed that the site would be worked from east to west, so that the excavated land can be in-filled with inert waste and landscaped and then left undisturbed to regenerate as the next section of the site is developed.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
<p>1 Visual impact</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Views from Ballard Down should be protected 	<p>It is considered that there may be an issue regarding the cumulative landscape and visual impact in relation to existing workings to the south west of this site.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact.</p>
<p>2 Poor access</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conflict with local and holiday traffic Damage to road verges 	<p>It is agreed that access to this site would be along a narrow minor road. However due to the small number of vehicle movements this may be considered acceptable. If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on access and traffic impacts.</p> <p>It is accepted that there could be damage to road verges this would need to be addressed by the mineral operator if this site were progressed.</p>

<p>3 Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on existing Wessex Water apparatus and should not be permitted. Alternatively appropriate protection should be imposed. • Water contamination 	<p>Account would need to be taken of Wessex Water apparatus on the site should extraction take place. With regards to contamination, pollution prevention is the responsibility of the Environment Agency with whom the Mineral Planning Authority will work closely with.</p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wessex Water
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------------------------------

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
<p>7 Four loads a day may be acceptable in this otherwise narrow lane.</p>	<p>Agree, however if this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on access and traffic impacts.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purbeck District Council

Observations

Five comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>19 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston • May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of the suitability of local roads and any increased risk of accidents.</p>

<p>20 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Should include nature conservation • Opportunities for restoration and recreation of broadleaved woodland species-rich grassland and associated habitats should be sought. • A strategic plan for the restoration of stone workings in the area would be of benefit. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is likely that restoration to nature conservation would be encouraged.</p> <p>It is agreed that a strategic plan for restoration of the stone quarries in the area would be helpful.</p>
<p>21 The appropriate water authority should be consulted with regard to adjacent reservoirs</p>	<p>Agree</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dorset Wildlife Trust
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency 	

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name Land South of Acton Field and Priest's Way
Site Reference MSAD PK05
Number of disagreeing comments 0
Number of observations 3
Number of agreeing comments 2

Site Description

This site is situated on land south of Acton Field and south of Priest's Way, which runs adjacent to the northern boundary of the field. It is suggested for the extraction of 20,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 10 years. This 0.5 hectare site is owned by the National Trust and is currently agricultural land. It is proposed that to use the existing service area in Acton Field to process the stone. During and after extraction the waste stone would be returned to the void space. It is proposed to restore the land to grazing use.

No respondents disagreed with the development of this site

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
8 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should be progressive 	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Opportunities for progressive restoration will be encouraged whenever practical.

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purbeck District Council
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Observations

Three comments were classified as "observations".

Observation	DCC Response
<p>1 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts, however these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements. Precise vehicle movements need to be established.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>2 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Should include nature conservation 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore the site back to pasture for grazing. It is likely that restoration to nature conservation would be encouraged.</p>
<p>3 Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is situated fairly close to a number of springs that issue from the Worbarrow Trout Limestone Member within the SSSI. Impact on groundwater recharge flows and levels should be considered 	<p>It is agreed that potential impacts on hydrology will need to be investigated in greater detail.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Natural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environment Agency 	

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name South Downs Quarry
Site Reference MSAD PK09
Number of disagreeing comments 0
Number of observations 6
Number of agreeing comments 1

Site Description

This site is situated about 1.6km north west of Langton Matravers. It is suggested for the extraction of 60,000 to 120,000 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of between 12 and 21 years. This 1.4 hectare site is currently agricultural land immediately north of the existing South Downs Quarry. Restoration is proposed to pasture for grazing by natural regeneration to low input grassland.

No respondents disagreed with the development of this site

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
9 Site supported subject to prior restoration of existing workings and protection of archaeological features	Where possible the restoration of existing operations would be encouraged prior to opening up new areas however this is not always feasible. If this site were to be progressed there would be the need for archaeological assessment and evaluation

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

- Campaign to Protect Rural England

Observations

Six comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>22 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant. A TA is likely to include an assessment of the suitability of local roads and any increased risk of accidents.</p>
<p>23 Access through SNCI</p>	<p>The arrow is incorrectly placed on the plan in the consultation document. Access would not be through the Downshay Wood SNCI but from the existing mineral site to the south.</p>
<p>24 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities for restoration and recreation of broadleaved woodland species-rich grassland and associated habitats should be sought. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. It is currently proposed to restore to pasture for grazing by natural regeneration. However, it is likely that restoration to mixed woodland and herb-rich semi-natural grassland would be more appropriate in order to reflect the adjacent SNCI wildlife interest and would be encouraged.</p>
<p>25 Is there a difference between the sites in terms of their importance towards ensuring a continuity of supply? It is noticeable that the 'Proposed Life Operation' indication for this mineral does not appear to relate closely to the site area of the proposed sites</p>	<p>If this site is progressed further detail will be required on the reserve present. However, a site area such as this is likely to have a proposed life of between 12 and 21 years as the output proposed is quite low.</p>
<p>26 Biodiversity</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Adverse impact on SNCI should be avoided by altering access and an appropriate buffer Stand off required from any veteran trees 	<p>See comments regarding access above.</p> <p>Where practical the retention of existing ancient trees would be encouraged. Further detail on proposed working will be required this would include stand off from important trees.</p>
<p>27 Water Resources</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Site is within an area classified as 'no water available' at low flows by the Catchment Abstraction Management Strategy (CAMS): River Corfe CAMS unit. This should be taken into 	<p>Agree, this should be taken into account if this site is progressed.</p>

account in the assessment of the site should any abstraction licences be required.	
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

• Highways Agency	• Lower Winterborne Parish Council
• Government Office for the South West	• Dorset Wildlife Trust
• Environment Agency	• Purbeck District Council

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	Southard Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD PK10
Number of disagreeing comments	0
Number of observations	5
Number of agreeing comments	2

Site Description

The site is situated about 560m to the south west of Swanage. It is suggested for the extraction of 107,500 tonnes of Purbeck limestone over a period of 215 years. This 0.5 hectare site owned by W.J. Haysom and Son is currently agricultural land within a previous permission area. The site adjoins the existing Southard Quarry to the west. Restoration is proposed to herb-rich semi-natural grassland, with quarry features incorporated where appropriate.

No respondents disagreed with the development of this site

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
10 Restoration <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Open quarry features should be retained or extended 	If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Restoration to herb-rich semi-natural grassland with quarry features incorporated where appropriate would be an option.

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Campaign to Protect Rural England 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Purbeck District Council
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------

Observations

Five comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>28 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. However these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle movements proposed. Also there is unlikely to be any additional movements on current levels.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>29 Is there a difference between the sites in terms of their importance towards ensuring a continuity of supply? It is noticeable that the 'Proposed Life Operation' indication for this mineral does not appear to relate closely to the site area of the proposed sites</p>	<p>The reason that the proposed life of this operation is so long is due to the very low estimated output of 500 tonnes per annum. This will be clarified.</p>
<p>30 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Opportunities for restoration and recreation of limestone grassland and associated habitats should be sought. A strategic plan for the restoration of stone workings in the area would be of benefit. 	<p>If this site were to be progressed more detail on the restoration proposed would be sought. Restoration to herb-rich semi-natural grassland with quarry features incorporated where appropriate would be an option.</p> <p>It is agreed that a strategic plan for restoration of the stone quarries in the area would be helpful.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Environment Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Dorset Wildlife Trust
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Government Office for the South West 	

Petitions/Standard Responses

None

Site Name	St Aldhelm's Quarry
Site Reference	MSAD PK11
Number of disagreeing comments	1
Number of observations	7
Number of agreeing comments	1

Site Description

The site is an extension to an existing operation situated about 1.3km south west of Worth Matravers. It is suggested for the extraction of 65,000 tonnes of Purbeck Portland Stone over a period of 128 years. This 0.8 hectare site is proposed to be operated by W.J.Haysom and Son. Restoration is proposed to herb-rich semi-natural grassland, with quarry features incorporated where appropriate. The existing St. Aldhelm's Head Quarry is recognised as a RIGS, and restoration of any future extension could offer an opportunity to extend the known interest.

Summary of main reasons for disagreement for this site

Reason for disagreement	DCC Response
2 Negative landscape and visual impact on AONB and World Heritage Site. However given the importance of this site for church repairs consider a smaller, less intrusive site.	If this site were to be progressed further work will need to be undertaken on landscape impact and mitigation measures. Alternative extensions to the area suggested will be discussed with the promoter to ascertain whether a less visually intrusive site could be brought forward.
3 Impact on archaeological features	A variety of archaeological sites and landscape features have been found in this area. Archaeological assessment and evaluation would therefore be required. There is a barrow lying close to the site's boundary which should be protected with its setting.

The following statutory bodies/organisations disagree with the development of this site;

• Dorset AONB	• English Heritage
---------------	--------------------

Summary of main reasons for agreement for this site

Reason for agreement	DCC Response
<p>11 Restoration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support subject to prior restoration of existing works and protection of archaeological features. 	<p>Where possible the restoration of existing operations would be encouraged prior to opening up new areas however this is not always feasible.</p> <p>If this site were to be progressed there would be the need for archaeological assessment and evaluation.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations agree with the development of this site;

• Campaign to Protect Rural England

Observations

Seven comments were classified as “observations”.

Observation	DCC Response
<p>31 Archaeology</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> No important archaeological features lie within the proposed extension to the quarry. An iron age field system and tumulus lie close by. Scheduled Ancient Monument adjacent to site should be protected. 	<p>As there are a variety of recorded archaeological sites in the area there would be the need for archaeological assessment and evaluation.</p> <p>Agreed, the Scheduled Monument and its setting would need to be protected.</p>
<p>32 Traffic</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stone extraction would further increase traffic issues on the A31 between Bere Regis and Ferndown and C6 through Winterborne Kingston 	<p>If this site were to be progressed to the next stage of the plan further work will need to be undertaken on traffic impacts. However these are likely to be minimal due to the small number of vehicle</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • May be an impact on the SRN along the A350 to the north 	<p>movements proposed. Also there is unlikely to be any additional movements on current levels.</p> <p>Any planning application would need to be accompanied by a Transport Assessment (TA) undertaken by the applicant.</p>
<p>33 With a proposed life of operation of 128 years it is unclear when the restoration would start/be completed and therefore how soon restoration benefits could be realistically provided?</p>	<p>It is agreed that further discussions with the quarry operator should be undertaken to ascertain a proposed working area that would provide the operator with adequate reserves for the duration of the plan period. Additionally, if this site is to be progressed phasing information and restoration proposals would be requested.</p>

The following statutory bodies/organisations made comments classified as “observations”

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Haysom W J & Son 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Lower Winterborne Parish Council
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Purbeck District Council 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government Office for the South West
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Highways Agency 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Natural England
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Environment Agency 	

Petitions/Standard Responses

None