For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	
First Name	Tim	
Last Name	Otter	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Dostoodo		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

ш	\mathbf{a}		C	n	α
Н	u	u	31		2

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.			
	Yes			
	No 🗵			
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.			
	Somewhere nearer 200 would be appropriated based simply on the facts that there is insufficient infrastructure and services to support the existing population and further development that is beyond infill within existing boundaries will ruin areas of exceptional scenic and natural value.			
	I would point out that the existing rail services are full to capacity and even proposed increases by South Western Railways will not meet the existing demand			
	Employment			
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?			
	Yes			
	No 🗵			
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?			
	Yes			
	No ⊠			
	Spatial Strategy			
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?			
	Yes			
	No 🗵			
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.			
	Yes			
	No 🗵			

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes ⊠
	No \square
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗵
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Make the A 350 dual carriage way
	<u>Gillingham</u>
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes ⊠
	No \square
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No ⊠

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.	
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential futu development at Gillingham?	re
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.	
	ļ
	ļ
<u>Shaftesbury</u>	
12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?	
Yes ⊠	
No 🗆	
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?	
Yes	
No ⊠	
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.	
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
	ļ
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential futu	ro
development at Shaftesbury?	10
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.	
The second of th	

Sturminster Newton

15.	15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of se	earch identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes 🗵	
	No 🗆	
16.	16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search considered as part of the assessment process?	that you think should have been
	Yes	
	No 🗵	
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the fu	urther issues.
17	17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that a	re likely to result from notential future
1/.	17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that a development at Sturminster Newton?	re likely to result from potential future
	·	
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure req	uirements.
	Challerida	
	Stalbridge	
18.	18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of some	earch identified at Stalbridge?
	Yes	
	No 🗵	
19.	19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search considered as part of the assessment process?	that you think should have been
	Yes	
	No ⊠	
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the fu	urther issues.
	Stalbridge is overrun with heavy lorries an cars battling to get t	hrough the town on a narrow restricted
	nigh street. Adding further development will merely maske this	_
	is and will inevitably result in councilors and officials who appro	ve of any future development liable for
	prosecution through lack of a duty of care.	

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?			
Please	e set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.		
1.	A ring road that does not have any impact on the Blackmore Vale.		
2.	Improved access through the centre of the town.		
3.	Improved rail capacity especially to London.		
4.	Improved access to the A303 and to trunk roads to the south including new bridges across varios rivers including the River Stour on the way to joining the A350 southwards.		
5.	Dramatically improved broadband and mobile phone connection.		
The Vil	lages agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the		
eightee	en larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an tive approach?		
Yes ⊠			
No 🗆			
this.	have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind		
Afforda	able Housing		
22. Do you remove	consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be ed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?		
Yes \square			
No ⊠			
=	consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming d adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?		
Yes \square			
No ⊠			
=	consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows nall number of market homes on rural exception sites?		
Yes 🗵			
No 🗆			

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

25.	Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?
	Yes ⊠
	No 🗆
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes $\ \ \square$ No $\ \ \square$
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes \Box No \boxtimes
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes \boxtimes No \square
	d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes \boxtimes No \square
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\;\boxtimes$ No $\;\Box$
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes $\ oxinvert$ No $\ oxinvert$
26.	Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
	Yes □
	No 🗵
	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
	See 29

27.	-	ou consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be nded to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes	
	No	\boxtimes
	<u>Imp</u>	ortant Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
28.	-	ou agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies gislation, should be deleted?
	Yes	
	No	
	<u>The</u>	A350 Corridor
29.	Вура	ou consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer ass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and guarded for such purposes?
	Yes	
	No	
	Col	mments
	App	u have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability raisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific stion or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or
		 The council should give serious though to compulsory purchase of areas where there are existing derelict buildings with a view to onward sale to allow renovation or self or responsible building of a limited number of dwellings.
		 Get the infrastructure into the 21st century before any attempt is made to add more houses.
		3. Protect the scenic and natural value of the rural and pastoral local countryside including the AONB e.g. Blackmore Vale.

	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Do you wish to be contacted about future consu Yes ⊠	Itations relating to the Local Plan Review?
No □	
Signature:	Date: <u>22 Jan 2018</u>

If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.

chapter your comments relate to.

When completed please send form to $\underline{\mathsf{planningpolicy@north\text{-}dorset.gov.uk}}$