For office use only		
Batch number:		
Representor ID #		
Representation #		

Received	
Ack:	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	
First Name	Peter	
Last Name	Mouncey	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)	Member Motcombe Parish Council	
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

Housing

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.

Whilst I'm unable to provide an alternative, appropriate figure, the concern of myself and the Motcombe PC is that the targets for larger villages would be increased if the current figure is revised upwards. Motcombe lies between the two towns of Shafts/Gill and bigger housing targets threaten the village ambience of Motcombe, due to the possibilities of expansion of these towns along the Motcombe boundaries, and the potential for developments that negatively change the character of the village, and the wider rural parish. Also, the consultation does provide for any infrastructure improvements that should surely be considered if the housing figure is increased.

Employment

2. Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?

Yes 🗆

No 🗌

3. Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?

Yes 🛛

No 🗆

Spatial Strategy

4. Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

5. Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.

Yes 🗆

No 🗆

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoni	ng to
support it.	

Blandford (Forum and St Mary)

6. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?

Yes 🗆

No 🗆

7. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes 🗆

No 🗆

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.		

8. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

<u>Gillingham</u>

9. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

10. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes 🛛

No 🗆

Gillingham already has a high growth housing target, but the additional sites listed in the Plan threaten both the rural boundaries of the town, and place a further load on the already stretched infrastructure of the town and surrounding areas.

11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

The infrastructure of the Gillingham area already has problems in coping with the current catchment area. There are, for example, only plans at a micro level for dealing with increases in traffic, rather than a much more fundamental solution. The B3081/A350 both require radical solutions. Also, parking provision at the station is already an issue, for a station that is reputedly already the busiest west of Salisbury on this line. Finally, although outside of this consultation, the proposal to consider moving the waste disposal site from Shaftesbury to Gillingham would lead to a further increase in traffic on roads that are already inadequate.

Shaftesbury

- 12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
 - Yes 🖂
 - No 🗆
- 13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
 - Yes 🗆
 - No 🗆

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

The A350 bypass corridor round the eastern edge of the town needs to be retained to facilitate the major improvements necessary to cater for Avon-S Coast traffic.

Sturminster Newton

15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?

Yes 🗆

- No 🗆
- 16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes 🗆

No 🗆

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

<u>Stalbridge</u>

18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?

Yes 🗆

No 🗆

19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes 🗌

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

The Villages

21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?

Yes 🛛

No 🗆

If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.

However, it is essential that the character of these villages is retained. For example, Motcombe residents do not want the village to become extended suburbs of Gillingham & Shaftesbury, with large scale housing estates. The need for NDDC to go out for consultation because of a shortfall in housing developments over the coming period is already cited in an outline proposal that has just been published for three adjacent sites in the village that alone would contribute nearly half the current target for the village.

Affordable Housing

22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?

Voc	
res	

No 🖂

24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

Yes 🖂

No 🗆

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?

Yes 🖂

No 🗆

a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies.

Yes 🛛

No 🗌

b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing.

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties).

Yes □ No ⊠

e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development.

Yes 🗌

No 🛛

f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.

Yes 🗌

No 🛛

26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?

Yes 🗆

No 🗆

If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.

Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres

27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?

Yes 🛛

No 🗆

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?

Yes 🗆

No 🛛

The A350 Corridor

29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes 🛛

No 🗆

Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

One final point, is the impact that increased housing development in the Gillingham/Shaftesbury areas will inevitably have on the village school in Motcombe, that already has seen a considerable increase in pupil numbers in recent years, and the associated traffic congestion that occurs at the beginning and end of the school day at a site on the main gateway into the village.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?

Yes 🖂

No 🗆

Signature:_____

Date: <u>21/01/18</u>

If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk