For office use only	
Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A - Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mr	
First Name	Brian	
Last Name	Fermor	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

1. Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on

Housing

	which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this.
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'No' please set out an alternative housing figure and provide reasoning to support your answer.
	<u>Employment</u>
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review?
	Yes
	No 🗆
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?
	Yes
	No \square
	Spatial Strategy
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs?
	Yes
	No 🗆
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why.
	Yes
	No \square

	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.
	Blandford (Forum and St Mary)
6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford?
	Yes
	No \square
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No \square
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	<u>Gillingham</u>
9.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham?
	Yes
	No \square
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes
	No \square

If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
11. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?
development at changitam.
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
yes □
No □
13. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process?
Yes
No \square
If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
if you have answered these pieuse set out what you see as the further issues.
14. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
development at Shaftesbury?
Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

Sturminster Newton

15.	Doy	you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
	Yes	
	No	
16.		there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been sidered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes	
	No	
	If yo	ou have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
17.		at are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future elopment at Sturminster Newton?
	F160	case set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
	<u>Stal</u>	<u>lbridge</u>
18.	Do y	you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?
	Yes	
	No	
19.		there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been sidered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes	
	No	
	If yo	you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.

20.	20. What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Stalbridge?			
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.			
	The Villages			
21.	Do you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the eighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an alternative approach?			
	Yes			
	No X			
	Housing development should only be in relation to need and as houses stay on the market for a long time in Iwerne Minster there appears no demand for new houses and therefore little need for either small or large development. Urbanisation of the villages destroys the rural nature of North Dorset. New housing should be built where jobs are in the towns and where transport links to employment areas are good. Public transport from the villages to towns is very poor.			
	Affordable Housing			
22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings?			
	Yes			
	No 🗆			
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended?			
	Yes			
	No 🗆			
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites?			
	Yes			
	No \square			

Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing

No \square

25.	5. Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options?		
	Yes		
	No		
		llowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. $\hfill\Box$	
	of I	Ipdating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots and for self-build housing.	
	pro spe Yes	equiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a portion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being cified) on-site.	
	valı Yes	allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale ue of the properties). \Box	
		dentifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development.	
	Yes	ne use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development.	
26.	Are t	here any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing?	
	Yes		
	No		
	If yo	ou have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.	
	Ensu	ring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres	
27.	-	ou consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be nded to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'?	
	Yes		

Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs)28. Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted?

The A350 Corridor

29.	Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer
	Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and
	safeguarded for such purposes?

Yes x

Yes \square

No \square

No

Comments

If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.

Continue on a separate sheet if necessary
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating to the Local Plan Review?
Yes □
No
Signature: Tony Fermer (submitted electronically) Date: 12.01.2019
Signature: Tony Fermor (submitted electronically) Date: 12.01.2018 If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.
is submitting the joint electronically, no signature is required.

When completed please send form to planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk