

### Wareham Railway Crossing Briefing Paper



This paper explains the importance to Wareham residents of the existing ground level foot and cycle crossing at Wareham Railway Station.

Residents wish to maintain a safe and convenient pedestrian crossing across the railway, the only pedestrian route between the two halves of the town and linking those living to the north of the railway with jobs, schools, shops and other vital services with 1,200 or so crossings made daily.

### 1. Wareham has two halves either side of the railway line

The Town has a population of 5,827, about half of whom live north of the railway line whilst nearly all shops, services, all schools, the sports centre, health centre and library are to the south.

### 2. There is only one pedestrian crossing of the railway line

There is no other pedestrian crossing of the railway line. The bypass flyover has no footway and its route would not be convenient for pedestrians. Some 1,200 crossings of the existing pedestrian crossing are made a day, 18% of people crossing have mobility difficulties.



Wareham showing railway level crossing joining the two halves of the town

### 3. There has never been an accident or fatality on this crossing

The railway line opened in 1847 and there has never been a recorded accident or fatality at the crossing. Network Rail's Level Crossing website shows that Wareham crossing had no incidents of "misuse" prior to the risk assessment date (September 2016), no incidents since, one incident of "near misses" in the year prior to assessment, and no incidents since and no "accidents" in the year prior to or since the assessment date. The next assessment was due in December 2017. For comparison Poole crossing had 30,051 pedestrians or cyclists. It had 28 incidents of misuse, 2 incidents of near misses and 2 accidents prior to the assessment date of January 2017.

# 4. Network Rail cannot close the crossing without there being a Equality Act compliant alternative

Network Rail has threatened to close the level crossing when the lease expires even if there is no alternative crossing point.

Legal advice on this threat has been taken from Sasha White QC of Landmark Chambers, London who states that

*"if Network Rail close the Level Crossing when the 1988 Lease expires …… and refuse to provide alternative step free access, while still failing to have due regard to the effects on* 

those with protected characteristics, it is highly likely that a court would find that Network Rail have acted unreasonably and contrary to their duties under the Equality Act."

A copy of the advice has been sent to Network Rail and it is interesting that their reply to the Trust did not contain the threat to close the crossing in the absence of an alternative.

## 5. Proposed ramps were unanimously rejected by Purbeck District Council and Dorset County Council

In 2015 a proposal for a ramped bridge was submitted by Network Rail to Purbeck District Council. For good planning reasons the District Council turned down this proposal as follows:

1. The proposals by way of their excessive scale and materials of construction will appear demonstrably harmful in the street scene.

2. The proposals due to their excessive scale, bulk and massing fail to preserve the setting of the historic asset of the bridge itself and the wider station area.

3. The proposals due to the increased length of travel for pedestrians which make it more likely to increase the use of motor vehicles by residents for short journeys, fails to promote sustainable transport

In 2018 a revised proposal for a ramped bridge was submitted to Purbeck District Council for Listed Building consent. The application for Listed Building Consent was refused unanimously by Purbeck District Council in January 2018 because they considered on a 8 - 0 vote that there was not substantial public benefit to outweigh the harm to the listed station and bridge.



The planning application for this proposal for a ramped bridge to replace the pedestrian/cycle ground level crossing was also refused unanimously at a meeting of Dorset County Council's Regulatory Committee held on Thursday 14<sup>th</sup> June.

The application was refused subject to the reasons set out below. It was also suggested that the Highway Authority and Network Rail enter into discussions about alternative solutions including an automated barrier system.

1. The construction and presence of the proposed ramp would cause harm to the setting and therefore the significance of the Grade II listed bridge which forms part of a listed group of station buildings, as well as ancillary/curtilage buildings which are listed. No clear and convincing exceptional circumstances have been demonstrated to justify harm to the Grade II listed bridge. Neither would the harm to this nationally important heritage asset be clearly and convincingly outweighed by the public benefits associated with the proposed development, as other significantly less harmful alternatives are available.

2. Approval of such development would be contrary to government policy for conserving and enhancing the historic environment set out in Section 12, paragraphs 131, 132, 133 and 134 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF, March 2012) and the proposed development would make no desirable positive contribution to local character and distinctiveness as encouraged by paragraph 131 of the National Planning Policy Framework.

3. Section 7, Paragraphs 56, 57, 61 and paragraph 64 of the NPPF states that permission 6 should be refused for development of poor design that fails to take the opportunities available for improving the character and quality of an area and the way it functions. The excessive mass and scale of the proposed ramps will not improve the character of the historic bridge and station. This is also contrary to Section 66 of the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990.

4. The application is contrary to Policy LHH (Landscape, Historic Environment and Heritage) of the Purbeck Local Plan. In addition, the ramps would detract from the street scene and be contrary to Policy D (Design) of the Purbeck Local Plan Part 1. This is because the application fails to demonstrate that the protection and enhancement of the setting of the designated heritage asset has been addressed. It also fails to establish that the adverse effect that the proposed development would have on the setting of the listed building, can be satisfactorily alleviated through appropriate and acceptable mitigating measures.

5. Also the proposal is likely to increase the use of motor vehicles, and therefore fails to promote sustainable transport, contrary to Paragraphs 30 and 41 of the NPPF and Policies IAT and CEN of the Purbeck Local Plan.'

### 6. Strong public support for the maintaining of the ground level crossing

In 2016 3,300 local residents signed a petition to maintain the ground level crossing.

On 23<sup>rd</sup> April 2016 a well-attended demonstration of local residents was staged at the station.

On 21<sup>st</sup> July 2017 Michael Tomlinson MP chaired a public meeting arranged by Wareham Town Council of some 400 residents at the Purbeck School. Residents expressed their very strong opposition to the proposal for a ramped bridge and closure of Wareham's level crossing. Residents were concerned that the bridge will split the town in two, cut residents off from jobs and needed local facilities, be too steep (1 in 12) and too far for many people to use, and be out-of-keeping with the Listed Railway Station.

This proposal was widely reported in the local press, the Daily Mail and the Daily Telegraph.



Daily Mail, August 2<sup>nd</sup> 2017

On Saturday 9<sup>th</sup> June an impromptu demonstration was staged at the Railway crossing by local residents wishing to save Wareham's Railway Crossing. This was reported on Meridian

News and BBC South Today and showed the continuing opposition to the proposed ramped bridge despite many being disillusioned.



## 7. Wareham Neighbourhood Plan includes Policy to maintain the ground level crossing

Work on a Neighbourhood Plan for Wareham started in 2015 and following extensive consultation was submitted for examination in January 2019. In view of the importance of maintaining this vital pedestrian and cycle link between the two halves of Wareham a Policy to maintain the ground level crossing has been included in the draft Plan:

#### PC1 - Main Pedestrian and Cycling routes

The main pedestrian and cycle routes within the Neighbourhood Plan area shown on Figure 26, including the surface level crossing across the railway line next to the Station, shall be retained, and improvements to the accessibility of these routes supported. New development should have good convenient routes to the Town Centre and main movement attractors and should not adversely impact on the convenience and attractiveness of pedestrian and cycle routes or the Town's heritage assets.



### 8. Conclusion

The proposed closure of Wareham Level Crossing is probably one of the biggest issues faced by the town in the past 50 years. Clearly public safety is of great concern and so too is the severance of the local community. Half of the town's population of almost 6,000 live on the north side of the railway yet all schools, shops, recreation and health facilities are on the south side. There is only one pedestrian route between the two and this involves the level crossing. The closure of this crossing is therefore an issue which will directly affect the lives of thousands of the town residents on a daily basis. This is why there is such overwhelming public opposition to the proposal and has been for the past 15 years since it was first mooted.

The Wareham community wish to work with Dorset County Council and Network Rail to find a solution that is in keeping with a historic market town and maintains a direct and convenient route for pedestrians and cyclists. Common sense suggests that the solution should be based on an electronic barrier or gates linked to the signalling system. In discussions with Network Rail it has been confirmed that this solution is likely to achieve an acceptable risk score without the need for DCC to continue to pay £120,000 pa. for staff cover.

The letter from Network Rail to the Town Trust dated 18<sup>th</sup> January states "Should the current planning application be unsuccessful we will meet again with DCC to discuss a way forward. We have no pre-ordained view on what should happen in this scenario."

The community wishes to see all parties working together with the local community to find a sensible long-term solution based on electronic gates linked to the signalling system.

#### Wareham Town Trust 22.01.2020