For office use only Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Ponrocontation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr.	Mr.
First Name	M.	Malcolm
Last Name	Taylor	Brown
Job Title <i>(where</i>		Director of Planning
Organisation (where relevant)		Sibbett Gregory
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

Housing

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.					
	Yes ⊠					
	No 🗆					
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.					
	The planning authority is right to follow government advice on this issue					
	It is not agreed that <u>in the long term, the highest level of housing provision of 366 (option C) is likely to result in significant adverse impacts upon the environment.</u> I appreciate this is a matter of judgement but even 366 dwellings per annum would only require 0.04% of the total district area (based on 30)					
	dwellings per hectare and a total district area of 60,920 hectares). It is hard to justify a strong negative effect on biodiversity, water, air, climate change landscape historic environment, all of which could be avoided.					
	Employment					
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?					
	Yes ⊠					
	No 🗆					
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?					
	Yes					
	No \square					
	Spatial Strategy					
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?					
	Yes					
	No 🗆					
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.					

Yes No If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.				
Blandford (Forum and St Mary)				
Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?				
Yes				
No 🗵				
Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?				
Yes 🗵				
No \square				
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues. The SA for Area J is flawed. The site is within an AONB but abuts the Urban Area. The impact of development within area J is not considered to be significant because of its proximity to the industrial estate. Visually the site lies within the Blandford by-pass. The impact on the wider landscape should be assessed in the context of the location within the bypass. The land forms part of a farm under arable cultivation and is not subject to any bio-diversity classification. Any impact is thus considered to be slight. Reference is made to the "adequacy of Tin Pot Lane." This is not the only potential access. There is a possible access from the west from Whitecliff Mill Hill. The farmer is not aware of any ground contamination. The North Dorset Trailway passes through the lower part of the site providing a viable alternative form of sustainable transport. Residents would be able to walk or cycle to the town centre as well as the adjoining employment area. The lower slopes would have less impact on the landscape. The apparent limitation in the SA to the upper part of the site seems particularly flawed.				
What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford? Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
Additional housing is likely to require additional primary school places and some enhancement of medical facilities. Alternatives are already under consideration for the school provision.				

6.

7.

8.

	<u>Gillingham</u>
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes
	No 🗆
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
11.	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
	Yes
	No 🗆
13.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
Sturminster Newton
15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton
Yes
No 🗆
16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
development at Sturminster Newton?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Stalbridge</u>
18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
Yes □
No 🗆
19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been
considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes

	No
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
21.	The Villages Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.
	Affordable Housing
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
	Yes
	No \square
	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
	Yes
	No \square

24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?				
	Yes				
	No \square				
	Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing				
25.	. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?				
	Yes				
	No \square				
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes \qed No \qed				
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes \Box No \Box				
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes \Box No \Box				
	d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes \Box No \Box				
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes \qed No \qed				
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes \qed No \qed				
26.	. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?				
	Yes				
	No 🗆				

	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres
27.	Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes
	No \square
	Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
28.	Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	The A350 Corridor
29.	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	Comments

f you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.					
72.00					

	Continue on a separate sheet if
necessary	
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating Yes $\ oxtimes$	g to the Local Plan Review?
No 🗆	
Signature: M.D. Brown obo M Taylor	Date: <u>17/01/2018</u>
If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.	

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk