			1000
FFAA	IAI	1	0
1111	IIV	-	1 )
SCAN		~	$\succeq$

30741	
For office use only Batch number: Representor ID #	Received: 15/1/18Ack:
Representation #	



## NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

# Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

### Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

# Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

e agent.		Agent's Details (if applicable)*
	Personal Details*	Agent 3 Determ ( 11
itle	Mr	
irst Name	JOHN	
ast Name	GOODLIFFE	
ob 'itle <i>(where</i>		
Organisation Where relevant	)	
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		

the same and the s
vice see Hipself
 Revesentur iD H
HICKSTOPPINGS

torroll through

# Willyth Half on our starte of section of section of section of sections of section of sections and sections of sections are sections.

## Mind Juneageaß

As part of the bottl Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Lsues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appearsal and accommission for consultation be viewed and inclined visit.

Pleace return completed forms to.

311.3

on Planmay Palicy Harth Days S., South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DTL 1UZ

Dendline Spm on 22 January 2015, Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

#### distribution of the distribution

This is, it or the form now to completed by an people making representations at anoxymous completes considered connected by submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our vietsite, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be evenable for inspection by members of the public and other intercept parties.

"If an agent is appointed, please complete polyting I de. Name, let Tule audiOrgentsation boxes in the personal detail, out complete the followant details of the agent including entail aloress. All curresponds are tall be sent to the country.

Many and the latts (1) applicable).	Per oral Datails	
		shi i
		andMitard
		traight figs.
		del
		Signification of the state of t
		noinement,
		(Transport Month)
		Address
	1	
		Postcodo
10 10 11		Tel No.
		Emañ Aderes



# Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

ш.	ou	-	20	a
				æ

	anneariate figure on	
1.	o you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on hich to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.	
	es 🗹	
	lo 🗆	
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.	
2	<u>Employment</u> Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?	
	Yes ▼	
	No 🗆	·t
3	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?	,,
	Yes 🗹	
	No 🗆	
	Spatial Strategy  Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow the consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow the consider that the existing local needs?	,
29	for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?	
	Yes 🔽	
	No	ξV
	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strateges through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.	.,
	Yes	
	No 🗆	



#### Asia B - Rapidita ta 12 uch

Please with car as brainy questions or as less questrons as you why? I here to a per all the bud of the Consumers and samprovide my committels if all you many constitute.

	Strain Salar Strain Strainting Att. Strainting Ref. 4. August 199
	Bellance
	Joyson recoder has a covaring need figure of 166 for uniet a road is on approprie equire an which to plan for nousing grower lindworth. Octavel if onto places act out what you consider to no an appropriate figure and provide scasens for this.
	_3 Sh_2
	- 01/0
	The second of th
	7msmyolomia
.5	Do you consider that additional employment in the discussion exocated for development at Balantians as palluel the consilition of the Polistow.
	II wh
	po, governmentes para para se nase to miosote addicional acepte enert land in any other partis) of the Distorto
	n 2001
	vsetotil labaut
, B	Da you correcter than the existing spater are asset about 1PP1, should be accepted to allow for somethy set growth at Stalbudge beyond just meeting fixed needs?
	F 44
	m sø
ŝ	De jou il inkrihm the Covincil should consider impleme i.e. g any other alternative spatial strutegy Through the ERRYM so bingse explain your mascrishmy.
	11 50 kg

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes
	No □
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Gillingham
9	. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes
1	No   O. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes □ No □

。 《大学》,1975年1975年(1975年),1975年1975年1975年1975年1975年1975年1975年1975年	
Standford (Forum and St Mary)	
the year agreet with the coin to took ragge diverse. Are as of search triandment of plan dilend".	
No	
Are there any rudher issues or ad value do est of seach that you thefore hild five been considered as purcial the asserbment process?	
Cl. 26Y	
tone SOVI	
I from hards and made as the see and "the see seems "as a particular of the formation and hardy."	
estern the street of the second in the second secon	
What I ame and uponal ich ashrumbete deprendent that an invaly recess," here cotentials sture day and content at Slandford?	
researches to us as triend Atab	
Please are tour so it is see a tree adamental miles are tree requirements	
200 300 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	
rine changles	
Some promotive are analysis and a second sec	.e
E og	
i kvælit er e sky funktier uskles relating i pigra measter sterch met vok dhink kind. Id have been car utgred at per ky "hy agrey that persuss"	10

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
Please set out what you see as the dualional myrasil actains required.
<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
Yes
No 🗹
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes 🗹
No 🗆
Provision of eastern very road for feeture linkto C 13 to protect & preceive to many villages de develling on existing A 350
develling on existing 1330
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Afgair and to the metric of the second of the contract of the	
, what are the auditional initiastricture remarks that he likely rolles in hom potential outrie or, mapment at Gill, wham?	
samanga moo sa siisiiidda a Jas	
Please set out what you, seles the adolf- aid of has surcess required. Its	
Shaitashury	
equations with the conclusions regarding the areas of search dentified at Shaffesbury?	
YE S TO SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SEE SE	
No Z	
t, Are there any no ther issues relicing to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as pair, of the assessment process?	
_∞ ≤eV	
if any area commence. Yes afford to act adoptions one as the fire they saves	
t. What are the additional infrast writer requirements that are likely to recifi from potential fature	L
development at anatheautry*	
Planse set but what you see as the additional infrastructure or equivalent	

15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newt Yes ✓ No □  16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □ No □  If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.	,				
No □  16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □  No □					
16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □  No □					
considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes   No					
No 🗆					
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.					
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.	- 1				
	12.27				
17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future.	re				
development at Sturminster Newton?					
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.					
The state of the s					
Stalbridge .					
18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?					
Yes 🗹					
Yes   No □					
No $\Box$ 19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been					
No   19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?					
No □  19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □  No □					
No □  19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □					
No □  19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □  No □					
No □  19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?  Yes □  No □					

	The state of the s
-8.1	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the breas of snarrhidents ed 31. Sturminser Lewish ?
	The same of the sa
	No.
	are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered us pair of the assessment process?
	□ Egy
	No Ell
	If you have anyweight (restance set and what we see as the further issues).  What are the additional articuluse requirements that one likely to result from potential future development at some inster Mayyan?
	Please set one what venises as the addition infectious are requirements.
P. In	Systbridge Do you agree with the conclusions was reling the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
.K3	
	of self
.01	No □: are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	T. rev
	No (A)
	ין קימע המצריקרפט " פאר אפרטיקי די מעדיע ליטן קימע צפר זא. אפר המדייני אנענה.

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
The Villages
21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
Yes 🗹
No 🗆
If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.
Affordable Housing
22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Yes 🗆
No 🗹
23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?  Yes
No 🗆
No   24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?  Yes

) what see the most imported infrastructure requirements that are usivity ropus morn promise in ture development at Stationidge?
Pign 2 serious and volumes of the methylands in prosent and an entire an entire and an entire an entire and an ent
The Villages
I. Do you agree with the Council's proposed appreach in relation to future development at the eight certain the future development at the eight certain the Pristma wild you think that the Council Shell certains an alternative approach?  Yes 37  No C
The and active and
Air, no side <sup>st</sup> oughs 2. On the consider that the exercist, leterace to pine divisitings in Policy 3 of 1884 should be
compled from the cultry to allow the schemes to come for and who entremels evidence of local necessarities. After a chid be metally the province of tone stage mess?
No (s) No (s) S Fou consider that the existing policy approach, virials seeks to prevent exception sites coming for a si adjacem to the low main cowns within the District, should be amended?
res C No C W. Bollet, cresident rest the Council should por linur with its existing policy approach, which whowe
ter alsmall arimbber of merket homes on nurcle-reprior stes? Yes 🖾 No. 🗥

#### Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

No 🗆

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?
Yes
No 🗆
a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
<ul> <li>b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing.</li> <li>Yes □</li> <li>No □</li> </ul>
c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.  Yes  No
d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes $\Box$ No $\Box$
e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$
26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
Yes □ No □
If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres  27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
Yes 🗹

Transfer of the second	AND A PART THE LAND	The state of the state of	

Do year consider that the C., and shoot readily to use provising build insusing by any, some	G.
or all of the inflowing appions.	
Yes and	
No C	
a. Althrum as a rivided plots to come for yard ander the meters development plan paneles.	
L. Bortarug Policy 7 (Delivering Henrics) "nafie Lorar Plan Barn Lits promote the prevision of serviced picts enland for self-build housing.	
Li zeV	
e forms of the development of the dependence of the definition without a number of the manner of the first of the dependence of the definition of the defini	
in the control of the state of	
di Alia Mic., a propartion (no 13 2017) of seu foulld prots on exception stass (with controls mer from reselve value of the properties)	
a. ide and, log rand in public society which would be sold in the set build be vide to detect and the comment.	
en volt. No v	
for the committee of the second persons. Orders to facilitate self both deconfirment	
. Are there any ushe consuperior, that could be used to it set the depand for said built inpusings	36
ves E3	
ב באו מי היינוי היינוי שוב הפירוב יה היים יבין היינו הקרובה המתומה היה היים אום קום בי ממוכה ביו ביו של שנה ביו	
Engrand over that the analytic of Tower Centures	
v čev koja vela skretih se se sa jaja ja je je je je če čete na postanija se se povalja 1990. u pravilal be	
The state of the s	

#### Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

28.	Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?	
	Yes	
	No 🗆	
29.	The A350 Corridor  Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and	
	safeguarded for such purposes?	
	Yes 🗹	
	No 🗆	
	Comments	
	If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.	
	The \$350 improvements must be made.  Moreover these must be linked by substants improvement to the C13 road, by passery Melbrury Abbas and retiering the (current)  A350 valley road.	20

(EAUVOL) userA beloneW to negOttestroom
---

	To get	
	No E	
	The ASSO Corridor	
	Do you consider that the land which is blentified and setim anded for the Shaftesbury Duter Bypass and the Gurther Westiah and Spetr bury Bypass should continue to be identified and setsyparced for such parposes?	
î	S 25/	
	Commissions	
	If you have any comments, about the issues and Options Document or the Sustainability. Appraisal please set them out in the box between the comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the sues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments therefore.	

28. De vou agree that unose 10WAL Aubica are protected from disvelopment in other of mung policies

	1
	1
	1
	1
	1
	į.
	1
	1
	(37)
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
	_
De veu wich to be contacted about future concultations relativ	ng to the Local Plan Review?
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relati	The state of the s
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating	
Yes  Yes	1
Yes 🗹	
Yes 🗹	
Yes 🗆 .	
Yes 🗹	Date:15/1/18

When completed please send form to <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk">planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk</a>

		×		55		
503						
					9	
E						
				4		
Í						
+ Supercubin	Commission is separate sheet if					
	Evisoration in stollar to ag		s would discover the	turing an ed of o	The way wile	
	SAN THE SAN CONTRACT OF SAN	rending i water I Dilliantill il	or merenne or or or over the sale. Althou	managed transfer species (\$200)   (a		
					Yes E3	
					0.48	Î
	3, 9					

\_\_e, i, inc\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ er event traux às està basellamot néid?