For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	
First Name	Christopher	
Last Name	Romain	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

				•		
<u>H</u>	0	u	S	ı	n	g

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.			
	Yes			
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.			
	<u>Employment</u>			
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?			
	Yes			
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?			
	Yes			
	Spatial Strategy			
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?			
	Yes			
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.			
	No \square			
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.			

6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes
	No \square
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Gillingham
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?

	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.		
	<u>Shaftesbury</u>		
12.	. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?		
	Yes		
13.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?		
	Yes		
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.		
	Roads and other infrastructure not improved in relation to development		
	nodus and strict initiastractare not improved in relation to development		
14.	. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future		
	development at Shaftesbury?		
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.		
	To remove through traffic from the town envelope and improve pedestrian and vehicular links between		
	areas of development and the centre of the town		
	Sturminster Newton		
15.	. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?		
	Yes		
	No 🗆		
16.	. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been		
	·		
	considered as part of the assessment process?		
	Yes Yes		

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Stalbridge</u>
18. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
Yes
No 🗆
19. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No 🗆
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Tr	16	۷ı	II:	٩ø	es

21.	Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?
	Yes
	No
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind this.
	Affordable Housing
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
	Yes
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?
	No \square
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?
	Yes
	Solf Build and Custom Build Housing
25	<u>Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing</u> Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some,
۷۵.	or all of the following options?
	Yes
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes $\ \ \Box$
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. No $\ \Box$
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. No \Box

d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale

	value of the properties). No $\ \square$
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. No $\;\;\Box$
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes $\ \ \Box$
26.	Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?
	Yes □
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
27.	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
	Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?
	No 🗆
	The A350 Corridor
	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
	Yes
	Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

The route of the Shaftesbury eastern bypass should continue to be protected. To remove this protection because funding may not be available in the forseeable future is not acceptable as it would effectively block any practicable route in the future. About 20 years ago Dorset County Council capitulated to objectors against the then proposed bypass around Melbury, which was an essential element of the Shaftesbury eastern bypass and the town of Shaftesbury has suffered from chronic traffic congestion ever since. This failure has condemned the residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence. Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury and Melbury.	
block any practicable route in the future. About 20 years ago Dorset County Council capitulated to objectors against the then proposed bypass around Melbury, which was an essential element of the Shaftesbury eastern bypass and the town of Shaftesbury has suffered from chronic traffic congestion ever since. This failure has condemned the residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence. Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	The route of the Shaftesbury eastern bypass should continue to be protected. To remove this protection
About 20 years ago Dorset County Council capitulated to objectors against the then proposed bypass around Melbury, which was an essential element of the Shaftesbury eastern bypass and the town of Shaftesbury has suffered from chronic traffic congestion ever since. This failure has condemned the residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence. Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	because funding may not be available in the forseeable future is not acceptable as it would effectively
around Melbury, which was an essential element of the Shaftesbury eastern bypass and the town of Shaftesbury has suffered from chronic traffic congestion ever since. This failure has condemned the residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence. Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	block any practicable route in the future.
Shaftesbury has suffered from chronic traffic congestion ever since. This failure has condemned the residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence. Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	About 20 years ago Dorset County Council capitulated to objectors against the then proposed bypass
residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence. Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	
Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	
A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury	residents of Melbury and those living east of Christy's Lane in Shaftesbury, to a miserable existence.
	Both Dorset County and North Dorset District Councils acknowledge the need for improvements to the
and Melbury.	A350 corridor but appear to lack any motivation to ease the problems for the communities of Shaftesbury
	and Melbury.

	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating	g to the Local Plan Review?
Yes □	-
Signature: <u>C Romain</u> If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.	Date: 20 th January 2018
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk