

Purbeck Local Plan

Purbeck District Council Purbeck District Council Community Planning & Policy

- 9 JUL 2012

'Planning Purbeck's Future' Main Modifications to the Core Strategy

Representation Form (June/July 2012) ABCDE

FILE REF:

**Your Details** 

Agent's Details (where relevant)

Title	MISS
Name	FENELLA BURBIDGE
Job Title	<b>S</b>
(where relevant)	-
Organisation	
(where relevant)	
Address	16 STOUR VIEW
	GARDENS, CORFE HULLEN, WILL BORNE
Postcode	7
	BH21 3TL
E-mail work	auropawercommerce abt connect. com
Tel. Number	01202 884647

#### Responses should be sent to:

Email:

ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk

or

Post:

Planning Policy, FREEPOST RSAX-LTRK-TRKE, Purbeck District Council,

Westport House, Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 4PP

Fax:

01929 557348

Representations will only be accepted that refer to a change shown in the Schedule of Main Modifications, or to the Habitats Regulations Assessment Update or Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal.

# Return to Purbeck District Council by Tuesday 31st July 2012

Late or anonymous representations will not be accepted. All representations received will be published on the Council's website, along with your name.

An example of a completed form is available on the Council's website.

Alternatively, if you would like help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team.

For further information, visit http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck\_consultation, email ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk or call 01929 557359 to speak to a member of the Planning Policy Team.

You should comment only on the Main Modifications, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal.

Responses on the above documents will be sent to the Planning Inspector. Therefore, you do not need to repeat your previous comments or re-submit your previous representations.

The Inspector will decide if further public hearing sessions are required as part of the examination process. All representations on matters of soundness will be fully considered by the Inspector. You may choose to request to appear at a public hearing to clarify your comments on the Main Modifications. Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

■ No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination

■ Yes, I wish to participate at the oral examination

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary in the space below:

I can express my views clearly on the Core Strategy. The ovar part of the Public Meeting shows democracy working at its bost.

Please note that the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature

Date 9-7-2012

#### Representations:

You are asked to comment on the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal:

Part A: Legal Compliance – Has the process of preparing this Core Strategy been followed in accordance with national guidance?

Part B: Soundness – Is the content of the Core Strategy sound, in other words, is it 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy'

Please use the forms overleaf to submit your response.

### **FORM A: Your Comments on Legal Compliance**

Yes	No -	No Comment □
omments: ease use the space below	to provide more detailed c	omments (expand box as necessary)

## FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

## PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):							
MM31							
Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.							
Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?							
(In other words is the Main Modification 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')							
Yes No No Comment							
If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:							
(tick all that apply)							
It is not 'justified' (i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)							
It is not 'effective' (i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)							
It is not 'consistent with national policy'							
(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)							
Comments: Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)							
If the owner of the Huntick Road site							
does not comply with mitagation							
requirements, P.D.C should consider							
other sites to incleane blackitily							
of their plan in Lytchett Matrowers.							

]			
4			
1			
1			
1			
1			
1			
İ			

**Suggested Alterations:** 

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

by brotter and I can affer an alternatus site at beauts Drove, alternatus site at beauts Drove, which would protect the health land at Sherferd Road, as we can provide one acre of land for dog walking and hecheatronal are.

Note: Please use a separate sheet when responding to more than one Main Modification. Additional sheets can be photocopied and attached to this form or downloaded from www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck\_consultation