For office use only Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



### NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

## Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

#### Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as anonymous comments cannot be accepted. By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

\*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Perso	nal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	MR		=== 000
First Name	CHRY	2	SmithalStrategy
Last Name	BELL	ERS	
Job Title <i>(where</i>	1000	NEW YORK STATE	e who seems of this will built anima top of
Organisation (where relevant)			1.1 asv
Address			Co you shork store should be seen and should be seen and
Postcode			D -649
Tel. No.			
Email Address			



## Part B - Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

	Housing
1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes D
	No 🗆
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.
	Employment
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Yes
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes
	No 🗆
	Spatial Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes
	No 🗆
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.
	Yes

	If you have answered support it.	Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to
		2007.
	Blandford (Forum an	d St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the	conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes 🗆	Present out who have a service of the service of th
	No 🗆	
7.	considered as part of	issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been the assessment process?
i	Yes 🗆	
	No 🗆	ynutesting.
	If you have answered	Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
		Cl. ov
	nas nas	Are there any to
	The second second	and the second of the second o
8.	What are the addition development at Blanc	nal infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future dford?
	Please set out what yo	ou see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	Gillingham	
9.	Burnelland 125,000 unc.	e conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes □	
	No 🗆	Pierce det com a la companya de la companya della companya de la companya della c
10		issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been
10		the assessment process?
	Yes □	
	No 🗆	

ıf	you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	nat are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future velopment at Gillingham?
PI	ease set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	aftesbury
	you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
Yes	
No	
	there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been asidered as part of the assessment process?
Yes	
No	
If	you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	nat are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future velopment at Shaftesbury?
P	lease set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Sturminster Newton	
15. Do you agree with the	conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
Yes	
No 🗆	
Committee of the commit	ssues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been he assessment process?
Yes	
No 🗆	
I was a second	
If you have answered Y	'es' please set out what you see as the further issues.
109	A Do you age to the comment of the c
	V 0.000 990 0.000004
	· 17 say
40.00	
17. What are the addition development at Sturm	al infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future inster Newton?
Please set out what you	see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	and the second of
	Alterdable House the constant of the constant
woolfe sanst to	
<u>Stalbridge</u>	
18. Do you agree with the	conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
Yes	
No Dediz ricingent t	
	ssues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been the assessment process?
Yes	
No 🗆	
	For a single service of the service
If you have answered "	'es' please set out what you see as the further issues.
	T 94

PI	ease set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
The	Villages
eigl alte	you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the nteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an ernative approach?
0.00	you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind
thi	
thi	
Aff	is.
Aff 22. Do ren nee	ordable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local
Aff	ordable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of localed in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Affi 22. Do ren nee Yes No 23. Do	ordable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of localed in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Affi 22. Do ren nee Yes No 23. Do for	ordable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of localed in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Affi 22. Do ren nee Yes No 23. Do for	ordable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of localed in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Affi 22. Do ren nee Yes No 23. Do for Yes No 24. Do	ordable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of localed in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?
Affi 22. Do ren nee Yes No 23. Do for Yes No 24. Do	prdable Housing  you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be noved from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local and in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?  you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming ward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?  you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?

# Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing 25. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options? Yes 🗌 No Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes No 🗆 b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes | No 🗆 c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes 🗆 No d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes No 🗆 Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes No 🗆 f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes No 26. Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing? Yes No 🗆 If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue. **Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres** 27. Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?

Yes 🗌

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)
Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?
Yes
No 🗹
The A350 Corridor
Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes?
Yes Z
No 🗆
Comments
If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

	111
Continue on a separate she	et if necesso
	Continue on a separate shee