For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

Personal Details*		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Miss	Phillip Pollard see his separate submission
First Name	Penelope	
Last Name	Joyce	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

			•	
ш	~		110	~
п	u	us		2
	_		•••	0

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.		
	Yes		
	No 🗵		
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.		
	The shortfall in housing in the NDDC area is in part the result of delays in completing planned housing in places such as the south of Gillingham. This means that greater effort will be needed to provide more than 366 dwellings a year to ensure targets are met. Government legislation suggests that greater housing is required.		
	<u>Employment</u>		
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?		
	Yes		
	No 🗆		
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?		
	Yes		
	No \square		
	Spatial Strategy		
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?		
	Yes		
	No 🗆		
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.		
	Yes		
	No		

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes
	No \square
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Gillingham
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes ⊠
	No \square
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes 🗵
	No \square

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	Sequential infill building is essential. Please see our suggestions under 'comments'.
	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	The bottleneck on the bridge south of Gillingham turning right to East Stour suggests that further work needs to be done to asses the potential of a ring road around the south of Gillingham. This could be very costly. We also require better access onto the A303. Wyke to Wavering Lane West building options could be the conduit to improve greater access to the A303.
	Diversity in the types of houses to allow young people to access the housing ladder.
	Guarantees of more schools, play areas, community facilities etc
12. 13.	Shaftesbury Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury? Yes No Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process? Yes No No If you have groupered (Yos' places set out what you see go the further issues
	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?
	acterophical at onarcessary.
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

	Sturminster Newton
15.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes
	No \square
16.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
17.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	<u>Stalbridge</u>
18.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
	Yes

19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been

No \square

Yes □

No □

considered as part of the assessment process?

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
20.	What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	The Villages
21.	Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.
	Affordable Housing
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
	Yes
	No ⊠
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
	Yes ⊠
	No 🗆
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows

for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

	Yes	
	No	
	<u>Self-</u>	Build and Custom-Build Housing
25.	-	ou consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, ll of the following options?
	Yes	
	No	
	Yes	Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. \square
	of I Yes	Jpdating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots and for self-build housing. □
	pro spe Yes	Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a oportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being ecified) on-site. \Box
	e. I Yes No	
	f. T Yes No	
26.	Are	there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
	Yes	
	No	
	I£.	ou have answered Wee' places outling the other arms select which the Council and discount
	If yo	ou have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.

	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres
27.	Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes
	No \square
	Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
28.	Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?
	Yes
	No 🗵
	The A350 Corridor
29.	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
	Yes
	No \square
	Comments
	Re GILLINGHAM
	I write as the owner of a property in Gillingham called 'Peacemere' off Wavering Lane West. I am also a landowner in partnership with my brother Stephen Joyce. We own 36 acres of land to the north of Wavering Lane West. This area has been identified as one of 4 possible building opportunities in Gillingham.
	Phillip Pollard our land agent has made a submission on our behalf, showing two options
	1. A full building project (Vision Statement) for 800+ houses using the fields of land owners along Wavering Lane West, namely ourselves, Mr Light, Mr Hines and Mr and Mrs Frood.
	2.He has also presented a smaller building option for our land alone which, should the larger plan not come to fruition, could 'stand alone' with very little change needed in roads, access etc. This was prepared for us by Matrix Partnership.
	The area that we have identified in the Vision Statement is a natural infill for Gillingham of which ¾ of the area footprint has already been built upon. The North West is the next natural area of building. We have identified this in our Vision statement.
	I believe that this would be a natural and sequential way for your building requirements to be fulfilled. It would facilitate the growth that Gillingham requires.
	I hope that you will look at this favorably and link this submission to that of our Land Agent Phillip Pollard.

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.		
Continue on a separate sheet if necessary		
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review? Yes $\ oxtimes$		

No □

Signature:	_ Date: <u>22/1/18</u>	
Jighatare.	_ Datc. <u>22/1/10</u>	

If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk