For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID#	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Rease return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

* If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, .bb Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title		
First Name	DEVER	
Last Name	1 SMITH	
Job Title(where	-	
Organisation (where relevant)	~	
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		

ACRES AND PREPROPRIES AND A PROPERTY OF A PR

PROFILE LOTES

Port / La Parsonal data late



Part B-Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

H	ous	mo
1 1	Jus	1110

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes □
	No D
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer. Maybe 200 - without vastly improved infrastructure the roads will be thally gridlocked
	<u>Employment</u>
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review? Yes all these people have to work somethere
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes D
	No □
	<u>Spatial Strategy</u>
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes other smaller town or larger villages
	No - have to bear the burder.
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.
	Yes I just build a new town
	No 🗆

with the text of the

21 - 22 - 8 - - 1

The second second is the second secon

The Company of the Co

en grand general gener

<i>3</i> **	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the condusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford? Yes
	No □
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues. Gonork onto the 'challe'
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements. everything - roads. Dr. Bloomd Hespitals. the list is endless for every town - Dorset.
	<u>Gillingham</u>
9.	Do you agree with the condusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes □ No □
	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process? Yes No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
all as before. A now for have
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues. all al before A now for have flood planus enders tracke can be take wore and the desired without the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from notential future
Lousing without accordable
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?
Rease set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12. Do you agree with the condusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
Yes
No □
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
all as before. Reep the A350 corridor empty as one Day Someone night bild a road
Reep the 4350 corridor empty
as one dry some one night build a road
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
1 SLOW OF PLANT OF BURGO
The scoon wiedsing of every
the show wrecking of every rown in Dorset a village-

era Ara tra

	<u>Sturminster Newton</u>
15.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Surminster Newton
	Yes □
	No 🗆
16.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	as before
17.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Surminster Newton?
	Hease set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements. flood plain Lich I would keep away from
	<u>Stalbridge</u>
	Do you agree with the condusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes □
	No □
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	it you must ruin austret large village

gramma and the second of the s

The tent of the tent of the second of the se

e here some a new mass a second of the secon

ကြည့္သည္သြည္။ ၈၀ ⁽⁸) မ က်စ္ေကာက ျပင္ ေတြ တြင္း သိုလ ေတြ လုပ္ေတြ သိုလ ေတြ လုပ္ေတြ လုပ္ေတြ

=

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
The Villages
21. Do you agree will Council's propose approach relation of under evelopmentat the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this. Suila a rew foon
Affordable Housing
22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Yes
No 23 De vou consider that the said time ti
23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
Yes D
No 🗆
24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?
Yes □
No □

Self-Build and Oustom-Build Housing

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?
Yes_D
No 🗆
a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes D No No
b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes P
c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes D
 d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes □ No □
e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes □ No □
 f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes □ No □
26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing? Yes □ No □
If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres 27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amen a bindu as a 'local centre'? Yes No

Important	Open or	Wooded	Areas	(IOWAs)
III IPOI LUITE	Oponion	VVCCucu	ru cas	(IOVVASI

28	3. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?	
	Yes □	
	No. E	
	The A350 Corridor	
29	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?	
	Yes D	
	No □	
	Comments	
	If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.	
	A350 go ken not ruring back. Driving Kru a around Docret is fatheric at very time	
	Driving thru of around Doce	
	is fatheric or very lace	
	consuming = more free	
	consuming = more finel = more pollution (but that is another	
	Story.).	
- 1		

Onnants

Thave (ived - the Deverille
for 15 years a row in shaftesbury for another
shaftesbury for another
20 years a to see Dorset
suffer is heart breaking.
amalganating the councils
amalganating the Councils
the Workers end of Dosol
will be forgotten - Bimonth
+ lode will have An he
monies.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?

Yes No

Signature:

Date:

Da

When completed please send form to $\underline{\text{planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk}}$

and the second s

er and the second of the secon