

Purbeck District Council

Purbeck Local Plan

'Planning Purbeck's Future' Main Modifications to the Core Strategy

Representation Form (June/July 2012)

Your Details

Agent's Details (where relevant)

Title	Miss	
Name	M D Weller	
Job Title (where relevant)	Clerk	
Organisation (where relevant)	Wareham St Martin Parish Council	
Address	5 Border Drive Upton, Poole	
Postcode	BH16 5DU	
E-mail	debbie_weller@warehamstmartinpc.org.uk	
Tel. Number	01202 624261	

Responses should be sent to:

Email: Idf@purbeck-dc.gov.uk

or

Post: Planning Policy, FREEPOST RSAX-LTRK-TRKE, Purbeck District Council,

Westport House, Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset, BH20 4PP

Fax: 01929 557348

Representations will only be accepted that refer to a change shown in the Schedule of Main Modifications, or to the Habitats Regulations Assessment Update or Addendum to Sustainability Appraisal.

Return to Purbeck District Council by Tuesday 31st July 2012

Late or anonymous representations will not be accepted. All representations received will be published on the Council's website, along with your name.

An example of a completed form is available on the Council's website.

Alternatively, if you would like help completing this form please contact the Planning Policy Team.

For further information, visit http://www.dorsetforyou.com/purbeck_consultation, email ldf@purbeck_consultation, email ldf@purbeck_consul

You should comment only on the Main Modifications, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal.

Responses on the above documents will be sent to the Planning Inspector. Therefore, you do not need to repeat your previous comments or re-submit your previous representations.

The Inspector will decide if further public hearing sessions are required as part of the examination process. All representations on matters of soundness will be fully considered by the Inspector. You may choose to request to appear at a public hearing to clarify your comments on the Main Modifications. Do you consider it necessary to participate at the oral part of the examination?

	No, I do not wish to participate at the oral examination		to participate at xamination	
•	to participate at the oral part of the exa ecessary in the space below:	mination, please	outline why you c	onsider
	e that the Inspector will determine the rated that they wish to participate at the o		•	those who
Signature M	ID Weller		Date 28.07	.2012

Representations:

You are asked to comment on the Main Modifications to the Core Strategy, the Habitats Regulations Assessment Statement and/or the Addendum to the Sustainability Appraisal:

Part A: Legal Compliance – Has the **process** of preparing this Core Strategy been followed in accordance with national guidance?

Part B: Soundness – Is the **content** of the Core Strategy sound, in other words, is it 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy'

Please use the forms overleaf to submit your response.

FORM A: Your Comments on Legal Compliance

Are the Main Modificatio	ns to the Core Strategy leg	gally compliant?
(In other words, has the p	process of preparing this ve	ersion of the Core Strategy been followed
in accordance with nationa	al guidance?)	
Yes	No	No Comment No Comment
Comments:		
Please use the space belo	w to provide more detailed of	comments (expand box as necessary)

Please state the relevant reference number that you are commenting on from the Schedule of Main Modifications in the box below (e.g. MM1):
MM4 4.3.1
Comments without the relevant reference number will not be accepted.
Do you consider this Main Modification (in box above) proposed by the Council to be 'Sound'?
(In other words is the Main Modification 'justified', 'effective' and 'consistent with national policy')
Yes No No Comment
If you have chosen 'No', do you consider this change to the Core Strategy be unsound because:
(tick all that apply)
It is not 'justified' (i.e. the proposed change is not founded on a robust and credible evidence base and/or doesn't provide the most appropriate strategy)
It is not 'effective' (i.e. the proposed change is not <u>deliverable</u> , not <u>flexible</u> and not able to be <u>monitored</u>)
It is not 'consistent with national policy'
(For explanation of terms refer to guidance notes below)
Comments: Please use the space below to provide more detailed comments (expand box as necessary)
Fully support the District Council in meeting as much of Purbeck's housing need as is possible.

Suggested Alterations: Please use the space below to give details of what alteration make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and wording (expand box as necessary).	n(s) you consider necessary to I why. Please suggest revised

Please state the relevant re Main Modifications in the bo	•	u are commenting on from the Schedule of
Comments without the rele	vant reference number w	vill not be accepted.
'Sound'?		above) proposed by the Council to be effective' and 'consistent with national
Yes	No ⊠	No Comment
If you have chosen 'No', do because:	you consider this chang	ge to the Core Strategy be unsound
It is not 'justified' (i.e. the proposed change is not doesn't provide the most appropriate t		<u>_</u>
It is not 'effective' (i.e. the proposed change is not	<u>deliverable,</u> not <u>flexible</u> and n	not able to be <u>monitored)</u>
It is not 'consistent with nat	ional policy'	
(For explanation of terms re	efer to guidance notes be	elow)
	v to provide more detaile	ed comments (expand box as necessary)
_	need to be scrutinised the	d acceptable to local communities. The nrough public consultation and the

Suggested Alterations: Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).
"When applying this presumption, the Council will consider all proosals carefully against their potential adverse effect upon European protected sites and, if necessary, ensure the developer provides effective" and acceptable mitigation through full and open public consultation.

Please state the relevant reference Main Modifications to the Core St	•	<u> </u>	m the Schedule of
	MM7 LD		
Comments without the relevant re	eference number	will not be accepted.	
Do you consider this Main Mod 'Sound'?	ification (in box	above) proposed by the	e Council to be
(In other words is the proposed cl policy')	hange 'justified', 'd	effective' and 'consistent	with national
Yes ⊠	No	No Com	nment
If you have chosen 'No', do you c unsound because:	onsider this Main	Modification to the Core	Strategy be
		(ticl	k all that apply)
It is not 'justified' (i.e. the proposed change is not founded doesn't provide the most appropriate stre			Сан тас арруу
It is not 'effective' (i.e. the proposed change is not delivera	a <u>ble,</u> not <u>flexible</u> and	not able to be <u>monitored)</u>	
It is not 'consistent with national p	oolicy'		
(For explanation of terms refer to	guidance notes k	pelow)	
Comments: Please use the space below to pr	ovide more detail	ed comments (expand bo	ox as necessary)
Fully support this section, especial affordable to local people.	ally the exploration	n of new ways of providin	g housing that is

Suggested Alterations: Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

Suggested Alterations: Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).

Please state the relevant Main Modifications in the I	•	are commenting on from the S	Schedule of
	MM22 CEN		
Comments without the rel	evant reference number wil	I not be accepted.	
Do you consider this Ma	in Modification (in box ab	oove) proposed by the Coun	cil to be
	n Modification 'justified', 'eff	ective' and 'consistent with na	tional
Yes ⊠	No	No Comment	
If you have chosen 'No', d because:	o you consider this change	to the Core Strategy be unso	und
		(tick all that	apply)
It is not 'justified' (i.e. the proposed change is no doesn't provide the most appro	nt founded on a robust and credib priate strategy)	le <u>evidence</u> base and/or	
It is not 'effective' (i.e. the proposed change is no	nt <u>deliverable</u> , not <u>flexible</u> and not	able to be monitored)	
It is not 'consistent with na	ational policy'		
(For explanation of terms	refer to guidance notes belo	ow)	
Comments: Please use the space belo	ow to provide more detailed	comments (expand box as ne	ecessary)
well used by local schools locally that could be consi suggestion has been land	s, clubs, residents and neigh dered "equivalent or better adjoining Hill View Cemete cement and it is considered	ng fields as they are in good conbouring clubs. There is no ot replacement" than the currentery; this land is used for growing an inappropriate use of land r	her land fields. One ng corn and

<u>.</u>	Suggested Alterations: Please use the space below to give details of what alteration(s) you consider necessary to make the Main Modification to the Core Strategy sound and why. Please suggest revised wording (expand box as necessary).