For office use only Batch number:	Received: 22/1/8
Representor ID #	Ack://
Representation #	



### NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

# Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

#### Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

### Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	a Bach	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mrs		
First Name	Trudie		
Last Name	Starley		
lob Title <i>(where</i>			
Organisation (where relevant)			
Address			
Postcode			
Tel. No.			
Email Address			



## Part B - Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

Н	o	u	s	iı	n	ø

1.	which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.
	COLUMN TARGET TO A STATE OF THE
	Annual transport that we was provided in the first developer a made an order to see a second second
	Employment
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Yes
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	Spatial Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes
	No 🗆
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.
	Yes
	No 🗆

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes
	No 🗆
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Gillingham
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes
	No 🗆
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆

If you hav	ve answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future nent at Gillingham?
Please se	t out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
haftesb	ury
4	gree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
/es □ No □	
res 🗹	ed as part of the assessment process?
pond.	re answered Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.  Reople will head a wider recreational facilities. Can we consider to dog waters. play areas for young chirden including a nerve A 8-fe crossing in grosveror Road. High levels of Traffic Makes A 8-fe crossing in grosveror Road. High levels of Traffic Makes in the road very dangerous. Reduce 8 pend limits of sing The road very dangerous. Reduce 8 pend limits of edestrian The high 8 rest or Make it one-way.
What are	the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future nent at Shaftesbury?
	et out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
More	parking especially at the health contre.  Lecrection - cinema, sports facilities, parks, Cycle roul  Dog Wallos
Mon	e Sife road crossings especially on Gosveror Road.

Sturminster Newton
15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton
Yes
No
16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?
Stalbridge
18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
Yes
No 🗆
19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

futur	are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential e development at Stalbridge?
Ple	se set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
The	/illages
eigh	ou agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the een larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an native approach?
Yes	
No	
30	rdable Housing
22. Do y	rdable Housing  ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be  oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of loca  I in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
22. Do y	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
22. Do y rem need	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
22. Do y rem need Yes No 23. Do y	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
22. Do y rem need Yes No 23. Do y	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?   ou consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming and adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
22. Do y rem need Yes No 23. Do y forw	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?   ou consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming ard adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
22. Do y rem need Yes No 23. Do y forw Yes No 24. Do y	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?   ou consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming ard adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
22. Do y rem need Yes No 23. Do y forw Yes No 24. Do y	ou consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be oved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?  ou consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming and adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?  ou consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing
25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some or all of the following options?
Yes
No 🗆
a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes $\qed$ No $\qed$
<ul> <li>b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plot of land for self-build housing.</li> <li>Yes </li> <li>No </li> </ul>
c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.  Yes  No
d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$
e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\qed$ No $\qed$
f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.  Yes   No
26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres
27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
Yes
No 🗆

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)	
28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning p or legislation, should be deleted?	olicies
Yes	
No	
The A350 Corridor	
29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified an safeguarded for such purposes?	
Yes 🗔	
No 🗆	
Comments	
If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question of chapter your comments relate to.	

.

		Continue on a separate sheet if ne
Do you wish to be contacted about fut	ure consultations relati	ing to the Local Plan Review?
Yes		
No 🗆		
Signature:		Date: 19 . 1 . 18 .
If submitting the form electronically, no sig	nature is required.	