For office use only Batch number:	Received:
Representor ID #	Ack:
Representation #	



NORTH DORSET LOCAL PLAN REVIEW Issues and Options Consultation 27 November 2017 to 22 January 2018

Response Form

As part of the Local Plan Review (LPR), North Dorset District Council has prepared an Issues and Options Document for consultation. The Issues and Options Document, the Sustainability Appraisal and associated documents can be viewed online via:

https://www.dorsetforyou.gov.uk/planning/north-dorset/planning-policy

Please return completed forms to:

Email: planningpolicy@north-dorset.gov.uk

Post: Planning Policy (North Dorset), South Walks House, South Walks Road, Dorchester, DT1 1UZ

Deadline: 5pm on 22 January 2018. Representations received after this time may not be accepted.

Part A – Personal details

This part of the form must be completed by all people making representations as **anonymous comments cannot be accepted.** By submitting this response form you consent to your information being disclosed to third parties for this purpose. Personal details will not be visible on our website, although they will be shown on paper copies that will be available for inspection by members of the public and other interested parties.

*If an agent is appointed, please complete only the Title, Name, Job Title and Organisation boxes in the personal details but complete the full contact details of the agent including email address. All correspondence will be sent to the agent.

	Personal Details*	Agent's Details (if applicable)*
Title	Mrs	
First Name	Alison	
Last Name	Hawthorn	
Job Title <i>(where</i>		
Organisation (where relevant)		
Address		
Postcode		
Tel. No.		
Email Address		



Part B – Representations

Please answer as many questions or as few questions as you wish. There is a box at the end of the form where you can provide any comments that you may have.

					•			
_	4	$\boldsymbol{\sim}$		c		•	•	a
г	1	O	u	3				ᆮ
-	-	_	<u> </u>	_	-	-	-	0

1.	Do you consider that a housing need figure of 366 dwellings a year is an appropriate figure on which to plan for housing growth in North Dorset? If not, please set out what you consider to be an appropriate figure and provide reasons for this. Yes \Box No x				
	It is impossible for a layman to give alternative figures.				
	In Shaftesbury land acquired by developers and not built on has not been taken into account. In Shaftesbury, brown field sites have not been taken into account				
	<u>Employment</u>				
2.	Do you consider that additional employment land should be allocated for development at Blandford as part of the Local Plan Review? Yes X				
	No \square				
3.	Do you consider that there is a need to allocate additional employment land in any other part(s) of the District?				
	Yes X No □				
	Spatial Strategy				
4.	Do you consider that the existing spatial strategy, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to allow for some limited growth at Stalbridge, beyond just meeting local needs? Yes \Box No x				
5.	Do you think that the Council should consider implementing any other alternative spatial strategy through the LPR? If so, please explain your reasons why. Yes				
	No X				
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out your alternative spatial strategy and provide reasoning to support it.				

6.	Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Blandford? Yes $$ X $$ No $$
7.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process? Yes No X
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
8.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Blandford?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.
9.	<u>Gillingham</u> Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Gillingham? Yes \Box No \Box
10.	Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been considered as part of the assessment process? Yes No
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.
11.	What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Gillingham?
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.

12	<u>Shaftesbury</u>
12.	. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Shaftesbury?
	Yes
	No x
13.	. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been
	considered as part of the assessment process?
	Yes X
	No 🗆
	Shaftesbury is a unique hilltop town with unparalleled views of countryside merging into town and
	beyond. This will be threatened by any develpoment going beyond the existing town boundaries and
	impact upon the economy, tourism and well-being of inhabitants in Shaftesbury/
	There needs to be a green belt which prevents Gillingham, Motcombe and Shaftesbury becoming one lare
	conurbation.
	Condition.
14.	. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future
	development at Shaftesbury?
	Water supplies, sewage, education at all levels, health provision and social services, empolyment,
	amenities and green space for all.
	Legally binding impact assessments must be in place for all of the above.
	Sturminster Newton
15	. Do you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Sturminster Newton?
13.	Yes
1.0	No
16.	. Are there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been
	considered as part of the assessment process?
	considered as part of the assessment process? Yes $\ \square$
	Yes
	Yes
	Yes No

17. What are the additional infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential future development at Sturminster Newton?

1	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
Si	<u>talbridge</u>				
	o you agree with the conclusions regarding the areas of search identified at Stalbridge?				
	es □ Io □				
9. A	re there any further issues relating to the areas of search that you think should have been onsidered as part of the assessment process?				
Y	es 🗆				
N					
	If you have answered 'Yes' please set out what you see as the further issues.				
	What are the most important infrastructure requirements that are likely to result from potential uture development at Stalbridge?				
	Please set out what you see as the additional infrastructure requirements.				
<u>T</u>	he Villages				
	o you agree with the Council's proposed approach in relation to future development at the				
	ighteen larger villages within the District or do you think that the Council should consider an Iternative approach?				
	es \square				
N	lo 🗆				
	If you have answered 'No' please set out your alternative approach and information/reasoning behind				
	this.				

22.	Do you consider that the existing reference to nine dwellings in Policy 9 of LPP1 should be removed from the policy to allow larger schemes to come forward where there is evidence of local need in excess of that which could be met by the provision of nine dwellings? Yes \Box No \Box
23.	Do you consider that the existing policy approach, which seeks to prevent exception sites coming forward adjacent to the four main towns within the District, should be amended? Yes No
24.	Do you consider that the Council should continue with its existing policy approach, which allows for a small number of market homes on rural exception sites? Yes \[\begin{align*} No \
25.	Self-Build and Custom-Build Housing Do you consider that the Council should facilitate the provision of self-build housing by any, some, or all of the following options? Yes X No □
	a. Allowing serviced plots to come forward under the current development plan policies. Yes $$ X $$ No $$ $$
	b. Updating Policy 7 (Delivering Homes) in the Local Plan Part 1 to promote the provision of serviced plots of land for self-build housing. Yes $$ X $$ No $$ \Box
	c. Requiring on sites above a certain size that serviced self-build plots should be made available as a proportion of the total number of dwellings permitted (with or without a minimum number being specified) on-site. Yes x No
	d. Allowing a proportion (up to 100%) of self-build plots on exception sites (with controls over the resale value of the properties). Yes $$ x $$ No $$ \Box
	e. Identifying land in public ownership which would be sold only for self-build development. Yes $\ x$ No $\ \Box$
	f. The use of Local Development Orders to facilitate self-build development. Yes $\ x$ No $\ \Box$
26.	Are there any other approaches that could be used to meet the demand for self-build housing? Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$

	If you have answered 'Yes' please outline the other approaches which the Council could pursue.
27.	Ensuring the Vitality and Viability of Town Centres Do you consider that the existing hierarchy and network of centres, as set out in LPP1, should be amended to include Stalbridge as a 'local centre'? Yes No
28.	Important Open or Wooded Areas (IOWAs) Do you agree that those IOWAs, which are protected from development by other planning policies or legislation, should be deleted? Yes No X
29.	The A350 Corridor Do you consider that the land which is identified and safeguarded for the Shaftesbury Outer Bypass and the Charlton Marshall and Spetisbury Bypass should continue to be identified and safeguarded for such purposes? Yes X No □
	Comments
	If you have any comments about the Issues and Options Document or the Sustainability Appraisal please set them out in the box below. If your comments are in relation to a specific question or chapter of the Issues and Options Document then please state which question or chapter your comments relate to.
	This form is difficult to access, understand and complete so we feel consultation is not welcome

The Sustainability Appraisal seems to have been largely ignored. Health and Well-being (e.eg walkers, dog walkers, cyclists, the needs of children and teenagers) have been ignored. Wildlife, particularly wildlife corridors, and Shaftesbury's natural richness has been ignored. Some land already acquiredfor development has not been developed and this has been ignored. Some developments which have taken place have not provided the promised amenities or infrastructure and this has been ignored. We need to ensure PROPER consultation takes place with all local people to see what the			
1			
	Continue on a separate sheet if necessary		
Do you wish to be contacted about future consultations relating Yes \Box	g to the Local Plan Review?		
No 🗆			
Signature:	Date:		

If submitting the form electronically, no signature is required.

When completed please send form to $\underline{\mathsf{planningpolicy@north\text{-}dorset.gov.uk}}$