For office use only Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



# NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

## Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

#### Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

#### Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title		Mr
First Name		Richard
Last Name		Miller
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation	1. G A L-F Pitt-Rivers OBE DL	Symonds & Sampson
(where relevant)	2. Fidei Holdings Limited	
Address	c/o Agent	
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



## Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

н	^		c	ı	r	ıg
	v	ч	J		•	אי

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes 🗵
	No $\square$
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.
	<u>Employment</u>
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Yes
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes
	No $\square$
	Spatial Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes
	No 🗵
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.
	Yes
	No $\square$

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Support
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes
	No $\square$
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes □
	No $\square$
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
3.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	<u>Gillingham</u>
a	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
٠.	Yes
	No □
10	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been
<b>⊥</b> ∪.	considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
development at Gillingham?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
Yes
No 🗆
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
development at Shaftesbury?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

#### **Sturminster Newton**

	otal minoter it even
15.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes
	No ⊠
16.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes ⊠
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	A plan is attached which identifies ownership of:
	1. G A L-F Pitt-Rivers - shaded green
	2. Fidei Holdings Limited - shaded pink
	This land adjoining the northern and eastern edges of the existing settlement boundary fall within the
	Areas of Search A and B.
	The proposal to increase housing development to 366 dwellings per year represents an approximate
	28% increase on the current district-wide target of 285 dwellings per annum. Applying this same
	percentage increase to the current Local Plan allocation of 395 dwellings for Sturminster Newton
	produces a revised figure of 505 dwellings for the town.
	The Sturminster Newton Neighbourhood Plan Submission Draft for Examination identifies a total
	indicative capacity of 414 dwellings on proposed allocated sites together with 90 "in reserve".
	Therefore, if all these sites are taken forward, including those in reserve, produces a total of 504
	dwellings. Therefore, more or less the same as the proposed target within the Issues and Options
	proposal.
	For Fidei Holdings Limited (ownership shaded pink)
	Market Fields - already allocated in ND Local Plan
	North Honeymead Field (land north of Northfields) - currently allocated in ND Local Plan
	Tites 4 - not currently allocated within ND Local Plan but identified as a reserve site within proposed
	Sturminster Newton Neighbourhood Plan (site number 13).
	Embury 2 - not currently allocated within ND Local Plan nor proposed Sturminster Newton
	Neighbourhood Plan. However, the land owner would be willing to consider this land coming forward
	for development within the Local Plan review if other sites within the Neighbourhood Plan are
	ultimately rejected or do not come forward.
	For G A L-F Pitt-Rivers (ownership shaded green on the plan)
	Any identification of land for possible development within this area is not currently supported by Mr

Pitt-Rivers as land owner. There are concerns regarding impact on the landscape.

17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Stalbridge</u>
18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
Yes
No 🗆
19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
The Villages
21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
Yes ⊠
No 🗆

	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.
	Affordable Housing
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
	Yes □
	No $\square$
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
	Yes
	No $\square$
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?
	Yes 🗵
	No $\square$
	Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing
25.	Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?
	Yes
	No $\square$
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes $\qed$ No $\qed$
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$

d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale

	value of the properties). Yes $\square$ No $\square$
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
26.	Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
	Encuring the Vitality and Viehility of Town Centres
	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres  Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be
	amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
	Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
28.	Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?
	Yes
	No 🗆
,	The A350 Corridor
•	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer
	Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
	Yes
	No 🗆

### Comments

ppraisal please uestion or chap	set them out i	n the box beloves es and Options	w. If your comr	ument or the S ments are in reland on please state v	ation to a speci	

	Continue on a separate sheet if
cessary	,
you wish to be contacted about future consu	ultations relating to the Local Plan Review?
nature:	Date:

When completed please send form to <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk">planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk</a>

