


Discussion with Winterbourne Farringdon Parish Council (WFPC)

LW, DH and AW met two representatives from Winterbourne Farringdon on 21st July.

- ⊘ Agreed that the 8 properties at the top of Plaisters Lane should transfer to Weymouth to unite the community of Sutton Poyntz.
- ⊘ Winterbourne Farringdon offered up the new development at Littlemoor - they felt they lacked the infrastructure to provide for such an urban area, and it would tip the balance in the ward away from the rural villages. This amounted to the polling district "WIB2" with an additional triangle to cover the Northern tip of the development. This includes 12 existing properties and the 5-600 to be built at Littlemoor.
- ⊘ We agreed to cede the small triangle of land now cut off by the relief road to Winterbourne Farringdon (0 properties affected)
- ⊘ While both parties agreed that the Nightingale Drive estate should be united (currently approx. 85 properties in WTC and 197 in WFPC) we agreed to disagree on which way they should go. WTC presented that these properties were connected to Weymouth, would share community & local services with their neighbours in WTC, and had no connection to the 5 villages of WFPC. WFPC (NB, one of the reps from WFPC lives in this area) argued that they have no connection to Weymouth, and that the loss of properties here would make WFPC unviable.



Pink line - existing boundary

Orange - Agreed WFPC to WTC

Green - Agreed WTC to WFPC


Purple - Agreed to disagree

Discussion with Chickerell Town Council (CTC)

LW & DH met a single representative from Chickerell on 14th September.

- ∅ Unable to agree on anything. Neither agreed on historical abnormalities nor future developments.
- ∅ Rep from Chickerell presented that historic boundaries should be respected unless for a very good reason. Did not agree that estates divided by boundaries, community cohesion, community infrastructure/services were good enough reasons to move a boundary.
- ∅ Rep from Chickerell suggested that new residents of the new Southhill development would want to go to Chickerell town for play areas and allotments. Not Southhill that they are adjacent to!

WTC suggested the following areas, should transfer to WTC:



Pink lines - existing boundaries

Red - requested by WTC, no agreement


- ∄ Cobham Drive, Cumberland Drive, Winchester Close and Canterbury Close - cross boundary development.
- ∄ Fremantle Road, Nutgrove Avenue, Fraser Avenue, etc. and Manderville Road (Value House development & and future development of Camp Road tented camp) This is extended to the Portland boundary to avoid a weird off-cut
- ∄ Southill East & Football ground - approved new developments.

WTC would seek to keep the two towns independent & move the boundary to the existent “buffer” between the town towns, created by the Power Station, Wessex Golf Centre & Granby industrial Estate.

Portland Town Council (PTC)

During our research, we have remembered that the bridge has moved in the last 60 years!

Looking at an OS map published in 1963, the boundary between our two parishes was through the midpoint of both the railway bridge & the road bridge. The boundary has not altered since:



The pink line is the boundary. Since 1963, Small Mouth Inlet has been closed off to form Small Mouth Cove, and a new bridge has been built 200 yds to the south. The average person looking at the map (if they didn't know where the boundary is) would expect the boundary to be at the bridge when you cross over the water.

We asked PTC if they would agree to move the boundary to the centre point of the new bridge. Approx. 180m south of the current boundary line. This would affect 0 properties as there is no one living on this small piece of land.

PTC were unable to formally respond in such a short period, but informally suggested that we should include the suggestion, and let it go to public consultation by DC.