For office use only
Batch number:
Representor ID #
Representation #

Received:	
Ack:	



# NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

# **Response Form**

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

# Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	
First Name	Gary	
Last Name	Cook	
Job Title(where		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



# Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

#### <u>Housing</u>

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.

Yes



If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.

I am not an expert in housing so would find it impossible to provide another figure. The amount quoted seems very high for a small town the size of Shaftesbury. Local facilities and roads are already under too much strain. Apparently land already sold to developers and brown feild sites are not included in the estimate of dwellings. This seems perverse

#### **Employment**

2. Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?

Yes

No

3. Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?

Yes



#### Spatial Strategy

4. Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?

Yes

No

5. Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.

### Blandford (Forum and St Mary)

6. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?

Yes

No

7. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues

8. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements

<u>Gillingham</u>

9. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?



10. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?



If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues

Gillingham and Shaftesbury are gradually merging together. This continued expansion is unstustanable. The road through Gillingham is already choked in traffic most of the time.

11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements

# **Shaftesbury**

12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?





13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?



No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues

Most people are drawn to live in Shaftesbury because it is a small, picturesque town. Relentless development, especially on green field sites is against what most people want for their area. Local tourism is built on the attractive views from a small hilltop town. More and more housing and development building will threaten this.

14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Shaftesbury?

Please set out what you see as the additionalinfrastructure requirements An endless cycle of house building that then requires more roads is unstustanable. The road that originally went round Shaftesbury is now regularly choaked with traffic. This will only get worse. More development will mean additional capacity at already under-strain things like sewage, schools, health centres etc Sturminster Newton

15. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?

Yes

No

16. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues

17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?

Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements

#### <u>Stalbridge</u>

18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?

Yes

No

19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues

20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?

Please set out what you see as the additionalinfrastructure requirements
--

### The Villages

21. Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.

## Affordable Housing

22. Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?

Yes

No

23. Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?

Yes

No

24. Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

Yes

No

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?

Yes

No

a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes

No

b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing.

Yes

No

c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site.

Yes

No

d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties).

Yes

No

e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development.

Yes No

f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.

Yes

No

26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?

Yes

No

If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue

## Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres

27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?

Yes

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)

28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?

Yes



### The A350 Corridor

29. Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes

No

# Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

Continually developing land anywhere is unstustanable, but to try and do this around Shaftesbury is short sighted. Most residents of Shaftesbury and the surrounding villages live there because of the areas natural beauty. The benefits to us of our local environment and wildlife are impossible to quantify in monetary form, but are invaluable. They seem to be ignored so that seemingly random targets can be met. Land already sold for development and not been used is not being included in these targets.

I would like to add, that the whole local plan is very difficult to understand. I have taken time to see the plans locally and online. At every stage there is an underlying feeling that very important and detrimental development decisions have already been made and that consultation from people like me is unwanted. This form, for example is hard to find, difficult and time-consuming to fill in and written in an a way that assumes the reader knows about all the various local plans. I don't, but know that hidden in the documents are ideas that will potentionally have a great affect on me.

As representatives of the public I think you should produce photomontages of what the areas of housing/employment development look like now and what they will look like after building. This would be a much simpler and more public-friendly way of communicating. I'm sure there are very few people that have the time, expertise (that I do not have) or inclination to spend an hour and a half as I have done trying to fill in this form

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary

Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?

Yes 🗙			
No			
Signature:		Date:	17 Jan 2018
If submitting t	equired.		

When completed please send form to <a href="mailto:planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk">planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk</a>