



Purbeck District Council
Purbeck Local Plan
'Planning Purbeck's Future'
Main Modifications to the Core Strategy
Representation Form (June/July 2012)

Your Details

Agent's Details (*where relevant*)

Title	Mrs	
Name	Julie Wright	
Job Title (<i>where relevant</i>)	Parish Clerk	
Organisation (<i>where relevant</i>)	West Lulworth Parish Council	
Address	Charlecote, Holme Lane, East Stoke, Wareham,	
Postcode	BH20 6AP	
E-mail	westlulworth@dorsetparishes.gov.uk	
Tel. Number		

Responses should be sent to:

Email: **ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk**

or

Post: Planning Policy, FREEPOST RSAX-LTRK-TRKE, Purbeck District Council,
Westport House, Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 4PP

Fax: 01929 557348

Representations will only be accepted that refer to a change shown in the Schedule of Main Modifications, or to the Habitats Regulations Assessment Update or Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal.

Return to Purbeck District Council by Tuesday 31st July 2012

Late or anonymous representations will not be accepted. All representations received will be published on the Council's website, along with your name.

An example of a completed form is available on the Council's website.

Alternatively, if you would like help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team.

For further information, visit http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation, email **ldf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk** or call 01929 557359 to speak to a member of the Planning Policy Team.

You should comment only on the Main Modifications, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal.

Responses on the above documents will be sent to the Planning Inspector. **Therefore, you do not need to repeat your previous comments or re-submit your previous representations.**

The Inspector will decide if further public hearing sessions are required as part of the examination process. All representations on matters of soundness will be fully considered by the Inspector. You may choose to request to appear at a public hearing to clarify your comments on the Main Modifications. Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No , I do not wish to participate at the oral examination	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes , I wish to participate at the oral examination
--	---

If you wish to participate at the oral part of the examination, please outline why you consider this to be necessary in the space below:

Please note that the Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the oral part of the examination.

Signature

Date July 2012

Representations:

You are asked to comment on the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal:

Part A: Legal Compliance – Has the **process** of preparing this Core Strategy been followed in accordance with national guidance?

Part B: Soundness – Is the **content** of the Core Strategy sound, in other words, is it ‘justified’, ‘effective’ and ‘consistent with national policy’

Please use the forms overleaf to submit your response.

FORM A: Your Comments on Legal Compliance

Are the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy legally compliant?

*(In other words, has the **process** of preparing this version of the Core Strategy been followed in accordance with national guidance?)*

Yes

No

No Comment

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM42

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be ‘Sound’?

(In other words is the Main Modification ‘justified’, ‘effective’ and ‘consistent with national policy’)

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen ‘No’, do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

(tick all that apply)

It is not ‘justified’

(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn’t provide the most appropriate strategy)

It is not ‘effective’

(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)

It is not ‘consistent with national policy’

(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

Small scale development of employment and tourism business is vital in sustaining local communities in the countryside.

Suggested Alterations:

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

Note: Please use a separate sheet when responding to more than one Main Modification. Additional sheets can be photocopied and attached to this form or downloaded from www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation

FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM44

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be ‘Sound’?

(In other words is the proposed change ‘justified’, ‘effective’ and ‘consistent with national policy’)

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen ‘No’, do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

(tick all that apply)

It is not ‘justified’
(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn’t provide the most appropriate strategy)

It is not ‘effective’
(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)

It is not ‘consistent with national policy’

(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

Any use of existing buildings in the countryside which supports employment and tourism will help sustain local communities.

Suggested Alterations:

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

Note: Please use a separate sheet when responding to more than one Main Modification. Additional sheets can be photocopied and attached to this form or downloaded from www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation

FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications to the Core Strategy in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM47

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?

(In other words is the proposed change 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this Main Modification to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

(tick all that apply)

It is not 'justified'
(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)

It is not 'effective'
(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)

It is not 'consistent with national policy'

(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

This is a satisfactory as long as implementation of the policy does not prevent desirable development due to excessive cost.

Suggested Alterations:

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM50

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?

(In other words is the Main Modification 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

(tick all that apply)

It is not 'justified'
(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)

It is not 'effective'
(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)

It is not 'consistent with national policy'

(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

This accepts the reality that some market housing may be necessary to encourage provision of affordable housing.

Suggested Alterations:

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

Note: Please use a separate sheet when responding to more than one Main Modification. Additional sheets can be photocopied and attached to this form or downloaded from www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation

FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM63

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?

(In other words is the Main Modification 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

(tick all that apply)

It is not 'justified'
(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)

It is not 'effective'
(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)

It is not 'consistent with national policy'

(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

Reducing light pollution is supported by West Lulworth Parish Council and is included in our Parish Plan.

Suggested Alterations:

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

FORM B: Your comments on the Schedule of Main Modifications

PLEASE USE A SEPARATE SHEET FOR EACH REFERENCE NUMBER

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):

MM71

Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.

Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?

(In other words is the Main Modification 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')

Yes

No

No Comment

If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:

(tick all that apply)

It is not 'justified'
(i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)

It is not 'effective'
(i.e. the proposed change is not deliverable, not flexible and not able to be monitored)

It is not 'consistent with national policy'

(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)

Comments:

Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)

Reducing light pollution is supported by West Lulworth Parish Council and is included in our Parish Plan.

Suggested Alterations:

Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).