For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



# NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

# Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

#### Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

#### Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	MR.	
First Name	IAN	
Last Name	DAY	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		9
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



# Part B - Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

			-		
н	0		C	1	10
Н	u	u	3		15

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.  Yes		
	No 🕡		
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.  UNTIL THERE IS DATA REGARDING  ACTUAL ALREADY PERTITTED BUT UNBUILT		
	DWELLINGS PLUS THE SAME DATA FOR ADDINING PLANNING AUTHORITIES (IN LINE WITH STATED NEED TO COLLABORATE) IT IS NOT WISE TO BASE Employment  POLICY CHANGE ON ANY NUMBED OF YOUR ORDER OF THE POLICY CHANGE ON ANY NUMBER DO YOU consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at		
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?		
	Yes □ No □		
	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?  Yes  No		
	Spatial Strategy		
	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?  Yes		
	No vou think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.  Yes		
	No i		

support it.
Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
Yes  No  No
Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes   No.   NO.
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.  Gillingham
Gillingham  Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?  Yes
Gillingham  Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
Gillingham  Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?  Yes   No   Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	PRESSURE ON LOCAL ROADS. IF IT IS CONCLUDED
	THAT THE AREA OF SEARCH NEEDS TO BEEXTENDED
	THEN ONLY LAND NORTH OF THE RALLWAY (SUBJECT
	TO OTHER CONSIDERATIONS) SHOULD BE INCLUDED
11.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
	development at Gillingham?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.  IMPROVED RADES TO A 350 (TO FACILITATE N/S TRAF
	EN X
	IMPROVED ROADS CONNECTING TO A SO3 (FOR E/W
	TRAFFIC)
	<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
	Yes
	No 🗆 NA
	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No D
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
14.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
	development at Shaftesbury?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	N/A

#### **Sturminster Newton**

15.	15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?				
	es 🗆				
	。 □				
	5. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?				
	es 🗆				
	N/A				
	_ /				
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.				
	,				
	/hat are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future evelopment at Sturminster Newton?				
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
	NIA				
	talbridge				
	o you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?				
	es  N/A				
19.	19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?				
	es 🗆				
	N/A				
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.				

20.	20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?				
	ratare development at stailbridge:				
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
	The Villages				
21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?					
	Yes				
	No 🙇				
	If you have answered the large set out your elternative annual and information for the bird				
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.				
	ANY DEVELOPMENT (OTHER THAN EXCEPTION HOISING)				
	ANY DEVELOPMENT (OTHER THAN EXCEPTION HOISING) WILL REDUCE NALABLE BUDGETS FOR THE TOWNS				
	WHERE MONEY SPENT WILL HAVE THE GREATEST				
	Affordable Housing POSITUE IMPACT.				
22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of longed in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?					
	Yes & BUT WITH AN UPPER LIMIT OF 12				
	No 🗆				
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?				
	Yes				
	No 🙇				
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?				
	Yes				
	No 🗡				

### Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?			
Yes D			
No 🗆			
a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes. $\square$			
b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing.  Yes  No			
c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.  Yes  No			
d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties).  Yes.  No			
e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development.  Yes  No			
f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.  Yes  No			
26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?			
Yes :			
No 🗆			
If you have answered Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.  OFFER COUNCIL TAX HOLIDAY WORTH  LEGOD TO ENCOURAGE MARBINAL SELF			
1-5000 10 ENCOURAGE PHAZEINAL SELF			
BUILDERS			
Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres			
27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?			
Yes			
No □			

# **Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)** 28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted? Yes V No $\square$ The A350 Corridor 29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes? BUT ONLY IF THE ABSO LAND SAFEGUARDED IS EXTENDED TO REDUCE PRESSURE ON GLLINGHAM. Comments If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

	e e			
e = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =				
	t .			
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary			
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?				
Yes 🖸	<u> </u>			
No 🗆	/ /			
Signature:	Date: 20/12/17			

When completed please send form to <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk">planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk</a>

