



**Purbeck District Council**  
Purbeck Local Plan  
**'Planning Purbeck's Future'**  
**Main Modifications to the Core Strategy**  
Representation Form (June/July 2012)

**Your Details**

**Agent's Details** (*where relevant*)

Title	Mr	
Name	Justin Milward	
Job Title ( <i>where relevant</i> )	Regional & Local Government Officer	
Organisation ( <i>where relevant</i> )	Woodland Trust	
Address	Jayrise, Butcombe, Bristol	
Postcode	BS40 7UT	
E-mail	justinmilward@woodlandtrust.org.uk	
Tel. Number	08452 935 739	

**Responses should be sent to:**

**Email:**     **ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk**

or

**Post:**     Planning Policy, FREEPOST RSAX-LTRK-TRKE, Purbeck District Council,  
Westport House, Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 4PP

**Fax:**     01929 557348

**Representations will only be accepted that refer to a change shown in the Schedule of Main Modifications, or to the Habitats Regulations Assessment Update or Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal.**

**Return to Purbeck District Council by Tuesday 31<sup>st</sup> July 2012**

Late or anonymous representations will not be accepted. All representations received will be published on the Council's website, along with your name.

An example of a completed form is available on the Council's website.

Alternatively, if you would like help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team.

For further information, visit [http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck\\_consultation](http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation), email **ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk** or call 01929 557359 to speak to a member of the Planning Policy Team.

You should comment only on the Main Modifications, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal.

Responses on the above documents will be sent to the Planning Inspector. **Therefore, you do not need to repeat your previous comments or re-submit your previous representations.**

The Inspector will decide if further public hearing sessions are required as part of the examination process. All representations on matters of soundness will be fully considered by the Inspector. You may choose to request to appear at a public hearing to clarify your comments on the Main Modifications. Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input type="checkbox"/> <b>No</b> , I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Yes</b> , I wish to participate at the oral examination
---	---

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary in the space below:

Please note that the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature J A G Milward

Date 31/7/12

**Representations:**

You are asked to comment on the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal:

**Part A: Legal Compliance** – Has the **process** of preparing this Core Strategy been followed in accordance with national guidance?

**Part B: Soundness** – Is the **content** of the Core Strategy sound, in other words, is it ‘justified’, ‘effective’ and ‘consistent with national policy’

Please use the forms overleaf to submit your response.

**FORM A: Your Comments on Legal Compliance**

**Are the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy legally compliant?**

*(In other words, has the **process** of preparing this version of the Core Strategy been followed in accordance with national guidance?)*

Yes

No

No Comment

**Comments:**

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

**FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications**

**PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER**

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM52

*Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.*

**Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?**

*(In other words is the Main Modification 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')*

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

*(tick all that apply)*

It is not 'justified'  
*(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)*

It is not 'effective'  
*(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)*

It is not 'consistent with national policy'

*(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)*

**Comments:**

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

**POLICY BIO**

Whilst we are pleased to see the proposed addition to Policy BIO incorporating ancient woodland and aged or veteran trees -

- "Need to effectively mitigate any significant adverse impacts upon Sites of Nature Conservation Interest (SNCI), National Nature Reserves (NNR), Local Nature Reserves (LNR), Ancient Woodland, aged or veteran trees, UK Biodiversity Action Plan habitat, wetland interests (for example, watercourses, ponds, reedbeds), and other habitats of principal importance for biodiversity" -

we are objecting because of the reference to 'mitigate any significant adverse impacts' - it is not possible to mitigate the damage to or destruction of ancient woodland and ancient trees. In terms of compensatory measures, it is impossible to replace ancient woodland as this habitat has evolved over centuries and it is impossible to replicate hundreds of years of ecological evolution by planting a new site or attempting to translocate it.

Ancient woodland, together with ancient/veteran trees, represents an irreplaceable semi natural habitat that still does not benefit from full statutory protection: for instance 86% of ancient woodland in the South West has no statutory protection. This is particularly relevant as ancient woodland is still facing considerable threats – research from the Woodland Trust shows that in the last decade 100 square miles (26,000 hectares or 5% of the total amount of ancient woodland remaining in the UK) of ancient woodland in the UK has come under threat from destruction or degradation.

With Purbeck DC showing a marginally above average proportion (as a % of land area) of ancient woodland at 2.86% compared to a Great Britain average of 2.40%, it is vital that this valuable natural resource is absolutely protected.

- The Government's recently published Natural Environment White Paper – The Natural Choice: securing the value of nature (HM Government, July 2011, para 2.56) states that: 'The Government is committed to providing appropriate protection to ancient woodlands....'
- The 'UK Forestry Standard' sets out the UK Government's approach to sustainable forestry. It states: "ancient semi-natural woods...are of special value." The Standard has a series of UK-wide aims for semi-natural woodland and clearly states: "the area occupied by semi-natural woodland should not be reduced." (Forestry Authority, 1998, UK Forestry Standard: Standard Note 5, pp.41-43).
- The draft National Policy Planning Framework clearly states: "...planning permission should be refused for development resulting in the loss or deterioration of irreplaceable habitats, including ancient woodland and the loss of aged or veteran trees found outside ancient woodland..." (DCLG, July 2011, para 169).
- Under section 74 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000, the Government has a statutory duty to publish lists of priority conservation habitats. Under section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006, all public authorities now have a statutory duty to conserve biodiversity. The UK BAP targets includes a Habitat Action Plan for Native Woodland which specifies a clear 'maintenance' target of no more loss of ancient woodland - <http://www.ukbap.org.uk/BAPGroupPage.aspx?id=98>. It is therefore axiomatic that Purbeck DC has a statutory obligation to protect ancient woodland.
- The SW Forestry Framework (Forestry Commission, 2005) contains a key objective to 'Protect, improve and manage Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland...'

**Suggested Alterations:**

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

We would like to see further amendments to the 4<sup>th</sup> bullet point under New Development to make it consistent with national policy as indicated in upper case below

- "Need to effectively mitigate any significant adverse impacts upon Sites of Nature Conservation Interest (SNCI), National Nature Reserves (NNR), Local Nature Reserves (LNR), UK Biodiversity Action Plan habitat, wetland interests (for example, watercourses, ponds, reedbeds), and other habitats of principal importance for biodiversity WITH ANCIENT WOODLAND AND ANCIENT TREES FULLY PROTECTED" -

Note: Please use a separate sheet when responding to more than one Main Modification. Additional sheets can be photocopied and attached to this form or downloaded from [www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck\\_consultation](http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation)

**FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications**

**PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER**

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM80

*Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.*

**Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?**

*(In other words is the proposed change 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')*

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

*(tick all that apply)*

It is not 'justified'  
*(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)*

It is not 'effective'  
*(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)*

It is not 'consistent with national policy'

*(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)*

**Comments:**

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

New Appendix 5  
Guidelines for the establishment of Suitable Alternative Natural Green Space (SANGS)

Accessibility - Reaching the SANGS

We are pleased to see the reference to creating accessible SANGS. The Woodland Trust believes that proximity and access to woodland is a key issue linking the environment with health and wellbeing provision.

Recognising this, the Woodland Trust has researched and developed the Woodland Access Standard (WAS<sub>t</sub>) for local authorities to aim for, encapsulated in our Space for People publication. We believe that the WAS<sub>t</sub> can be an important policy tool complimenting other access standards used in delivering green infrastructure for health benefits.



The WAST is complimentary to Natural England's ANGST+ and is endorsed by Natural England. The Woodland Trust Woodland Access Standard recommends:

- that no person should live more than 500m from at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 2ha in size
- that there should also be at least one area of accessible woodland of no less than 20ha within 4km (8km round-trip) of people's homes.

Applying this standard in Purbeck DC, with a comparison against Dorset County Council and the South West as a whole, gives the following figures (see table below). It shows that Purbeck exhibits below average access to the larger woodland category in comparison with the South West as a whole. This presents an excellent opportunity for creating more accessible larger woodlands to improve health & well being opportunities for sustainable communities and neighbourhoods. The data used can be supplied free of charge by the Woodland Trust both in map and in numerical/GIS form.

Accessibility to Woodland in Purbeck using the Woodland Trust Woodland Access Standard  
2ha Wood: Purbeck DC - 17.2%, Dorset CC - 12.3%, SW - 12.8%  
20Ha Wood: Purbeck DC - 59.3%, Dorset CC - 49.5%, SW - 67.4%

'Space for People' is the first UK-wide assessment of any form of greenspace - the full 'Space for People' report can be found at <http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/about-us/publications/key-publications/space-for-people/Pages/space-for-people.aspx>.



**FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications**

**PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER**

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications to the Core Strategy in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM80
------

*Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.*

**Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be ‘Sound’?**

*(In other words is the proposed change ‘justified’, ‘effective’ and ‘consistent with national policy’)*

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen ‘No’, do you consider this Main Modification to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

*(tick all that apply)*

It is not ‘justified’   
*(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn’t provide the most appropriate strategy)*

It is not ‘effective’   
*(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)*

It is not ‘consistent with national policy’

*(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)*

**Comments:**

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

New Appendix 5  
Guidelines for the establishment of Suitable Alternative Natural Green Space (SANGS)

Landscape and Vegetation

We are pleased to see the reference to deciduous woodland being a suitable habitat for SANGS but suggest that this would be more effective coupled with a 'two for one' initiative in terms of new tree planting to compensate for removal of trees from heathland for mitigation purposes.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) supports the need for more native woodland creation by stating that: ‘Local planning authorities should: set out a strategic approach in their Local Plans, planning positively for the creation, protection, enhancement and management of networks of biodiversity and green infrastructure’, (DCLG, March 2012, para 114). Also para 117 states that: ‘To minimise impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity, planning policies should:....promote the preservation, restoration and re-creation of priority habitats, ecological

networks and the protection and recovery of priority species populations, linked to national and local targets, and identify suitable indicators for monitoring biodiversity in the plan’.

The new England Biodiversity Strategy which makes it clear that expansion of priority habitats like native woodland remains a key aim – ‘Priority action: Bring a greater proportion of our existing woodlands into sustainable management and expand the area of woodland in England’, (Biodiversity 2020: A strategy for England’s wildlife and ecosystems services, DEFRA 2011, p.26).

A reading of these new policies in the National Planning Policy Framework together with the England Biodiversity Strategy indicates that native woodland creation should form a high priority for this Core Strategy.

As the UK is one of the least wooded areas of Europe, with just 11.8% woodland cover compared to around 44% for Europe as a whole, the Woodland Trust is therefore working to achieve its ambitious aim of doubling native woodland cover over the next 50 years. The Woodland Trust believes that woodland creation is especially important because of the unique ability of woodland to deliver across a wide range of benefits – see our publication Woodland Creation – why it matters (<http://www.woodlandtrust.org.uk/en/about-us/publications/Pages/ours.aspx>). These include for both landscape and biodiversity (helping habitats become more robust to adapt to climate change, buffering and extending fragmented ancient woodland), for quality of life and climate change (amenity & recreation, public health, flood amelioration, urban cooling) and for the local economy (timber and woodfuel markets).

Woodland creation also forms a significant element in the conclusions of the Government’s just published Independent Panel on Forestry, which states: ‘Ensure woodland creation, tree planting and maintenance is part of the green space plan for new commercial and housing development’ (Defra, Final Report, July 2012). It also recommends: ‘Government to commit to an ambition to sustainably increase England’s woodland cover from 10% to 15% by 2060, working with other landowners to create a more wooded landscape’.



# Guidance Note for Completing Representation Form

## 1. Introduction

- 1.1 Consultation on the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy is made as part of the examination process and responses will be considered by the Planning Inspector. The Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004<sup>1</sup> (the 2004 Act) states that the purpose of the examination is to consider whether the Core Strategy complies with the legal requirements and is '**sound**'.
- If you are seeking to make representations on the **way** in which the Council has prepared the Core Strategy it is likely that your comments or objections will relate to a matter of **legal compliance**.
  - If it is the **actual content** on which you wish to comment or object it is likely it will relate to whether the Core Strategy is **justified, effective or consistent with national policy**.

## 2. Legal Compliance

- 2.1 The Inspector will first check that the Core Strategy meets the legal requirements under s20(5)(a) of the 2004 Act before moving on to test for soundness. You should consider the following before making a representation on legal compliance:
- The Core Strategy should be within the current Local Development Scheme<sup>2</sup> (LDS) and the key stages should have been followed. The LDS is effectively a programme of work prepared by the Council, setting out the plans it proposes to produce over a 3 year period. It will set out the key stages in the production of the Core Strategy which the Council proposes to bring forward for independent examination. If the Core Strategy is not in the current LDS it should not have been published for representations.
  - The process of community involvement for the DPD in question should be in general accordance with the Council's Statement of Community Involvement (SCI)<sup>3</sup>. The SCI is a document which sets out the Council's strategy for involving the community in the preparation and revision of its plans, including the Core Strategy.
  - The Core Strategy should comply with the Town and County Planning (Local Development) (England Regulations) 2004 as amended<sup>4</sup>. Prior to submission the Council must publish the documents prescribed in the regulations, and make them available at their principal offices and their website. The Council must also place local advertisements and notify the statutory bodies (as set out in the regulations) and any persons who have requested to be notified.
  - The Council is required to publish a Sustainability Appraisal report prior to submitting the Core Strategy. This should identify the process by which the Sustainability Appraisal has been carried out, and the baseline information used to inform the process and the outcomes of that process. Sustainability Appraisal is a tool for appraising policies to ensure they reflect social, environmental, and economic factors.
  - The Core Strategy should have regard to national policy set out in Planning Policy Statements/Guidance and Circulars<sup>5</sup>.

---

<sup>1</sup> [http://www.opsi.gov.uk/ACTS/acts2004/ukpga\\_20040005\\_en\\_1](http://www.opsi.gov.uk/ACTS/acts2004/ukpga_20040005_en_1)

<sup>2</sup> <http://www.dorsetforyou.com/lids/purbeck> and can be viewed at District Council offices

<sup>3</sup> <http://www.dorsetforyou.com/sci/purbeck> and can be viewed at District Council offices

<sup>4</sup> <http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2004/20042204.htm> (2004 regulations) and

[http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2008/pdf/uksi\\_20081371\\_en.pdf](http://www.opsi.gov.uk/si/si2008/pdf/uksi_20081371_en.pdf) (2008 amending regulations)

<sup>5</sup> <http://www.planningportal.gov.uk/planning/planningpolicyandlegislation/currentenglishpolicy>

- The Core Strategy must have regard to any Sustainable Community Strategy (SCS) for its area (i.e. county and district). These are the Purbeck Community Plan 2009-2020<sup>6</sup> and The Community Strategy for Dorset (2007-2016)<sup>7</sup>.

### 3. Soundness

3.1 To be sound a Core Strategy should be:

- **Justified**

This means that the Core Strategy should be founded on a robust and credible evidence base involving:

- Evidence of participation of the local community and others having a stake in the area
- Research/fact finding: the choices made in the plan are backed up by facts

The Core Strategy should also provide the most appropriate strategy when considered against reasonable alternatives. These alternatives should be realistic and subject to sustainability appraisal. The Core Strategy should show how the policies and proposals help to ensure that the social, environmental, economic and resource use objectives of sustainability will be achieved.

- **Effective**

This means the Core Strategy should be deliverable, embracing:

- Sound infrastructure delivery planning
- Having no regulatory or national planning barriers to delivery
- Delivery partners who are signed up to it
- Coherence with the strategies of neighbouring authorities

The Core Strategy should also be flexible and able to be monitored by:

- Indicating who is to be responsible for making sure that the policies and proposals happen and when they will happen.
- Being flexible to deal with changing circumstances, which may involve minor changes to respond to the outcome of the monitoring process or more significant changes to respond to problems such as lack of funding for major infrastructure proposals. Although it is important that policies are flexible, the Core Strategy should make clear that major changes may require a formal review including public consultation.
- Ensuring that any measures which the Council has included to make sure that targets are met are clearly linked to an Annual Monitoring Report. This report must be produced each year by all local authorities and will show whether the Core Strategy needs amendment. The monitoring framework is in Appendix 3 of the Core Strategy.

- **Consistent with national policy**

The Core Strategy should be consistent with national policy. Where there is a departure, the Council must provide clear and convincing reasoning to justify their approach. Conversely, you may feel the Council should include a policy or policies which would depart from national policy to some degree in order to meet a clearly identified and fully justified local need, but they have not done so. In this instance it will be important for you to say in your representations what the local circumstances are that justify a different policy approach to that in national policy and support your assertion with evidence.

<sup>6</sup> <http://www.dorsetforyou.com/media.jsp?mediaid=149032&filetype=pdf> and can be viewed at District Council offices

<sup>7</sup> <http://www.dorsetforyou.com/dorsetcommunitystrategy> and can be viewed at District Council offices

- 3.2 If you think the content of a Core Strategy is not sound because it does not include a policy where it should do, you should go through the following steps before making representations:
- Is the issue with which you are concerned already covered specifically by any national planning policy? If so it does not need to be included.
  - Is what you are concerned with covered by any other policies in the Core Strategy on which you are seeking to make representations or in any other part of the Purbeck Local Plan<sup>8</sup>. There is no need for repetition between documents in the Local Plan.
  - If the policy is not covered elsewhere, in what way is the Core Strategy unsound without the policy and what should the policy say?

#### 4. General advice

- 4.1 The modifications are set out in the Schedule of Main Modifications. You can only comment on these, or the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement, or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal. Comments should not be made on text that has not been modified.
- 4.2 Form A is for comments on Legal Compliance and should only be completed once. You should only comment on whether the preparation of the Proposed Changes to the Core Strategy is legally compliant, rather than commenting on earlier versions.
- 4.3 Form B is for comments on Soundness. You should complete a separate form for each proposed change. You will need to state whether each change is sound or not. If you seek to amend the Core Strategy, you should support your comments with evidence as to why it should be altered and provide alternative wording. After this stage, further submissions will be only at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues he/she identifies for examination.
- 4.2 Where there are groups who share a common view on how they wish to see a Core Strategy changed, it would be very helpful for that group to send a single representation which represents the view, rather than for a large number of individuals to send in separate representations which repeat the same points. In such cases the group should indicate how many people it is representing and how the representation has been authorised.
- 4.3 Further detailed guidance on the preparation, publication and examination of Core Strategies is provided in The Plan Making Manual<sup>9</sup>.

---

<sup>8</sup> <http://www.dorsetforyou.com/ldf/purbeck>

<sup>9</sup> <http://www.pas.gov.uk/pas/core/page.do?pageId=51391>



## **'Planning Purbeck's Future': Main Modifications to the Core Strategy Statement of Representations Procedure**

The Purbeck Core Strategy will replace the Purbeck District Local Plan Final Edition (2004) as the strategic planning document. The Council submitted the Core Strategy for Examination in January 2012 and public hearings were held during May 2012. A number of issues have been raised, requiring some further amendment to the Core Strategy. These amendments are set out in the following consultation documents: Schedule of Main Modifications, Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal (June 2012).

### **Subject Matter and Area Covered by the Document**

Covering the period 2006-2027 the Core Strategy determines the location and distribution of new development across Purbeck District, allocating three strategic housing sites at Lytchett Matravers, Wareham and Upton. It also contains development management policies that will be used to determine planning applications.

### **Period for Representations**

The consultation period begins **19th June 2012**. Representations received after **31<sup>st</sup> July 2012** will not be accepted. Representations should be made on the official response form, and sent to [ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk](mailto:ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk), or by post to *Planning Policy, Purbeck District Council, Westport House, Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 4PP*, or fax to 01929 557348.

**Please note that we will only accept representations referring to the changes shown in the 'Schedule of Main Modifications' and with the correct reference number (e.g. MM1).**

The Council will forward all representations to the Inspector, there is no need to re-submit previous representations. Responses will be published.

If you wish to continue to be contacted on planning policy matters following the completion of the Examination of the Core Strategy, and/or when the inspector's report is published, and/or when the Core Strategy is adopted, please complete the attached form to confirm.

### **Consultation Arrangements**

All consultation documents and response forms are available to view on the council's website ([http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck\\_consultation](http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation)) and at the council's offices (Mon-Thurs 8:45am-4:45pm, and Fri 8:45am-4:15pm). Hard copies of the consultation documents can be purchased for £10 inc. P&P. There is no charge for the response forms.

A hard copy of the **Main Modifications to the Purbeck Core Strategy** is also available for inspection at: **Corfe Castle Library**, East Street, Corfe Castle (Mon 2.30pm-4:30pm, Wed 4:30pm-6.30pm, Sat 10am-12pm), **Dorchester Library**, Colliton Park, Dorchester (Mon 10am-5.30pm, Tue 9:30am-7pm, Wed 9:30am-1pm, Thu 9:30am-5.30pm, Fri 9:30am-7pm, Sat 9am-4pm), **Lytchett Matravers Library**, High Street, Lytchett Matravers (Mon 9.30am-1pm/2pm-5pm, Tue 2pm-5pm, Thu 9.30am-1pm, Fri 2pm-7pm, Sat 9.30am-12:30pm), **Poole Central Library**, Dolphin Centre, Poole (Mon-Fri 9am-6pm, Sat 9am-5pm), **Upton Library**, Corner House, Upton Cross, Poole (Mon 2pm-5pm, Tue 9:30am-12.30pm, Wed 9:30am-12.30pm/2pm-6.30pm, Fri 2pm-5pm, Sat 9am-12:30pm), **Lytchett Minster & Upton Town Council**, 1 Moorland Parade, Moorland Way, Upton (Mon-Thu 9am-12.30pm), **Swanage Library**, High Street, Swanage (Mon 10am-6.30pm, Wed 9:30am-5pm, Fri 9:30am-5pm, Sat 9.30am-4pm), **Swanage Town Council**, Town Hall, High Street, Swanage (Mon-Fri 10pm-1pm/2pm-4pm), **Wareham Library**, South Street, Wareham (Mon 10am-5pm, Tue 2pm-6.30pm, Thu 9:30am-5pm, Fri 9:30am-5pm, Sat 9am-12:30pm), **Wareham Town Council**, Town Hall, Wareham (Mon-Fri 10pm-1pm), **Wool Library**, D'Urberville Centre, Colliers Lane, Wool (Tue 3pm-6pm, Thu 10am-12pm, Sat 10am-12pm).